

LSHTM Research Online

Costello, Ruth E; Humphreys, Jenny H; Winthrop, Kevin L; Dixon, William G; (2020) Pneumonia vaccination timing in relation to starting conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 79 (12). pp. 1665-1666. ISSN 0003-4967 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217255

Downloaded from: https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/4673647/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217255

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license. To note, 3rd party material is not necessarily covered under this license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Overall Under 65 years 65 years and over Year csDMARDs started

Figure 1 Timing of vaccination in relation to starting csDMARDs by year, overall and stratified by age. csDMARD, conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug.

months prior to, or anytime after, RA diagnosis and (3) have received a pneumococcal vaccination up to a maximum of 5 years prior to, or anytime after, starting csDMARDs. For each

patient, it was determined if vaccination was prior to starting

csDMARDs.

Of 21461 patients with RA who started csDMARDs within the study window, 8205 (38.2%) were vaccinated and met the inclusion criteria. Nearly half (44.3%, n=3633) were age ≥ 65 years, 66.4% (n=5445) were female and 26.7%(n=2188) had a diagnosis for another disease where vaccination is also recommended. Overall, 2997 (36.5%) patients were vaccinated prior to starting csDMARDs. When stratified by age, of those vaccinated prior to starting csDMARDs, 88% (n=1911/2170) of those age ≥ 65 years and 72% (n=596/827)of those <65 years, were vaccinated prior to RA diagnosis. The frequency of vaccination was higher in the first year after starting csDMARDs, with 1779 (21.7%) vaccinated compared to 833 (10.2%) in the year preceding (online supplementary figure 1). However, 1000 (12.2%) were vaccinated >3 years prior, and 1844 (22.5%) were vaccinated >3 years after starting csDMARDs. By calendar year, the proportion vaccinated prior to starting csDMARDs increased over time, with the greatest increases seen between 2003 and 2007 where the proportion increased from 18% to 47%. When stratified by age (65 years or over, when UK guidelines recommend vaccinating everyone against pneumococcus), the proportions vaccinated prior to starting csDMARDs were higher overall in the ≥65 years old age group. In those <65 years, the proportions rose more steadily over time from 13.0% in 2000 to 29.2% in 2015 (figure 1).

This study has shown that, of patients with RA who received pneumococcal vaccinations, only around one-third of vaccinations occurred prior to starting csDMARDs. This is similar to a study in the USA where 41% were vaccinated prior to starting csDMARDs.6 There was evidence that commencement of csDMARDs prompts vaccination, however the peak in vaccination was in the year after starting csDMARDs. Vaccination prior to starting csDMARDs has increased through time with greater increases seen in those aged ≥65 years. A marked increase followed the 2003 change in national guidelines recommending all adults≥65 years should receive a pneumococcal vaccination. Indeed, most patients ≥65 years old vaccinated prior to starting csDMARDs were vaccinated prior to RA diagnosis. In those <65 years old, there were still increases over time, which was positive. Encouragingly, overall, there was also a steady increase from 2011, when BSR vaccination

Pneumonia vaccination timing in relation to starting conventional synthetic diseasemodifying antirheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis

Patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) are at increased risk of infections, and pneumococcal vaccination is recommended. With some evidence that pneumococcal vaccinations are not as effective when administered after starting disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), in particular methotrexate, guidance on when best to vaccinate, in relation to DMARDs, has become more consistent in recent years. Early European League Against Rheumatism guidelines (2011) only referred to B-cell depleting biological DMARDs, but more recent guidelines (2019)² recommend vaccination prior to commencement of all DMARD types. Since 2011, British Society for Rheumatology (BSR) guidance advises vaccination prior to starting any DMARD.^{3 4} The aims of this study were to explore the timing of pneumococcal vaccination in patients with RA in relation to starting conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs) and examine whether this has changed over time.

This was a cross-sectional study using data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink GOLD (UK primary care electronic health records). The study period was from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2018. To be included, patients were required to (1) have a diagnosis of RA identified using a validated algorithm⁵; (2) be prescribed csDMARDs up to a maximum of 3

Letters

guidelines were published.³ Although the proportion decreases in 2018, further data are required to determine if this is a long-term trend. Given recent guidelines, we encourage rheumatologists to promote awareness of the importance of vaccinations prior to csDMARD initiation through timely communications to patients and primary care physicians.

Ruth E Costello $^{\circ}$, 1 Jenny H Humphreys $^{\circ}$, 1 Kevin L Winthrop $^{\circ}$, 2 William G Dixon $^{\circ}$ 1,3

¹Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis, The University of Manchester, Manchester,

²Division of Infectious Diseases, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

³Department of Rheumatology, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK

Correspondence to Mrs Ruth E Costello, Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK; ruth.costello@manchester.ac.uk

Handling editor Josef S Smolen

Twitter William G Dixon @WGDixon

Contributors All authors made substantial contributions to the design and interpretation of the data. REC made substantial contributions to the analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, gave final approval of the version published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work were appropriately investigated and resolved.

Funding This work was supported by the Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis (Grant number 21755) and supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre

Competing interests WGD has received consultancy fees from Bayer, Abbvie and Google unrelated to this work. All other authors have no conflicts of interest.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

▶ Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217255).



To cite Costello RE, Humphreys JH, Winthrop KL, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:1665–1666.

Received 28 February 2020 Revised 20 April 2020 Accepted 3 June 2020 Published Online First 26 June 2020

Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:1665-1666. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217255

ORCID iDs

Ruth E Costello http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2709-6666
Jenny H Humphreys http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2497-4080
Kevin L Winthrop http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3892-6947
William G Dixon http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5881-4857

REFERENCES

- 1 Subesinghe S, Bechman K, Rutherford AI, et al. A systematic review and Metaanalysis of antirheumatic drugs and vaccine immunogenicity in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2018:45:733–44
- 2 Furer V, Rondaan C, Heijstek MW, et al. 2019 update of EULAR recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:39–52.
- 3 BSR statement on vaccination in adult patients with rheumatic diseases 2011.
- 4 Ledingham J, Gullick N, Irving K, et al. BSR and BHPR guideline for the prescription and monitoring of non-biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs. Rheumatology
- 5 Thomas SL, Edwards CJ, Smeeth L, et al. How accurate are diagnoses for rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile idiopathic arthritis in the general practice research database? Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:1314–21.
- 6 Desai SP, Turchin A, Szent-Gyorgyi LE, et al. Routinely measuring and reporting pneumococcal vaccination among immunosuppressed rheumatology outpatients: the first step in improving quality. Rheumatology 2011;50:366–72.