

LONDON  
SCHOOL of  
HYGIENE  
& TROPICAL  
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Hogan, H; Healey, F; Neale, G; Thomson, R; Vincent, C; Black, N; (2013) Reply to Nash and Quinn's letter. BMJ quality & safety. ISSN 2044-5415 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001857>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/639126/>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001857>

**Usage Guidelines:**

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact [researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk).

Available under license: Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>

within individual trusts over time, is greater than expected if, as found in our study, only 1 in 20 hospital deaths are preventable.

The validity and reliability of HSMRs as measures of clinical quality and safety have been repeatedly questioned,<sup>2</sup> resulting in calls for their abandonment.<sup>3</sup> Apart from chance, regression to the mean and secular effects, changes in coding of primary and secondary diagnoses, diagnostic exclusions, relative proportions of admissions that are classified as emergency and elective, inadequate risk adjustment and the proportion of a population's deaths taking place in hospital have all been shown to influence the HSMR.<sup>4 5</sup>

Nash and Quinn suggest that HSMR variation between hospitals may be reflecting substandard care resulting in excess mortality which would not necessarily fulfil the criteria of 'preventable death' used in our study. Given that our estimate included deaths in which the expert reviewers judged there to be only a 50% chance it was preventable, we do not feel that it is likely the resulting overall estimate of 5.2% seriously underestimates the scale of the problem. In addition, it is consistent with that reported previously.<sup>6</sup> We share Nash and Quinn's scepticism about the validity of HSMRs (and the modified version, the summary hospital-level mortality indicator) and will soon be reporting further analyses exploring the association between preventable deaths and several measures of hospital safety (including HSMR).

**Helen Hogan,<sup>1</sup> Frances Healey,<sup>2</sup>  
Graham Neale,<sup>3</sup> Richard Thomson,<sup>4</sup>  
Charles Vincent,<sup>3</sup> Nick Black<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Services Research & Policy, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>National Patient Safety Agency, London, UK

<sup>3</sup>Clinical Safety Research Unit, Imperial College, London, UK

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Health and Society, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

**Correspondence to** Dr Helen Hogan, Department of Health Services Research & Policy, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Place, London WC1H 9SH, UK; [helen.hogan@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:helen.hogan@lshtm.ac.uk)

**Competing interests** None.

## To what extent are inpatient deaths preventable? The author's reply

We are pleased that findings from our Preventable Incidents, Survival and Mortality Study (PRISM) study<sup>1</sup> are consistent with Nash and Quinn's clinical experience. They raise the important point that published variation in hospital standardised mortality ratios (HSMRs) and its recent modified version, the summary hospital-level mortality indicator, between acute trusts and

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**To cite** Hogan H, Healey F, Neale G, *et al.* *BMJ Qual Saf* 2013;**22**:607–608.

Received 28 January 2013

Accepted 31 January 2013

Published Online First 1 March 2013



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2012-001649>

*BMJ Qual Saf* 2013;**22**:607–608.  
doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001857

## REFERENCES

- 1 Hogan H, Healey F, Neale G, *et al.* Preventable deaths due to problems in care in English acute hospitals: a retrospective case record review study. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2012;**21**:737–45.
- 2 Lilford R, Pronovost P. Using hospital mortality rates to judge hospital performance: a bad idea that just won't go away. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c2016.
- 3 Black N. Assessing the quality of hospitals. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c2066.
- 4 Scott IA, Brand CA, Phelps GE, *et al.* Using Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratios to assess quality of care—proceed with extreme caution. *Med J Aust* 2011;**194**:645–8.
- 5 van Gestel YR, Lemmens VE, Lingsma HF, *et al.* The hospital standardized mortality ratio fallacy: a narrative review. *Med Care* 2012;**50**:662–7.
- 6 Hayward R, Hofer T. Estimating hospital deaths due to medical error—preventability is in the eye of the reviewer. *JAMA* 2001;**286**:415–20.

## To what extent are inpatient deaths preventable? The author's reply

Helen Hogan, Frances Healey, Graham Neale, Richard Thomson, Charles Vincent and Nick Black

*BMJ Qual Saf* 2013 22: 607-608 originally published online March 1, 2013  
doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001857

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/22/7/607.2>

---

*These include:*

### References

This article cites 6 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/22/7/607.2#BIBL>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

### Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>