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About the RESSCW project

This publication is part of the Retention and Sustainability of Social Care Workforce (RESSCW) project, funded by the Health Foundation’s Efficiency Research Programme (award reference number: 1325587). The Health Foundation is an independent charity committed to bringing about better health and health care for people in the UK. The views expressed are entirely those of the authors.

The RESSCW project is a collaboration between the University of Kent, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, University College London, City University of London, and Skills for Care. It aims to help social care providers, commissioners, regulators and policy-makers understand the specific organisational and individual drivers of staff retention and wellbeing as well as the impact of Covid-19 on the social care sector. It runs between 2019 and 2022.
Key messages

High vacancy levels and high staff turnover have characterised the social care sector for a long time in England. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, there were over 120,000 vacancies in social care. Most turnover happens within the first few years from starting a care job; the turnover rate sharply falls from 43.8% in the first year to less than 27% after four years of tenure.\(^1\) There is emerging evidence that better staffing leads to better quality services.\(^2\) This research brief sets out the findings of a review of international literature on retention and turnover in social care.

Enhancing pay and reward in the social care sector is essential to attract and retain workers. However, these alone are unlikely to offset the impact of poor quality jobs and unfavourable working conditions.

There is no single measure that will improve recruitment and retention problems; efforts can be made to value the workforce and acknowledge that their work is essential and "makes a real difference to the lives of some of the most vulnerable people in society".

Better recruitment practices, such as values-based recruitment, can contribute to stability and reduced turnover by ensuring better person-job and person-environment fit. To date, the evidence is limited on the effectiveness of different approaches and interventions. Achieving better recruitment can be more difficult in a tight labour market and a sector with high vacancies, like social care.

Several factors associated with turnover identified in this review can be controlled or influenced by care providers. These include managerial support, organisational culture, work organisation, and adequate equipment to ensure health and safety at work.

Therefore, one of the critical findings of this review is the need for the sector to be able to offer 'quality jobs' in order to improve recruitment and retention; this includes, but not exclusive to, ensuring decent pay and terms of employment, job security, and quality of the working environment.\(^3\) \(^4\)

Another important message of the review is that social care needs better systems to support care providers in creating and offering quality jobs. In particular, there is a need to reconsider how services are commissioned and
funded by local authorities, acknowledging the employment implications of funding constraints and commissioning practices.\textsuperscript{5}

The current situation of significant job losses in some industries (particularly hospitality and retail) during COVID-19 might offer a unique opportunity to redistribute the workforce. For the sector to attract and retain available workers due to such redistributions, social care urgently needs both a financial and image boost. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the social care workforce is still unfolding. It will need to be analysed in the ever-changing context of government policies and local implementation in the coming months.

Background

High vacancy levels and high staff turnover have characterised the social care sector for a long time in England. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, there were over 120,000 vacancies in social care, corresponding to 7.8\% of all jobs in the sector compared to a vacancy rate of 2.7 per 100 employee jobs across the economy.\textsuperscript{6} Nearly a third of workers had left their job in the previous 12 months, although the majority (67\%) of leavers stayed in social care.\textsuperscript{7} While this is broadly comparable to employee turnover across the whole economy\textsuperscript{8}, there are reasons why this might be a problem for social care.\textsuperscript{9} First, recruitment, induction, and training for new staff can be costly. Second, staff turnover can also have negative organisational consequences. Adverse implications include increased burden on long-term workers, weakening of social support and informal networks in the workplace, and a disruption in the continuity and quality of care, especially when vacancies specific to certain job roles are difficult to fill.\textsuperscript{10}

These longstanding challenges are not observed in the UK alone, but in many other countries with diverse social care or long-term care (LTC) systems and welfare state models. For example, in the United States, less than one in five care workers stay in the sector for at least two consecutive years.\textsuperscript{11} In Europe, LTC workers remain with their employer two years less than the overall working population.\textsuperscript{12}
Care workers are among the lowest-paid workers in the economy, not just in the UK but also across the OECD, where they earn less than their counterparts in the health care sector. Recruitment and retention difficulties are often associated with low pay; however, these are not the only factors. High turnover and low retention are complex challenges and call for a detailed understanding of nuances for effective policy responses.

The COVID-19 pandemic created a new situation with the closure of large parts of retail, hospitality, and tourism industries millions of employees and workers were furloughed. Volunteering and community initiatives were springing up across the country. In April, the Government launched an action plan that set out a target of recruiting 20,000 people into the social care sector. Meanwhile, social care employers in the UK have reported record interest and numbers of applications for jobs.

This situation presents a unique, yet likely short-term, opportunity for the social care sector to attract new workers, stabilise and diversify the existing workforce. The latter could be very beneficial ahead of other challenges on the horizon, especially the introduction of the new immigration system in January 2021, that will end free movement of EU nationals while still restricting direct recruitment of migrant workers into the social care sector, with potentially severe implications. At the same time, due to the impact of COVID-19, care workers are facing immense pressures, increased workload and stress, with risks of burnout and adverse health and wellbeing outcomes.

In this context, workforce's stability, particularly retention, comes to centre stage, and we must understand the factors that shape people’s decision to stay or leave their job or the sector altogether. This research brief sets out the findings of a review of international literature on retention and turnover in social care.

**Review questions and methods**

Our review sought to answer two main questions:

1. What are the main factors associated with retention and turnover in social care?
2. How do these factors shape retention and turnover? What are the main causes and relationships?

We have searched and reviewed the international literature published in English in the last 15 years to answer these questions. We searched for studies that examined the recruitment and retention of the adult social care workforce. Our workforce definition included care workers and registered professionals (e.g. nurses, health care assistants) working in mainly adult social care settings (care homes, assisted living facilities, nursing homes, service users’ homes in the community). We also took into account various definitions and terms used in different countries. We identified 140 studies that met our inclusion criteria (see Figure 1).

*Figure 1: The literature search*
The literature

Figure 2 shows the countries of studies included in the review: nearly half of them were from the United States, with a substantial contribution from the UK, Australia, and Canada. In total, 16 countries were represented in the review.

*Figure 2: Countries covered by the studies in the review*

Studies were evenly spread across residential care (incl. nursing homes), domiciliary care, and those looking at the social care workforce in general (see Figure 3). Few papers focused explicitly on disability services or personal assistants.
The research we reviewed was varied in their methods and included qualitative and quantitative and mixed methods designs (see Figure 4). The different approaches have different strengths and limitations (risk of bias). These differences need to be taken into account when drawing any conclusions from the review.
What factors shape retention and turnover?

The reviewed research identified a broad range of factors associated with turnover and retention in social care (see Figure 5). This section discusses four categories in more detail: personal characteristics, job characteristics, working conditions, and external factors.
Personal characteristics

Some personal characteristics and dispositional or individual traits (e.g. how a person responds to stress, self-esteem) are associated with higher turnover. Some of these are:

- Musculoskeletal complaints and the effect of previous occupational injuries (arising from the physical nature of the job, particularly lifting and handling);
- Younger age;
- Higher education.

Evidence on other personal characteristics is less prominent and appears to be moderated by various factors, including working conditions, structural disadvantage, and systems. For example, one US study found that non-citizen nursing assistants in care homes were twice as likely to quit within one year as US-born or naturalised citizens working in the same role. However, it is unclear to what extent this might be explained by personal characteristics (e.g. language skills), working conditions, or visa problems.
Another US study found that the experience of racism or ethnic discrimination has significantly increased turnover intent among black and minority ethnic home health aides.\textsuperscript{18}

The impact of family and informal caring responsibilities is mediated by perceived ‘family time adequacy’, work-life balance, and work-family conflict.\textsuperscript{19,20} There is evidence on the impact of low work-life balance and high work-family conflict – when job demands interfere with family life – on turnover.\textsuperscript{21}

Work-life balance is particularly crucial for a predominantly female care workforce – in England, 82% of the social care workforce are female\textsuperscript{22} – with many facing double- or triple caregiving duties.

The other well-evidenced mechanism is person-job fit or self-efficacy. Self-efficacy refers to the individual’s self-perceived ability to do the job. This attribute, alongside other personal resources (e.g. coping strategies, self-esteem) and organisational support (e.g. training and mentoring, recognition by supervisor) are important determinants of job satisfaction and intention to stay or quit.\textsuperscript{23,24}

\textit{Job characteristics}

Various aspects of care are associated with turnover and retention, including:

- Emotional labour: working with people at the end of their life or whose condition is rapidly deteriorating can produce emotional fatigue and burnout, and lead to increased intention to leave.\textsuperscript{25}
- Job-related stress, resulting from heavy workloads, time pressures, the inflexibility of schedules and tasks, role conflict and ambiguity.\textsuperscript{26}

On the other hand, job autonomy, task flexibility, and organisational practices that allow staff to perform what they consider meaningful and relational aspects of their job were associated with reduced intention to quit.\textsuperscript{27}
Working conditions

The review indicated that working conditions could be grouped into material and non-material aspects. The most often discussed material aspects are pay and reward. There is some evidence in the international literature that better pay (and economic returns) is associated with reduced turnover. However, the evidence base is mixed, and UK-specific data is scarce.28, 29 The economic-return on experience tends to be minimal for social care occupations. For some workers, this might act as a push factor to switch to social care employers who pay slightly better wages or move to other jobs outside of the sector that are perceived as less demanding.30 Some attribute the mixed evidence of pay in predicting intention to leave31 to workers ‘benchmarking’ their pay to opportunities in other (low-pay) sectors that they perceive as less or more satisfying, or comparable jobs in the health sector.32, 33, 34, 35 However, UK-specific evidence is lacking, which we will explore further in other work packages of the project.

Type of employment, work organisation and scheduling also have a demonstrated impact on turnover and retention. Permanent contract and salaried pay are negatively associated with turnover intention. In contrast, those with casual hours, involuntary hours, or hourly pay with variable hours are more likely to report higher intention to leave.36 The use of temporary (agency) staff may – indirectly – influence the retention of permanent staff in the long term. While reliance on agency staff might reduce workforce shortages in the short run, its extended use can negatively influence job satisfaction within the organisation, particularly in roles where building strong team-relations is essential.

Aspects of safety are another significant component of working conditions. Many direct care jobs are physically demanding. Thus, the availability of (lifting and handling) equipment and training to safely carry out one’s job can reduce the occurrence of occupational injuries and improve retention; injuries and physical health are significant factors in decisions to quit (see Personal characteristics above). Other aspects of personal safety and perceived risks, such as those associated with lone working in people’s homes, unsafe neighbourhoods, exposure to hazardous or toxic materials,
transportation difficulties, or working with challenging clients are essential considerations for care workers and associated with increased turnover.\textsuperscript{37, 38} There is a sizeable body of research looking at the impact of relationships at work on turnover and intention to quit. Generally, positive relationships with clients, co-workers, and particularly management and supervisors are associated with job satisfaction and increased retention. Supervisor support and loyalty and perceived organisational justice, fairness and culture are associated with lower intention to quit. Similarly, respect and acknowledgement fostered positive attitudes among staff reduce turnover.\textsuperscript{39, 40}

**External factors**

Unemployment and the perception of the labour market have been associated with turnover and intention to leave. Research in the United States found that higher local unemployment is associated with lower turnover.\textsuperscript{51} Some studies also noted higher retention in rural areas.\textsuperscript{42} However, a Canadian study of home care workers highlighted the various ways rurality can influence individual employment and turnover decisions (e.g. fewer clients, scheduling difficulties and unpredictable hours, loss of clients associated with job insecurity).\textsuperscript{43}
Challenges

It is important to consider some limitations of the review findings. Firstly, most observed associations are not likely to be causal effects. They only show potential dynamics. There are too many factors to draw very definitive conclusions on what the key elements are. Secondly, there are also questions about the extent these findings apply to the current situation in the UK nations. A lot of the reviewed research comes from the US and Australia with very different social care systems. This brief tries to highlight factors that are likely to be applicable in the UK. However, there are areas where evidence is likely to be country-specific. For example, it can depend on the relative level of care staff wages in the overall wage distribution, the structure of the local labour market and workers’ access to other jobs, the social benefits systems, the rights of migrant workers and other factors.

Next steps

As part of the Retention and Sustainability of Social Care Workforce (RESSCW) project, an extended version of this research brief will be
produced, detailing methods and references. We also plan a series of research papers analysing factors influencing starting a job in social care, career trajectories; the determinants of leaving social care jobs; and decisions to move between social care providers and between social care and other sectors. The project will also investigate the impact of COVID-19 on the wellbeing and retention of the social care workforce. To share our research findings and discuss innovation and best practice in recruitment and retention, we will organise a series of “what works” events in 2021-22.

If you are an employer in social care – a provider organisation or an individual using personal budgets to employ their personal assistants – and would like to find out more about the study or ways of contributing to the research or hear about our events, please get in touch.
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For more information on the policy brief, email Dr Agnes Turnpenny (a.v.turnpenny@kent.ac.uk).
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