Grey, Kelsey, Ritu Rana, Marie McGrath, and Marko Kerac. 2023. "Key Considerations for Developing Patient Management Tools for Small and Nutritionally At-Risk Infants: A Scoping Review." *World Nutrition*, March, 58–75. https://doi.org/10.26596/wn.202314158-75.

#### Literature Review

### Key considerations for developing patient management tools for small and nutritionally at-risk infants: A scoping review

Kelsey Grey<sup>1a</sup>, Ritu Rana<sup>2,3</sup>, Marie McGrath<sup>1</sup>, Marko Kerac<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Emergency Nutrition Network, <sup>2</sup> Indian Institute of Public Health Gandhinagar, <sup>3</sup> GOAL, <sup>4</sup> London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keywords: Patient management tool, management of small and nutritionally at-risk infants under six months and their mothers, MAMI, integrated care pathways, malnutrition, infant

https://doi.org/10.26596/wn.202314158-75

### World Nutrition 2023;14(1):58-75

### Background

To improve global child health, efficacious interventions are important but real-world effectiveness is crucial; this requires translating technical guidance into frontline clinical and patient care practices. Patient management 'tools' are frequently used for this purpose, guiding healthcare workers to deliver quality care. Ahead of an update to a patient management tool for small and nutritionally at-risk infants under six months of age (C-MAMI Tool, V2), we reviewed how others have done this in the past. Our aim was to ensure an evidence-based development process to optimise future success and impact.

#### Methods

We investigated five patient management tools: Integrated Care Pathways (ICPs), Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI), Paediatric Early Warning Systems (PEWS), Growth Monitoring (GM), and Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment (ETAT). Searches were run in PubMed and examined evidence on the development, uptake, and effectiveness of these tools.

#### Results

The tools were developed between approximately 1960-2005, with ongoing development of electronic patient management tools (e-tools). IMCI and ETAT were the most widely used in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), but low coverage remains a major barrier to effectiveness. Growth monitoring is also widely used in LMICs but lacks strong evidence of effectiveness. Evidence on the use of e-tools for patient management in LMICs is growing. Whilst overall evidence for all these approaches was limited, the strongest evidence of effectiveness was for ICPs. Though evidence was sparse, formative work developing the tools prior to implementation seems important to their future success.

#### Conclusions

Informed by this review, the C-MAMI Tool was updated to the MAMI Care Pathway Package, using an ICP approach and modelled on IMCI. This living resource continues to evolve: aligning with and accounting for existing pathways and systems; baseline formative user-experience research; formal effectiveness research to actively plan for future scale up; collecting information on variance from and adaptations to the care pathway; possible future e-tools. An ICP approach is relevant to other child health and nutrition topics.

#### BACKGROUND

Globally, an estimated 8.5 million infants aged under six months (infants u6m) are wasted and many more are small and nutritionally at-risk as defined by other measures including low birth weight (LBW) and underweight (low weight-for-age; "MAMI Project Summary (Management of Small & Nutritionally At-Risk Infants Aged U6months and Their Mothers" 2022; Kerac et al. 2011). Despite associated short-term mortality risks as well as long term non-com-

a Corresponding author: Kelsey.grey@alumni.lshtm.ac.uk

municable disease risks of such early life malnutrition, infants u6m are often neglected in nutrition and health programming (Grijalva-Eternod et al. 2017; Grey et al. 2021; Kerac, Mwangome, McGrath, et al. 2015). Reasons for this include: assumptions that they are fully protected from severe malnutrition by exclusive breastfeeding (this ignores suboptimal rates of breastfeeding globally; Kerac, Mwangome, McGrath, et al. 2015; Victora et al. 2016); a lack of global guidance in the use of a simple, rapid, user-friendly assessment tools such as mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), which is widely used to identify malnutrition in children older than six months of age (Bliss et al. 2018); and the fact that their clinical management is more complex than that of older children with malnutrition (Kerac, Mwangome, McGrath, et al. 2015).

Since 2008, the management of small and nutritionally at-risk infants under six months and their mothers (MAMI) Global Network , an international collaboration of researchers, practitioners, and experts, has worked to build evidence, inform policies, and catalyse programming support for this vulnerable patient group (Kerac et al. 2011). In 2013, updated World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines on the management of severe malnutrition included, for the first time, a stand-alone chapter on infants u6m (WHO 2013a). However, whilst several strong recommendations were made, the underlying evidence-base was of low quality and country-level uptake has been poor (Kerac, Angood, McGrath, et al. 2017; Guyatt et al. 2008; Engl, Kerac, and London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine 2021). Reasons include a gap between high-level technical guidelines and frontline patient care, especially in resource-poor settings where nutrition and healthcare staff often work in difficult conditions and do not always have access to the materials and support that they need.

To help translate WHO guidance into effective frontline clinical and public health practice, the MAMI Global Network developed the 'Community Management of At-risk Mothers and Infants' patient assessment and management tool in 2015 (Version 1). Drawing on existing resources, most notably the format and approach of the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI), this checklistbased guide provided directions for frontline healthcare staff on how to assess, classify, and manage at-risk infants u6m and their mothers in community settings (Read 2017a; WHO 2018). Evaluations of programmes implementing MAMI Tool Version 1 in Bangladesh and Ethiopia, as well as collated practitioner experiences and peer review, provided valuable operational evidence and informed Version 2 of the MAMI Tool (2018; Butler, Connell, and Barthorp 2018; ENN 2018).

With growing interest in MAMI and in preparation for a randomised control trial (RCT) on MAMI in Ethiopia, a significant revision was undertaken in 2021 to produce Version 3. Ahead of this update, this scoping review was conducted to strengthen the evidence-base for the approach.

Learning from similar child health/nutrition programmes and patient management tools, our objectives were to:

- Identify key steps and procedures in their development
- Understand factors underpinning successful uptake and implementation
- Understand possible facilitators and barriers underlying their effectiveness

As well as ensuring that our own work is effective, welladapted to end users' needs, and has maximum potential for widespread scale-up and integration into existing health systems, we hope that this review will also inform those working on other patient management tools or care packages.

#### **METHODS**

To inform our approach to updating the MAMI Tool, we investigated existing case management tools across child nutrition and health including: Integrated Care Pathways (ICPs), Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI), Paediatric Early Warning Systems (PEWS), Growth Monitoring (GM), and Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment (ETAT). All are examples of high-profile tools with global reach and were identified a priori by a senior author (MK) who has experience using some of them in clinical practice.

We first conducted Google searches for each tool to identify key records (guidelines, reports, research articles) from which relevant search terms were compiled. Using these terms, we built separate search strategies for each tool to examine evidence on their development, uptake, and effectiveness. All searches were run in PubMed (Jan 2020). The results were synthesized narratively and presented under three themes: 1) development, 2) uptake, and 3) effectiveness. The search strategy used is presented in Annex 1.

#### RESULTS

We investigated five individual patient management tools and their respective electronic versions (e-tools) where available. These were developed between approximately 1960 – 2005, with ongoing development of new e-tools. Some are in widespread use globally (ICPs and GM), others are used primarily in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (IMCI and ETAT); others mostly in high-income countries (HICs) (PEWS and e-tools). Overall, evidence on their development and effectiveness was sparse. The subsequent section briefly describes the development, uptake, and effectiveness of each tool.

## TOOL 1: INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF CHILDHOOD ILLNESS (IMCI)

IMCI is an integrated approach to child health that aims to improve the accurate identification of common childhood illnesses in outpatient care, facilitate the referral of severely ill children, ensure appropriate treatment, and strengthen healthcare workers' skills for counselling caregivers in health facilities (WHO 2018).

### ASSESS, CLASSIFY AND IDENTIFY TREATMENT

RAPIDLY APPRAISE ALL WAITING INFANTS.

ASK THE MOTHER WHAT THE YOUNG INFANT'S PROBLEMS ARE.

- Determine whether this is an initial or follow-up visit for this problem.
- If a follow-up visit, use the follow-up instructions.
- If an initial visit, assess the young infant as follows: USE ALL BOXES THAT MATCH THE INFANT'S SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS TO CLASSIFY THE ILLNESS.

### CHECK FOR POSSIBLE SERIOUS BACTERIAL INFECTION, VERY SEVERE DISEASE, PNEUMONIA OR LOCAL BACTERIAL INFECTION.



MANAGEMENT OF THE SICK YOUNG INFANT AGED UP TO 2 MONTHS 1

# Figure 1. Example of the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) Chart Booklet for the management of the sick young infant aged up to 2 months.

Source: WHO IMCI Chart Booklet, 2019

Patient management guidelines are just one part of a wide set of IMCI materials. Through highlighting some of the commonest causes of childhood mortality (pneumonia, diarrhoea, malaria, measles, and undernutrition), these clinical guidelines recogise that children often present with multiple problems. The approach thus presents a simple step-by-step guide to interpreting key symptoms to make a diagnosis and offer appropriate treatment. It involves classification of signs and symptoms using a traffic light system, with pink, yellow, and green used to indicate high, moderate, and low risk, respectively (Figure 1). Separate charts are provided for infants under two months and over two months due to differences in common health problems between these age groups (WHO 2014).

#### DEVELOPMENT

IMCI was developed by the WHO and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) in the mid-1990s. The development process began with a technical review of existing programme guidelines to inform a draft IMCI guideline/ treatment algorithm. The treatment algorithm was then pilot tested and evaluated in six countries. The validity of the algorithm was assessed by comparing sensitivities and specificities for classification of illness by a trained health worker using the IMCI algorithm compared to diagnosis by a physician with laboratory and radiological support (Weber, Mulholland, Jaffar, et al. 1997; Perkins, Zucker, Otieno, et al. 1997).

#### UPTAKE

IMCI has been adopted by more than 100 countries but coverage remains a major barrier to achieving reduced mortality through IMCI (Chopra et al. 2012). Inadequate local adaptation of guidelines, insufficient trained staff, weak supervision and referral systems, and poor health service utilisation have limited the impact and uptake of IMCI (Chopra et al. 2012).

#### EFFECTIVENESS

The Multi-Country Evaluation (MCE) of IMCI was carried out between 1997-2005 to review IMCI performance in reducing mortality in at least one country in every WHO region to understand the intervention's efficacy, delivery quality, service utilisation, and achieved coverage (Chopra et al. 2012). The MCE showed that IMCI improved health worker performance and their quality of care, but also indicated that large-scale intervention coverage is vital for achieving a significant reduction in under five mortality (Bryce et al. 2005). More recent evidence from a 2016 Cochrane review of IMCI identified two cluster RCTs and two controlled before-after studies (Gera et al. 2016). Whilst estimating that IMCI could reduce mortality (RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.78 - 0.93; two trials, 65,570 participants; low-certainty evidence), the review also showed mixed effects on other key outcomes. For example, there was "little or no effect on wasting (RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.25; two trials, 4288 participants; moderate-certainty evidence)". Impact on exclusive breastfeeding and health-seeking behaviours was also mixed, and reviewers could not conclude about whether IMCI does or does not affect these.

#### TOOL 2: INTEGRATED CARE PATHWAYS (ICPS)

According to Campbell et al. (1998), "integrated care pathways —also known as coordinated care pathways, care maps, or anticipated recovery pathways—are task-oriented care plans which detail essential steps in the care of patients with a specific clinical problem and describe the patient's expected clinical course" (Figure 2; Campbell et al. 1998). ICPs offer a structured mechanism to translate national guidelines into local protocols that can be applied in clinical practice. The main features of ICPs are that they act as a multidisciplinary plan for and record of care; detail tasks, sequence, timescale, and contain a checklist of all necessary actions; provide an indication of the patient's expected condition over time; require minimal free text to complete; and are freely available to the patient.

#### DEVELOPMENT

The concept of ICPs in health services is rooted in management theories used in industry. Among these theories is the critical path method that became popular in the defence industry in the 1950s and provided a tool for planning, scheduling, and coordinating complex engineering-type projects. Other theories that contributed to the concept of ICPs include Lean Six Sigma, Business Process Redesign, and the Theory of Constraints (Schrijvers, Hoorn, and Huiskes 2012). These theories offer several general theoretical advantages to optimizing systems including: shortening the duration of processes; increasing coherence by analysing relationships and interactions between departments; reducing the likelihood of errors; standardizing processes; and avoiding duplication (Schrijvers, Hoorn, and Huiskes 2012).

According to Campbell et al. (1998), the development of ICPs in health services should involve thirteen steps: 1) selecting an important area of practice; 2) gathering support for the project; 3) forming a multidisciplinary group; 4) identifying existing guidelines; 5) reviewing past and present practice; 6) involving local staff; 7) identifying key areas for service development; 8) developing the ICP; 9) preparing documentation; 10) educating staff; 11) pilot testing; 12) regularly analyzing variances from the ICP and updating accordingly; 13) discussing variations and highlighting avoidable (vs. unavoidable) reasons for those (Campbell et al. 1998).

#### UPTAKE

ICPs were first introduced in the healthcare context in the 1980s in the United States and they are now used worldwide in different settings (Allen, Gillen, and Rixson 2009).

#### EFFECTIVENESS

A 2009 review reported ICPs could be effective in supporting proactive care management and ensuring timely assessments and relevant clinical interventions for patients (included 7 RCTs, HICs; Allen, Gillen, and Rixson 2009). A 2018 review reported similar findings: ICPs may reduce the average length of stay, increase cost effectiveness, and improve the quality of services (included 4 studies, HICs; Asmirajanti, Syuhaimie Hamid, and Hariyati 2018). We could not identify evidence on the use or effectiveness of ICPs in LMICs.

#### TOOL 3: GROWTH MONITORING (GM)

GM is a common practice in paediatric care around the world (de Onis, Wijnhoven, and Onyango 2004). The general concept is as follows: 1) health workers regularly measure children's height and weight; 2) these are plotted on a growth chart (Figure 3); 3) when growth is abnormal, potential underlying issues are investigated in consultation with the family; 4) thus, illness or growth faltering due to malnutrition can be identified early; and 5) the prognosis can be improved by early diagnosis (Garner 2000). GM charts are line graphs depicting growth data from a reference group that is selected to represent healthy child growth patterns over time based on various indices (e.g., weight-for-age or weight-for-height). The data are plotted as centiles or z-scores around a median to facilitate the comparison of a child's growth with that of healthy children (WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group 2006).

#### DEVELOPMENT

The development of GM has a long record. In the 1850s, regular weighing of infants was advocated by Guillot. By the mid-1920s, a nation-wide network of welfare centres for child weighing was organised in England, and in 1961, growth charts were recommended by a joint committee of FAO and WHO. Due to the pioneering work and advocacy of Dr. David Morley, by the 1970s, GM was being implemented in numerous LMICs. In the 1980s, UNICEF also started advocating for GM, and by 1996 growth charts were included in the WHO/UNICEF strategy for IMCI (Ashworth, Shrimpton, and Jamil 2008). In 2006, WHO published revised child growth standards, developed based on data from the WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study (MGRS) conducted from 1993 to 2003 to provide updated curves for assessing child growth and development. The MGRS included a longitudinal follow-up from birth to 24 months and a cross-sectional survey of children aged 18 to 71 months among 8440 healthy breastfed children from Brazil, Ghana, India, Norway, Oman, and the USA living in good socioeconomic conditions. Worldwide consultation was held to de-

#### Guidance for use

| This ICP repres<br>own profession                 | ents usual practice,<br>nal judgement.  | and variation:  | are expe                        | cted as clinical staff use their  |   |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| ICP developed 1                                   | .2.2000   |   | Review o                        | late 1.2.2001   |   |
| The main source of guidelines for the co          | information for this ICP wa<br>ontrol of methicillin-resistar                     | is the Hospital Infe<br>at <i>Staphylococcus</i> a                                | ction Society<br>aureus infecti | (1998) Working Party Report: Revised<br>on. J Hosp Infect 1998; <b>39:</b> 253–290.                             |   |
| Local protocols n                                 | nay differ as the guideli   | ines must be ada  | apted to fit                    | in with local circumstances.  |   |
| Decision to treat                                 |   |   |                                 |   |   |
| Following a positive<br>not treat the patien      | result of MRSA from the p<br>t. Please complete all the b                         | athology departm<br>loxes and sign at th  | ent, an inform<br>he bottorn of | ned decision is to be made to treat or the page.  |   |
| Outcomes:   |   |   |                                 |   |   |
| 1. Decision to tre                                | at based on site of infectio  | n and degree of ris   | k to other pa                   | itients.  |   |
| 2. Isolation preca<br>Outcome 1 ac                | iutions are met.<br>hioword v   | nos 🗆 n   | 0                               |   |   |
| Outcome 2 ac                                      | hieved y  | es 🖬 🕺 n  |                                 |   | - |
| Management  |   | t notiont colonic   | and south the                   |   |   |
| Ike this page only                                | n for treatment of adul   | it patient colonis  | ea with Mi                      | (SA   |   |
| Outcomos:   | when managing a colonise  | a mectori.  |                                 |   |   |
| 1. Infection is cor                               | ntained to patient identified   | d with MRSA.  |                                 |   |   |
| 2. Treatment is s                                 | uccessful.  |   |                                 |   |   |
| Outcome 1 ac                                      | hieved y  | res 🗆 🛛 🛛 🛛   | 0                               |   |   |
| Outcome 2 ac                                      | hieved y  | es 🖬 🛛 n  |                                 |   |   |
| Management pla<br>Use this page only<br>Outcomes: | n for systemic treatmen<br>when managing a systemic                               | nt of patient info<br>c infection.  | ected with I                    | MRSA  |   |
| 1. Treatment suc                                  | cessful.  |   |                                 |   |   |
| 2. Plasma levels,                                 | liver function tests and dir  | nical checks are m  | onitored.                       |   |   |
| Outcome 1 ac                                      | hieved y  | es 🗆 🛛 🛛  |                                 |   |   |
| Outcome 2 ac                                      | hieved y  | es 🖬 🛛 n  |                                 |   |   |
| Recording of var                                  | iance   |   |                                 |   |   |
| Variance from the p<br>and the alternative        | vlanned care must be recor<br>plan of care.                                       | ded, signed and d   | ated, togethe                   | r with the reason why this happened   |   |
| Decision to                                       | treat   |   |                                 |   |   |
|   |   |   |                                 |   |   |
| Patient name:                                     |   |   | Hospital r                      | iumber:   |   |
| Please remembe                                    | r: *Staff hands are<br>*Early communi   | e the main route of<br>ication is essential                                       | cross-infecti<br>in minimising  | on in wards.<br>I spread of MRSA  |   |
| Site  | Colonised<br>(Organism is<br>present but not<br>causing symptoms<br>of infection) | Infected<br>(Organism is pr<br>and has resulte<br>signs and symp<br>of infection) | esent<br>d in<br>toms           | If there are <b>any</b> variances to ICP,<br>please sign and date the reason(s)<br>and alternative action taken |   |

Isolate if possible
 Basic infection control measures
 Full screen of index case
 Topically treat
 NB: screening of other patients is
 not necessary

As above plus: • Screen other patients in the event of two or more cases

As above plus: • Isolate in single room • Screen other patients in the unit after one case • Treat patient topically (and systemically if necessary) • Policy of admission screening is advised

#### Treatment of adult patient colonised with MRSA

| atient name:   |   |  |          |          |          | He                | ospita   | In  | umber:   |
|--|---|--|----------|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|-----|--|
| Please remem   | ber:  |  |          |          |          |                   |          |     |  |
| Re-emergence   | e of resistan   | it strains is com                              | mon; ti  | nese pa  | tient    | s sho             | uld alv  | vay | ys be considered as carriers                                       |
| <ul> <li>If surgery is re</li> </ul>   | quired, syst  | ernic prophylax                                | is may   | be nece  | ssar     | y                 |          |     |  |
| <ul> <li>Treatment ma</li> </ul>   | y be decide   | d upon due to i                                | risk and | d vulner | abilit   | ty of o           | other p  | ati | ents   |
| <ul> <li>A carrier may</li> </ul>  | become a h  | neavy disperser                                | of Stap  | hyloco   | cus      | if he/s           | he de    | vel | ops an upper respiratory tract infection                           |
| <ul> <li>The throat is r</li> </ul>  | nore likely t   | o be infected if                               | the pa   | tient ha | s de     | ntures            | 5        |     |  |
| Management   | five-day to   | opical treatme                                 | nt plan  |          |          |                   |          | 1   | If there are any variances to ICP,                                 |
|  |   |  | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4                 | 5        |     | please sign and date the reason(s)<br>and alternative action taken |
| Nasal  | Bactroba<br>Nacal n   | an<br>Transration                              |          |          |          |                   |          | L   |  |
|  | Apply to  | Apply to nose three times                      |          |          |          |                   |          | L   |  |
|  | a day   |  |          |          |          |                   |          | L   |  |
| Axillae and<br>groin   | Hexachle<br>(Sterzac)   | orophane pow<br>) apply daily                  | der      |          |          |                   |          |     |  |
| Broken skin  | Bactroba  | an   |          |          | Γ        |                   |          | L   |  |
|  | Skin pr   | eparation<br>any small                         |          |          |          |                   |          | L   |  |
|  | broken s  | kin sites daily                                |          |          |          |                   |          | L   |  |
| Daily bathing  | Triclosar<br>Apply to   | ) 2%<br>wet skin                               |          |          |          |                   |          |     |  |
| Shampoo  | Triclosar   | 12%  | -        | $\nabla$ | $\vdash$ | $\nabla$          | $\nabla$ | L   |  |
|  | Apply or  | n days 1 and 3                                 |          |          |          | Ň                 | V        | L   |  |
| Is this the 1st 🕻  | or 2nd  | treatmen                                       | 1?       |          |          |                   |          | L   |  |
| Doctor's signati   | ıre:  |  | ſ        | Date:    |          |                   |          |     |  |
| Screening sch  | edule   | Date taken                                     | Resu     | lts due  | P        | lositiv<br>legati | ve/      |     |  |
| 48 hours after t<br>ends (day 7)   | reatment  |  |          |          | T        |                   |          |     |  |
| 48 hours after 1<br>(day 9)  | st screen   |  |          |          | T        |                   |          |     |  |
| 48 hours after 2<br>(day 11)   | nd screen?  |  |          |          |          |                   |          |     |  |
| Patient is dear  | only when .   | 3rd set of swa                                 | bs are   | negativ  | e        |                   |          |     |  |
| If any of three s  | creens pos  | itive, start 2nd                               | treatm   | ient cy  | le       |                   |          |     |  |
| If positive after for further advice   | 2nd treatm  | ient cycle, cont                               | tact inf | ection   | conti    | rol te            | am       |     |  |
| Transfer/disch   | arge of p   | atients  |          |          |          |                   |          |     |  |
| Inform relevant<br>Wear gloves an<br>Send curtains fo<br>Decontaminate<br>folled by weak I<br>Allow all surfac | people<br>d apron, ar<br>or cleaning<br>non-dispos<br>hypochlorit | nd wash hands<br>sable equipment<br>e solution | nt with  | deterg   | ent are  | and v             | vater    |     |  |
| Place last on lis  | t for OPD o   | or surgery                                     | apinet   | eroolii  | aya      | "                 |          |     |  |

#### Systemic treatment of adult patient infected with MRSA

| Drug 1            | Dose Route |          |                | Duration Frequency |           |             | Signature and date             |  |
|-------------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Monitoring        |            | <u> </u> |                |                    |           |             | Caution                        |  |
| Drug 2 Dose       |            |          | Route Duration |                    | Frequency |             | Signature and date             |  |
| Monitoring        |            |          |                |                    |           |             | Caution                        |  |
| Side-effect       | Present (d | ate)     | Drug sto       | opped (specify)    | 1         | If there ar | e <b>any</b> variances to ICP, |  |
| nflammation       |            |          |                |                    |           | please sig  | n and date the reason(s)       |  |
| Pain              |            | -        |                |                    | 5         | and altern  | hauve action taken             |  |
| Dedema            |            |          |                |                    | 9         |             |                                |  |
| Nausea/vomiting   |            |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |
| Diarrhoea         |            |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |
| Rash              |            |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |
| Headache          |            |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |
| Pruritus          |            |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |
| Treatment of side | -effect(s) |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |
| reatment of side  | enect(s)   |          |                |                    |           |             |                                |  |

### Figure 2. Example of an Integrated Care Pathway (ICP) for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA).

Inform hospital hotel services
Place isolation notice on door
Discuss with patient
Give written information to visitors

Colonisation: yes no infected site: yes no in

Decision to treat

Source: Middleton et al. 2001.

Signature

Nose Throat Perineum/groin Skin lesion

Burn Catheter urine Indwelling intra vascular catheter Central line Intravenous infusion Tiracheostomy Sputum Low risk

Medical ward, general and acute elderly care, non-neonatal paediatrics

Moderate risk General surgery, urology, neonatal, gynaecology/obstetrics, dermatology

High risk Intensive care unit, special care baby unit, transplantation, cardiothoracic, burns, orthopaedic, trauma, vascular, regional, national/international referral centres

**Isolation precautions** 

Hand washing following contact Gloves when dealing with infected site(s) Apron for close contact

Date / /



Figure 3. Example of a WHO Child Growth Standards chart depicting weight-for-age z-scores for girls from birth to two years.

Source: WHO, 2006

termine what should be included in the charts in addition to growth curves (e.g., infant feeding information, demographic data, dietary supplementation, and immunization schedules; de Onis, Wijnhoven, and Onyango 2004).

#### UPTAKE

Charts for use in GM programmes are used worldwide in different contexts and formats. As part of a WHO study of worldwide practices in GM from 1998 to 2000, 154 countries provided hard copies of growth charts used in paediatric care (806 charts total; de Onis, Wijnhoven, and Onyango 2004). We could not identify direct evidence on the extent of uptake in practice.

#### EFFECTIVENESS

There is limited evidence on the effectiveness of GM for improving child growth and health. A 2000 Cochrane review assessing effectiveness of GM on anthropometric measures, referrals, maternal knowledge and satisfaction, and child morbidity and mortality, found only two studies (both were conducted in LMICs) and their evidence was inconclusive (Panpanich and Garner 2000). Limited qualitative and quantitative evidence suggests that poor comprehension and interpretation of growth charts among health workers and carers may limit the effectiveness of GM. A 2004 WHO study of worldwide practices in GM highlighted several important problems with the use and interpretation of growth charts including: accurately plotting the measurements, understanding the concept of a child "at-risk" and "reference curve", difficulties in interpreting the child's growth curve, and lack of accurate anthropometric equipment (de Onis, Wijnhoven, and Onyango 2004). A 2007 systematic review that included 20 studies from LMICs found that between 33% and 75% of carers do not understand growth charts. Literacy level had a strong effect on carers' ability to interpret growth charts, and training activities effectively increased carers' comprehension (Roberfroid, Pelto, and Kolsteren 2007; Liu et al. 2021; Ben-Joseph, Dowshen, and Izenberg 2007; Al Rahmad, Iskandar, Fadjri, et al. 2022; Ruel, Pelletier, Habicht, et al. 1990).

#### TOOL 4: PAEDIATRIC EARLY WARNING SCORES (PEWS)

PEWS are used to identify hospitalized children at-risk of physiological deterioration by assigning a score based on vital signs and clinical status, and then using that score to guide interventions using a response algorithm to improve outcomes. The system uses two components: 1) a scoring tool to calculate a PEWS at regular intervals during inpatient treatment, and 2) a response algorithm with appropriate treatment actions to be carried out based on the score (Figure 4; Brown, Martinez Garcia, and Agulnik 2018).



Figure 4. National Health Services Institute Paediatric Early Warning System, (PEWS) chart for children aged 1-5 years with scoring tool (left) and response algorithm (right).

Source: Roland et al. 2012

#### DEVELOPMENT

One of the first PEWS, known as the Brighton PEWS, was derived from early warning scores tools used in adults and published in 2005. According to Chapman et al. (2018), "no formal validation of the Brighton PEWS was undertaken. This unstructured method of development, based on expert opinion, sentinel events and 'knee jerk' response to individual cases of failed identification characterised the development of PEWS over the subsequent years and contributed to the proliferation of unvalidated PEWS, which are now in existence" (Chapman and Maconochie 2019).

#### UPTAKE

PEWS are increasingly used in paediatrics in Europe, North America, and Australia (Trubey et al. 2019). In the United Kingdom, most hospitals had implemented PEWS by 2013 (Chapman and Maconochie 2019). While PEWS are used in low-resource settings, we could not find information describing the extent of uptake (Brown, Martinez Garcia, and Agulnik 2018).

#### EFFECTIVENESS

A 2019 review assessing the effectiveness of PEWS concluded that there was limited evidence that implementing PEWS leads to reductions in patient deterioration and that methodological issues in many studies precluded clear judgement of their utility (36 validation and 30 effectiveness studies, mostly from HICs; Trubey et al. 2019). Another review from 2019 focusing on the use of PEWS in LMICs and humanitarian settings found a paucity of studies on the topic (Brown, Martinez Garcia, and Agulnik 2018). Nonetheless, the available studies showed the potential to reduce mortality and resource utilisation in these settings. The authors identified several key limitations to using PEWS: 1) using a system that relies on frequent collection of vital signs and clinical assessments is impractical in settings where nurse to patient ratios are as low as 1:50, and 2) the response algorithm for many systems includes referral for assessment by a critical care physician or transfer to intensive care which may not exist in some low resource settings (Brown, Martinez Garcia, and Agulnik 2018).

## TOOL 5: WHO EMERGENCY TRIAGE ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT (ETAT)

The WHO ETAT guidelines are designed to identify children with urgent life-threatening conditions frequently seen in LMICs, including airway obstruction and breathing problems due to infections, shock, coma or convulsions, and severe dehydration (WHO 2005). The ETAT guidelines were created to complement IMCI but are intended to be accessible to non-clinical health workers who may be called upon to assist with triage (Robertson, Manson, and Fioratou 2018). Several charts are provided along with the guidelines to guide triage procedures; these charts are also found in the WHO Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children (Figure 5; WHO 2013b).

#### DEVELOPMENT

First published in 2005, the ETAT guidelines were developed in Malawi and field tested in multiple countries including Angola, Brazil, Cambodia, Indonesia, Kenya, and Niger (WHO 2005). The ETAT guidelines and materials were adapted from the guidelines for Advanced Paediatric Life Support that are used in HICs (European Resuscitation Council 2005). Updated guidelines were published in 2016 to reflect new evidence on paediatric care identified by a WHO guideline development scoping group (WHO 2016a).

#### UPTAKE

We could not identify specific information on the uptake of ETAT; however, one study assessed the coverage and uptake of the WHO Pocket Book which includes the ETAT guidelines in the first section (Li et al. 2013). It found that 64 LMICs (44% of 145 LMICs globally) reported at least partial implementation of the Pocket Book, with 17% of LMICs reporting that implementation was well under way. However, the classification that implementation is 'well under way' does not imply national coverage, and even in countries using multiple implementation strategies, the proportion of hospitals estimated to be using the Pocket Book was low (Li et al. 2013).

#### EFFECTIVENESS

We could not find any reviews of the effectiveness of ETAT, but we did identify four individual studies that investigated the effectiveness of using ETAT including one qualitative study. The results suggest that the ETAT system performs well as a triage tool and may improve patient care even when used in resource-limited settings (Crouse et al. 2016; Buys et al. 2012; Tamburlini et al. 1999). Barriers include staff training needs, heavy workload, and a lack of resources in some settings (Robertson, Manson, and Fioratou 2018).

# TOOL 6: ELECTRONIC PATIENT MANAGEMENT TOOLS (E-TOOLS)

The use of e-tools has the potential to improve quality of care by providing health workers with instant bedside decision support and improving adherence to treatment guidelines (Bessat, Zonon, and D'Acremont 2019). In HICs, the use of e-tools for clinical decision making has been well-investigated as health systems may be more amenable to technological innovation than those in resource-limited settings (Adepoju et al. 2017). Nonetheless, there is a substantial body of evidence on the use of e-tools in LMICs. We identified literature on e-tool versions of ICPs, IMCI (Figures 6) and PEWS but could not find similar literature for GM or ETAT.

#### DEVELOPMENT

Likely due to wide variation, at the time of our review we could not identify specific descriptions of key steps for the



# Figure 5. Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment (ETAT) guidelines included in the first pages of the WHO Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children (Top) and Process map of a primary healthcare clinic in Malawi using WHO ETAT model (Bottom).

Sources: WHO 2013b; Robertson, Manson, and Fioratou 2018

development of electronic patient management tools. With regards to ICPs, one study reported a lack of understanding on the requirements for designing user-friendly electronic care pathways (Balatsoukas et al. 2015).

#### UPTAKE

We could not find information on the scale of e-tool uptake; however, a 2010 review of medical decision support systems in Africa reported limited progress as most of these systems



# Figure 6. An electronic decision-making tool to support the implementation of IMCI in primary healthcare facilities in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa.

Source: Jensen, McKerrow, and Wills 2019

were deployed by international organizations with limited ownership by local stakeholders (Bediang, Bagayoko, and Geissbuhler 2010).

#### EFFECTIVENESS

E-tools may improve quality of care by improving adherence to guidelines, increasing completeness of patient assessments and accuracy of classification of conditions, and aiding with referral decisions compared to paper forms. However, health system barriers present an important challenge to effectively implementing e-tools. These barriers include: increased workload and consultation time compared to routine practice, lack of staff, low computer literacy, and limited access to computers (Bessat, Zonon, and D'Acremont 2019; Schaeffer et al. 2019; Jensen, McKerrow, and Wills 2019; Shao et al. 2015; Mitchell et al. 2013). A 2017 review of mobile clinical decision support systems (mCDSS) in sub-Saharan Africa reported improvement in individual service delivery components; however, existing evidence does not support the ability of mCDSS to improve quality of care or clinical outcomes (Adepoju et al. 2017).

#### DISCUSSION

#### SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

The results of this scoping review provided useful insights to inform not just the update of the MAMI Tool, which was reconfigured to apply an integrated care pathway approach and reframed as the 'MAMI Care Pathway Package,' but also for others working on other tools and patient care pathways for a broader range of conditions. Given their widespread use globally, the most striking finding of our review was the paucity of published evidence underpinning patient management tools throughout their life cycle - from initial development to roll-out and scale-up to evidence of impact and effectiveness at-scale. Although many are logical, intuitive, and contain individual elements/interventions that are underpinned by robust evidence, this does not automatically mean that they will work as expected at scale. The strongest evidence of effectiveness is for the use of ICPs. However, this evidence was generated in HICs and may not be as applicable in LMICs. Among the tools investigated in this review, IMCI and ETAT appear to be the most commonly used patient management tools in LMICs: both of these have some (albeit not extensive) research describing early stages of their development. Coverage remains the major barrier to effectiveness. GM is also widely used in LMICs despite a lack of evidence of its effectiveness. There is growing evidence on the use of e-tools for patient management, but evidence from low resource settings is limited and their use might not be practical or scalable at present; context-specific research on feasibility would provide better insights.

Of note since our review, WHO has initiated development of Em Care, an open-source digital platform (app) that delivers clinical decision-making support to frontline workers in emergency settings; it is currently being piloted in Iraq and Cameroon. They use SMART Guidelines (Standards-based, Machine-readable, Adaptive, Requirementsbased, and Testable), a five-step pathway to advance the adoption of best clinical and data practices.

In summary, though patient management tools are widely used, there seems to be a major need to improve the evidence base underpinning currently used tools. New resources being developed – ours included – should investigate and better document every stage of their development and subsequent use.

#### LIMITATIONS AND STRENGTHS

We acknowledge the limitations of our review. Being a scoping review, we may not have found all directly relevant evidence. Even so, had we conducted a full systematic review on key steps in the development and rollout of each tool, we suspect that information may not have been published, especially for the older ones like GM and IMCI. That said, we did find some systematic reviews and it was striking that even these included few papers - we expected to find far more evidence underpinning such large-scale, long-established patient management tools. Future reviews might thus also explore if there are internal reports and other unpublished documents available. Addressing this limitation, we hope that scientists developing future tools (as well as agencies funding their development) will pay more attention to formal write-ups so that the process from start to finish is better documented and accessible in major clinical and public health databases. A related challenge in building the evidence base is that some tools are inherently difficult to research because control groups (e.g., where growth is not formally monitored) may be considered unethical or may be impractical and hence utilizing the 'pyramid of evidence-based medicine' may not be feasible or even fully relevant. Finally, we acknowledge that we only looked at a limited range of patient management tools others may also be available and might have broadened our discussion and insights.

Despite these limitations there are also strengths to our review. Firstly, this scoping process highlights the importance of learning from the past to build on what others have done, both what has gone well and what could be improved on. Secondly, though we started this review to directly inform our own work on the MAMI Tool, many of our findings and insights may be generalizable lessons for others. Finally, and most importantly, we hope that our finding regarding the paucity of evidence will inspire future researchers from a wider range of settings to better document the process for all stages of patient management tool development and utilization.

#### IMPLICATIONS: FROM PATIENT MANAGEMENT 'TOOL' TO 'CARE PATHWAY' AND OTHER KEY STEPS

Based on this scoping review, we followed the key steps below to update our MAMI Tool to a MAMI Care Pathway Package, reconfigured using an ICP approach. These may also be key considerations for other teams working to improve patient care and translate technical guidelines to effective frontline clinical practices in other areas of child (and adult) health and nutrition.

#### 1. FOCUS ON ICPS RATHER THAN TOOLS

We had previously focused our thinking and terminology on a patient management "tool" to support key steps in assessing and treating vulnerable infants. This approach risks being seen by some programme managers as all that is needed to start a new service or programme, vertically delivered or isolated from the local context. Reframing the tool as an ICP and following the steps outlined by Campbell et al. (1998) provide a much clearer, more comprehensive structure and reminder that an intervention is part of a wider system with staff being a key part of that system and that context is critical. Good 'tools' are necessary but not sufficient for success. Rather, the 'tool' is nested within the wider process of an ICP. The ICP framework is especially important in reminding managers that staff must be closely involved, and individual context adaptations made in order to implement change. It also serves as a reminder of why care pathways matter and for whom they matter. They are not an end in themselves but rather a means to continually improving and achieving quality patient care so that clinical and public health-relevant outcomes can be optimized.

Process maps are important to indicate what should happen at each step of patient care and what linkages and referrals should be made according to different enrollment and discharge criteria. Process maps may be used during communications with new stakeholders and trainings to help a broad range of stakeholders understand the overall approach.

The need for good documentation is also highlighted in ICP frameworks/guides. This helps both immediate patient care (e.g., reminding healthcare workers of what to do in certain situations) but also helps with auditing and learning from the programme overall when many sets of patient care notes are combined (e.g., is a particular step being done well or not and why; does it seem to be impacting final outcomes). In developing the materials, ICP thinking also emphasises the importance of considering "end user" experience. For example, this might entail involving a range of stakeholders across a wide range of disciplines, operational experiences, and contexts in the initial development, as well as considering usability and format, engaging with graphic designers and other specialists to optimize visuals and key documents.

## 2. ALIGN NEW CARE PATHWAYS WITH EXISTING PATHWAYS AND CLINICAL CARE SYSTEMS

To facilitate future integration at scale, it is important to align and be consistent with existing systems and frameworks. For example, IMCI is a well-established and longstanding framework and approach to infant and child health in LMICs. The MAMI Care Pathway is designed not as a new parallel system but as a resource to fit within the existing IMCI format and patterns of thinking. We add value by elaborating or adding new elements to IMCI (e.g., emphasis on the role of maternal mental health as a key determinant of infant health/growth/nutrition) and by directly testing these new components. The MAMI Care Pathway remains consistent with the IMCI approach and content and defers to local guidance and protocols for individual case management. Since it is already familiar, we hope that this will count positively towards healthcare worker user experience as well as patient experience (since links and referrals for other health problems can more easily be made). This also maximises the potential for inclusion into existing systems and IMCI updates.

# **3.** BASELINE QUALITATIVE AND OTHER FORMATIVE RESEARCH

Before testing the MAMI Care Pathway in a RCT in Ethiopia in 2022/23, MM and MK have conducted qualitative research among health workers and end-users to inform context-specific adaptation to strengthen feasibility (Read 2017b; Jibat et al. 2022). This will also add to a wider body of qualitative research in other settings and is in line with the WHO recommendation 4a from 'A Strategic Review of Options for the Future Building on Lessons Learnt From IMNCI' conducted in 2016 which encouraged:

- "User-centred design, a longstanding and proven conceptual framework for developing products, services or systems, can improve health workers' experience with guidelines and thereby increase effectiveness.
- [Bringing] together existing guidance packages on care for newborn and child health into one set of flexible, adaptable, user-friendly tools, incorporating input from end users and design specialists". (WHO 2016b)

#### 4. CONDUCT FORMAL EFFECTIVENESS RESEARCH

Following on from initial qualitative and formative research, larger-scale and more formal studies are important (e.g., the RCT on the MAMI Care Pathway, Version 3 in Ethiopia involving co-authors MK and MM; Kerac 2022). It is surprising that the interventions we reviewed in this piece were not underpinned by more formal initial studies, but this is perhaps explained by the fact that populationbased and complex intervention designs were not as common at the time. Following frameworks such as the UK Medical Research Council guide on "developing complex interventions to improve health and healthcare" could add much value to long-term intervention use and impact (O'Cathain et al. 2019). This is because once an intervention is established as a 'standard of care' controlled trials become ethically difficult. Other evaluation methods are of course available but can be more difficult to interpret due to risks of bias and unknown confounding in observational study designs.

#### 5. COLLECT INFORMATION ON ANY VARIANCE FROM, ADAPTATIONS TO, AND EXPERIENCES OF DELIVERING CARE PATHWAYS

Many emerging experiences of researching, piloting, and implementing the approach around the world will inform future updates of the MAMI Care Pathway Package. Contextual adaptations may be minor or major and may or may not apply to other settings (e.g., a minor change might involve including questions about family planning which is acceptable to discuss freely in some settings but not others; a major change might involve changes to the anthropometric admission criteria defining at-risk infants whilst there may be local reasons for sticking to weightfor-length rather than weight-for-age, such a change might make neighbouring programmes difficult to compare and so should be considered very carefully). They might also involve whether or not healthcare workers and/or carers are following a particular recommendation or the cadre of staff delivering care. Documentation of the implementation process will allow programmers to describe and investigate reasons why practice is deviating from that recommended in the pathway and the implications both for outcomes and future updates (Campbell et al. 1998).

#### 6. INVESTIGATE THE FEASIBILITY OF USING AN E-TOOL

Whilst not an immediate priority for our MAMI Care Pathway, e-tools are increasingly proving to be useful, so we should bear in mind that current paper-based tools might be adapted and used on electronic platforms in the near future. Advantages of this could include that changes to the patient information forms can be made more easily and quickly; data are more quickly captured; and data can be immediately validated at source (e.g., the system would ask that very large or very small infants be re-measured to ensure that they are indeed very large/small rather than that the anthropometric measure or age has been entered incorrectly).

#### CONCLUSION

Despite being widely used in many settings worldwide, there is relatively little evidence on patient management tools. Whilst many provide a logical and plausible way of translating individual interventions and guidelines into frontline clinical practice, overall evidence of effectiveness is poor. Among the tools we reviewed, ICPs showed the most consistent evidence of effectiveness. While this evidence was primarily from HICs, applicability to LMIC settings is likely, especially as they outline the entire process of development of a care pathway and emphasise the need to adapt and reflect different contexts, settings, and services available. Future work to improve frontline patient care should focus on ICPs (rather than isolated patient management 'tools' alone), align with and take into account existing pathways and systems, conduct baseline qualitative and formative research, conduct more formal effectiveness research prior to scale-up, collect information on variances from and adaptations to the care pathway, and consider future e-tools.

The results of this scoping review provided useful insights to inform the update of the MAMI Tool, which was reconfigured to apply an integrated care pathway approach and reframed as the 'MAMI Care Pathway Package.' Our findings also have relevance for those working on other tools and patient care pathways for a broader range of conditions. Based on the findings of this scoping review as well as other literature reviews, stakeholder consultation, and learnings from previous implementation experiences, the MAMI Care Pathway Package (Version 3, 2021) is published at https://www.ennonline.net/mamicarepathway.

#### ROLE OF AUTHORS

KG, MK and MM conceived the manuscript. KG performed electronic search and synthesized the findings. KG and RR drafted the manuscript. MM and MK reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

NA

#### FUNDING STATEMENT

KG and MM were funded by Irish Aid and Eleanor Crook Foundation. MK also acknowledges funding from the Eleanor Crook Foundation.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

None

Submitted: January 23, 2023 BRT, Accepted: March 27, 2023 BRT

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 and legal code at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 and le

### REFERENCES

Adepoju, Ibukun-Oluwa Omolade, Bregje Joanna Antonia Albersen, Vincent De Brouwere, Jos van Roosmalen, and Marjolein Zweekhorst. 2017. "mHealth for Clinical Decision-Making in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Scoping Review." *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 5 (3): e38. <u>https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.7</u> <u>185</u>.

Al Rahmad, A. H., I. Iskandar, T. K. Fadjri, et al. 2022. "Utilization of the Growth Chart Module in Increasing Mother's Knowledge to Monitor the Grow up of Toddlers." *Kesmas Indonesia* 14 (1): 110–20.

Allen, Davina, Elizabeth Gillen, and Laura Rixson. 2009. "Systematic Review of the Effectiveness of Integrated Care Pathways: What Works, for Whom, in Which Circumstances?" *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare* 7 (2): 61–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.111</u> 1/j.1744-1609.2009.00127.x.

Ashworth, Ann, Roger Shrimpton, and Kazi Jamil. 2008. "Growth Monitoring and Promotion: Review of Evidence of Impact." *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 4: 86–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8709.2007.001</u> 25.x.

Asmirajanti, Mira, Achir Yani Syuhaimie Hamid, and Tutik Sri Hariyati. 2018. "Clinical Care Pathway Strenghens Interprofessional Collaboration and Quality of Health Service: A Literature Review." *Enfermería Clínica* 28 (Suppl 1): 240–44. <u>https://doi.or</u> g/10.1016/s1130-8621(18)30076-7.

Balatsoukas, Panos, Richard Williams, Colin Davies, John Ainsworth, and Iain Buchan. 2015. "User Interface Requirements for Web-Based Integrated Care Pathways: Evidence from the Evaluation of an Online Care Pathway Investigation Tool." *Journal of Medical Systems* 39 (11): 183. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10916-015-0357-5</u>.

Bediang, G., C. O. Bagayoko, and A. Geissbuhler. 2010."Medical Decision Support Systems in Africa." *Yearb Med Inform*, 47–54.

Ben-Joseph, E. P., S. A. Dowshen, and N. Izenberg. 2007.
"Public Understanding of Growth Charts: A Review of the Literature." *Patient Education and Counseling* 65 (3): 288–95.

Bessat, Cécile, Noël Adannou Zonon, and Valérie D'Acremont. 2019. "Large-Scale Implementation of Electronic Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (eIMCI) at the Primary Care Level in Burkina Faso: A Qualitative Study on Health Worker Perception of Its Medical Content, Usability and Impact on Antibiotic Prescription and Resistance." *BMC Public Health* 19 (1): 449. <u>https://doi.org/10.118</u> <u>6/s12889-019-6692-6</u>.

Bliss, Jessica, Natasha Lelijveld, André Briend, Marko Kerac, Mark Manary, Marie McGrath, Zita Weise Prinzo, et al. 2018. "Use of Mid-Upper Arm Circumference by Novel Community Platforms to Detect, Diagnose, and Treat Severe Acute Malnutrition in Children: A Systematic Review." *Global Health: Science and Practice* 6 (3): 552–64. <u>http</u> <u>s://doi.org/10.9745/ghsp-d-18-00105</u>. Brown, Stephanie R., Daniel Martinez Garcia, and Asya Agulnik. 2018. "Scoping Review of Pediatric Early Warning Systems (PEWS) in Resource-Limited and Humanitarian Settings." *Frontiers in Pediatrics* 6 (410). https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2018.00410.

Bryce, Jennifer, Cesar G. Victora, Jean-Pierre Habicht, Robert E. Black, and Robert W. Scherpbier. 2005. "Programmatic Pathways to Child Survival: Results of a Multi-Country Evaluation of Integrated Management of Childhood Illness." *Health Policy and Planning* 20 (Suppl 1): i5–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> <u>heapol/czi055</u>.

Butler, S., N. Connell, and H. Barthorp. 2018. "C-MAMI Tool Evaluation: Learnings from Bangladesh and Ethiopia." *Field Exchange* 58: 62.

Buys, H., R. Muloiwa, C. Westwood, D. Richardson, B. Cheema, and A. Westwood. 2012. "An Adapted Triage Tool (ETAT) at Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital Medical Emergency Unit, Cape Town: An Evaluation." *South African Medical Journal* 103 (3): 161–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.7196/samj.6020</u>.

Campbell, H., R. Hotchkiss, N. Bradshaw, and M. Porteous. 1998. "Integrated Care Pathways." *BMJ* 316 (7125): 133–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.316.712</u> <u>5.133</u>.

Chapman, Susan M., and Ian K. Maconochie. 2019. "Early Warning Scores in Paediatrics: An Overview." *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 104 (4): 395–99. http s://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2018-314807.

Chopra, Mickey, Nancy J. Binkin, Elizabeth Mason, and Cathy Wolfheim. 2012. "Integrated Management of Childhood Illness: What Have We Learned and How Can It Be Improved?" *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 97 (4): 350–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischil</u> <u>d-2011-301191</u>.

Crouse, Heather L., Francisco Torres, Henry Vaides, Michael T. Walsh, Elise M. Ishigami, Andrea T. Cruz, Susan B. Torrey, and Miguel A. Soto. 2016. "Impact of an Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment (ETAT)-Based Triage Process in the Paediatric Emergency Department of a Guatemalan Public Hospital." *Paediatrics and International Child Health* 36 (3): 219–24. https://doi.org/10.1179/2046905515 y.000000026.

Engl, M., M. Kerac, and London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. 2021. *Management of At-Risk Mothers and Infants < 6 Months in National and International SAM Guidelines: AGREE Scoring of Guidelines and Guideline Content Spreadsheet*. London, United Kingdom: London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine.

ENN. 2018. *C-MAMI Tool*. Version 2. <u>https://www.ennon</u> <u>line.net/c-mami</u>.

European Resuscitation Council. 2005. "European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2005." *Resuscitation* 67: S1–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.10</u> <u>16/j.resuscitation.2005.10.002</u>.

- Garner, P. 2000. "Is Routine Growth Monitoring Effective? A Systematic Review of Trials." *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 82 (3): 197–201. <u>https://doi.org/</u> <u>10.1136/adc.82.3.197</u>.
- Gera, Tarun, Dheeraj Shah, Paul Garner, Marty Richardson, and Harshpal S. Sachdev. 2016. "Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) Strategy for Children under Five." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 6: CD010123. <u>https://doi.or</u> g/10.1002/14651858.cd010123.pub2.
- Grey, Kelsey, Gerard Bryan Gonzales, Mubarek Abera, Natasha Lelijveld, Debbie Thompson, Melkamu Berhane, Alemseged Abdissa, Tsinuel Girma, and Marko Kerac. 2021. "Severe Malnutrition or Famine Exposure in Childhood and Cardiometabolic Non-Communicable Disease Later in Life: A Systematic Review." *BMJ Global Health* 6 (3): e003161. <u>https://do i.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003161</u>.
- Grijalva-Eternod, Carlos S., Marko Kerac, Marie McGrath, Caroline Wilkinson, June C. Hirsch, Pascale Delchevalerie, and Andrew J. Seal. 2017. "Admission Profile and Discharge Outcomes for Infants Aged Less than 6 Months Admitted to Inpatient Therapeutic Care in 10 Countries. A Secondary Data Analysis." *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 13 (3): e12345. <u>https://do i.org/10.1111/mcn.12345</u>.
- Guyatt, Gordon H., Andrew D. Oxman, Gunn E. Vist, Regina Kunz, Yngve Falck-Ytter, Pablo Alonso-Coello, and Holger J. Schünemann. 2008. "GRADE: An Emerging Consensus on Rating Quality of Evidence and Strength of Recommendations." *BMJ* 336 (7650): 924–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.a</u> d.
- Jensen, Cecilie, Neil H. McKerrow, and Gabrielle Wills. 2019. "Acceptability and Uptake of an Electronic Decision-Making Tool to Support the Implementation of IMCI in Primary Healthcare Facilities in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa." *Paediatrics and International Child Health* 40 (4): 215–26. http s://doi.org/10.1080/20469047.2019.1697573.
- Jibat, Nega, Ritu Rana, Ayenew Negesse, Mubarek Abera, Alemseged Abdissa, Tsinuel Girma, Anley Haile, et al. 2022. "Carers' and Health Workers' Perspectives on Malnutrition in Infants Aged under Six Months in Rural Ethiopia: A Qualitative Study." *PLoS ONE* 17 (7): e0271733. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/j</u> ournal.pone.0271733.
- Kerac, M. 2022. "Testing a New Clinical Care Pathway for the Management of Small and Nutritionally At-Risk Infants Aged under 6 Months and Their Mothers." <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN47300347</u>.
- Kerac, M., C. Angood, M. McGrath, et al. 2017. "Towards Rollout of New Who Guidelines for Improved Management of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Infants Aged <6 Months: An Agree Appraisal of National Guidelines." Presented at the Nutrition & Growth Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, March 3.

- Kerac, M., H. Blencowe, C. Grijalva-Eternod, M. McGrath, J. Shoham, T. J. Cole, and A. Seal. 2011.
  "Prevalence of Wasting among under 6-Month-Old Infants in Developing Countries and Implications of New Case Definitions Using WHO Growth Standards: A Secondary Data Analysis." Archives of Disease in Childhood 96 (11): 1008–13. https://doi.org/10.1136/a dc.2010.191882.
- Kerac, M., M. Mwangome, M. McGrath, et al. 2015. "Management of Acute Malnutrition in Infants Aged under 6 Months (MAMI): Current Issues and Future Directions in Policy and Research." *Food Nutr Bull* 36 (1 Suppl): S30–34.
- Li, Michelle Y., Julian Kelly, Rami Subhi, Wilson Were, and Trevor Duke. 2013. "Global Use of the WHO Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children." *Paediatrics and International Child Health* 33 (1): 4–17. https://doi.org/10.1179/2046905512y.0000000017.
- Liu, Qin, Melissa Taylor, Helen Nabwera, and Qian Long. 2021. "The Impact of Growth Monitoring and Promotion on Health Indicators in Children under Five Years of Age in Low- and Middle-Income Countries." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 7. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd014785.
- "MAMI Project Summary (Management of Small & Nutritionally At-Risk Infants Aged U6months and Their Mothers." 2022. December 14, 2022. <u>http://ww</u> w.ennonline.net/ourwork/research/mami.
- Middleton, S. et al. 2001. "What Is an Integrated Care Pathway?" Hayward Medical Communications. 2001. <u>http://www.bandolier.org.uk/painres/download/whati</u> <u>s/What\_is\_an\_ICP.pdf</u>.
- Mitchell, Marc, Bethany L Hedt-Gauthier, Daniel Msellemu, Melania Nkaka, and Neal Lesh. 2013. "Using Electronic Technology to Improve Clinical Care – Results from a before-after Cluster Trial to Evaluate Assessment and Classification of Sick Children According to Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) Protocol in Tanzania." *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 13 (1): 95. <u>ht</u> <u>tps://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-13-95</u>.
- O'Cathain, Alicia, Liz Croot, Edward Duncan, Nikki Rousseau, Katie Sworn, Katrina M Turner, Lucy Yardley, and Pat Hoddinott. 2019. "Guidance on How to Develop Complex Interventions to Improve Health and Healthcare." *BMJ Open* 9 (8): e029954. <u>https://do i.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029954</u>.
- Onis, Mercedes de, Trudy M. A. Wijnhoven, and Adelheid W. Onyango. 2004. "Worldwide Practices in Child Growth Monitoring." *The Journal of Pediatrics* 144 (4): 461–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.200</u> 3.12.034.
- Panpanich, R., and P. Garner. 2000. "Growth Monitoring in Children." *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, no. 2: CD001443.
- Perkins, B. A., J. R. Zucker, J. Otieno, et al. 1997. "Evaluation of an Algorithm for Integrated Management of Childhood Illness in an Area of Kenya with High Malaria Transmission." *Bull World Health Organ* 75 (Suppl 1): 33–42.

Read, S. 2017a. "Improving Community Management of Uncomplicated Acute Malnutrition in Infants under Six Months (C-MAMI): Developing a Checklist Version of the C-MAMI Tool." *Field Exchange*, no. 54: 30.

-—. 2017b. "Improving Community Management of Uncomplicated Acute Malnutrition in Infants under Six Months (C-MAMI): Developing a Checklist Version of the C-MAMI Tool." <u>https://www.ennonlin e.net/fex/54/cmamiimproved</u>.

Roberfroid, D., G. H. Pelto, and P. Kolsteren. 2007. "Plot and See! Maternal Comprehension of Growth Charts Worldwide." *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 12 (9): 1074–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-315</u> <u>6.2007.01890.x</u>.

Robertson, Sarah Kathryn, Kristina Manson, and Evridiki Fioratou. 2018. "IMCI and ETAT Integration at a Primary Healthcare Facility in Malawi: A Human Factors Approach." *BMC Health Services Research* 18 (1): 1014. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-380</u> <u>3-5</u>.

Roland, Damian. 2012. "Paediatric Early Warning Scores: Holy Grail and Achilles' Heel." *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Education & Practice Edition* 97 (6): 208–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-201</u> <u>1-300976</u>.

Ruel, M. T., D. L. Pelletier, J.-P. Habicht, et al. 1990. "Comparison of Mothers' Understanding of Two Child Growth Charts in Lesotho." *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 68 (4): 483.

Schaeffer, Lauren E., Salahuddin Ahmed, Mahmoodur Rahman, Rachel Whelan, Sayedur Rahman, Arunangshu Dutta Roy, Tanzia Ahmed Nijhum, et al. 2019. "Development and Evaluation of a Mobile Application for Case Management of Small and Sick Newborns in Bangladesh." *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 19 (1): 116. <u>https://doi.org/10.11</u> <u>86/s12911-019-0835-7</u>.

Schrijvers, Guus, Arjan van Hoorn, and Nicolette Huiskes. 2012. "The Care Pathway Concept: Concepts and Theories: An Introduction." *International Journal of Integrated Care* 12 (6): e192. <u>https://doi.org/10.533</u> <u>4/ijic.812</u>.

Shao, Amani Flexson, Clotilde Rambaud-Althaus, Ndeniria Swai, Judith Kahama-Maro, Blaise Genton, Valerie D'Acremont, and Constanze Pfeiffer. 2015. "Can Smartphones and Tablets Improve the Management of Childhood Illness in Tanzania? A Qualitative Study from a Primary Health Care Worker's Perspective." *BMC Health Services Research* 15 (1): 135. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-080</u> <u>5-4</u>.

Tamburlini, G., S. Di Mario, R. S. Maggi, J. N. Vilarim, and S. Gove. 1999. "Evaluation of Guidelines for Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment in Developing Countries." *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 81 (6): 478–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/ad</u> <u>c.81.6.478</u>. Trubey, Rob, Chao Huang, Fiona V. Lugg-Widger, Kerenza Hood, Davina Allen, Dawn Edwards, David Lacy, et al. 2019. "Validity and Effectiveness of Paediatric Early Warning Systems and Track and Trigger Tools for Identifying and Reducing Clinical Deterioration in Hospitalised Children: A Systematic Review." *BMJ Open* 9 (5): e022105. <u>https://doi.org/1</u> 0.1136/bmjopen-2018-022105.

Victora, Cesar G., Rajiv Bahl, Aluísio J. D. Barros, Giovanny V. A. França, Susan Horton, Julia Krasevec, Simon Murch, Mari Jeeva Sankar, Neff Walker, and Nigel C. Rollins. 2016. "Breastfeeding in the 21st Century: Epidemiology, Mechanisms, and Lifelong Effect." *The Lancet* 387 (10017): 475–90. <u>https://doi.o rg/10.1016/s0140-6736(15)01024-7</u>.

Weber, M. W., E. K. Mulholland, S. Jaffar, et al. 1997. "Evaluation of an Algorithm for the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness in an Area with Seasonal Malaria in the Gambia." *Bull World Health Organ* 75 (Suppl 1): 25–32.

WHO. 2005. Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment (ETAT): Manual for Participants. Geneva: World Health Organization. <u>http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstrea</u> m/handle/10665/43386/9241546875\_eng.pdf.

———. 2013a. "Updates on the Management of Severe Acute Malnutrition in Infants and Children (Guideline." 2013. <u>http://www.who.int/nutrition/publ</u> <u>ications/guidelines/updates\_management\_SAM\_infan</u> <u>tandchildren/en/index.html</u>.

———. 2013b. Pocket Book of Hospital Care for Children: Guidelines for the Management of Common Childhood Illnesses. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization.

——. 2014. IMCI Chart Booklet. Geneva: World Health Organization. <u>https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/defaul</u> <u>t-source/mca-documents/child/imci-integrated-mana</u> <u>gement-of-childhood-illness/imci-in-service-trainin</u> <u>g/imci-chart-booklet.pdf?sfvrsn=f63af425\_1</u>.

———. 2016a. *Paediatric Emergency Triage, Assessment and Treatment: Updated Guideline*. Switzerland: World Health Organization. <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/handl e/10665/204463</u>.

 — —. 2016b. Towards a Grand Convergence for Child Survival and Health: A Strategic Review of Options for the Future Building on Lessons Learnt from IMNCI. Geneva: World Health Organization. <u>https://apps.wh o.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/251855/WHO-MC</u> <u>A-16.04-eng.pdf</u>.

———. 2019. Integrated Management of Childhood Illness: Management of the Sick Young Infant Aged up to 2 Months: IMCI Chart Booklet. Geneva: World Health Organization.https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/ 326448.

WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. 2006. WHO Child Growth Standards: Length/Height-for-Age, Weight-for-Age, Weight-for-Length, Weight-for-Height and Body Mass Index-for-Age: Methods and Development. Geneva: World Health Organization. htt ps://www.who.int/publications/i/item/924154693X.

### ANNEX 1. SEARCH STRATEGY

# SEARCH STRATEGY 1: INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF CHILDHOOD ILLNESS

Search 1: Limited to titles and abstracts 85 results

• (Integrated management of childhood illness or IMCI) AND (tool\* or chart\* or checklist\*)

Search 2: Limited to titles and abstracts 51 results

- (Integrated management of childhood illness or integrated management of newborn and child illness or IMCI or IMNCI)
- AND (tool\* or chart\* or checklist\*)
- AND (design\* or format\* or develop\* or effective\* or uptake or graphic\* or lay out)

Search 3: Limited to titles and abstracts 246 results (no results specifically related to design or visuals)

- (Integrated management of childhood illness or integrated management of newborn and child illness or IMCI or IMNCI)
- AND (design\* or format\* or develop\* or effective\* or uptake or graphic\* or lay out or interface)

Search 4: Limited to titles and abstracts 29 results

- (Integrated management of childhood illness or integrated management of newborn and child illness or IMCI or IMNCI)
- AND (e-tool or e-platform or mobile technolog\* or web-based or application\* or electronic or eIMCI or computer based or automat\* or mobile-assisted or mobile\* or mhealth or telehealth or digital)

SEARCH STRATEGY 2: INTEGRATED CARE PATHWAYS

Search 1: Started reviewing Campbell 1998 for search terms Search 2: Limited to reviews, searched in titles only 3284 results (too many hits to review all)

- (Patient or integrated or coordinated) AND care pathwav\*
- (Care or clinical) AND pathway
- (Anticipated recovery or patient) AND pathway\*
- (patient or integrated or coordinated) AND (pathway design or pathway redesign)
- Structured care method\*

Search 3: Added another line to search 2 and re-ran: limited to reviews, searched in titles only 147 results

• AND design or redesign or development or format or layout or effective\* or improve\* or creat\*

Search 4: Limited to reviews, searched in titles only 33 results

- (Patient or integrated or coordinated or clinical) AND care pathway\*
- AND effective\*

Search 5: Broke down search components: limited to reviews, searched in titles only 37 results

 (Patient or integrated or coordinated) AND care pathway\*

Search 6: Limited to reviews, searched in titles only 11 results (all identified previously)

- (Patient or integrated or coordinated or clinical or care) AND pathway\*
- AND effective\*

Search 7: Limited to reviews, searched in titles only 43 results

- (Patient or integrated or coordinated or clinical or care) AND pathway\*
- AND (e-tool or e-platform or mobile technolog\* or web-based or application\* or electronic or computer based or mobile-assisted or mobile\* or mhealth)

Search 8: Limited to titles and abstracts 50 results

- Integrated care pathway\* or computer-based medical decision support system\*
- AND (e-tool or e-platform or mobile technolog\* or web-based or application\* or electronic or computer based or mobile-assisted or mobile\* or mhealth)

#### SEARCH STRATEGY **3**: GROWTH MONITORING

Search 1: Limited to reviews, searched titles and abstracts 21 results, 121 results w/o review filter

- Growth monitoring
- AND effective\*

Search 2: Limited to titles and abstracts 122 results

- Growth monitoring
- AND (tool\* or chart\* or graph\*)
- AND (design\* or format\* or develop\* or effective\* or uptake or graphic\* or lay out)

Search 3: Limited to titles and abstracts 24 results (none relevant)

- (Child or childhood) AND growth monitoring
- AND (e-tool or e-platform or mobile technolog\* or web-based or application\* or electronic or computer based or automat\* or mobile-assisted or mobile\* or mhealth or digital)

SEARCH STRATEGY 4: PEDIATRIC EARLY WARNING SCORES

Search 1: Google search for PEWS Roland hand searched reference list to identify Chapman and Pashuram

Search 2: Searched titles and abstracts, limited to reviews 11 results

- (Paediatric or pediatric) AND (early warning system or early warning scores)
- OR (paediatric or pediatric) AND alert criteria
- OR (paediatric or pediatric) and (track and trigger tool\*)

• AND effective\* or valid\*

Search 3: Searched titles and abstracts 48 results

- (Paediatric or pediatric) AND (early warning system or early warning scores)
- OR (paediatric or pediatric) AND alert criteria
- OR (paediatric or pediatric) and (track and trigger tool\*)
- AND (tool\* or chart\* or graph\*)
- AND (design\* or format\* or develop\* or uptake or graphic\* or lay out)

Search 4: Limited to titles and abstracts 50 results

- (Paediatric or pediatric) AND (early warning system or early warning scores)
- OR (paediatric or pediatric) AND alert criteria
- OR (paediatric or pediatric) and (track and trigger tool\*)
- OR PEWS
- AND (e-tool or e-platform or mobile technolog\* or web-based or application\* or electronic or computer based or automat\* or mobile-assisted or mobile\* or mhealth or digital)

# SEARCH STRATEGY 5: EMERGENCY TRIAGE ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT

Search 1: Searched titles and abstracts 38 results

- (Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment) or ETAT
- AND effective\* or valid\*

Search 2: Searched titles and abstracts 10 results

- (Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment) or ETAT
- AND (tool\* or chart\* or graph\*)
- AND (design\* or format\* or develop\* or uptake or graphic\* or lay out)

Search 3: Searched titles and abstracts 28 results (none relevant)

- (Emergency Triage Assessment and Treatment) or ETAT
- AND (e-tool or e-platform or mobile technolog\* or web-based or application\* or electronic or computer based or automat\* or mobile-assisted or mobile\* or mhealth or digital)