

Reply to Adzemovic et al

To the Editor—We thank Adzemovic and colleagues for their correspondence and interest in our article. The points raised make for interesting discussion.

We agree that it would be illogical that "response to therapy" be part of any tuberculous meningitis (TBM) definition as patients may deteriorate or even die despite tuberculosis (TB) treatment and non-TB causes of meningitis may improve in the absence of treatment. The question brought to light a blunder in our Methods section (related to a very early nonapproved protocol version that slipped into the document during edits and version changes). This was due to a transcriptional glitch on the OneDrive multiuser interface. We have now corrected this in the current online version of the manuscript [1] and are grateful to Adzemovic and colleagues for unearthing the error. The University of Cape Town Research Ethics Committee-approved protocol was used to carry out this study and that methodology is currently reflected in the corrected manuscript version. We have, for verification purposes, provided a copy of that protocol to the Editor, and this is available upon request through the corresponding author.

We strongly agree that symptomatic persons presenting with a meningitic syndrome in a high-burden setting with trace-positive Xpert Ultra cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) results should always be treated, and this is our clinical practice when faced with this scenario. The study-specific prevalence of TBM can vary in the African setting and will depend on a number of factors including type of health system, referral pathways, level of expertise of the attending clinician, level of the healthcare setting, patient access to care including affordability and socioeconomic status, service model (payment vs

nonpayment), etc. Indeed, several studies have found a study-specific disease prevalence of 1% to approximately 20% [2].

We do not agree with the contention that CSF samples that are trace positive on Xpert Ultra are always a true positive and that they are invariably indicative of a falsely negative Sanger sequencing result. We contend that a CSF Xpert Ultra trace result might be a true positive or a false positive. The latter, for example, might be due to primer-dimers or other irrelevant DNA sequences, or a falsepositive fluorophore-specific signal detection error (like the high interreader variability with a faint result line when using a lateral flow assay). We are not suggesting that CSF trace is related to previous TB as it is sputum [3, 4]. It is well known that results are stochastic and the false positivity rate is higher around a dichotomized cutpoint dividing positive from negative, and this principle applies to any diagnostic test. Indeed, of the 20 trace-positive CSF samples, in 12 of 20 (60%) the status changed when repeat Xpert Ultra testing was performed (6 samples changed from trace to "not detected"; 6 changed from trace to "very low"; 8 remained trace). Other tests like T-SPOT-TB (latent TB infection test; Revvity) deal with this problem by designating an "uncertainty zone" around the cutpoint where results should be regarded as unreliable [5-7]. Other polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based TB assays such as Roche Cobas MTB and BD Max MDR-TB, which appear to have a similar sensitivity as Xpert Ultra [8], do not have this issue as they do not have a "trace" readout, which is typically at high cycle threshold values at the very limit of detection. Indeed, specificity dropped by 2.7% overall with Xpert Ultra introduction when compared to the MTB-RIF assay [4], implying a higher level of false positivity related to the trace readout.

Of the 20 trace CSF results, 10 were indeterminate on sequencing-that is, DNA was insufficient in quality or quantity to generate readouts. We cannot be sure how many of these were true positives or false positives. Eight sequencing results confirmed a Mycobacterium tuberculosis sequence. However, 2 showed non-M tuberculosis sequences (false positives). To maximize the chances of adequate amounts of DNA for the sequencing process, we also reamplified the PCR product in about a third of samples. There are also other lines of evidence that suggest that some trace results are likely false positives. For example, in several studies only about 10%-20% of sputum trace results were culture positive [9] (it is widely accepted that culture is more sensitive than Xpert

In summary, we are unsure what proportion of CSF trace results are true positives versus false positives; however, our data and several other lines of evidence suggest that at least some of these results are likely false positives. Recognition of this issue is useful for a few reasons including clinical management, devising solutions to this problem in future assay design, and developing newer diagnostic approaches that might include a combination of host and pathogen biomarkers as previously suggested by some of the coauthors of the letter [10].

Notes

Financial support. This study was funded by the South African Network of Biosciences (BioFISA II Programme Flagship Grant: V6LFISA/2016/SFG001).

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts.

Philippa Randall, ^{1,2,3,©} Junior Mutsvangwa, ⁴
Marriott Nliwasa, ⁵ Lindsay Wilson, ^{1,2}
Brandon Reyneke, ^{1,2} Beauty Makamure, ⁴
Edson Makambwa, ^{1,2} Richard Meldau, ^{1,2}
Keertan Dheda, ^{1,2,6,©} Shungu Munyati, ⁴ Omar Siddiqi, ^{1,8}
Elizabeth Corbett, ⁵ and Ali Esmail ^{1,2}

¹Centre for Lung Infection and Immunity, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa; ²Division of Pulmonology, University of Cape Town Lung Institute, Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa; ³Antrum Biotech (Pty Ltd), Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa; ⁴Biomedical Research and Training Institute, Harare, Zimbabwe; ⁵College of Medicine, University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi; ⁶Department of Infection Biology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom; ⁷Division of Neuro-Virology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; and ⁸Department of Internal Medicine, University of Zambia School of Medicine, Lusaka, Zambia

References

- Randall P, Mutsvangwa J, Nliwasa M, et al. Utility of cerebrospinal fluid unstimulated interferon-gamma (IRISA-TB) as a same-day test for tuberculous meningitis in a tuberculosis-endemic, resource-poor setting. Open Forum Infect Dis 2024; 11:ofae496.
- Chen X, Wei J, Zhang M, et al. Prevalence, incidence, and case fatality of tuberculous meningitis in adults living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health 2024; 24:2145.
- 3. Theron G, Venter R, Calligaro G, et al. Xpert MTB/ RIF results in patients with previous tuberculosis: can we distinguish true from false positive results? Clin Infect Dis **2016**; 62:995–1001.
- 4. Dorman SE, Schumacher SG, Alland D, et al. Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra for detection of *Mycobacterium*

- tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: a prospective multicentre diagnostic accuracy study. Lancet Infect Dis **2018**; 18:76–84. Erratum in: Lancet Infect Dis 2018; 18:376.
- van Zyl-Smit RN, Pai M, Peprah K, et al. Within-subject variability and boosting of T-cell interferon-gamma responses after tuberculin skin testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 180:49-58.
- Rego K, Pereira K, MacDougall J, Cruikshank W. Utility of the T-SPOT.TB test's borderline category to increase test resolution for results around the cutoff point. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2018; 108:178–85.
- Revvity/Oxford Immunotec. T-SPOT.TB package insert PI-TB-US-V5 (TB.300). 2024. Available at: https://resources.revvity.com/pdfs/tch-tspottb package-insert.pdf. Accessed 8 May 2025.
- de Vos M, Scott L, David A, et al. Comparative analytical evaluation of four centralized platforms for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex and resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid. J Clin Microbiol 2021; 59:e02168-20.
- Berhanu RH, Lebina L, Nonyane BAS, et al. Yield of facility-based targeted universal testing for tuberculosis with Xpert and mycobacterial culture in high-risk groups attending primary care facilities in South Africa. Clin Infect Dis 2023; 76: 1594-603.
- 10. Bahr NC, Meintjes G, Boulware DR. Inadequate diagnostics: the case to move beyond the bacilli

for detection of meningitis due to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Med Microbiol **2019**; 68:755–60.

Received 15 April 2025; editorial decision 17 April 2025; accepted 02 May 2025; published online 5 May 2025

Correspondence: Ali Esmail, MBChB, Centre for Lung Infection and Immunity, Department of Medicine and University of Cape Town Lung Institute, University of Cape Town, Room H46.41 Old Main Building, Groote Schuur Hospital, Groote Schuur Drive, Observatory 7925, South Africa (ali.esmail@uct.ac.za); Philippa Randall, PhO, Antrum Biotech, Room H50, Old Main Building, Groote Schuur Hospital, Groote Schuur Drive, Observatory 7925, South Africa (philippa.randall@uct.ac.za).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaf271