LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Smarter adaptive platform clinical trials in neurology: a showcase for UK innovation
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We read with great interest the recent Editorial about smarter adaptive platform clinical trials in neurology.\(^1\) Neurologists, once described as chroniclers of disease and stamp collectors, increasingly now have the tools to begin to slow, stop and potentially reverse progressive neurological conditions, including neurodegenerative disorders. This more sanguine prospect reflects vast and continued progress over the last two decades in our understanding of the cellular and molecular pathophysiology of neurodegeneration. These advances have been catalysed, in part, by the emergence of disruptive technologies, including gene-editing and cell reprogramming.\(^2\) Sydney Brenner remarked in 2008: “We don’t have to look for a model organism anymore. Because we are the model organisms.”\(^3\) Harnessing patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) based approaches, combined with gene-editing, has created new and powerful human drug discovery, screening and testing platforms that complement pre-clinical experimental systems. Critically, human-led discovery is likely to reduce the high failure rate of new interventions in human clinical trials that historically has resulted from a failure to translate discoveries exclusively rooted in animal models. Furthermore, it can be scaled to potentially address clinical heterogeneity and opens up the possibility of a personalised medicine pipeline: the effect of a medicine can be estimated prior to administration through the use of patient-derived iPSCs, making it possible to deliver the appropriate medicine to responder patients.\(^4\)

To capitalise on these laboratory-science discoveries there is a need, in parallel, to innovate in clinical trial design, so that the ever-increasing number of promising putative medicines can be rapidly, efficiently, and definitively tested.\(^5,6\) There is much to learn from cancer medicine. Specifically, flexible platform trials that: (i) incorporate simultaneous testing of multiple interventional arms with a single standard-of-care arm; (ii) allow for pre-specified adaptation at interim points of analyses, and which (iii) are designed to be able to include new interventional arms, offer substantial advantages over the standard two-arm trial model. These include major efficiency gains in time, cost and resource to reach definitive Phase 3 outcomes.\(^7\) Notably, the UK has led the way in developing this approach; for example, the landmark STAMPEDE platform trial for prostate cancer has evaluated 8 treatments in 16 years to date, leading to change of standard-of-care 4 times, in a time-frame that is several decades less than that required for a conventional sequential two-arm trial approach.\(^8\) New protocol amendments enabling the evaluation of drugs with different routes of administration by using a master protocol that randomises to different studies for different subgroups of patients each with matched placebo is the future for neurodegenerative trials, together with the seamless evaluation of combinatorial therapies targeting multiple pathways and/or cell
types/cellular compartments. Overall, there is an ongoing need to innovate at each stage of
the process, from drug discovery, development and screening, through to trial design, conduct
and analysis.

ACORD (A Collaboration Of groups developing, Running and reporting platform trials in
neurodegenerative Diseases) is a recently created UK-wide consortium hosted by the MRC
Clinical Trials Unit at University College London to share ideas and approaches about how to
evolve trial designs for neurodegenerative conditions to address this pressing need.9 ACORD
has already launched pioneering pathfinder multi-arm, multi-stage platform trials for multiple
sclerosis (OCTOPUS; EudraCT number 2021-003034-37) and motor neuron disease (MND-
SMART; EudraCT number 2019-000099-41; NCT04302870). MND SMART, the largest
academic trial in MND in the UK, initially testing two repurposed drugs (trazodone and
memantine against placebo), has 17 UK sites, with over 300 people with motor neuron
disease randomised since Spring 2020 (despite the COVID-19 pandemic), and has performed
its stage 1 interim analysis in March 2022. MND-SMART complements the HEALEY ALS
platform trial in the USA and is notable for including survival, as well as functional outcome
measures, in a Phase 3 design that obviates the need for further trials to secure MHRA and
EMA approval. MND-SMART features broad inclusion criteria (meaning the results are
generalisable), liquid investigational medicinal products, and video/telephone assessments—
benefits driven by people living with motor neuron disease, sponsored by charities. These and
related innovations, such as remote/digital consenting and couriering of medication, have
facilitated the recruitment of participants from all areas of the UK (such as the Isle of Wight
and Orkney Islands)—people that would have historically struggled to have had the
opportunity to participate in a clinical trial for a neurodegenerative disorder. Accordingly,
platform trials such as MND-SMART and OCTOPUS bring unprecedented opportunities for
discovery and reverse translation through trial-linked biobanking, as well as for innovation of
trial operations and outcomes through digital technologies.

To capitalise on these laboratory and clinical advances there is an urgent need to develop and
train the next generation of translational clinician-scientists who are expert in novel trial
design methodology and delivery. This discipline has, by comparison to mechanistic
laboratory research, been comparatively overlooked as ripe for future clinician-scientists. We
must move towards recalibrating neurology in the UK towards an interventional specialty,
where the default for patients is trial participation (like in cancer medicine).
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