Household-level double burden of malnutrition in Ethiopia: a comparison of Addis Ababa and the rural district of Kersa

Lilia Bliznashka¹, Mia M Blakstad¹, Yemane Berhane², Amare Worku Tadesse^{2,3}, Nega Assefa⁴, Goodarz Danaei^{1,5}, Chelsey R Canavan¹, Elena C Hemler¹, Wafaie W Fawzi^{1, 5, 6}

¹Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA
²Addis Continental Institute of Public Health, ACIPH Building, Yeka Sub-city, Ayat Zone 8, YK_13_4064, House number B227_23, P.O. Box 26751/1000, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
³Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical, Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK
⁴College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University, Haramaya 138 Bate, Ethiopia
⁵Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA
⁶Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Corresponding author: Lilia Bliznashka, *Email:* lilia.bliznashka@gmail.com, *Address:* 665 Huntington Avenue, Building 1, 11th Floor, Boston, MA 02115, USA, *Phone:* 617-432-1232



This is an Accepted Manuscript for Public Health Nutrition as part of the Cambridge Coronavirus Collection. This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI 10.1017/S1368980021003700 Public Health Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

Short title: Predictors of DBM in Ethiopia

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to acknowledge the study participants and data collectors for their time and for making the study possible.

Financial support: The Addis Ababa study was funded by the United Kingdom Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and Department for International Development (DFID) Joint Fund for Poverty Alleviation Research (grant number: ES/R002118/1). The Kersa study was funded by Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health Office for Research Strategy and Development (grant number: not applicable). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: None.

Contributor statement: LB conceptualized the analyses presented in this paper. YB, AWT, GD, CRC and WF designed and implemented the Addis Ababa study. AWT and YB led the Addis Ababa data collection activities. NA and WF designed the Kersa study, and NA, EH and WF implemented it. NA led the Kersa data collection activities. LB led the data analyses and drafted the manuscript. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript, contributed to the intellectual content, and approved the final manuscript. LB had final responsibility for submitting this article for publication.

Ethical standards disclosure: Written informed consent was obtained by fieldworkers who provided information about the study to each participant. The Addis Ababa study received ethical approved by the institutional review boards of the Addis Continental Institute of Public Health (reference number ACIPH/IRB/001/2018) and the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health (reference number: IRB17-1825). The Kersa study received ethical approval by the Institutional Health Research Ethical Review Board of the College of Health and Medical Sciences at Haramaya University (reference number: SHE/SIM/144/708/19) and by the institutional review board of the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public IRB19-0029).

Abstract

Objective: To examine the prevalence of and factors associated with different forms of household-level double-burden of malnutrition (DBM) in Ethiopia.

Design: We defined DBM using anthropometric measures for adult overweight (body mass index (BMI) \geq 25 kg/m²), child stunting (height-for-age Z-score <-2 SD) and overweight (weight-for-height Z-score \geq 2 SD). We considered 16 biological, environmental, behavioural, and socio-demographic factors. Their association with DBM forms was assessed using generalized linear models.

Setting: We used data from two cross-sectional studies in an urban (Addis Ababa, January-February 2018), and rural setting (Kersa District, June-September 2019).

Participants: 592 urban and 862 rural households with an adult man, adult woman, and child <5 years.

Results: In Addis Ababa, overweight adult and stunted child was the most prevalent DBM form (9% (95% CI 7-12%)). Duration of residence in Addis Ababa (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 1.03 (95% CI 1.00-1.06)), Orthodox Christianity (aOR 1.97 (95% CI 1.01-3.85)), and household size (aOR 1.24 (95% CI 1.01-1.54)) were associated factors. In Kersa, concurrent child overweight and stunting was the most prevalent DBM form (11% (95% CI 9-14%)). Housing quality (aOR 0.33 (95% CI 0.20-0.53)), household wealth (aOR 1.92 (95% CI 1.18-3.11), and sanitation (aOR 2.08 (95% CI 1.07-4.04)) were associated factors. After adjusting for multiple comparisons, only housing quality remained a significant factor.

Conclusions: DBM prevalence was low among urban and rural Ethiopian households. Environmental, socio-economic, and demographic factors emerged as potential associated factors. However, we observed no common associated factors among urban and rural households.

Keywords: overweight, stunting, sub-Saharan Africa, double burden of malnutrition, women of reproductive age, low- and middle-income countries, Ethiopia, children under five years of age

Introduction

Malnutrition is a major public health concern in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). It has remained the highest-ranking risk factor for disability since 1990, accounting for 20% of disability-adjusted life years in $2017^{(1)}$. In Ethiopia, undernutrition is prevalent: 22% of women and 33% of men are underweight, 38% of children <5 years of age are stunted, and 10% are wasted ⁽²⁾. Although nationally only 8% of women and 3% of men are overweight, larger urban-rural disparities exist. In 2016, women's overweight prevalence was 21% in urban areas and 4% in rural areas, whereas men's overweight was 12% and 1%, respectively. The Addis Ababa region had the highest overweight prevalence: 29% among women and 21% among men⁽²⁾. Despite progress over the past three decades, undernutrition remains the primary risk factor for 24% of all deaths in Ethiopia and 63% of deaths among children <5 years of age⁽¹⁾.

At the household-level, the most common DBM definitions encompass women of reproductive age and children <5 years of age⁽³⁾. A 2019 *Lancet* DBM Series defined four types of household-level DBM covering these populations: (1) concurrent child overweight and stunting, (2) overweight woman and at least one wasted child, (3) overweight woman and at least one stunted child, and (4) underweight woman and at least one overweight child⁽⁴⁾. In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), total household-level DBM (i.e., presence of any of these four forms) prevalence ranges from a low of 6.02% in Ethiopia to a high of 26.96% in Sao Tome and Principe⁽⁴⁾. However, national household-level DBM estimates may mask within-country disparities. In SSA, women's overweight and obesity are growing faster in urban than in rural settings⁽⁵⁾, suggesting household-level DBM may differ across geographical settings. In fact, the odds of an overweight woman and a stunted child in the same household are 1.24 higher in urban and peri-urban areas than in rural areas across 30 SSA countries⁽⁶⁾.

In Ethiopia, no studies to date have comprehensively examined household-level DBM and its correlates, apart from the 2019 *Lancet* DBM Series. This Series supplied nationally representative estimates of the prevalence of four forms of household-level DBM but did not provide urban and rural estimates separately⁽⁴⁾. No other national or sub-national estimates of other forms of household-level DBM exist. Prior studies have focused on describing the population-level burden of malnutrition and its associated factors. Using nationally representative Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data, most prior studies aimed to identify the prevalence and factors associated with women's or children's underweight, overweight, and

obesity⁽⁷⁻¹¹⁾. Only one of these studies included adult men, and examined the population-level prevalence and correlates of adult underweight and overweight⁽¹¹⁾.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) framework on the drivers of DBM, DBM is attributable to a diverse set of biological, environmental, behavioural, and social and demographic determinants⁽¹²⁾. More recently, the biological determinants, such as epigenetics and early life nutrition, have been highlighted⁽¹³⁾. Given the lack of empirical studies of household-level DBM, its correlates in Ethiopia remain largely unknown. Farah et al. showed that socio-economic (i.e., low household wealth) and biological (i.e., male gender, older age, small birth size, and no vitamin A supplementation in the past 6 months) factors are associated with concurrent overweight and stunting in children 6-24 months of age⁽¹⁴⁾. Studies from other SSA countries have also found women's short stature, age, and education, and household size as socio-economic and demographic factors associated with concurrent child overweight and stunting⁽¹⁵⁾. With respect to other forms of household-level DBM, evidence is lacking. Only two studies from West Africa have identified socio-economic and demographic factors associated with overweight woman and stunted child^(16,17), and one study from Benin showed that socioeconomic status and dietary diversity were the primary associated factors of overweight woman and wasted or stunted child⁽¹⁸⁾. To our knowledge, no studies have examined the correlates of overweight woman and wasted child, and underweight woman and overweight child in SSA. Likewise, no studies on household-level DBM in SSA have included adult men. Further, behavioural (e.g., diet) and environmental (e.g., food supply and cost, or cultural and social aspects) factors associated with DBM are also understudied.

Therefore, we sought to describe household-level DBM and its associated factors among urban and rural Ethiopian households with men and women of reproductive age, and children <5 years of age. To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide estimates of household-level DBM including men, and to identify the associated factors of multiple forms of household-level DBM across urban and rural settings in Ethiopia. In addition, based on the associated factors we identified, we suggest some potential double-duty actions to address DBM in the country.

Methods

Data and participants

We used data from two cross-sectional studies conducted in an urban setting – the capital Addis Ababa (January-February 2018), and a rural setting - Kersa district (June-September 2019). The primary objectives of the two studies were to characterize protein source food production, access, and consumption, and to quantify the health and environmental impacts of optimizing Ethiopian diets. The two studies employed similar multistage sampling strategies, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and quantitative questionnaires. In Addis Ababa, five out of the 10 sub-cities into which Addis Ababa is subdivided were randomly selected at the first stage. Within each of the five randomly selected sub-cities, two districts were chosen at random at the second stage. Within each district, approximately 240 households were screened. Households with at least one woman of reproductive age (18-49 years) and a child 6-59 months of age were invited to participate in the study. If more than one woman of reproductive age lived in the household and was present at the time of the interview, one was randomly selected for the interview using a random number generator. If a selected woman had more than one child 6-59 months of age, one child was selected for the interview using a random number generator. The women we interviewed were the biological mother of the child (96%), grandmothers (1.9%), aunts (1.43%), or another female household member (0.58%). When present, the woman's adult male partner (≥ 18 years) was also interviewed. If he was not present, another adult man was interviewed, and if more than one man was present, one was randomly selected. Thus, the women and men we interviewed were not always the biological parents of the child, and the men were not always the partners/husbands of the interviewed women. A total of 1050 households participated in the Addis Ababa study.

Kersa is a predominantly rural agricultural district is Eastern Ethiopia. At the first stage, the 24 kebeles (lowest administrative unit) from the Kersa Demographic Surveillance and Health Research Centre (KDS-HRC) of Haramaya University⁽¹⁹⁾ were stratified into rural (N=21) and urban (N=3) kebeles. The three urban kebeles represent small towns, which have 24-h electricity supply⁽¹⁹⁾. Electricity supply was the only criterion used to classify Kersa kebeles into urban and rural. As compared to Addis Ababa, Kersa district is still considered rural given the limited availability of electricity and that most inhabitants are farmers ⁽¹⁹⁾. At the second stage, 10 out of the 21 rural kebeles and two out of the three urban kebeles were randomly selected. Households

with at least one woman of reproductive age (18-49 years old), a child 6-59 months of age, and an adult man (\geq 18 years) were randomly selected from the KDS-HRC database. Pregnant women were excluded from the study. If more than one target individual was present at the time of the interview, one was randomly selected using the same procedures as in the Addis Ababa sample. Most women (99.4%) we interviewed were the biological mothers of the child. However, since adult men could be randomly selected, they were not always the biological fathers of the interviewed children or the partner/husband of the interviewed woman. A total of 1197 households participated in the Kersa study. In both Addis Ababa and Kersa, the overall number of clusters at the first stage and the number of households at the second stage were based on logistical and financial constraints.

Identical quantitative household questionnaires were used in both studies to collect information on socio-economic and demographic characteristics, household food security, food supply shortages, food expenditures, and participants' diets. Trained fieldworkers directly assessed participants' height and weight. Both adults and children were barefoot and wore light clothing for the assessment. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg. Adult height and height for children 24-59 months of age was measured using a Seca stadiometer. Length of children <24 months of age was measured using a length board. Weight was measured using a Seca digital scale.

Participants' diet was assessed using a non-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), locally adapted from a semi-quantitative FFQ version validated for use among urban Tanzanian adults⁽²⁰⁾. Adult men and women were asked if they consumed each of 73 individual foods in the past 7 days, and if they had, they were then asked if they consumed the food in the past 24 hours. The FFQ included locally available foods and varieties, and an option to specify other foods. Portion sizes and frequencies of intake were not collected. Women reported on children's dietary intake.

Outcome measures

Adult body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. We categorized adults as underweight (BMI <18 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI \ge 18.5 kg/m² and BMI < 25 kg/m²), or overweight (BMI \ge 25 kg/m²)⁽²¹⁾. We calculated child length/height-for-age Z-score (HAZ) and length/height-for-weight Z-score (WHZ) using the

WHO Child Growth Standards⁽²²⁾. Stunting was defined as HAZ <-2 SD, wasting as WHZ <-2 SD, and overweight as WHZ \geq 2 SD.

Using these anthropometric indicators, we calculated 15 forms of household-level DBM encompassing most forms of malnutrition within the same individual, pairs of individuals, or multiple individuals that can be defined based on anthropometry. Within the same individual, we defined DBM as concurrent child overweight and stunting. Within pairs of individuals, we defined DBM as overweight woman or man and stunted or wasted child, underweight woman or man and overweight child, and overweight woman or man and underweight man or woman. Within households, we defined DBM as at least one overweight adult and wasted or stunted child, at least one underweight adult and overweight child, two overweight adults and wasted or stunted child, and two underweight adults and overweight child. For each DBM form we created binary indicators where 1=DBM form was present, and 0=otherwise.

Double burden of malnutrition associated factors

We considered 16 DBM factors grouped along the WHO drivers of DBM framework. This framework outlines the biological (e.g., epigenetics and early life experiences), environmental (e.g., food supply and systems, food portion size and cost, urban and built environment), behavioural (e.g., lifestyle and psychological factors), and socio-economic and demographic factors of DBM (e.g., poverty and food insecurity)⁽¹²⁾. The biological factors we included were child age and sex, and women's age. The environmental factors were the number of food supply shortages in the past year (range 0-13), distance to market (in km), monthly food expenditures as a proxy of food cost (total household expenditures on meat, fish, poultry, pulses, nuts and seeds, and fats and oils in the past month), religion (Christian Orthodox vs. non-Christian orthodox), and duration of residence (number of years living in Addis Ababa or Kersa). In Kersa, distance to market and food expenditures were only available for a subset of households and were therefore not considered as associated factors in this sample since doing so may introduce bias if the households with data on distance to market and food expenditures are systematically different from households without this data. Religion and duration of residence served as proxies for cultural and social practices. In Ethiopia, Orthodox Christians abstain from animal source foods and fats for 180 days throughout the year⁽²³⁾. Given the differential association between animal source foods consumption and overweight risk, e.g., higher egg, dairy or fish consumption does not increase overweight risk in adults, whereas higher red meat and processed

meat consumption does⁽²⁴⁾, we had no *a priori* hypotheses about the association between religion and household-level DBM.

Diet was the only behavioural factor we considered. We assessed women's dietary diversity using the minimum dietary diversity for women (MDD-W) indicator⁽²⁵⁾. We grouped foods into 10 non-overlapping food groups and summed them into a dietary diversity score (DDS-W, range 0-10). We categorized women as meeting MDD if they consumed at least five food groups (DDS \geq 5). MDD-W serves as a proxy for micronutrient adequacy⁽²⁶⁾. In the absence of similar indicators validated for use among adult men ≥ 18 years of age, we created the same indicators for dietary diversity and minimum dietary diversity. Children's dietary diversity was assessed using the WHO indicators for dietary diversity score (DDS, range 0-7) and minimum dietary diversity (MMD, defined as DDS \geq 4) ⁽²⁷⁾. Although not originally designed to assess diet in children older than 24 months of age, a recent study showed that both DDS and MDD can serve as adequate proxies for micronutrient intake in children 24-59 months of age⁽²⁸⁾. MDD showed 79% specificity and 56% sensitivity in correctly classifying children with probability of adequacy >80% for 11 micronutrients⁽²⁸⁾. Given this evidence and the absence of other diet indicators validated for use among children 24-59 months of age, we used the same indicators for all children 6-59 months of age. All diet indicators were based on a 24-hour recall. In secondary analyses, we recalculated all diet indicators based on a 7-day recall since one-quarter of Addis Ababa households reported that the day prior to the FFQ administration was a fasting day and thus the 24-hour recall may potentially underestimate dietary diversity in these households.

Finally, the socio-economic and demographic factors we included were household wealth, housing floor quality (finished vs. natural floor), sanitation, food security, household size, women's and men's education (primary or higher vs. no or incomplete primary), and women's employment (engaged vs. not engaged in an income generating activity). To assess household wealth, we derived wealth indices separately for the full Addis Ababa sample and the full Kersa sample using principal component analysis based on items representing housing quality and asset ownership (12 items in Addis Ababa and 10 items in Kersa). The wealth quartiles were defined separately for the full Addis Ababa sample and the full Kersa sample. We then created a binary indicator for whether a household belonged to the lowest wealth quartile or to a higher wealth quintile. Household sanitation was assessed using an indicator for whether the household had access to an improved latrine. Although housing floor quality and sanitation were included in the

wealth indices, we also considered them separately since unimproved sanitation is the second most important risk factor for child stunting in Ethiopia⁽²⁹⁾. Household food security was assessed using the Household Food Insecurity Access Scale⁽³⁰⁾.

Analytic samples

In both Addis Ababa and Kersa, we restricted the samples to households with available anthropometric measurements for all three individuals: woman of reproductive age (18-49 years), adult man (\geq 18 years), and child (6-59 months). In Addis Ababa, we excluded 392 households in which an adult man was not interviewed. We further excluded pregnant women (N=56) to harmonize the urban sample with the rural sample, which did not recruit pregnant women. Finally, 10 children with abnormal HAZ or WHZ scores (above or below 6 SD) were also excluded. The final analytic sample in Addis Ababa consisted of 592 households. In Kersa, 335 households where at least one household member was missing anthropometric data were excluded. The final analytic sample in Kersa was 862 households. **Supplemental Figures 1 and 2** provide a flow chart of how the analytic samples were derived.

In each sample, we compared household and women's demographic characteristics among households included in the analytic sample and those excluded using Wald tests. Differences were considered statistically significant at p<0.05. Overall, there were few differences between included and excluded households in either sample. Only one statistically significant difference was observed in Addis Ababa, where excluded households were significantly smaller than included households (data not shown). As a result, we did not expect the exclusion of households from either sample to bias our results.

Statistical analysis

We used generalized linear models to assess the univariate and multivariate relationships between household-level DBM forms and the biological, environmental, behavioural, and socioeconomic and demographic factors. DBM factors were investigated only for DBM forms prevalent in \geq 5% of households in either the Addis Ababa or Kersa sample. We estimated unadjusted odds ratios (uOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) from univariate models and adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and 95% CI from multivariate models. Since factors were selected *a priori* based on the WHO drivers of DBM framework, multivariate models included all factors regardless of whether they were statistically significant in univariate models. Where both a continuous and binary indicator were considered in univariate models (e.g., DDS and MDD), we

entered only the continuous indicator in the multivariate model to increase power. Our assessment of the biserial correlations between all the factors included in the multivariate model indicated no presence of multicollinearity, i.e., all correlations were between -0.44 and 0.52 in Addis Ababa and -0.35 and 0.43 in Kersa (data not shown). Missing values on any of the factors were imputed using mean cluster-level imputation. Standard errors were clustered at the lowest administrative level in each sample: woreda in Addis Ababa and kebele in Kersa. Associations were considered statistically significant at p<0.05. Given the exploratory nature of the analyses, we also present p-values corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini and Yekutieli method, which controls the false discovery rate under dependency ⁽³¹⁾. All analyses were performed using Stata 16⁽³²⁾.

Results

Characteristics of the two analytic samples are shown in **Table 1.** Overall, Addis Ababa households had better living conditions than Kersa households. Although most households in both samples were food secure, rural households experienced more food supply shortages in the past year than urban households. Generally, overnutrition was more prevalent in Addis Ababa, whereas undernutrition was more prevalent in Kersa. In Kersa, women's employment and religion were not considered as associated factors due to lack of variability in the sample: 99.3% of women were employed and only 3.4% were Orthodox Christians. The higher frequency of women's employment in Kersa relative to Addis Ababa was likely due to women being engaged in agricultural activities (given that Kersa is predominantly an agricultural district).

The combination of overweight adult and stunted child was the most prevalent form of household-level DBM in Addis Ababa (**Table 2**). Overweight woman and stunted child, and concurrent child overweight and stunting were also prevalent. The remaining forms of household-level DBM occurred in <5% of the sample, with many occurring in <10 households. In contrast, concurrent child overweight and stunting was the most prevalent form of household-level DBM in Kersa, affecting 11% of children. Overweight adult and stunted child was the only other form of DBM affecting $\geq5\%$ of households.

In Addis Ababa, older children were less likely to be concurrently overweight and stunted (uOR 0.96 (95% CI 0.94, 0.98)), whereas children of employed women, relative to unemployed, were more likely to be concurrently overweight and stunted 2.02 (95% CI 1.14, 3.56) (**Table 3A**). After correcting for multiple comparisons, only child age remained a significant factor. In

the multivariate model, child age and women's employment were similarly associated with concurrent child overweight and stunting (Table 4A). However, only women's employment was significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. In Kersa, more food supply shortages, women and men meeting MDD in the past 24 hours, and improved housing floor quality were associated with lower odds of concurrent child overweight and stunting in univariate models (Table 3B). In contrast, lower household wealth was associated with increased odds of this form of DBM (uOR 2.21 (95% CI 1.58, 3.09)). After correcting for multiple comparisons, men's MDD in the past 24 hours, household wealth, and housing floor quality remained significant associated factors. In the multivariate model, improved housing floor quality was associated with lower odds of concurrent child overweight and stunting, whereas low household wealth and access to an improved latrine were associated with higher odds (Table 4B). However, only housing floor quality was significant after the p-value correction for multiple hypotheses testing. In the secondary analyses using a 7-day recall period for all diet indicators, diet did not predict concurrent child overweight and stunting in Addis Ababa in neither the univariate nor the multivariate analyses (Supplemental Tables 1A and 2A). Women and men's DDS and MDD in the past 7 days were significant associated factors of concurrent overweight and stunting in Kersa in univariate analyses (though only women's DDS and MDD in the past 7 days remained significant after the multiple comparisons correction), but not in multivariate analyses (Supplemental Tables 1B 2B).

Older women's age, longer duration of residence in Addis Ababa, and larger household size were associated with higher odds of overweight woman and stunted child in Addis Ababa, though only the first two remained statistically significant after the multiple hypothesis correction (Table 3A). In the multivariate model, duration of residence remained a significant factor (Table 4A), though it was no longer significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. In contrast, in Kersa, we found no significant factors associated with overweight woman and stunted child in univariate models (Table 3B). In the multivariate model, older child's age was associated with higher odds of overweight woman and stunted child but did not remain significant after correcting for multiple hypothesis testing (Table 4B). In the secondary analyses using the 7-day recall diet indicators, higher men's dietary diversity was associated with higher odds of overweight woman and stunted child in the multivariate model for Addis Ababa (Supplemental Table 2A), but in the univariate model or in Kersa.

Lastly, the univariate factors associated with overweight adult and stunted child in Addis Ababa were the same as those of overweight woman and stunted child: older women's age, longer duration of residence in the city, and larger household size (Table 3A). Only women's age and duration of residence remained significant after adjustment for multiple comparisons. In the multivariate model, longer duration of residence in the city, religion, and larger household size were associated with higher odds of overweight adult and stunted child (Table 4A). No factors remained significant after correction for multiple comparisons. However, in the analyses using the 7-day diet recall, higher women's dietary diversity was associated with lower odds of overweight adult and stunted child, whereas higher men's dietary diversity was associated with higher odds of this DBM form (Supplemental Table 2A), though only the latter association remained statistically significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. In Kersa, older child age and higher number of food supply shortages were associated with increased odds of overweight adult and stunted child in univariate models, but estimates were no longer significant after correcting for multiple comparisons (Table 3B). Likewise, in the multivariate model, older child age and higher number of food supply shortages remained associated with higher odds of this outcome, but only prior to adjusting for multiple comparisons (Table 4B). None of these factors remained statistically significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

Discussion

We showed that similar forms of household-level DBM manifest in urban and rural Ethiopian households. Overweight adult and stunted child was the most prominent form of household-level DBM in Addis Ababa, followed by overweight woman and stunted child, and concurrent child overweight and stunting. Other forms of household-level DBM were virtually non-existent. In Kersa district, concurrent child overweight and stunting was most common affecting 11% of households, with other forms of household-level DBM affecting <5% of households. The primary factors associated with household-level DBM in Addis Ababa were longer duration of residence in the city, women's employment, and larger household sanitation were factors associated with higher prevalence of household-level DBM, whereas improved housing floor quality were factors associated with lower prevalence.

The high prevalence of concurrent child overweight and stunting in Kersa district is of note. Several explanations are plausible. First, studies based on the developmental origin of health and disease (DOHaD) theory have shown that foetal growth restriction, low birth weight, and being born small for gestational age (risk factors for childhood stunting⁽²⁹⁾) are associated with increased risk of childhood overweight and obesity^(33–35). Second, child stunting is associated with impaired fat oxidation and decreased energy expenditures, both of which predispose to developing obesity^(36,37). Third, Ethiopian diets, which are primarily cereal-based, high in carbohydrates, and low in protein, zinc, and vitamin A⁽³⁸⁾, could also help explain this high prevalence of concurrent child overweight and stunting. If children are receiving primarily energy-dense foods, this could help explain concurrent overweight (driven by high carbohydrate intake) and stunting (driven by low or inadequate micronutrient intake).

The household-level DBM prevalence we observed was much higher than the nationally representative *Lancet* estimates which showed that concurrent child overweight and stunting was the most prevalent form of household-level DBM at 2.93%⁽⁴⁾. Malnutrition levels in our samples were generally similar to those for the Addis Ababa region and rural settings⁽²⁾. The somewhat higher adult overweight prevalence and lower stunting prevalence in Addis Ababa and lower adult underweight prevalence in Kersa district (relative to national estimates) can help account for the differences between the nationally representative household-level DBM estimates and ours. Importantly, these differences in household-level DBM forms involving an overweight adult were more prevalent in the urban area, in agreement with evidence from SSA⁽⁶⁾ and Ethiopia⁽³⁹⁾.

The low prevalence of household-level DBM in Ethiopia can at least partially be attributed to more limited factors accelerating the global nutrition transition, e.g., transformation of the global retail food system, increased supply of packaged and processed foods, and global diet shifts towards increased consumption of sugar sweetened beverages (SSBs), sodium, and fats^(4,40). The prohibition of foreign direct investment in food retail has eliminated the role of multinational supermarkets and led to slower roll-out of modern food retailers in the country, relative to other LMICs⁽⁴¹⁾. Although the private retail sector in Ethiopia is growing in large urban settings, modern retail shops accounted for only 1-3% of cereal, fruit, vegetable, and processed foods sales in Addis Ababa⁽⁴¹⁾. The absence of multinational food retailers has likely slowed the spread of low-cost pre-packaged processed and ultra-processed unhealthy foods and beverages that drive the rise of DBM in other LMICs⁽⁴⁾. In addition, the food supply remains high in complex carbohydrates and low in sugars and unhealthy fats. The increase in palm oil

and milk as fat sources may be signalling the emergence of more highly processed foods. However, these changes are not yet representative of patterns exhibited by most LMICs that have experienced major shifts in food supply towards increasing fats and decreasing carbohydrates supply⁽⁴²⁾. Lastly, Ethiopian diets are still low in non-essential foods and beverages. Ethiopia has the lowest sodium⁽⁴³⁾ and trans fats⁽⁴⁴⁾ consumption levels in the world, and lower SSB consumption than other LMICs ⁽⁴⁵⁾. Consumption of ultra-processed foods in Addis Ababa is also low⁽⁴⁶⁾. In fact, Ethiopian diets are shifting towards high-value foods, e.g., more expensive cereals, animal source foods, fruits and vegetables, and enset (a root crop known as false banana)⁽⁴⁷⁾. All these factors together can help explain the low prevalence of household-level DBM in Ethiopia. However, many of these estimates of sodium, trans fat, and SSB consumption were derived from studies conducted nearly a decade before our data was collected. It is unclear how consumption trends have changed since then and how these changes may be associated with household-level DBM.

With respect to DBM factors, the only environmental factors associated with householdlevel DBM were duration of residence in Addis Ababa and food supply shortages in Kersa, both of which increased the odds of overweight adult and stunted child. The former likely worked by longer exposure to a relatively more obesogenic urban environment (albeit less obesogenic than other LMICs settings), whereas the latter by reducing consumption of nutritious foods. Of note is that despite the lower number of food supply shortages in Addis Ababa, food security was less prevalent in the Addis Ababa sample relative to the Kersa sample. This may be due to higher urban food prices: Addis Ababa residents likely purchase their food, whereas Kersa residents (who are primarily farmers) produce it. More work is needed to understand why distance to market was not associated with household-level DBM. Prior work in Ethiopia has shown that greater distance to market was associated with lower food consumption, higher food insecurity, and less diverse diets, but not with women's or children's anthropometric outcomes⁽⁴⁸⁾. However, this work was conducted in undernourished populations. In populations where overnutrition is more prevalent, greater distance to market could help reduce DBM by increasing energy expenditure. Future studies should be specifically designed to assess other aspects of the food environment hypothesised to determine DBM, such as food availability, prices, marketing and promotion⁽⁴⁹⁾.

Importantly, adult dietary diversity was positively associated with concurrent child overweight and stunting in Kersa district, though only in univariate analyses. Women's dietary diversity was an associated factor regardless of the recall period (both 24-hour and 7-day), whereas men's dietary diversity was an associated factor only in the primary analyses using a 24hour recall period. Although no other studies have documented the role of diet in householdlevel DBM in Ethiopia or SSA, our findings are generally in agreement with results from both high- and low-income settings indicating diverse diets rich in vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables and animal source foods are protective of stunting and can reduce the burden of dietrelated disease⁽⁴⁹⁾, including obesity. The homogenous household diets in Kersa imply that as adults increase their dietary diversity so do their children, which protects them from concurrent overweight and stunting. In contrast, men's dietary diversity was an associated factor for overweight woman/adult and stunted child in Addis Ababa, but only using a 7-day recall period. Several explanations for this association are plausible. Men might be allocated more nutritious foods within the household given their higher economic contribution (only 34% of women in Addis Ababa were employed). They might purchase and consume more nutritious foods outside the home, reducing household food budget and thus increasing malnutrition risk among other household members. Alternatively, a more diversified diet may be higher in energy and micronutrients⁽⁵⁰⁾, which could translate into higher likelihood of adult overweight. Of note is that we lacked data on intra-household food distribution, out-of-home food consumption, and not all men we interviewed were biological fathers of the children or the husband/partner of the woman. Thus, we can only speculate on the exact mechanisms through which adult diet may help reduce the odds of concurrent child overweight and stunting. Moreover, the men's dietary diversity indicator we used has not be validated for use among men and it might not adequately capture micronutrient intake. Future research should carefully assess the role of men's diet in household-level DBM.

Our results should be interpreted with several other caveats. First, the diet assessment did not collect information on portion size, frequency of food consumption, or out-of-home food consumption, all of which contribute to excess adiposity. The dietary diversity indicators we used are designed to assess maternal and child health, and have not been validated against non-communicable disease risk⁽⁵¹⁾. Their cultural appropriateness in Ethiopia has not been assessed either. Moreover, DDS and MDD have only been validated among Burkinabe children 24-59

months of $age^{(28)}$, and more work is needed to assess the validity of these measures in children 24-59 months of age in other settings. Second, our surveys were not explicitly designed to study DBM. We lacked data on other indicators used in household-level DBM definitions (e.g., anaemia, micronutrient deficiencies, diabetes⁽³⁾, hypertension, and dyslipidaemia), and other factors associated with DBM (e.g., early-life experiences, urban and built environment, physical activity⁽¹²⁾). Thus, we may be underestimating the burden of malnutrition in all its forms. Factors such as physical activity may be particularly relevant in urban settings, typically characterised by high availability of cheap, calorie-dense foods and reduced occupational physical activity and active transport⁽⁵²⁾. Third, our sample in Kersa district included both rural and urban kebeles. Although the entire district is considered rural, the urban kebeles are small towns with permanent electricity supply and it is possible that they differ from rural kebeles in ways that might be important for DBM. In addition, including these urban kebeles may limit the generalisability of our findings to other rural areas. Fourth, data were collected in different periods in the year: the dry season in Addis Ababa and the rainy season in Kersa district. Although adult and child diets appeared similar in our samples, it is possible that this seasonality influenced other DBM factors which we did not account for in our analyses. Finally, any analysis aiming to understand all the factors associated with household-level DBM will suffer from a problem of multiplicity. To address this issue, we presented both standard p-values and p-values corrected for multiple comparisons. However, by applying this correction we inevitably increase the risk of type II error (false negatives). Our selection of factors was based on the WHO framework on the drivers of DBM⁽¹²⁾, and we therefore can be confident that all factors included in our models are theoretically important. However, due to this problem of multiplicity, our small sample size, the low prevalence of some associated factors, and the low prevalence of household-level DBM, we were unable to determine the direction and magnitude of the associations in our samples with sufficient statistical certainty. These statistical issues are evidenced by the opposing associations between DBM, low household wealth, improved household sanitation, and improved housing floor. Although significantly negatively correlated, low household wealth and improved household sanitation were both associated with increased risk of concurrent child stunting and overweight in Kersa.

Nevertheless, our findings have implications for interventions to address both undernutrition and overnutrition. Despite the low household-level DBM prevalence, the co-

occurrence of undernutrition and overweight at the population level remains a problem as evidenced by the high prevalence of women's overweight in Addis Ababa (37.2%), high prevalence of child stunting in both Addis Ababa and Kersa (18.6% and 56.6%, respectively), and high prevalence of child underweight and wasting in Kersa (33.5% and 19%, respectively) we observed. Examining the associated factors with population-level undernutrition and overweight was beyond the scope of this article since multiple prior studies have assessed the national prevalence and associated factors of population-level DBM in Ethiopia⁽⁷⁻¹¹⁾. However, given the high population-level prevalence of both forms of malnutrition, double-duty actions, i.e., actions which simultaneously address multiple forms of malnutrition⁽⁵³⁾, should be targeted at the population-level to help alleviate the larger population-level burden of malnutrition. Further, our findings indicate that double-duty interventions should target women and children given the higher (albeit generally low) prevalence of household-level DBM involving these individuals. Several successful double-duty interventions⁽⁴⁹⁾ can help Ethiopia address DBM: breastfeeding promotion, complementary feeding education, social safety nets, nutritionsensitive agriculture, and food policy. Social behaviour change communication (SBCC) programs which have been shown to increased exclusive breastfeeding rates⁽⁵⁴⁾ should also include messaging to reduce maternal obesity and micronutrient deficiencies, which alter the biology of lactation⁽¹³⁾. Breastfeeding and complementary feeding education can also be included in the SBCC strategy of the Productive Safety Net Programme (PSNP). This safety net programme can further be leveraged to address DBM by redesigning the food transfer (e.g., to provide nutritious foods or earmark it for women and young children) and/or including messages on nutritious and healthy diets for the whole family. Likewise, the national homestead gardening programme already includes a SBCC strategy promoting breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices. Improving the take up (currently as low as 7.5% of target households in some areas⁽⁵⁵⁾) can also serve to address DBM through the SBCC strategy but also through the direct production of healthy and nutritious foods. Lastly, additional policy changes can help support DBM prevention efforts. Specifically, the elimination of promotion of breastfeeding substitutes and formula is paramount to bolster breastfeeding promotion efforts^(49,56,57).

Conclusion

We showed that concurrent child overweight and stunting, and overweight woman or adult and stunted child were the most prevalent forms of household-level DBM among urban and rural Ethiopian households. Environmental, socio-economic, and demographic factors emerged as the most prominent factors associated with these DBM forms. Despite the low household-level DBM prevalence we observed, there was a high burden of child undernutrition and maternal overweight at the population level. Interventions to address DBM should focus on women and young children at the population-level, who experienced the highest burden. Promising doubleduty actions include breastfeeding promotion, complementary feeding education, re-designing the food transfer of the national safety net programme, improving take up of the national homestead gardening programme, and food policy interventions. Ethiopia has already demonstrated strong political commitment towards reducing all forms of malnutrition, which features prominently in the country's strategic policies and plans. The National Nutrition Programme already outlines ways and initiatives to improve multisectoral coordination and implementation capacity⁽⁵⁸⁾, indicating that the political commitment and logistical, financial and implementation capacity for these double-duty interventions exists. Moving forward, DBM targets and double-duty initiatives should be explicitly included in national nutrition policies and programmes to help Ethiopia eliminate all forms malnutrition at the household- and populationlevel.

References

- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) (2020) GBD Compare Data Visualization. *Seattle, WA IHME, Univ. Washington, 2018.* Seattle, WA: IHME, University of Washington, 2018: ; http://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare (accessed September 2019).
- 2. Central Statistical Agency (CSA) [Ethiopia] & ICF (2016) *Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: CSA and ICF: .
- 3. Davis JN, Oaks BM & Engle-Stone R (2020) The Double Burden of Malnutrition: A Systematic Review of Operational Definitions. *Curr. Dev. Nutr.* **4**.
- Popkin BM, Corvalan C & Grummer-Strawn LM (2020) Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality. *Lancet* 395, 65–74. World Health Organization. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
- 5. Bixby H, Bentham J, Zhou B, et al. (2019) Rising rural body-mass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic in adults. *Nature* **569**, 260–264.
- Jones AD, Acharya Y & Galway LP (2016) Urbanicity Gradients Are Associated with the Household- and Individual-Level Double Burden of Malnutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa. *J. Nutr.* 146, 1257–1267.
- 7. Yeshaw Y, Kebede SA, Liyew AM, et al. (2020) Determinants of overweight/obesity among reproductive age group women in Ethiopia: multilevel analysis of Ethiopian demographic and health survey. *BMJ Open* **10**, e034963.
- 8. Tebekaw Y, Teller C & Colón-Ramos U (2014) The burden of underweight and overweight among women in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health* **14**, 1126.
- 9. Tareke AA & Abate MG (2020) Nutritional paradox in Ethiopian women: Multilevel multinomial analysis. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN* **36**, 60–68.
- Berhane HY, Jirström M, Abdelmenan S, et al. (2020) Social Stratification, Diet Diversity and Malnutrition among Preschoolers: A Survey of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Nutrients* 12, 712.
- Gutema BT, Chuka A, Kondale M, et al. (2020) The Burden of Malnutrition among Adults Residing in Arba Minch Health and Demographic Surveillance Site (HDSS): A WHO STEPS Survey. J. Nutr. Metab. 2020, 1–9.

- 12. World Health Organization (2017) The double burden of malnutrition: policy brief. 1–10.
- Wells JC, Sawaya AL, Wibaek R, et al. (2019) The double burden of malnutrition: aetiological pathways and consequences for health. *Lancet* 6736, 1–14. World Health Organization. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
- 14. Farah AM, Nour TY, Endris BS, et al. (2021) Concurrence of stunting and overweight/obesity among children: Evidence from Ethiopia. *PLoS One* **16**, e0245456.
- Keino S, Plasqui G, Ettyang G, et al. (2014) Determinants of Stunting and Overweight among Young Children and Adolescents in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 35, 167– 178.
- 16. Dembélé B, Sossa Jérôme C, Saizonou J, et al. (2018) Coexistence du surpoids ou obésité et retard de croissance dans les ménages du Sud-ouest Bénin. *Sante Publique (Paris).* 30, 115.
- Senbanjo IO, Senbanjo CO, Afolabi WA, et al. (2019) Co-existence of maternal overweight and obesity with childhood undernutrition in rural and urban communities of Lagos State, Nigeria. *Acta Biomed.* 90, 266–274.
- 18. Deleuze Ntandou Bouzitou G, Fayomi B & Delisle H [Child malnutrition and maternal overweight in same households in poor urban areas of Benin]. *Sante* **15**, 263–70.
- 19. Assefa N, Oljira L, Baraki N, et al. (2016) HDSS Profile: The Kersa Health and Demographic Surveillance System. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **45**, 94–101.
- Zack RM, Irema K, Kazonda P, et al. (2018) Validity of an FFQ to measure nutrient and food intakes in Tanzania. *Public Health Nutr.* 21, 1–10.
- World Health Organization (2000) Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic.
 Report of a WHO consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 894.
- 22. World Health Organization (2006) *The WHO Child Growth Standards*. World Health Organization.
- 23. Zellelew TB (2014) Meat abstinence and its positive environmental effect: Examining the fasting etiquettes of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church. *Crit. Res. Relig.* **2**, 134–146.
- Schlesinger S, Neuenschwander M, Schwedhelm C, et al. (2019) Food Groups and Risk of Overweight, Obesity, and Weight Gain: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *Adv. Nutr.* 10, 205–218.
- 25. Martin-Prevel Y, Arimond M, Allemand P, et al. (2017) Development of a Dichotomous

Indicator for Population-Level Assessment of Dietary Diversity in Women of Reproductive Age. *Curr. Dev. Nutr.* **1**, cdn.117.001701.

- 26. FAO and FHI 360 (2016) Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women: A Guide to Measurement. Rome, FAO: .
- 27. World Health Organization, UNICEF, USAID, et al. (2010) *Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: part 2 measurement*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 28. Diop L, Becquey E, Turowska Z, et al. (2021) Standard Minimum Dietary Diversity Indicators for Women or Infants and Young Children Are Good Predictors of Adequate Micronutrient Intakes in 24–59-Month-Old Children and Their Nonpregnant Nonbreastfeeding Mothers in Rural Burkina Faso. J. Nutr. 151, 412–422.
- Danaei G, Andrews KG, Sudfeld CR, et al. (2016) Risk Factors for Childhood Stunting in 137 Developing Countries: A Comparative Risk Assessment Analysis at Global, Regional, and Country Levels. *PLOS Med.* 13, e1002164 [Tumwine JK, editor].
- 30. Coates J, Swindale A & Bilinsky P (2007) Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for measurement of food access: indicator guide (v. 3). Washington, DC: FHI 360/FANTA.: .
- 31. Benjamini Y & Yekutieli D (2001) The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Ann. Stat.* **29**, 1165–1188.
- 32. StataCorp L (2019) Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: .
- 33. Barouki R, Gluckman PD, Grandjean P, et al. (2012) Developmental origins of noncommunicable disease: Implications for research and public health. *Environ. Heal.* **11**, 42.
- 34. Oken E & Gillman MW (2003) Fetal Origins of Obesity. Obes. Res. 11, 496–506.
- 35. Hoffman DJ, Reynolds RM & Hardy DB (2017) Developmental origins of health and disease: current knowledge and potential mechanisms. *Nutr. Rev.* **75**, 951–970.
- 36. Hoffman DJ, Sawaya AL, Verreschi I, et al. (2000) Why are nutritionally stunted children at increased risk of obesity? Studies of metabolic rate and fat oxidation in shantytown children from São Paulo, Brazil. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 72, 702–707.
- 37. Sawaya AL & Roberts S (2003) Stunting and future risk of obesity: principal physiological mechanisms. *Cad. Saude Publica* **19**, S21–S28.
- 38. Ethiopian Public Health Institute (2013) Ethiopia National Food Consumption Survey.

Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: .

- Dagnew GW & Asresie MB (2021) Comparative assessment of overweight/obesity among rural and urban reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: Evidence from a cross-sectional 2016 national survey. *Nutr. Health* 27, 221–230.
- 40. Popkin BM (2017) Relationship between shifts in food system dynamics and acceleration of the global nutrition transition. *Nutr. Rev.* **75**, 73–82.
- 41. Assefa T, Abebe G, Lamoot I, et al. (2016) Urban food retailing and food prices in Africa: the case of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *J. Agribus. Dev. Emerg. Econ.* **6**, 90–109.
- 42. Sheehy T, Carey E, Sharma S, et al. (2019) Trends in energy and nutrient supply in Ethiopia: a perspective from FAO food balance sheets. *Nutr. J.* **18**, 46.
- Powles J, Fahimi S, Micha R, et al. (2013) Global, regional and national sodium intakes in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis of 24 h urinary sodium excretion and dietary surveys worldwide. *BMJ Open* 3, e003733.
- Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, et al. (2014) Global, regional, and national consumption levels of dietary fats and oils in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis including 266 country-specific nutrition surveys. *BMJ* 348, g2272–g2272.
- 45. Singh GM, Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, et al. (2015) Global, Regional, and National Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages, Fruit Juices, and Milk: A Systematic Assessment of Beverage Intake in 187 Countries. *PLoS One* 10, e0124845.
- Melesse MB, van den Berg M, de Brauw A, et al. (2019) Understanding urban consumers' food choice behavior in Ethiopia: Promoting demand for healthy foods. Washington, DC and Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and Ethiopian Development Research Institute (EDRI).
- Worku IH, Dereje M, Minten B, et al. (2017) Diet transformation in Africa: the case of Ethiopia. *Agric. Econ.* 48, 73–86.
- Stifel D & Minten B (2017) Market Access, Well-being, and Nutrition: Evidence from Ethiopia. World Dev. 90, 229–241.
- 49. Hawkes C, Ruel MT, Salm L, et al. (2019) Double-duty actions: seizing programme and policy opportunities to address malnutrition in all its forms. *Lancet* 6736. World Health Organization. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
- 50. Ponce X, Ramirez E & Delisle H (2006) A More Diversified Diet among Mexican Men

May Also Be More Atherogenic. J. Nutr. 136, 2921–2927.

- Miller V, Webb P, Micha R, et al. (2020) Defining diet quality: a synthesis of dietary quality metrics and their validity for the double burden of malnutrition. *Lancet Planet*. *Heal.* 4, e352–e370.
- Ford ND, Patel SA & Narayan KMV (2017) Obesity in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Burden, Drivers, and Emerging Challenges. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* 38, 145– 164.
- 53. Hawkes C, Ruel M, Wells JC, et al. (2020) The double burden of malnutrition—further perspective Authors' reply. *Lancet* **396**, 815–816.
- 54. Kim SS, Rawat R, Mwangi EM, et al. (2016) Exposure to large-scale social and behavior change communication interventions is associated with improvements in infant and young child feeding practices in Ethiopia. *PLoS One* **11**, 1–18.
- Hirvonen K & Headey D (2018) Can governments promote homestead gardening at scale? Evidence from Ethiopia. *Glob. Food Sec.* 19, 40–47.
- 56. Jaacks LM, Kavle J, Perry A, et al. (2017) Programming maternal and child overweight and obesity in the context of undernutrition: current evidence and key considerations for low- and middle-income countries. *Public Health Nutr.* 20, 1286–1296.
- 57. World Health Organization (2017) Double-duty actions for nutrition. 1–10.
- 58. GFDRE (2016) *National Nutrition Programme 2016–2020*. Addis Ababa: Government of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (GFDRE).

| | Addis Ab | aba | 17 | | | | |
|---|------------------|------|------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | (N=592 | 2) | Kersa (N=862 |) | | | |
| | Mean or N (%) | SD | Mean or N (%) | SD | | | |
| lousehold characteristics | | | | | | | |
| Size | 4.6 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 3.1 | | | |
| In poorest wealth quintile | 136 (23%) | | 306 (35.5%) | | | | |
| Has parquet/polished floor | 370 (62.5%) | | 49 (5.7%) | | | | |
| Has access to an improved latrine | 484 (81.8%) | | 61 (7.1%) | | | | |
| Is food secure | 369 (62.3%) | | 742 (86.1%) | | | | |
| Number of food supply shortages | | | | | | | |
| experienced | 1.0 | 2.8 | 11.7 | 2.7 | | | |
| Food expenditures (Ethiopian Birr) | 1230 | 1096 | - | | | | |
| Distance to market (km) | 2.2 | 6.2 | - | | | | |
| Voman's characteristics | | | | | | | |
| Age (in years) | 30.1 | 5.7 | 31.0 | 6.2 | | | |
| Has primary education or higher | 372 (62.8%) | | 333 (38.6%) | | | | |
| Is an Orthodox Christian | 441 (74.5%) | | 29 (3.4%) | | | | |
| Woman is engaged in an income | | | | | | | |
| generating activity | 200 (33.8%) | | 856 (99.3%) | | | | |
| Duration of residence (in years) | 19.3 | 10.9 | 31.7 | 7.8 | | | |
| Body mass index (BMI) kg/m ² | 24.0 | 4.6 | 21.0 | 2.8 | | | |
| BMI category | | | | | | | |
| Underweight (<18 kg/m ²) | 57 (9.6%) | | 143 (16.6%) | | | | |
| Normal weight ($\geq 18 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and < 25 | | | | | | | |
| kg/m^2) | 315 (53.2%) | | 653 (75.8%) | | | | |
| Overweight (≥25 kg/m ²) | 220 (37.2%) | | 66 (7.7%) | | | | |
| Dietary diversity score (DDS, range 0- | | | | | | | |
| 10) in the past 24 hours | 3.7 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 1.4 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 1 Characteristics of the households included in the Addis Ababa and Kersa samples

| Minimum dietary diversity (DDS \geq 5) | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| in the past 24 hours | 157 (26.5%) | | 222 (25.8%) | |
| Man's characteristics | | | | |
| Has primary education or higher | 423 (71.5%) | | 376 (43.6%) | |
| Body mass index (BMI) kg/m ² | 23.0 | 3.3 | 21.1 | 3.0 |
| BMI category | | | | |
| Underweight (<18 kg/m ²) | 49 (8.3%) | | 124 (14.4%) | |
| Normal weight ($\geq 18 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and < 25 | | | | |
| kg/m ²) | 395 (66.7%) | | 687 (79.7%) | |
| Overweight ($\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) | 148 (25%) | | 51 (5.9%) | |
| DDS (range 0-10) in the past 24 hours | 3.7 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| Minimum dietary diversity (DDS \geq 5) | | | | |
| in the past 24 hours | 143 (24.2%) | | 170 (19.9%) | |
| Child's characteristics | | | | |
| Age (in months) | 29.0 | 14.9 | 30.2 | 16.1 |
| Female | 276 (46.6%) | | 399 (46.3%) | |
| Length/height-for-age Z-score WHZ) | -0.7 | 1.6 | -2.1 | 2.5 |
| Stunted (WHZ <- 2 SD) | 110 (18.6%) | | 488 (56.6%) | |
| Weight-for-age Z-score (WAZ) | -0.1 | 1.3 | -1.4 | 1.7 |
| Underweight (WAZ <-2 SD) | 29 (4.9%) | | 289 (33.5%) | |
| Weight-for-length/height Z-score | | | | |
| (WHZ) | 0.3 | 1.5 | -0.2 | 2.2 |
| Wasted (WHZ <-2 SD) | 25 (4.2%) | | 164 (19%) | |
| Overweight (WHZ ≥ 2 SD) | 69 (11.7%) | | 121 (14%) | |
| DDS (range 0-7) in the past 24 hours | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.4 |
| Minimum dietary diversity (DDS \geq 4) | | | | |
| in the past 24 hours | 165 (27.9%) | | 314 (36.5%) | |

| | | А | ddis Aba | aba (N=592) | | Kersa (I | N=862) |
|---|---------------------------------|----|----------|---------------|----|----------|---------------|
| | National estimates ^a | N | % | (95% CI) | Ν | % | (95% CI) |
| Concurrent child overweight and stunting | 2.93% | 22 | 3.72 | (2.19, 5.24) | 99 | 11.48 | (9.35, 13.62) |
| Overweight woman and wasted child | 0.47% | 10 | 1.69 | (0.65, 2.73) | 13 | 1.51 | (0.69, 2.32) |
| Overweight woman and stunted child | 1.59% | 42 | 7.09 | (5.02, 9.17) | 24 | 2.78 | (1.68, 3.88) |
| Underweight woman and overweight child | 0.74% | 4 | 0.68 | (0.01, 1.33) | 18 | 2.09 | (1.13, 3.04) |
| Overweight man and wasted child | | 10 | 1.69 | (0.65, 2.73) | 7 | 0.81 | (0.21, 1.41) |
| Overweight man and stunted child | | 24 | 4.05 | (2.46, 5.65) | 25 | 2.90 | (1.78, 4.02) |
| Underweight man and overweight child | | 4 | 0.68 | (0.01, 1.33) | 14 | 1.62 | (0.78, 2.47) |
| At least one overweight adult and wasted child | | 15 | 2.53 | (1.26, 3.80) | 19 | 2.20 | (1.22, 3.19) |
| At least one overweight adult and stunted child | | 55 | 9.29 | (6.95, 11.63) | 44 | 5.10 | (3.63, 6.58) |
| At least one underweight adult and overweight | | | | | | | |
| child | | 7 | 1.18 | (0.31, 2.05) | 25 | 2.90 | (1.78, 4.02) |
| Overweight woman, underweight man, and no | | | | | | | |
| child stunting or wasting | | 5 | 0.84 | (0.11, 1.58) | 1 | 0.12 | (-0.11, 0.34) |
| Underweight woman, overweight man, and no | | | | | | | |
| child stunting or wasting | | 7 | 1.18 | (0.31, 2.06) | 1 | 0.12 | (-0.11, 0.34) |
| Two overweight adults and wasted child | | 5 | 0.84 | (0.11, 1.58) | 1 | 0.12 | (-0.11, 0.34) |
| Two overweight adults and stunted child | | 11 | 1.86 | (0.77, 2.95) | 5 | 0.58 | (0.07, 1.09) |
| Two underweight adults and overweight child | | 1 | 0.17 | (-0.16, 0.50) | 7 | 0.81 | (0.21, 1.41) |

^a Nationally representative estimates based on the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. Only estimates for the first four forms of household-level malnutrition available ⁽⁴⁾.

Table 3A Univariate factors associated with household-level forms of double burden of malnutrition in Addis Ababa

| | Concurrent child overweight and | | | | Ove | rweight wo | man an | d stunted | At least one overweight adult and | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|-----|------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|------|--------------------|--|
| | | stu | nting | | | ch | nild | | stunted child | | | | |
| | β | 95% CI | p- | correcte | β | 95% CI | p- | correcte | β | 95% CI | p- | correcte | |
| | or | | value | d | or | | valu | d p- | or | | valu | d p- | |
| | | | | p- | OR | | e | value ^a | OR | | e | value ^a | |
| | | | | value ^a | | | | | | | | | |
| Biological | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.9 | 0.94, | < 0.00 | | 1.0 | 0.99, | 0.16 | | 1.0 | 0.99, | 0.33 | | |
| Child age (in months) | 6 | 0.98 | 1 | < 0.001 | 1 | 1.03 | 4 | 0.672 | 1 | 1.02 | 1 | 0.826 | |
| | 1.3 | 0.56, | | | 0.9 | 0.37, | 0.89 | | 1.1 | 0.51, | 0.78 | | |
| Child is female | 9 | 3.45 | 0.476 | 0.924 | 4 | 2.37 | 9 | 0.950 | 2 | 2.46 | 7 | 0.950 | |
| | 0.9 | 0.90, | | | 1.0 | 1.03, | 0.00 | | 1.0 | 1.03, | 0.00 | | |
| Woman's age (in years) | 5 | 1.01 | 0.087 | 0.479 | 9 | 1.14 | 1 | 0.017 | 7 | 1.11 | 1 | 0.017 | |
| Environmental | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.0 | 0.92, | | | 1.0 | 0.97, | 0.26 | | 1.0 | 0.97, | 0.38 | | |
| Food supply shortage | 2 | 1.13 | 0.713 | 0.950 | 3 | 1.10 | 1 | 0.826 | 2 | 1.08 | 4 | 0.826 | |
| | 0.8 | 0.58, | | | 0.9 | 0.84, | 0.15 | | 0.9 | 0.94, | 0.60 | | |
| Distance to market (in km) | 0 | 1.09 | 0.161 | 0.671 | 3 | 1.03 | 7 | 0.672 | 9 | 1.04 | 1 | 0.950 | |
| Food expenditures (in | 1.0 | 1.00, | | | 1.0 | 1.00, | 0.93 | | 1.0 | 1.00, | 0.69 | | |
| Ethiopian birr) | 0 | 1.00 | 0.671 | 0.950 | 0 | 1.00 | 9 | 0.950 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.950 | |
| Woman is an Orthodox | 0.7 | 0.17, | | | 1.4 | 0.69, | 0.31 | | 1.6 | 0.72, | 0.24 | | |
| Christian | 2 | 3.04 | 0.659 | 0.950 | 9 | 3.24 | 0 | 0.826 | 0 | 3.55 | 6 | 0.826 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Accepte | ed manu | Iscript | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|-------|
| Duration of residence (in | 1.0 | 0.96, | | | 1.0 | 1.02, | 0.00 | | 1.0 | 1.01, | 0.00 | |
| years) | 0 | 1.03 | 0.856 | 0.950 | 6 | 1.10 | 1 | 0.017 | 4 | 1.07 | 3 | 0.040 |
| Behavioural | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Woman's dietary diversity | 0.9 | 0.71, | | | 0.9 | 0.81, | 0.92 | | 1.0 | 0.90, | 0.54 | |
| score in the past 24 hours | 1 | 1.17 | 0.449 | 0.898 | 9 | 1.21 | 8 | 0.950 | 5 | 1.22 | 3 | 0.950 |
| Woman's minimum dietary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diversity in the past 24 | 1.0 | 0.42, | | | 0.7 | 0.39, | 0.35 | | 1.0 | 0.62, | 0.87 | |
| hours | 4 | 2.59 | 0.932 | 0.950 | 4 | 1.40 | 5 | 0.826 | 4 | 1.75 | 2 | 0.950 |
| Man's dietary diversity | 0.8 | 0.69, | | | 0.8 | 0.77, | 0.07 | | 0.9 | 0.82, | 0.21 | |
| score in the past 24 hours | 6 | 1.06 | 0.162 | 0.672 | 8 | 1.01 | 1 | 0.469 | 2 | 1.05 | 0 | 0.770 |
| Man's minimum dietary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diversity in the past 24 | 0.4 | 0.22, | | | 0.8 | 0.38, | 0.68 | | 0.8 | 0.51, | 0.58 | |
| hours | 8 | 1.09 | 0.079 | 0.474 | 5 | 1.90 | 6 | 0.950 | 6 | 1.46 | 8 | 0.950 |
| Child's dietary diversity | 1.0 | 0.76, | | | 0.9 | 0.79, | 0.66 | | 1.0 | 0.89, | 0.58 | |
| score in the past 24 hours | 2 | 1.37 | 0.888 | 0.950 | 6 | 1.16 | 9 | 0.950 | 5 | 1.23 | 9 | 0.950 |
| Child's minimum dietary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diversity in the past 24 | 1.5 | 0.64, | | | 0.9 | 0.46, | 0.79 | | 1.0 | 0.61, | 0.81 | |
| hours | 0 | 3.56 | 0.354 | 0.826 | 1 | 1.82 | 5 | 0.950 | 7 | 1.88 | 9 | 0.950 |
| Socio-economic and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| demographic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 | 0.36, | | | 0.7 | 0.29, | 0.61 | | 0.9 | 0.44, | 0.84 | |
| Low household wealth | 7 | 4.53 | 0.713 | 0.950 | 8 | 2.10 | 7 | 0.950 | 3 | 1.95 | 6 | 0.950 |
| | 1.3 | 0.45, | | | 1.3 | 0.68, | 0.38 | | 1.5 | 0.83, | 0.17 | |
| High housing floor quality | 0 | 3.78 | 0.633 | 0.950 | 7 | 2.76 | 4 | 0.826 | 2 | 2.76 | 3 | 0.672 |

| | | | | Accepte | ed manu | iscript | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|-------|
| Household has access to | 0.5 | 0.20, | | | 1.1 | 0.44, | 0.80 | | 1.5 | 0.62, | 0.33 | |
| improved latrines | 8 | 1.69 | 0.320 | 0.826 | 2 | 2.91 | 8 | 0.950 | 9 | 4.07 | 5 | 0.826 |
| | 0.8 | 0.38, | | | 0.9 | 0.53, | 0.95 | | 0.8 | 0.58, | 0.28 | |
| Household is food secure | 7 | 1.96 | 0.734 | 0.950 | 8 | 1.81 | 0 | 0.950 | 3 | 1.17 | 7 | 0.826 |
| | 1.0 | 0.84, | | | 1.2 | 1.07, | 0.00 | | 1.2 | 1.06, | 0.00 | |
| Household size | 2 | 1.23 | 0.852 | 0.950 | 6 | 1.48 | 5 | 0.055 | 6 | 1.49 | 8 | 0.075 |
| Woman has primary | 1.2 | 0.55, | | | 0.8 | 0.31, | 0.77 | | 0.9 | 0.42, | 0.91 | |
| education or higher | 8 | 2.97 | 0.568 | 0.950 | 6 | 2.42 | 6 | 0.950 | 5 | 2.18 | 0 | 0.950 |
| Man has primary education | 1.8 | 0.96, | | | 0.7 | 0.29, | 0.43 | | 0.6 | 0.30, | 0.32 | |
| or higher | 3 | 3.49 | 0.065 | 0.469 | 0 | 1.70 | 1 | 0.889 | 7 | 1.49 | 7 | 0.826 |
| Woman is engaged in an | 2.0 | 1.14, | | | 1.3 | 0.67, | 0.38 | | 1.0 | 0.58, | 0.90 | |
| income generating activity | 2 | 3.56 | 0.016 | 0.132 | 6 | 2.76 | 8 | 0.826 | 4 | 1.86 | 0 | 0.950 |

^a p-value corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Yekutieli method to control the false discovery rate.

Table 3B Univariate factors associated with household-level forms of double burden of malnutrition in Kersa

| | | Concurren | nt child o | verweight | | Overwe | nan and | At least one overweight | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------|------------|----------------------|------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|------|---------|----------|----------------------|
| | | aı | nd stuntir | ıg | | stu | inted chi | ld | | adult a | nd stunt | ed child |
| | βor | 95% CI | p- | corrected | β or | 95% CI | p- | corrected | β or | 95% CI | p- | corrected |
| | OR | | value | p-value ^a | OR | | value | p-value ^a | OR | | value | p-value ^a |
| Biological | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0.99, | | | | | | | | 1.01, | | |
| Child age (in months) | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.687 | 0.860 | 1.02 | 1.00, 1.03 | 0.063 | 0.425 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 0.008 | 0.108 |
| | | 0.75, | | | | | | | | 0.76, | | |
| Child is female | 1.32 | 2.35 | 0.338 | 0.859 | 1.16 | 0.60, 2.24 | 0.652 | 0.859 | 1.28 | 2.17 | 0.350 | 0.859 |
| | | 0.95, | | | | | | | | 0.92, | | |
| Woman's age (in years) | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.706 | 0.860 | 0.96 | 0.88, 1.06 | 0.434 | 0.859 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 0.324 | 0.859 |
| Environmental | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 0.77, | | | | | | | | 1.01, | | |
| Food supply shortage | 0.87 | 0.98 | 0.024 | 0.259 | 1.12 | 0.89, 1.42 | 0.344 | 0.859 | 1.20 | 1.42 | 0.034 | 0.278 |
| Duration of residence (in | | 0.99, | | | | | | | | 0.93, | | |
| years) | 1.03 | 1.07 | 0.210 | 0.859 | 0.99 | 0.93, 1.05 | 0.640 | 0.859 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 0.403 | 0.859 |
| Behavioural | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Woman's dietary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diversity score in the past | | 0.78, | | | | | | | | 0.76, | | |
| 24 hours | 0.91 | 1.06 | 0.230 | 0.859 | 0.94 | 0.61, 1.43 | 0.764 | 0.860 | 1.09 | 1.57 | 0.621 | 0.859 |
| Woman's minimum | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| dietary diversity in the | | 0.38, | | | | | | | | 0.58, | | |
| past 24 hours | 0.61 | 0.97 | 0.036 | 0.278 | 0.96 | 0.36, 2.56 | 0.930 | 0.961 | 1.36 | 3.18 | 0.473 | 0.859 |
| Man's dietary diversity | | 0.82, | | | | | | | | 0.70, | | |
| score in the past 24 hours | 0.92 | 1.02 | 0.114 | 0.616 | 0.93 | 0.61, 1.40 | 0.720 | 0.860 | 0.99 | 1.10 | 0.938 | 0.961 |
| Man's minimum dietary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diversity in the past 24 | | 0.25, | | | | | | | | 0.32, | | |
| hours | 0.42 | 0.73 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 0.80 | 0.20, 3.29 | 0.758 | 0.860 | 1.04 | 3.34 | 0.950 | 0.961 |
| Child's dietary diversity | 0.92 | 0.74, | 0.484 | 0.859 | 0.92 | 0.58, 1.46 | 0.720 | 0.860 | 1.02 | 0.74, | 0.910 | 0.961 |

| | | | | | 1 | I I | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|-------|---------|---------|------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| score in the past 24 hours | | 1.06 | | | | | | | | 1.40 | | |
| Child's minimum dietary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| diversity in the past 24 | | 0.30, | | | | | | | | 0.51, | | |
| hours | 0.62 | 1.29 | 0.203 | 0.859 | 0.71 | 0.24, 2.11 | 0.538 | 0.859 | 1.10 | 2.39 | 0.810 | 0.893 |
| Socio-economic and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| demographic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1.58, | | | | | | | | 0.50, | | |
| Low household wealth | 2.21 | 3.09 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 1.31 | 0.50, 3.41 | 0.586 | 0.859 | 1.27 | 3.24 | 0.614 | 0.859 |
| High housing floor | | 0.21, | | | | | | | | 0.34, | | |
| quality | 0.31 | 0.47 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 1.53 | 0.31, 7.41 | 0.599 | 0.859 | 1.72 | 8.77 | 0.517 | 0.859 |
| Household has access to | | 0.55, | | | | | | | | 0.36, | | |
| improved latrines | 0.83 | 1.26 | 0.378 | 0.859 | 1.92 | 0.39, 9.38 | 0.421 | 0.859 | 1.74 | 8.54 | 0.494 | 0.859 |
| | | 0.24, | | | | | | | | 0.35, | | |
| Household is food secure | 0.70 | 1.97 | 0.495 | 0.859 | 0.61 | 0.20, 1.81 | 0.370 | 0.859 | 1.03 | 3.03 | 0.961 | 0.961 |
| | | 0.92, | | | | | | | | 0.86, | | |
| Household size | 0.98 | 1.05 | 0.568 | 0.859 | 1.03 | 0.93, 1.13 | 0.606 | 0.859 | 0.98 | 1.11 | 0.750 | 0.860 |
| Woman has primary | | 0.53, | | | | | | | | 0.85, | | |
| education or higher | 0.81 | 1.24 | 0.329 | 0.859 | 1.35 | 0.49, 3.72 | 0.558 | 0.859 | 1.97 | 4.58 | 0.114 | 0.616 |
| Man has primary | | 0.48, | | | | | | | | 0.67, | | |
| education or higher | 0.78 | 1.27 | 0.317 | 0.859 | 1.54 | 0.56, 4.27 | 0.403 | 0.859 | 1.75 | 4.56 | 0.255 | 0.859 |

^a p-value corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Yekutieli method to control the false discovery rate.

Concurrent child overweight and Overweight woman and stunted Overweight adult and stunted child stunting child βor 95% CI βor ß or 95% CI pcorrected 95% CI pcorrected pcorrected OR p-value^a OR p-value^a OR value p-value^a value value **Biological** 0.99, 0.98, Child age (in months) 0.96 0.93, 0.99 1.03 0.253 0.005 0.095 1.01 0.753 1.00 1.02 0.895 0.912 0.38, 0.49, Child is female 1.42 0.52, 3.87 2.94 0.912 0.912 3.09 0.661 0.488 0.835 1.09 1.23 0.874 0.97, 0.98, Woman's age (in years) 0.95 0.89, 1.02 0.140 0.783 0.320 0.725 1.02 1.07 0.406 1.02 1.06 0.760 Environmental 0.97, 0.94. Food supply shortage 1.02 0.91, 1.13 0.881 1.04 1.11 0.299 0.753 1.01 1.07 0.875 0.912 0.766 Distance to market (in 0.86, 0.95, 0.78 0.50, 1.22 0.94 1.02 0.725 km) 0.272 0.753 0.139 1.00 1.04 0.855 0.912 Food expenditures (in 1.00. 1.00. Ethiopian birr) 1.00, 1.00 0.835 0.835 1.00 0.571 1.00 1.00 0.557 1.00 1.00 0.211 0.753 Woman is an Orthodox 0.76, 1.01. 0.66 0.17.2.50 0.753 3.85 Christian 0.539 0.835 1.47 2.85 0.256 1.97 0.047 0.447 Duration of residence (in 1.02, 1.00, years) 0.98, 1.04 0.095 1.01 0.531 0.835 1.05 1.09 0.004 1.03 1.06 0.041 0.447 **Behavioural** Woman's dietary diversity score in the past 0.83, 0.89, 0.84 0.58, 1.23 1.54 0.783 24 hours 0.372 0.783 1.13 0.426 1.18 1.56 0.249 0.753 Man's dietary diversity 0.69, 0.66, score in the past 24 hours 0.85 0.67, 1.08 0.83 1.01 0.529 0.182 0.753 0.065 0.83 1.05 0.117 0.725 Child's dietary diversity 0.74, 0.85, score in the past 24 hours 1.39 0.69, 2.80 1.23 0.737 0.362 0.783 0.96 0.875 1.09 1.40 0.4780.835

Table 4A Multivariate factors associated with household-level forms of double burden of malnutrition in Addis Ababa

Socio-economic and demographic

| | | | | | | | 0.48, | | | | 0.79, | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| | Low household wealth | 1.36 | 0.41, 4.48 | 0.618 | 0.859 | 1.13 | 2.65 | 0.786 | 0.881 | 1.62 | 3.33 | 0.186 | 0.753 |
| | High housing floor | | | | | | 0.69, | | | | 0.89, | | |
| | quality | 1.43 | 0.50, 4.10 | 0.506 | 0.835 | 1.50 | 3.23 | 0.304 | 0.753 | 1.81 | 3.69 | 0.103 | 0.725 |
| | Household has access to | | | | | | 0.43, | | | | 0.60, | | |
| | improved latrines | 0.61 | 0.19, 1.99 | 0.413 | 0.783 | 1.06 | 2.60 | 0.900 | 0.912 | 1.49 | 3.69 | 0.394 | 0.783 |
| | | | | | | | 0.53, | | | | 0.44, | | |
| | Household is food secure | 0.78 | 0.33, 1.85 | 0.568 | 0.835 | 1.14 | 2.47 | 0.737 | 0.875 | 0.87 | 1.69 | 0.675 | 0.874 |
| | | | | | | | 0.95, | | | | 1.01, | | |
| | Household size | 1.04 | 0.84, 1.30 | 0.717 | 0.875 | 1.16 | 1.41 | 0.153 | 0.727 | 1.24 | 1.54 | 0.041 | 0.447 |
| | Woman has primary | | | | | | 0.24, | | | | 0.32, | | |
| | education or higher | 1.11 | 0.46, 2.67 | 0.814 | 0.892 | 0.74 | 2.26 | 0.600 | 0.855 | 0.87 | 2.39 | 0.788 | 0.881 |
| | Man has primary | | | | | | 0.29, | | | | 0.26, | | |
| | education or higher | 1.63 | 0.52, 4.04 | 0.399 | 0.783 | 0.65 | 1.43 | 0.281 | 0.753 | 0.60 | 1.40 | 0.234 | 0.753 |
| | Woman is engaged in an | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | income generating | | | | | | 0.61, | | | | 0.50, | | |
| | activity | 2.64 | 1.54, 4.53 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 1.17 | 2.24 | 0.644 | 0.874 | 0.89 | 1.59 | 0.698 | 0.874 |
| i | ¹ p-value corrected for | multipl | le hypothesi | is testing | using | the Beni | amini-Ye | kutieli m | ethod to | control | the fal | lse discov | erv rate. |

p-value corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Yekutieli method to control the false discovery rate.

| Concurrent child overweight and | | | | Overweight woman and stunted child | | | | | Overweight adult and stunted child | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | stu | nting | | | | | | | | | | |
| β or | 95% CI | p- | corrected | β or | 95% CI | p- | corrected | β or | 95% CI | p- | corrected | |
| OR | | value | p-value ^a | OR | | value | p-value ^a | OR | | value | p-value ^a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1.01, | | | |
| 1.01 | 1.00, 1.02 | 0.219 | 0.704 | 1.02 | 1.00, 1.04 | 0.016 | 0.180 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 0.011 | 0.165 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.73, | | | |
| 1.47 | 0.82, 2.61 | 0.192 | 0.704 | 1.18 | 0.61, 2.27 | 0.627 | 0.882 | 1.24 | 2.10 | 0.423 | 0.814 | |
| | | | | | 0.84, | | | | 0.92, | | | |
| 0.98 | 0.93, 1.03 | 0.377 | 0.814 | 0.96 | 1.09 | 0.508 | 0.882 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 0.403 | 0.814 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.90, | | | | 1.01, | | | |
| 0.88 | 0.79, 0.99 | 0.030 | 0.225 | 1.11 | 1.36 | 0.338 | 0.814 | 1.15 | 1.32 | 0.038 | 0.244 | |
| | | | | | 0.91, | | | | 0.94, | | | |
| 1.02 | 0.96, 1.09 | 0.534 | 0.882 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 0.947 | 0.999 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.716 | 0.976 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.81, | | | |
| 1.04 | 0.90, 1.21 | 0.580 | 0.882 | 1.01 | 0.61, 1.68 | 0.977 | 0.999 | 1.19 | 1.75 | 0.372 | 0.814 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.60, | | | | 0.66, | | | |
| 0.98 | 0.81, 1.18 | 0.824 | 0.999 | 0.93 | 1.44 | 0.749 | 0.991 | 0.89 | 1.20 | 0.434 | 0.814 | |
| | β or OR 1.01 1.47 0.98 0.88 1.02 1.04 | β or 95% CI OR 1.00, 1.02 1.01 1.00, 1.02 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.88 0.79, 0.99 1.02 0.96, 1.09 1.04 0.90, 1.21 | sturring β or 95% CI p- OR value 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 1.04 0.900, 1.21 0.580 | stunting β or 95% CI p- corrected OR 0.219 0.704 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 | β or OR 95% CI value p- p-value ^a β or β or P-value ^a 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.11 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 1.01 | β or 95% CI p- corrected β or 95% CI OR value p-value ^a OR OR I.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 I.02 I.00, 1.04 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 I.02 I.00, 1.04 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 I.18 0.61, 2.27 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.11 1.36 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 1.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 1.01 0.61, 1.68 | β or 95% CI p- corrected β or 95% CI p- OR value p-value ^a OR value value 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.00, 1.04 0.016 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.61, 2.27 0.627 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.508 0.888 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.11 1.36 0.338 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 0.947 1.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 1.01 0.61, 1.68 0.977 0.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 1.01 0.61, 1.68 0.977 | β or OR 95% CI value p- p-value ^a corrected OR β or value 95% CI p-value ^a p- value corrected p-value ^a 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.00, 1.04 0.016 0.180 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.61, 2.27 0.627 0.882 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.508 0.882 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.2255 1.11 1.36 0.338 0.814 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 0.947 0.999 1.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 1.01 0.61, 1.68 0.977 0.999 | β or OR 95% CI value p- p-value ^a corrected OR β or p-value ^a 95% CI OR p- value corrected β or p-value ^a β or OR 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.00, 1.04 0.016 0.180 1.03 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.61, 2.27 0.627 0.882 1.24 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.508 0.882 0.98 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.11 1.36 0.338 0.814 1.15 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 0.947 0.999 0.99 1.04 0.90, 1.21 0.580 0.882 1.01 0.61, 1.68 0.977 0.999 1.19 | β or OR 95% CI value p- p-value ^a corrected OR β or p-value ^a 95% CI p-value ^a p- value corrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- orrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- orrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- orrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- OR CI p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- OR CI p-value ^a OR 1.01, 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.00, 1.04 0.016 0.180 1.03 1.06 0.73, 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.61, 2.27 0.627 0.882 1.24 2.10 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.508 0.882 0.98 1.03 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.303 0.225 1.11 1.36 0.338 0.814 1.15 1.32 0.94, 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 0.947 </td <td>β or OR 95% CI value p- p-value^a corrected OR β or value 95% CI p-value^a p- oR corrected p-value^a β or OR 95% CI p-value^a p- oR corrected p-value^a β or OR 95% CI p-value^a p- oR corrected p-value^a β or OR 95% CI p-value^a p- oR p- value 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.00, 1.04 0.016 0.180 1.03 1.06 0.011 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.61, 2.27 0.627 0.882 1.24 2.10 0.423 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.508 0.882 0.98 1.03 0.403 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.11 1.36 0.388 0.814 1.15 1.32 0.038 0.94, 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 0.947 0.999 0.99 1.05 0.716 1.04 0.90, 1.21<!--</td--></td> | β or OR 95% CI value p- p-value ^a corrected OR β or value 95% CI p-value ^a p- oR corrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- oR corrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- oR corrected p-value ^a β or OR 95% CI p-value ^a p- oR p- value 1.01 1.00, 1.02 0.219 0.704 1.02 1.00, 1.04 0.016 0.180 1.03 1.06 0.011 1.47 0.82, 2.61 0.192 0.704 1.18 0.61, 2.27 0.627 0.882 1.24 2.10 0.423 0.98 0.93, 1.03 0.377 0.814 0.96 1.09 0.508 0.882 0.98 1.03 0.403 0.88 0.79, 0.99 0.030 0.225 1.11 1.36 0.388 0.814 1.15 1.32 0.038 0.94, 1.02 0.96, 1.09 0.534 0.882 1.00 1.11 0.947 0.999 0.99 1.05 0.716 1.04 0.90, 1.21 </td | |

Table 4B Multivariate factors associated with household-level forms of double burden of malnutrition in Kersa

| Child's dietary diversity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------|------------|---------|---------|------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| score in the past 24 | | | | | | 0.54, | | | | 0.61, | | |
| hours | 1.02 | 0.83, 1.25 | 0.874 | 0.999 | 0.88 | 1.41 | 0.585 | 0.882 | 0.91 | 1.34 | 0.617 | 0.882 |
| Socio-economic and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| demographic | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 0.69, | | | | 0.87, | | |
| Low household wealth | 1.92 | 1.18, 3.11 | 0.008 | 0.165 | 1.48 | 3.17 | 0.311 | 0.814 | 1.74 | 3.45 | 0.115 | 0.575 |
| High housing floor | | | | | | 0.36, | | | | 0.43, | | |
| quality | 0.33 | 0.20, 0.53 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 1.00 | 2.81 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.07 | 2.62 | 0.890 | 0.999 |
| Household has access to | | | | | | 0.87, | | | | 0.77, | | |
| improved latrines | 2.08 | 1.07, 4.04 | 0.030 | 0.225 | 2.56 | 7.52 | 0.088 | 0.495 | 1.81 | 4.25 | 0.172 | 0.704 |
| Household is food | | | | | | 0.23, | | | | 0.39, | | |
| secure | 0.66 | 0.24, 1.92 | 0.425 | 0.814 | 0.62 | 1.65 | 0.339 | 0.814 | 0.98 | 2.48 | 0.965 | 0.999 |
| | | | | | | 0.97, | | | | 0.92, | | |
| Household size | 1.00 | 0.93, 1.06 | 0.899 | 0.999 | 1.03 | 1.09 | 0.398 | 0.814 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 0.955 | 0.999 |
| Woman has primary | | | | | | 0.24, | | | | 0.82, | | |
| education or higher | 1.00 | 0.68, 1.45 | 0.981 | 0.999 | 0.74 | 2.24 | 0.590 | 0.882 | 1.43 | 2.48 | 0.205 | 0.704 |
| Man has primary | | | | | | | | | | 0.58, | | |
| education or higher | 1.07 | 0.58, 1.97 | 0.840 | 0.999 | 1.91 | 0.76, 4.80 | 0.168 | 0.704 | 1.22 | 2.57 | 0.598 | 0.882 |

^a p-value corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamini-Yekutieli method to control the false discovery rate.