

1 **Commentary**

2 **Title:** Safe in Pregnancy: A Global Living Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of COVID-19  
3 Vaccines in Pregnancy.

4 **Authors:** Pierre Buekens,<sup>a</sup> Mabel Berrueta,<sup>b</sup> Agustín Ciapponi<sup>b,c</sup> Ariel Bardach,<sup>b,c</sup> Agustina  
5 Mazzoni,<sup>b</sup> Federico Rodriguez-Cairolí,<sup>b</sup> Sami L. Gottlieb,<sup>d</sup> Beate Kampmann,<sup>e,f</sup> Edward P. K.  
6 Parker,<sup>e</sup> Xu Xiong,<sup>a</sup> Sabra Zaraq,<sup>g</sup> Andy Stergachis.<sup>g,h</sup>

7 **Affiliations:**

8 <sup>a</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Tulane School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New  
9 Orleans, Louisiana, USA

10 <sup>b</sup> Instituto de Efectividad Clínica y Sanitaria, Buenos Aires, Argentina

11 <sup>c</sup> Center for Research in Epidemiology and Public Health, CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

12 <sup>d</sup> Department of Sexual and Reproductive Health, World Health Organization, Geneva,  
13 Switzerland

14 <sup>e</sup> Vaccine Centre, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene &  
15 Tropical Medicine, London, UK

16 <sup>f</sup> Charite Centre for Global Health, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

17 <sup>g</sup> Comparative Health Outcomes, Policy, Economics (CHOICE) Institute, School of Pharmacy,  
18 University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

19 <sup>h</sup> Department of Global Health, School of Public Health, University of Washington, Seattle,  
20 Washington, USA

21 **Corresponding Author:**

22 Pierre Buekens, MD  
23 W. H. Watkins Professor of Epidemiology  
24 School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University  
25 1440 Canal St., Suite 2001, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA  
26 Email: [pbuekens@tulane.edu](mailto:pbuekens@tulane.edu)

27

28

29 **Word Count:** 619

30 **Authors' Contributions:** All authors participated equally in the conceptualization. PB and AS  
31 wrote the initial draft. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript. MB and AC accessed and  
32 verified the underlying data reported in the manuscript.

33 **Funding Sources:** Funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (INV-008443). The funding  
34 source had no involvement in the study design, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of  
35 data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit for publication.

36 **Acknowledgements:** We thank Ros Hollingsworth for her support.

37

38 Pregnant persons are a high priority-use group for COVID-19 vaccination, given their higher risk  
39 of maternal and perinatal complications and death following COVID-19 infections in  
40 pregnancy.(1, 2) However, global coverage of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy remains low  
41 and several countries still do not recommend the vaccines in pregnancy.(3,4) One of the  
42 challenges for policymakers and clinicians is access to the rapidly growing literature on COVID-  
43 19 vaccines in a user-friendly, synthesized format from trustworthy sources. Living systematic  
44 reviews continuously update the relevant literature, incorporating new evidence as it becomes  
45 available and making the evidence more accessible to decision makers. We are conducting a  
46 living systematic review and meta-analysis of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy, which assesses  
47 vaccine safety, immunogenicity, efficacy and effectiveness in pregnant persons and their infants  
48 (PROSPERO ID=CRD42021281290) via an interactive online database  
49 (<https://safeinpregnancy.org/lsr/>).(5, 6) This project is supported by a diverse, expert Scientific  
50 and Technical Advisory Group (STAG).(6) We presently report data on more than half a million  
51 vaccinated pregnant persons across 26 countries. The database is updated every two weeks to  
52 provide rapid access to up-to-date information.

53

54 As of May 1, 2023, our living systematic review identified 6,748 potentially eligible published  
55 articles and pre-prints. Of these, 138 studies including 519,741 observations of vaccinated  
56 pregnant persons are included in the online database. All included studies to date are  
57 observational designs, of which 84 were comparative cohort studies. Most of the articles  
58 (N=123) reported data on mRNA vaccines. Only 19 (13.7%) studies included data from low- and  
59 middle-income countries (LMICs) (Figure 1). The web-based interface yields automated meta-  
60 analyses of outcomes of interest by user-selected subgroups. To obtain the best available

61 estimations for the meta-analyses only papers that reported adjusted effect measures comparing  
62 pregnant persons vaccinated against COVID-19 during pregnancy with never-vaccinated  
63 pregnant persons in the same setting are included. Among 138 studies, 32 studies with 244,683  
64 pregnant persons vaccinated were eligible for meta-analysis through May 1, 2023.

65  
66 We found that for vaccinated pregnant persons and their infants, there was no association  
67 between vaccination during pregnancy and increased risk of adverse events of special interest in  
68 pregnancy and infants (e.g., spontaneous abortion, cesarean section, instrumental delivery,  
69 hypertensive disorders, congenital malformations, preterm birth, low Apgar score, neonatal  
70 intensive care unit admission, stillbirth, and neonatal death). This confirms our earlier  
71 systematic review.(7) The vaccine effectiveness (VE) of the primary series complete schedule  
72 for maternal hospital admission due to COVID-19 was 89% (95% confidence interval (CI) 43-  
73 98%) for the pre-Omicron period, 98% (95% CI 96-99%) for Delta variant and 77% (95% CI 26-  
74 93%) for the Omicron variant. The VE of a booster dose for the same outcome was 97% (95%  
75 CI 81-100%) for Delta variant and 76% (95% CI 28-92%) for the Omicron variant.

76 Rapidly available, up-to-date information that can address critical ongoing issues is important for  
77 guiding policy decisions in the setting of an evolving pandemic. Our living systematic review  
78 includes only observational studies, as no randomized controlled trial assessing COVID-19  
79 vaccines during pregnancy has been completed to date. The exclusion of pregnant and lactating  
80 persons from initial COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials resulted in delayed access of this  
81 population to the vaccines after emergency use authorization was granted and contributed to the  
82 variability in policy recommendations.(8) Although observational studies are beginning to fill  
83 this evidence gap, the vast majority of available data are on mRNA vaccines. Data from other

84 COVID-19 vaccine types and from LMICs are urgently required to overcome challenges for  
85 policymakers. Despite these limitations, data through our living systematic review are now  
86 available for more than half a million vaccinated pregnant persons and show that COVID-19  
87 vaccines have a favorable safety and effectiveness profile in pregnancy. There is no time to lose  
88 to increase the global use of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy.

89

90 **Caption**

91 Figure 1: Countries participating in observational studies on COVID-19 vaccines and  
92 pregnancy.(6)

93

94

95

96 **References**

- 97 1. Ciapponi A, Bardach A, Comandé D, Berrueta M, Argento FJ, Rodriguez Cairoli F, et al.  
98 COVID-19 and pregnancy: An umbrella review of clinical presentation, vertical transmission, and  
99 maternal and perinatal outcomes. PLoS One. 2021;16(6):e0253974.
- 100 2. WHO. WHO SAGE Roadmap for prioritizing uses of COVID-19 vaccines Geneva, Switzerland:  
101 WHO; 2023 Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Vaccines-](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Vaccines-SAGE-Roadmap)  
102 [SAGE-Roadmap](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Vaccines-SAGE-Roadmap). Accessed July 7, 2023.
- 103 3. Lara Lona E, Magos Vázquez FJ, Paternina-Caicedo A, Buekens P. Letter to the editor: COVID-  
104 19 vaccination uptake among pregnant individuals in a middle-income setting. Int J Gynaecol Obstet.  
105 2023;160(1):351-2.
- 106 4. Covid-19 Maternal Immunization Tracker (COMIT). Available from:  
107 <https://www.comitglobal.org/>. Accessed July 7, 2023.
- 108 5. Ciapponi A, Berrueta M, Ballivian J, Bardach A, Mazzoni A, Anderson S, et al. Safety,  
109 immunogenicity, and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines for pregnant persons: A protocol for  
110 systematic review and meta analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2023;102(9):e32954.
- 111 6. COVID-19 Vaccines for Pregnant Persons: A Living Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis:  
112 Tulane University, IECS, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, University of Washington;  
113 2023 Available from: <https://www.safeinpregnancy.org/lsr/>. Accessed July 7, 2023.
- 114 7. Ciapponi A, Berrueta M, P K Parker E, Bardach A, Mazzoni A, Anderson SA, et al. Safety of  
115 COVID-19 vaccines during pregnancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Vaccine.  
116 2023;41(25):3688-3700.
- 117 8. Maykin MM, Heuser C, Feltovich H. Pregnant people deserve the protection offered by SARS-  
118 CoV-2 vaccines. Vaccine. 2021;39(2):171-2.

119