# Title page

# Effect of Internet use for searching information on vaccination on the uptake of human papillomavirus vaccine in France: a path-analysis approach

Fadia Dib<sup>1,2</sup>, Philippe Mayaud<sup>3</sup>, Laetitia Longfier<sup>4</sup>, Pierre Chauvin<sup>2</sup>, Odile Launay<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INSERM CIC 1417, F-CRIN, I REIVAC; Assistance Publique- Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> IDM Families, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France

<sup>5</sup> Université de Paris, Faculté de médecine Paris Descartes, Paris, France

Short title: Internet use and HPV vaccine uptake

Keywords: HPV vaccine, vaccine hesitancy, Internet, sources of information.

Word count main text: 3403words Word count abstract: 248

### **Corresponding author**

Dr Fadia Dib Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et Santé Publique UMRS 1136 - 27 rue de Chaligny - 75012 Paris, France Fadia.dib@inserm.fr

# Abstract

Internet is a popular source of information regarding vaccination. This study aimed to determine whether there is a negative association between Internet use among French vaccine-hesitant mothers and HPV vaccine uptake by their daughters, and to gain insight into the pathways that would link Internet use to the lack of HPV vaccine uptake. We conducted a pooled cross-sectional analysis across the 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 Vaccinoscopie® Survey. Multivariate logistic regression and path models were used in the analysis. The study sample included a total of 2038 respondent mothers. Of those, 89 (4.4%) declared having never been in the situation of searching for information regarding a vaccination they had hesitated about, leaving 1949 mothers for the present analysis. Approximately 24% (466/1949) of the mothers declared using the Internet as a source of vaccine information. In multivariate logistic regression adjusted for physician recommendation of HPV vaccination, attitudes towards vaccines in general, perception of HPV vaccine usefulness, maternal level of education, region of residence, and the survey year, the use of Internet by the mothers was significantly associated with a lower HPV vaccination among their daughters (adjusted odds ratio (aOR), 0.66; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.47-0.91). Path analysis further confirmed the negative effect of Internet use ( $\beta$  =-0.10, standard error (SE)=0.02, P<0.0001), highlighting how the Internet plays a detrimental role in HPV vaccine uptake through a lower perceived level of HPV vaccine usefulness, a lower perceived level of information on childhood vaccination, and unfavorable attitudes towards vaccination in general.

# 1 Manuscript

### 2 INTRODUCTION

Since its introduction in the French immunization program in 2007, the initiation rate of HPV vaccination in girls aged 15 years only reached 29.4% at its highest point, in 2018 (1). The same year, the coverage rate for a two-dose regimen among 16-year-olds girls was estimated at 23.7% (2). This figure represents less than half of the target set for a two-dose regimen (3). The HPV vaccine appears among those viewed less favorably in 2016 in France (4), against the general background of a noticeable rise in vaccine hesitancy (5), which may be viewed as pretty astonishing in Pasteur's country.

10 Internet has become a popular source of information regarding health-related topics, and HPV 11 vaccine makes no exception. Indeed, this vaccination is a popular topic among "googling" 12 searches for vaccine-preventable infectious diseases (6). The Eurobarometer Survey on the 13 European citizens' digital health literacy conducted in 2014 showed that around one out of two 14 respondents in France have used the Internet to search for health-related information within 15 the last year, 40% of whom indicated looking on behalf of their children. The French National 16 Health Barometer Survey conducted the same year showed that as many as 69 % of the French 17 population used the Internet to search for health-related topics (7).

18 Whilst the Internet represents a remarkable tool for health information dissemination, it also 19 provides a medium where misinformation (misinformed advice) and disinformation (deliberate 20 falsehoods) (8) are easily introduced, accessed and spread. This has become more problematic 21 as we live in an era of "post-truth" (defined by Oxford dictionary as "circumstances in which 22 objective facts are less influential in shaping public opinion than appeals to emotion and 23 personal belief"(9)). in The use of social media (such as Facebook) and messaging platforms 24 (such as WhatsApp) allows instant global dissemination of false information (10). This comes 25 with its own share of challenges in the health information field. For example, one study 26 analyzing the reach of online disinformation in Europ(9)e showed that the most popular false

27 news website in France, a website claiming to "vulgarize information on health and wellness", 28 had received approximately as many interactions on social media (shares, comments, reactions) 29 as five prominent and reliable French news websites combined (11). The spread of 30 misinformation on the benefits and risks of vaccines has certainly been fueling vaccine hesitancy 31 (12)(13)(14)(15), although it remains to be seen to what extent this translates into the decision 32 to vaccinate. The World Health Organization has listed the "uncontrolled dissemination of 33 misinformation" - including in the field of vaccination - among its urgent health challenges for 34 the next decade (16).

HPV vaccination in France provides an interesting case study of challenges facing vaccination in an era of online mis/disinformation, as the introduction of the HPV vaccine in the French vaccine immunization program has coincided more or less with the rise of social media utilization. Furthermore, HPV vaccination in France is delivered opportunistically through healthcare providers, with no dedicated school-based vaccination program. Therefore, parents who have not heard about the HPV vaccine from their healthcare providers may have questions to be answered about this vaccination.

In this context, we aimed to determine whether there was a negative association between
Internet use among French vaccine-hesitant mothers and HPV vaccine uptake by their
daughters, and to gain insight into the pathways that would link Internet use to a lack of HPV
vaccine uptake. Such an understanding is required to fully appreciate the impact of the Internet
on the uptake of HPV vaccination in France, and provide relevant data for policymakers and
practitioners to take necessary action.

### 48 **METHODS**

### 49 Data source

This study was carried out using the data acquired from the 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018
Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> Survey modules targeting mothers of girls aged 14 and 15 years. The

52 methodology of this survey commissioned by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and conducted by IDM

53 Families, an independent market research and polling company, has been published in detail

elsewhere (17)(18). Briefly, Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> is a pluriannual web-based survey among French representative quota samples of mothers, with respect to the socio-professional category (SPC) of the reference person in the household, the number of children, and the geographic region of residence, per child's years of age. It has been designed to monitor the dynamics of childhood vaccine coverage, and perception and attitudes towards vaccination in France. A new sample of study participants is interrogated from one year to another.

### 60 Study variables

The dependent variable was HPV vaccine initiation (i.e., the receipt of at least one dose of HPV vaccine) in the 14-15 year-old daughter. The exposure of interest was "Internet use by the mother" (yes/no), given the mother's answers to the question "When you hesitate about a vaccine, what source(s) of information do you turn to to decide whether or not to have your child vaccinated?". This question had a multiple-choice response format. For the purpose of this analysis, we derived a dummy variable for each source of information.

67 Guided by reviews of the literature on the factors associated with HPV vaccine uptake (19) 68 (20)(21), we took into account the following relevant factors in the association between the 69 exposure of interest and the dependent variable: 1) mother's demographics and socioeconomic 70 stratus: age, level of education, household income and geographical region of residence; 2) 71 maternal attitudes towards vaccination in general (response to the single answer question "How 72 do you feel about vaccines?": in favour of vaccinating against all serious diseases if there are 73 vaccines/ in favour of minimizing the number of vaccinations/ opposed to all vaccines/ no 74 opinion), and perception of HPV vaccine usefulness (response to the single answer question 75 "For each of these diseases (cervical cancer), supposing there was a vaccine, would vaccinating 76 your child seem: indispensable/ useful/ not very useful/ useless/ do not know"); 3) physician 77 recommendation of HPV vaccination (response to the single answer question "Has your doctor 78 advised you to have your child vaccinated against HPV": yes/ no/ do not remember); 4) 79 perceived level of information regarding childhood vaccination (response to the single answer 80 question: "Do you think you are well informed about child vaccinations?": not at all well-81 informed/rather not well-informed/rather well-informed/fully informed and); and 5)

daughter's usual medical follow-up setting (response to the single answer question : "Your child
is followed by : a general practice/ a pediatrician practice/ a free preventive clinic for mother
and infants").

### 85 Statistical analysis

86 We conducted a pooled cross-sectional analysis across the four aforementioned years of the 87 Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> Survey. We combined these data sets in order to achieve a large enough sample 88 size to perform multivariate analysis. The data sets included data weighted according to the 89 French general population census of the French National Institute for Statistics and Economic 90 Studies. Although the data were generated each year from a quota sample and not from a 91 random probability one, we decided to conduct inferential statistical analysis commonly used to 92 make inferences about the larger population from which the sample was drawn. Since it is 93 difficult to guarantee that the study sample is representative for characteristics other than 94 those for which quotas units have been set, inferential statistical measures (95% confidence 95 intervals (95%CI) and P-values) are meant only for indicative purposes in the present study. 96 Logistic regression analysis 97 We conducted a descriptive analysis of study variables followed by a bivariate analysis, where 98 HPV vaccine initiation was regressed on the covariates, which were were assessed for 99 multicollinearity beforehand. We then estimated a multivariate logistic regression model using a 100 backward selection process, with a threshold of P=0.20 for a variable to stay in the model. The 101 full model included the year of the Vaccinoscopie® Survey, in addition to the aforementioned

- 102 exposure variable and covariates. For ease of interpretation, we used the grouping of response
- 103 modalities required by the path analysis described below. The goodness-of-fit of the final model
- 104 was tested using the information matrix test.
- 105 Crude and adjusted odds ratios were calculated with their 95%Cl.

### 106 Path analysis

We formulated a general hypothetical model for how Internet use, attitudes towards childhood
vaccination, perceived usefulness of HPV vaccine, and the other above mentioned factors might
be interrelated to HPV vaccine uptake. The hypothesized interrelationships between the

110 variables are depicted in a conceptual model in **Figure 1**. To test our proposed hypothetical 111 model, we applied path analysis, a form of statistical modeling consisting of a set of linear 112 equations that simultaneously assess the relationships between the measured variables. We 113 conducted these analyses using the PROC CALIS procedure in SAS with the weighted least 114 squares (WLS) estimation method, which handles categorical variables (22). Nominal variables 115 with more than two categories of response modalities (geographic area of residence, perceived 116 usefulness of HPV vaccine, attitudes towards childhood vaccination, and perceived level of 117 information) were dichotomized by grouping responses modalities, as the PROC CALIS 118 procedure handles continuous, binary and ordinal variables, but not nominal variables.

119 We first tested the hypothesized conceptual model, which included all possible associations 120 between variables (Figure 1). Afterward, we reviewed the path coefficients to see if any of the 121 paths in the initial model should be deleted, and we dropped non-significant associations from 122 the original hypothetical model. The resulting model, called the "final model", was then re-123 estimated (Figure 2). We used standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) to assess the strength of 124 association between the variables (effect). Total, direct, and indirect effects of each variable on 125 HPV vaccine uptake were estimated (a direct effect represents a path coefficient, an indirect 126 effect represents the product of sequential path coefficients, and a total effect represents the 127 sum of direct and indirect effects).

128 We used Cohen's recommendations to interpret the relative size effect of the standardized 129 coefficients (a  $\beta$  value varying around 0.1 is considered as low, a value varying around 0.3 as 130 moderate, and a value greater than 0.5 as large) (23). To evaluate the goodness-of-fit between 131 the final model and the data, we used the comparative fit index (CFI), the standardized root 132 mean square residual (SRMR), and the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA) 133 including 90%-confidence interval (90%CI). Values for CFI >0.94 suggest a good fit between data 134 and path models, whereas SRMR and RMSEA values less than 0.090 suggest acceptable fit, and 135 values less than 0.055 suggest good model fit (24).

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary North
Carolina), and p-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 138 **Ethics statement**

139 The Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> Survey is a healthcare market research undertaken by professional market 140 researchers (IDM families) on behalf of a pharmaceutical company (GSK). It has been conducted 141 in accordance with the legal and ethical guidelines issued jointly by the European Society for 142 Opinion and Market Research (ESOMAR) and the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), as 143 well as the French law on computer data and freedom. It does not require ethical committee 144 review. It warrants the total confidentiality of collected data, which, in any case, cannot be used to any other end than the ones defined for the survey. Furthermore, each participant may 145 146 stop answering the questionnaire at any time and refuse that some pieces of data to be 147 processed. Study participants consented to IDM terms of use and privacy policy, which indicated 148 that their data would be used anonymously. The Vaccinoscopy® data file was approved by the 149 French National Committee for Data Protection (n°1551077, dated 08/12/2011).

### 150 **RESULTS**

### 151 Study sample

152 The global Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> Survey data set (2015 to 2018) included in total 2038 different 153 respondent mothers. Of those, 89 (4.4%) declared having never been in the situation of 154 searching for information regarding a vaccination they had hesitated about, leaving 1949 155 records for the present study. The mean (+/-SD) age of the survey respondents was 43.5  $(\pm 4.9)$ 156 years. Approximately half of the participants had a level at high school diploma (baccalaureate) 157 or two-year post high school diploma (49.6%) and had a monthly household income of over 158 2700 Euros (48.0%). The full characteristics of the study participants, together with their 159 responses to the survey questionnaire, can be found in **Table 1**.

### 160 HPV vaccine coverage

161 The mean uptake of the first dose of HPV vaccine over the study period was 27.7% (23.7% in 162 2015, 23.5% in 2016, 30.3% in 2017 and 33.1% in 2018). The full 2-dose regimen was completed 163 in 18.7% of their daughters (14.4% in 2015, 16.1% in 2016, 21.3% in 2017 and 22.6% in 2018).

### 164 Internet and other sources of vaccine information

- 165 Approximately 24% of the mothers declared using the Internet as a source of vaccine
- 166 information. This medium was the third most frequently cited source of information reported
- 167 by the mothers, after the family physician (91.8%) and the relatives/family/friends (26.1%), and
- 168 before the pharmacist (14.9%) **(Table 1)**.

### 169 Logistic regression analysis

170 The uptake of the first dose of HPV vaccine was lower among daughters of the mothers who 171 indicated using the Internet as a source of vaccine information compared to daughters of those 172 who indicated not using it: 18% compared to 31%, respectively (P<0.0001). In multivariate 173 analysis adjusted for physician recommendation of HPV vaccination, attitudes towards vaccines 174 in general, perception of HPV vaccine usefulness, maternal level of education, geographical 175 region of residence, and the year of the survey, the use of the Internet as a source of vaccine 176 information was significantly associated with a lower HPV vaccination (aOR=0.66; 95% CI, 0.47-177 0.91) (Table 2).

### 178 Path analysis

179 The results of the path analysis modeling are depicted in Figure 2. The estimated « final model » 180 shows a good overall fit: CFI=0.97, SRMR=0.03 and RMSEA=0.02, 90%CI (0.02-0.03). Overall, 26 181 % of the variance in HPV vaccine uptake was explained by the model. Table 3 shows the direct, 182 indirect, and total effects of Internet use and other sources of information on HPV vaccine 183 uptake (effects of other variables are reported in the **Supplementary Table**). The total effect of 184 Internet use on HPV vaccine uptake was proved to be significant and negative. It is considered 185 as low ( $\beta$ =-0.10, Standard Error (SE)=0.02, P<0.0001), and represents in absolute value 27% of 186 the highest total effect on HPV vaccine uptake, which is observed with the physician 187 recommendation of HPV vaccination ( $\beta$ =0.37, SE=0.01, P<0.0001) (**Table 3 and Supplementary** 188 Table).

189 A total of 4 paths were significant to explain the relationship between Internet use and HPV190 vaccine uptake:

192	attitudes towards vaccines in general -> perception of HPV vaccination usefulness ->
193	HPV vaccination;
194	2) Internet use -> perceived level of information regarding childhood vaccination ->
195	attitudes towards vaccines in general -> HPV vaccination;
196	3) Internet use -> attitudes towards vaccines in general -> perception of HPV vaccination
197	usefulness -> HPV vaccination;
198	4) Internet use -> attitudes towards vaccines in general -> HPV vaccination (Figure 2).
199	
200	Internet use was also found to mediate the negative effect of the « family and friends » source
201	of information on HPV vaccine uptake ( $\beta$ =-0.10, SE=0.02, P<0.0001), as Internet use was
202	positively associated with this source of information ( $\beta$ =0.10, SE=0.02, P<0.0001). In reverse,
203	Internet use was negatively associated with the «family physician» source of information ( $eta$ =-
204	0.28, SE=0.03, P<0.0001), which was found to have a total positive effect on HPV vaccine uptake
205	(β=0.08, SE=0.01, P<0.0001).

Internet use -> perceived level of information regarding childhood vaccination->

### 206 **DISCUSSION**

191

207 The spread of false information online and its influence on vaccination have been frequently 208 addressed in the literature. However, the quantification of the effect of Internet use as a 209 resource for answering questions on vaccination on actual vaccine uptake is much less 210 documented. We found that maternal search for vaccine information in the Internet was 211 associated with a lower HPV vaccination initiation by their daughters. This result is congruent 212 with the findings of the 2016 French National Health Barometer, in which parents of children 213 aged 1 to 15 who relied uniquely on the Internet for information on vaccination were less likely 214 to vaccinate their children against diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis (25). Path analysis further 215 confirmed the negative effect of Internet use on HPV vaccine uptake ( $\beta$ =-0.10, SE=0.02, 216 P<0.0001). The analysis further revealed how Internet mediated its effect through a lower 217 perceived level of information on childhood vaccination (which could be explained by the 218 confusion resulting from contradicting pieces of information found on the Internet),

219 unfavorable attitudes towards vaccination in general, and a lower perceived level of HPV 220 vaccine usefulness. This effect runs contrary to the positive effect of obtaining information from 221 a family physician ( $\beta$ =0.08, SE=0.01, P<0.0001), through more favorable attitudes towards 222 vaccines and higher perception of HPV vaccine usefulness.

223 The Internet affords unprecedented opportunities for finding answers to health questions, but 224 also poses some challenges for its users. Parents should take complete responsibility to base their vaccination decision on accurate information, wisely and consciously choosing their 225 226 sources of information whenever questions arise as to the benefit, efficacy or safety of any 227 given vaccine. Until each and every parent is equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills 228 to interrogate the Internet safely, healthcare providers remain the most suitable and accurate 229 sources of information on the topic of vaccination, and parents should be encouraged to seek 230 information primarily from them. Interventions should be designed to empower parents to 231 better recognize mis/disinformation, and consult only reputable sources of information if they 232 wish to go online. In any case, the need to question the veracity of the information retrieved 233 online should be emphasized, as it is sometimes not easy to distinguish between trustworthy 234 and questionable websites.

### 235 Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the association between
Internet use as a source of information by vaccine-hesitant mothers and the uptake of HPV
vaccine by their daughters. A key strength of this study is the use of path analysis to examine
specific pathways by which Internet use among vaccine-hesitant mothers is associated with a
lack of HPV uptake by their daughters, beyond the traditional logistic regression approach which
only allows quantification of the association between two variables, all others things being
equal.

The following limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of this study. First, the exposure measure was related to the behavior of seeking online information for vaccines in general, rather than HPV vaccine specifically. Nevertheless, only HPV vaccination is recommended in the target's age group of the daughters in the surveyed sample, hence most

247 probably the source of questioning among their mothers. Second, the quota sampling approach 248 employed for the recruitment of the mothers in the Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> Survey allows a study 249 sample that is representative of the general population with respect to specific criteria (SPC, 250 geographic region of residence and number of children), but does not ensure that it is 251 representative of the general population with regard to other criteria. Therefore, caution is 252 warranted when generalizing the results of the responding sample to the broader French 253 population. In particular, confidence intervals and P-values have to be very carefully 254 interpreted: they would apply if the data were obtained from a random probability sample. 255 Furthermore, there is a possibility of selection bias through self-selection of participants, and 256 also because the Internet population might not be fully representative of the general population 257 (excluding the most disadvantaged groups and/or the non-French-speaking immigrants). Third, 258 some factors possibly intervening in the process unfolding between Internet use and HPV 259 vaccine uptake were not questioned in Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup> Survey and could not be introduced in 260 our model. In a Delphi survey based on the World Health Organisation Strategy Advisory Group 261 of Experts framework of vaccine hesitancy, we have shown that the factors possibly determining 262 HPV vaccine uptake in France are numerous (26). These include, for example, vaccine 263 knowledge, perception of the safety of the vaccine, and trust issues towards the health system. 264 This may explain the direct effect observed of Internet use on HPV vaccine uptake, which 265 probably indicates a residual effect not captured by the model variables, rather than a genuine 266 direct effect. Fourth, this analysis was based on cross-sectional data. Therefore, causal 267 inferences cannot be drawn, and reverse links between some variables cannot be completely 268 ruled out. For example, the association between Internet use and perceived level of information 269 on childhood vaccination may be due to reciprocal effects. Despite these limitations, this study 270 seems to confirm the detrimental impact of Internet use as a source of vaccine information in 271 vaccine-hesitant mothers. Additional studies are needed using prospective study designs among 272 a random sample of parents.

# 273 CONCLUSION

- 274 In conclusion, this study found a weak but significant association between vaccine-hesitant
- 275 mothers' use of the Internet as a source of vaccine information and a lack of HPV vaccine uptake
- 276 by their daughters, through a lower perceived level of HPV vaccine usefulness, a lower
- 277 perceived level of information on childhood vaccination, and unfavorable attitudes towards
- vaccination in general. While further studies are needed to confirm this link, there is no doubt
- 279 on the need to raise awareness about the importance of seeking information from a healthcare
- 280 provider, and consulting only reputable sources of information on the Internet.

# 281 **References**

Données de couverture vaccinale papillomavirus humains (HPV) par groupe d'âge
 [Internet]. [cited 2020 Aug 16]. Available from: /determinants-de-sante/vaccination/donnees de-couverture-vaccinale-papillomavirus-humains-hpv-par-groupe-d-age

Fonteneau L, Barret AS, Lévy-Bruhl D. Évolution de la couverture vaccinale du vaccin
 contre le papillomavirus en France – 2008-2018. Bull Epidémiol Hebd. 2019;(22-23):424-30.
 Available from: http://beh.santepubliquefrance.fr/beh/2019/22-23/2019\_22-23\_3.html

Plan Cancer 2014-2019 - Ref : PLANKPNRT14 | Institut National Du Cancer [Internet].
 [cited 2018 Jan 28]. Available from: http://www.e-cancer.fr/Expertises-et publications/Catalogue-des-publications/Plan-Cancer-2014-2019

GAUTIER A, JESTIN C, CHEMLAL K, Groupe Baromètre santé 2016. FRA. Adhésion à la
 vaccination en France : résultats du Baromètre santé 2016. Vaccination des jeunes enfants : des
 données pour mieux comprendre l'action publique. Bull Epidemiol Hebd. 2017 Oct 19;21–7.

Larson HJ, de Figueiredo A, Xiahong Z, Schulz WS, Verger P, Johnston IG, et al. The State
of Vaccine Confidence 2016: Global Insights Through a 67-Country Survey. EBioMedicine. 2016
Oct;12:295–301.

Bragazzi NL, Barberis I, Rosselli R, Gianfredi V, Nucci D, Moretti M, et al. How often
people google for vaccination: Qualitative and quantitative insights from a systematic search of
the web-based activities using Google Trends. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2017;13(2):464–9.

300 7. SPF. Quelle utilisation d'Internet dans la recherche d'informations santé ? [Internet].
 301 [cited 2020 Aug 14]. Available from: /notices/quelle-utilisation-d-internet-dans-la-recherche-d 302 informations-sante

303 8. Grimes DR, Brennan LJ, O'Connor R. Establishing a taxonomy of potential hazards

304 associated with communicating medical science in the age of disinformation. BMJ Open

305 [Internet]. 2020 Jul [cited 2020 Aug 14];10(7):e035626. Available from:

306 http://bmjopen.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035626

307 9. Dib F, Mayaud P, Chauvin P, Launay O. Online mis/disinformation and vaccine hesitancy
308 in the era of COVID-19: Why we need an eHealth literacy revolution. Hum Vaccines Immunother
309 [Internet]. 2021 Feb 24 [cited 2021 Mar 9];1–3. Available from:

310 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21645515.2021.1874218

10. Larson HJ. The biggest pandemic risk? Viral misinformation. Nature. 2018;562(7727):309.

312 11. Fletcher R, Cornia A, Graves L, Nielsen RK. Measuring the reach of "fake news" and313 online disinformation in Europe. :10.

McKee M, Middleton J. Information wars: tackling the threat from disinformation onvaccines. BMJ. 2019 May 13;365:I2144.

- 316 13. Carrieri V, Madio L, Principe F. Vaccine hesitancy and (fake) news: Quasi-experimental
- evidence from Italy. Health Econ [Internet]. 2019 Nov [cited 2020 May 21];28(11):1377–82.
- 318 Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hec.3937
- 31914.Basch CH, Zybert P, Reeves R, Basch CE. What do popular YouTubeTM videos say about320vaccines? Child Care Health Dev. 2017;43(4):499–503.
- Hoffman BL, Felter EM, Chu K-H, Shensa A, Hermann C, Wolynn T, et al. It's not all about
  autism: The emerging landscape of anti-vaccination sentiment on Facebook. Vaccine. 2019
  10;37(16):2216–23.
- 16. Urgent health challenges for the next decade [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 27]. Available
   from: https://www.who.int/news-room/photo-story/photo-story-detail/urgent-health challenges-for-the-next-decade
- Stahl J-P, Cohen R, Denis F, Gaudelus J, Lery T, Lepetit H, et al. Vaccination against
  meningococcus C. vaccinal coverage in the French target population. Med Mal Infect. 2013
  Feb;43(2):75–80.
- 33018.Denis F, Cohen R, Stahl J-P, Martinot A, Dury V, Le Danvic M, et al. Papillomavirus331vaccination in France according to 2008 to 2012 Vaccinoscopie® data. Médecine Mal Infect
- 332 [Internet]. 2014 Jan [cited 2018 Jan 22];44(1):18–24. Available from:
- 333 http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0399077X13003247
- Kessels SJM, Marshall HS, Watson M, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Reuzel R, Tooher RL. Factors
  associated with HPV vaccine uptake in teenage girls: a systematic review. Vaccine. 2012 May
  21;30(24):3546–56.
- Rodriguez SA, Mullen PD, Lopez DM, Savas LS, Fernández ME. Factors associated with
  adolescent HPV vaccination in the U.S.: A systematic review of reviews and multilevel
  framework to inform intervention development. Prev Med. 2020;131:105968.
- Newman PA, Logie CH, Lacombe-Duncan A, Baiden P, Tepjan S, Rubincam C, et al.
  Parents' uptake of human papillomavirus vaccines for their children: a systematic review and
  meta-analysis of observational studies. BMJ Open. 2018 20;8(4):e019206.
- 343 22. Browne MW. Asymptotically distribution-free methods for the analysis of covariance
  344 structures. Br J Math Stat Psychol. 1984 May;37 (Pt 1):62–83.
- 345 23. Cohen J. A power primer. Psychol Bull. 1992 Jul;112(1):155–9.
- 346 24. Hatcher PhDL, O'Rourke RDPsychN. Step-by-Step Approach to Using SAS for Factor
- 347 Analysis and Structural Equation Modeling, Second Edition, 2nd Edition [Internet]. Place of
- 348 publication not identified: SAS Institute; 2013 [cited 2020 Nov 21]. Available from:
- 349 https://www.safaribooksonline.com/library/view/title/9781599942308/?ar?orpq&email=^u
- 35025.Gautier A, Verger P, Jestin C et le groupe Baromètre santé 2016. Sources d'information,351opinions et pratiques des parents en matière de vaccination en France en 2016. Bull Epidémiol

352 HebdHors-Sér Vaccin. 2017;28–35.

26. Dib F, Mayaud P, Launay O, Chauvin P, FSQD-HPVH Study Group. Design and content
validation of a survey questionnaire assessing the determinants of human papillomavirus (HPV)
vaccine hesitancy in France: A reactive Delphi study. Vaccine. 2020 Sep 3;38(39):6127–40.

# **Tables**

Table 1. Distribution of study variables among 1949 vaccine-hesitant mothers (Vaccinoscopie<sup>®</sup>
 Survey 2015 to 2018).

- **Table 2.** Results of bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis exploring the factors361 associated with HPV vaccination (weighted data).
- **Table 3.** Total, direct, and indirect effects of the Internet and other sources of information on363 HPV vaccine uptake (weighted data).
- **Supplementary table.** Total, direct, and indirect effects of variables other than sources of 365 information on HPV vaccine uptake (weighted data).
- 366 Figures
- **Figure 1.** Conceptual model with the hypothesized interrelationships between the variables.
- **Figure 2.** Final model of associations with standardized path coefficients.

# 370 Author's contributions

FD and PC had designed the study. FD had performed the data analysis, the redaction of the manuscript, and the revision process of this paper based on Vaccinsoscopie Survey data acquired by OL. PC, OL, PM and LL have participated in the revision process and the redaction of the manuscript. GSK and IDM had no role in the study design nor in the data analysis. All authors have approved the final manuscript which was reviewed by GSK before submission.

# 376 Funding

- 377 Financial support for the study was provided by a PhD fellowship from the French National
- 378 Institute of Cancer awarded to FD. The other authors received no specific funding for this work.

## 379 Conflict of interest

- 380 FD declares that MSD vaccines have covered registration fees, transport and accommodation
- costs for attendance to a conference in 2018 and received a research grant. PM was an
- 382 investigator on projects that received funding from GSK and MSD for the evaluation of HPV
- 383 vaccines in Africa. OL declares punctual interventions and support during conferences with
- 384 Pfizer, MSD, Sanofi Pasteur, Janssen and GSK. PC declares no conflict of interest.

385