1	The Royal College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology
2	Database study of cataract surgery: Report 17, a risk factor model
3	for posterior capsule rupture
4	
5	Running Title: RCOphth NOD posterior capsule rupture risk factor model
6	
7	Peng Yong Sim ¹ , Paul HJ Donachie ^{2, 3} , Alexander C Day ^{1, 4} , John C Buchan ^{2, 5, 6}
8	
9	1: Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
10	2: The Royal College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database, London, UK
11	3: Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cheltenham, UK
12	4: UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK
13	5: Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
14	6: International Centre for Eye Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,
15	London, UK
16	
17	Corresponding author
18	Peng Yong Sim

19 Email: pengyong.sim@nhs.net

20 Abstract

21

22 Background/Objectives

23 To create a risk factor model for posterior capsule rupture (PCR) during cataract surgery.

24

25 Subjects/Methods

Eligible operations between 01/04/2016 and 31/03/2022 from centres supplying data to the UK national cataract audit with complete data including patients' gender and age at surgery, anterior chamber depth (ACD) measurement and preoperative visual acuity (VA) were included. A logistic regression model was fitted to identify risk factors and calculate their odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for PCR.

31

32 Results

33 This analysis included 961 208 cataract operations performed on 682 381 patients from 136 34 participating centres by 3 198 surgeons. 9 730 (1.01%) of surgeries were complicated by PCR. 35 The median age was 75.7 and 76.7 years for first and second eye surgery respectively, and 5 36 154 (53.0%) were female. The highest risk factors for PCR were less experienced trainee 37 surgeon (OR 3.75, 95% CI 3.33-4.24, p<0.001), pseudoexfoliation / phacodonesis (OR 3.47, 38 95% CI 3.05-3.94, p<0.001), younger males (OR 3.05, 95% CI 2.23-4.16, p<0.001) and 39 brunescent / white / mature cataract (OR 2.41, 95% CI 2.24–2.60, p<0.001). Other risk factors identified were glaucoma, worse preoperative VA, previous intravitreal therapy, high myopia, 40 previous vitrectomy, systemic diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, amblyopia, older age, shallower 41 42 ACD and inability to lie flat and cooperate.

43

44 Conclusion

45 Various surgical, patient and ocular factors increase the risk of PCR during cataract surgery.

46 This risk factor model permits estimation of individualised risks for patients and allows risk-

47 adjustment for surgeons to evaluate their PCR rates based on case complexity.

48 Introduction

49

50 Cataract surgery continues to be the most commonly performed elective surgical procedure 51 in the UK, with around 600 000 operations performed in the 2023-2023 NHS year. Since its 52 inception in 2010, the Royal College of Ophthalmologists National Ophthalmology Database 53 (RCOphth NOD) audit has established itself as an important quality assurance measure and 54 research tool, with several analyses published over the last decade¹⁻¹³. Given its ever 55 expanding dataset, there is a need for continual analyses to ensure up-to-date, high-quality 56 evidence to drive quality improvement and national benchmarking of surgical outcomes.

57

Posterior capsular rupture (PCR) remains one of the most common complications of cataract surgery and is a risk factor for poor visual prognosis¹⁴. Following PCR, there is a higher relative risk (RR) of severe vision loss (RR, 15.5) [publication pending] and secondary complications such as cystoid macular oedema (RR, 2.6)¹⁵, endophthalmitis (RR, 7.2)¹⁰ and retinal detachment (RR, 20.4)³. PCR also imposes a substantial additional financial cost burden to health care systems due to the need for additional visits and interventions^{16,17}.

64

65 This RCOphth NOD analysis aims to provide an update to the now 15-year-old risk 66 stratification system for PCR from the previous Cataract National Dataset¹⁸ and also the 67 RCOphth NOD PCR risk adjustment model fitted in 2015¹⁹. Over this time period, PCR rates have more than halved from 1.95%¹ to 0.79% in the latest RCOphth NOD audit annual report 68 for 2022-2023²⁰. The observed decline has a variety of potential causes, such as the 69 70 introduction of simulator training amongst trainee surgeons⁶, improvement in instrumentation 71 (e.g. use of silicone-tipped handpieces²¹) and an increasing trend toward surgery on younger and healthier eyes²². The determinants of PCR rate are also likely to have changed over time, 72 with more recently reported novel risk factors for PCR such as previous intravitreal injections²³ 73 74 and corneal opacities²⁴. On a global scale, an up-to-date risk stratification system is required 75 to permit meaningful comparison of the RCOphth NOD dataset with those of other

countries^{24,25}, to facilitate the development of a bespoke international benchmarking system
and to be able to advise patients before surgery of their specific material risk.

78

79

80 Methods

81

82 The RCOphth NOD is open to centres performing both NHS funded and private cataract 83 surgery in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland, Wales, and the Channel Islands which include 84 NHS centres, independent sector treatment centres (ISTCs) and private providers. The data, compliant with the RCOphth minimum national cataract dataset, is recorded on electronic 85 86 medical records (EMRs) or in-house databases and submitted annually for cataract operations using phacoemulsification to treat patients aged 18 years or older, where the primary intention 87 88 was cataract surgery and not combined 'cataract + other' surgery, unless the 'other' surgery 89 formed part of the cataract operation (e.g., an operative manoeuvre to increase pupil size). 90 Cases where PCR is expected as part of surgery, such as posterior polar or traumatic 91 cataracts, are excluded from NOD analyses. Further information on audit eligible cataract 92 operations can be found on the audit website (www.nodaudit.org.uk).

93

The study period comprised cataract operations performed between 01/04/2016 and 31/03/2022 which constitutes 6 completed NHS years. Eligible for this analysis are National Cataract Audit eligible cataract operations with data for patients' gender and age at surgery, anterior chamber depth (ACD) and preoperative visual acuity (VA). To remove data for potentially abnormal eyes or erroneous data entry, operations are excluded if the recorded ACD was <1.5mm or >4.5mm, or if the recorded axial length (AL) was <18mm. Only data from contributing centres with at least 50 cataract operations satisfying the above are included.

101

For this analysis, data was recorded on either the Medisoft EMR system (Medisoft Ophthalmology, Medisoft Limited, Leeds, UK, <u>www.medisoft.co.uk</u>), OpenEyes EMR system (<u>www.openeyes.org.uk</u>), or in-house databases compliant with the RCOphth national cataract dataset (<u>https://www.rcophth.ac.uk/standards-and-guidance/audit-and-data/clinical-data-</u> sets).

107

The grade of operating surgeon was categorised as consultant surgeons, career grade nonconsultant surgeons (associate specialists, staff grades and trust doctors), more experienced trainee surgeons (fellows and specialty trainees / registrars years 3-7), and less experienced trainee surgeons (senior house officer, specialty trainees / registrars years 1-2 and foundation doctors).

113

Preoperative VA was defined as the best recorded distance VA (corrected or uncorrected but not pinhole) that is closest to the date of surgery, including the day of surgery and within 6 months prior to surgery. For numeric calculations, the extreme low vision estimates from the LogMAR chart representing count fingers (CF), hand movements (HM), perception of light (PL) and no perception of light (NPL) are replaced with 2.10, 2.40, 2.70 and 3.00, respectively²⁶.

120

To identify potential risk factors for PCR, a logistic regression model was fitted with cluster adjustment for robust standard errors, where surgeons, their grade and centre they operated in were used to create the clusters. Thus, surgeons with data in different centres have data considered as separate clusters for each centre, and similarly their operative record at different surgeon grades are considered as separate clusters.

126

The covariates considered as potential risk factors are known before cataract surgery starts.
They concern surgeon, patient and ocular factors that could potentially influence the chance
of PCR. All candidate covariates were first investigated using Chi-squared tests for categorical

covariates, and Student's t-tests with the Welch adjustment for unequal variance for continuous covariates. For the categorical covariates, only those indicating association at the 1% level (p<0.01) from the univariate analysis were considered in the risk factor modelling. This restriction did not apply to the continuous covariates, which were all carried through to the risk factor modelling due to clinical relevance and being continuous over a discreet range which could lead to significant differences over their ranges at the multivariate but not univariate level.

137

138 The categorical variables indicating univariate association at the 1% level, plus the continuous 139 covariates and an interaction term for patients' gender and age, were fitted to a multivariate 140 logistic regression model with cluster adjustment for surgeons, their grade and centre the 141 operation was performed in. The final PCR risk factor model was created using backwards 142 selection from the 'full' model to the 'best fitting' model. Covariates were first removed if there 143 was no significance at the 1% level (p>0.01), and then any remaining covariates indicating 144 significance between 0.1% and 1% (p>0.001 & p<0.01) were all individually removed and 145 models compared using the likelihood ratio test and assessment of the Akaike Information 146 Criterion, and retained in the model if removal indicated no improvement to model fit.

147

148 The use of stages decreasing significance thresholds was adopted due to the increased 149 chance of detecting very small significant differences from the large sample size, and to 150 minimise negative impacts of possible overfitting. It is feasible this approach does not produce 151 the best model for the sample, but is practical for a very large sample where some covariates 152 are for rare diseases, and to attempt to remove covariates with minimal clinical differences 153 that otherwise could be found statistically significant if using a higher significance level. The 154 full equation of the final model used to estimate the probability of PCR for each operation is 155 detailed in Supplementary File.

156

157 The lead clinician and Caldicott Guardian (responsible nominee for data protection) at each

158 centre provided written approval for anonymised data extraction. Ethical permission was not 159 required for this analysis due to being viewed as audit or service evaluation. This study was 160 conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the UK Data Protection Act. All 161 analyses were performed using STATA 18 (StataCorp. 2023. Stata Statistical Software: 162 Release 18. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC).

- 163
- 164
- 165 Results

166

Within the study period, 1 453 374 eligible cataract operations were submitted with data for patients' gender and age. Excluded from this are 492 124 (33.9%) operations for the following reasons; 297 315 had no recorded ACD measurement, 193 080 had no preoperative VA, 1 701 had a recorded ACD of <1.5mm or >4.5mm, and 28 had a recorded AL of <18mm. A further 42 operations from five centres were removed as these centres had <50 operations left in the sample after applying the exclusion criteria above.

173

Eligible for the risk factor modelling were 961 208 cataract operations performed in 136 participating centres: 73 English NHS trusts (578 683; 60.2%), 58 ISTCs providing NHS funded and private fee-paying surgery (365 295; 38.0%), three Welsh health boards (12 528; 1.3%), one centre from Guernsey (2 415; 0.3%) and one fully private fee-paying provider (2 287; 0.2%). The range in the number of operations performed by the centres was 144 to 28 414.

180

The 961 208 operations were performed on 473 074 (49.2%) left eyes and 488 134 (50.8%) right eyes from 682 381 patients by 3 198 surgeons, 686 (21.5%) of whom had data for more than one grade of surgeon; 1 521 consultant surgeons performed 738 527 (76.8%) operations, 349 career grade non-consultant surgeons performed 57 629 (6.0%) operations, 1 571 more experienced trainee surgeons performed 141 452 (14.7%) operations and 443 less

experienced trainee surgeons performed 23 600 (2.5%) operations. The median number of
operations performed by surgeons was 93 (range; 1 to 21 473).

188

189 First eye surgery was performed in 569 154 patients where 326 572 (57.4%) were female and 190 the median age at surgery was 75.7 years (interguartile range; 69.0–81.7 years). Second eye 191 surgery was performed in 391 154 patients where 229 796 (58.7%) were female and the 192 median age at surgery was 76.7 years (interquartile range; 70.2-82.3 years). Immediate 193 sequential bilateral cataract surgery (ISBCS) was performed in 450 patients where 261 194 (58.0%) patients were female and the median age at surgery was 75.0 years (interguartile 195 range; 65.2-81.8 years). Over the study period, 278 377 (40.8%) non-ISBCS patients had 196 surgery to both eyes, where the median time between the two operations was 3.0 months 197 (range one day to 6.0 years).

198

199

200 PCR risk factor model

At the univariate level all categorical covariates showed association at the 1% level (p>0.01) except for Fuchs endothelial dystrophy (p=0.052), inherited eye disease (p=0.040), oculomotor disease (p=0.068) and other macular pathology (p=0.943). For continuous covariates, univariate analysis did not show any association for ACD (p=0.440) (Table 1).

205

During the risk factor model fitting process, the following covariates were removed due to no association at the 1% level (p>0.01); age-related macular degeneration, no fundal view / vitreous opacity, other retinal vascular pathology, previous trabeculectomy surgery and uveitis / synechiae. Both amblyopia and corneal pathology implied association between the 0.1% and 1% level (p>0.001 & p<0.01). Removing amblyopia did not improve the model fit and was retained. A similar finding was seen for corneal pathology but a decision was made to not include this due to the implied protective effect from its presence, and also because there can

be large variation in why a surgeon would record this (e.g. a small peripheral scar or fullthickness central opacity).

215

The final model included the following surgical and patient factors: surgeon grade, patients' age and gender, ability to lie flat and cooperate, diabetic status and an interaction term between age and gender. Ocular factors were first or second eye surgery, ACD, preoperative VA, previous intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy, pupil size, amblyopia, brunescent / white / mature cataract, diabetic retinopathy, glaucoma, high myopia, previous vitrectomy surgery and pseudoexfoliation / phacodonesis (Table 2).

222

223 The final model had an area under the receiver curve estimate of 70.0%. The highest 224 influencing risk factors were surgery by less experienced trainee surgeon and 225 pseudoexfoliation / phacodonesis, where the odds ratios were >3 (Supplementary Figure), as 226 was the case for male gender but this large odds ratio is mitigated by the age/gender 227 interaction which reduces the risk for a male patient according to his age, where the older a 228 male patient is, the lower his PCR risk is compared to that of a female patient of the same age 229 (Figure 1). Certain identified risk factors such as systemic diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, small 230 pupil and previous vitrectomy were more prevalent in male than female patients 231 (Supplementary Table).

232

The underlying risk of PCR for preoperative VA follows a near linear progression where worse levels of VA have a higher risk of PCR (Figure 2). For ACD, the underlying risk of PCR is higher for eyes with a shallow AC (<2.2mm) (Figure 3). For the continuous covariates, interpretation of the odds ratios is such that a one-unit change alters the odds by the percentage the ratio implies. For example, each one-year increase in age leads to a 1.5% increase in the age odds whereas each 1.00 LogMAR increase leads to a 51.0% increase in the VA odds (0.10 LogMAR increase leads to a 5.1% increase in the VA odds).

240

241

242 Risk of PCR examples

243 An example for first eve surgery performed by a consultant surgeon on an 80-year-old female 244 patient who can lie flat and cooperate with systemic diabetes, median ACD of 3.08mm, median 245 preoperative VA of 0.50 LogMAR, a large pupil, no previous intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy, 246 and none of the ocular comorbidities, the estimated probability of PCR is 0.77%. If the surgery 247 had been performed by a less experienced trainee the estimated probability is 2.82%. For a 248 male patient with the same age and ocular characteristics, the estimated probability of PCR is 249 0.82% when surgery was performed by a consultant surgeon, and 3.02% when performed by 250 a less experienced trainee surgeon. Conversely, the estimated probability of PCR is 0.42% 251 for a 40-year-old female patient operated on by a consultant, compared to 0.76% for a 40-252 year-old male patient with the same ocular characteristics.

253

254 Discussion

255

256 The current analysis covers the 2016-2021 NHS years and includes the largest UK national 257 sample size to date. The selected interval coincides with the period operations have been 258 performed with access to annual national benchmarks published by the RCOphth NOD, 259 increasing use of surgical simulators in training and growing provision of surgery by the 260 independent sector. In addition to providing an update to the current statistical model for case 261 complexity adjustment, the current analysis has confirmed previously known risk factors for 262 PCR (advancing age, trainee surgeon, male gender, inability to lie flat and cooperate, systemic diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, smaller pupil size, mature cataract, glaucoma and 263 pseudoexfoliation / phacodonesis), corroborated more recently reported risk factors (previous 264 intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy^{23,27,28} and worse preoperative VA²⁴) and quantified the impact 265 of additional risk factors (high myopia, previous vitrectomy, shallow ACD and amblyopia). 266

267

268 Of particular note compared to the previous UK Cataract National Dataset analysis, the

269 elevated odds ratio for PCR observed for younger male patients was found to be one of the 270 highest with an adjusted odds ratio of 3.05, however the age/gender interaction term 271 diminishes this ratio in older patients such that there is parity of risk between the genders by 272 age 85, and above 90 years of age females are seen to be at a higher risk of PCR (Figure 1). 273 The inclusion of the interaction term is an attempt to account for the differences across the 274 age range, and assessment of different risks between male and female patients has to be 275 considered in relation to the patient's age. The reasons for these differences are likely to be 276 complex and postulated contributing factors may include greater lifetime accumulation of ocular trauma²⁹ and less willingness to engage with healthcare services³⁰ in younger males. 277 278 Some of the identified risk factors in this analysis were also more prevalent in male than female 279 patients, although the converse is seen for other risk factors, consequently these differences 280 cannot account for all of the observed higher risk for male gender (Supplementary Table).

281

282 Our finding of prior intravitreal anti-VEGF injections being associated with increased risk of PCR is consistent with previous studies^{23,27,28}. There is currently evidence to suggest that the 283 284 risk of PCR is dose-dependent and higher for eyes that have received >10 injections^{23,28}. 285 However, in our analysis, we were not able to specifically look at the number of prior intravitreal 286 injections so there may be some underestimation of effect. We also found preoperative high 287 myopia to be a significant risk factor for PCR; this is somewhat expected based on prior studies 288 ^{31,32}. One theorised mechanism for this is the longer AL inherent in these eyes which may 289 make surgery more challenging. However, previous analyses have found no significant 290 association between AL and risk of PCR^{2,8}, which would suggest other possible contributory 291 mechanisms in high myopia such as higher cataract density³³.

292

Previous studies on the risk of intraoperative complications in eyes with prior pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) have reported mixed findings^{24,34}. We found a significantly higher rate of PCR in eyes with previous PPV which could be conferred by altered fluid dynamics³⁵, unstable posterior capsules³⁶ or posterior lenticular touch during vitrectomy³⁷. The pathophysiology

underlying the increased risk of PCR in vitrectomised eyes may also have some overlap with
that of high myopia given the strong correlation between the two³⁸. Similar to previous PPV,
there is currently no consensus on whether shallow ACD increases the risk of PCR^{39–41}. We
found that a shallow ACD of <2.2mm was associated with a significant increase in PCR rates.
One possible explanation for this may be that iris prolapse is more common so surgeons make
longer tunnels, increasing corneal distortion and impairing visualisation, or more directly
increasing proximity of instruments to the posterior capsule in a shallow anterior chamber.

304

In contrast to the recent European registry analysis which found corneal opacities to be the most significantly associated risk factor for PCR²⁴, we found a protective effect of corneal pathology against PCR. The interpretation of this discrepancy is made difficult by the heterogeneity in definition of "corneal opacities" and "corneal pathology" which includes a broad spectrum of phenotype. Nonetheless, one possible explanation for the protective effect of corneal pathology is that there might be a lower threshold for earlier (and hence easier) cataract surgery in affected eyes due to their worse baseline vision.

312

313 This study has several limitations. In this analysis, 40.8% patients had bilateral cataract 314 surgery which can introduce patient level correlation impacting on statistical comparisons. 315 Attempting to account for potential patient level correlation was not part of the model fitting, 316 instead cluster adjustment for robust standard errors focused on the operating surgeon, by 317 considering their operations in different centres and for different surgeon grades as separate 318 clusters. This attempted to account for surgeons with different responsibilities and experience 319 over the study period, and them working with different theatre teams and in different hospitals. 320 The decision was made to consider surgeons as clusters instead of patients, as patients will 321 have at most two operations, whereas surgeons can operate on thousands of patients. 322 Furthermore, some ocular diseases can develop as bilateral disease, and some are linked to 323 age and be more prevalent in second treated eyes.

324

325 It is possible that not all recorded first treated eye operations were patients' actual first eye 326 surgery, as patients could have their first eye surgery prior to a centre adopting an EMR, or 327 performed in a different centre, and at present the audit cannot link patients' data if collected 328 at different centres. It was also not possible to separate pseudoexfoliation and phacodonesis 329 to fit as individual terms in the modelling due to the current option to record both as a combined 330 term on the EMR systems. Potentially influencing risk factors that were not considered were 331 AL and social deprivation. AL was not considered as it is correlated with ACD and previous 332 studies have shown that AL is not a significant influencer for PCR risk^{2,8}. Social deprivation 333 was not considered because the audit did not receive this information from all contributing 334 centres due to the differing data collection systems used, and that there are different indices 335 for the different UK nations.

336

The PCR risk factor model was not a perfect fit, the area under the operating receiver curve value of 70.0% implies there is unaccounted for variation, and the number of significant covariates is a concern regarding possible overfitting, although this is also a reflection of the various clinical factors that can influence the risk of PCR and is linked to a large sample with many covariates having low event rates. Consequently, the interpretation of p-values require caution as they are likely to be too low, especially for covariates with extremely low event rates.

344

In summary, this analysis provides an update to the current risk adjustment model for PCR with the quantification of additional risk factors. This will facilitate a bespoke, contemporary risk assessment tailored to an individual patient's operation, thereby allowing more informed patient counselling, appropriate case allocation and adoption of precautionary measures to minimise the risk of PCR during surgery.

350

Acknowledgements

351

We acknowledge with thanks the contribution of Professor John Sparrow who provided diligent clinical and academic oversight and leadership of the RCOphth NOD over many years to bring it to its current stature. It is with gratitude that we remember our friend and colleague Robert Johnston, who sadly died in September 2016. Without his inspirational vision, determination and career long commitment to quality improvement in ophthalmology, this work would not have been possible.

358

We acknowledge the support of the hospitals that participated in this National Ophthalmology Database Audit study and thank our medical and non-medical colleagues for the considerable time and effort devoted to conscientious electronic data collection as they go about caring for their patients.

363

The full list of the 136 participating centres included in this study is detailed in SupplementaryFile.

366

367 **Conflict of Interest:** All authors declare no conflict of interest.

368

Funding: The RCOphth National Ophthalmology Database Cataract Audit is currently funded
 through participation fees from centres as well as unrestricted financial contributions from
 Alcon and Bausch and Lomb. This research was supported by the NIHR Moorfields Clinical
 Research Facility and NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital and UCL
 Institute of Ophthalmology.

374

375 Author Contribution

PYS, PHJD, ACD and JCB conceptualised the study. PHJD prepared the study data andperformed the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to interpretation of the results. PYS

- and PHD wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to critical revision of
- the manuscript and approved the final manuscript. JCB is the overall content guarantor.

380 References

381

1. Day AC, Donachie PHJ, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists'
National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: report 1, visual outcomes and
complications. Eye. 2015; 29(4): 552–560.

2. Day AC, Donachie PHJ, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists'
National Ophthalmology Database Study of cataract surgery: report 2, relationships of axial
length with ocular copathology, preoperative visual acuity, and posterior capsule rupture. Eye.
2015; 29(12): 1528–1537.

389 3. Day AC, Donachie PHJ, Sparrow JM, Johnston RL. United Kingdom National
 390 Ophthalmology Database Study of Cataract Surgery: Report 3: Pseudophakic Retinal
 391 Detachment. Ophthalmology. 2016; 123(8): 1711–1715.

4. Johnston RL, Day AC, Donachie PHJ, Sparrow JM. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists'
National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: report 4, equity of access to
cataract surgery. Eye. 2020; 34(3): 530–536.

5. Theodoropoulou S, Grzeda MT, Donachie PHJ, Johnston RL, Sparrow JM, Tole DM. The
Royal College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database Study of cataract
surgery. Report 5: Clinical outcome and risk factors for posterior capsule rupture and visual
acuity loss following cataract surgery in patients aged 90 years and old. Eye. 2019; 33(7):
1161–1170.

6. Ferris JD, Donachie PH, Johnston RL, Barnes B, Olaitan M, Sparrow JM. Royal College of
Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: report 6. The
impact of EyeSi virtual reality training on complications rates of cataract surgery performed by
first and second year trainees. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 2020; 104(3): 324–329.

7. Buchan JC, Donachie PHJ, Cassels-Brown A, Liu C, Pyott A, Yip JLY, et al. The Royal
College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery:
Report 7, immediate sequential bilateral cataract surgery in the UK: Current practice and
patient selection. Eye. 2020; 34(10): 1866–1874.

8. Day AC, Norridge CFE, Donachie PHJ, Barnes B, Sparrow JM. Royal College of
Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: report 8,
cohort analysis of the relationship between intraoperative complications of cataract surgery
and axial length. BMJ Open. 2022; 12(8): e053560.

9. Donachie PHJ, Barnes BL, Olaitan M, Sparrow JM, Buchan JC. The Royal College of
Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: Report 9, Risk
factors for posterior capsule opacification. Eve. 2023; 37: 1633–1639.

415 10. Low L, Shah V, Norridge CFE, Donachie PHJ, Buchan JC. RCOphth NOD, Report 10:
416 Risk factors for post-cataract surgery endophthalmitis. Ophthalmology. 2023; 130(11): 1228417 1230.

11. Neo YN, Gruszka-Goh MH, Braga AJ, de Klerk TA, Lindfield D, Nestel A, et al. The Royal
College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database Study of Cataract Surgery:
report 11, Techniques and Complications of Local Anaesthesia for Cataract Surgery in the
United Kingdom. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2023; 49(12): 1216-1222.

12. Stewart S, Gruszka-Goh MH, Neo YN, Braga AJ, de Klerk TA, Lindfield D, et al. The Royal
College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database Study of Cataract Surgery:
Report 12, Risk factors for suprachoroidal haemorrhage during cataract surgery. Eye. 2023;
37(9): 1778–1787.

13. Ting DSJ, Tatham AJ, Donachie PHJ, Buchan JC. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists'
National Ophthalmology Database study of cataract surgery: report 16, influence of
remuneration model on choice of intraocular lens in the UK. Eye. 2023; 37(18): 3854-3860.

14. Sparrow JM, Taylor H, Qureshi K, Smith R, Birnie K, Johnston RL, et al. The Cataract
National Dataset electronic multi-centre audit of 55 567 operations: risk indicators for
monocular visual acuity outcomes. Eye. 2012; 26(6): 821–826.

432 15. Chu CJ, Johnston RL, Buscombe C, Sallam AB, Mohamed Q, Yang YC. Risk Factors and
433 Incidence of Macular Edema after Cataract Surgery: A Database Study of 81984 Eyes.
434 Ophthalmology. 2016; 123(2): 316–323.

435 16. Qatarneh D, Mathew RG, Palmer S, Bunce C, Tuft S. The economic cost of posterior

436 capsule tear at cataract surgery. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 2012; 96(1): 114–117.

437 17. Ryburn C, Patnaik JL, Miller DC, Alexander J, Lynch AM, Davidson RS, et al. What Is the
438 Cost of a Posterior Capsule Rupture Complication? Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers Imaging

439 Retin. 2020; 51(8): 444–447.

18. Narendran N, Jaycock P, Johnston RL, Taylor H, Adams M, Tole DM, et al. The Cataract
National Dataset electronic multicentre audit of 55 567 operations: risk stratification for
posterior capsule rupture and vitreous loss. Eye. 2009; 23(1): 31–37.

443 19. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists. National Ophthalmology Database Audit: Year 1

444 Annual Report - Piloting of the National Ophthalmology Database Audit Methodology. 2016.

445 Available at: https://nodaudit.org.uk/sites/default/files/2022446 06/Annual%20Report%202016%20%20Year%201%20Piloting%20of%20the%20National%2

447 00phthalmology%20Database.pdf

20. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists. National Ophthalmology Database Audit: Seventh
Annual Report of the National Cataract Audit. 2024. Available at:
https://nodaudit.org.uk/sites/default/files/2024-

451 05/NOD%20Cataract%20Audit%207th%20Annual%20Report%202024_1.pdf

452 21. Maubon LG, Ursell PG. Reduced posterior capsular rupture rate observed among trainee
453 surgeons utilizing a disposable silicone-tipped irrigation and aspiration handpiece for soft lens
454 removal. Expert Rev. Ophthalmol. 2018; 13(5): 293–297.

455 22. Lundström M, Dickman M, Henry Y, Manning S, Rosen P, Tassignon M-J, et al. Changing
456 practice patterns in European cataract surgery as reflected in the European Registry of Quality

- 457 Outcomes for Cataract and Refractive Surgery 2008 to 2017. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2021;
- 458 47(3): 373-378
- 459 23. Lee AY, Day AC, Egan C, Bailey C, Johnston RL, Tsaloumas MD, et al. Previous
 460 Intravitreal Therapy Is Associated with Increased Risk of Posterior Capsule Rupture during
 461 Cataract Surgery. Ophthalmology. 2016; 123(6): 1252–1256.
- 462 24. Segers MHM, Behndig A, van den Biggelaar FJHM, Brocato L, Henry YP, Nuijts RMMA,
- 463 et al. Risk factors for posterior capsule rupture in cataract surgery as reflected in the European

- 464 Registry of Quality Outcomes for Cataract and Refractive Surgery. J. Cataract Refract. Surg.
 465 2022; 48(1): 51-55.
- 25. Salowi MA, Chew FLM, Adnan TH, King C, Ismail M, Goh P-P. The Malaysian Cataract
 Surgery Registry: risk Indicators for posterior capsular rupture. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 2017;
 101(11): 1466–1470.
- 469 26. Moussa G, Bassilious K, Mathews N. A novel excel sheet conversion tool from Snellen
- 470 fraction to LogMAR including 'counting fingers', 'hand movement', 'light perception' and 'no
 471 light perception' and focused review of literature of low visual acuity reference values. Acta
 472 Ophthalmol. 2021; 99(6): e963–e965.
- 473 27. Shalchi Z, Okada M, Whiting C, Hamilton R. Risk of Posterior Capsule Rupture During
- 474 Cataract Surgery in Eyes With Previous Intravitreal Injections. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 2017; 177:
 475 77–80.
- 476 28. Nagar AM, Luis J, Kainth N, Panos GD, Mckechnie CJ, Patra S. The Risk of Posterior
 477 Capsule Rupture during Phacoemulsification Cataract Surgery in Eyes with Previous
 478 Intravitreal Anti Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Injections. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2020;
 479 46(2): 204-208.
- 29. Serna-Ojeda JC, Cordova-Cervantes J, Lopez-Salas M, Abdala-Figuerola AC, JimenezCorona A, Matiz-Moreno H, et al. Management of traumatic cataract in adults at a reference
 center in Mexico City. Int. Ophthalmol. 2015; 35(4): 451–458.
- 483 30. Wang Y, Hunt K, Nazareth I, Freemantle N, Petersen I. Do men consult less than women?
- 484 An analysis of routinely collected UK general practice data. BMJ Open. 2013; 3(8): e003320.
- 485 31. Zuberbuhler B, Seyedian M, Tuft S. Phacoemulsification in eyes with extreme axial
- 486 myopia. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2009; 35(2): 335-340.
- 487 32. Yao Y, Lu Q, Wei L, Cheng K, Lu Y, Zhu X. Efficacy and complications of cataract surgery
 488 in high myopia. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2021; 47(11): 1473-1480.
- 489 33. Praveen MR, Vasavada AR, Jani UD, Trivedi RH, Choudhary PK. Prevalence of Cataract
- 490 Type in Relation to Axial Length in Subjects with High Myopia and Emmetropia in an Indian
- 491 Population. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 2008; 145(1): 176-181.

- 492 34. Mudie LI, Patnaik JL, Lynch AM, Wise RE. Prior pars plana vitrectomy and its association
 493 with adverse intraoperative events during cataract surgery. Acta Ophthalmol. 2022; 100(2):
 494 e423–e429.
- 495 35. Ahfat FG, Yuen CHW, Groenewald CP. Phacoemulsification and intraocular lens 496 implantation following pars plana vitrectomy: a prospective study. Eye. 2003; 17(1): 16–20.
- 497 36. Lee JY, Kim K-H, Shin KH, Han DH, Lee DY, Nam DH. Comparison of intraoperative
- 498 complications of phacoemulsification between sequential and combined procedures of pars
 499 plana vitrectomy and cataract surgery. Retina. 2012; 32(10): 2026-2033.
- 500 37. Asaria RHY, Wong SC, Sullivan PM. Risk for posterior capsule rupture after vitreoretinal
- 501 surgery. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2006; 32(6): 1068-1069.
- 38. Coppola M, Rabiolo A, Cicinelli MV, Querques G, Bandello F. Vitrectomy in high myopia:
 a narrative review. Int. J. Retin. Vitr. 2017; 3(1): 37.
- 39. Chen M, LaMattina KC, Patrianakos T, Dwarakanathan S. Complication rate of posterior
 capsule rupture with vitreous loss during phacoemulsification at a Hawaiian cataract surgical
 center: A clinical audit. Clin. Ophthalmol. 2014; 8: 375–378.
- 40. Küchle M, Viestenz A, Martus P, Händel A, Jünemann A, Naumann GOH. Anterior
 chamber depth and complications during cataract surgery in eyes with pseudoexfoliation
 syndrome. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 2000; 129(3): 281–285.
- 41. Blomquist PH, Morales ME, Tong L, Ahn C. Risk factors for vitreous complications in resident-performed phacoemulsification surgery. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 2012; 38(2): 208-
- 512 214.

513 Table and Figure legends

514

515 Table 1

516 Univariate analysis for covariates under consideration for the PCR risk factor modelling. The 517 PCR rate for the continuous covariates is the overall PCR rate for the sample. N = 961 208

- 518 cataract operations performed in 136 centres by 3 198 surgeons.
- 519
- 520 Table 2

521 Final PCR risk factor model estimates. N = 961 208 cataract operations performed in 136 522 centres by 3 198 surgeons.

523

524 Figure 1

525 Observed PCR rates for patients' age and gender displayed in 5-year age bandings. N = 961 526 208 cataract operations performed in 136 centres by 3 198 surgeons. 95% confidence 527 intervals derived using the Fliess quadratic continuity correction. Estimates plotted at the 528 boundary -0.25 for females and +0.25 for males for display purposes.

529

530 Figure 2

531 Observed PCR rates for preoperative LogMAR visual acuity displayed in 0.10 LogMAR
532 bandings. N = 961 208 cataract operations performed in 136 centres by 3 198 surgeons. 95%
533 confidence intervals derived using the Fliess quadratic continuity correction.

534

535 Figure 3

536 Observed PCR rates for anterior chamber depth displayed in 0.1 mm increments. N = 961 208

537 cataract operations performed in 136 centres by 3 198 surgeons. 95% confidence intervals

538 derived using the Fliess quadratic continuity correction.

Table 1: Univariate analysis for covariates under consideration for the PCR risk factor modelling. The PCR rate for the continuous covariates is the overall PCR rate for the sample. $N = 961\ 208$ cataract operations performed in 136 centres by 3 198 surgeons.

PCR risk factor model covariates univariate analysis	No PCR	PCR	Total	PCR %	p-value	
Number of operations	951 478	9 730	961 208	1.01	N/A	
Surgical factors						
Surgeon grade						
Consultant surgeon	732 857	5 670	738 527	0.77		
Career grade non-consultant surgeon	56 908	721	57 629	1.25	.0.001	
More experienced trainee surgeon	138 728	2 724	141 452	1.93	<0.001	
Less experienced trainee surgeon	22 985	615	23 600	2.61		
Patient factors						
Age at surgery (year)						
Mean	74.52	75.00	74.52	1.01	-0.001	
Standard deviation	9.81	10.65	9.82	1.01	<0.001	
Gender						
Female	551 736	5 154	556 890	0.93	-0.001	
Male	399 742	4 576	404 318	1.13	<0.001	
Ability to lie flat and cooperate						
Yes	919 597	9 312	928 909	1.00	0.001	
No	31 881	418	32 299	1.29	<0.001	
Systemic diabetes						
No	807 527	7 577	815 104	0.93	.0.001	
Yes	143 951	2 153	146 104	1.47	<0.001	
Ocular factors						
First or second treated eye*						
First	563 894	6 160	570 054	1.08	.0.001	
Second	387 584	3 570	391 154	0.91	<0.001	
Previous intravitreal anti-VEGF						
therapy	000 404	0.000	000.000	0.00		
No	929 424	9 239	938 663	0.98	<0.001	
Yes	22 054	491	22 545	2.18		
	E4 000	000	50.007	4 = 0		
Small	51 309	928	52 237	1.78		
Medium	277 382	2 967	280 349	1.06	<0.001	
Large	595 129	5 533	600 662	0.92		
Not recorded	27 658	302	27 960	1.08		

Anterior chamber depth (mm)						
Mean	3.08	3.08	3.08	1.01	0.440	
Standard deviation	0.42	0.46	0.42	1.01	0.440	
Preoperative visual acuity (LogMAR)						
Mean	0.59	0.82	0.60	1 01	0.001	
Standard deviation	0.50	0.68	0.50	1.01	<0.001	
Ocular comorbidities						
Age-related macular degeneration						
No	853 235	8 632	861 867	1.00	0.002	
Yes	98 243	1 098	99 341	1.11	0.002	
Amblyopia						
No	936 791	9 502	946 293	1.00	-0.001	
Yes	14 687	228	14 915	1.53	<0.001	
Brunescent / white / mature cataract						
No	900 525	8 164	908 689	0.90	-0.001	
Yes	50 953	1 566	52 519	2.99	<0.001	
Corneal pathology						
No	882 269	9 209	891 478	1.03	~0.001	
Yes	69 209	521	69 730	0.75	<0.001	
Diabetic retinopathy						
No	902 058	8 864	910 922	0.97	~0.001	
Yes	49 420	866	50 286	1.72	<0.001	
Fuchs endothelial dystrophy						
No	949 186	9 716	958 902	1.01	0.052	
Yes	2 292	14	2 306	0.61	0.002	
Glaucoma						
No	864 599	8 147	872 746	0.93	~0.001	
Yes	86 879	1 583	88 462	1.79	20.001	
High myopia						
No	920 242	9 310	929 552	1.00	<0.001	
Yes	31 236	420	31 656	1.33		
Inherited eye disease						
No	945 734	9 687	955 421	1.01	0.040	
Yes	5 744	43	5 787	0.74	0.040	
No fundal view / vitreous opacity						
No	925 708	9 096	934 804	0.97	<0.001	
Yes	25 770	634	26 404	2.40		
Oculomotor disease						
No	949 782	9 705	959 487	1.01	0.068	
Yes	1 696	25	1 721	1.45		

Other macular pathology						
No	914 577	9 354	923 931	1.01	0.042	
Yes	36 901	376	37 277	1.01	0.943	
Other retinal vascular pathology						
No	942 729	9 583	952 312	1.01	<0.001	
Yes	8 749	147	8 896	1.65	<0.001	
Previous trabeculectomy						
No	948 226	9 678	957 904	1.01	0.001	
Yes	3 252	52	3 304	1.57	0.001	
Previous vitrectomy						
No	936 330	9 473	945 803	1.00	<0.001	
Yes	15 148	257	15 405	1.67	<0.001	
Pseudoexfoliation / phacodonesis						
No	943 449	9 322	952 771	0.98	~0.001	
Yes	8 029	408	8 437	4.84	<0.001	
Uveitis / synechiae						
No	945 760	9 647	955 407	1.01	0.001	
Yes	5 718	83	5 801	1.43	0.001	

*First treated eye surgery includes both eyes from ISBCS patients

Table 2: Final PCR risk factor model estimates. N = 961 208 cataract operations performed in136 centres by 3 198 surgeons.

PCR risk factor model covariates	Odds ratio	Coefficient	Standard error	p-value	95% confidence interval for odds ratio
Constant	0.002	-6.391	<0.001	<0.001	0.001 to 0.002
Surgical factors					
Surgeon grade					
Consultant surgeon	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Career grade non-consultant surgeon	1.586	0.461	0.164	<0.001	1.294 to 1.943
More experienced trainee surgeon	2.484	0.910	0.100	<0.001	2.296 to 2.688
Less experienced trainee surgeon	3.754	1.323	0.231	<0.001	3.328 to 4.235
Patient factors					
Age at surgery (year)	1.015	0.015	0.002	<0.001	1.012 to 1.018
Gender					
Female	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	3.046	1.114	0.485	<0.001	2.229 to 4.162
Gender and age interaction*	0.987	-0.013	0.002	<0.001	0.983 to 0.991
Ability to lie flat and cooperate					
Yes	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A
No	1.186	0.171	0.063	0.001	1.069 to 1.317
Systemic diabetes					
No	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yes	1.239	0.215	0.043	<0.001	1.157 to 1.327
Ocular factors					
First or second treated eye					
First	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Second	0.904	-0.101	0.019	<0.001	0.868 to 0.942
Previous intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy					
No	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yes	1.427	0.356	0.075	<0.001	1.287 to 1.582
Pupil size					
Small	REF	REF	N/A	N/A	N/A

Medium	0.655	-0.424	0.032	<0.001	0.596 to 0.720
Large	0.648	-0.434	0.027	<0.001	0.596 to 0.703
Not recorded	0.657	-0.420	0.059	<0.001	0.550 to 0.783
Anterior chamber depth (mm)	1.113	0.107	0.033	<0.001	1.051 to 1.178
Preoperative visual acuity (LogMAR)	1.510	0.412	0.028	<0.001	1.457 to 1.566
Ocular comorbidities**					
Amblyopia	1.205	0.187	0.083	0.007	1.052 to 1.380
Brunescent / white / mature cataract	2.409	0.879	0.092	<0.001	2.236 to 2.595
Diabetic retinopathy	1.176	0.162	0.058	0.001	1.068 to 1.294
Glaucoma	1.713	0.538	0.074	<0.001	1.574 to 1.863
High myopia	1.395	0.333	0.075	<0.001	1.256 to 1.550
Previous vitrectomy	1.241	0.216	0.084	0.001	1.086 to 1.417
Pseudoexfoliation / phacodonesis	3.466	1.243	0.228	<0.001	3.048 to 3.942

*For the gender and age interaction, the reference would be female patients

**For all ocular comorbidities, the reference category is absence of the condition (i.e., eyes

without the condition)





