ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LETTERS

LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

Every drop matters: combining population-based and satellite data to investigate the link between lifetime rainfall exposure and chronic undernutrition in children under five years in rural Burkina Faso

To cite this article: Edmund Yeboah et al 2022 Environ. Res. Lett. 17 054027

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>The effect of climatic factors on nutrients in</u> <u>foods: evidence from a systematic map</u> Scarpa Giulia, Berrang-Ford Lea, Zavaleta-Cortijo Carol et al.
- Integrated assessment of global climate, air pollution, and dietary, malnutrition and obesity health impacts of food production and consumption between 2014 and 2018 Christopher S Malley, W Kevin Hicks, Johan C I Kulyenstierna et al.
- Factors associated with undernutrition and improvement in Indonesia
 A V R Mauludyani, E A Suryana and M Ariani

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LETTERS



LETTER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED 21 November 2021

REVISED 7 April 2022

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 11 April 2022

PUBLISHED 28 April 2022

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Every drop matters: combining population-based and satellite data to investigate the link between lifetime rainfall exposure and chronic undernutrition in children under five years in rural Burkina Faso

Edmund Yeboah^{1,*}, Naasegnibe Kuunibe², Isabel Mank¹, Diletta Parisi¹, Emmanuel Bonnet³, Julia Lohmann^{1,4}, Saidou Hamadou⁵, Bernadette T Picbougoum⁶, Kristine Belesova⁷, Rainer Sauerborn¹, Till Baernighausen¹, Ina Danquah^{1,8} and Manuela De Allegri^{1,8}

Heidelberg Institute of Global Health (HIGH), Medical Faculty and University Hospital, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany Simon Diedong Dombo University of Business and Integrated Development Studies, Wa, Ghana

³ Institute for Research on Sustainable Development—IRD, UMR 215 Prodig, 5, cours des Humanités, F-93 322 Aubervilliers Cedex, France

- ⁴ London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom ⁵ The World Paper 1818 H Street NW Weshington DC 20433 United States of Am
 - The World Bank, 1818 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20433, United States of America
- ¹ Institut National de Santé Publique (INSP)/Centre MURAZ, Centre MURAZ, 2054, Avenue Mamadou Konate, 01 B.P. 390 Bobo-Dioulasso 01, Burkina Faso
- Department of Public Health, Environments and Society and Centre on Climate Change and Planetary Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Pl, London WC1H 9SH, United Kingdom
- Equal contribution of last authors.
- Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: edmund.yeboah@uni-heidelberg.de

Keywords: climate change, rainfall, stunting, undernutrition, children, rural, Burkina Faso

Abstract

Climate change is projected to induce extreme and irregular rainfall patterns in the West African Sahel region, affecting household food security and income. Children are among the worst affected population groups. Previous studies focusing on rainfall irregularities in specified periods have revealed how child health and nutritional status are impacted, especially in rural settings. However, the aggregated effect of rainfall over a lifetime on chronic child undernutrition remains poorly understood. We conducted a multilevel regression using a 2017 household survey from rural Burkina Faso containing 12 919 under-five-year-old children and their corresponding household rainfall data. The rainfall data originated from the Climate Hazards Infrared Precipitation with Stations monthly dataset with a native resolution of $4.8 \text{ km} (0.05^{\circ})$. We show that an increase in rainfall below 75 mm monthly average tends to produce poor nutritional outcomes (regression coefficient = -0.11^{***} ; 95% CI = -0.13, -0.10; p < 0.001) in rural Burkina Faso children. We found a consistent negative relationship between different sex and household wealth groups, but not age groups. Vulnerable younger children were more affected by the adverse effects of increased rainfall, while older children seemed to handle it better. Our methodological approach tracing the impact of rainfall over children's lifetimes makes a meaningful contribution to the portfolio of tools for studying the complex relationship between climate change and health outcomes. Our work confirms that rainfall is a risk factor for chronic child undernutrition, highlighting the need for adaptation strategies that boost household and community resilience to counteract the harmful impacts of climate change on child nutritional status.

1. Introduction

Climate change-induced rainfall irregularities are a problem in the West African Sahel, a region that has experienced an increase in extreme weather events such as droughts and floods [1, 2]. The IPCC sixth assessment report predicts an increase in the amount of rainfall in this region as the global surface temperature continues to increase [3]. Historical data analysis indicates the effect of extreme weather events upon major staple crops (millet and sorghum) with yield losses worth billions of dollars [4, 5]. It has been estimated that the reduction in crop yields will exacerbate under different climate change scenarios [6]. It is precarious for rural agrarian communities that depend on predictable and consistent rainfall for food production closely linked to their sustenance [7]. Reduced crop yield will affect household income, food security, and nutrition [8].

Children under five years old (U5YO) are most susceptible to household food insecurity [9, 10] due to their distinct nutrient need for critical physiological processes [11]. The combination of nutrient deficiency from inadequate dietary intake and threatening disease environments due to rainfall hazards lead to chronic undernutrition in U5YO children [12]. Chronic undernutrition, also known as stunting, manifests as linear growth retardation, meaning that children are too short for their age. Many studies use height as a proxy to monitor longterm growth anomalies [13]. Chronic undernutrition is a major contributor to child mortality worldwide and is projected to increase under the current climate change scenarios [14]. One study predicts an up-to-23% increase in chronic undernutrition (moderate stunting) under different climate change scenarios in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) by 2050 [15]. Children with stunting may have increased mortality risk, low cognitive skills, and poor school performance affecting adulthood productivity and the broader economic output [16, 17].

The growing uncertainty in rainfall dynamics and its impact on nutritional status has garnered research attention. In SSA, the relationship between rainfall and chronic undernutrition in children has been extensively studied [18-20]. Studies differ in the rainfall characteristics considered, particularly the period and duration of rainfall exposure. Most have estimated the impact of annual and seasonal rainfall characteristics in different years or periods of child growth, while a few have combined rainfall exposure over multiple periods [21–23]. To our knowledge, only one study conducted in Kenya measured rainfall exposure from a lifetime perspective, i.e. from the month of conception to the time of the survey [23]. Recent works acknowledge the need for further studies to look at rainfall effects on health outcomes over long periods [21, 24].

This paper reduces this knowledge gap by examining the association between cumulative lifetime rainfall exposure and chronic undernutrition in U5YO children in rural Burkina Faso. Cumulative rainfall exposure, better suited for the analyses of chronic undernutrition [21], reflects the combined effect of multiple impact pathways (e.g. through altered food production and changing risk of infection) without trying to separate individual pathways. We look at both overall effects and differential effects by age, sex, and household socio-economic status (SES).

2. Population and methods

2.1. Study setting

Burkina Faso is a West African country with a population of approximately 21 million, of which 70% live in rural areas and are engaged predominantly in subsistence agriculture [25]. U5YO children make up 18.5% [26] of the population, and 25% are considered stunted, one of the highest prevalence in SSA [27]. The country has three distinct agro-ecological zones with different annual rainfall amounts that dictate staple crop production: Sahelian (<600 mm of annual rainfall), Sudano-Sahelian (600-900 mm), and Sudano (900–1200 mm) [28]. Rainfall patterns in Burkina Faso influence crop yield variation [29] and infectious disease prevalence [30]. Past (1950-2013) rainfall analyses show a significant negative trend in total annual rainfall and an increase in the length of dry spells [31, 32]. Projected (2021-2050) analysis predicts an increase in strong rainfall events and delays in rainy season onset. Changes in rainfall characteristics in Burkina Faso amounts to food insecurity [33], poor nutritional status [34], and survival [35] in children.

2.2. Data and data sources

2.2.1. Household survey data

For this study, we used the endline household survey conducted as part of the impact evaluation of the performance-based financing (PBF) program implemented in Burkina between 2014 and 2018 [36]. The anthropometric data were used with the sole intention of investigating rainfall effects on the nutritional status of children without drawing any link to the PBF program. The survey was conducted between April and June 2017 in 24 districts⁹ distributed in eight regions. The sampling approach has been described extensively elsewhere [36]. In brief, the survey used a two-stage sampling strategy: a village was selected at random from the complete pool of villages in the

⁹ In 2014, after the baseline survey, the government split some study districts, which increased the study districts from 24 in the baseline survey to 29 in the endline. However, the endline survey in 2017 maintained the designation of the old health districts [24] for consistency.



catchment area of each surveyed health facility, for a total of 526 villages. Within each village, up to 15 households (georeferenced, see figure 1) were selected randomly from all households with a pregnancy history in the prior 24 months. Data were collected on all household members, including children U5YO, household socio-economic and demographic profile. Of specific interest for our study are anthropometric measurements collected for 13 486 children aged 0–59 months, their respective caregiver demographics, and household socio-economic indicators.

2.2.2. Rainfall data

We used the publicly available high spatial resolution rainfall data from the Climate Hazards Infrared Precipitation with Stations (CHIRPS) monthly dataset with a native resolution of 4.8 km (0.05°). This choice is due to the scarcity of rain gauge data covering all the villages included in our study. The CHIRPS data is a product of the US Geological Survey and the Climate Hazards Group at the University of California, Santa Barbara. It combines World Meteorological Organization's Global Telecommunication System gauge data and satellite-based Cold Cloud Duration estimates to produce a product between a curated interpolated gauge and a pure satellite-based dataset [37]. The CHIRPS data has performed well compared with gauge rainfall data in terms of the agreement, less bias, and capturing

extreme events vis-à-vis similar satellite products in Burkina Faso [38] and SSA [37, 39, 40]. A detailed description of the CHIRPS dataset can be found elsewhere [37].

Using the household geocodes from the survey data with a latitude range of $9.43^{\circ}-14.01^{\circ}$ and a longitude range of $-4.50^{\circ}-0.58^{\circ}$, we extracted the household-level monthly rainfall estimates from September 2011 to June 2017. Our extracted rainfall data fell within a distance of 0.0007 km to 3.9 km from cluster centroid to a household with a mean distance of 2 km. Figure 2 presents the total rainfall summed for the study period by health districts. Households and their closest satellite grid centroids are indicated as blue and red symbols, respectively.

2.3. Variables and their measurement

2.3.1. Outcome variable

We used continuous height-for-age z-score (HAZ) as the metric of chronic undernutrition in U5YO children [13]. It was computed with recumbent length in younger children (below 24 months or older children unable to walk) and standing height for children older than 24 months along with their age in months. The enumerators verified child age from vaccination booklets where possible. We only relied on caregiver-reported age data in the absence of a vaccination booklet. In addition, at the data cleaning and



management stage, consistency checks were implemented to ensure that the data were consistent across sections in the survey. For instance, timing reported by women for their pregnancy was consistent with children's age or that vaccination reports were consistent with children's age. HAZ shows how a child's height in relation to age deviates from the median of a healthy reference group—the WHO child growth standards. HAZ ≤ -2 classifies a child as stunted [41]. We used the WHO Child Growth Standards Stata igrowup package to compute HAZ [42].

2.3.2. Exposure variable

Existing literature shows that rainfall affects child growth at every stage, including during pregnancy [43], but as mentioned earlier, most identified studies so far concentrated on short-term measures of rainfall such as separate seasonal rainfall events [19]. Approaching rainfall from a lifetime perspective has the potential to capture the aggregated effect of rainfall over a lifetime (including its exposure in utero) on child nutrition. Our exposure variable was operationalized as average lifetime rainfall exposure (ALE), defined as the total rainfall from conception to the survey month (June 2017) for each child averaged over the child's age in months. First, we calculated each child's lifetime cumulative rainfall (LCR) as a summation of household-level monthly rainfall for an optimal nine-month pregnancy period and the age in months. Then, we divided the LCR by the child's age in months. Our approach differs from others that assign each child to the seasonal or annual rainfall measurement. These other approaches suggest that effects (mostly nutritional effects through crop production) are fairly distributed irrespective of the birth month and exposure length [23]. Our measurement,

however, is informed by Cornwell and colleagues, who have shown that rainfall effects are not fairly distributed across all children born during a specific year [44].

2.3.3. Covariates

From the household survey, we extracted information on child age (months), sex (boy/girl), caregiver age (in years), caregiver literacy (illiterate/literate), household size, and household SES as covariates. These covariates have been established as predictors of child nutritional status [35, 45], hence considered important confounding factors. Household SES was operationalized as a wealth index computed on household assets using multiple correspondence analysis [43] and categorized into quintiles to indicate household socioeconomic groups ranging from the poorest to the least-poor.

2.4. Data analysis

2.4.1. Data management and handling missing data We excluded less than 5% (567) of the 13 486 children due to missing height and unrealistic HAZ (<-6 or >6), leaving a final sample of 12 919 children (aged 0–59 months) distributed across 6890 households from 526 villages and 24 health districts.

2.4.2. Statistical analysis

Means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical data were used to describe the study population. To estimate the associations between ALE and HAZ, we used a multilevel mixed-effect model due to the hierarchical structure of the survey data (children are nested in households, nested in villages, nested in districts) [46]. Moreover, as our analyses are based

on secondary data, there are likely unmeasured differences at each level of clustering. Thus, a multilevel mixed-effect model was employed that combines both fixed and random effects to control for both measured and unmeasured differences at each level of clustering [47].

The model is mathematically expressed as:

$$y_{ijkl} = b_0 + b_1 (ALE) + b_2 (ALE^2) + b_c X_c + b_g X_g + b_h X_h + v_k + u_{jk} + m_{ijk} + e_{ijkl} - v_k \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2), u_{kl} \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2), m_{jkl} \sim N(0, \sigma_m^2), e_{ijkl} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$
(1)

where y_{ijkl} is the observed z-score (HAZ) for child i in household j in village k and district l. ALE is the exposure variable of interest, and ALE² is the squared version of the exposure exploring the nonlinearity of the relationship. We followed the example of Rabassa and colleagues [48] in exploring the nonlinearity of the ALE-HAZ relationship. We tested this in our model using the approach of Lind and Mehlum [49] (with Stata 'utest' command). The test results indicated that we could not reject the presence of a U-shape (non-linearity) at a 5% significance level, justifying the inclusion of a quadratic rainfall term (see appendix D). X_c is a vector of child characteristics, including age and gender. X_{g} is a vector of caregiver characteristics, including age (years) and literacy level. X_h is a vector of household characteristics, including household size and wealth index. The estimates of interest are b_1 and b_2 , representing the effect of ALE and ALE² on HAZ. b_c , b_g and b_h are vectors of parameters associated with X_c , X_g and X_h . The terms v_k , u_{ik} , m_{ijk} , denote the district, village, household random effects, e_{ijkl} represents the child level residual (assumed to be independent and normally distributed) [46].

First, we fitted the model on the pooled sample and then on a stratified sub-sample by age, sex, and SES. We conducted a likelihood ratio test that compares differences in model deviation statistics to justify the need for higher levels [47]. The null hypothesis for the test was that children at different levels of clusters are not alike. The positive and statistically significant chi-square value confirmed clustering in characteristics at the levels we considered, thus necessitating a multilevel regression approach (see appendix A). In addition, we computed the intra-class correlation, a value that captures the degree of similarity in the residual of the outcome variable (after controlling for the covariates) at the different hierarchical levels [46]. All analysis was conducted with Stata/IC 15.1.

3. Results

3.1. General characteristics of the study population Our sample was almost an equal split in the number of boys and girls (table 1). The mean age in the total sample was 26.5 ± 17.9 months, very similar for the boys' and girls' strata. Caregivers had a mean age of 29 years, and more than 80% were illiterate. The mean number of people living in one household was eight, with a maximum of 30 persons. The mean HAZ of -0.99 ± 1.55 corresponded to a stunting prevalence of 24% in this study population. Boys had a higher stunting prevalence (26%) than girls (21%). The study population was exposed to a mean LCR of 2378 \pm 1427 mm, and an ALE of 67 \pm 13 mm per month. We present the summary statistics stratified by age and wealth quintile in appendix B.

As indicated in figure 3, district HAZ means ranged from -1.50 to -0.75. Rates appeared higher when moving from south to north, with the middlebelt estimates falling between the two. Figure 4 compares the Pearson correlation estimates between child HAZ and ALE (not the mean values) by districts. We laid the correlation estimates on the district total rainfall map to uncover patterns in HAZ-ALE correlation along with the district total rainfall. The figure shows a negative correlation between HAZ and ALE in most districts, with no clear pattern based on total rainfall per district. In other words, the districts with the significant positive HAZ-ALE correlation were not those with the highest or least total rainfall. The next section presents the multivariate four-level mixedeffect analysis results controlling for relevant measured covariates.

3.2. Associations between ALE and HAZ

Figure 5 presents the estimation results of the multivariate four-level mixed-effect analysis containing all children (main model) and those with children stratified by age and sex. All models include child age, sex, household size, caregiver age, caregiver literacy, and household wealth index as covariates. Accounting for all the above-mentioned potential confounders, we observed a significant negative association between HAZ and ALE in U5YO children. A unit increase in ALE was significantly associated with a 0.11 decrease in HAZ (95% CI = -0.13; -0.10, p < 0.001). The negative ALE-HAZ association was consistent after including a quadratic (ALE²) alongside the ALE term. However, the quadratic term showed a significant positive relationship with HAZ, indicating that the relationship between rainfall and HAZ for the 12919 children we studied was non-linear (convex/u-shaped). Further analysis showed that a unit increase in ALE (up to around 75 mm) related to a decrease in HAZ (95% CI = 73;78, p < 0.001), the turning point from which the association between ALE and HAZ turns positive (see appendix E). For the age-stratified analysis, we found that a unit increase in ALE was significantly associated with a decrease in HAZ in children aged 0–23 months (Coef. = -0.04, 95% CI = -0.06: -0.03, p < 0.001). Contrarily, we found a positive

Table 1. General characteristi	ics of the study	y population ((N = 12919).
--------------------------------	------------------	----------------	--------------

Total	Boys	Girls
12 919	6409	6510
26.50 (17.87)	26.6 (17.97)	26.41 (17.76)
28.89 (6.85)	28.85 (6.8)	28.92 (6.92)
7.91 (4.01)	7.92 (3.97)	7.89 (4.05)
0.08 (0.95)	0.06 (0.94)	0.10 (0.96)
81.04	81.00	81.08
81.63 (14.56)	82.08 (14.45)	81.17 (14.65)
-0.99 (1.54)	-1.09 (1.57)	-0.89 (1.51)
23.78	26.48	21.12
2378.61 (1426.60)	2383.36 (1429.72)	2373.93 (1243.60)
67.37 (12.94)	67.42 (12.45)	67.33 (12.49)
	Total 12 919 26.50 (17.87) 28.89 (6.85) 7.91 (4.01) 0.08 (0.95) 81.04 81.63 (14.56) -0.99 (1.54) 23.78 2378.61 (1426.60) 67.37 (12.94)	Total 12919 Boys 6409 26.50 (17.87) $28.89 (6.85)$ 26.6 (17.97) $28.85 (6.8)$ $7.91 (4.01)$ 28.85 (6.8) $7.92 (3.97)$ $0.08 (0.95)$ 0.08 (0.95) 81.04 0.06 (0.94) 81.00 81.63 (14.56) $-0.99 (1.54)$ 23.78 82.08 (14.45) $-1.09 (1.57)$ 23.78 2378.61 (1426.60) $67.37 (12.94)$ 2383.36 (1429.72) $67.42 (12.45)$

This table is presented as means and SD in brackets or proportions with percentage signs on the variables.



association between ALE and HAZ in children aged 24–59 months (Coef. = 0.03, 95% CI = -0.004: 0.071, p = 0.082). We present further analysis of the quadratic term and turning points by age groups in appendix F. The association between ALE and HAZ was negative in both sex strata. A slight difference in the estimates showed a less negative effect in the girls' stratum. The full results table can be found in appendix G.

Figure 6 displays the results of the association between ALE and HAZ stratified by SES. Our results indicate a significant negative association between HAZ and ALE in all SES groups. Albeit slight differences in the estimates of the different SES strata, the worst effect was found in the second

quintile (children from poorer households); a unit increase in ALE was significantly associated with a 0.12 reduction in HAZ (95% CI = -0.15; -0.09, p < 0.001). The complete results table can be found in appendix H.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we focused on the associations between ALE and chronic undernutrition in U5YO children in rural Burkina Faso, aiming to capture the aggregate impact of rainfall (net positive or negative) in the lifetime of the children in our sample. We discuss the four key findings that emerged from our analysis. First, we found a negative relationship







multivariate multilevel (child-household-village-district) regression model stratified by age groups and sex.

between HAZ and ALE in children aged 0–59 months, albeit capped at around 75 mm, after which the relationship reverses. Different climate change and child health frameworks show that rainfall exposure influences child nutrition through two main underlying causes: altering the food availability/utilization and the disease environment [50, 51]. The two causes can be driven positively and negatively by high and low rainfall exposure [24, 52]. Our identified association suggests the nature of the cumulative influence



of rainfall on children's long-term nutritional status across these pathways. We found evidence in Burkina Faso suggesting that it took a substantial amount of rainfall to notice a significant positive change between rainfall and HAZ [43]. This evidence aligns with our finding, indicating that increments in rainfall did not relate to gains in child nutritional status unless the increase was substantial (exceeding a monthly average of 75 mm). The evidence on such cumulative exposure is particularly important for subsequent research projecting potential future climate change impacts on child undernutrition in Burkina Faso.

Appraising our findings against studies having applied a similar long-term approach to rainfall exposure in SSA, we note that our first finding aligns with the results of Cooper *et al* [17] in Northern Ghana, which borders Burkina Faso. They investigated the relationship between extreme rainfall over different time windows (12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months) and child undernutrition. They found a significant increase in stunting (decrease in HAZ) in children aged 0–59 months related to the rise in extreme rainfall over 36 months period. Conversely, Grace *et al* [23] in Kenya contrasted our findings. The authors looked at rains during the growing season (March–May) averaged over the lifetime in children aged 1–5 years and their nutritional status. They observed that children who experienced aggregated high rainfall during the March–May growing seasons were less likely to be stunted, attributing it to increased food availability. We believe the contrasting findings may also be due to the age range differences of the samples studied: as their sample did not include children under one year (among the worst affected in our study and other studies [19]). We found a similar positive relationship between ALE and HAZ when we excluded children under one year, albeit not statistically significant (see appendix I). Differences in contextual factors between Burkina Faso (West) and Kenya (East Africa) might also play a role.

We also appraised our findings against studies conducted in Burkina Faso, albeit measuring shortterm rainfall effects. Using data from a rural site in North-Western Burkina Faso, Mank *et al* [53] observed that rainfall deviation from the previous year's average rainfall increased stunting risk among children below five years of age, consistent with our finding. Contrastingly, Bonjean *et al* [43] reported a decrease in the likelihood of stunting from increased rainfall at the prenatal period for rural children across the country using a nationally representative sample.

Second, the negative association persisted when we stratified our model by sex, with slight differences between sexes. This observation can be explained in many plausible ways. The first explanation is that younger children are more vulnerable to rainfall shocks [43], possibly due to weaker immune responses [54] that predispose them to rainfall-related disease effects, which further inhibit dietary intake and nutrient absorption [52]. This is backed by evidence indicating that the most vulnerable period with devastating rainfall effect is between the prenatal and first year after birth [43]. Concerning dietary intake, younger children have higher nutritional needs than older children, making them prone to inadequate dietary supply related to crop failure due to extreme rainfall [51]. Another explanation is catch-up growth. Compared to younger children, older children exposed to different rainfall seasons might experience a counteracting effect; the positive rainfall effect(s) overrides the negative effect(s), resulting in a net positive effect nutritional effect at the time of measurement. Evidence suggests that children can recover from bad nutritional outcomes related to rainfall in earlier periods [48]. Another plausible explanation for the difference in effects between younger and older children is selective mortality in children.

Most child mortality occurs in children under 12 months (around 53% globally and 94% in Burkina Faso in 2020), implying vulnerable children die before 12 months [55]. Thus, the pool of older children might be those better fit to survive despite adverse circumstances, including variations in rainfall quantities.

Third, the nutritional status of children below two years was more likely to be negatively affected by ALE than those aged two or above. Some studies suggest that in cultures with a preference for one sex over the other, gender discrimination in intra-household resource allocation may account for the differences in child response to adverse rainfall effects [56, 57]. We found that girls had a less negative impact compared to boys. However, the difference is almost negligible, tending to support evidence from earlier studies [58, 59] showing no gender-based discrimination in intra-household resource allocation in Burkina Faso. Meanwhile, another study in Burkina Faso observed that boys are more affected by adverse rainfall effects in the prenatal period, attributing their finding to the vulnerability of boys in early life [43]. We recommend further research on the differences in child response to climate effects and the possible influence of gender norms, particularly relating to household resource allocation.

Lastly, we looked at how wealth moderates the rainfall-nutritional status effect. We found that stunted children spread across all wealth groups, with 41% in households in the first and second quintile (see appendix B), consistent with other findings

[60]. Our regression analysis shows that children's nutritional status is negatively affected by rainfall across all wealth groups suggesting a weak wealth effect in moderating the adverse effect of rainfall hazards. We conclude that the marginal difference in effect size across wealth quintiles indicates that all children are exposed to the damaging effects of rainfall and would benefit from broadly targeted interventions to counteract them.

5. Methodological considerations

We outline here the strength and limitations of this study. To begin with the strengths, it uses one of the largest currently available datasets on malnutrition among children in rural Burkina Faso, although not a nationally representative sample. In addition, we used one of the finest resolution satellite rainfall products (CHIRPS) to get rainfall estimates at the household level. Nonetheless, we need to acknowledge a few limitations. First, the possibility of errors in the anthropometric measure of infants (less than 18 months) cannot be ruled out. We, therefore, conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding children under 18 months following the approach of Brown and colleagues [60]. We found a similar positive rainfallundernutrition effect, albeit not statistically significant (see appendix I).

Secondly, the monthly total rainfall in Burkina Faso is mostly under 200 mm, a figure below which satellite products produce poor rainfall estimates [38]. It may result in underestimating ALE, particularly in drier areas like the Nord and Centre-Nord districts.

Thirdly, ALE might be over-or-underestimated for each child for three reasons. Although enumerators verified the child's age from the vaccination booklet, enumerators elicited children's age from caretakers in a few instances in the absence of the vaccination booklet. We do recognize a potential bias in our estimates due to inaccuracies in age recall, but we deem this bias to be of minute proportions given all steps taken by the team to ensure accuracy in the identification of the child's age. Next, we assumed that gestational age was 40 weeks for each child, ignoring preterm or delayed birth as similar studies [23, 43] due to the difficulty of acquiring detailed pregnancy information. Then, we assumed children stayed in the same village throughout their lifetimes. We believe it would minimally affect our estimates because most households in our sample (95%) could be found in the same village within the three-year baseline and endline survey period [61]. In addition, there is evidence that children under five are less likely to stay away from their parents [62].

Finally, our models did not include potential confounders, such as birth weight and household composition, among others, due to data unavailability.

6. Conclusion

Previous studies looking at rainfall irregularities at specific periods have linked it to fluctuations in chronic undernutrition in U5YO children. We concentrated on rainfall measured over the lifetime and demonstrated how it affects chronic undernutrition in rural Burkina Faso. Our methodological approach that traces the impact of rainfall from conception to the current age makes a meaningful contribution to the portfolio of tools for studying the complex relationship between climate change and health outcomes. Our finding aligns with many other studies [19] that found a significant association between rainfall as a climate change proxy and child health and nutrition. We found that children, especially those under age two exposed to more than average rainfall in Burkina Faso, are more likely to be short for their age. The observed negative effect was consistent between different sex and household wealth groups.

This study was set up to explore the association between cumulative rainfall and undernutrition, but given data availability, we could not establish causality or explain underlying mechanisms of action. Therefore, we acknowledge the need for further studies to explore how rainfall affects the immediate undernutrition causes (dietary intake and health risk) and the response of households and social systems (like the health system). Moreover, we did not look at the anomalies in cumulative rainfall exposure, which can offer meaningful insights into understanding this relationship. Thus, we recommend that future studies address this concern.

Nevertheless, we recommend that immediate and concerted efforts are needed to protect children against the harmful impact of climate change, given the sustained effect of chronic undernutrition into adulthood. On the one hand, this can be achieved by reducing household vulnerability and boosting their resilience with nutrition-sensitive interventions such as promoting climate-resistant crop varieties, irrigation, crop insurance, and cash transfers. In addition, policymakers need to target children broadly through nutrition-specific interventions such as nutritional education for caregivers and micronutrient supplementation to children and pregnant women [63].

Ethical Statement

No ethical clearance was required for this study because we relied exclusively on fully anonymized secondary data, which has already received ethical clearance both from the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg (Protocol number S-272/2013) and Burkina Faso National Ethics Committee (Protocol number 2013-7-06). Authorization to use the PBF data was obtained from the project lead investigators.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available. The household survey data used for this study is available upon request in the World Bank's Central Microdata Catalogue [64]. The rainfall data is openly available at http://chc.ucsb.edu/data/chirps/.

Funding

The data used in this study were collected as part of an impact evaluation of the performance-based financing program in Burkina Faso, which was funded by The World Bank's Health Results Innovation Trust Fund (HRITF) through grants to Heidelberg University, Germany, and Centre MURAZ, Burkina Faso.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Katholischer Akademischer Ausländer-Dienst (KAAD), who financially supported the lead author through a PhD scholarship. For the publication fee, we acknowledge the financial support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) as part of the Research Unit "Climate change and health in sub-Saharan Africa" (FOR2936) (reference: D10041694) as well as the DFG and Heidelberg University funding programme "Open Access Publikationskosten". The authors are also grateful to the World Bank for granting use of the Performance-Based Financing survey data, collected with financial and technical support by the Health Results Innovation Trust Fund (HRITF) and field support by the Centre Muraz.

Authors Contribution

E Y was responsible for conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. N K contributed to the formal analysis, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. I S contributed to visualization, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. D P contributed to formal analysis and reviewed the manuscript. E B contributed data and reviewed the manuscript. J L contributed to data curation, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. S H contributed to data collection, data interpretation, and manuscript review. T P contributed to data collection and manuscript review. K B contributed to the data analysis and interpretation. R S contributed to study conceptualization, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. T B contributed to the study's conceptualization. I D contributed to study conceptualization, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. M D contributed to study conceptualization, data interpretation, and manuscript drafting. All authors critically reviewed the paper and gave final approval for its publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare relevant to the content of this article.

Appendices

Appendix A. Table of Likelihood ratio test comparing the four-level model to linear, two-level, and three-level models.

Likelihood ratio test	Linear Model	Two levels	Three levels
	chi ² (Prob. > chi ²)	chi ² (Prob. > chi ²)	chi ² (Prob. > chi ²)
Four levels	246.24 (0.0000)	142.60 (0.0000)	44.65 (0.0000)

Appendix B. General characteristics of the study population by age and wealth quintile (N = 12919).

						Less	Least
	Age:	Age:	Poorest	Poorer	Poor	Poor	Poor
	0–23 months	24–59 months	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Ν	6536	6383	2605	2593	2587	2580	2554
Socio-demograph	ic variables						
Mean (SD)	10.78	42.58	25.53	26.73	26.48	26.82	27.11
child age in months (m)	(6.83)	(9.29)	(17.65)	(17.84)	(17.78)	(17.95)	(18.12)
Mean (SD)	28.19	29.59	27.64	28.94	29.13	29.24	29.39
caregivers' age in years	(6.86)	(6.77)	(6.62)	(6.73)	(6.71)	(7.02)	(7.04)
Mean (SD)	7.5	8.36	6.03	7.34	7.89	8.64	9.7
household size	(3.88)	(4.13)	± 2.85	(3.44)	(3.57)	(4.16)	(4.87)
Mean (SD)	0.05	0.12	-1.19	-0.49	0.04	0.59	1.48
wealth index	(0.96)	(0.94)	(0.30)	(0.16)	(0.15)	(0.18)	(1.48)
Caregiver illiterate ^a (%)	82.66	79.13	83.67	83.96	82.04	79.08	75.63
Anthropometric	variables						
Mean (SD)	69.62	93.88	80.86	81.85	81.49	81.82	80.73
height in cm	(8.6)	(7.49)	(14.2)	(14.41)	(14.63)	(14.65)	(14.85)
Mean (SD)	-0.59	-1.41	-1.02	-0.99	-1.04	-1.00	-0.93
height-for-age z-score	(1.71)	(1.22)	(1.58)	(1.59)	(1.55)	(1.51)	(1.50)
Stunting ^a (%)	17.12	28.76	24.85	24.59	24.64	23.89	22.00
Climate Variable							
Mean (SD) lifetime cumulative rainfall (mm)	1351.77 (529.78)	3430.06 (820.1)	2275.81 (1221.28)	2387.35 (1236.52)	2379.83 (1242.42)	2388.70 (1231.95)	2463.16 (1249.12)
Mean (SD) monthly average lifetime rainfall exposure (mm)	68.22 (14.24)	66.50 (13.91)	66.66 (12.91)	67.24 (12.79)	67.39 (12.48)	67.17 (11.64)	68.42 (12.43)

Data presented as mean and SD in parenthesis unless noted otherwise.

^a Data presented as proportion.

Appendix C. General characteristics of the omitted observation population (N = 567).

Variable	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
Mean child age in months	567	25.88	19.04	0	59
Mean caregivers' age in years	567	27.95	6.36	15	50
Mean household size	567	7.94	4.01	2	29
Mean wealth index	567	0.22	0.96	-2.18	2.63
Caregiver illiterate (%)		80.07			
Mean height in cm	189	75.26	18.39	30	120
Mean lifetime cumulative rainfall (LCR) in mm	567	2390.19	1373.29	265.133	6048.38
Mean average lifetime rainfall exposure in mm (ALE)	567	68.03	12.89	29.46	105.72

Appendix D. Test for a U-shaped relationship.

Specification: $f(ALE) = AE^2$ Extreme point: 75.14559 Test: H1: U shape vs. H0: Monotone or Inverse U shape

	Lower bound	Upper bound
Interval	24.61914	109.1567
Slope	0768689	.0517432
<i>t</i> -value	-14.24571	9.351208
P > t	5.29e-46	5.04e-21

Overall test of presence of a U shape: *t*-value = 9.35, P > |t| = 5.04e-21.

Appendix E. HAZ-ALE relationship for children aged 0–59 months showing the turning point (dash line).



Appendix F. Summary table showing the multivariate multilevel (child-household-village-district) regression analysis by age groups and the turning point of the non-linear function.

	Age Group 1	Age Group 2	Age Group 3	Age Group 4	Age Group 5
All	0–11 months	12–23 months	24-35 months	36–47 months	48–59 months
-0.0024^{***}	-0.0003***	-0.0003	0.0005	0.0012***	0.0005
2.82e-07***	1.58e-06***	3.93e-08	-4.96e-08	$-1.70e-07^{***}$	-6.12e-08
4291	996	3881	4635	3634	4752
-0.1143^{***}	-0.0264^{***}	-0.0389^{*}	0.06597*	0.0396*	0.0506*
0.0008^{***}	0.0001	0.0002^{*}	-0.0004	-0.0003^{*}	-0.0003
75	105	80	78	74	75
12 919	3627	2909	1660	2660	2,063
	All -0.0024*** 2.82e-07*** 4291 -0.1143*** 0.0008*** 75 12 919	Age Group 1 All 0–11 months -0.0024*** -0.0003*** 2.82e-07*** 1.58e-06*** 4291 996 -0.1143*** -0.0264*** 0.0008*** 0.0001 75 105 12 919 3627	Age Group 1Age Group 2All0–11 months12–23 months-0.0024***-0.0003***-0.00032.82e-07***1.58e-06***3.93e-0842919963881-0.1143***-0.0264***-0.0389*0.0008***0.00010.0002*751058012 91936272909	Age Group 1 Age Group 2 Age Group 3 All 0–11 months 12–23 months 24–35 months -0.0024*** -0.0003*** -0.0003 0.0005 2.82e-07*** 1.58e-06*** 3.93e-08 -4.96e-08 4291 996 3881 4635 -0.1143*** -0.0264*** -0.0389* 0.06597* 0.0008*** 0.0001 0.0002* -0.0004 75 105 80 78 12 919 3627 2909 1660	Age Group 1Age Group 2Age Group 3Age Group 4All0-11 months12-23 months24-35 months36-47 months-0.0024***-0.0003***-0.00030.00050.0012***2.82e-07***1.58e-06***3.93e-08-4.96e-08-1.70e-07***4291996388146353634-0.1143***-0.0264***-0.0389*0.06597*0.0396*0.0008***0.00010.0002*-0.0004-0.0003*7510580787412 9193627290916602660

Note: Adjusted for child age(months), child sex (boy/girl), caregiver age (in years), caregiver literacy (illiterate/literate), household size, household SES (wealth index based on the household asset).

	Main Model Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Age: 0–23 months Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Age: 24–59 months Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Male Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Female Coef. (SE) [95% CI]
ATF	-01143***		0.0337*	-01053***	
	(0.0084)	(0.0095)	(0.0191)	(0.0112)	(0.0120)
	[-0.1308, -0.0979]	[-0.0630, -0.0257]	[-0.0042, 0.0706]	[-0.1271, -0.0834]	[-0.1352, -0.0882]
ALE^2	0.0008***	0.0003***	-0.002^{*}	0.0007***	0.0008***
	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
	[0.0006, 0.0009]	[0.0001, 0.0004]	[-0.0005, 0.0000]	[0.0005, 0.0009]	[0.0006, 0.0009]
Child Age (mons)	-0.0253^{***}	-0.1122^{***}	0.0102***	-0.0275***	-0.0231^{***}
	(0.0007)	(0.0028)	(0.0016)	(0.0010)	(0.0011)
	[-0.0267, -0.0238]	[-0.1177, -0.1066]	[0.0070, 0.0134]	[-0.0295, -0.0255]	[-0.0252, -0.0211]
Female (vs male)	0.1881^{***}	0.2439^{***}	0.1489^{***}	NA	NA
	(0.0251)	(0.0365)	(0.0299)	NA	NA
	[0.1389, 0.2373]	[0.1723, 0.3155]	[0.0902, 0.2076]	NA	NA
Household size (per person)	-0.0132^{***}	-0.0038	-0.0194^{***}	-0.0121^{**}	-0.0164^{***}
1	(0.0041)	(0.0059)	(0.0046)	(0.0053)	(0.0058)
	[-0.0213, -0.0051]	[-0.0153, 0.0078]	$\left[-0.0284,-0.0104 ight]$	[-0.0226, -0.0017]	[-0.0278, -0.0050]
					(Continued.)

IOP Publishing

		(Continued.)			
	Main Model Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Age: 0–23 months Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Age: 24–59 months Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Male Coef. (SE) [95% CI]	Female Coef. (SE) [95% CI]
Caretaker age (per year)	0.0050** (0.0021)	-0.0001 (0.0030)	0.0107*** (0.0025)	0.0031 (0.0029)	0.0069** (0.0031)
Caretaker literacy (Literate vs illiterate)	[0.0008, 0.0091] 0.0642* (0.0344)	[-0.0060, 0.0058] 0.0509 (0.0469)	0.0665 0.0665 0.0410)	[-0.0024, 0.0087] 0.0500 (0.0461)	[0.0005, 0.01.50] 0.1037** (0.0494)
Wealth index (per score-point)	[-0.0034, 0.1316] 0.0557*** (0.0158) [0.0348_0.0865]	[-0.0411, 0.1428] 0.0427** (0.0215) [0.0005_0.0840]	[-0.0139, 0.1468] 0.0594*** (0.0181) [0.0739_0.0948]	[-0.0404, 0.1404] 0.0475^{**} (0.0204) [0.0075, 0.0875]	[0.0069, 0.2005] 0.0760*** (0.0223) [0.0333_01198]
Constant	3.4852*** 3.4852*** (0.2887) [2.9194, 4.0510]	[0.3296] $[1.4423, 2.7345]$	[0.0000, 0.00000] -3.3922^{***} (0.6640) [-4.6937, -2.0907]	[0.3796] [2.8849, 4.3730]	[0.0225) 0.1100] 3.3588*** (0.4110) [2.5532, 4.1645]
Random Component Household ICC Village ICC	0.141 0.047	0.237 0.079	0.145	0.134	0.122 0.040
District ICC Model Parameter	0.014	0.035	0.005	0.010	0.010
N aic	12 919 46 027.755	6536 23 766.915	6383 20 463.653	6510 22 863.166	6409 23 269.940
bic	46 124.819	23 855.121	20 551.551	22 944.539	23 351.126
Coef. = coefficient; SE = standard error in paren	thesis; aic = Akaike information crit	erion; ICC = Intra class correlation; $*$	$^{**}p < 0.01; ^{**}p < 0.05; ^{*}p < 0.10.$		

en	
We	
bet	
n	(n)
atic	dř
oci.	i.
ass	61
he	2 9.
1g t	ii
atiı	ž
.E.	e (
est	nti
ict)	in
str	ŧ
Ę.	veal
lage	A Yo
N.	qp
ld-	x an
eho	Sey
snc	l þá
-P	fied
hild	atij
l (cl	str
odel	R
m	Ĕ
ion	fall
essi	ain
egr	le r
ts r	ativ
fec	Inu
l-ef	cun
IXe	ne
Ē	etii
evel	
Itil	and
mu	Ñ
ite 1	HA
aria	re (
tiv	200
Mul	S-Z
H.N	age
ixI	OL-
pu	ht-f
bbe	eigl
×.	Ă

	Poorest Q1			Less Poor Q4	Least Poor Q5
	COEI. (Se) [93% CI]	COEI. (Se) [93% CI]	COEL (SE) [93% CI]	COEL (SE) [93% CI]	COEL (SE) [93% CI]
ALE	-0.1031^{***}	-0.1203^{***}	-0.1090^{***}	-0.0957^{***}	-0.1032^{***}
	(0.0173)	(0.0175)	(0.0174)	(0.0197)	(0.0175)
	[-0.1370, -0.0692]	[-0.1546, -0.0860]	$\left[-0.1431,-0.0748 ight]$	$\left[-0.1344,-0.0570 ight]$	[-0.1375, -0.0688]
ALE^2	0.0007***	0.0008***	0.0007***	0.0007***	0.0007***
	(0.001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)	(0.0001)
	[0.0005, 0.0010]	[0.0006, 0.0011]	[0.0005, 0.0010]	[0.0004, 0.0009]	[0.0005, 0.0010]
Child Age (mons)	-0.0269^{***}	-0.0272^{***}	-0.0238^{***}	-0.0234^{***}	-0.0237^{***}
	(0.0016)	(0.0017)	(0.0016)	(0.0016)	(0.0016)
	[-0.0301, -0.0237]	[-0.0305, -0.0240]	[-0.0269, -0.0207]	[-0.0265, -0.0203]	[-0.0268, -0.0206]
Female (vs male)	-0.2102^{***}	-0.2080^{***}	-0.1419^{**}	-0.2107^{***}	-0.1704^{***}
	(0.0571)	(0.0575)	(0.0564)	(0.0552)	(0.0552)
	[-0.3222, -0.0982]	[-0.3207, -0.0953]	$\left[-0.2525, -0.0313 ight]$	$\left[-0.3188,-0.1026 ight]$	[-0.2786, -0.0623]
Household size (per person)	-0.0026	-0.0006	-0.0127	-0.0241^{***}	-0.0177^{**}
	(0.0126)	(0.0103)	(0.0103)	(0.0083)	(0.0070)
	[-0.0273, 0.0221]	[-0.0207, 0.0195]	[-0.0329, 0.0075]	$\left[-0.0403, -0.0078 ight]$	[-0.0315, -0.0040]
Caretaker age (per year)	-0.0021	0.0023	0.0032	0.0113^{**}	0.0071
	(0.0051)	(0.0050)	(0.0050)	(0.0045)	(0.0045)
	[-0.0121, 0.0079]	[-0.0075, 0.0121]	[-0.0066, 0.0129]	[0.0024, 0.0201]	[-0.0016, 0.0159]
					(Continued.)

		(Continued.)			
	Poorest Q1 Coef. (se) [95% CI]	Poorer Q2 Coef. (se) [95% CI]	Poor Q3 Coef. (se) [95% CI]	Less Poor Q4 Coef. (se) [95% CI]	Least Poor Q5 Coef. (se) [95% CI]
Caretaker literacy (Literate vs illiterate)	0.1811^{**} (0.0824)	-0.0414 (0.0825)	0.1231 (0.0796)	0.0491 (0.0734)	0.0642 (0.0687)
Wealth index (per score-point)	[0.0196, 0.3425] 0.2363^{**} (0.1011)	$\begin{bmatrix} -0.2031, 0.1203 \end{bmatrix}$ -0.0922 (0.1908)	[-0.0330, 0.2791] 0.0420 (0.2101)	$\begin{bmatrix} -0.0948, 0.1929 \end{bmatrix}$ -0.0014 (0.1680)	[-0.0706, 0.1989] 0.1668^{**} (0.0706)
Constant	[0.0382, 0.4344] 3.7359*** (0.6110) 7.5383, 4.03351	[-0.4661, 0.2817] 3.9793*** (0.6046) [2.72044 5.1642]	[-0.3697, 0.4538] 3.5087*** (0.6019) [2.3200.4.6885]	[-0.3307, 0.3280] 3.0078*** (0.6764) 1.6200,43336]	[0.0284, 0.3053] 3.0256*** (0.6114) [1 8274 4 2230]
Random Component Household ICC	0136		0.187		
Village ICC	0.036	0.052	0.043	0.039	0.036
District ICC Model Parameter	0.005	0.015	0.010	0.005	0.004
N	2605	2593	258	2580	2554
aic	9398.740	9382.686	9266.364	9134.213	8985.484
bic	9474.988	9458.874	9342.522	9210.335	9061.475
Coef. = coefficient; SE = standard error in parentl	hesis; aic = Akaike information crite	rion; ICC = Intra class correlation; $*$	$^{***}p < 0.01; ^{**}p < 0.05; ^{*}p < 0.10.$		

18

E Yeboah et al

ore	en).
Z-SCC	hildı
-age	345 c
t-for	2
eigh	IS (N
een h	onth
betw	59 m
tion	d 18-
ociat	lage
le ass	I) and
ing th	ldren
imati	1 chi
is esti	= 898
alysi	N
on ar	nths
ressi	9 m 6
t) reg	13-5
strict	aged
ge-di	dren
villa	r chil
hold-	E) foi
ouse	S(AL
ild-h	osure
el (ch	lexp
tileve	uinfal
s mul	mera
ariate	lifeti
ultiva	rage
I. M	d ave
xibus	Z) an
Appe	(HA

ALE Coef. ALE 0.000 ALE ² 0.000 Child Age (mons) 0.000	Apple (SE)			
	(ACI. (AL)	[95% CI]	Coef. (SE)	[95% CI]
ALE ² (0.01 0.000 Child Age (mons) 0.002	.0008	[-0.0267, 0.0283]	0.0228	[-0.0124, 0.0580]
ALE ² 0.000 (0.00 Child Age (mons) 0.002	0.0140)		(0.0180)	
(0.00 Child Age (mons) 0.003	0000	[-0.0002, 0.0002]	-0.0002	[-0.0004, 0.0001]
Child Age (mons) 0.003	0.0001)		(0.0001)	
	.0035***	[0.0016, 0.0054]	0.0057***	[0.0035, 0.0079]
(0.00	0.0010)		(0.0011)	
Female (vs male) 0.160	.1601***	[0.1085, 0.2117]	0.1469***	[0.0923, 0.2016]
(0.02	0.0263)		(0.0279)	
Household size (per person) -0.0	-0.0170***	[-0.0255, -0.0086]	-0.0193^{***}	[-0.0280, -0.0105]
(0.00	0.0043)		(0.0045)	
Caretaker age (per year) 0.008	.0082***	[0.0039, 0.0126]	0.0097***	[0.0051, 0.0142]
(0.00	0.0022)		(0.0023)	
Caretaker literacy (Literate vs illiterate) 0.075	.0754**	[0.0037, 0.1470]	0.0712*	[-0.0045, 0.1468]
(0.03	0.0366)		(0.0386)	
Wealth index (per score-point) 0.066	.0660***	[0.0334, 0.0986]	0.0590***	[0.0250, 0.0931]
(0.01).0166)		(0.0174)	
Constant -2.0	-2.0296^{***}	[-3.0163, -1.0430]	-2.7828^{***}	[-4.0059, -1.5596]
(0.50	0.5034)		(0.6241)	
Random Component				
Household ICC 0.192	.1928		0.1896	
Village ICC 0.030	.0308		0.0313	
District ICC 0.002	.0021		0.0031	
Model Parameter				
N 8981	981		7845	
aic 29 62	9 622.562		25 705.369	
bic 29 71	9 714.899		25 795.948	

Region	District	Observations	Households	Villages	Total Rainfall (09/2011 to 06/2017	Mean Lifetime Cumulative Rainfall	Stunting Prevalence	Pearson correlation between individual-level ALE and HAZ	Multilevel regression estimating the association between ALE and HAZ score
Nord	Ouahigouya	1363	907 753	64	3776.868	2025.289	24.61	-0.0019	-0.016***
Centre-Nord Centre-Nord	Kaya	1273 1273	cc / 697	54 54	4072.180 3788.02	2200.120 2184.06	24.30 23.40	-0.0133	-0.010 -0.019^{***}
Boucle Du Mouhoun	Nouna	1052	606	44	4162.707	2109.48	26.43	-0.2570^{*}	-0.029^{***}
Centre-Nord	Kongoussi	813	462	34	3574.392	1913.071	23.99	0.1799^{*}	0.012^{*}
Boucle Du Mouhoun	Solenzo	816	459	33	4812.542	2599.569	19.73	-0.2984^{*}	-0.032^{***}
Centre-Est	Ouargaye	695	380	27	4813.238	2686.682	27.34	-0.0798^{*}	-0.021^{***}
Centre-Est	Tenkodogo	588	322	23	4721.411	2677.968	21.77	0.0455*	-0.011
Centre-Ouest	Reo	473	233	16	4620.539	2501.345	21.78	-0.0067	-0.003
Nord	Yako	447	221	15	4025.603	2208.765	26.62	-0.0850^{*}	-0.018^{**}
Sud-Ouest	Diebougou	429	257	19	6003.932	3096.065	21.45	-0.1056^{*}	-0.022^{***}
Centre-Ouest	Sapouy	406	212	18	5234.156	3049.646	22.17	0.0699^{*}	-0.001
Plateau Central	Ziniare	346	206	20	4193.285	2266.147	26.59	-0.0254	-0.018^{*}
Boucle Du Mouhoun	Toma	287	144	11	4234.200	2286.447	29.62	-0.1328^{*}	-0.033^{***}
Plateau Central	Bousse	287	150	13	4239.325	2357.99	26.83	0.0784^{*}	0.011
Boucle Du Mouhoun	Boromo	251	151	11	5216.097	2713.527	19.52	-0.1681^{*}	-0.018^{**}
Centre-Sud	Manga	244	129	6	4864.161	2729.371	18.85	-0.0971^{*}	-0.027^{**}
Centre-Ouest	Nanoro	168	87	9	4255.278	2269.761	30.95	0.0772*	-0.003
Sud-Ouest	Batie	190	104	6	5436.186	2691.123	20.53	-0.0097	-0.011
Centre-Nord	Barsalgho	112	53	5	3219.793	1817.515	31.25	0.1443^{*}	0.042^{*}
Sud-Ouest	Dano	103	59	4	5488.756	2977.815	26.21	-0.1077^{*}	-0.018
Sud-Ouest	Gaoua	104	64	5	5916.999	3008.594	19.23	-0.0238	-0.010
Centre-Est	Zabre	77	42	3	4897.705	2741.439	10.39	0.2619^{*}	0.013
Nord	Gourcy	806	408	29	3938.851	2203.253	20.47	-0.0136	-0.010
Note: Pearson correlation sig- household size, household SE	nificant at 5%. Mult S (wealth index base	ilevel regression was a	djusted for child age(sset) *** $p < 0.01$; **	(months), child set $p < 0.05$; * $p < 0$.	xx (boy/girl), caregiver age 10.	e (in years), caregiver litera	cy (illiterate/literate),		

Appendix J. Summary table.

E Yeboah et al

ORCID iD

Isabel Mank (a) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1321-1731

References

- Mohino E, Janicot S and Bader J 2010 Sahel rainfall and decadal to multi-decadal sea surface temperature variability *Clim. Dyn.* 37 419–40
- Mahé G and Paturel J-E 2009 1896–2006 Sahelian annual rainfall variability and runoff increase of Sahelian Rivers C. R. Geosci. 341 538–46
- [3] Masson-Delmotte V et al IPCC, 2021: summary for Policymakers Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)) accepted
- [4] Parkes B, Defrance D, Sultan B, Ciais P and Wang X 2018 Projected changes in crop yield mean and variability over West Africa in a world 1.5 K warmer than the pre-industrial era *Earth Syst. Dyn.* **9** 119–34
- [5] Sultan B, Defrance D and Iizumi T 2019 Evidence of crop production losses in West Africa due to historical global warming in two crop models *Sci. Rep.* 9 12834
- [6] Blanc E 2012 The impact of climate change on crop yields in Sub-Saharan Africa Am. J. Clim. Change 01 1–13
- [7] FAO, IFAD, UNICEF and WFP 2018 WHO. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2018. Building Climate Resilience for Food Security and Nutrition (Rome: United Nations)
- [8] Kotir J 2011 Climate change and variability in Sub-Saharan Africa: a review of current and future trends and impacts on agriculture and food security *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* 13 587–605
- [9] Sie A et al 2018 Dietary diversity and nutritional status among children in rural Burkina Faso Int. Health 10 157–62
- [10] Mank I, Vandormael A, Traoré I, Ouédraogo W A, Sauerborn R and Danquah I 2020 Dietary habits associated with growth development of children aged < 5 years in the Nouna Health and Demographic Surveillance System, Burkina Faso. *Nutrition J.* 19 81
- [11] Council on Environmental Health 2015 Global climate change and children's health *Pediatrics* 136 992–7
- [12] United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) 2020 Nutrition, for every child UNICEF-Nutrition-Strategy-2020-2030: UNICEF-Nutrition-Strategy-2020-2030 New York
- [13] Leroy J L and Frongillo E A 2019 Perspective: what does stunting really mean? A critical review of the evidence Adv. Nutrition 10 196–204
- [14] Hales S, Kovats S, Lloyd S and Campbell-Lendrum D (eds) 2014 Quantitative Risk Assessment of the Effects of Climate Change on Selected Causes of Death, 2030s and 2050s (Geneva: World Health Organization)
- [15] Lloyd S J, Kovats R S and Chalabi Z 2011 Climate change, crop yields, and undernutrition: development of a model to quantify the impact of climate scenarios on child undernutrition *Environ. Health Perspect.* 119 1817–23
- [16] Victora C G, Adair L, Fall C, Hallal P C, Martorell R, Richter L and Sachdev H S 2008 Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital *Lancet* 371 340–57
- [17] Johnson K and Brown M E 2014 Environmental risk factors and child nutritional status and survival in a context of climate variability and change Appl. Geogr. 54 209–21
- [18] Phalkey R K, Aranda-Jan C, Marx S, Höfle B and Sauerborn R 2015 Systematic review of current efforts to quantify the impacts of climate change on undernutrition *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **112** E4522–E4529
- [19] Lieber M, Chin-Hong P, Kelly K, Dandu M and Weiser S D 2020 A systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the

impact of droughts, flooding, and climate variability on malnutrition *Glob. Public Health* **17** 68–82

- [20] Amegbor P M, Zhang Z, Dalgaard R and Sabel C E 2020 Multilevel and spatial analyses of childhood malnutrition in Uganda: examining individual and contextual factors *Sci. Rep.* **10** 20019
- [21] Cooper M, Brown M E, Azzarri C and Meinzen-Dick R 2019 Hunger, nutrition, and precipitation: evidence from Ghana and Bangladesh *Popul. Environ.* 41 151–208
- [22] Alfani F, Dabalen A, Fisker P and Molini V 2019 Vulnerability to stunting in the West African Sahel Food Policy 83 39–47
- [23] Grace K, Davenport F, Funk C and Lerner A M 2012 Child malnutrition and climate in Sub-Saharan Africa: an analysis of recent trends in Kenya Appl. Geogr. 35 405–13
- [24] Belesova K, Agabiirwe C N, Zou M, Phalkey R and Wilkinson P 2019 Drought exposure as a risk factor for child undernutrition in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and assessment of empirical evidence *Environ. Int.* 131 104973
- [25] World Bank 2020 Rural population (% of total population)—Burkina Faso | data (available at: https:// data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.RUR.TOTL.ZS? locations=BF). (Accessed 21 September 2020)
- [26] 2015 Institut national de la statistique et de la démographie. Annuaire Statistique 2016 (available at: www.insd.bf/ contenu/pub_periodiques/annuaires_stat/Annuaires_stat_ nationaux_BF/Annuaire_stat_2015.pdf) (accessed 13 October 2021)
- [27] UNICEF 2020 Burkina Faso (BFA)—demographics, health & infant mortality—UNICEF data (available at: https://data.unicef.org/country/bfa/) (accessed 8 Feb 2021)
- [28] Kambiré H W et al 2016 The context of REDD+ and adaptation to climate change in Burkina Faso: drivers, agents and institutions: center for international forestry research (CIFOR)
- [29] Wang Y-M, Traore S and Kerh T 2009 Applying evapotranspiration reference model and rainfall contribution index for agricultural water management plan in Burkina Faso Afr. J. Agric. Res. 4 1493–504
- [30] Samadoulougou S, Maheu-Giroux M, Kirakoya-Samadoulougou F, de Keukeleire M, Castro M C and Robert A 2014 Multilevel and geo-statistical modeling of malaria risk in children of Burkina Faso *Parasites Vectors* 7 350
- [31] De Longueville F, Hountondji Y-C, Kindo I, Gemenne F and Ozer P 2016 Long-term analysis of rainfall and temperature data in Burkina Faso (1950–2013) *Int. J. Climatol.* 36 4393–405
- [32] Ibrahim B, Karambiri H, Polcher J, Yacouba H and Ribstein P 2014 Changes in rainfall regime over Burkina Faso under the climate change conditions simulated by 5 regional climate models *Clim. Dyn.* **42** 1363–81
- [33] Tankari R M 2020 Rainfall variability and farm households' food insecurity in Burkina Faso: nonfarm activities as a coping strategy *Food Sec.* 12 567–78
- [34] Belesova K, Gasparrini A, Sié A, Sauerborn R and Wilkinson P 2017 Household cereal crop harvest and children's nutritional status in rural Burkina Faso Environ. Health 16 1–11
- [35] Belesova K, Gasparrini A, Sié A, Sauerborn R and Wilkinson P 2018 Annual crop-yield variation, child survival, and nutrition among subsistence farmers in Burkina Faso Am. J. Epidemiol. 187 242–50
- [36] De Allegri M, Lohmann J, Souares A, Hillebrecht M, Hamadou S, Hien H, Haidara O and Robyn P J 2019 Responding to policy makers' evaluation needs: combining experimental and quasi-experimental approaches to estimate the impact of performance based financing in Burkina Faso *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 19 733
- [37] Funk C et al 2015 The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations–a new environmental record for monitoring extremes Sci. Data 2 150066

- [38] Dembélé M and Zwart S J 2016 Evaluation and comparison of satellite-based rainfall products in Burkina Faso, West Africa Int. J. Remote Sens. 37 3995–4014
- [39] Atiah W A, Tsidu G M and Amekudzi L K 2020 Investigating the merits of gauge and satellite rainfall data at local scales in Ghana, West Africa Weather Clim. Extremes 30 100292
- [40] Joseph J E, Akinrotimi O O, Rao K P C, Ramaraj A P, Traore P S, Sujatha P and Whitbread A M 2020 The usefulness of gridded climate data products in characterizing climate variability and assessing crop production: consultative group on international agricultural research
- [41] WHO 2020 Length/height-for-age: child growth standards (available at: www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/ height_for_age/en/) (accessed 21 September 2020)
- [42] WHO 2006 WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development (Geneva: World Health Organization)
- [43] Bonjean C A, Brunelin S and Simonet C 2012 Impact of climate related shocks on child's health in Burkina Faso
- [44] Cornwell K and Inder B 2015 Child health and rainfall in early life J. Dev. Stud. 51 865–80
- [45] Poda G G, Hsu C-Y and Chao -J C-J 2017 Factors associated with malnutrition among children <5 years old in Burkina Faso: evidence from the demographic and health surveys IV 2010 Int. J. Qual. Health Care 29 901–8
- [46] Leckie G 2013 Three-level multilevel models—concepts LEMMA VLE Module 11 1–41 (available at: www.bristol.ac.uk/cmm/learning/course.html)
- [47] Peugh J L 2010 A practical guide to multilevel modeling J. Sch. Psychol. 48 85–112
- [48] Rabassa M, Skoufias E and Jacoby H 2014 Weather and child health in rural Nigeria J. Afr. Econ. 23 464–92
- [49] Lind J T and Mehlum H 2010 With or Without U? The appropriate test for a U-shaped relationship Oxford Bull. Econ. Stat. 72 109–18
- [50] Myers S S, Smith M R, Guth S, Golden C D, Vaitla B, Mueller N D, Dangour A D and Huybers P 2017 Climate change and global food systems: potential impacts on food security and undernutrition *Annu. Rev. Public Health* 38 259–77
- [51] Reinhardt K and Fanzo J 2014 Addressing chronic malnutrition through multi-sectoral, sustainable approaches: a review of the causes and consequences *Front. Nutrition* 1 13

- [52] Nitiema L W, Nordgren J, Ouermi D, Dianou D, Traore A S, Svensson L and Simpore J 2011 Burden of rotavirus and other enteropathogens among children with diarrhea in Burkina Faso Int. J. Infect. Dis. 15 e646–52
- [53] Mank I, Belesova K, Bliefernicht J, Traoré I, Wilkinson P, Danquah I and Sauerborn R 2021 The impact of rainfall variability on diets and undernutrition of young children in rural Burkina Faso Front. Public Health 9 1376
- [54] Hill D L *et al* 2020 Immune system development varies according to age, location, and anemia in African children *Sci. Trans. Med.* **12** 1–17
- [55] UNICEF 2022 UNICEF data: monitoring the situation of children and women (available at: https://data. unicef.org/resources/dataset/child-mortality/)
- [56] Ogasawara K and Yumitori M 2019 Early-life exposure to weather shocks and child height: evidence from industrializing Japan SSM—Popul. Health 7 1
- [57] Khera R, Jain S, Lodha R and Ramakrishnan S 2014 Gender bias in child care and child health: global patterns Arch. Dis. Child. 99 369–74
- [58] Sauerborn R, Berman P and Nougtara A 1996 Age bias, but no gender bias, in the intra-household resource allocation for health care in rural Burkina Faso *Health Transit. Rev.* 6 131–45
- [59] Haddad L and Reardon T 1993 Gender bias in the allocation of resources within households in Burkina Faso: a disaggregated outlay equivalent analysis *J. Dev. Stud.* 29 260–76
- [60] Brown C, Ravallion M and van de Walle D 2019 Most of Africa's nutritionally deprived women and children are not found in poor households *Rev. Econ. Stat.* 101 631–44
- [61] De Allegri M, Lohmann J and Schleicher M 2018 Results-based financing for health impact evaluation in Burkina Faso June
- [62] de Lange A 2007 Child labour migration and trafficking in rural Burkina Faso Int. Migr. 45 147–67
- [63] Doudou M H, Ouedraogo O, Ouaro B, Bidault N and Reinhardt K 2018 Mapping nutrition interventions, a key analytical tool for informing the multisectoral planning process: example from Burkina Faso Food Nutrition Bull. 39 449–64
- [64] Robyn P J, De Allegri M and Hien H Burkina faso health results-based financing impact evaluation 2017, health facility endline survey (HRBFIE-FEL). Reference BFA_2017_HRBFIE-FBL_v01_M. Dataset (available at: https://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php/catalog/3504)