

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Prevalence and clinical impact of malaria infections detected with a highly sensitive HRP2 rapid diagnostic test in Beninese pregnant women

Valérie Briand^{1,2*} , Gilles Cottrell², Nicaise Tuikue Ndam², Xavier Martiáñez–Vendrell³, Bertin Vianou⁴, Atika Mama⁴, Bienvenue Kouwayé⁴, Sandrine Houzé^{2,5}, Justine Bailly⁵, Erasme Gbaguidi⁴, Darius Sossou⁴, Achille Massougbodji⁴, Manfred Accrombessi^{4,6}, Alfredo Mayor³, Xavier C. Ding⁷ and Nadine Fievet²

Correction to: *Malar J* (2020) 19:188

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03261-1>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors flagged that there is an error present in Table 5.

As a result of an error in the statistical program used to categorize women based on ‘gravidity’, some ‘secundigravidae’ have been (erroneously) classified as ‘multigravidae’.

Consequently, the performance values based on gravidity, which are secondary results, are incorrect in the table.

To amend this error, the corrected Table 5 is provided in this correction.

Finally, in addition to the above, the author name Nicaise Tuikue Ndam has been misspelled in the article as ‘Nicaise Tuike Ndam’; please find the corrected name in this correction.

The authors apologize for any inconvenience caused.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03261-1>.

*Correspondence: valerie.briand@ird.fr

² Université de Paris, MERIT, IRD, 75006 Paris, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 5 Performance of uRDT and cRDT against qPCR, according to the trimester of pregnancy, gravidity, and presence of fever

	uRDT	cRDT	P value ^a
Sensitivity (95% CI)			
1st trimester	57.0% (47.1–66.5)	38.3% (29.1–48.2)	<10 ⁻³
3rd trimester	64.9% (47.5–79.8)	54.1% (36.9–70.5)	0.05
Delivery (peripheral blood)	83.3% (58.6–96.4)	61.1% (35.7–82.7)	0.05
Delivery (placental blood)	40.0% (12.2–73.8)	40.0% (12.2–73.8)	–
Primi and Secundi-gravidae	57.4% (42.2–71.7)	44.7% (30.2–59.9)	0.01
Multigravidae (3+)	61.6% (52.5–70.2)	44.0% (35.1–53.2)	<10 ⁻³
Asymptomatic	60.8% (52.3–68.9)	43.4% (35.1–51.9)	<10 ⁻³
Symptomatic*	66.7% (41.0–86.7)	50.0% (26.0–74.0)	0.08
Specificity (95% CI)			
1st trimester	91.5% (86.9–94.9)	95.7% (92.1–98.0)	0.003
3rd trimester	95.7% (92.0–98.0)	97.1% (93.8–99.0)	0.08
Delivery (peripheral blood)	96.4% (92.2–98.7)	97.6% (94.0–99.3)	0.16
Delivery (placental blood)	91.3% (86.3–94.9)	92.4% (87.6–95.8)	0.41
Primi and Secundi-gravidae	93.5% (88.5–96.9)	96.1% (91.8–98.6)	0.04
Multigravidae (3+)	93.7% (91.4–95.5)	95.6% (93.7–97.1)	0.003
Asymptomatic	94.6% (92.3–96.4)	97.1% (95.3–98.4)	<10 ⁻³
Symptomatic ^b	92.2% (82.7–97.4)	93.7% (84.8–98.3)	0.32

RECIPAL study, 2014–2017

^a Comparison of uRDT and cRDT performance using McNemar test for matched pairs. Exact binomial confidence intervals were computed

^b Presence of fever (axillary temperature ≥ 37.5 °C) or history of fever in the preceding 24 h, whether or not infected with malaria

Author details

¹ Institut de Recherche Pour le Développement (IRD), University of Bordeaux, Inserm, UMR 1219, 146 Rue Léo-Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex, France.

² Université de Paris, MERIT, IRD, 75006 Paris, France. ³ ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic of Barcelona, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona 08036, Spain. ⁴ Institut de Recherche Clinique du Bénin (IRCB), Cotonou, Benin. ⁵ AP-HP, Centre National de Référence sur le paludisme, Hôpital Bichat-Claude-Bernard, 75017 Paris, France. ⁶ Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Disease Control Department, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, WC1E 7HT London, UK. ⁷ FIND, 1202 Geneva, Switzerland.

Published online: 07 September 2020

Reference

- Briand V, Cottrell G, Ndam NT, Martiáñez-Vendrell X, Vianou B, Mama A, Kouwaye B, Houzé S, Bailly J, Gbaguidi E, Sossou D, Massougboji A, Accrombessi M, Mayor A, Ding XC, Fievet N. Prevalence and clinical impact of malaria infections detected with a highly sensitive HRP2 rapid diagnostic test in Beninese pregnant women. *Malar J*. 2020;19:188. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03261-1>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

