



Transient internalization of *Campylobacter jejuni* in Amoebae enhances subsequent invasion of human cells

Fauzy Nasher* and Brendan W. Wren*

Abstract

The ubiquitous unicellular eukaryote, *Acanthamoeba*, is known to play a role in the survival and dissemination of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *C. jejuni* is the leading cause of bacterial foodborne gastroenteritis world-wide and is a major public health problem. The ability of *C. jejuni* to interact and potentially invade epithelial cells is thought to be key for disease development in humans. We examined *C. jejuni* grown under standard laboratory conditions, 11168H_{CEA} with that harvested from within *Acanthamoeba castellanii* (11168H_{AC/CEA}) or *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* (11168H_{AP/CEA}), and compared their ability to invade different cell lines. *C. jejuni* harvested from within amoebae had a ~3.7-fold increase in invasiveness into T84 human epithelial cells and a striking ~11-fold increase for re-entry into *A. castellanii* cells. We also investigated the invasiveness and survivability of six diverse representative *C. jejuni* strains within *Acanthamoeba* spp., our results confirm that invasion and survivability is likely host-cell-dependent. Our survival assay data led us to conclude that *Acanthamoeba* spp. are a transient host for *C. jejuni* and that survival within amoebae pre-adapts *C. jejuni* and enhances subsequent cell invasion. This study provides new insight into *C. jejuni* interactions with amoebae and its increase jotential in mammalian hosts.

INTRODUCTION

Campylobacter jejuni is the leading cause of bacterial food-borne gastroenteritis worldwide [1]. However, it is puzzling that this microaerophile bacterium that is incapable of growing under atmospheric conditions [2] can be so prevalent in the environment and be responsible for such widespread disease in humans. It is still unclear how this pathogen survives and thrives in the environment outside its warm-blooded avian and mammalian hosts. Several studies have reported survival of *C. jejuni* within free-living protozoa, such as amoebae, as a mode of survival and persistence in the environment [3–5].

Free-living amoebae are widely distributed in the environment and have been isolated from a range of sources including freshwater, seawater, soil, dust and food sources [6, 7]. Amoebae, including *Acanthamoeba* spp., have long been investigated for their role to phagocytose bacteria as prey, to serve as a vector or a host to pathogenic bacteria, including *Campylobacter* spp., *Legionella* spp., *Mycobacterium* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. [5, 8, 9]. *Acanthamoeba* spp. as a vector and/or a host include aiding in bacterial survival with or without multiplication. Growth and multiplication of bacteria can lead to subsequent lysis of the amoebae and release of bacteria [9]. This 'Trojan horse' principal for bacterial pathogens has been linked to disease outbreaks in contaminated water [10] and food sources [11].

The phenomenon of *C. jejuni* survival within amoebae has been previously studied without any definitive insight into its role in human disease. Here, we show that survival within amoebae pre-adapts *C. jejuni* and enhances subsequent invasion to mammalian cells, which could lead to increased disease in mammalian hosts including humans.

Author affiliations: ¹London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK.

*Correspondence: Fauzy Nasher, fauzy.nasher1@lshtm.ac.uk; Brendan W. Wren, brendan.wren@lshtm.ac.uk

Keywords: Campylobacter jejuni; Acanthamoeba castellanii; Acanthamoeba polyphaga; invasion; survival.

Abbreviations: CBA, Columbia blood agar; CFU, colony forming unit; FBS, fetal bovine serum; GFP, green fluorescent protein; LSM, laser scanning microscopy; PYG, peptone yeast glucose.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. This article was made open access via a Publish and Read agreement between the Microbiology Society and the corresponding author's institution.

Received 25 November 2021; Accepted 19 January 2022; Published 17 February 2022

Strain	Description	Multi locus sequence type	Reference
11168 h	A hyper-motile derivative of the original sequence strain NCTC 11168 that shows higher levels of caecal colonization in a chick colonization model	ST-21	Karlyshev <i>et al.</i> [38], Jones <i>et al.</i> [39],
81-176	Highly virulent and widely studied laboratory strain of C. jejuni. MLST	ST-42	Korlath et al. [40],
12912	Ox liver portion isolate	HS Type-50	Gundogdu et al. [41],
M1	A rarely documented case of direct transmission of <i>C. jejuni</i> from chicken to a person, resulting in enteritis	ST-45	Friis et al. [42],
81116	Genetically stable strain which remains infective in avian models	ST-283	Wassenaar et al. [43],
RM1221	A chicken isolate with unique lipooligosaccharide and ability to colonize chicken skin	ST-354	Fouts et al. [44],
11168H _{CBA}	Grown under standard laboratory conditions		This study
11168H _{AC/CBA}	Strain 11168h harvested after survival in Acanthamoeba castellanii		This study
11168H _{AP/CBA}	Strain 11168h harvested after survival in Acanthamoeba polyphaga		This study
11168H _{GFP}	Strain 11168h expressing green fluorescent protein		Jervis <i>et al.</i> [14],

Table 1. Representative C. jejuni strains used in this study

METHODS

Strains and cultures

Bacteria were stored using Protect bacterial preservers (Technical Service Consultants, Heywood, UK) at -80 °C. *C. jejuni* strains were streaked on blood agar (BA) plates containing Columbia agar base (Oxoid) supplemented with 7% (v/v) horse blood (TCS Microbiology, UK) and Campylobacter Selective Supplement (Oxoid), and grown at 37 °C in a microaerobic chamber (Don Whitley Scientific, UK), containing 85% N₂, 10% CO₂, and 5% O₂ for 48 h. *C. jejuni* strains were grown on CBA plates for a further 16 h at 37 °C prior to use.

Acanthamoeba castellanii CCAP 1501/10 and Acanthamoeba polyphaga CCAP 1501/14 [Culture collection of Algae and protozoa (Scottish Marine Institute)] were grown to confluence at 25 °C in 75 cm² tissue culture flasks containing peptone yeast and glucose (PYG) media [12]. Amoebae were harvested by scraping the cells into suspension, and viability was determined by staining with trypan blue and counting by a haemocytometer using light microscopy.

C. jejuni invasion and survival assay

C. jejuni 11168 h, a derivative of the original sequence strain NCTC 11168 was used in this study. C. jejuni cells were either grown on CBA agar (11168H_{CRA}) as described above or harvested after intracellular survival in A. castellanii (11168H_{ACICRA}) or A. polyphaga (11168H_{AP/CBA}) (Table 1), before invasion of epithelial cells and re-invasion of amoebae. Briefly, a large-scale invasion of Acanthamoeba spp. was carried out in a 150 cm² tissue culture flask (Falcon), a monolayer of approximately 10⁶ amoebae was infected with C. jejuni at a m.o.i. of 200:1 for 3 h at 25 °C in PYG media (although it is possible that density can impact amoebae predation through density-dependent inhibition, the m.o.i. of 200:1 was chosen to allow maximal internalization of bacteria). The monolayer was washed $3\times$ with 25 ml of PYG media and incubated for 2 h in 25 ml of PYG media containing 100 μ g ml⁻¹ of gentamicin. C. jejuni cells were harvested by scraping the amoebae into suspension and centrifuged for 10 min at 350 g to pellet the bacteria and amoebae. Supernatant was discarded and the pellet was suspended in 1 ml of distilled water containing 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature to lyse the amoebae and release bacteria cells [13], lysis was confirmed by light microscopy. The suspension was then centrifuged for a further 10 min at 4000 g, the resultant pellet was resuspended in 1 ml PBS and 200 µl aliquots of this suspension were plated on CBA plates and incubated microaerobically for 48 h at 37 °C to ensure recovery of enough bacteria. For invasion assay, the experiment was performed as described above, with an additional step of serial dilutions and plating out bacteria for c.f.u. C. jejuni invasion of Acanthamoeba spp. was confirmed after 3 h infection with 11168H_{GEP} C. jejuni strain Fig. 1. Invasion assay was performed as described above using C. jejuni strain 11168H_{GEP} that expresses a green fluorescent protein (GFP) that is under the control of *porA* promoter as described previously [14]. Laser scanning microscopy (Axion) was used to visualize internalized bacteria at objective 63×/1.4 (oil).

For survival assay, the experiment was performed as described above with the following modifications; cells were incubated in the respective media containing $10 \,\mu g \, m l^{-1}$ of gentamicin, at each indicated timepoint, the cells were washed three times with PBS to remove residual antibiotics and lysed to plate for enumeration as described above.



Fig. 1. Laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSM). Observation of GFP labelled *C. jejuni* strain 11168_{GFP} within (a) *A. polyphaga* and (b) *A. castellanii* after 3 h infection. *C. jejuni* is observed as green fluorescent within the amoebae cells. A monolayer of amoebae (10⁶) in a 35 mm imaging dish (Ibidi) were infected with *C. jejuni* to a m.o.i. of 200 and incubated for 3 h aerobically at 25 °C, cells were washed 3× before imaging. Laser scanning microscopy (Axion) was used to image the cells at objective 63×/1.4 oil. *C. jejuni* 11168_{GFP} strain was constructed as described previously [14].

Human cell lines and culture conditions

T84 (human carcinoma cell line) and Caco-2 (human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium and Ham's F-12 (DMEM/F-12) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% non-essential amino acid. The monolayers, ~10⁵, were seeded in a 24-well tissue culture plates and were grown up to ~10⁶ in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere and were then infected with 11168H_{CEA}, 11168H_{AC/CEA} or 11168H_{AP/CEA} *C. jejuni* at m.o.i. of 200:1 for 3 h as described previously [15]. The monolayers were then washed three times with PBS, incubated in DMEM containing gentamicin (100 µg ml⁻¹) for 2 h at 37 °C to kill extracellular bacteria, the cells were then washed 3× with PBS and then lysed with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100. The cell lysates were serially diluted and plated onto blood agar plates and incubated for 48 h before colonies were enumerated. Experiments were performed in triplicates of three biological replicates. To normalize the numbers of intracellular bacteria recovered, and to confirm c.f.u. consistency of *C. jejuni* cells, the initial bacterial inoculum was always plated.

Galleria mellonella infection model

G.mellonella larvae (LiveFoods) were kept on wood chips at room temperature. Experiments were performed as previously described [16]. Briefly, 11168H_{CBA}, 11168H_{AS/CBA} or 11168H_{AP/CBA}*C. jejuni* were suspended in PBS to give OD_{600nm} 0.1 and 10 µl volume of this suspension (~10⁶) was injected into the right foremost leg of the *G. mellonella* larvae by microinjection (Hamilton) and incubated at 37 °C. For each strain, ten larvae with similar weight were used per replicate. Mortality was observed at 24 h intervals for 72 h.

Statistical analysis

All experiments presented are at least three biological replicates. All data were analysed using Prism statistical software (version 9, GraphPad Software). Values were presented as standard deviation and variables were compared for significance using Student's *t*-tests to obtain *P*-values unless otherwise stated.



Fig. 2. *C. jejuni* 11168 h harvested from *Acanthamoeba* spp. (a) invasion of T84 cells; (b) Caco-2 cells; (c) *A. castellanii*; and (d) *A. polyphaga*. Invasion of strain 11168 H_{CBA} , 11168 $H_{AC/CBA}$ or 11168 $H_{AP/CBA}$ were determined by infection of the cell lines for 3 h and enumerated after 100 µg ml⁻¹ gentamicin treatment and lysis of cell. Data is presented as percentage of the original inoculum (~10⁸). Error bars represent sp from three independent experiments. **P*≤0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Survival of C. jejuni in Acanthamoeba increases subsequent invasion

C. jejuni cells that had survived within *Acanthamoeba* spp. were tested for their ability to invade T84, Caco-2, *A. castellanii* and *A. polyphaga* cells, Fig. 2. Invasion of all cells (human cell lines and amoebae cells) increased significantly (P<0.05) with bacteria harvested from amoebae, 11168H_{AC/CBA} or 11168H_{AP/CBA}, more than with 11168H_{CBA} *C. jejuni*.

We observed that bacteria passaged through amoebae had an increased capacity to invade human epithelial cells compared to non-passaged 11168H_{CBA} *C. jejuni* with a relative increase in invasion of ~3.7-fold for 1168H_{AC/CBA} and ~2.8-fold for 11168H_{AP/} in T84-cells (Fig. 2a). In Caco-2 cells, we also observed a significant increase in relative invasion, although to a lesser extent, with ~2.4-fold for 11168H_{AC/CBA} and ~1.6-fold for 11168H_{AP/CBA} (Fig. 2b). The levels of invasion varied dependent on the cell type, however, it was always significantly higher than that of 11168H_{CBA} bacteria. These results indicated that *C. jejuni* cells that survived intracellularly in amoebae undergo priming and are more invasive, this increased invasiveness could lead to a more severe disease in humans. This priming adaptive response has been reported in bacteria [17], including *C. jejuni*



Fig. 3. Long-term survival of *C. jejuni* with *Acanthamoeba* spp. *C. jejuni* strains 11168 h or 81–176 survival in (a) *A. polyphaga*; (b) *A. castellanii* in PYG media, and (c) *A. polyphaga*; (d) *A. castellanii* in brucella broth at 37 °C in aerobic conditions. Amoebae were lysed for enumeration of live bacteria at 24 h interval for 72 h after 10 μ g ml⁻¹ gentamicin treatment. Data is presented as percentage of the original inoculum (~10⁸). Error bars represent sp from three independent experiments. Two-way ANOVA multiple comparison was used to test for significance; ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001, ****P*<0.0001. ND=no bacteria detected.

adaptive tolerance to low pH [18]. To our knowledge, this is the first study that shows *C. jejuni* survival within amoebae enhances subsequent invasion of human epithelial cells. This provides novel insight into the interactions of *C. jejuni* with protists.

To determine whether this increase in invasion would also be observed in amoebae, we performed re-infection of the *Acan-thamoeba* spp. with $11168H_{CBA}$, $11168H_{AC/CBA}$ or $11168H_{AP/CBA}$ *C. jejuni*. Interestingly, re-infection of *Acanthamoeba* spp. showed a significant increase in invasion with bacteria passaged through amoebae compared to non-passaged bacteria, $11168H_{CBA}$. *A. castellanii* invasion showed significant increase of ~9.2-fold with $11168H_{AC/CBA}$ and ~11-fold with $11168H_{AP/CBA}$. *jejuni* (Fig. 2c). The same trend was observed for *A. polyphaga* re-infection, ~5.0-fold increase in invasion with $11168H_{AC/CBA}$ and ~7.0-fold with $11168H_{AP/CBA}$. *jejuni* (Fig. 2d). To ensure that the observed increased in invasion was not caused by resistance to gentamicin; sensitivity tests were performed, and revealed no significant (*P*<0.05) differences between $11168H_{CBA}$ and amoebae recovered *C. jejuni* (data not shown).

The dramatic invasion of $11168H_{AC/CBA}$ and $11168H_{AP/CBA}$ within amoebae may be attributed to the higher background of nonspecific uptake of bacteria by amoebae including non-pathogenic bacteria. However, given that increase in invasion was observed across all the cell types used in this study, it is more likely that amoebae recovered bacteria have pre-adapted to subsequently invade and survive at a greater rate than that of $11168H_{CBA}$ bacteria. This finding may be unsurprising, since the symbiotic relationship between amoebae and bacteria has been thought to pre-adapt intracellular microorganisms to survive in other cells including human macrophages [19]. This eco-evo hypothesis [20] is observed with *Chlamydia* spp. and *Legionella pneumophilia*, which use similar strategies to interact with various hosts cells and most probably evolved over millions of years during bacterial interactions with primitive unicellular eukaryotes [19, 21, 22]. This greater invasiveness of *C. jejuni* within amoebae could facilitate longer survival which may lead to an increased ability of *Campylobacter* to survive and subsequently transmit to humans from environmental sources.





Fig. 4. The effect of $11168H_{CBA}$, $11168H_{AC/CBA}$ and $11168H_{AP/CBA}$ in the *G. mellonella* infection model. *G. mellonella* larvae were injected with a $10 \,\mu$ l inoculum of *C. jejuni* 10^6 c.f.u. by microinjection in the right foremost leg. PBS was used as control. Larvae were incubated at $37 \,^{\circ}$ C, with survival and appearance recorded after 72 h. For each experiment, ten *G. mellonella* larvae were infected, and experiments were repeated in triplicate. Error bars represent sD. Cytotoxicity was monitored at 24 h intervals for 72 h.

Acanthamoeba spp. are a transient host for C. jejuni

There have been conflicting reports on intracellular multiplication of *C. jejuni* within amoebae [5, 23]. Whilst some have reported *C. jejuni* is capable of multiplying within *Acanthamoeba* spp. [3, 12, 23] others have been unable to observe intracellular multiplication [4, 24]. In our model, we were unable to detect intra-amoebae multiplication of 11168 h and 81–176 *C. jejuni* strains (Fig. 3) in different media, PYG (Fig. 3a and b) or in brucella broth (a highly nutritious media used to enrich *C. jejuni* growth and can sustain *Acanthamoeba* spp.) (Fig. 3c and d), aerobically at 37 °C as previously described [12, 23]. We found that *C. jejuni* cells were undetected at 72 h post-infection. These findings led us to conclude that amoebae, at least, the *Acanthamoeba* spp. used in this study are a transient host for *C. jejuni*. A previous study presented a hypothetical model suggesting that in the environment *C. jejuni* multiplies within amoebae, potentially bursting out and re-invading neighbouring amoebic cells [12]. The experimental data presented here partly confirms their hypothesis, however, since we did not observe intracellular multiplication of *C. jejuni*, we propose that it is more likely that in the environment outside the host, *C. jejuni* would invade *Acanthamoeba* cells briefly, but frequently, with increased invasion efficiency. This strategy increases the chances of this bacterium to be transmitted to warm-blooded avian and mammalian hosts.



Fig. 5. Quantification of *C. jejuni* strains' survival within *Acanthamoeba species.C. jejuni* strains 11168 h, 81–176, 12912, M1, 81116 and RM1221 in *A. polyphaga* and *A. castellanii*. Quantification of intracellular bacteria was determined by viable counts at 3 h and 24 h after amoebae infection at 25 °C in aerobic conditions. Data is presented as percentage of the original inoculum (\sim 10⁸). Error bars represent sD from three independent experiments. Two-way ANOVA multiple comparison was used to test for significance; **P*≤0.05, ***P*<0.001, ****P*<0.001. ND=no bacteria detected.

C. jejuni survival in amoebae is not cytotoxic to G. mellonella larvae infection model

Increased invasion of the different cell lines prompted us to examine whether *C. jejuni* passaged through amoebae would be more cytotoxic for *G. mellonella* larvae compared to $11168H_{CBA}$ bacteria. Using this surrogate infection model, we did not observe any significant cytotoxic differences between $11168H_{CBA}$, $11168H_{AC/CBA}$ or $11168H_{AP/CBA}C$. *jejuni* towards *G. mellonella* larvae Fig. 4.

Although this infection model has been previously used to determine *C. jejuni* cytotoxicity [15, 16, 25], we cannot rule out that significant differences may be observed in avian and mammalian host cells. A previous study by Snelling *et al.* showed increased chicken colonization after 7 days post-infection with intra-amoebae *C. jejuni* [26]. It would be worth studying cytotoxicity in chicken colonization/infection models.

A. castellanii supports greater survival of C. jejuni

In the environment, *C. jejuni* would encounter multiple species of amoebae. We examined the invasiveness and survivability of six *C. jejuni* strains; 11168 h, 81–176, 12912, M1, 81116 and RM1221 within *A. castellanii* and *A. polyphaga* Fig. 5. These strains were selected because of their diverse genetic backgrounds and sources, thus our observations are more representative of the *C. jejuni* species (Table 1).

We observed a general trend of a greater survival rate of *C. jejuni* strains within *A. castellanii* compared to *A. polyphaga* in PYG at 25 °C under aerobic conditions. Our results show differences in invasiveness and survival capabilities between the range of the *C. jejuni* strains tested. Interestingly, these results are similar to previous studies that correlated invasiveness and survivability of *C. jejuni* is both bacterial strain and host-cell-dependent [27–30]. In our amoebae model, the greater survivability of *C. jejuni* within *A. castellanii* seems to be a host susceptibility factor rather than being bacterial induced. This phenomenon was also reported in other bacteria, where greater levels of invasion and intracellular survivability of *Listeria monocytogenes* was observed in *A. castellanii* [31] compared to other *Acanthamoeba* spp. A recent review proposed that invasion and intracellular occurrences of microbes within amoebae is dependent on the genotype of the host [32]. Based on 18S RNA sequence studies, *A. castellanii* is from the T4 genotype [33] whilst *A. polyphaga* is from the T2 genotype [34], although how host genotype plays a role in intracellular survival remains unknown.

The mechanisms of survival within amoebae has been compared to that of macrophages, and a review by Vieira *et al.* [5], predicted various factors that *C. jejuni* could utilize to invade and survive within amoebae cells. Although survivability of *C. jejuni* in our model is most likely host-dependent, it would be intriguing to determine the bacterial factors involved. Unlike other enteric pathogens, the *C. jejuni* genome is relatively small at 1.6 Mb [35, 36], and this bacterium would plausibly use the same factors to invade and survive within amoebae as it would for avian and mammalian host cells. Therefore, elucidating these factors may give new insights into *Campylobacter* infection, which compared to other enteric pathogens is poorly understood. Future studies could use molecular tools such as genome-wide transposon mutant libraries of multiple *C. jejuni* strains like those used by de Vries *et al.* [37], to help improve our understanding of *Campylobacter* infection. This study communicates our observations that sets the scene for future work to uncover the mechanisms of *C. jejuni* interactions with amoebae and may provide new insights into the persistence of this problematic pathogen.

In conclusion, given the diverse selection of *C. jejuni* strains tested, this consistent data provides insight into a natural phenomenon that will be important in *Campylobacter* survival, transmission and infection. Additionally, the comparison between the two species of amoebae gives valuable information for future work. We propose that *Acanthamoeba* spp. are a transient host for *C. jejuni* and survival within this 'Trojan horse' environment subsequently increases *C. jejuni* invasiveness.

Funding information

This work was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Institute Strategic Program BB/R012504/1 constituent project BBS/E/F/000PR10349 to B.W.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Claire Rogers and Debbie Nolder for their advice on handling *Acanthamoeba*. Geunhye Hong for seeding mammalian epithelial cells line and Elizabeth McCarthy for generating microscopy images. F.N. conceptualized the study, designed experiments and performed all experiments; F.N. and B.W. wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Igwaran A, Okoh AI. Human campylobacteriosis: A public health concern of global importance. *Heliyon* 2019;5:e02814.
- Hilbert F, Scherwitzel M, Paulsen P, Szostak MP, et al. Survival of Campylobacter jejuni under conditions of atmospheric oxygen tension with the support of Pseudomonas spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010;76:5911–5917.
- Olofsson J, Axelsson-Olsson D, Brudin L, Olsen B, Ellström P, et al. Campylobacter jejuni actively invades the amoeba Acanthamoeba polyphaga and survives within non digestive vacuoles. PLoS One 2013;8:e78873.
- Baré J, Sabbe K, Huws S, Vercauteren D, Braeckmans K, et al. Influence of temperature, oxygen and bacterial strain identity on the association of Campylobacter jejuni with Acanthamoeba castellanii. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2010;74:371–381.
- Vieira A, Seddon AM, Karlyshev AV. Campylobacter-Acanthamoeba interactions. *Microbiology (Reading)* 2015;161:933–947.
- Balczun C, Scheid PL. Free-living amoebae as hosts for and vectors of intracellular microorganisms with public health significance. *Viruses* 2017;9:E65.
- Visvesvara GS, Moura H, Schuster FL. Pathogenic and opportunistic free-living amoebae: Acanthamoeba spp., Balamuthia mandrillaris, Naegleria fowleri, and Sappinia diploidea. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2007;50:1–26.
- Thomas V, McDonnell G, Denyer SP, Maillard J-Y, et al. Free-living amoebae and their intracellular pathogenic microorganisms: risks for water quality. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2010;34:231–259.
- Siddiqui R, Khan NA. Biology and pathogenesis of Acanthamoeba. Parasit Vectors 2012;5:6.
- Guimaraes AJ, Gomes KX, Cortines JR, Peralta JM, Peralta RHS, et al. Acanthamoeba spp. as a universal host for pathogenic microorganisms: One bridge from environment to host virulence. Microbiol Res 2016;193:30–38.
- Schuppler M. How the interaction of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Acanthamoeba* spp. affects growth and distribution of the food borne pathogen. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2014;98:2907–2916.

- 12. Vieira A, Ramesh A, Seddon AM, Karlyshev AV, et al. CmeABC Multidrug Efflux Pump Contributes to Antibiotic Resistance and Promotes Campylobacter jejuni Survival and Multiplication in Acanthamoeba polyphaga. Appl Environ Microbiol 2017;83:e01600-17.
- Vieira A. Acanthamoeba as a Model for the Investigation of the Molecular Mechanisms of Campylobacter jejuni Pathogenesis and Survival in the Environment. Kingston University, 2017.
- 14. Jervis AJ, Butler JA, Wren BW, Linton D, *et al.* Chromosomal integration vectors allowing flexible expression of foreign genes in *Campylobacter jejuni. BMC Microbiol* 2015;15:230.
- Elmi A, Watson E, Sandu P, Gundogdu O, Mills DC, et al. Campylobacter jejuni outer membrane vesicles play an important role in bacterial interactions with human intestinal epithelial cells. Infect Immun 2012;80:4089–4098.
- Champion OL, Karlyshev AV, Senior NJ, Woodward M, La Ragione R, et al. Insect infection model for *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals that 0-methyl phosphoramidate has insecticidal activity. *J Infect Dis* 2010;201:776–782.
- Paramythiotis S, Skandamis PN. Adaptive response of bacteria: Multiple hurdles, cross tolerance and tools to illustrate underlying mechanisms. in AIP Conference Proceedings. Kos, Greece: American Institute of Physics; 2015.
- Murphy C, Carroll C, Jordan KN. Induction of an adaptive tolerance response in the foodborne pathogen, Campylobacter jejuni. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2003;223:89–93.
- Molmeret M, Horn M, Wagner M, Santic M, Abu Kwaik Y, et al. Amoebae as training grounds for intracellular bacterial pathogens. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005;71:20–28.
- 20. Pallen MJ, Wren BW. Bacterial pathogenomics. *Nature* 2007;449:835–842.
- 21. Segal G, Shuman HA. Legionella pneumophila utilizes the same genes to multiply within Acanthamoeba castellanii and human macrophages. Infect Immun 1999;67:2117–2124.
- 22. Best A, Price C, Ozanic M, Santic M, Jones S, *et al.* A *Legionella pneumophila* amylase is essential for intracellular replication in human macrophages and amoebae. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:6340.

- Axelsson-Olsson D, Waldenström J, Broman T, Olsen B, Holmberg M, et al. Protozoan Acanthamoeba polyphaga as a potential reservoir for Campylobacter jejuni. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:987–992.
- Bui XT, Winding A, Qvortrup K, Wolff A, Bang DD, et al. Survival of Campylobacter jejuni in co-culture with Acanthamoeba castellanii: role of amoeba-mediated depletion of dissolved oxygen. Environ Microbiol 2012;14:2034–2047.
- Bojanić K, Acke E, Roe WD, Marshall JC, Cornelius AJ, et al. Comparison of the pathogenic potential of *Campylobacter jejuni*, *C. upsaliensis* and *C. helveticus* and limitations of using larvae of *Galleria mellonella* as an infection model. *Pathogens* 2020;9:713.
- Snelling WJ, Stern NJ, Lowery CJ, Moore JE, Gibbons E, et al. Colonization of broilers by Campylobacter jejuni internalized within Acanthamoeba castellanii. Arch Microbiol 2008;189:175–179.
- Konkel ME, Joens LA. Adhesion to and invasion of HEp-2 cells by Campylobacter spp. Infect Immun 1989;57:2984–2990.
- Newell DG, McBride H, Saunders F, Dehele Y, Pearson AD, et al. The virulence of clinical and environmental isolates of Campylobacter jejuni. J Hyg (Lond) 1985;94:45–54.
- Everest PH, Goossens H, Butzler JP, Lloyd D, Knutton S, et al. Differentiated Caco-2 cells as a model for enteric invasion by Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli. J Med Microbiol 1992;37:319–325.
- Kovács JK, Cox A, Schweitzer B, Maróti G, Kovács T, et al. Virulence traits of inpatient Campylobacter jejuni isolates, and a transcriptomic approach to identify potential genes maintaining intracellular survival. Microorganisms 2020;8:531.
- 31. Nale Y. Role of Acanthamoeba spp. in the environmental survival of Listeria monocytogenes. University of Leicester, 2011.
- Rayamajhee B, Subedi D, Peguda HK, Willcox MD, Henriquez FL, et al. A systematic review of intracellular microorganisms within Acanthamoeba to understand potential impact for infection. Pathogens 2021;10:225.
- Booton GC, Visvesvara GS, Byers TJ, Kelly DJ, Fuerst PA, et al. Identification and distribution of Acanthamoeba species genotypes associated with nonkeratitis infections. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:1689–1693.

- Jercic MI, Aguayo C, Saldarriaga-Córdoba M, Muiño L, Chenet SM, et al. Genotypic diversity of Acanthamoeba strains isolated from Chilean patients with Acanthamoeba keratitis. Parasit Vectors 2019;12:58.
- Burnham PM, Hendrixson DR. Campylobacter jejuni: collective components promoting a successful enteric lifestyle. Nat Rev Microbiol 2018;16:551–565.
- Bronowski C, James CE, Winstanley C. Role of environmental survival in transmission of *Campylobacter jejuni*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2014;356:8–19.
- 37. de Vries SP, Gupta S, Baig A, Wright E, Wedley A, et al. Genomewide fitness analyses of the foodborne pathogen Campylobacter jejuni in vitro and in vivo models. Sci Rep 2017;7:1251.
- Karlyshev AV, Linton D, Gregson NA, Wren BW, et al. A novel paralogous gene family involved in phase-variable flagellamediated motility in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Microbiology (Reading)* 2002;148:473–480.
- Jones MA, Marston KL, Woodall CA, Maskell DJ, Linton D, et al. Adaptation of Campylobacter jejuni NCTC11168 to high-level colonization of the avian gastrointestinal tract. Infect Immun 2004;72:3769–3776.
- Korlath JA, Osterholm MT, Judy LA, Forfang JC, Robinson RA, et al. A point-source outbreak of campylobacteriosis associated with consumption of raw milk. J Infect Dis 1985;152:592–596.
- 41. Gundogdu O, da Silva DT, Mohammad B, Elmi A, Wren BW, et al. The *Campylobacter jejuni* oxidative stress regulator *RrpB* is associated with a genomic hypervariable region and altered oxidative stress resistance. *Front Microbiol* 2016;7:2117.
- Friis C, Wassenaar TM, Javed MA, Snipen L, Lagesen K, et al. Genomic characterization of Campylobacter jejuni strain M1. PLoS One 2010;5:e12253.
- Wassenaar TM, Bleumink-Pluym NM, van der Zeijst BA. Inactivation of *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellin genes by homologous recombination demonstrates that flaA but not flaB is required for invasion. *EMBO J* 1991;10:2055–2061.
- 44. Fouts DE, Mongodin EF, Mandrell RE, Miller WG, Rasko DA, et al. Major structural differences and novel potential virulence mechanisms from the genomes of multiple campylobacter species. *PLoS Biol* 2005;3:e15.

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

- 1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
- 2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
- 3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
- 4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
- 5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.