



Advances in Mental Health

Promotion, Prevention and Early Intervention

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: <https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ramh20>

A multi-national peer support intervention: the UPSIDES pilot phase

Rebecca Nixdorf, Lena Nugent, Rabeea'h Aslam, Sarah Barber, Ashleigh Charles, Lion Gai Meir, Alina Grayzman, Ramona Hiltensperger, Jasmine Kalha, Palak Korde, Rachel Mtei, Jackie Niwemuhwezi, Mary Ramesh, Grace Ryan, Mike Slade, Lisa Wenzel & Candelaria Mahlke

To cite this article: Rebecca Nixdorf, Lena Nugent, Rabeea'h Aslam, Sarah Barber, Ashleigh Charles, Lion Gai Meir, Alina Grayzman, Ramona Hiltensperger, Jasmine Kalha, Palak Korde, Rachel Mtei, Jackie Niwemuhwezi, Mary Ramesh, Grace Ryan, Mike Slade, Lisa Wenzel & Candelaria Mahlke (2022): A multi-national peer support intervention: the UPSIDES pilot phase, *Advances in Mental Health*, DOI: [10.1080/18387357.2021.2020140](https://doi.org/10.1080/18387357.2021.2020140)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/18387357.2021.2020140>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 06 Jan 2022.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 262



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

A multi-national peer support intervention: the UPSIDES pilot phase

Rebecca Nixdorf ^{a*}, Lena Nugent ^{a*}, Rabeea'h Aslam ^b, Sarah Barber ^c,
Ashleigh Charles ^d, Lion Gai Meir ^e, Alina Grayzman ^e, Ramona Hiltensperger ^f,
Jasmine Kalha ^g, Palak Korde ^g, Rachel Mtei ^h, Jackie Niwemuhwezi ^c, Mary Ramesh ^h,
Grace Ryan ^b, Mike Slade ^d, Lisa Wenzel ^f and Candelaria Mahlke ^a

^aDepartment of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ^bCentre for Global Mental Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; ^cButabika National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda; ^dSchool of Health Sciences, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; ^eDepartment of Social Work, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel; ^fDepartment of Psychiatry II, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany; ^gCentre for Mental Health Law and Policy, Indian Law Society, Pune, India; ^hDepartment of Health Systems, Impact Evaluation and Policy, Ifakara Health Institute, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

ABSTRACT

Objective: Using Peer Support in Developing Empowering Mental Health Services (UPSIDES) is evaluating implementation of a peer support worker (PSW) intervention at six recruiting sites (Germany, India, Israel, Tanzania, and Uganda) (ISRCTN26008944). The aim of this study is to evaluate the pre-trial pilot phase.

Method: The initial training to prepare individuals for the PSW role and the implementation of the peer support (PS) intervention was evaluated using a multi-method design comprising six focus groups (FG; $n = 22$) and questionnaires capturing the perspectives of service users, PSWs, peer trainers, and mental health staff members ($n = 21$).

Results: Findings were organised across eleven key implementation themes: organisational culture, PSW training, PSW role definition, staff willingness and ability to work with PSWs, resource availability, financial arrangements, support for PSW well-being, PSW access to a peer network, acceptance, preparation, and other challenges.

Discussion: The following recommendations are made, based on this pilot phase: the PS training should train on practical skills using role-playing exercises; PSWs should work in teams, including role reflection with colleagues (interview) or with a highly experienced coach (supervision); necessary resources and tools for networking should be provided; and continuous awareness-raising about PS is needed.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 11 May 2021

Accepted 14 December 2021

KEYWORDS

Peer support; global mental health; pilot phase; implementation; empowerment; recovery

Introduction

A priority of the current global mental health (GMH) agenda is to address long-term mental health (MH) conditions through diverse approaches (Barbui & Albanese, 2020;

CONTACT Rebecca Nixdorf  r.nixdorf@uke.de

*Nixdorf and Nugent contributed equally and are joint first authors.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bemme & Kirmayer, 2020), including person-centred, recovery-oriented services to better support people with severe mental illness (SMI) (Caldas-de-Almeida, 2020). One such approach is Peer Support (PS) interventions, which have shown promising results in encouraging hope (Bellamy, Schmutte, & Davidson, 2017) and empowerment (White et al., 2020) among people diagnosed with SMI by building trusting relationships based on shared lived experience, role-modelling, and engaging service users with services and the community (Gillard, Gibson, Holley, & Lucock, 2014).

While most research on PS is conducted in high-income countries (HICs), results from PS projects in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are promising (Fan, Ma, Ma, Lamberti, & Caine, 2018; Hall, Baillie, Basangwa, & Atukunda, 2017; Pathare et al., 2019). Nevertheless, although there are examples of service user and caregiver involvement in mental health system strengthening in LMICs, there is still a lack of high-quality research and a weak evidence base (Ryan, Semrau, Nkurunungi, & Mpango, 2019) and PS is still an undervalued and underutilised resource in GMH (Puschner, 2018).

UPSIDES is a six-country research consortium with partners in high- (Germany, Israel, United Kingdom), lower middle- (India) and low-income (Tanzania, Uganda) settings (Puschner et al., 2019), focused on the implementation of PS for people with SMI. The study has three phases: (1) Preparation of implementation, (2) Pilot phase, (3) Evaluation. A key output of UPSIDES' preparation and pilot phases is a PS training and intervention to be evaluated in a multi-site randomised controlled trial (ISRCTN26008944; Moran et al., 2020). Previous research has shown that interventions adapted to country-specific contexts are more likely to be effective (Boothroyd & Fisher, 2010; Perera et al., 2020). The UPSIDES intervention and training manual was developed following a four-step framework on intervention adaption for implementation in different cultural and socioeconomic settings (Barrera & Castro, 2006; Castro, Barrera, & Holleran Steiker, 2010).

The preparatory phase of the UPSIDES project involved information gathering through a systematic review (Prospero: CRD42018107772) and focus groups (FG) exploring previous experiences with PS and expected benefits and challenges. Based on this information, the first draft of a PS training and intervention manual was developed. Peer trainers from all recruiting sites met for a training pre-test at a train-the-trainer workshop (for further information see Puschner et al., 2019).

Before systematically evaluating an intervention, best practice involves piloting to identify any difficulties related to implementation (Feeley et al., 2009). During the UPSIDES pilot phase, all study sites conducted the training, organisational readiness workshops, delivered the intervention to service users and provided supervision sessions for the PSWs. An evaluation of the pre-trial pilot phase is reported in this article. The objective of the pilot phase is to identify (1) emerging implementation challenges, (2) issues with training program content, (3) satisfaction with PS elements, and (4) suggestions for improvements of PSW training and PS intervention.

Method

Design

A six-week pilot phase took place between June and September 2019 at six recruiting sites (India [Pune], Israel [Beer Sheva], Germany [Hamburg, Ulm], Tanzania [Dar es Salaam],

Uganda [Kampala]). The pilot phase was evaluated using a participatory, multi-method design, including focus group (FG) discussions and questionnaires. All sites received ethical approval from local ethic commissions (Puschner et al., 2019). The site in Tanzania was unable to conduct a FG, due to time constraints.

Intervention

The UPSIDES pilot phase intervention involved between two and eight contacts over six weeks, in one-to-one or mixed one-to-one and group formats, delivered by peer support workers (PSWs), people with lived experience of MH conditions and recovery. The intervention focused on social inclusion (Boothroyd & Fisher, 2010) and aimed to help people living with SMI to advance in their recovery. The specific tasks of PSWs include practical support with daily life, helping to endure and understand crises, supporting recovery, providing information, mediating in conflicts, and promoting recovery in MH teams. PS was implemented in three inpatient (India, Tanzania) and three outpatient (Israel, Germany [Hamburg and Ulm]) settings, and at one site (Uganda) was implemented in inpatient and outpatient settings.

Participants

Overall, $n = 22$ participants were selected through purposive sampling, with the goal of including a variety of different local stakeholders. These included: trainers who facilitated the UPSIDES training, training participants (with lived experience of SMI), MH staff members from the host organisation, and other important local stakeholders e.g. policy makers. All participants were fluent in the local languages used during data collection. Reasons for exclusion included the inability to provide informed consent and being a minor (age <18).

Procedures

Focus groups

Each FG was led by either one or two researchers at each site following a semi-structured discussion guide and the focus groups ranged in duration from 45 to 90 min ($M = 70.2$, $SD = 19.9$). All participants gave written informed consent. FG facilitators presented the training concept and the resulting PS intervention. Afterwards, participants' questions regarding the presented materials were clarified and the materials were discussed.

Questionnaires

To complement the FG discussions, the FG participants and additional local stakeholders were asked to fill in study-specific questionnaires to rate the delivery of the different elements of the pilot phase. All stakeholders filled in questionnaires adapted to their personal role in the project.

Analysis

The FGs were recorded, transcribed, and translated into English (except for the transcript from Pune, due to resource constraints). The transcripts were analysed using a deductive

coding approach (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). The coding frame covered eight implementation influences on PS identified in a previous systematic review (Ibrahim et al., 2020): *Organisational culture*, *PSW training*, *PSW role definition*, *Staff willingness and ability to work with PSWs*, *Resource availability*, *Financial arrangements*, *Support for PSW well-being*, and *PSW access to a peer network*. Following data immersion, LN and RN double-coded all transcripts, except for one FG (Pune, India), which was coded by PK in the local language. All coding discrepancies were discussed until a consensus was reached, with advice from CM as needed. Where excerpts of text could not be captured by the pre-defined coding frame, inductive coding was used to add additional codes (which numbered three in total). All codes were captured in a code book and excerpts were then recoded using the revised coding frame.

Results

Participant characteristics

Five FG discussions were held ($N = 22$). Table 1 presents the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants. Additionally, the delivery of the different elements of the pilot phase were rated through questionnaires by 21 local stakeholders: $N = 7$ trainers (from Beer Sheva, Hamburg, Kampala, and Pune), $n = 3$ service users (from Hamburg, Pune, and Ulm), $n = 3$ PSWs (from Hamburg, Pune, and Ulm), and $n = 8$ MH staff members (from Beer Sheva, Hamburg, Pune, and Ulm).

Codebook

The codebook containing all themes used to analyse the FG transcripts is presented in Table 2.

Codes and examples

Implementation theme 1: organisational culture

There was a learning curve in implementing organisations, even when PS was already a well-known intervention. For successful implementation, willingness to learn from each other and a focus on staff preparation are needed:

Table 1. Focus group participants.

	Study sites					Total
	Beer Sheva (Israel)	Hamburg (Germany)	Kampala (Uganda)	Pune (India)	Ulm (Germany)	
Number	4	4	7	4	3	$n = 22$
Age <i>M (SD)</i>	46 (13)	43 (12.5)	35.6 (2.53)	unknown	43 (5)	41.9 (4.4)
Gender						
Male	3	0	2	1	1	7
Female	1	4	5	3	2	15
Role	Training participants	Mental health staff	Training participants	Peer trainer, training participants, mental health staff	Peer trainer, training participants, mental health staff	
Profession	PSW	Psychologist, social worker	PSW	PSW, psychiatric senior nurse, staff nurse	PSW, social worker	
Experience with PS	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Table 2. Codebook.

	Code	Definition
1	Organisational culture	The services goals, orientation, principles, and culture. The communication within the team, workplace hierarchies. Attitudes towards recovery and co-production, stigma.
2	PSW training	All aspects related to PSW training.
3	PSW role definition	Definition of the PSW role and the role understanding within the team, match between staff and PSW role expectations.
4	Staff willingness and ability to work with PSWs	Contact between staff and PSWs, response from staff, acceptance and trust, advice, sharing knowledge and experiences within the team, conflicts.
5	Resource availability	Access to service resources, access to clinical records, access to any other necessary resources related to PSW work.
6	Financial arrangements	Remuneration, accommodation, funding, employment status.
7	Support for PSW well-being	Self-care, supervision, sickness policy, work schedule, workload.
8	PSW access to a peer network	Contact to a peer network within and outside of service.
9	Acceptance	Indicators that the PS is accepted by staff member and/or service user.
10	Preparation	Necessary conditions to prepare the new PS intervention.
11	Other challenges	Any challenges that emerged related to the implementation of PS but were not covered by the other codes.

Note: Adapted from (Ibrahim et al., 2020).

Because the fact that these professionals would sit and give their time and listen to me as I shared my lived experiences kind of gave me a feeling of being accepted as part of the team. It helped me understand that as a PSW I have a role to play and that whatever service I have to offer is accepted within the organization. (PSW, Kampala)

Participants indicated that MH staff might feel challenged by the new service and that disclosure of experiences is sometimes discouraged:

As an agenda in most companies, they don't encourage self-disclosure. (PSW, Beer Sheva)

We must be a bit more proactive here in the region, which is not the case in other regions. Because people are simply a bit more closed off here and many simply feel as if we are taking their jobs away. (PSW, Ulm)

Implementation theme 2: PSW training

Overall, the participants provided positive feedback about the benefits of the training:

It was very vital, guided me, helped me explore my wellness, and how to help others. (PSW, Kampala)

In addition, participants named aspects that were missing from the training or could be improved:

What was just unfortunate was that there was so much theory at the beginning. I have to say that. It was a bit overwhelming. But because of the short time, it was unfortunately not possible otherwise. You have to take a little more time, so that people can absorb it. And of course, more practical examples. (PSW, Ulm)

In the questionnaires, core training content was rated as important to very important, on average, by trainers and training participants. The proposed content for additional training was also rated as important, on average work preparation and group facilitating were identified as core topics.

Implementation theme 3: PSW role definition

Participants reported different PSW tasks and responsibilities at the different sites:

Bridging the gap between the [service user] and the community and engaging community members like friends and carers. (PSW, Kampala)

Other than the recovery plans and feedback forms, perhaps we can train the PSWs to help the service users with social benefits, disability certificate and health insurance provided by the government. These are the practical needs of service users and PSW can be well fitted for this. (Peer trainer, Pune)

Participants reported that the PSW bring a new quality to the work of the team:

Workers with professional training they have problems to get to the bottom of it. When you talk about feelings, many times until you don't experience it in your own flesh, you don't know it. (PSW, Beer Sheva)

But I think you notice a difference to us employees, because [the PSW is] already in contact in a different way, through this other level, and I think you also notice that there are also clients who, for example, don't really seek contact with us or aren't in contact with us at all, but with whom [the PSW] may have contact. (MH staff member, Hamburg)

Nonetheless, participants reported difficulties in distinguishing the specific role of the PSW from other staff members:

Even during the organizational readiness workshop, it was discussed that the role of PSWs is not clear to the staff members even if they meet the PSW every day. (Peer trainer, Pune)

Implementation theme 4: staff willingness and ability to work with PSW

At some sites, it was emphasised that the PSWs were well integrated into the teams and their unique contribution was appreciated:

I did get the chance a few times passing my experiences to professionals that did want to hear my opinion in a direct way. (PSW, Beer Sheva)

To integrate there as well, because [the PSW] could of course also give input, what he experiences with the clients or visitors and he of course also experiences something completely different probably, and for him that he can also get feedback if he has any difficulties. (MH staff member, Hamburg)

Also, different ways of guiding within teams were described:

Someone who does supervision can sit in on some conversation with the client, who then just sits in the background. In other words, the PSW and the [service user] talk together, and somewhere in the corner sits someone who just watches. Who can then directly reflect on the conversation afterwards with the PSW. (Peer trainer, Ulm)

We will train the staff on the role and work of [PSW]. And this will further aid us to find master trainers in each ward who can be the assigned PSW's first point of contact if they need help. These master trainers can then supervise the PSW who is assigned to their ward. (Peer trainer, Pune)

Implementation theme 5: resource availability

For the PSWs it was difficult to get the necessary working equipment. At several sites, for example, a lack of work cell phones was mentioned.

I was told not to give out my number. But I'm getting a cell phone. I'm still waiting for it today. (PSW, Ulm)

Particularly in low-income settings, it became clear that PSW faced gaps in care within the system:

I think it would be a little more helpful to have a contact person on the ward because you find the nurses busy; one time they directed me to look for a [service user] but I searched in vain. (PSW, Kampala)

Implementation theme 6: financial arrangements

The participants from sites in low-income countries mentioned that the financial situation of their service users was particularly problematic, as well as the difficulty of covering any travel costs themselves:

My peer was at first staying in [one place], then shifted to [another]. I used a lot of money to go there, it rained on me, then she was complaining about poverty, poverty, poverty ... but I didn't have anything to give her. (PSW, Kampala)

Implementation theme 7: support for PSW well-being

Over six weeks the PSWs received on average 3.7 ($SD = 2.9$) supervision sessions. The supervision was provided by trainers, researchers, or external supervisors in one-to-one or group settings. The following topics were discussed in supervision: challenges with the organisation, challenges with service users, and challenges working as a PSW. About two-thirds (67%) of the PSWs stated that supervision supported them in their work.

The supervision always run as a preparation and follow-up for the next meetings. With the question, 'What else do you need?' or, 'Can you implement the tools that were taught in theory?' And I sometimes had the feeling that the link was still somewhat difficult. So what theoretical content can I implement now? (MH staff member, Ulm)

It was also observed that the new PSWs themselves need to assess when they feel ready to lead their own activities, such as a recovery group. One PSW in Hamburg for example did not yet feel ready to offer their own group or something similar.

And at that point [the PSW] simply said very clearly, 'No, no. Nothing already towards [doing this work in my] own format'. [...] And he just realized in that moment that he didn't have the confidence to do it at all. (MH staff member, Hamburg)

Implementation theme 8: PSW access to a peer network

Participants indicated hiring two peers would be helpful so they can work together, shape the PS within the organisation and support each other:

I also thought, perhaps rather two, that they could exchange with each other and also develop something. (MH staff member, Hamburg)

Participants recommended attending other recovery and PS events in addition to the training, for example programs in which service users, relatives and mental health workers reflect together (dialogue):

There are the trialogical events [part of the services on offer at Ulm]. It would be helpful, so to speak, if the people who do the training to become PSW attended such events. (Peer trainer, Ulm)

Implementation theme 9: acceptance

Participants reported several indications that PSW were accepted within organisations and by service users:

I have the feeling that [the PSW] is already well accepted by the [service users]. He is always in contact with other [service users] and that he also enjoys it, that's what I experience. (MH staff member, Hamburg)

And the first two or three appointments were very difficult in that area. But then we got used to each other. Then [the service user] always came to the appointment with a smile. (PSW, Ulm)

Implementation theme 10: preparation

All PSWs reported feeling prepared to work in a peer role after training completion. Challenges included a new working environment, starting conversations and covering different topics with service users. Workshops were held at all pilot phase organisations to introduce the MH staff to PS, recovery and the UPSIDES project, to discuss expected benefits and hopes regarding the implementation of PS, and to talk about questions, worries and expected challenges. On average the organisations were rated as being ready to work with PSWs ($M = 2.6$; $SD = 1.3$) on a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Participants stated that the workshop had prepared them well for PS ($M = 2.2$; $SD = 0.4$) and that the staff in the organisation understand the specific role of a PSW ($M = 2.4$; $SD = 0.9$).

At the second workshop, a lot of things became clear to me because I was able to clarify a lot of questions. (MH staff member, Hamburg)

From my point of view, I found this workshop very interesting, that both parties, the organization and the future PSW really came together. (Peer trainer, Ulm)

Participants recommended to advertise the UPSIDES intervention more strongly in the media to improve preparation:

The public relations work, I missed that a bit. (MH staff member, Ulm)

Implementation theme 11: other challenges

Participants identified barriers to introducing a new service and that it may not initially be accepted by clients or services:

I ran into difficulties disclosing at work. (PSW, Beer Sheva)

The difficulty for us was to find people who would like to make use of the PS. (MH staff member, Ulm)

At the same time, all participants from the low-income countries reported facing stigma when working in the community as well as the difficulty of continuing to work with service users in the community:

There is a lot of stigma in the community. When you introduce yourself, their attitude changes and they start making funny signals ... it happened to me twice. (PSW, Kampala)

The first peer gave me a wrong number because when I called, the person said he didn't know her. He was very harsh and told me never to disturb him again. (PSW, Kampala)

Lack of language skills was mentioned as a challenge for cooperation and joint preparation of the project:

Many different countries, everything in English, only the English with native language, so communication was sometimes very difficult. (Peer trainer, Ulm)

Overall, in the questionnaire the intervention duration was rated by PSWs and service users as rather short on average ($M = 2.2$; $SD = 1.3$) on a scale from 1 (too short) to 5 (too long). The participants preferred weekly or bi-weekly meetings over a period of 1 – 3 months and up to 1 year.

Discussion

This multi-method study evaluates the implementation of a pilot PS intervention at six sites across five countries with varying income levels. Key findings regarding successful implementation in the pilot phase included: engaging training to practice the PSW role, shared role understanding and clear job description, preparation of the team to discuss recovery-orientation and the integration of the new PSWs, necessary equipment, opportunities to share experiences, and advertisement to promote the new intervention.

Although the literature on successful implementation and guidelines for PSW training are scarce, the identified influencing factors are in line with the available literature (Ibrahim et al., 2020; Mutschler, Bellamy, Davidson, Lichtenstein, & Kidd, 2021). Particularly in organisations where no PS for people living with SMI was previously available, preparation through workshops was especially helpful in initiating the process of shared learning and providing a framework for discussing the new role of the PSW (Moran, Russinova, Yim, & Sprague, 2014). The teams developed different ideas for interaction, for example intercollegiate exchange, jointly leading groups, or feedback after conversations with service users.

Furthermore, it was reported that the training must emphasise skills, be continuous, allow for the possibility of job shadowing for PSWs and include role play, which has been previously identified as particularly important for training (Moran et al., 2020; Pathare et al., 2019). This is in line with previous recommendations for PSW trainings (Rebeiro Gruhl, LaCarte, & Calixte, 2016) to include peer-specific skills, such as communication of lived experience, setting boundaries, and self-care. Other studies have also highlighted manualized, accredited training programs as key to an evidence-based professionalisation of PS (Davidson, Chinman, Sells, & Rowe, 2006; Repper & Carter, 2011), as training and supervision of PS workers increase fidelity of PS (King & Simmons, 2018).

This study also identified clear PSW roles (Chinman et al., 2016; Moran et al., 2020; Pathare et al., 2019), respect for the PSWs within the organisation (Davis, 2013; Moran et al., 2014; Mutschler et al., 2021) and a positive relationship between PSWs and other staff as important to the integration of PSWs (Ibrahim et al., 2020). Although

some evidence suggested that PSWs were accepted within organisations and by service users, potential competition with established services in the implementation area was reported as a challenge. Further, the success of PS relies upon adequate resources being available, and appropriate remuneration of PSWs (Ibrahim et al., 2020).

Similarly, it is important that a peer network is available to PSWs (Ibrahim et al., 2020; Moran et al., 2020). The UPSIDES peer network should be further expanded to allow for more cross-site learning. A lack of resources and/or time constrains the work of the PSWs (Ibrahim et al., 2020). It was recommended to schedule more time for the training and the implementation of the PS.

The sites differed by income level, highlighting the need for local adaptation (Smith, Rodríguez, & Bernal, 2011). In LMICs in particular, financial arrangements and access to resources were challenges. This is in line with Mpango et al. (2020) who discussed the challenges for PS in the context of COVID-19, and found that PSWs in LMICs are in especially vulnerable situations due to lack of access to resources such as mobile phones and secure income. In our study, PSWs in LMICs also faced stigma in the community, while topics like organisational culture, access to PSW networks and support for PSW well-being emerged as bigger issues in high-income settings. Further research into the similarities and differences between LMIC and high-income country sites and how these affect implementation is needed to ensure appropriate adaptation for different settings.

Strengths and limitations

This study piloted the implementation of a shared PS intervention across a variety of HIC and LMIC settings. The perspectives of different stakeholders were included. The multi-method approach (questionnaires, FG discussions) allowed for a deeper understanding of the factors affecting implementation, while the deductive coding approach made it possible to draw and build upon existing research (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). Nevertheless, the sample size was small, and unfortunately the site in Tanzania was unable to conduct an FG, due to time constraints. While data came from a mix of HIC and LMIC settings, all the coders and analysts (RN, LN, PK) were early career researchers from high- and middle-income countries.

Conclusion

The aim of this study was to inform the implementation of a new PS intervention for people living with SMI in the context of a larger randomised trial. Several findings are particularly relevant for this trial. First, the training should be expanded to include practical skills, modules on leading groups and work preparation, and more role-playing exercises. Second, several PSWs should be placed together, with exchange encouraged through intervision and supervision. Third, PSWs should be provided with necessary resources. Fourth, there is still a lack of public knowledge on PS, and therefore it is recommended to continuously advertise it. Fifth, PS should be available for at least up to six months. And finally, tools for further networking between PSWs should be introduced (e.g. online groups, joint training platform).

Acknowledgements

The study Using Peer Support In Developing Empowering Mental Health Services (UPSIDES) is a multicentre collaboration between the Department for Psychiatry II at Ulm University, Germany (Bernd Puschner, coordinator); the Institute of Mental Health at University of Nottingham, UK (Mike Slade); the Department of Psychiatry at University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany (Candelaria Mahlke); Butabika National Referral Hospital, Uganda (Juliet Nakku); the Centre for Global Mental Health at London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK (Grace Ryan); Ifakara Health Institute, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania (Donat Shamba); the Department of Social Work at Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel (Galia Moran); and the Centre for Mental Health Law and Policy, Pune, India (Jasmine Kalha).

Data availability statement

The quantitative data that support the findings of this study will be available in the repository OPARU at <https://oparu.uni-ulm.de/xmlui/> following an embargo until 31/12/2022, to allow for prioritized generation of research findings by members the UPSIDES consortium for the duration of the UPSIDES project. All transcript fragments which informed the analysis of qualitative data presented in this publication are included within the paper and its online supplement files. Full transcripts are not publicly available due to their containing information that could compromise the privacy of research participants.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

UPSIDES has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme [grant number 779263]. This publication reflects only the authors' views. The Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

ORCID

Rebecca Nixdorf  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3064-8380>
 Rabeea'h Aslam  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0916-9641>
 Sarah Barber  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6348-6066>
 Ashleigh Charles  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2222-4358>
 Lion Gai Meir  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5153-6441>
 Alina Grayzman  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8192-7697>
 Ramona Hiltensperger  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2544-4188>
 Grace Ryan  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9310-3513>
 Mike Slade  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7020-3434>

References

- Barbui, C., & Albanese, E. (2020). Global mental health: Good news, bad news and responsibilities for the future. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 29, e76, 1–2.
- Barrera, Jr., M., & Castro, F. G. (2006). A heuristic framework for the cultural adaptation of interventions. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 13, 311–316.
- Bellamy, C., Schmutte, T., & Davidson, L. (2017). An update on the growing evidence base for peer support. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*, 21(3), 161–167.

- Bemme, D., & Kirmayer, L. (2020). Global mental health: Interdisciplinary challenges for a field in motion. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 57(1), 3–18.
- Boothroyd, R. I., & Fisher, E. B. (2010). Peers for progress: Promoting peer support for health around the world. *Family Practice*, 27(1), 62–68.
- Caldas-de-Almeida, J. M. (2020). Four reflections on the new global mental health priorities. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 29(e75), 1–4.
- Castro, F. G., Barrera, Jr, M., & Holleran Steiker, L. K. (2010). Issues and challenges in the design of culturally adapted evidence-based interventions. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6, 213–239.
- Chinman, M., McCarthy, S., Mitchell-Miland, C., Daniels, K., Youk, A., & Edelen, M. (2016). Early stages of development of a peer specialist fidelity measure. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 39(3), S. 256–265.
- Davidson, L., Chinman, M., Sells, D., & Rowe, M. (2006). Peer support among adults with serious mental illness: A report from the field. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 32(3), 443–450.
- Davis, J. K. (2013). Predictors of job satisfaction among peer providers on professional treatment teams in community-based agencies. *Psychiatric Services*, 64(2), 181–184.
- Fan, Y., Ma, N., Ma, L., Lamberti, J. S., & Caine, E. D. (2018). A community-based peer support service for persons with severe mental illness in China. *BMC Psychiatry*, 18, 170.
- Feeley, N., Cossette, S., Côté, J., Héon, M., Stremler, R., Martorella, G., & Purden, M. (2009). The importance of piloting an RCT intervention. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research Archive*, 41(2), 84–99.
- Gillard, S., Gibson, S., Holley, J., & Lucock, M. (2014). Developing a change model for peer worker interventions in mental health services: A qualitative research study. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 24, 435–445.
- Hall, C., Baillie, D., Basangwa, D., & Atukunda, J. (2017). Brain gain in Uganda: A case study of peer working as an adjunct to statutory mental health care in a low-income country. In *White R., Jain S., Orr D., Read U. (eds) The Palgrave handbook of sociocultural perspectives on global mental health* (pp. 633–655). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277–1288.
- Ibrahim, N., Thompson, D., Nixdorf, R., Kalha, J., Mpango, R., Moran, G., ... Puschner, B. (2020). A systematic review of influences on implementation of peer support work for adults with mental health problems. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 55(3), 285–293.
- King, A. J., & Simmons, M. B. (2018). A systematic review of the attributes and outcomes of peer work and guidelines for reporting studies of peer interventions. *Psychiatric Services*, 69(9), 961–977.
- Moran, G. S., Kalha, J., Mueller-Stierlin, A. S., Kilian, R., Krumm, S., Slade, M., ... Puschner, B. (2020). Peer support for people with severe mental illness versus usual care in high-, middle- and low-income countries: Study protocol for a pragmatic, multicentre, randomised controlled trial (UPSIDES-RCT). *Trials*, 21, 1–15.
- Moran, G. S., Russinova, Z., Yim, J. Y., & Sprague, C. (2014). Motivations of persons with psychiatric disabilities to work in mental health peer services: A qualitative study using self-determination theory. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 24(1), 32–41.
- Mpango, R., Kalha, J., Shamba, D., Ramesh, M., Ngakongwa, F., Kulkarni, A., ... Ryan, G. K. (2020). Challenges to peer support in low-and middle-income countries during COVID-19. *Globalization and Health*, 16(1), 1–4.
- Mutschler, C., Bellamy, C., Davidson, L., Lichtenstein, S., & Kidd, S. (2021). Implementation of peer support in mental health services: A systematic review of the literature. *Psychological Services*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000531>.
- Pathare, S., Funk, M., Bold, N. D., Chauhan, A., Kalha, J., Krishnamoorthy, S., ... Khenti, A. (2019). Systematic evaluation of the quality rights programme in public mental health facilities in Gujarat, India. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 218(4), 1–8.
- Perera, C., Salamanca-Sanabria, A., Caballero-Bernal, J., Feldman, L., Hansen, M., Bird, M., ... Vallières, F. (2020). No implementation without cultural adaptation: A process for culturally

- adapting low-intensity psychological interventions in humanitarian settings. *Conflict and Health*, 14(1), 1–12.
- Puschner, B. (2018). Peer support and global mental health. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 27(5), 413–414.
- Puschner, B., Repper, J., Mahlke, C., Nixdorf, R., Basangwa, D., Nakku, J., ... Slade, M. (2019). Using peer support in developing empowering mental health services (UPSIDES): Background, rationale and methodology. *Annals of Global Health*, 85(1), 53.
- Rebeiro Gruhl, K. L., LaCarte, S., & Calixte, S. (2016). Authentic peer support work: Challenges and opportunities for an evolving occupation. *Journal of Mental Health*, 25(1), 78–86.
- Repper, J., & Carter, T. (2011). A review of the literature on peer support in mental health services. *Journal of Mental Health*, 20(4), 392–411.
- Ryan, G. K., Semrau, M., Nkurunungi, E., & Mpango, R. S. (2019). Service user involvement in global mental health: What have we learned from recent research in low- and middle-income countries? *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. ISSN 0951-7367.
- Smith, T. B., Rodríguez, M. D., & Bernal, G. (2011). Culture. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(2), 166–175.
- White, S., Foster, R., Marks, J., Morshead, R., Goldsmith, L., Barlow, S., ... Gillard, S. (2020). The effectiveness of one-to-one peer support in mental health services: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*, 20(1), 1–20.