## SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in the urban population of Qatar: An analysis of antibody testing on a sample of 112,941 individuals

Peter V. Coyle<sup>1</sup>, Hiam Chemaitelly<sup>2,3</sup>, Mohamed Ali Ben Hadj Kacem<sup>1</sup>, Naema Hassan Abdulla Al Molawi<sup>1</sup>, Reham Awni El Kahlout<sup>1</sup>, Imtiaz Gilliani<sup>1</sup>, Nourah Younes<sup>1</sup>, Zaina Al Kanaani<sup>1</sup>, Abdullatif Al Khal<sup>1</sup>, Einas Al Kuwari<sup>1</sup>, Adeel A. Butt<sup>1,9</sup>, Andrew Jeremijenko<sup>1</sup>, Anvar Hassan Kaleeckal<sup>1</sup>, Ali Nizar Latif<sup>1</sup>, Riyazuddin Mohammad Shaik<sup>1</sup>, Hanan F. Abdul Rahim<sup>4</sup>, Gheyath K. Nasrallah<sup>5,6</sup>, Hadi M. Yassine<sup>5,6</sup>, Mohamed G. Al Kuwari<sup>7</sup>, Hamad Eid Al Romaihi<sup>8</sup>, Mohamed H. Al-Thani<sup>8</sup>, Roberto Bertollini<sup>8</sup> and Laith J. Abu-Raddad<sup>2,3,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar

<sup>2</sup>Infectious Disease Epidemiology Group, Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar, Cornell University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>3</sup>World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Disease Epidemiology Analytics on HIV/AIDS, Sexually Transmitted Infections, and Viral Hepatitis, Weill Cornell Medicine–Qatar, Cornell University, Qatar Foundation – Education City, Doha, Qatar

<sup>4</sup>College of Health Sciences, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>5</sup>Biomedical Research Center, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>6</sup>Department of Biomedical Science, College of Health Sciences, Member of QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

<sup>7</sup>Primary Health Care Corporation, Doha, Qatar

<sup>8</sup>*Ministry of Public Health, Doha, Qatar* 

<sup>9</sup>Department of Population Health Sciences, Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University, New York, New York, USA

Word count: Abstract: 249 words, Main Text: 2,594 words. Number of figures: 2. Number of tables: 3. Running head: SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Qatar. Disclose funding received for this work: others. Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; epidemiology; COVID-19; infection; prevalence; immunity.

\*Correspondence: Dr. Peter V. Coyle, Virology Department, Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar. Email: <u>pcoyle@hamad.qa.</u>

Professor Laith J. Abu-Raddad, Infectious Disease Epidemiology Group, Weill Cornell Medicine - Qatar, Qatar Foundation - Education City, P.O. Box 24144, Doha, Qatar. Telephone: +(974) 4492-8321. Fax: +(974) 4492-8333. E-mail: <u>lja2002@qatar-med.cornell.edu</u>.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Qatar has experienced a large SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. Our first objective was to assess the proportion of the urban population that has been infected with SARS-CoV-2, by measuring the prevalence of detectable antibodies. Our second objective was to identify predictors for infection and for having higher antibody titers.

**Methods:** Residual blood specimens from individuals receiving routine and other clinical care between May 12-September 9, 2020 were tested for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Associations with seropositivity and higher antibody titers were identified through regression analyses. Probability weights were applied in deriving the epidemiological measures.

**Results:** We tested 112,941 individuals (~10% of Qatar's urban population), of whom 51.6% were men and 66.0% were 20-49 years of age. Seropositivity was 13.3% (95% CI: 13.1-13.6%) and was significantly associated with sex, age, nationality, clinical-care type, and testing date. The proportion with higher antibody titers varied by age, nationality, clinical-care type, and testing date. There was a strong correlation between higher antibody titers and seroprevalence in each nationality, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.85 (95% CI: 0.47-0.96), suggesting that higher antibody titers may indicate repeated exposure to the virus. The percentage of antibody-positive persons with prior PCR-confirmed diagnosis was 47.1% (95% CI: 46.1-48.2%), severity rate was 3.9% (95% CI: 3.7-4.2%), criticality rate was 1.3% (95% CI: 1.1-1.4%), and fatality rate was 0.3% (95% CI: 0.2-0.3%).

**Conclusions:** Fewer than two in every 10 individuals in Qatar's urban population had detectable antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 between May 12-September 9, 2020, suggesting that this population is still far from the herd immunity threshold and at risk from a subsequent epidemic wave.

#### Introduction

With the breakthrough development of highly efficacious vaccines against the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1-3], determining the population's cumulative infection exposure and current immunity level is critical to inform national vaccine roll-out strategies.

Qatar, located in the Arabian peninsula, with a multinational population of 2.8 million people, nearly all living in the capital city, Doha, had a significant first wave of COVID-19 that peaked in late May 2020 [4,5]. As of December 23, 2020, >60,000 infections per million population had been laboratory-confirmed [6,7]. Qatar has a unique socio-demographic structure, in which single-unit and family households including children, adults and/or older adults, account for only 40% of the total population, with adults in this "urban population" often being part of the professional or service workforce [4,8,9]. The remaining 60% of the population consists of craft and manual workers (CMWs) [4,8,9]—mostly single, young men working in development projects [9] and typically living in large, shared accommodations [10].

Infection transmission in Qatar was first documented among CMWs on March 6, 2020 [11], who were subsequently most affected by this epidemic [12]. A recently completed nationwide, population-based survey assessing "ever" infection among the CMW population found that six out of every ten persons had detectable antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, suggesting that this population is at or near herd immunity [13]. In the present study, the first objective was to assess the level of infection exposure among the rest of the population of Qatar, that of the "urban population" of this country. The second objective was to identify predictors for infection and for having higher antibody titers.

### Methods

#### **Data sources**

A cross-sectional serological study was conducted from May 12 to September 9, 2020 to assess the level of and associations with antibody positivity in the urban population of Qatar. The sample included residual blood specimens collected from individuals receiving routine and other clinical care at Hamad Medical Corporation (HMC), a main provider of healthcare to the urban population of this country and the nationally designated provider for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) healthcare needs.

Antibody data generated during the study were subsequently linked to the national centralized SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing and hospitalization database, which includes records for all PCR testing and COVID-19 hospitalizations in Qatar since the start of the epidemic [14]. The database further includes the severity classification of hospitalized cases, based on individual chart reviews completed by trained medical personnel using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria [15]. The study was approved by the HMC and Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar Institutional Review Boards. The study was conducted following the ethics review boards guidelines and regulations.

#### Laboratory methods

Roche Elecsys<sup>®</sup> Anti-SARS-CoV-2 (Roche, Switzerland), an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay, was used for antibody detection in serological samples. Result interpretation followed manufacturer instructions: reactive for optical density (a proxy for antibody titer) cutoff index  $\geq$ 1.0 and non-reactive for cutoff index <1.0 [16].

Current infection was assessed using PCR testing of aliquots of Universal Transport Medium (UTM) used for nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swab collection (Huachenyang Technology,

China). Aliquots were extracted on the QIAsymphony platform (QIAGEN, USA) and tested with real-time reverse-transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) using the TaqPath<sup>™</sup> COVID-19 Combo Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) on an ABI 7500 FAST (Thermo Fisher, USA). Samples were extracted using a custom protocol [17] on a Hamilton Microlab STAR (Hamilton, USA) and tested using the AccuPower SARS-CoV-2 Real-Time RT-PCR Kit (Bioneer, Korea) on an ABI 7500 FAST, or loaded directly into a Roche cobas<sup>®</sup> 6800 system and assayed with the cobas<sup>®</sup> SARS-CoV-2 Test (Roche, Switzerland). All laboratory testing was conducted at HMC Central Laboratory following standardized protocols.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Frequency distributions were used to describe sample characteristics and optical density among antibody-positive persons. Probability weights were applied to generate estimates representing the wider urban population. Weights were developed using population distributions by sex, age group, and nationality in the Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC) database [18]. This database essentially covers the urban population of Qatar and includes 1,468,837 registered users, distributed across Qatar's 27 PHCC centers [18].

Associations with anti-SARS-CoV-2 positivity, as well as with higher antibody titers (defined as optical density higher than the median value) were investigated using chi-square tests and univariable logistic regression. Covariates with p-values  $\leq 0.2$  in univariable regression analysis were included in the multivariable model. Covariates with p-values  $\leq 0.05$  in the multivariable analysis were regarded as strong evidence for an association with the outcome. Odds ratios (ORs), adjusted ORs (AORs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and p-values were reported. The antibody database was linked to the SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing and hospitalization database to enable estimation of other epidemiologic metrics. The latter included the proportion of

antibody-positive persons who had a diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 confirmed by PCR prior to the antibody test. Numbers of infections that were classified as severe, critical, or fatal, according to WHO criteria [15], among all antibody-positive persons, were used to estimate severity, criticality, and fatality rates.

#### Results

In all, 112,941 individuals were tested, representing ~10% of the urban population of Qatar [4] (Table 1). Of these, 51.6% were men. Two-thirds (66%) of tested persons were 20-49 years of age. Qatari (25.8%) and Indian nationals (16.5%) were most heavily represented in the sample, reflecting their representation in the urban population [5,8,19]. Specimens were collected in the course of routine clinical care during home care visits (34.2%), outpatient visits (28.5%), inpatient hospital stays (21.0%), and emergency department visits (16.4%). Overall, the sample mirrored the urban population demographics [5,8,9].

A total of 18,844 individuals had detectable SARS-CoV-2 antibodies—a weighted antibody positivity of 13.3% (95% CI: 13.1-13.6%). Seropositivity was independently associated with sex, age, nationality, clinical-care type, and calendar date of the antibody test in the multivariable regression analysis (Table 1). Men had two-fold higher odds of being seropositive (AOR of 2.07; 95% CI: 1.95-2.21) than women. Similarly, the AOR was two-fold higher for adults 20-79 years of age than for children <10 years of age. Seropositivity varied by nationality. Compared to other nationalities, AOR was 5.05 (95% CI: 4.63-5.50) for Bangladeshis, 4.26 (95% CI: 3.87-4.69) for Nepalese, 3.45 (95% CI: 3.07-3.87) for Pakistanis, 1.95 (95% CI: 1.80-2.13) for Indians, 1.85 (95% CI: 1.60-2.13) for Sri Lankans, 1.59 (95% CI: 1.41-1.78) for Filipinos, 1.36 (95% CI: 1.15-1.61) for Sudanese, 1.33 (95% CI: 1.19-1.48) for Egyptians, and 0.95 (95% CI: 0.86-1.04) for Qataris. Compared to emergency department attendees, AOR was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.81-0.94) for

outpatients and 0.72 (95% CI: 0.66-0.78) for patients with home-care visits or follow-up consultations, and 1.19 (95% CI: 1.10-1.28) for inpatients. There was evidence of increasing seropositivity over time (Table 1 and Table S1 of Supplementary Information (SI)), but at a slow rate. The AOR (per day) was 1.002 (95% CI: 1.001-1.003; Table 1).

Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of antibody titers (optical density values) among the 18,844 antibody-positive persons. Optical density values ranged from 1.0 to 150.0 with a median of 27.0. Having higher antibody titers than the median was not associated with sex, but in the multivariable regression analysis they were independently associated with age, nationality, clinical-care type, and the calendar date of the antibody test (Table 2). Compared to those aged 20-29 years, the AOR was higher in children <10 years and adults aged 40-79 years. There were significant differences by nationality. AOR was 1.68 (95% CI: 1.45-1.94) for Bangladeshis, 1.54 (95% CI: 1.32-1.80) for Nepalese, 1.30 (95% CI: 1.05-1.61) for Filipinos, 1.22 (95% CI: 1.05-1.43) for Indians, 1.19 (95% CI: 0.98-1.44) for Pakistanis, 1.12 (95% CI: 0.87-1.44) for Sri Lankans, 1.04 (95% CI: 0.77-1.41) for Sudanese, 0.82 (95% CI: 0.67-1.01) for Egyptians, and 0.78 (95% CI: 0.65-0.94) for Qataris. Compared to emergency department attendees, inpatients had an AOR for higher antibody positivity of 0.38 (95% CI: 0.34-0.43), while no difference was found for outpatients or for patients with home-care visits or follow-up consultations. Having higher antibody titers increased with time (Table 2 and Table S2 of SI), with an AOR (per day) of 1.011 (95% CI: 1.010-1.013; Table 2).

There was a strong correlation between the AOR for higher antibody titers in each nationality and the corresponding SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence of that nationality (Figure 2). The Pearson correlation coefficient was 0.85 (95% CI: 0.47-0.96), possibly indicating that higher antibody titers correlate with repeated exposures to this coronavirus.

Of the 18,844 antibody-positive persons, 9,375 had a PCR-confirmed diagnosis prior to the antibody-positive test—47.1% (95% CI: 46.1-48.2%) (Table 3). Meanwhile, 1,085 of the 18,844 antibody-positive persons had or progressed to a severe infection, and 393 had or progressed to critical infection. Thus, the infection severity rate was 3.9% (95% CI: 3.7-4.2%), the infection criticality rate was 1.3% (95% CI: 1.1-1.4%), and the combined infection severity or criticality rate was 5.2% (95% CI: 4.9-5.5%). With exactly 100 COVID-19 deaths recorded among the antibody-positive persons, the infection fatality rate was 0.3% (95% CI: 0.2-0.3%).

#### Discussion

The above results indicate that <20% of the urban population of Qatar, which constitutes ~40% of the total population and includes nearly all older adults, manifests evidence of prior infection, substantially less than the seroprevalence in the CMW part of the population, which was estimated recently in a nationwide survey at 55.3% [13].

This finding suggests that the lockdown and imposed social and physical distancing restrictions have been more successful in slowing transmission in the urban population compared to the CMW population. Building on the totality of evidence on the Qatar epidemic [11-13,20,21], this is possibly due to differences in the dwelling structure, in that the urban population lives mostly in single-unit or family households that each includes a small number of individuals. Meanwhile, the CMW population lives mostly in large shared accommodations that each includes a large number of individuals. While the lockdown forced individuals to stay more at home, it is typical to have more social contacts every day at home in the large shared accommodations than in the single-unit or family households, thereby reducing the options for effective social and physical distancing. This outcome highlights the role of the "boarding school" effect in respiratory infection transmission, seen often in the intense influenza outbreaks in regular and boarding

schools [22,23]. This effect has been also seen in the large SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in nursing homes in Europe and the United States [24-26].

With a seroprevalence of <20%, the urban population of Qatar remains far below the herd immunity threshold, estimated at 60-70% infection exposure [21,27,28]. Accordingly, there exists a potential for subsequent waves of infection in this part of the population, though no second wave has materialized since the epidemic peaked in late May, 2020, seven months ago [12,20]. On the contrary, only a slow increase in seroprevalence has occurred since the peak of the first wave (Table S1 of SI), reflecting the actual low incidence of infection in Qatar over the last few months [20]. The absence of a second wave, despite the lack of a lockdown and easing of many social distancing restrictions, may be explained by an "immunity shield" effect [29] arising from the social mixing between the urban and CMW populations, and by effective implementation of " $R_i$  tuning", an adjustment of restrictions based on the  $R_i$  value, by national policymakers, to prevent a second wave [20].

There were significant differences in seropositivity by sex, age, and nationality. These are probably not due to biological differences, but to differences in the likelihood of exposure to the infection. Indeed, a small proportion of the specimens tested in this study belonged to CMWs who had a higher risk of exposure to the infection than the urban population [13,21]. While HMC provides healthcare primarily to the urban population and other providers cater to the CMW population, HMC is the main tertiary care center in Qatar, and was also the nationally designated provider for COVID-19 healthcare needs. Thus, it is likely that a small proportion of specimens, which cannot be estimated precisely, was drawn from CMWs who were hospitalized for COVID-19 or other reasons. This may explain the higher antibody positivity of young Bangladeshi, Indian, and Nepalese men (Table 1), who form the bulk of the CMW population

[13,21]. This may also explain the higher seroprevalence in the blood specimens drawn during inpatient or emergency clinical-care, which are more likely to be COVID-19-related, than those drawn during outpatient or home care/follow-up consultation clinical-care (Table 1). The higher exposure among men 20-69 years of age probably reflects their more frequent work and other activities outside the home, whereas men  $\geq$ 70 years of age, urged through public-health messaging to remain at home, were more likely to do so, out of concern about infection severity. The proportion of those antibody-positive who had a PCR-confirmed diagnosis prior to the antibody-positive test, was 47.1% (Table 3), much higher than the 9.3% in the CMW population [13], and that estimated for the total population of Qatar (11.6%) [20]. This is probably because study specimens were drawn from individuals receiving healthcare, including those hospitalized for COVID-19, people more likely to have been tested for the infection. This fact, along with the difference in age structure between the urban and CMW populations [4,8,9,13], may have resulted in higher estimates of infection severity, criticality, and fatality rates in this study (Table 3), compared to the study of the CMW population [13], or model predictions for the entire population of Qatar [30].

Strikingly, having a higher antibody titer varied by nationality, clinical-care type, and with time (Table 2). Variation by nationality is probably an indirect biomarker of re-exposure to infection, resulting in repeated immune-system reactivation. This is suggested by the very strong positive correlation between the odds of having a higher antibody titer and seroprevalence across the nationalities (Figure 2). Lower antibody titers were found in inpatients, but this may reflect COVID-19 hospitalizations for recent infections, so that there was not sufficient time for higher antibody titers to develop. There was a trend of increasing *higher antibody titers* over time, which may reflect the growing pool of infected persons who have had more time to develop

higher levels of detectable antibodies post-infection, or alternatively to being re-exposed to the infection.

This study has some limitations. The sample included individuals attending HMC for routine or other clinical care, but this population may not necessarily be representative of the wider urban population of Qatar. Some specimens may have been drawn from CMWs, who are not representative of the urban population. However, the large sample size, equivalent to  $\sim 10\%$  of the urban population of Qatar, as well as the probabilistic weighting used in the analysis may have reduced inherent biases in our sample. Laboratory methods were based on high-quality, validated commercial platforms, such as the Roche platform used for serological testing [16,31]. The Roche platform is one of the most extensively used and investigated commercial platforms, with a specificity  $\geq$ 99.8% [16,32,33] and a sensitivity  $\geq$ 89% [12,31,33]. However, it is possible that the less-than-perfect sensitivity, especially for those with recent infections, may have underestimated the actual seroprevalence. Indeed, a recent investigation of the performance of three automated, commercial, serological platforms in Qatar, including the Roche platform, found that each of them missed  $\geq 20\%$  of individuals with past or current infections [34]. In conclusion, fewer than two in every 10 individuals in the urban population of Qatar had detectable antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, suggesting that this population is still well below the herd immunity threshold and is potentially at risk from a subsequent epidemic wave. This emphasizes the need to maintain current social and physical distancing restrictions while SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations are being scaled up throughout the country. The findings also suggest that higher antibody titers appear to be a biomarker of repeated exposures to the infection.

#### Funding

The authors are grateful for support from Hamad Medical Corporation, the Ministry of Public Health, and the Biomedical Research Program, the Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Biomathematics Research Core, both at Weill Cornell Medicine-Qatar. The statements made herein are solely the responsibility of the authors.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Her Excellency Dr. Hanan Al Kuwari, Minister of Public Health, for her vision, guidance, leadership, and support. We also thank Dr. Saad Al Kaabi, Chair of the System Wide Incident Command and Control (SWICC) Committee for the COVID-19 national healthcare response, for his leadership, analytical insights, and for his instrumental role in enacting data information systems that made these studies possible. We further extend our appreciation to SWICC Committee and Scientific Reference and Research Taskforce (SRRT) members for their informative input, scientific technical advice, and enriching discussions. We also thank Dr. Mariam Abdulmalik, CEO of the Primary Health Care Corporation and the Chairperson of the Tactical Community Command Group on COVID-19, as well as members of this committee, for providing support to the teams that worked on the field surveillance. We further thank Dr. Nahla Afifi, Director of Qatar Biobank (QBB), Ms. Tasneem Al-Hamad, Ms. Eiman Al-Khayat and the rest of the QBB team for their unwavering support in retrieving and analyzing samples and in compiling and generating databases for COVID-19 infection, as well as Dr. Asmaa Al-Thani, Chairperson of the Qatar Genome Programme Committee and Board Vice Chairperson of QBB, for her leadership of this effort. We also acknowledge the dedicated efforts of the Clinical Coding Team and the COVID-19 Mortality Review Team, both at Hamad Medical Corporation, and the Surveillance Team at the Ministry of Public Health.

## **Author contributions**

PC conceived and designed this study and led its implementation and antibody testing. HC managed the databases, performed the statistical data analyses, and co-wrote the first draft of the manuscript. LJA led the statistical analyses and co-wrote the first draft of the article. All authors contributed to development of the study protocol, data collection, and acquisition, database development, discussions and interpretation of the results, and to the writing of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

We declare no competing interests.

Characteristics	Tested	Tested Antibody positive		Univariable regression F test		F test	Multivariable regression	
				analysis			analysis	
	N (%)	N (%*)	p-value	OR* (95% CI)	p-value	p-value <sup>†</sup>	AOR* (95% CI)	p-value <sup>‡</sup>
Sex								
Women	54,707 (48.4)	4,387 (8.0)	< 0.001	1.00			1.00	
Men	58,234 (51.6)	14,457 (18.3)		2.59 (2.44-2.73)	< 0.001	< 0.001	2.07 (1.95-2.21)	< 0.001
Age (years)								
<10	3,384 (3.0)	243 (7.1)	< 0.001	1.00			1.00	
10-19	5,557 (4.9)	407 (7.3)		1.04 (0.87-1.25)	0.633	< 0.001	1.21 (1.00-1.46)	0.049
20-29	19,271 (17.1)	2,867 (15.0)		2.33 (2.03-2.69)	< 0.001		2.04 (1.76-2.36)	< 0.001
30-39	31,622 (28.0)	5,533 (16.8)		2.67 (2.33-3.06)	< 0.001		2.21 (1.91-2.55)	< 0.001
40-49	23,582 (20.9)	4,876 (18.1)		2.91 (2.53-3.34)	< 0.001		2.47 (2.14-2.85)	< 0.001
50-59	16,363 (14.5)	3,220 (17.9)		2.87 (2.50-3.31)	< 0.001		2.46 (2.13-2.85)	< 0.001
60-69	8,639 (7.6)	1,281 (15.0)		2.32 (2.00-2.69)	< 0.001		2.12 (1.82-2.46)	< 0.001
70-79	3,192 (2.8)	315 (10.5)		1.54 (1.29-1.84)	< 0.001		1.73 (1.44-2.07)	< 0.001
80+	1,331 (1.2)	102 (7.5)		1.06 (0.83-1.36)	0.621		1.42 (1.10-1.83)	0.007
Nationality								
All other nationalities <sup>§</sup>	24,799 (22.0)	2,203 (8.0)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Bangladeshi	7,773 (6.9)	3,471 (41.9)		8.32 (7.66-9.04)	< 0.001		5.05 (4.63-5.50)	< 0.001
Nepalese	4,962 (4.4)	2,236 (38.2)		7.10 (6.43-7.85)	< 0.001		4.26 (3.87-4.69)	< 0.001
Pakistani	5,114 (4.5)	1,419 (23.9)		3.61 (3.23-4.04)	< 0.001		3.45 (3.07-3.87)	< 0.001
Indian	18,590 (16.5)	4,114 (17.5)		2.44 (2.24-2.65)	< 0.001		1.95 (1.80-2.13)	< 0.001
Sri Lankan	2,252 (2.0)	556 (17.3)		2.40 (2.06-2.81)	< 0.001		1.85 (1.60-2.13)	< 0.001
Filipino	7,085 (6.3)	1,100 (12.1)		1.59 (1.42-1.77)	< 0.001		1.59 (1.41-1.78)	< 0.001
Sudanese	3,954 (3.5)	466 (11.3)		1.47 (1.25-1.73)	< 0.001		1.36 (1.15-1.61)	< 0.001
Egyptian	9,329 (8.3)	1,150 (10.7)		1.38 (1.23-1.53)	< 0.001		1.33 (1.19-1.48)	< 0.001
Qatari	29,083 (25.8)	2,129 (7.1)		0.88 (0.80-0.97)	0.008		0.95 (0.86-1.04)	0.266
Clinical-care encounter type								
Emergency	18,473 (16.4)	3,333 (14.2)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Inpatient	23,720 (21.0)	6,308 (19.4)		1.45 (1.35-1.57)	< 0.001		1.19 (1.10-1.28)	< 0.001
Outpatient	32,146 (28.5)	5,264 (13.1)		0.91 (0.85-0.98)	0.011		0.87 (0.81-0.94)	0.001
Home care/follow-up consultations	38,602 (34.2)	3,939 (9.2)		0.61 (0.56-0.66)	< 0.001		0.72 (0.66-0.78)	< 0.001
Antibody test date								
Calendar date (a linear term)	112,941 (100.0)	18,844 (13.3)	< 0.001	0.999 (0.998-1.000)	0.123	0.123	1.002 (1.001-1.003)	< 0.001

Table 1. Characteristics of tested individuals (112,941) and antibody positivity.

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

\*Estimates are proportions of antibody-positive persons among those tested, weighted by sex, age, and nationality. \*Covariates with p-value  $\leq 0.2$  in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis.

<sup>\*</sup>Covariates with p-value  $\leq 0.05$  in the multivariable analysis were considered strong predictors of anti-SARS-CoV-2 positivity.

<sup>§</sup>These include other nationalities residing in Qatar.



**Figure 1.** Distribution of antibody titers (optical density values) among the 18,844 antibody-positive individuals identified in this study.

Characteristics	Tested	Higher antibo than the media	•	Univariable regressi analysis	on	F test	Multivariable regres	sion
	N (%)	N (%*)	p-value	OR* (95% CI)	p-value	p- value†	AOR* (95% CI)	p-value <sup>‡</sup>
Sex								
Women	4,387 (23.3)	2,175 (52.1)	0.702	1.00		0.702		
Men	14,457 (76.7)	7,244 (51.6)		0.98 (0.88-1.09)	0.702			
Age (years)	, , , ,							
20-298	2,867 (15.2)	1,360 (47.6)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
<10	243 (1.3)	170 (70.0)		2.57 (1.92-3.45)	< 0.001		2.85 (2.12-3.83)	< 0.001
10-19	407 (2.2)	210 (54.0)		1.30 (1.02-1.65)	0.036		1.19 (0.90-1.56)	0.220
30-39	5,533 (29.4)	2,701 (48.1)		1.02 (0.92-1.13)	0.692		0.98 (0.88-1.09)	0.678
40-49	4,876 (25.9)	2,442 (50.1)		1.11 (1.00-1.22)	0.051		1.13 (1.02-1.26)	0.025
50-59	3,220 (17.1)	1,634 (50.8)		1.14 (1.02-1.27)	0.020		1.20 (1.07-1.35)	0.002
60-69	1,281 (6.8)	700 (54.2)		1.31 (1.14-1.50)	< 0.001		1.46 (1.26-1.70)	< 0.001
70-79	315 (1.7)	158 (49.7)		1.09 (0.86-1.38)	0.480		1.38 (1.07-1.77)	0.012
80+	102 (0.5)	44 (41.7)		0.79 (0.52-1.19)	0.254		1.27 (0.82-1.97)	0.281
Nationality	, í						Ì, í	
All other nationalities <sup>**</sup>	2,203 (11.7)	1,064 (51.7)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Bangladeshi	3471 (18.4)	1,946 (56.2)		1.20 (1.04-1.38)	0.012		1.68 (1.45-1.94)	< 0.001
Nepalese	2,236 (11.9)	1,099 (49.3)		0.91 (0.78-1.06)	0.210		1.54 (1.32-1.80)	< 0.001
Filipino	1,100 (5.8)	507 (46.2)		0.80 (0.65-0.99)	0.038		1.30 (1.05-1.61)	0.017
Indian	4,114 (21.8)	2,027 (51.0)		0.97 (0.84-1.13)	0.699		1.22 (1.05-1.43)	0.011
Pakistani	1,419 (7.5)	731 (55.5)		1.16 (0.96-1.41)	0.124		1.19 (0.98-1.44)	0.076
Sri Lankan	556 (3.0)	242 (45.2)		0.77 (0.59-0.99)	0.044		1.12 (0.87-1.44)	0.392
Sudanese	466 (2.5)	264 (53.2)		1.06 (0.78-1.44)	0.712		1.04 (0.77-1.41)	0.789
Egyptian	1,150 (6.1)	535 (49.0)		0.90 (0.73-1.10)	0.283		0.82 (0.67-1.01)	0.062
Qatari	2,129 (11.3)	1,004 (51.8)		1.00 (0.84-1.21)	0.959		0.78 (0.65-0.94)	0.010
Clinical-care encounter type								
Emergency	3,333 (17.7)	1,866 (58.5)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Outpatient	5,264 (27.9)	3,189 (60.7)		1.10 (0.97-1.25)	0.136		1.06 (0.94-1.21)	0.334
Home care/follow-up consultations	3,939 (20.9)	2,195 (58.3)		0.99 (0.86-1.14)	0.915		1.00 (0.87-1.15)	0.987
Inpatient	6,308 (33.5)	2,169 (35.2)		0.39 (0.34-0.44)	< 0.001		0.38 (0.34-0.43)	< 0.001
Antibody test date								
Calendar date (a linear term)	18,844 (100.0)	9,419 (51.8)	< 0.001	1.014 (1.012-1.016)	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.011 (1.010-1.013)	< 0.001

**Table 2.** Associations of antibody titers (optical densities) higher than the median value ( $\geq 27.0$ ) among the 18,844 antibody-positive individuals.

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

\*Estimates are proportions of persons with antibody titers higher than the median among those antibody-positive, weighted by sex, age, and nationality.

<sup>†</sup>Covariates with p-value  $\leq 0.2$  in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis.

<sup>‡</sup>Covariates with p-value ≤0.05 in the multivariable analysis were considered strong predictors of anti-SARS-CoV-2 positivity.

<sup>8</sup>The 20-29 age group was chosen as the reference group (instead of the <10 age group) because of the larger sample size and for epidemiological relevance.

\*\*These include other nationalities residing in Qatar.

**Figure 2.** Adjusted odds ratios for higher antibody titers (optical densities higher than the median value of 27.0) for each nationality (Table 3) versus the corresponding SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence for that nationality (Table 1).



Epidemiological measure	Sample (denominator)	Positive for outcome (numerator)	Estimate in % (95% CI)
Sample antibody positivity (seropositivity)	112,941	18,844	16.7 (16.5-16.9)
Weighted antibody positivity (seropositivity)	112,941	18,844	13.3 (13.1-13.6)*
Proportion with prior PCR-confirmed diagnosis <sup>†</sup>	18,844	9,375	47.1 (46.1-48.2)*
Infection severity rate <sup>‡</sup>	18,844	1,085	3.9 (3.7-4.2)*
Infection criticality rate <sup>8</sup>	18,844	393	1.3 (1.1-1.4)*
Infection severity or criticality rate <sup>**</sup>	18,844	1,478	5.2 (4.9-5.5)*
Infection fatality rate <sup>††</sup>	18,844	100	0.3 (0.2-0.3)*

#### Table 3. Kev SARS-CoV-2 epidemiological measures assessed in the study.

PCR, polymerase chain reaction; CI, confidence interval. \*Estimates weighted by sex, age, and nationality.

<sup>†</sup>Proportion of antibody-positive persons who had a prior SARS-CoV-2 PCR-confirmed diagnosis.

<sup>\*</sup>Number of infections that were severe per World Health Organization criteria [15] over the total number of antibody-positive persons.

<sup>§</sup>Number of infections that were critical per World Health Organization criteria [15] over the total number of antibody-positive persons.

\*\*Number of infections that were severe or critical per World Health Organization criteria [15] over the total number of antibody-positive persons. <sup>††</sup>Number of COVID-19 deaths per World Health Organization criteria [15] over the total number of antibody-positive persons.

## References

- 1 Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2020.
- 2 Jackson LA, Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, Roberts PC, Makhene M, Coler RN, et al. An mRNA Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 - Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:1920-31.
- 3 Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, Weckx LY, Folegatti PM, Aley PK, et al. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet. 2020.
- 4 Planning and Statistics Authority- State of Qatar. Qatar Monthly Statistics. Available from: <u>https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/pages/default.aspx</u>. Accessed on: may 26,2020. 2020.
- Planning and Statistics Authority-State of Qatar. The Simplified Census of Population, Housing & Establishments. Available from:
   <a href="https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Population/Population/2018/Pop">https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Population/Population/2018/Pop</a>

ulation\_social\_1\_2018\_AE.pdf Accessed on: April 2, 2020. 2019.

- 6 Hamad Medical Corporation. SARS-CoV-2 hospitalizations and care. 2020.
- 7 Ministry of Public Health-State of Qatar. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Available from: <u>https://covid19.moph.gov.qa/EN/Pages/default.aspx</u>. Accessed on: May 25, 2020. 2020.
- 8 Ministry of Interior-State of Qatar. Population distribution by sex, age, and nationality: results of Kashef database. 2020.
- 9 Planning and Statistics Authority- State of Qatar. Labor force sample survey. Available from: <u>https://www.psa.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/LaborForce/2017/statistical</u> analysis labor force 2017 En.pdf. Accessed on: May 01, 2020. 2017.
- 10 De Bel-Air F. Demography, Migration, and Labour Market in Qatar. Available from: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323129801 Demography Migration and Labour</u> <u>Market in Qatar- UPDATED June 2017</u>. Accessed on May 01, 2020. Gulf Labour Markets and Migration., 2018 Contract No.: GLMM - Explanatory Note - No. 3/2017.
- 11 Al Kuwari HM, Abdul Rahim HF, Abu-Raddad LJ, Abou-Samra A-B, Al Kanaani Z, Al Khal A, et al. Epidemiological investigation of the first 5685 cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Qatar, 28 February–18 April 2020. BMJ Open. 2020;10:e040428.
- 12 Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, Al Kanaani Z, Al Khal A, Al Kuwari E, et al. Characterizing the Qatar advanced-phase SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. medRxiv. 2020:2020.07.16.20155317v2 (non-peer-reviewed preprint).
- Al-Thani MH, Farag E, Bertollini R, Al Romaihi HE, Abdeen S, Abdelkarim A, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the craft and manual worker population of Qatar. medRxiv.
   2020:2020.11.24.20237719 (non-peer-reviewed preprint).
- 14 Hamad Medical Corporation. National SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing, infection severity, and hospitalization database. 2020.
- 15 World Health Organization. Clinical management of COVID-19. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail/clinical-management-of-covid-19</u>. Accessed on: May 31st 2020. 2020.
- 16 The Roche Group. Roche's COVID-19 antibody test receives FDA Emergency Use Authorization and is available in markets accepting the CE mark. Available from: <u>https://www.roche.com/media/releases/med-cor-2020-05-03.htm</u>. Accessed on: June 5, 2020. 2020.

- 17 Kalikiri MKR, Hasan MR, Mirza F, Xaba T, Tang P, Lorenz S. High-throughput extraction of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from nasopharyngeal swabs using solid-phase reverse immobilization beads. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.08.20055731.
- 18 Primary Health Care Corporation. Primary Health Care Corporation services registered users database. 2020.
- 19 Priya Dsouza Communications. Population of Qatar by nationality 2019 report. Available from: https://priyadsouza.com/population-of-gatar-by-nationality-in-2017/. 2019.
- 20 Ayoub HH, Chemaitelly H, Seedat S, Makhoul M, Al Kanaani Z, Al Khal A, et al. Mathematical modeling of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Qatar and its impact on the national response to COVID-19. medRxiv. 2020:2020.11.08.20184663 (non-peer-reviewed preprint).
- 21 Jeremijenko A, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, Abdulla MAH, Abou-Samra AB, Al Ajmi JAAA, et al. Evidence for and level of herd immunity against SARS-CoV-2 infection: the ten-community study. medRxiv. 2020:2020.09.24.20200543 (non-peer-reviewed preprint).
- <sup>22</sup> Jackson C, Vynnycky E, Hawker J, Olowokure B, Mangtani P. School closures and influenza: systematic review of epidemiological studies. BMJ Open. 2013;3.
- 23 Glatman-Freedman A, Portelli I, Jacobs SK, Mathew JI, Slutzman JE, Goldfrank LR, et al. Attack rates assessment of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza A in children and their contacts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2012;7:e50228.
- Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, Kimball A, James A, Jacobs JR, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and Transmission in a Skilled Nursing Facility. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:2081-90.
- 25 Burton JK, Bayne G, Evans C, Garbe F, Gorman D, Honhold N, et al. Evolution and effects of COVID-19 outbreaks in care homes: a population analysis in 189 care homes in one geographical region of the UK. The Lancet Healthy Longevity. 2020;1:e21-e31.
- Ladhani SN, Chow JY, Janarthanan R, Fok J, Crawley-Boevey E, Vusirikala A, et al. Investigation of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in six care homes in London, April 2020. EClinicalMedicine.
   2020;26:100533.
- 27 Anderson RM, Heesterbeek H, Klinkenberg D, Hollingsworth TD. How will country-based mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic? Lancet. 2020;395:931-4.
- 28 Britton T, Ball F, Trapman P. A mathematical model reveals the influence of population heterogeneity on herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Science. 2020;369:846-9.
- 29 Weitz JS, Beckett SJ, Coenen AR, Demory D, Dominguez-Mirazo M, Dushoff J, et al. Modeling shield immunity to reduce COVID-19 epidemic spread. Nat Med. 2020;26:849-54.
- Seedat S, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub H, Makhoul M, Mumtaz GR, Al Kanaani Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection hospitalization, severity, criticality, and fatality rates. medRxiv.
   2020:2020.11.29.20240416 (non-peer-reviewed preprint).
- 31 Jahrsdörfer B, Kroschel J, Ludwig C, Corman VM, Schwarz T, Körper S, et al. Independent side-byside validation and comparison of four serological platforms for SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 32 Public Health England. Evaluation of Roche Elecsys AntiSARS-CoV-2 serology assay for the detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_dat a/file/891598/Evaluation\_of\_Roche\_Elecsys\_anti\_SARS\_CoV\_2\_PHE\_200610\_v8.1\_FINAL.pdf. Accessed on June 5, 2020. 2020.
- 33 Oved K, Olmer L, Shemer-Avni Y, Wolf T, Supino-Rosin L, Prajgrod G, et al. Multi-center nationwide comparison of seven serology assays reveals a SARS-CoV-2 non-responding seronegative subpopulation. EClinicalMedicine. 2020;29:100651.
- 34 Nasrallah GK, Dargham SR, Shurrab F, Al-Sadeq DW, Al-Jighefee H, Chemaitelly H, et al. Are commercial antibody assays substantially underestimating SARS-CoV-2 ever infection? An

analysis on a population-based sample in a high exposure setting. medRxiv. 2020:2020.12.14.20248163.

## **Supplementary Information:**

# SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in the urban population of Qatar: An analysis of antibody testing on a sample of 112,941 individuals

Characteristics	Tested	Antibody posit	ive	Univariable regr analysis	ession	F test	Multivariable regression analysis	
	N (%)	N (% <sup>*</sup> )	p-value	OR* (95% CI)	p-value	p-value <sup>†</sup>	AOR* (95% CI)	p-value <sup>‡</sup>
Sex								
Women	54,707 (48.4)	4,387 (8.0)	< 0.001	1.00			1.00	
Men	58,234 (51.6)	14,457 (18.3)		2.59 (2.44-2.73)	< 0.001	< 0.001	2.08 (1.95-2.21)	< 0.001
Age (years)								
<10	3,384 (3.0)	243 (7.1)	< 0.001	1.00			1.00	
10-19	5,557 (4.9)	407 (7.3)		1.04 (0.87-1.25)	0.633	< 0.001	1.20 (1.00-1.45)	0.056
20-29	19,271 (17.1)	2,867 (15.0)		2.33 (2.03-2.69)	< 0.001		2.03 (1.75-2.35)	< 0.001
30-39	31,622 (28.0)	5,533 (16.8)		2.67 (2.33-3.06)	< 0.001		2.20 (1.90-2.54)	< 0.001
40-49	23,582 (20.9)	4,876 (18.1)		2.91 (2.53-3.34)	< 0.001		2.45 (2.13-2.83)	< 0.001
50-59	16,363 (14.5)	3,220 (17.9)		2.87 (2.50-3.31)	< 0.001		2.45 (2.12-2.83)	< 0.001
60-69	8,639 (7.6)	1,281 (15.0)		2.32 (2.00-2.69)	< 0.001		2.10 (1.81-2.44)	< 0.001
70-79	3,192 (2.8)	315 (10.5)		1.54 (1.29-1.84)	< 0.001		1.71 (1.43-2.06)	< 0.001
80+	1,331 (1.2)	102 (7.5)		1.06 (0.83-1.36)	0.621		1.40 (1.09-1.81)	0.009
Nationality								
All other nationalities <sup>§</sup>	24,799 (22.0)	2,203 (8.0)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Bangladeshi	7,773 (6.9)	3,471 (41.9)		8.32 (7.66-9.04)	< 0.001		5.02 (4.60-5.47)	< 0.001
Nepalese	4,962 (4.4)	2,236 (38.2)		7.10 (6.43-7.85)	< 0.001		4.23 (3.84-4.66)	< 0.001
Pakistani	5,114 (4.5)	1,419 (23.9)		3.61 (3.23-4.04)	< 0.001		3.43 (3.06-3.85)	< 0.001
Indian	18,590 (16.5)	4,114 (17.5)		2.44 (2.24-2.65)	< 0.001		1.95 (1.79-2.12)	< 0.001
Sri Lankan	2,252 (2.0)	556 (17.3)		2.40 (2.06-2.81)	< 0.001		1.84 (1.59-2.13)	< 0.001
Filipino	7,085 (6.3)	1,100 (12.1)		1.59 (1.42-1.77)	< 0.001		1.58 (1.41-1.78)	< 0.001
Sudanese	3,954 (3.5)	466 (11.3)		1.47 (1.25-1.73)	< 0.001		1.36 (1.15-1.61)	< 0.001
Egyptian	9,329 (8.3)	1,150 (10.7)		1.38 (1.23-1.53)	< 0.001		1.33 (1.19-1.48)	< 0.001
Qatari	29,083 (25.8)	2,129 (7.1)		0.88 (0.80-0.97)	0.008		0.95 (0.86-1.04)	0.264
Clinical-care encounter type								
Emergency	18,473 (16.4)	3,333 (14.2)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Inpatient	23,720 (21.0)	6,308 (19.4)		1.45 (1.35-1.57)	< 0.001		1.17 (1.08-1.27)	< 0.001
Outpatient	32,146 (28.5)	5,264 (13.1)		0.91 (0.85-0.98)	0.011		0.87 (0.80-0.94)	< 0.001
Home care/follow-up consultations	38,602 (34.2)	3,939 (9.2)		0.61 (0.56-0.66)	< 0.001		0.70 (0.65-0.76)	< 0.001
Antibody test date								
16 Jun-30 Jun**	11,675 (10.3)	1,919 (11.9)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
12 May-31 May	716 (0.6)	243 (26.2)		2.62 (2.10-3.27)	< 0.001		1.66 (1.34-2.07)	< 0.001
01 Jun-15 Jun	2,753 (2.4)	704 (18.1)		1.63 (1.43-1.87)	< 0.001		1.50 (1.31-1.73)	< 0.001
01 Jul-15 Jul	16,294 (14.4)	2,555 (13.2)		1.12 (1.02-1.23)	0.021		1.21 (1.10-1.34)	< 0.001
16 Jul-31 Jul	19,808 (17.5)	3,249 (13.0)		1.11 (1.01-1.21)	0.034		1.21 (1.10-1.34)	< 0.001

**Table S1.** Results of regression analyses for the association with antibody positivity where antibody test date has been included as a categorical term.

01 Aug-15 Aug	17,319 (15.3) 3,136 (14.3)	1.23 (1.12-1.35) <0.001	1.24 (1.13-1.37) <0.001
16-Aug-31 Aug	29,868 (26.4) 4,759 (13.1)	1.11 (1.02-1.21) 0.018	1.35 (1.23-1.48) <0.001
01 Sep-09 Sep	14,508 (12.8) 2,279 (13.2)	1.12 (1.01-1.24) 0.030	1.41 (1.26-1.57) <0.001

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. \*Estimates are proportions of antibody-positive persons out of those tested weighted by sex, age, and nationality. \*Covariates with p-value  $\leq 0.2$  in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis. \*Covariates with p-value  $\leq 0.05$  in the multivariable analysis were considered strong predictors of anti-SARS-CoV-2 positivity.

<sup>§</sup>These include other nationalities residing in Qatar.

\*\*This category was chosen as a reference given the larger sample size and because of the progressive easing of the social and physical distancing restrictions since June 15, 2020 [21].

Characteristics	Tested	Higher antibod the median	ly titers than	Univariable reg	ression	F test	Multivariable re	gression
	NL (0/ )			analysis			analysis	
Sex	N (%)	N (%*)	p-value	OR* (95% CI)	p-value	p-value <sup>†</sup>	AOR* (95% CI)	p-value <sup>‡</sup>
	1 205 (22.2)	0.155 (50.1)	0.702	1.00		0.702		
Women Men	4,387 (23.3)	2,175 (52.1)	0.702	1.00	0.702	0.702		
	14,457 (76.7)	7,244 (51.6)		0.98 (0.88-1.09)	0.702			
Age (years)			-0.001	1.00		-0.001	1.00	
20-29 <sup>§</sup>	2,867 (15.2)	1,360 (47.6)	< 0.001	1.00	-0.001	<0.001	1.00	-0.001
<10	243 (1.3)	170 (70.0)		2.57 (1.92-3.45)	< 0.001		2.94 (2.19-3.96)	< 0.001
10-19	407 (2.2)	210 (54.0)		1.30 (1.02-1.65)	0.036		1.21 (0.92-1.59)	0.179
30-39	5,533 (29.4)	2,701 (48.1)		1.02 (0.92-1.13)	0.692		0.99 (0.89-1.10)	0.816
40-49	4,876 (25.9)	2,442 (50.1)		1.11 (1.00-1.22)	0.051		1.16 (1.04-1.30)	0.007
50-59	3,220 (17.1)	1,634 (50.8)		1.14 (1.02-1.27)	0.020		1.24 (1.10-1.40)	< 0.001
60-69	1,281 (6.8)	700 (54.2)		1.31 (1.14-1.50)	< 0.001		1.51 (1.30-1.76)	< 0.001
70-79	315 (1.7)	158 (49.7)		1.09 (0.86-1.38)	0.480		1.43 (1.11-1.84)	0.005
80+	102 (0.5)	44 (41.7)		0.79 (0.52-1.19)	0.254		1.30 (0.83-2.02)	0.247
Nationality								
All other nationalities <sup>**</sup>	2,203 (11.7)	1,064 (51.7)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Bangladeshi	3471 (18.4)	1,946 (56.2)		1.20 (1.04-1.38)	0.012		1.69 (1.46-1.95)	< 0.001
Nepalese	2,236 (11.9)	1,099 (49.3)		0.91 (0.78-1.06)	0.210		1.54 (1.32-1.81)	< 0.001
Filipino	1,100 (5.8)	507 (46.2)		0.80 (0.65-0.99)	0.038		1.31 (1.05-1.62)	0.016
Indian	4,114 (21.8)	2,027 (51.0)		0.97 (0.84-1.13)	0.699		1.23 (1.05-1.43)	0.010
Pakistani	1,419 (7.5)	731 (55.5)		1.16 (0.96-1.41)	0.124		1.19 (0.98-1.44)	0.077
Sri Lankan	556 (3.0)	242 (45.2)		0.77 (0.59-0.99)	0.044		1.11 (0.87-1.43)	0.395
Sudanese	466 (2.5)	264 (53.2)		1.06 (0.78-1.44)	0.712		1.04 (0.77-1.40)	0.802
Egyptian	1,150 (6.1)	535 (49.0)		0.90 (0.73-1.10)	0.283		0.83 (0.68-1.01)	0.068
Qatari	2,129 (11.3)	1,004 (51.8)		1.00 (0.84-1.21)	0.959		0.80 (0.66-0.96)	0.018
Clinical-care encounter type								
Emergency	3,333 (17.7)	1,866 (58.5)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
Outpatient	5,264 (27.9)	3,189 (60.7)		1.10 (0.97-1.25)	0.136		1.11 (0.98-1.26)	0.113
Home care/follow-up consultations	3,939 (20.9)	2,195 (58.3)		0.99 (0.86-1.14)	0.915		1.03 (0.90-1.19)	0.628
Inpatient	6,308 (33.5)	2,169 (35.2)		0.39 (0.34-0.44)	< 0.001		0.39 (0.34-0.45)	< 0.001
Antibody test date	-,,	, (,		,				
12 May-30 Jun	2,866 (15.2)	846 (31.1)	< 0.001	1.00		< 0.001	1.00	
01 Jul-15 Jul	2,555 (13.6)	1,190 (46.2)		1.91 (1.62-2.24)	< 0.001		1.83 (1.53-2.18)	< 0.001
16 Jul-31 Jul	3,249 (17.2)	1,649 (52.4)		2.44 (2.09-2.85)	< 0.001		2.22 (1.88-2.63)	< 0.001
01 Aug-15 Aug	3,136 (16.6)	1,745 (56.0)		2.82 (2.41-3.29)	< 0.001		2.51 (2.13-2.96)	< 0.001
16-Aug-31 Aug	4,759 (25.3)	2,719 (58.6)		3.14 (2.72-3.62)	< 0.001		2.68 (2.29-3.13)	< 0.001

**Table S2.** Associations for having a higher antibody titer (optical density) than the median value ( $\geq 27.0$ ) among 18,844 antibody positive individuals, where antibody test date has been included as a categorical term.

01 Sep-09 Sep	2,279 (12.1)	1,270 ((58.3)	3.10 (2.60-3.68) <0.001	2.52 (2.10-3.03)	< 0.001

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

\*Estimates are proportions of persons with antibody titers higher than the median among those antibody-positive, weighted by sex, age, and nationality.

<sup>†</sup>Covariates with p-value ≤0.2 in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis.

<sup>‡</sup>Covariates with p-value  $\leq 0.05$  in the multivariable analysis were considered strong predictors of anti-SARS-CoV-2 positivity.

<sup>8</sup>The 20-29 age group was chosen as the reference group (instead of the <10 age group) because of the larger sample size and for epidemiological relevance.

\*\*These include other nationalities residing in Qatar.