

OXFORD

The Anti-Circumsporozoite Antibody Response of Children to Seasonal Vaccination With the RTS,S/AS01_E Malaria Vaccine

Issaka Sagara,^{1,a} Issaka Zongo,^{2,a} Matthew Cairns,³ Rakiswendé Serge Yerbanga,² Almahamoudou Mahamar,¹ Frédéric Nikièma,² Amadou Tapily,¹ Frédéric Sompougdou,² Modibo Diarra,¹ Charles Zoungrana,² Djibrilla Issiaka,¹ Alassane Haro,² Koualy Sanogo,¹ Abdoul Aziz Sienou,² Mahamadou Kaya,¹ Seydou Traore,¹ Ismaila Thera,¹ Kalifa Diarra¹, Amagana Dolo,¹ Irene Kuepfer,³ Paul Snell,³ Paul Milligan,³ Christian Ockenhouse,⁴ Opokua Ofori-Anyinam,⁵ Halidou Tinto,² Abdoulaye Djimde,¹ Jean-Bosco Ouedraogo,² Alassane Dicko,¹ Daniel Chandramohan,³ and Brian Greenwood³

¹The Malaria Research and Training Center, University of Sciences, Techniques and Technologies, Bamako, Mali; ²Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso; ³London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom; ⁴PATH, Seattle, Washington, USA; and ⁵GSK Vaccines, Rixensart, Belgium

Background. A trial in African children showed that combining seasonal vaccination with the RTS,S/AS01_F vaccine with seasonal malaria chemoprevention reduced the incidence of uncomplicated and severe malaria compared with either intervention given alone. Here, we report on the anti-circumsporozoite antibody response to seasonal RTS,S/AS01, vaccination in children in this trial.

Methods. Sera from a randomly selected subset of children collected before and 1 month after 3 priming doses of RTS,S/AS01₁ and before and 1 month after 2 seasonal booster doses were tested for anti-circumsporozoite antibodies using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. The association between post-vaccination antibody titer and incidence of malaria was explored.

Results. A strong anti-circumsporozoite antibody response to 3 priming doses of RTS,S/AS01, was seen (geometric mean titer, 368.9 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay units/mL), but titers fell prior to the first booster dose. A strong antibody response to an annual, pre-malaria transmission season booster dose was observed, but this was lower than after the primary vaccination series and lower after the second than after the first booster dose (ratio of geometric mean rise, 0.66; 95% confidence interval [CI], .57-.77). Children whose antibody response was in the upper tercile post-vaccination had a lower incidence of malaria during the following year than children in the lowest tercile (hazard ratio, 0.43; 95% CI, .28-.66).

Conclusions. Seasonal vaccination with RTS,S/AS01_F induced a strong booster antibody response that was lower after the second than after the first booster dose. The diminished antibody response to the second booster dose was not associated with diminished efficacy.

Clinical Trials Registration. NCT03143218.

Keywords. anti-circumsporozoite antibody; RTS,S/AS01_E vaccine; seasonal vaccination; Mali; Burkina Faso.

Malaria transmission is highly seasonal in 6 of the 10 African countries where malaria is not well controlled as identified by the World Health Organization [1]. Widespread deployment of seasonal malaria chemoprevention (SMC) has had a substantial impact on malaria in children in these areas [2]. However, in many parts of the Sahel and sub-Sahel, malaria remains the most frequent cause of death and hospital admission in young children [3]. Taking advantage of the high

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2022:XX(XX):1-10 initial efficacy of the RTS,S/AS01_n malaria vaccine [4, 5], we have suggested that RTS,S/AS01_F could be deployed in these areas as a seasonal vaccine [6]. This concept has been tested in a trial undertaken in 5920 children in Burkina Faso and Mali during 2017-2020. Seasonal vaccination with RTS,S/ AS01_E was noninferior to SMC in preventing clinical episodes of malaria, and the combination of RTS, $S/AS01_{\rm F}$ with SMC was markedly superior to either intervention given alone in preventing uncomplicated cases of malaria, severe malaria requiring hospital admission, and death from malaria [7]. Here, we report on the anti-circumsporozoite (anti-CSP) antibody titers measured in a subset of trial children sampled before and after 3 priming doses of RTS,S/AS01_E and before and after 2 subsequent booster doses given just prior to the malaria transmission season, together with the correlation between anti-CSP antibody titer following vaccination and the incidence of episodes of uncomplicated clinical malaria during the subsequent year.

Received 18 June 2021; editorial decision 1 December 2021; published online 11 December 2021

^aI. S. and I. Z. are joint first authors.

Correspondence: B. Greenwood, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel St., London WC1E 7HT, UK (brian.greenwood@lshtm. ac uk)

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1017

METHODS

Trial Design

Blood samples for serologic testing were collected during the course of an individually randomized, controlled trial designed to determine whether seasonal vaccination with the RTS,S/AS01_E malaria vaccine was noninferior to SMC in preventing clinical episodes of malaria and/or whether the combination was superior to either intervention given alone. The primary trial end point was the incidence of uncomplicated, microscopically confirmed *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria with a density of 5000 parasites per microliter or more. There were a number of additional secondary end points [8].

The 3 main objectives of the serologic substudy were determination of *P. falciparum* anti-CSP antibody titers before and after 3 priming doses of RTS,S/AS01_E and before and after 2 subsequent annual booster doses, whether the magnitude of the anti-CSP antibody response to priming or booster immunization influenced the risk of malaria during the subsequent malaria transmission season, and whether the anti-CSP antibody titer response to annual booster doses of RTS,S/AS01_E was influenced by administration of SMC in the previous malaria transmission season.

Trial Sites and Population

The trial was conducted in Bougouni and Ouélessébougou districts, Mali, and in Houndé district, Burkina Faso. All households within the study areas with children aged 5–17 months on 1 April 2017 were enumerated in February 2017–March 2017. Eligible children whose parent or guardian provided consent for their child to join the trial were allocated randomly to an SMC alone, RTS,S/AS01_E alone, or RTS,S/AS01_E + SMC by an independent statistician.

Interventions

Children in the $RTS,S/AS01_E$ alone or $RTS,S/AS01_E + SMC$ group received 3 doses of $RTS,S/AS01_E$ vaccine (GSK, Rixensart, Belgium) at monthly intervals in April 2017–June 2017 followed by fourth and fifth doses in June 2018 and June 2019, just prior to the malaria transmission season (Figure 1). Children in the SMC alone group received 3 doses of rabies vaccine (Rabipur; Bavarian Nordic A/S, Denmark) in 2017 and a single dose of hepatitis A vaccine (HAVRIX; GSK, Rixensart, Belgium) in 2018 and 2019. The $RTS,S/AS01_E + SMC$ and the SMC alone groups received 4 cycles of SMC at monthly intervals each year, while the $RTS,S/AS01_E$ alone group received 4 cycles of SMC matching placebo. A course of SMC for a child



Figure 1. Schematic showing the interventions given to children in each of the 3 trial groups and their timing in relation to the malaria transmission seasons in 2017, 2018, and 2019. The timing of the collection of serological samples and of the cross-sectional surveys when samples were collected for malaria microscopy are also shown. Abbreviation: SMC, seasonal malaria chemoprevention.

aged >1 year comprised sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine (SP) 500/25 mg and amodiaquine (AQ) 150 mg on day 1 (Guilin Pharmaceuticals, Shanghai, China) and AQ 150 mg only on days 2 and 3. Infants received half of these doses. All doses were administered by project staff under direct observation. All study children were given an insecticide-treated bed net at enrollment in 2017.

Surveillance for Malaria

Project staff based in study health facilities identified and treated all cases of malaria who presented at these facilities using a rapid diagnostic test and obtained a blood film for subsequent microscopy [8]. All hospital admissions of study children were documented by trial staff [8]. Blood films were read by 2 independent microscopists and, in instances of a discrepancy in positivity or density, by a third reader with discrepancies being resolved as described previously [9]. A cross-sectional survey of malaria prevalence was undertaken in all study children 1 month after the last round of SMC administration each year.

Serology

In 2017, approximately 200 children (100 per group) and in 2018 and 2019 approximately 300 children (150 per group) from the $\text{RTS,S/AS01}_{\text{E}}$ alone or $\text{RTS,S/AS01}_{\text{E}}$ + SMC groups, together with 30–40 children from the SMC alone group, were selected at random by an independent statistician using systematic random sampling after sorting by age and sex to ensure that treatment groups were approximately balanced between these 2 variables. The same children were sampled pre- and postvaccination within a study year, but different children were selected each year. The timing of the collection of blood samples in relation to vaccination is shown in Figure 1.

Immunoglobulin G anti-CSP antibody titers were measured using a standardized enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at the CEVAC Laboratory, Ghent University, Belgium [10]. The lower limit of quantification for the assay was 1.9 ELISA units/mL (EU/mL), and samples with a titer below this lower limit (ie, titers with a value of 0) were assigned a titer of 0.95 EU/mL, half the lower limit of detection.

Sample Size and Statistical Analyses

Based on the findings in previous $RTS,S/AS01_E$ trials, large differences in anti-CSP titer between pre- and post-priming or booster vaccinations were anticipated. In order to determine whether prior administration of SMC influenced the immune response to booster vaccination, approximately 160 children who had received $RTS,S/AS01_E$ with or without SMC were selected in 2018 and 2019 to give a study with 80% power to detect a difference of 25%–30% in GMT between children who had received SMC or placebo in the previous year.

Prespecified analyses, approved by the Data Safety and Monitoring Board (DSMB), included (a) calculation of the ratio of post-vaccination to pre-vaccination titers in each year of the study among children who had received the RTS,S/AS01_E vaccine; (b) comparison of the rise in titers after each booster dose; (c) comparison of the post-booster vaccination titers in children who had previously received SMC and those who had not; (d) comparison of the incidence of morbidity outcomes in relation to antibody response using Cox regression models with a robust standard error to account for multiple episodes per child and defining a potential cutoff titer associated with protection from the reverse cumulative plots [11]; and (e) comparison of the prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection at the end of the malaria transmission season in relation to antibody response using Poisson regression with a robust standard error. For comparisons (d) and (e), incidence and prevalence were compared between groups defined by terciles of anti-CSP titer.

Ethics and Trial Oversight

The trial protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine; the Ministry of Health, Burkina Faso; the University of Sciences, Techniques and Technologies of Bamako, Mali; and the national regulatory authorities of Burkina Faso and Mali. The DSMB reviewed serious adverse events, approved the statistical analysis plan, and archived the locked databases prior to unblinding. A steering committee gave scientific advice and monitored progress of the trial. Written, informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians of all children in the trial.

RESULTS

Study Children

A total of 231 pre- and post-vaccination paired blood samples were obtained from study children in 2017, 202 from children who received RTS,S/AS01_E and 29 from children who received a control vaccine. In 2018, pre- and post-vaccination samples were obtained from 317 children, 279 from children who received RTS,S/AS01_E and 38 from children who received a control vaccine. In 2019, 327 pre- and post-vaccination samples were obtained, 291 from children who received RTS,S/AS01_E and 36 from children who received a control vaccine. The mean age and gender of children who were sampled in each study year are shown by study group in Table 1.

Baseline Anti-CSP Antibody Titers and the Anti-CSP Antibody Response to RTS,S/ASO1_EVaccination

No child had an anti-CSP antibody titer above the lower limit of quantification (1.9 EU/mL) prior to vaccination. Two children in the SMC alone group who had not received RTS,S/ $AS01_E$ had a marked increase in anti-CSP antibody titer postvaccination (post-vaccination GMTs 300.7 EU/mL and 728.2 EU/mL, respectively), probably resulting from a labeling error; these children were excluded from the analysis. With the exception of these 2 children, only 3 children in the SMC Table 1. Age, in Months, and Gender of the Pre-Vaccination Contacts in Each Year of the Study

Study Children's			SMC Alone		RTS,S Alone		RTS,S + SMC		Both RTS,S Groups Combined	
Characteristics	Contact	Ν	Mean (SD), %	Ν	Mean (SD), %	Ν	Mean (SD), %	Ν	Mean (SD), %	
Both countries										
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2017	29	13.3 (4.17)	102	12.2 (4.38)	100	12.1 (4.22)	202	12.2 (4.29)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		11	37.9	50	49.0	48	48.0	98	47.2	
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2018	38	24.6 (4.55)	141	25.4 (4.22)	138	24.9 (4.18)	279	25.1 (4.20)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		17	44.7	73	51.8	74	53.6	147	51.7	
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2019	36	36.9 (4.30)	153	36.7 (3.93)	138	36.7 (3.99)	291	36.7 (3.95)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		21	58.3	72	47.1	65	47.1	137	48.3	
Burkina Faso										
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2017	17	14.1 (3.97)	43	12.6 (4.75)	44	12.3 (4.28)	87	12.5 (4.49)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		7	41.2	23	53.5	22	50.0	45	50.0	
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2018	16	25.6 (4.86)	65	25.1 (4.32)	67	24.8 (4.27)	132	25.0 (4.28)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		8	50.0	35	53.8	38	56.7	73	54.7	
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2019	17	36.9 (4.88)	71	37.2 (4.14)	64	37.0 (4.32)	135	37.1 (4.21)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		9	52.9	34	47.9	27	42.2	61	46.1	
Mali										
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2017	12	12.3 (4.38)	59	12.0 (4.12)	56	12.0 (4.20)	115	12.0 (4.14)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		4	33.3	27	45.8	26	46.4	53	44.9	
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2018	22	23.8 (4.28)	76	25.6 (4.16)	71	25.0 (4.13)	147	25.3 (4.14)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		9	40.9	38	50.0	36	50.7	74	49.1	
Age, Mean (SD)	Pre-2019	19	36.9 (3.84)	82	36.3 (3.72)	74	36.5 (3.70)	156	36.4 (3.70)	
Sex, Percent (Male)		12	63.2	38	46.3	38	51.4	76	50.3	

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; SMC, seasonal malaria chemoprevention.

alone group had a titer above the lower limit of quantification at any survey.

Among children in the RTS,S/AS01_F alone or RTS,S/ AS01_E + SMC group, antibody titers increased markedly 1 month after the third of 3 priming doses, with a GMT of 368.9 EU/mL (95% confidence interval [CI], 317.7-428.4) being achieved (Table 2, Figures 2 and 3). The geometric mean ratio of post-vaccination-pre-vaccination titers was 446.5 (95% CI, 362.1-550.5). In children sampled in the second year, a year after priming, and immediately prior to administration of the first seasonal booster dose of RTS,S/AS01_E, the GMT was 42.4 EU/mL (95% CI, 37.1-48.5). Following administration of the first booster dose (fourth dose), the GMT was 257.5 EU/mL (95% CI, 234.5-282.8) and the geometric mean ratio of postbooster titers-pre-booster titers was 5.81 (95% CI, 4.93-6.86). In children sampled immediately prior to the second booster, approximately 1 year following the first booster dose, the GMT was 44.4 EU/mL (95% CI, 39.2-50.1). Following the second booster dose, the GMT increased to 177.4 EU/mL (95% CI, 161.4-195.0) and the ratio between post-booster titers-prebooster titers was 3.87 (95% CI, 3.40-4.41). The GMT following the first booster dose was significantly less than after the priming doses, and the GMT following the second booster dose was significantly lower than that seen after the first booster dose. The ratio of the geometric mean rise in titer following the second booster compared with the first booster was 0.66 (95% CI, .57-.77; Supplementary Table 1). Similar results were obtained in Burkina Faso and Mali. Responses to first or second booster doses in 2018 and 2019 were similar in children who had received SMC or SMC placebo in the previous year (Supplementary Table 2). Titers were generally lower in girls than in boys; this was most marked following the 2019 booster vaccination (ratio of GMC, 0.78; 95% CI, .65–.94; Supplementary Table 3).

Nearly all children (>99%) showed a 10-fold increase in anti-CSP antibody titer 1 month after 3 priming doses, but this proportion fell to 27% after the first booster dose and to 11% after the second booster vaccination. The comparable percentages for a 2-fold increase in GMT were 89% and 85%, respectively (Table 2).

Anti-CSP Titer and Protection Against Malaria

Terciles of the post-vaccination antibody response were defined separately for each year of the study (Table 3). Over the 3 years of the study combined, the hazard ratios comparing the incidence of clinical episodes of malaria between children in the highest or middle tercile with children in the lowest tercile were 0.43 (95% CI, .28–.66) and 0.66 (95% CI, .44–.99), respectively. Incidence was consistently lowest among children in the highest tercile in each year of the study. The hazard ratios for children in the highest tercile compared with those in the lowest tertile were 0.14 (95% CI, .03–.63), 0.71 (95% CI, .39–1.29), and 0.34 (95% CI, .18–.65) in years 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Table 2.	Anti-Circumsporozoite Antibody	Titers Pre- and Post-Vaccination in Each Year in Children Who Received RTS,S/AS01	

Time of Sample Collection	Ν	Geometric Mean Titer, EU/mL (95% CI)	Geometric Mean Ratio Post- Vac- cination–Pre-Vaccination (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	N With 2-Fold In- crease in Titer (%)	N With 10-Fold In- crease in Titer (%)
Both countries						
Pre-2017	201	0.95	[Ref]			
Post-2017	198	368.9 (317.7-428.4)	446.5 (362.1–550.5)	<.001	194/197 (98)	194/197 (98)
Pre-2018	279	42.4 (37.1–48.5)	[Ref]			
Post-2018	279	257.5 (234.5–282.8)	5.81 (4.93-6.86)	<.001	247/279 (89)	76/279 (27)
Pre-2019	291	44.4 (39.2-50.1)	[Ref]			
Post-2019	291	177.4 (161.4–195.0)	3.87 (3.40-4.41)	<.001	246/291 (85)	31/291 (11)
Burkina Faso						
Pre-2017	87	0.95	[Ref]			
Post-2017	83	349.7 (264.1-463.0)	464.9 (314.6-686.8)	<.001	81/83 (98)	81/83 (98)
Pre-2018	132	46.5 (38.6–56.0)	[Ref]			
Post-2018	132	256.3 (224.5-292.5)	5.40 (4.18-6.96)	<.001	111/132 (84)	31/132(23)
Pre-2019	135	43.6 (36.9–51.6)	[Ref]			
Post-2019	135	200.1 (175.6–228.0)	4.64 (3.88-5.55)	<.001	119/135 (88)	21/135 (16)
Mali						
Pre-2017	114	0.95	[Ref]			
Post-2017	115	383.5 (326.0–451.1)	433.6 (344.5–545.6)	<.001	113/114 (99)	113/114 (99)
Pre-2018	147	39.0 (32.1–47.4)	[Ref]			
Post-2018	147	258.6 (226.3–295.6)	6.20 (4.98–7.71)	<.001	136/147 (93)	45/147 (31)
Pre-2019	156	45.0 (37.7–53.8)	[Ref]			
Post-2019	156	159.9 (139.7–183.0)	3.31 (2.75–3.98)	<.001	127/156 (81)	10/156 (6)

Results from all children vaccinated with RTS,S/AS01_E (ie, RTS,S/AS01_E alone and combined groups pooled). At the pre-vaccination contact in 2017, 1 sample from Mali did not provide a conclusive result in the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay assay. At the post-vaccination contact in 2017, 4 samples from Burkina Faso did not provide a conclusive result. There were no inconclusive results in subsequent years.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EU, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay unit.



Figure 2. Anti-CSP antibody titers in individual children pre- and post-priming vaccination (2017) and pre and post first (2018) and second (2019) booster seasonal vaccination doses are shown by country. Results from children in the RTS,S/ASO1_E alone group are shown in red, those from children in the combined group are shown in blue. Children who developed a clinical episode of malaria in the year after priming or booster vaccination are indicated in black. Abbreviations: CSP, circumsporozoite; EU, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay unit.



Figure 3. Reverse cumulative plots of antibody titer by study year, country, and study arm are shown. The top row shows post-vaccination titers in 2017, the middle row shows post-vaccination titers in 2018, and the bottom row shows post-vaccination titers in 2019. The right-hand set of panels shows titers by study country—Burkina Faso (solid red line), Mali (dashed blue line). The left-hand set of panels shows titers by study group—RTS,S/AS01_E alone group (solid red line), combined intervention group (dashed green line). Abbreviations: CSP, circumsporozoite; EU, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay unit.

Table 3. Incidence of Episodes of Clinical Malaria Overall and by Study Year in Children Included in the 2 Groups That Received RTS,S/AS01_F, According to Anti-Circumsporozoite Antibody Titer Measured in the Post-Vaccination Sample

Anti-CSP Antibody Titer by Tercile	PYAR	Events	Rate per 1000 PYAR (95% CI)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Overall					
Lowest	246.1	74	300.7 (239.4–377.6)	[Ref]	-
Medium	248.3	49	197.3 (149.1–261.1)	0.66 (0.44–0.99)	.044
Highest	248.4	31	124.8 (87.8–177.4)	0.43 (0.28-0.66)	<.001
2017					
Lowest (0.95–293.5 EU/mL)	59.4	12	202.0 (114.7–355.8)	[Ref]	-
Medium (297.6–570.6 EU/mL)	61.5	3	48.7 (15.7–151.1)	0.24 (0.07-0.90)	.034
Highest (601.0–2599.1 EU/mL)	60.1	2	33.3 (8.32–133.1)	0.14 (0.03-0.63)	.010
2018					
Lowest (8.2–185.6 EU/mL)	93.0	26	279.6 (190.4–410.6)	[Ref]	-
Medium (188.0–381.4 EU/mL)	91.9	28	304.5 (210.3–441.0)	1.11 (0.62–1.99)	.72
Highest (382.5–1635.4 EU/mL)	92.6	17	183.5 (114.1–295.2)	0.71 (0.39–1.29)	.26
2019					
Lowest (5.3–137.3 EU/mL)	93.7	36	384.1 (277.0-532.4)	[Ref]	-
Medium (137.5–263.3 EU/mL)	94.8	18	189.8 (119.6–301.3)	0.48 (0.25-0.90)	.022
Highest (266.5–1074.5 EU/mL)	95.7	12	125.4 (71.2–220.8)	0.34 (0.18, 0.65)	.001

Cox regression models for the pooled analysis over all 3 years of the study were adjusted for study year and the age of the child. The overall analysis aggregates person-time and events for the terciles defined separately in each year and children above and below the specific threshold in each year of the study.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EU, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay unit; PYAR, person-years at risk.

Only 8 children in the serology substudy had an episode of malaria severe enough to require hospital admission; 3 after the first booster and 5 after the second booster. Three of these children had a post-vaccination titer in the lowest tercile, 2 in the middle, and 3 in the highest tercile.

The relationship between post-vaccination anti-CSP antibody titer 1 month after priming or after each booster vaccination and the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria parasitemia approximately 5 months later at the end of the malaria transmission season survey that year are shown in Table 4. In the first year of the study, only 3 malaria infections were detected among children in the serology substudy. However, this number increased to 27 and 22 in years 2 and 3, respectively. In year 2, the prevalence ratio for parasitemia for those whose post-booster vaccination titer was in the highest tercile compared with those whose titer was in the lowest tercile was 0.81 (95% CI, .33–2.0), and in year 3 (after the second booster), it was 0.40 (95% CI, .15–1.05). Identification of potentially protective cutoff titers in

 Table 4.
 Prevalence of Plasmodium Falciparum Infection at the End of Season Surveys Overall Which Were Conducted Approximately 1 Month After the

 Last Administration of SMC, and Approximately 5 Months After the Last Dose of Priming or the Booster Vaccine was Given, by Study Year

Anti-CSP Antibody Titer by Tercile	n/N	Prevalence (95% CI)	Prevalence Ratio (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Overall				
Lowest	22/237	9.28 (6.19–13.7)	[Ref]	-
Medium	17/244	6.97 (4.37–10.9)	0.71 (.40–1.24)	.223
Highest	13/224	5.80 (3.40–9.75)	0.70 (.37–1.33)	.274
2017				
Lowest (0.95–293.5 EU/mL)	1/58	1.72 (.24–11.4)	[Ref]	
Medium (297.6–570.6 EU/mL)	0/62	0	0	-
Highest (601.0–2599.1 EU/mL)	2/54	3.70 (.92–13.8)	2.18 (.20–23.39)	.52
2018				
Lowest (8.2–185.6 EU/mL)	10/91	11.0 (6.00–19.3)	[Ref]	
Medium (188.0–381.4 EU/mL)	11/90	12.2 (6.88–20.8)	1.08 (.51–2.30)	.84
Highest (382.5–1635.4 EU/mL)	6/80	7.50 (3.40–15.8)	0.81 (.33–2.00)	.65
2019				
Lowest (5.3–137.3 EU/mL)	11/88	12.5 (7.04–21.2)	[Ref]	
Medium (137.5–263.3 EU/mL)	6/92	6.52 (2.95–13.8)	0.43 (.17–1.05)	.063
Highest (266.5–1074.5 EU/mL)	5/90	5.56 (2.32–12.7)	0.40 (.15–1.05)	.062

Poisson regression models for the pooled analysis over all 3 surveys were adjusted for study year and the age of the child. The overall analysis aggregates the number of children testing positive for *Plasmodium falciparum* and the total number of children for the tertiles defined separately in each year, and for children above and below the specific threshold in each year of the study.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EU, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay unit.

relation to the level of efficacy seen in each year of the study, deduced from reverse cumulative plots, gave values of 266.8 EU/ mL, 207.2 EU mL, and 157.5 EU/mL in years 1, 2, and 3 of the study, respectively (Supplementary Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The anti-CSP antibody response of children in this trial to vaccination with 3 priming doses of RTS,S/AS01_E followed by a booster dose was similar to that seen in several previous studies in African children [12, 13]. However, it was lower than that recorded in the phase 3 RTS,S/AS01_F trial in which the GMT 1 month after 3 priming doses in those vaccinated between the ages of 5-17 months was 570.3 EU/mL (95% CI, 543.7-589.3) for all centers combined. A range of responses was seen between the 11 centers in the phase 3 trial with a high post-vaccination GMT of 686 EU/mL (95% CI, 604-778) being found at the Nanoro center in Burkina Faso [14, 15]. However, GMTs similar to those found in the present study were found in Lambarene, Gabon, and Lilongwe, Malawi. Half of the children in the current trial also received SMC. This is unlikely to have directly influenced the response to vaccination as this was given 1 month prior to SMC administration. Furthermore, there was no difference between the anti-CSP antibody response to booster immunization between children who had received SMC in the previous transmission season and those who had not. However, it is possible that reduction in the burden of malaria through administration of SMC enhanced the ability of RTS,S/AS01, to induce more protective non-anti-CSP immune responses in the RTS,S/AS01_E combined group.

Relatively little is known about the impact of a booster dose of the RTS,S vaccine on either efficacy or the immune response. In the first clinical trial of RTS,S undertaken in Africa, a booster dose of RTS,S/AS02A given to adults 1 year after 3 priming doses gave an approximately 4-fold higher titer than that seen after priming and a partial return of protection against malaria infection [16]. However, in the phase 3 RTS,S/ AS01₁ trial in which a booster dose was given 18 months after priming, the post booster anti-CSP antibody GMT in children who entered the trial when aged 5-17 months was 318.2 EU/mL (95% CI, 295.1-343.0) [14], substantially less than that seen after priming. In the current trial, the response to a second booster dose was less than that seen after the first, raising a concern that further booster doses might result in a progressive decline in the immune response. A challenge study conducted in healthy adult volunteers showed a higher level of protection against challenge when a fractional dose of vaccine was given for the third priming and booster doses than in volunteers given 3 or 4 full doses [17], suggesting that the immunogenicity and efficacy of repeated seasonal vaccine booster doses of RTS,S/AS01_E might be improved if a fractionated dose was used. However, in a subsequent volunteer challenge study,

no significant differences in efficacy were seen between groups in which various combinations of full and fractionated doses were explored [18].

The fact that the lower anti-CSP antibody response to a second booster dose compared with the first was not associated with any detectable loss of efficacy [7] is reassuring. It suggests that the second booster dose may have increased the quality of the antibodies produced in some way, for example, by altering their avidity and/or isotype, inducing protective antibodies to the C terminal of the CSP molecule, or antibodies binding to a Fcy receptor promoting phagocytosis or activation of natural killer cells [19-27] or even to an increase in antibodies to blood stage antigens [28]. The RTS,S/AS01, vaccine induces a strong cellular immune response [29-37], including CD4 immune responses associated with protection, and we hypothesize that repeated boosting might enhance T cell-mediated immune responses more markedly than that of the anti-CSP antibody responses. A systems biology approach is helping to characterize the relative importance of individual immune responses in the protection provided by RTS,S/AS01_n in human challenge studies [38, 39]. A weakness of this study is that it did not include cell-mediated immune assays; however, further serologic assays are now planned. Furthermore, children were also older after their second than after their first booster dose, and this could have influenced their overall immune response and influenced comparisons between years.

As noted in most, but not all, previous human challenge or field trials with RTS,S/AS01_E, a correlation was found between anti-CSP antibody titer and incidence of clinical episodes of malaria. However, this association could be influenced by confounding, so this comparison is not sufficient to establish anti-CSP as a correlate of protection [40]. Moreover, the declining anti-CSP antibody response with successive boosters was not matched by a corresponding decline in efficacy, suggesting the potential protective role of other vaccine induced immunological responses, both humoral and cellular acting together.

In view of the encouraging efficacy results obtained with seasonal RTS,S/AS01_E vaccination given with SMC, the trial described here is being extended until children reach the age of 5 years, and the impact of third and fourth booster doses on the immune response and on efficacy against malaria is being followed.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the members of the Trial Steering Committee (Feiko ter Kuile [chair], Kwadwo Koram, Mahamadou Thera, Joaniter Nankabirwa, and Morven Roberts) and the members of the Data and Safety Monitoring Board (Blaise Genton [chair], Sheick Coulibaly, Umberto D'Alessandro, Francesca Little, and Malcolm Molyneux) for their overview and support. They thank Sibyl Couvent, Sofie Librecht, Peter Vander Linden, and Gwenn Waerlop at Center for Vaccinology (CEVAC), the University of Ghent, for performing the laboratory work; Lode Schuerman, Geert Leroux-Roels, Frédéric Clement, and Katie Ewer for their helpful comments on the manuscript; Simon Correa and Mamadou Ndiath at the Medical Research Centre (MRC) Unit, The Gambia at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, for performing the quality control on malaria blood film reading; Karen Slater for supporting the trial in many ways; GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA for donating the RTS,S/ AS01, and HAVRIX vaccines; Birkhäuser + GBC AG, Switzerland, for supplying identity cards and labels; Guilin Pharmaceuticals for supplying Seasonal Malaria Chemoprevention (SMC) drugs; the Ministry of Health staff in the Bougouni, Ouelessebougou, and Houndé districts for their assistance with running the trial; and all of the caretakers and children for their participation. Finally, they thank the late Ogobara Doumbo for helping to set up the trial.

Financial support. The trial was funded by the UK Joint Global Health Trials (Department of Health and Social Care, Department for International Development, Global Challenges Research Fund, Medical Research Council, and Wellcome Trust; grant MR/P006876/1), with additional funding from PATH MVI (grant 18269). M. C. received support from an award (MR/R010161/1) jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat Agreement, which is also part of the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials (EDCTP2) program supported by the European Union and is supported by a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (grant 220658/Z/20/Z). N. F. reports that research grants were made to the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom, to Malaria Research and Training Center (MRTC), Mali, and to Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Sante (IRSS), Burkina Faso, from the UK Joint Global Health Clinical Trials program and from PATH MVI to support the clinical trial on which this article is based.

Potential conflicts of interest. O. O.-A. is an employee of the GSK group of companies and has restricted shares in the GSK group of companies. A. D. reports a research grant from Grand Challenges Canada to test safety and efficacy of the PfSPZ vaccine for pregnant women and unborn children and a research contract with Oxford University to conduct a phase 3 randomized, controlled multicenter trial to evaluate the efficacy of the R21/ Matrix-M vaccine in African children against clinical malaria outside of the submitted work. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

- World Health Organization. High burden to high impact. A targeted malaria response. WHO/CDS/GMP/2018.25.Rev 1. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2019.
- 2. https://www.access-smc.org/pages/access-smc/context
- Chandramohan D, Dicko A, Zongo I, et al. Effect of adding azithromycin to seasonal malaria chemoprevention. N Engl J Med 2019; 380:2197–206.
- White MT, Bejon P, Olotu A, et al. A combined analysis of immunogenicity, antibody kinetics and vaccine efficacy from phase 2 trials of the RTS,S malaria vaccine. BMC Med 2014; 12:117. doi:10.1186/s12916-014-0117-2
- White MT, Verity R, Griffin JT, et al. Immunogenicity of the RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine and implications for duration of vaccine efficacy: secondary analysis of data from a phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2015; 15:1450–8.
- Greenwood B, Dicko A, Sagara I, et al. Seasonal vaccination against malaria: a potential use for an imperfect malaria vaccine. Malar J 2017. doi:10.1186/ s12936-017-1841-9
- Chandramohan D, Zongo I, Sagara I, et al. Seasonal vaccination with the RTS,S/ AS01_E malaria vaccine with or without seasonal malaria chemoprevention. N Engl J Med **2021**; 385:1005–17.

- Chandramohan D, Dicko A, Zongo I, et al. Seasonal malaria vaccination: protocol of a phase 3 trial of seasonal vaccination with the RTS,S/AS01_E vaccine, seasonal malaria chemoprevention and the combination of vaccination and chemoprevention. BMJ Open **2020**; 10:e035433.
- Swysen C, Vekemans J, Bruls M, et al. Development of standardized laboratory methods and quality processes for a phase III study of the RTS,S/AS01 candidate malaria vaccine. Mal J 2011; 10:e223.
- Clement F, Dewar V, Van Braeckel E, et al. Validation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the quantification of human IgG directed against the repeat region of the circumsporozoite protein of the parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. Malar J 2012; 11:384. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-11-384
- Siber GR, Chang J, Baker S, et al. Estimating the protective concentration of antipneumococcal capsular polysaccharide antibodies. Vaccine 2007; 25:3816–266.
- Macete E, Aponte JJ, Guinovart C, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the RTS,S/ AS02A candidate malaria vaccine in children aged 1-4 in Mozambique. Trop Med Int Hlth 2007; 12:37–46.
- Owusu-Agyei S, Ansong D, Asante K, et al. Randomized controlled trial of RTS,S/ AS02D and RTS,S/AS02E malaria candidate vaccines given according to different schedules in Ghanaian children. PLoS One 2009; 4:e7302.
- RTS,S Clinical Trials Partnership. Efficacy and safety of the RTS.S/AS01 malaria vaccine during 18 months after vaccination: a phase 3 randomized, controlled trial in children and young infants at 11 African sites. PLoS Med 2014; 11:e1001685.
- RTS,S Clinical Trials Partnership. Efficacy and safety of RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine with or without a booster dose in infants and children in Africa: final results of a phase 3, individually randomised, controlled trial. Lancet 2015; 386:31–45.
- Bojang K, Milligan PJM, Pinder M, et al. Efficacy of RTS,S/AS02 malaria vaccine against *Plasmodium falciparum* infection in semi-immune adult men in The Gambia: a randomised trial. Lancet 2001; 358:927–34.
- Regules JA, Cicatelli SB, Bennett JW, et al. Fractional third and fourth dose of RTS,S/AS01 malaria candidate vaccine: a phase 2a controlled human malaria parasite infection and immunogenicity study. J Infect Dis 2016; 214:762–71.
- Moon JE, Ockenhouse C, Regules JA, et al. A phase IIa controlled human malaria infection and immunogenicity study of RTS,S/AS01_E and RTS,S/AS01_B delayed fractional dose regimens in malaria-naïve adults. J Infect Dis 2020; 222:1681–91.
- Olotu A, Clement F, Jongert E, et al. Avidity of ant-circumsporozoite antibodies following vaccination with RTS.S/AS01E in young children. PLoS One 2014; 9:e115126e115126e0115126.
- Dennison SM, Reichartz M, Seaton KE, et al. Qualified biolayer interferometry avidity measurements distinguish the heterogeneity of antibody interactions with *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein antigens. J Immunol **2018**; 201:1315–26.
- Dobaño C, Sanz H, Sorgho H, et al. Concentration and avidity of antibodies to different circumsporozoite epitope correlate with RTS,S/AS01E malaria vaccine efficacy. Nat Commun 2019. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10195-z
- Thompson H, Hogan AB, Walker PGT, et al. Modelling the roles of antibody titre and avidity in protection from *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria infection following RTS,S/AS01 vaccination. Vaccine **2020**; 7:498–507.
- Sanchez L, Vidal M, Jairoce C, et al. Antibody response to the RTS,S/AS01E vaccine and *Plasmodium falciparum* antigens after a booster dose within the phase 3 trial in Mozambique. NPJ Vaccines 2020; 5:46. doi:10.1038/s41541-020-0192-7
- Chaudhury S, Ockenhouse CF, Regules JA, et al. The biological function of antibodies induced by the RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine candidate is determined by their fine specificity. Malar J 2016; 15:301. doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1348-9
- 25. Kurtovic L, Atre T, Feng G, et al. Multifunctional antibodies are induced by the RTS,S malaria vaccine and associated with protection in a phase1/2a trial. J Infect Dis **2021**; 224:1128–38. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa144.
- Suscovich TJ, Fallon JK, Das J, et al. Mapping functional humoral correlates of protection against malaria challenge following RTS,S/AS01 challenge. Sci Transl Med 2020; 12:eabb4757.
- Seaton KE, Spreng RL, Abraha M, et al. Subclass and avidity of circumsporozoite protein specific antibodies associate with protection status against malaria infection. NPJ Vaccines 2021; 6:110. doi:10.1038/s41541-021-00372-x
- Dobaño C, Ubillos I, Jairoce C, et al. RTS,S/AS01E immunization increases antibody response to vaccine-unrelated *Plasmodium falciparum* antigens associated with protection against clinical malaria in African children: a case-control study. BMC Med **2019**; 17:157. doi:10.1186/s12916-019-1378-6
- Lalvani A, Moris P, Voss G, et al. Potent induction of focused Th1-type cellular and humoral immune responses by RTS,S/SBAS2, a recombinant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria vaccine. J Infect Dis **1999**; 180:1656–64.
- Sun P, Schwenk R, White K, et al. Protective immunity induced with malaria vaccine RTS,S is linked to *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein-specific CD4⁺ and CD 8⁺ T cells producing IFN-y. J Immunol **2003**; 171:6961–7.

- Pinder M, Reece WHH, Plebanski M, et al. Cellular immunity induced by the recombinant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria vaccine, RTS,S/AS02, in semiimmune adults in The Gambia. Clin Exp Immunol 2004; 135:286–93.
- Barbosa A, Naniche D, Aponte JJ, et al. *Plasmodium falciparum*-specific cellular immune responses after immunization with the RTS,S/AS02D candidate malaria vaccine in infants living in an area of high endemicity in Mozambique. Infect Immun 2009; 77:4502–9.
- Olotu A, Moris P, Mwacharo J, et al. Circumsporozoite-specific T cell responses in children vaccinated with RTS,S/AS01_E and protection against *P. falciparum* clinical malaria. PLoS One **2011**; 6:e25786.
- 34. Ndungu FM, Mwacharo J, Kimani D, et al. A statistical interaction between circumsporozoite protein-specific T cell and antibody response and risk of clinical malaria episodes following vaccination with RTS,S/AS02E. PLoS One 2012; 7:e52870.
- Moncunill G, De Rosa SC, Ayestaran A, et al. RTS,S/AS01E malaria vaccine induces memory and polyfunctional T cell responses in a pediatric African phase III trial. Front Immunol 2017; 8:1008. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.01008

- 36. White MT, Bejon P, Olotu A, et al. The relationship between RTS,S vaccine-induced antibodies, CD4⁺ T cell responses and protection against *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. PLoS One **2013**; 8: e61395e6139561395.
- Pallikkuth S, Chaudhury S, Lu P, et al. A delayed fractionated dose RTS,S AS01 vaccine regiment mediates protection via improved T follicular helper and B cell responses. eLife 2020; 9:e51889.
- Kazmin D, Nakaya HI, Lee EK, et al. Systems analysis of protective immune responses to RTS,S malaria vaccine in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017; 114:2425–30.
- Young WC, Carpp LN, Chaudhury S, et al. Comprehensive data integration approach to assess immune responses and correlates of RTS,S/AS01-mediated protection from malaria infection in controlled human malaria infection trials. Front Big Data 2021; 4:672460.
- Wittes J. Assessing correlates of protection in vaccine trials. J Infect Dis 2014; 15:1523–5.



Please excuse the presence of this and the following test pages, which have been added to a small number of article PDFs for a limited time as part of our process of continual development and improvement.

earned dang the fact." I had not at that time the honour of a much beyond my own party." "True; and nobody can ever be introtrue; and nobody can ever be introtrue; and nobody can ever be introtrue; (Colonel Fitzwilliam, what do I play ne r orders." "Perhaps," said Darcy, "I should have "Perhaps," said Darcy, "I should have ught an introduction but I am ill quality

Active Co

behaviour to Miss de Bourgh stree and an original Bungley, that he might have been just as likely she been his relation. Lady Catherine continued her remarks on Elicomance, mixing with them many instructions on easte. Elizabeth received them with all the forbear

certainly have not the arcy, "of conversing I cannot catch their

academic.oup.com/cid

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo conseguat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, guis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliguip ex ea commodo conseguat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo conseguat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do

eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, guis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo conseguat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, guis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo conseguat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo conseguat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.