RESEARCH





Maintaining *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocyte infectivity during blood collection and transport for mosquito feeding assays in the field

Harouna M. Soumare¹, Wamdaogo Moussa Guelbeogo², Marga van de Vegte-Bolmer³, Geert-Jan van Gemert³, Zongo Soumanaba², Alphonse Ouedraogo^{2†}, Maurice S. Ouattara², Ahmad Abdullahi¹, Lamin Jadama¹, Muhammed M. Camara¹, Pa Modou Gaye¹, Michael Mendy¹, Nwakanma Davis¹, Alfred B. Tiono², Umberto D'Alessandro¹, Chris Drakeley⁴, Teun Bousema³, Marta Moreno^{4†} and Katharine A. Collins^{3*}¹

Abstract

Background: Mosquito feeding assays using venous blood are commonly used for evaluating the transmission potential of malaria infected individuals. To improve the accuracy of these assays, care must be taken to prevent premature activation or inactivation of gametocytes before they are fed to mosquitoes. This can be challenging in the field where infected individuals and insectary facilities are sometimes very far apart. In this study, a simple, reliable, field applicable method is presented for storage and transport of gametocyte infected blood using a thermos flask.

Methods: The optimal storage conditions for maintaining the transmissibility of gametocytes were determined initially using cultured *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocytes in standard membrane feeding assays (SMFAs). The impact of both the internal thermos water temperature (35.5 to 37.8 °C), and the external environmental temperature (room temperature to 42 °C) during long-term (4 h) storage, and the impact of short-term (15 min) temperature changes (room temp to 40 °C) during membrane feeding assays was assessed. The optimal conditions were then evaluated in direct membrane feeding assays (DMFAs) in Burkina Faso and The Gambia where blood from naturally-infected gametocyte carriers was offered to mosquitoes immediately and after storage in thermos flasks.

Results: Using cultured gametocytes in SMFAs it was determined that an internal thermos water temperature of 35.5 °C and storage of the thermos flask between RT (~ 21.3 °C) and 32 °C was optimal for maintaining transmissibility of gametocytes for 4 h. Short-term storage of the gametocyte infected blood for 15 min at temperatures up to 40 °C (range: RT, 30 °C, 38 °C and 40 °C) did not negatively affect gametocyte infectivity. Using samples from natural gametocyte carriers (47 from Burkina Faso and 16 from The Gambia), the prevalence of infected mosquitoes and the intensity of oocyst infection was maintained when gametocyte infected blood was stored in a thermos flask in water at 35.5 °C for up to 4 h.

*Correspondence: Katharine.a.collins@gmail.com

[†]Marta Moreno and Katharine A. Collins contributed equally to this work

³ Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical

Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mbox{Harouna}$ M. Soumare and Wamdaogo Moussa Guelbeogo contributed equally to this work

Conclusions: This study determines the optimal long-term (4 h) storage temperature for gametocyte infected blood and the external environment temperature range within which gametocyte infectivity is unaffected. This will improve the accuracy, reproducibility, and utility of DMFAs in the field, and permit reliable comparative assessments of malaria transmission epidemiology in different settings.

Keywords: Gametocyte, Anopheles, Mosquitoes, Malaria, Activation, Falciparum, Transmission

Background

In the past decade, remarkable reductions in malaria burden have been achieved largely through widespread access to and use of artemisinin-based combination therapy and insecticide-treated bed nets [1]. However, since 2015, progress has stalled [2] and elimination is unlikely to be achieved with these conventional methods alone in most settings in Africa [3]. One of the biggest challenges to malaria control and elimination is effectively interrupting the efficient process of malaria transmission. New tools or implementation strategies will likely be required that specifically aim to reduce malaria transmission [4]. In order to effectively target transmission reduction and develop and implement transmission-blocking interventions, a thorough understanding of the dynamics and epidemiology of malaria transmission in different settings is needed.

The transmission of malaria depends on the presence of mature sexual stage parasites (gametocytes) in the peripheral blood. During a blood meal, Anopheles mosquitoes must imbibe at least one male and one female gametocyte to become infected, but other host or parasite factors may also contribute to this, such a transmission reducing immunity. To understand how likely it is that individuals contribute to onward transmission, assays are needed for accurate assessment of gametocyte infectivity to mosquitoes. This is commonly measured by direct membrane feeding assays (DMFAs) where venous blood is fed to mosquitoes, or direct skin feeding assays, where mosquitoes feed directly on the skin of the volunteer [5–11]. In DMFAs, venous blood collected from malaria infected individuals is offered to female Anopheles mosquitoes using water-jacketed glass feeders. These feeders are connected to a circulating water bath to maintain gametocyte temperature during feeding. Maintaining gametocyte temperature is important since a drop in temperature may result in gametocyte activation [12] while high temperatures (~ 40 °C or higher) may inactivate gametocytes and gametes [13–16].

Blood drawn for DMFAs must therefore be fed to mosquitoes as quickly as possible after collection to eliminate any detrimental effect of temperature change [17]. This can be logistically challenging in the field where participants in DMFA studies may be recruited far from the insectary facilities, resulting in either lengthy travel for volunteers, or restricting the available population for infectivity assessment to those near the facilities. To overcome these limitations, a method to store and transport gametocyte infected blood from the field to the laboratory and prevent premature activation or inactivation of gametocytes before mosquito feeding is needed.

In this study, the temperature range for storage of gametocyte infected blood to maintain gametocyte infectivity is determined, and a simple, cheap, field applicable method for collecting and transporting blood is presented.

Methods

Mosquito rearing

Anopheles stephensi Sind-Kasur Nijmegen strain [18] (Radboudumc) were reared at 30 °C, and Anopheles coluzzii (Burkina Faso and The Gambia) were reared at 27 °C. All were kept at ~70–80% relative humidity (RH) on a 12-h day/night cycle and were fed on 5–10% glucose. Female mosquitoes between 2 and 6 days post emergence were used for all mosquito feeding assays. After feeding on gametocyte infected blood, mosquitoes were stored either at 26 °C (Radboudumc) or 27 °C (Burkina Faso and The Gambia).

Standard membrane feeding assays (SMFAs)

SMFAs were performed at Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, using cultured Plasmodium falciparum gametocytes as previously described [19]. In brief, P. falciparum was cultured at 37 °C in an automated incubator under a continuous gas flow of 4% CO₂, 3% O₂ and 93% N₂. Gametocytes were produced from asynchronous cultures (day 0, 1% parasitaemia and 5% red blood cells), which were harvested at day 15. Gametocyte infected blood meals were prepared by adding 900 µl mature stage 5 gametocyte culture to 600 µl packed red blood cells, this was briefly centrifuged, the supernatant removed and 600 µl human serum added. For the long-term storage experiments, gametocyte infected blood meals were either fed immediately to mosquitoes or were stored for 4 h in a tube in a thermos flask filled with water at a range temperatures, measured using a calibrated probe thermometer accurate to ± 0.2 °C (Traceable[®] Ultra digital thermometer, VWR 620-2079) before feeding to mosquitoes. The water temperatures chosen were either the

temperature hypothesized to be the optimal gametocyte storage temperature (the temperature of the human body), 37 °C (range: 36.9-37.2 °C) or an arbitrarily selected lower (35.5 °C [range: 35.4–35.6 °C]) or higher (37.8 °C [range: 37.8-37.8 °C]) temperature. Thermos flasks (Stainless king food flask 470 ml, Thermos) were stored either at room temperature (RT; mean 21.3 °C, range: 20.4–22.1 °C) or in an incubator set at 32 °C (range: 31.9–32.2 °C) or 42 °C (range: 41.4–42.1 °C). These storage temperatures were selected to reflect the range of temperatures that might be experienced during thermos flask storage in a malaria endemic county. The exact thermos storage temperature and water temperatures are presented in Additional file 1: Table S1. For the shortterm storage experiments, gametocyte infected blood meals were stored for 15 min at RT or in a heat block at a range of temperatures (30 °C, 35.5 °C, 38 °C, 40 °C or 42 °C) before feeding to mosquitoes. For all SMFAs, female mosquitoes were offered the infected blood meal in glass mini-feeders (300 µL) attached to a circulating water bath set at 39 °C and allowed to feed in the dark for 15 min [19]. A total of 30–60 mosquitoes in 2 or 3 cups were used for each experimental condition. Mosquitoes were maintained on 5% glucose and dissected 6-8 days after feeding and the number of developed oocysts per mosquito was determined by microscopy after 1% mercurochrome midgut staining.

Ethical approval

The Burkina Faso study was approved by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine ethics committee (Review number: 14724), the Centre National de Recherche et de Formation sur le Paludisme institutional review board (Deliberation N° 2018/000,002/MS/ SG/CNRFP/CIB) and the Ethics Committee for Health Research in Burkina Faso (Deliberation N° 2018–01-010). The Gambia study was approved by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine ethics committee (Review number:15993) and by The Gambia Government/MRC Joint Ethics Committee (Review number:1621). All participants gave informed consent before inclusion in the studies.

Gametocyte positive blood samples

Gametocyte positive human blood samples were obtained from individuals as part of ongoing studies in Burkina Faso and The Gambia. In Burkina Faso, participants were microscopy-positive gametocyte carriers aged 10–15 years old, recruited from screening campaigns in the Saponé health and demographic surveillance system area, ~45 kms Southwest of Ouagadougou. In The Gambia, participants were microscopy-positive gametocyte carriers aged >2 years, passively recruited from four

health facilities (Basse Hospital, Sabi, Sotuma Sere, Gambissara) in the South Bank of the Upper River Region in The Gambia.

Direct membrane feeding assays (DMFAs) Thermos flask water temperature setup

Thermos flasks (Stainless king food flask 470 ml, Thermos) were filled (to 2 cm below the top of the flask) with water between 35.5 and 36 °C, measured with a calibrated probe thermometer (accurate to ± 0.2 °C, Traceable[®] Ultra digital thermometer, VWR 620-2079) and immediately sealed. Gametocyte infected blood samples were collected in Lithium heparin vacutainers and either fed to mosquitoes immediately (control) or transferred to the thermos flask immediately after phlebotomy. One thermos flask was used per blood donor to avoid repeated opening of the flask, and 2 tubes of blood were collected from each donor. Thermos flasks were used within 1 h of it being filled with water. Thermos flasks were then stored at ambient temperature in the laboratory or in an air-conditioned car (range: 25.5-29 °C) for a maximum of 4 h from the time of filling and the stored blood sample was then used for mosquito feeding.

Feeding procedures

For all DMFAs, female mosquitoes were offered the infected blood meal in glass mini-feeders (300 μ L) attached to a circulating water bath at 37–39 °C and allowed to feed in the dark for 15–20 min. A total of 3 cups of 30 mosquitoes were used for all experimental conditions in The Gambia, and 2 cups of 60 mosquitoes were used for all experimental conditions in Burkina Faso. Mosquitoes were maintained on 5–10% glucose until 7–8 days after feeding when they were dissected and the number of developed oocysts per mosquito was determined by microscopy after 0.5% mercurochrome midgut staining [20].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (ver. 8). Mosquito infectivity in SMFAs was analysed by comparing groups with the Kruskal–Wallis test comparing each experimental condition to the control with Dunns multiple comparison test. Mosquito infectivity in DMFAs was analysed by comparing oocyst intensity (average oocysts per mosquito) or prevalence of infection (% of infected mosquitoes) by Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. Agreement between the immediate DMFAs or DMFAs with stored gametocytes was analysed using Spearmans correlation of % mosquitoes infected before and after gametocyte storage and Bland–Altman analysis to visualize and test whether systematic bias in infection rates occurred between the two methods.

Results

Optimal storage conditions for cultured gametocytes for SMFAs

To determine the optimal storage conditions for cultured stage 5 gametocytes that maintains their infectivity, SMFAs were performed where two conditions were evaluated; (i) the starting water temperature in the thermos flask and (ii) the temperature the sealed thermos flask was stored at. In a series of experiments, mosquito blood meals were prepared with mature stage 5 gametocyte cultures and either fed immediately to mosquitoes (control) or stored in a thermos flask for 4 h. The thermos flasks were filled with water at either 37.8 °C, 37 °C or 35.5 °C and after addition of the mosquito blood meal the thermos flasks were sealed and stored at either room temperature (RT), 32 °C or 42 °C. The stability of the water temperature was evaluated 2 and 4 h after initial filling of the thermos for all experiments (Fig. 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1). The impact of storing the cultured gametocytes in the thermos flask was assessed by comparing the level of transmission, as measured by the intensity of mosquito oocyst infection, in the immediate control SMFA to the SMFA performed with the stored gametocytes.

During the 4 h of storage, the thermos flasks were opened once to measure the temperature before being sealed again and the temperature measured a final time after 4 h. The water temperatures remained relatively stable during storage, with a greater reduction

was evaluated 3–4 times. Graphs show the mean and SD for each condition over time

in water temperature observed when the thermos was stored at RT (mean change -3.08 °C) compared to 32 °C (mean change -1.59 °C) or 42 °C (mean change 0.04 °C) (Fig. 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1). There was no reduction in transmission when the gametocyte infected blood meal was stored for up to 4 h in a thermos containing water at 35.5 °C and the thermos flask was stored between RT (~21.3 °C) and 32 °C. However, when the thermos was stored at a higher temperature (42 °C) there was a statistically significant reduction in intensity of oocyst infection in 50% of the experiments (Fig. 2 and Table 1). When the water in the thermos was higher, at 37 °C or 37.8 °C, a statistically significant reduction in transmission was occasionally observed after gametocyte infected blood meal storage, even when the thermos was kept between RT (~21.3 °C) and 32 °C. This was more frequent when the water was 37.8 °C (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The percentage of mosquitoes infected in each experiment followed the same trend as intensity of infection, but was less affected by the storage conditions. These results indicate that in order to maintain infectivity of gametocytes they should be stored in a thermos filled with water that is 35.5 °C and the thermos should be stored between 21.3 °C and 32 °C. In 3 experiments there was an increase in oocyst intensity. This was only observed in 1/6 experiments using the above proposed optimal conditions.





Optimal membrane feeder temperature for cultured gametocytes in SMFAs

Since the optimal temperature for 4 h of gametocyte storage was determined to be 35.5 °C, lower than expected, the standard temperature used in the membrane feeders for SMFAs (37 °C) was examined to determine whether this might also have a negative effect on gametocyte transmission to mosquitoes. To evaluate this in a series of experiments, cultured stage 5 gametocyte blood meals were stored for 15 min (the standard duration of mosquito feeding in a SMFA) at either 35.5 °C (safe temperature for 4-h storage) or a range of higher and lower temperatures (RT, 30 °C, 38 °C, 40 °C and 42 °C).

Short-term storage of the gametocyte blood meal for 15 min at 42 $^\circ C$ resulted in significant reduction in

transmission, but short-term storage at temperatures lower than this (40 °C, 38 °C, 30 °C and RT) did not have a negative effect (Fig. 3). This indicates that the temperature of the membrane feeders should not exceed 40 °C for a 15-min mosquito feed, but lower temperatures (as low as 21.3 °C) are not detrimental for this short duration.

Validation of optimal gametocyte thermos storage conditions using natural gametocyte carriers

To assess if the optimal thermos storage conditions determined using cultured gametocytes were also suitable for storage of natural gametocytes in field conditions, paired experiments (n=47 in Burkina Faso and n=16 in The Gambia) were performed where blood was collected from gametocyte infected individuals and either

Thermos water temperature	Thermos storage temperature	Total number of mosquitoes evaluated per condition (controls)	Number of experiments with a significant reduction in infectivity after 4 h storage				
37.8 ℃	RT	110 (110)	2/3				
	32 ℃	120 (120)	1/3				
	42 °C	150 (150)	3/4				
37 °C	RT	180 (178)	0/3				
	32 ℃	140 (140)	1/3				
	42 °C	180 (180)	2/3				
35.5 ℃	RT	160 (160)	0/3				
	32 ℃	140 (140)	0/3				
	42 °C	200 (200)	2/4				

Table 1	Effect of	gametocy	te blood mea	l storage on	infectivity to	mosquitoes
---------	-----------	----------	--------------	--------------	----------------	------------

Mosquito infection rate (intensity of oocyst infection) was compared when a gametocyte infected blood meal was fed to mosquitoes immediately or stored in a thermos flask for 4 h at a range of temperatures. A total of 30–60 mosquitoes in 2 or 3 cups were used for each experimental condition and each experiment was repeated 3 to 4 times. Groups were compared by Kruskal–Wallis comparing each experimental condition to its own control with Dunns multiple comparison test. Graphs showing the full results of the experiments are presented in Fig. 2



fed immediately to mosquitoes in a DMFA or stored in the thermos flask for up to 4 h before DMFA. The starting temperature of the water in the thermos flask was 35.5-36 °C, and the thermos flasks were stored at ambient lab temperature or in an air-conditioned car (range: 25.5-29 °C). The prevalence of infected mosquitoes and the intensity of mosquito infection was similar for the DMFAs performed immediately compared to the DMFAs performed after 4 h storage in a thermos flask (Fig. 4a, b). When comparing results from the two assays, there was a strong correlation (Spearman's r = 0.9431, p < 0.0001) and good agreement using the Bland–Altman analysis (mean



(black circles, n = 47) and The Gambia (red circles, n = 16), and paired DMFAs were performed either immediately (Immediate) or following storage of the blood for 3 – 4 h in a thermos flask filled with water at 35.5 °C to 36 °C (Thermos). Mosquito infection rate was evaluated as **a** average oocysts per mosquito, or **b** prevalence of infection (% of infected mosquitoes). Lines indicate the group medians and groups compared by Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. **c** Spearmans correlation of % mosquitoes infected before and after gametocyte storage and **d** Bland–Altman analysis comparing the % mosquitoes infected using the two methods

difference in mosquito infection rate = -0.07%) (Fig. 4c, d).

Discussion

In this study, a method to maintain *P. falciparum* gametocyte infectivity during blood collection in the field and transportation to the insectary for mosquito feeding assays is presented. The optimal storage conditions preventing gametocyte activation or inactivation were first assessed with cultured gametocytes and then confirmed using natural gametocyte carriers from two malaria endemic settings. The findings corroborate that temperature can play a key role in maintaining the infectivity of *P. falciparum* gametocytes. Infectivity was not affected when gametocytes were stored in thermos flasks in water at 35.5 °C for up to 4 h and the thermos flask was stored at ambient temperatures ranging from 21.3 to 32 °C.

DMFAs are more commonly used than direct skin feeding assays to assess the infectiousness of gametocyte carriers to mosquitoes, largely because they minimize the discomfort experienced by volunteers, which is particularly important when sampling young children. DMFAs may, therefore, be more readily acceptable by both local communities and ethics committees [21], especially when repeated assessments of infectivity are made. The relationship between gametocyte density and infection success in mosquitoes has been well studied, but differs slightly by settings [11, 21, 22]. These differences could plausibly be due to the different populations, different levels of malaria exposure and resulting levels of transmission-blocking immunity, different mosquito species, or parasite genotypes [8, 9, 11]. However, for reliable assessments of infectivity by DMFA it is crucial to minimize technical differences in how the assays are performed between settings [21, 22]. Here, evidence is presented that variation in blood storage temperature and duration of storage, as well as feeder temperature, could have a significant impact on transmission.

The results show that the optimal temperature for longer-term storage (4 h) is 35.5 °C. The maintenance of gametocyte infectivity at this temperature is consistent with previous studies which showed a drop in temperature of at least 5 °C from the standard 37 °C in the human body, is required to activate *P. falciparum* gametocytes [12]. Also consistent with previous data [13, 14, 16, 23], our results show that as little as 15 min (mimicking the time the gametocytes are present in the feeder) at 42 °C is sufficient to inactivate gametocytes, with transmission being almost completely prevented. Taken together, these data suggest that the temperature in the feeders should not exceed 40 °C during DMFAs.

With the 4-h storage experiments, not only temperatures above 40 °C but also lower temperatures appeared to reduce gametocyte infectivity. It was surprising to find that storing the gametocytes in the thermos flask in water at 37 °C for 4 h was associated with reduced transmission efficiency in some experiments. This was seen most often when the ambient temperature was high (i.e. 32 °C or 42 °C), and not when it was the typical room temperature in an air-conditioned European laboratory (~21.3 °C). The temperature of 37 °C would be hypothesized to be ideal for gametocytes, as it mimics their natural environment in the body, and previous studies have indeed shown that *P. falciparum* gametocyte activation in vitro was prevented when they were maintained at 37 °C for 1 h [24]. In agreement with this, short-term storage for 15 min at temperatures up to 40 °C in the study presented here did not reduce transmission. This suggests that either the duration of exposure to high temperatures, or the fact that the gametocytes are stored in venous blood collected in lithium heparin anticoagulant, or both, may also be important factors for gametocyte inactivation, although this is not evaluated here and warrants further investigation. Similarly, the increase in transmission efficiency following 4-h storage compared to immediate feeding, as observed in some experiments, also requires further study. Factors such as the presence of anticoagulants during storage and the duration of storage permitting continued gametocyte maturation may play a role.

Altogether, the data demonstrates that temperature fluctuations influence gametocyte infectivity, seen most acutely with higher temperatures, including high ambient temperatures. Temperature should thus be carefully controlled when collecting and transporting gametocyte infected blood in regions where ambient temperatures could reach over 40 °C. An effect of temperature on gametocyte infectivity has been seen before, however, the current study adds value with the large number of replicates in the SMFA evaluating varying thermos and ambient temperatures. This allowed an informed decision on the optimal temperature conditions for use in DMFA experiments using natural gametocytes carriers in the field.

Conclusion

This study presents the validation of a method to maintain *P. falciparum* gametocyte infectivity during blood collection and transportation for DMFAs. The optimal conditions (storage in a thermos flask in water at 35.5 °C for up to 4 h with the thermos flask stored between 21.3 and 32 °C) were determined in SMFAs and verified using gametocyte infected venous blood samples from natural gametocyte carriers. With the proposed approach, samples can be transported from more remote settings to the insectary within 4-h without affecting gametocyte infectivity and thus maintaining assay quality. This method will facilitate widespread, accurate assessment of malaria transmission dynamics in the field and this knowledge will contribute to malaria control strategies as progress is made towards elimination.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03725-y.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Thermos storage and water temperatures measured during SMFAs.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jolanda Klaassen, Laura Pelser-Posthumus, Astrid Pouwelsen and Jacqueline Kuhnen for mosquito rearing and mosquito membrane feeding experiments at Radboudumc; Traore Ben Idriss, Damiba Wilfried, Nabolle Madi and Traore Mamoudou for mosquito rearing and dissection in Burkina Faso; the mosquito rearing and dissection team in The Gambia; the study nurses, lab technicians, drivers and clinic leadership in all the health districts. Special thanks to the study volunteers for their participation and the communities for their support.

Authors' contributions

KAC, TB and MM were involved in study conception and experimental design; WMG, ZS, KAC, HMS, MvB, and GG performed the experiments; AO, MS and AT coordinated participant recruitment in Burkina Faso, HMS, AA, LJ, MMC, PMG, MM and UDA coordinated participant recruitment in The Gambia. HMS and KAC analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by funds from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation Grant (OPP1173572). KAC and TB are also supported by a fellowship from the European Research Council (ERC-2019-CoG864180). AT is supported by an award from UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement (MR/P02016X/1).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Data within this manuscript was generated using blood samples from clinical trial participants. In Burkina Faso, the participants were enrolled in a clinical trial that was reviewed and approved by the LSHTM ethics committee (Review number: 14724), the CNRFP institutional review board (Deliberation N° 2018/000002/MS/SG/CNRFP/CIB) and the Ethics Committee for Health Research in Burkina Faso (Deliberation N° 2018-01-010). The Gambia protocol was approved by the LSHTM ethics Committee (Review number: 15993) and The Gambia Government/MRC Joint Ethics Committee (Review number: 1621) . All participants gave informed consent before inclusion in the studies.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Medical Research Council Unit, The Gambia at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Banjul, The Gambia. ² Centre National de Recherche Et de Formation Sur Le Paludisme, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. ³ Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁴ Department of Biology of Infection, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London, UK.

Received: 11 January 2021 Accepted: 4 April 2021 Published online: 20 April 2021

References

- 1. WHO. Expert Committee on Biological Standardization. Sixty-third report. Geneva: World Health Organization Tech Rep Ser; 2014, p. 1–489.
- WHO. World Malaria Report 2019. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
- Griffin JT, Hollingsworth TD, Okell LC, Churcher TS, White M, Hinsley W, et al. Reducing *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria transmission in Africa: a model-based evaluation of intervention strategies. PLoS Med. 2010;7:e1000324.
- Cotter C, Sturrock HJ, Hsiang MS, Liu J, Phillips AA, Hwang J, et al. The changing epidemiology of malaria elimination: new strategies for new challenges. Lancet. 2013;382:900–11.
- Bousema JT, Drakeley CJ, Sauerwein RW. Sexual-stage antibody responses to *P. falciparum* in endemic populations. Curr Mol Med. 2006;6:223–9.
- Churcher TS, Bousema T, Walker M, Drakeley C, Schneider P, Ouedraogo AL, et al. Predicting mosquito infection from *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocyte density and estimating the reservoir of infection. Elife. 2013;2:e00626.
- Stone W, Goncalves BP, Bousema T, Drakeley C. Assessing the infectious reservoir of falciparum malaria: past and future. Trends Parasitol. 2015;31:287–96.

- Bousema T, Drakeley C. Determinants of malaria transmission at the population level. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017;7:a025510.
- Goncalves BP, Kapulu MC, Sawa P, Guelbeogo WM, Tiono AB, Grignard L, et al. Examining the human infectious reservoir for *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in areas of differing transmission intensity. Nat Commun. 2017;8:1133.
- Bradley J, Stone W, Da DF, Morlais I, Dicko A, Cohuet A, et al. Predicting the likelihood and intensity of mosquito infection from sex specific *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocyte density. Elife. 2018;7:e34463.
- Slater HC, Ross A, Felger I, Hofmann NE, Robinson L, Cook J, et al. The temporal dynamics and infectiousness of subpatent *Plasmodium falciparum* infections in relation to parasite density. Nat Commun. 2019;10:1433.
- Bhattacharyya MK, Kumar N. Effect of xanthurenic acid on infectivity of *Plasmodium falciparum* to *Anopheles stephensi*. Int J Parasitol. 2001;31:1129–33.
- Wang CYT, McCarthy JS, Stone WJ, Bousema T, Collins KA, Bialasiewicz S. Assessing *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission in mosquito-feeding assays using quantitative PCR. Malar J. 2018;17:249.
- 14. Musiime AK, Okoth J, Conrad M, Ayo D, Onyige I, Rek J, et al. Is that a real oocyst? Insectary establishment and identification of Plasmodium falciparum oocysts in midguts of Anopheles mosquitoes fed on infected human blood in Tororo, Uganda. Malar J. 2019;18:287.
- 15. Sangare I, Michalakis Y, Yameogo B, Dabire R, Morlais I, Cohuet A. Studying fitness cost of *Plasmodium falciparum* infection in malaria vectors: validation of an appropriate negative control. Malar J. 2013;12:2.
- Da DF, Churcher TS, Yerbanga RS, Yameogo B, Sangare I, Ouedraogo JB, et al. Experimental study of the relationship between *Plasmodium* gametocyte density and infection success in mosquitoes; implications for the evaluation of malaria transmission-reducing interventions. Exp Parasitol. 2015;149:74–83.
- Graves PM. Studies on the use of a membrane feeding technique for infecting *Anopheles gambiae* with *Plasmodium falciparum*. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1980;74:738–42.
- Feldmann AM, Ponnudurai T. Selection of Anopheles stephensi for refractoriness and susceptibility to Plasmodium falciparum. Med Vet Entomol. 1989;3:41–52.
- Ponnudurai T, Lensen AH, Leeuwenberg AD, Meuwissen JH. Cultivation of fertile *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocytes in semi-automated systems.
 Static cultures. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1982;76:812–8.
- Ouédraogo AL, Guelbeogo WM, Cohuet A, Morlais I, King JG, Gonçalves BP, et al. A protocol for membrane feeding assays to determine the infectiousness of *P. falciparum* naturally infected individuals to *Anopheles gambiae*. Malaria World J. 2013;4:16.
- Bousema T, Dinglasan RR, Morlais I, Gouagna LC, van Warmerdam T, Awono-Ambene PH, et al. Mosquito feeding assays to determine the infectiousness of naturally infected *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocyte carriers. PLoS ONE. 2012;7:e42821.
- 22. Bousema T, Churcher TS, Morlais I, Dinglasan RR. Can field-based mosquito feeding assays be used for evaluating transmission-blocking interventions? Trends Parasitol. 2013;29:53–9.
- 23. Mendes AM, Schlegelmilch T, Cohuet A, Awono-Ambene P, De Iorio M, Fontenille D, et al. Conserved mosquito/parasite interactions affect development of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Africa. PLoS Pathog. 2008;4:e1000069.
- Sinden RE, Smalley ME. Gametocytes of *Plasmodium falciparum*: phagocytosis by leucocytes in vivo and in vitro. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1976;70:344–5.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.