care, they will never take away our ability to approach each patient with compassion and kindness. They will never take away our ability to advocate for what is just. Disclosure forms provided by the authors

are available at NEJM.org.

From the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC. This article was published on September 16, 2023, at NEJM.org.

1. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. The safety and quality of abortion care in the United States. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2018.

2. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. 8th ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2019.

3. Foster DG. New abortion bans will increase existing health and economic dis-

parities. Am J Public Health 2022;112: 1276-7.

4. ACOG practice bulletin no. 135: second-trimester abortion. Obstet Gynecol 2013;121: 1394-406.

5. Garcia-Navarro L, Natt O, Corby R, et al. Save a life, or commit a felony? For one Tennessee doctor, the answer is often both. New York Times, April 6, 2023 (https://www .nytimes.com/2023/04/06/opinion/tennessee -doctor-abortion.html).

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2306450

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society.

Reducing the Risks of Nuclear War — The Role of Health Professionals

Kamran Abbasi, M.D., Parveen Ali, Ph.D., M.Sc.N., Virginia Barbour, M.B., B.Chir, D.Phil., Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo, Ph.D., M.D., Marcel GM Olde Rikkert, M.D., Ph.D., Andy Haines, F.Med.Sci., Ira Helfand, M.D., Richard Horton, M.B., Ch.B., Bob Mash, Ph.D., M.B., Ch.B., Arun Mitra, M.B., B.S., Carlos Monteiro, M.D., Ph.D., Elena N. Naumova, Ph.D., Eric J. Rubin, M.D., Ph.D., Tilman Ruff, M.B., B.S., Peush Sahni, Ph.D., D.N.B., James Tumwine, Ph.D., M.B., Ch.B., M.Med., Paul Yonga, M.B., Ch.B., M.S.P.H., and Chris Zielinski, M.Sc.

n January 2023, the Science Land Security Board of the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists moved the hands of the Doomsday Clock forward to 90 seconds before midnight, reflecting the growing risk of nuclear war.1 In August 2022, United Nations Secretary-General António Guterres warned that the world is now in "a time of nuclear danger not seen since the height of the Cold War."2 The danger has been underlined by growing tensions among many nuclear-armed states.^{1,3} As editors of health and medical journals worldwide, we call on health professionals to alert the public and our leaders to this major danger to public health and the essential life-support systems of the planet — and urge action to prevent it.

Current nuclear arms control and nonproliferation efforts are inadequate to protect the world's population against the threat of nuclear war by design, error, or miscalculation. The Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) commits each of the 190 participating nations "to pursue negotiations in good faith on effective measures relating to cessation of the nuclear arms race at an early date and to nuclear disarmament, and on a treaty on general and complete disarmament under strict and effective international control."4 Progress has been disappointingly slow, and the most recent NPT review conference in 2022 ended without an agreed statement.5 There are many examples of near disasters that have exposed the risks of depending on nuclear deterrence for the indefinite future.6 Modernization of nuclear arsenals could increase risks: for example, hypersonic missiles decrease the time available for distinguishing between an attack and a false alarm, increasing the likelihood of rapid escalation.

Any use of nuclear weapons would be catastrophic for humanity. Even a "limited" nuclear war involving only 250 of the 13,000 nuclear weapons in the world could kill 120 million people outright and cause global climate disruption leading to a nuclear famine, putting 2 billion

people at risk.7,8 A large-scale nuclear war between the United States and Russia could kill 200 million people or more in the near term and potentially cause a global "nuclear winter" that could kill 5 billion to 6 billion people, threatening the survival of humanity.^{7,8} Once a nuclear weapon is detonated, escalation to all-out nuclear war could occur rapidly. The prevention of any use of nuclear weapons is therefore an urgent public health priority, and fundamental steps must also be taken to address the root cause of the problem — by abolishing nuclear weapons.

The health community has played a crucial role in efforts to reduce the risk of nuclear war and must continue to do so in the future.⁹ In the 1980s, the efforts of health professionals, led by the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW), helped to end the Cold War arms race by educating policymakers and the public on both sides of the Iron Curtain about the medical consequences of nuclear war. This work was recog-

N ENGL J MED 389;12 NEJM.ORG SEPTEMBER 21, 2023

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at LONDON SCH HYGIENE & TROPICAL MED on September 25, 2023. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

nized when the 1985 Nobel Peace Prize was awarded to the IPPNW (http://www.ippnw.org).¹⁰

In 2007, the IPPNW launched the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons, which grew into a global civil society campaign with hundreds of partner organizations. A pathway to nuclear abolition was created with the adoption of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons in 2017, for which the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons was awarded the 2017 Nobel Peace Prize. International medical organizations, including the International Committee of the Red Cross, the IPPNW, the World Medical Association, the World Federation of Public Health Associations, and the International Council of Nurses, had key roles in the process leading up to the negotiations and in the negotiations themselves, presenting the scientific evidence about the catastrophic health and environmental consequences of nuclear weapons and nuclear war. They continued this important collaboration during the First Meeting of States Parties to the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, which currently has 92 signatories, including 68 member states.11

We now call on health professional associations to inform their members worldwide about the threat to human survival and to join with the IPPNW to support efforts to reduce the near-term risks of nuclear war, including three immediate steps on the part of nuclear-armed states and their allies: first, adopt a no-first-use policy¹²; second, take their nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert; and third, urge all states involved in current conflicts to pledge publicly and unequivocally that they will not use nuclear weapons in these conflicts. We further ask them to work for a definitive end to the nuclear threat by supporting the urgent commencement of negotiations among the nuclear-armed states for a verifiable, timebound agreement to eliminate their nuclear weapons in accordance with commitments in the NPT, opening the way for all nations to join the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.

The danger is great and growing. Nuclear-armed states must eliminate their nuclear arsenals before they eliminate us. The health community played a decisive part during the Cold War and, more recently, in the development of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. We must take up this challenge again as an urgent priority, working with renewed energy to reduce the risks of nuclear war and to eliminate nuclear weapons.

This comment is being published simultaneously in multiple journals. For the full list of journals, see https://www.bmj.com/ content/full-list-authors-and-signatories -nuclear-risk-editorial-august-2023.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available at NEJM.org.

Dr. Abbasi is editor-in-chief, British Medical Journal; Dr. Ali is editor-in-chief, International Nursing Review; Dr. Barbour is editorin-chief, Medical Journal of Australia; Dr. Bibbins-Domingo is editor-in-chief, JAMA; Dr. Olde Rikkert is editor-in-chief, Dutch Journal of Medicine; Dr. Haines is a professor of public health and primary care, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine; Drs. Helfand, Mitra, and Ruff are past presidents, International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War; Dr. Horton is editor-in-chief, The Lancet; Dr. Mash is editor-in-chief, African Journal of Primary Health Care & Family Medicine; Dr. Monteiro is editor-in-chief, Revista de Saúde Pública; Dr. Naumova is editor-in-chief, Journal of Public Health Policy; Dr. Rubin is editor-in-chief, New England Journal of Medicine; Dr. Sahni is editor-in-chief, National Medical Journal of India; Dr. Tumwine is editor-in-chief, African Health Sciences; Dr. Yonga is editor-inchief, East African Medical Journal; and Mr. Zielinski is a visiting fellow, University of Winchester, and vice president of the executive board, World Association of Medical Editors.

This article was published on September 16, 2023, at NEJM.org.

1. Science and Security Board. A time of unprecedented danger: it is 90 seconds to midnight. 2023 Doomsday Clock statement. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, January 24, 2023 (https://thebulletin.org/doomsday-clock/ current-time/).

2. United Nations. Future generations counting on our commitment to step back from abyss, lift cloud of nuclear annihilation for good, Secretary-General tells review conference. August 1, 2022 (https://press.un.org/en/2022/sgsm21394.doc.htm).

3. Tollefson J. Is nuclear war more likely after Russia's suspension of the New START treaty? Nature 2023;615:386.

United Nations. 2005 Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT), May 2–27, 2005, New York. May 2005 (https://www.un.org/en/conf/npt/2005/npttreaty.html).
 Mukhatzhanova G. 10th NPT Review Conference: why it was doomed and how it almost succeeded. Arms Control Association, October 2022 (https://www.armscontrol .org/act/2022-10/features/10th-npt-review -conference-why-doomed-almost-succeeded).

6. Lewis P, Pelopidas B, Williams H. Too close for comfort: cases of near nuclear use and options for policy. Chatham House, April 28, 2014 (https://www.chathamhouse .org/2014/04/too-close-comfort-cases-near -nuclear-use-and-options-policy).

7. Bivens M. Nuclear famine: even a "limited" nuclear war would cause abrupt climate disruption and global starvation. International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, August 2022 (https://www .jpnw.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/ ENGLISH-Nuclear-Famine-Report-Final-bleed

-marks.pdf).
8. Xia L, Robock A, Scherrer K, et al. Global food insecurity and famine from reduced crop, marine fishery and livestock production due to climate disruption from nuclear war soot injection. Nat Food 2022;3: 586-96.

9. Helfand I, Lewis P, Haines A. Reducing the risks of nuclear war to humanity. Lancet 2022;399:1097-8.

10. Nobel Prize Foundation. International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War — facts (https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/1985/physicians/facts/).

11. United Nations. Treaty on the prohibition of nuclear weapons (https://treaties .unoda.org/t/tpnw).

12. Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation. No first use: frequently asked questions (https://armscontrolcenter.org/issues/ no-first-use/no-first-use-frequently-asked -questions/).

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2308547

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society.

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at LONDON SCH HYGIENE & TROPICAL MED on September 25, 2023. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.