

Effectiveness of CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, BNT162b2 and Ad26.COV2.S among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in Brazil: a test-negative, case control study

Thiago Cerqueira-Silva MD^{1,2*}, Jason R. Andrews MD^{3*}, Prof Viviane S. Boaventura MD^{1,2}, Otavio T. Ranzani PhD^{4,5}, Vinicius de Araújo Oliveira MD^{1,2,6}, Enny S Paixão PhD⁷, Juracy Bertoldo Júnior MSc^{2,6}, Tales Mota Machado MSc⁸, Matt D.T. Hitchings PhD⁹, Murilo Dorion¹⁰, Margaret L Lind PhD¹⁰, Gerson O. Penna PhD^{11,12}, Prof Derek A.T. Cummings PhD^{13,14}, Natalie E Dean PhD¹⁵, Prof Guilherme Loureiro Werneck PhD¹⁶, Prof Neil Pearce PhD⁷, Prof Mauricio L. Barreto MD^{2,6}, Prof Albert I. Ko MD^{1,10}, Prof Julio Croda PhD^{10,17,18†}, Prof Manoel Barral-Netto MD^{1,2,6†}

Affiliations:

1. Instituto Gonçalo Moniz, Fiocruz, Salvador, BA, Brazil;
2. Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, BA, Brazil;
3. Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
4. Barcelona Institute for Global Health, ISGlobal, Barcelona, Spain
5. Pulmonary Division, Heart Institute, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
6. Center for Data and Knowledge Integration for Health - Fiocruz, Salvador, BA, Brazil;
7. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
8. Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto, MG, Brazil;
9. Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health & Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
10. Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT, USA;
11. Núcleo de Medicina Tropical, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil
12. Escola Fiocruz de Governo, Fiocruz Brasília. Brasília, DF, Brazil;
13. Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
14. Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
15. Department of Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University
16. Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
17. Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil
18. Fiocruz Mato Grosso do Sul, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil

*Authors contributed equally

†Authors contributed equally

Correspondence to: Prof Julio Croda, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul and Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, julio.croda@fiocruz.br

Keywords: Covid-19; CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, BNT162b2 and Ad26.COV2.S, test-negative study; case-control study; previous infections; Brazil

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed, medRxiv, and SSRN for articles published from January 1, 2020 until February 14, 2022, with no language restrictions, using the search terms “vaccine effectiveness” AND “previous*” AND (“SARS-CoV-2” OR “COVID-19”). We found several studies evaluating ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2, and one additionally reporting on mRNA-1273 and Ad26.COV2.S, which found that previously infected individuals who were vaccinated had lower risk of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. One study found that risk of hospitalization was lower for previously infected individuals after a full series of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273. One study reported on effectiveness of an inactivated vaccine (BBV152) against reinfection, and no studies reported on effectiveness of CoronaVac among previously infected individuals. Limited evidence is available comparing effectiveness of one versus two doses among individuals with prior infection.

Added value of this study

We used national databases of COVID-19 case surveillance, laboratory testing, and vaccination from Brazil to investigate effectiveness of CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, Ad26.COV2.S and BNT162b2 among individuals with a prior, laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. We matched >22,000 RT-PCR-confirmed re-infections with >145,000 RT-PCR-negative controls using a test-negative design. All four vaccines were effective against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections, with effectiveness from 14 days after series completion ranging from 39.4% (95% CI 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac to 64.8% (95% CI 54.9-72.4) for BNT162b2. For vaccines with two-dose regimens, the second dose provided significantly increased effectiveness compared with one dose. Effectiveness against COVID-19-associated hospitalization or death from 14 days after series completion was >80% for CoronaVac, ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2.

Implications of all the available evidence

We find evidence that four vaccines, using three different platforms, all provide protection to previously infected individuals against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe outcomes, with a second dose conferring significant additional benefits. These results support the provision of a full vaccine series among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.

ABSTRACT

Background. COVID-19 vaccines have proven highly effective among SARS-CoV-2 naive individuals, but their effectiveness in preventing symptomatic infection and severe outcomes among individuals with prior infection is less clear.

Methods. Utilizing national COVID-19 notification, hospitalization, and vaccination datasets from Brazil, we performed a test-negative, case-control study to assess the effectiveness of four vaccines (CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, Ad26.COV2.S and BNT162b2) among individuals with laboratory-confirmed prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. We matched RT-PCR positive, symptomatic COVID-19 cases with up to 10 RT-PCR-negative controls presenting with symptomatic illnesses, restricting both groups to tests performed at least 90 days after an initial infection. We used multivariable conditional logistic regression to compare the odds of test positivity, and the odds of hospitalization or death due to COVID-19, according to vaccination status and time since first or second dose of vaccines.

Findings. Among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection (22,566 RT-PCR positive cases; 145,055 RT-PCR negative tests from 68,426 individuals), vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic infection ≥ 14 days from vaccine series completion was 39.4% (95% CI 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac, 56.0% (95% CI 51.4-60.2) for ChAdOx1, 44.0% (95% CI 31.5-54.2) for Ad26.COV2.S, and 64.8% (95% CI 54.9-72.4) for BNT162b2. For the two-dose vaccine series (CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, and BNT162b2), effectiveness against symptomatic infection was significantly greater after the second dose compared with the first dose. Effectiveness against hospitalization or death ≥ 14 days from vaccine series completion was 81.3% (95% CI 75.3-85.8) for CoronaVac, 89.9% (95% CI 83.5-93.8) for ChAdOx1, 57.7% (95% CI -2.6-82.5) for Ad26.COV2.S, and 89.7% (95% CI 54.3-97.7) for BNT162b2.

Interpretation. All four vaccines conferred additional protection against symptomatic infections and severe outcomes among individuals with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection. Provision of a full vaccine series to individuals following recovery from COVID-19 may reduce morbidity and mortality.

Funding. Brazilian National Research Council, Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, JBS S.A., Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, Generalitat de Catalunya.

INTRODUCTION

Over 315 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 have been reported since the start of the pandemic,¹ and the true cumulative incidence has likely been several times greater.² Within a year of the identification of SARS-CoV-2, multiple vaccines were developed, found to be highly efficacious among seronegative individuals in clinical trials and introduced into national vaccination programs.^{3,4} Uptake of COVID-19 vaccines has been variable across populations amid hesitancy, and public debate has emerged about the need for vaccination among people who have had previous SARS-CoV-2 infection,⁵ and if so, whether a single dose is sufficient.^{6,7} The emergence of more transmissible variants with enhanced immune escape, and resulting waves of infection and reinfection, have renewed questions about the importance of vaccination in individuals with prior COVID-19.^{8,9}

SARS-CoV-2 infection induces robust T-cell and B-cell responses,¹⁰ and the risk of symptomatic infection and severe outcomes is lower among people with prior COVID-19 infection compared with naive individuals.¹¹ Emerging evidence suggests that vaccination with ChAdOx1, Ad26.COV2.S, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273 does confer additional protection against symptomatic reinfection among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.¹²⁻¹⁸ However, only one study has assessed protection against severe outcomes, with just 75 hospitalizations and 2 deaths.¹⁸ Moreover, data for inactivated vaccines, which account for almost half of all doses given globally, are lacking.¹⁹

Brazil has recorded more than 22 million COVID-19 infections and 600,000 deaths as of November, 2021. In January 2021, a national COVID-19 immunization program was initiated, which has utilized four vaccines of three different classes: inactivated (CoronaVac; Sinovac), viral vector (ChAdOx1; AstraZeneca and Ad26.COV2.S; Janssen) and mRNA (BNT162b2; Pfizer-BioNTech). We utilized national disease surveillance and vaccination databases to estimate the effectiveness of these four vaccines, among individuals with laboratory-confirmed previous infections, against symptomatic infection, hospitalization, and death.

METHODS

Study design, population, and data sources

We conducted a test-negative, case-control study to evaluate effectiveness of four vaccines (CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, Ad26.COV2.S and BNT162b2) in individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in Brazil. The study population included individuals with a prior positive reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) or rapid antigen test for SARS-CoV-2 who presented again to health facilities with symptomatic illness and were tested for SARS-CoV-2 at least 90 days after their first positive test.²⁰ We matched individuals who tested positive on these subsequent tests (cases) to those who tested negative (controls).

We utilized data from several national data sources: a deterministically linked dataset comprised of the Programa Nacional de Imunizações (PNI), which contains records of all vaccines administered in Brazil; the e-SUS Notifica, which contains records of suspected and confirmed COVID-19 in outpatient clinics; and the Sistema de Informação da Vigilância Epidemiológica da Gripe (SIVEP-Gripe), which contains records of severe acute respiratory illnesses, including COVID-19 hospitalizations and deaths.²¹⁻²⁵ All data were pseudo-anonymized with a common unique identifier provided by the Brazilian Ministry of Health. The research protocol was approved by the Brazilian National Commission in Research Ethics (CONEP) (approval number 4.921.308).

Brazil's national COVID-19 immunization program commenced on January 17, 2021. Rollout plans were determined at state and local levels; healthcare workers and elderly individuals were the first groups eligible, with age criteria for eligibility advancing downwards with calendar time. Four vaccines have been offered in immunization programs in Brazil: 1) CoronaVac (Sinovac), provided as a two-dose series with a 4-week interval between doses; 2) ChAdOx1 (AstraZeneca), provided as a two-dose series with 12-week between doses which was subsequently reduced to 8 weeks in some states; 3) Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen) as a single dose series; and 4) BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech), as a two-dose series, initially with a 12-week interval, which was subsequently reduced to 3 weeks in some states. Brazil's national guidelines recommend that previously infected individuals be vaccinated 4 or more weeks after infection, and this recommendation did not change during the study period.

Eligibility and selection of cases and controls

Inclusion criteria for this study included: 1) age ≥ 18 years; 2) prior SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by RT-PCR or rapid antigen test; 3) a second exam (RT-PCR test) fulfilling the following criteria: a) associated with an event of symptomatic illness and occurring within 10 days of symptom onset; b) at least 90 days after their first positive test; and c) occurring after the vaccination program began in Brazil (January 17, 2021). We included individuals with first infection between February 24, 2020, and August 13, 2021, and a subsequent RT-PCR test occurring between January 18, 2021, and November 11, 2021.

We excluded: 1) individuals for whom data were incomplete on age, sex, location of residence, vaccination status, testing status or dates; 2) individuals who received a different vaccine for the second dose from the first; 3) individuals whose time interval between the first and second doses was less than 14 days; 4) individuals vaccinated before the first infection or less than 14 days after the first infection. For tests, we excluded: 1) negative tests followed by a positive test within 7 days (to avoid misclassification of cases as controls); 2) tests occurring after the second positive test; 3) tests with symptom onset date occurring after the notification of the suspected case in the surveillance system (to exclude individuals without symptoms at the time of testing); 4) tests occurring among individuals lacking symptoms; 5) tests occurring after a 3rd dose of vaccine, as we were not powered to examine effectiveness of third doses in this analysis. In some cases, more than one negative test from one individual was available for matching, and we included these as candidates for matching if they met the above eligibility criteria.

We matched cases, defined as symptomatic individuals with positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR tests, with controls, defined as symptomatic individuals with negative SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR tests. Hospitalization or death related to COVID-19 was defined by a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test accompanied by hospital admission or death occurring within 28 days of the sample collection date. For the analysis of hospitalization or death, we selected matched sets from the overall matched dataset in which cases were hospitalized or died, and fit the model described above to each subset. For severe outcomes, controls therefore represented test-negative patients from ambulatory or hospital settings who had RT-PCR testing, to reflect the population at risk for that outcome. We did not require that controls for the severe outcomes analysis be hospitalized or have died, as the goal was to estimate overall effectiveness against severe outcomes. We matched one case to a maximum of ten controls, with replacement, by

date of RT-PCR testing (± 10 days), age (± 5 years), sex, and municipality of residence. Individuals who were selected as cases could also serve as controls if they had negative tests that were collected >7 days before their positive test.

Statistical analyses

We calculated standardized differences for demographic characteristics of matched cases and controls, considering a difference >0.1 for variables not included in the exact match to be significant;^{26,27} for exact matched variables, no differences exist within each stratum of the analysis. The primary outcomes of interest were symptomatic, RT-PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 re-infection and hospitalization or death within 28 days of collection of a positive RT-PCR test confirming SARS-CoV-2 re-infection. We did not attempt to ascertain causality between SARS-CoV-2 infection and hospitalization or death, as this information was not available, and instead used this commonly used, temporally defined surveillance case definition for COVID-related outcomes. The primary exposure of interest was vaccination status, which was categorized by vaccine and according to the status at the time of RT-PCR test collection as unvaccinated; 0-13 days post first dose; ≥ 14 days post first dose; 0-13 days post second dose; or ≥ 14 days post second dose. Post-dose 2 is not applicable to Ad26.COV2.S. We considered vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and against COVID-19-related hospitalization or death, among individuals with prior confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, ≥ 14 days after series completion (after 2 doses of CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, and BNT162b2 and after one dose of Ad26.COV2.S) to be the primary estimands of interest. We considered effectiveness in the 6 days after the first dose as an indicator of bias, as we expected, protection to be minimal during this time and substantial differences in risk could reflect residual confounding between the vaccinated and unvaccinated populations.²⁸

We estimated vaccine effectiveness (1-Odds Ratio) using conditional logistic regression, accounting for the matched design, with vaccination status (including number of doses and time period since dose) as the predictor and adjusting for the number of reported chronic comorbidities (diabetes mellitus; cardiovascular disease; obesity; chronic kidney disease; and immunosuppression; categorized as none, one, and at least two), pregnancy, postpartum period, self-reported race, days elapsed between first positive test and second test (as a restricted cubic spline), and whether the individual was hospitalized during their first SARS-CoV-2 infection. For severe outcomes, age (as a continuous variable) was also included due

to anticipated residual confounding and observed improved model fit and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC).

We performed subgroup analyses in which we assessed vaccine effectiveness restricted to individuals above and below 50 years of age, among individuals who had completed their vaccine series greater or less than 90 days prior (to assess for possible waning), and among individuals tested at least 180 days after their initial positive test. Generalized linear hypothesis tests were used for comparisons across different vaccination status, and the confidence intervals and p -values were not adjusted for multiple comparisons. All data processing and analyses were performed in R (version 4.1.1), using the following packages: *tidyverse*, *multcomp*, *MatchIt* and *survival*.

Role of the funding source

Julio Croda is affiliated with Oswaldo Cruz and received support from the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Edital Covid-19 – resposta rápida: 48111668950485) for this work. None of the other funders of the study had any role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

RESULTS

Brazil had two COVID-19 epidemic waves, with the first occurring between July and September 2020, and the second between February and June 2021, during which the Gamma variant was dominant (**Figure 1**). Brazil's national vaccination program commenced on January 17, 2021; fifty percent of the adult population (83 million individuals) had received a first vaccine dose by July 07, 2021. Between February 24, 2020, and November 11, 2021, there were more than 23 million individuals with valid SARS-CoV-2 tests and 11 million confirmed cases (**Figure 2**). Among these, we identified 213,457 individuals who had a subsequent, symptomatic illness with RT-PCR testing performed at least 90 days after their initial SARS-CoV-2 infection and after the vaccination program commenced. Among these, 30,910 (14.5%) had a positive RT-PCR test consistent with reinfection. We matched 22,566 of these cases with 145,055 negative RT-PCR tests from 68,426 individuals as controls. Among cases, 1,545 (6.8%) were hospitalized, 290 (1.3%) died within 28 days of a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR, and 1,564 (6.9%) were hospitalized or died (Table 1).

Demographics and clinical characteristics of eligible and matched sets are presented in Table 1. The median age of the matched population was 36 (interquartile range [IQR]: 29-44) years, approximately 60% of cases and controls were female, and the median time between first infection and the subsequent RT-PCR test was similar between the cases (216 days, IQR 146-291) and controls (223 days, IQR 154-295). The Southeast region of Brazil, which includes São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro and is the most populous region, accounted for 49% of matched cases and 51% of controls. This was followed by the Northeast region (23% of cases, 21% of controls), which is second most populous, and then the Central-West, South and North regions, which each had 8-10% of cases and controls. Forty percent of cases and 53% of controls resided in the capital; due to exact matching on city, there were no differences within each stratum of analysis.

Most cases (14,566; 64.5%) and controls (83,290; 57.4%) were unvaccinated at the time of the test. Among individuals vaccinated (39,717), 17,008 (42.8%) received CoronaVac, 15,897 (40.1%) received ChAdOx1, 5,935 (14.9%) received BNT162b2 and 877 (2.2%) received Ad26.COV2.S. Demographic characteristics were similar among vaccine recipients included in the analysis, but recipients of ChAdOx1 tended to be older ($p < 0.0001$) and have more comorbidities ($p < 0.0001$) (**Appendix, Table A1**). The median time between vaccination and test was 34 days (IQR 17-61) for individuals with only one dose and 59 (IQR

27-105) for individuals with two doses, which differed by each vaccine (**Appendix, Figure A3**).

Vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 re-infection

Effectiveness against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 reinfection ≥ 14 days from vaccine series completion was 39.4% (95% CI 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac, 56.0% (95% CI 51.4-60.2) for ChAdOx1, 44.0% (95% CI 31.5-54.2) for Ad26.COV2.S, and 64.8% (95% CI 54.9-72.4) for BNT162b2 (**Figure 3**). The two-dose vaccines (CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, and BNT162b2) all showed a significant increase in protection from ≥ 14 days after the first dose, to 0-13 days and ≥ 14 days after the second dose. For CoronaVac, effectiveness was twice as high in the period ≥ 14 days after the second dose compared with ≥ 14 days after the first (39.4% vs 18.8%, $p < 0.0001$). Only CoronaVac demonstrated protection (21.0%, 95% CI 2.3-36.1) against symptomatic infection within six days of the first dose, which we used as a test of bias (**Appendix, Table A2**).

Vaccine effectiveness against Covid-19 related hospitalization or death

From 14 days after the completion of the series, effectiveness against COVID-19 related hospitalization or death was 81.3% (95% CI 75.3-85.8) for CoronaVac, 89.9% (95% CI 83.5-93.8) for ChAdOx1, 57.7% (95% CI -2.6-82.5) for Ad26.COV2.S, and 89.7% (95% CI 54.3-97.7) for BNT162b2 (**Figure 4**). Effectiveness ≥ 14 days after a single dose for was 56.9% (95% CI 45.2-66.1) for ChAdOx1 and 61.8% (95% CI 40.8-75.3) for BNT162B2, but was modest for CoronaVac (35.3%; 95% CI 7.9-54.5). Effectiveness against hospitalization or death was significantly greater ≥ 14 days after two doses compared to ≥ 14 days after one dose for CoronaVac (81.3% vs 35.3%, $p < 0.0001$) and ChAdOx1 (89.9% vs 56.9%, $p < 0.0001$) and non-significantly increased for BNT162b2 (89.7% vs 61.8%, $p = 0.091$). We found no evidence of protection for all four vaccines against COVID-19 related hospitalization or death within 6 days of the first dose (**Appendix, Table A2**).

Vaccine effectiveness in subpopulations

For the primary estimands of vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and against COVID-19-related hospitalization or death ≥ 14 days after series completion, we found no differences between age groups (≥ 50 years, < 50 years) (**Appendix, Tables A3 and A4**). For three of the vaccines, we saw a trend towards increased effectiveness against symptomatic infection for vaccination given 91-180 days after prior

infection compared with >180 days, including a significant increase for BNT162b2 (35.3% vs 70.7%, $p=0.011$) (**Appendix, Table A3**). There were no differences in effectiveness against symptomatic infection comparing the periods of $\geq 14-90$ days and >90 days post vaccine series completion. For hospitalization and death, effectiveness of ChAdOx1 was greater >90 days post-completion compared with 14-90 (95.1% vs 86.6%; $p=0.007$) whereas it was lower for CoronaVac over the later interval (74.4% vs 86.6%, $p=0.012$) (**Appendix, Tables A3 and A4**).

DISCUSSION

In this nationwide, population-based study among individuals with confirmed prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, we observed a high degree of additional protection of four vaccines against symptomatic COVID-19 and severe outcomes. For the three vaccines with two doses (CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, and BNT162b2), additional protection against symptomatic infection was observed after the second dose, reaching 39-65%, and protection against hospitalization or death exceeded 80%. These results support vaccination, including the full vaccine series, among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.

There has been public debate about whether previously infected individuals need to be vaccinated, due to substantial immunity conferred by SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁵ Furthermore, in view data demonstrating robust immune responses following a first vaccine dose in previously infected individuals, some have argued that two doses are not necessary.^{6,7} Indeed, several countries recommend that a single vaccine dose is sufficient for previously infected individuals.²⁹⁻³¹ We found that a second dose of CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, and BNT162b2 provided significant additional protection against symptomatic infections and severe disease. Recent studies have shown that IgG antibodies to the receptor binding domain in convalescent individuals decline to around 35% of their individual level by 9 months. Additionally, repeated antigen exposures are observed to increase antibody diversity, which may improve protection against emergent variants.³² Taken together, these findings might help explain the additional benefits of a second vaccine dose among previously infected individuals, despite robust immune responses to the first dose.³³

The results of this analysis are consistent with recent studies reporting that individuals with prior infection who received ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 had a lower risk of symptomatic COVID-19 than unvaccinated, previously infected individuals.^{12,13,15,16} Direct comparison with vaccine effectiveness estimates from these is challenged by differences in design, with most studies reporting risk in comparison against unvaccinated, SARS-CoV-2-naive individuals. However, inferred protection from those studies ranged from 40-94%, consistent with the magnitude of protection against symptomatic infection found for ChAdOx1 (56.0%) and BNT162b2 (64.8%) in this study. Our analysis additionally adds new estimates on effectiveness of CoronaVac and Ad26.COV2.S vaccines among previously infected individuals, finding that they provide more modest levels of protection (39.4% and 44.0%, respectively) against symptomatic infection, consistent with their lower effectiveness in naive

populations.^{21,34} Concerns have been raised about less robust and durable neutralizing antibody responses in SARS-CoV-2-naive individuals receiving CoronaVac compared with other vaccines.³⁵ We found that two doses of CoronaVac provided high levels of protection against severe outcomes (81.3%, 95% CI 75.3-85.8). As CoronaVac is among the most widely used vaccines in the world, these findings have broad implications for many national programs.¹⁹

To our knowledge, only one prior study reported vaccine effectiveness among previously infected individuals against COVID-19 related hospitalization or death; with just 75 outcomes and three vaccines evaluated, power in that study was limited for assessing vaccine and dose-specific effectiveness, but estimates ranged from 58% (BNT162b2) to 68% (mRNA-1273), with no significant protection from Ad26.COV2.S.¹⁸ We found that protection against these severe outcomes, from 14 days after the second dose, was greater than 80% for the three two-dose vaccines (CoronaVac, ChAdOx1, and BNT162b2). These results are consistent with recent data showing that previously infected individuals have even greater increases in T-cell and B-cell responses following vaccination compared with those without prior infection.³⁶ This high degree of hybrid immunity, from infections and vaccination, could potentially explain why Brazil, despite having similar vaccination coverage as the United States and many European countries, did not have a similar increase in hospitalizations and deaths in the period where the Delta variant become dominant.

Effectiveness against severe outcomes was lower (57.7%) for the single-dose Ad26.COV2.S vaccine compared with the vaccines given in two dose series, though the confidence limits were wide. The Ad26.COV2.S vaccine was used in a more focal rollout from June to July, 2021, and far fewer individuals receive this vaccine compared with the others, such that we had modest power to characterize effectiveness against severe outcomes. Brazil's Ministry of Health now recommends that individuals who received this vaccine receive a second dose after 60 days.

We focused our analyses on previously infected individuals to address the question of whether and to what extent vaccines confer additional protection against symptomatic infection and severe outcomes. We did not compare against previously uninfected individuals, as their risk of exposure may be quite different, which could lead to biased estimates in this population-based study. Additionally, there is substantial risk of misclassification of previously infected individuals as not previously infected, due to

incomplete surveillance and asymptomatic infections; restricting vaccine effectiveness analysis to individuals with PCR-confirmed prior infection avoids this bias. While there has been much discussion concerning the relative protection conferred by infected-derived immunity and vaccine-derived immunity, from a medical and public health standpoint, the critical question is understanding whether individuals with prior infection would benefit from vaccination. This study suggests that individuals infected before vaccination benefit from strong protection against severe outcomes with all four vaccines studied.

A major difficulty with observational studies of vaccine effectiveness is the risk of confounding, whereby differences in the vaccinated and unvaccinated populations are associated with risk of diagnosis of COVID-19. The matched, test-negative design has been recommended by the World Health Organization to mitigate risk of confounding introduced by care-seeking and diagnostic access; nevertheless, residual confounding may occur. We used vaccine effectiveness in the six days following the first dose as a bias indicator, in that differences during this period before vaccine-conferred protection is expected could indicate confounding.²⁸ We only observed significant effectiveness in this time interval for one vaccine (CoronaVac) and one outcome (symptomatic infection); interestingly, over the 7-13 day time window, no effectiveness (-9.6%, 95% CI -29.4-7.2) was observed. Whether the effectiveness observed over days 0-6 reflects bias or chance among the 8 bias indicator tests is unclear, but the absence of effects in the 7-13 day window may point against systematic differences in the CoronaVac recipients with respect to SARS-CoV-2 risk. For BNT162b2, we found modest protection (27%, 95% CI 10 to 41%) in the 7-13 day window. In clinical trials of BNT162b2, efficacy was apparent from approximately 11 days after the first dose.³ Given the rapid and robust immune responses following first vaccination among previously infected individuals, we believe these findings are consistent with early vaccine-conferred immunity.

This study was subject to several limitations. First, we were not powered to assess vaccine effectiveness by age groups. We compared effectiveness in individuals above and below the age of 50 and did not observe major differences. The mean age of our study population was 36 years, with 75% under the age of 45; these findings may not generalize to older populations. Second, there were differences in the timing of introduction and eligibility for each of the vaccines. This should prompt some caution in the comparison of effectiveness between vaccines, as the calendar period and median duration from second dose differed somewhat between vaccines. For example, if effectiveness wanes over time, vaccines used

earlier would have lower effectiveness than those introduced later. Additionally, changes in variant distribution during the study period could alter effectiveness by time since vaccination. We did not have individual level data on variants, which precluded assessment of variant-specific vaccine effectiveness. Different types and collection methods for RT-PCR tests are used throughout the country, which might have varying accuracy, and specific information about these characteristics are not recorded in the national databases. We used a matched, test-negative design with multivariable regression to reduce non-vaccine related differences between the cases and controls; however, there could be unmeasured differences that lead to confounding.³⁷ In particular, there were differences in the allocation of specific vaccines that may have been associated with unmeasured risk of COVID-19 or severe outcomes, which should prompt caution in the comparison of vaccine effectiveness between vaccines. This study included individuals who presented to health facilities and underwent diagnostic testing who may differ from individuals who did not seek medical care and may not be generalizable to that population. Finally, an important question is when vaccines should be given to individuals with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, and our study was unable to address this. To avoid misclassification of reinfections, we only considered tests performed at least 90 days after the initial infection. We examined whether individuals vaccinated from 91-180 days after initial infection had differential protection from those vaccinated after 180 days and did not detect any differences. However, we could not assess longer time periods.

The accelerated development of effective vaccines against COVID-19 has been a remarkable scientific achievement, but more than 40% of the world's population has yet to receive a first dose, and a substantial proportion of these individuals have already been infected with SARS-CoV-2. The results of this study provide evidence for the benefits of vaccination among individuals who have already been infected with SARS-CoV-2, with all four vaccines conferring substantial reductions in hospitalization and death due to COVID-19. Ensuring vaccine access to individuals with prior infection may be particularly important amid early reports of the Omicron variant, which suggest that immunity conferred by prior infection is reduced.^{9,10} Expanded, equitable rollout of vaccines for all individuals remains critical for mitigating the continued threat posed by SARS-CoV-2.

Contributions. JRA, JC and MB-N conceived the idea for the study. All authors contributed to the study design. TC-S, JRA, and OR developed the statistical analysis plan and wrote the code for statistical analyses. TC-S, VdAO, JBJ and MB-N had access to the raw data, and TC-S and MB-N verified the underlying data. TC-S, MB-N, VdAO and MLB organized the data linkage. All authors contributed to interpretation of the study findings. JRA and TC-S drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Declaration of Interests. MB-N reports grants from the *Fazer o bem faz bem* program from JBS S.A.. AIK reports grants from Bristol Myers Squibb, Regeneron, and Serimmune, and grants and personal fees from Tata Medical Devices, outside the submitted work. VdAO, VB, MLB, JC and MB-N are employees of Fiocruz, a federal public institution, which manufactures Vaxzevria in Brazil, through a full technology transfer agreement with AstraZeneca. Fiocruz allocates all its manufactured products to the Ministry of Health for public health use. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements. This study was partially supported by a donation from the "Fazer o bem faz bem" program from JBS S.A.. GLW, MLB, and JC and MB-N are research fellows from CNPq, the Brazilian National Research Council. GLW acknowledges Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (E-26/210.180/2020). JC is supported by the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Edital Covid-19 – resposta rápida: 48111668950485). OTR is funded by a Sara Borrell fellowship (CD19/00110) from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. OTR acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2019-2023 Program and from the Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA Program.

Data Sharing. One of the study coordinators (MB-N) signed a term of responsibility on using each database made available by the Ministry of Health (MoH). Each member of the research team signed a term of confidentiality before accessing the data. Data was manipulated in a secure computing environment, ensuring protection against data leakage. The Brazilian National Commission in Research Ethics approved the research protocol (CONEP approval number 4.921.308). Our agreement with MoH for accessing the databases patently denies authorization of access to a third party. Any request for assessing the databases must be addressed to the Brazilian MoH. Herein we used anonymized secondary data following the Brazilian Personal Data Protection General Law (LGPD), but

it is vulnerable to re-identification by third parties, as they contain dates of relevant health events regarding the same person. To protect the research participants privacy, the approved Research Protocol (CONEP approval number 4.921.308) authorizes only the dissemination of aggregated data, such as the ones presented here.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 13]. Available from: <https://covid19.who.int/>
2. Chen X, Chen Z, Azman AS, et al. Serological evidence of human infection with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2021 May 1;9(5):e598–609.
3. Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021 Nov 4;385(19):1761–73.
4. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *Lancet*. 2021 Jan 9;397(10269):99–111.
5. Block J. Vaccinating people who have had covid-19: why doesn't natural immunity count in the US? *BMJ*. 2021 Sep 13;374:n2101.
6. Dolgin E. Is one vaccine dose enough if you've had COVID? What the science says. *Nature*. 2021 Jun 25;595(7866):161–2.
7. Frieman M, Harris AD, Herati RS, et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines for all but a single dose for COVID-19 survivors. *EBioMedicine* [Internet]. 2021 Jun 1 [cited 2021 Dec 16];68. Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/ebiom/article/PIIS2352-3964\(21\)00194-8/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/ebiom/article/PIIS2352-3964(21)00194-8/fulltext)
8. Cele S, Jackson L, Khoury DS, et al. Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. *Nature*. 2021 Dec 23;
9. Pulliam JRC, Schalkwyk C van, Govender N, et al. Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of the Omicron variant in South Africa [Internet]. 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 13] p. 2021.11.11.21266068. Available from: <http://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.11.21266068v2>
10. Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. *Science*. 2021 Feb 5;371(6529):eabf4063.
11. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Bertollini R. Severity of SARS-CoV-2 Reinfections as Compared with Primary Infections. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021 Nov 24;0(0):null.
12. Cavanaugh AM, Spicer KB, Thoroughman D, Glick C, Winter K. Reduced Risk of Reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 After COVID-19 Vaccination - Kentucky, May-June 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021 Aug 13;70(32):1081–3.
13. Gazit S, Shlezinger R, Perez G, et al. Comparing SARS-CoV-2 natural immunity to vaccine-induced immunity: reinfections versus breakthrough infections [Internet]. 2021 Aug [cited 2021 Dec 10] p. 2021.08.24.21262415. Available from: <http://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.24.21262415v1>

14. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Association of Prior SARS-CoV-2 Infection With Risk of Breakthrough Infection Following mRNA Vaccination in Qatar. *JAMA*. 2021 Nov 16;326(19):1930–9.
15. Hall V, Foulkes S, Insalata F, et al. Effectiveness and durability of protection against future SARS-CoV-2 infection conferred by COVID-19 vaccination and previous infection; findings from the UK SIREN prospective cohort study of healthcare workers March 2020 to September 2021 [Internet]. 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 10] p. 2021.11.29.21267006. Available from: <http://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.29.21267006v1>
16. Pouwels KB, Pritchard E, Matthews PC, et al. Effect of Delta variant on viral burden and vaccine effectiveness against new SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK. *Nat Med*. 2021 Dec;27(12):2127–35.
17. Monge S, Olmedo C, Alejos B, et al. Direct and Indirect Effectiveness of mRNA Vaccination against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Long-Term Care Facilities, Spain. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2021 Oct;27(10):2595–603.
18. Levin-Rector A, Firestein L, McGibbon E, et al. Reduced Odds of SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection after Vaccination among New York City Adults, June–August 2021 [Internet]. 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 16] p. 2021.12.09.21267203. Available from: <http://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.09.21267203v1>
19. Mallapaty S. China's COVID vaccines have been crucial — now immunity is waning. *Nature*. 2021 Oct 14;598(7881):398–9.
20. World Health Organization. Enhancing response to Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: technical brief and priority actions for Member States. [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland; 2022 Jan [cited 2022 Jan 18]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/2022-01-07-global-technical-brief-and-priority-action-on-omicron---corr2.pdf?sfvrsn=918b09d_23&download=true
21. Ranzani OT, Hitchings MDT, Dorion M, et al. Effectiveness of the CoronaVac vaccine in older adults during a gamma variant associated epidemic of covid-19 in Brazil: test negative case-control study. *BMJ*. 2021 Aug 20;374:n2015.
22. Ranzani OT, Bastos LSL, Gelli JGM, et al. Characterisation of the first 250,000 hospital admissions for COVID-19 in Brazil: a retrospective analysis of nationwide data. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2021 Apr;9(4):407–18.
23. Oliveira EA, Colosimo EA, Simões E Silva AC, et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors for death among hospitalised children and adolescents with COVID-19 in Brazil: an analysis of a nationwide database. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2021 Aug;5(8):559–68.
24. Cerqueira-Silva T, Oliveira V de A, Boaventura VS, et al. Influence of age on the effectiveness and duration of protection of Vaxzevria and CoronaVac vaccines: A population-based study. *Lancet Reg Health Am*. 2022 Feb;6:100154.
25. Katikireddi SV, Cerqueira-Silva T, Vasileiou E, et al. Two-dose ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine protection against COVID-19 hospital admissions and deaths over time: a retrospective, population-based cohort study in Scotland and Brazil. *Lancet*. 2022 Jan 1;399(10319):25–35.

26. Flury BK, Riedwyl H. Standard Distance in Univariate and Multivariate Analysis. *The American Statistician*. 1986 Aug 1;40(3):249–51.
27. Austin PC. Using the Standardized Difference to Compare the Prevalence of a Binary Variable Between Two Groups in Observational Research. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*. 2009 May 14;38(6):1228–34.
28. Hitchings MDT, Lewnard JA, Dean NE, et al. Use of recently vaccinated individuals to detect bias in test-negative case-control studies of COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness [Internet]. 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Dec 13] p. 2021.06.23.21259415. Available from: <http://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.23.21259415v1>
29. Federal Office of Public Health, Switzerland. Coronavirus: Vaccination [Internet]. [cited 2021 Dec 16]. Available from: <https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/en/home/krankheiten/ausbrueche-epidemien-pandemien/aktuelle-ausbrueche-epidemien/novel-cov/impfen.html#-995735508>
30. German Federal Ministry of Health. Proof of Vaccination as Defined in the COVID-19 Protective Measures Exemption Directive and the Directive on Coronavirus Entry Regulations [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 16]. Available from: https://www.pei.de/EN/newsroom/dossier/coronavirus/coronavirus-content.html?cms_pos=3
31. Haute Autorité de Santé. Stratégie de vaccination contre le SARS-CoV-2 - Vaccination des personnes ayant un antécédent de Covid-19 [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 16]. Available from: https://www.has-sante.fr/jcms/p_3237271/en/strategie-de-vaccination-contre-le-sars-cov-2-vaccination-des-personnes-ayant-un-antecedent-de-covid-19
32. Li C, Yu D, Wu X, et al. Twelve-month specific IgG response to SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain among COVID-19 convalescent plasma donors in Wuhan. *Nat Commun*. 2021 Jul 6;12(1):4144.
33. Muecksch F, Weisblum Y, Barnes CO, et al. Affinity maturation of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies confers potency, breadth, and resilience to viral escape mutations. *Immunity*. 2021 Aug 10;54(8):1853-1868.e7.
34. Zheng C, Shao W, Chen X, Zhang B, Wang G, Zhang W. Real-world effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines: a literature review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2022 Jan 1;114:252–60.
35. Lim WW, Mak L, Leung GM, Cowling BJ, Peiris M. Comparative immunogenicity of mRNA and inactivated vaccines against COVID-19. *The Lancet Microbe*. 2021 Sep 1;2(9):e423.
36. Angyal A, Longet S, Moore SC, et al. T-cell and antibody responses to first BNT162b2 vaccine dose in previously infected and SARS-CoV-2-naive UK health-care workers: a multicentre prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Microbe* [Internet]. 2021 Nov 9 [cited 2021 Dec 10];0(0). Available from: [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-5247\(21\)00275-5/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-5247(21)00275-5/fulltext)
37. Lewnard JA, Patel MM, Jewell NP, et al. Theoretical Framework for Retrospective Studies of the Effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines. *Epidemiology*. 2021 Jul 1;32(4):508–17.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Temporal trends in COVID-19 cases, hospitalization or deaths, variants and vaccination coverage from national databases in Brazil. (A) Weekly numbers of symptomatic COVID-19 cases, (B) COVID-19 associated hospitalizations or deaths reported in national databases; (C) monthly proportions of variants of concern among sequenced SARS-CoV-2 (number of sequenced viruses are shown above each bar); and cumulative proportion of the population over 11 years of age who received a first (D) or second(E) dose of each vaccine.

Figure 2. Flowchart of the study population from surveillance databases and selection of matched cases and controls.

Figure 3. Effectiveness of the BNT162b2, ChAdOx1, CoronaVac and Ad26.COVS vaccines against symptomatic COVID-19 among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Figure 4. Effectiveness of the BNT162b2, ChAdOx1, CoronaVac and Ad26.COVS vaccines against COVID-19-associated hospitalization or death among individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.