



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

data from those patients who were not discharged by the end timepoint were not included. However, as a true population at risk of mortality, these patients are representative of the earliest onset of COVID-19. Excluding patients who began treatment well into the epidemic brings homogeneity to the exposure level and treatment. These preliminary data provide an important framework to build on as the world moves forward in the fight against this pandemic. The timeliness and value of this information far outweigh the slight bias stemming from the exclusion of patients with incomplete data at the end of the study period.

The report by Zhou and colleagues also provides data on viral shedding.<sup>2</sup> Throat swabs were obtained every other day and were PCR positive for a median of 20.0 days (IQR 16.0–23.0) after onset of symptoms. In survivors, median duration of viral shedding was 20.0 days (17.0–24.0), ranging from 8 to 37 days, but the virus was detectable until death in non-survivors. These early findings are similar to those reported for the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronaviruses,<sup>10–12</sup> and we await more detailed information on viral load kinetics and shedding of SARS coronavirus 2 in various disease states. Importantly, PCR positivity does not necessarily indicate viable virus, and additional data are needed to better understand the infectious period of COVID-19 and implications for treatment and infection control.

Although there is always the limitation of generalisability in epidemic investigations, this study adds to a rapidly growing knowledge base on the clinical course and mortality risk of COVID-19. We now have a better understanding of the severity of hospitalised COVID-19,

but more data are needed on treatment options that improve survival.

We declare no competing interests.

Paul Weiss, \*David R Murdoch  
david.murdoch@otago.ac.nz

Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA (PW);  
and University of Otago, Christchurch, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand (DRM)

- 1 Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020; published online Feb 24. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.2648.
- 2 Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020. published online March 9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30566-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3).
- 3 Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 507–13.
- 4 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 497–506.
- 5 Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 2020; published online Feb 7. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.1585.
- 6 Ahnert P, Creutz P, Horn K, et al. Sequential organ failure assessment score is an excellent operationalization of disease severity of adult patients with hospitalized community acquired pneumonia—results from the prospective observational PROGRESS study. *Crit Care* 2019; **23**: 110.
- 7 Snijders D, School M, School M, Bartels PC, van der Werf TS, Boersma WG. D-dimer levels in assessing severity and clinical outcome in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. A secondary analysis of a randomised clinical trial. *Eur J Intern Med* 2012; **23**: 436–41.
- 8 Guan W-J, Ni Z-Y, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020; published online Feb 28. DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.
- 9 Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; published online Feb 24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-6000\(20\)30079-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-6000(20)30079-5).
- 10 Cheng PKC, Wong DA, Tong LKL, et al. Viral shedding patterns of coronavirus in patients with probable severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* 2004; **363**: 1699–700.
- 11 Corman VM, Albarak AM, Omrani AS, et al. Viral shedding and antibody response in 37 patients with Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; **62**: 477–83.
- 12 Oh M-D, Park WB, Choe PG, et al. Viral load kinetics of MERS coronavirus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **375**: 1303–05.

## COVID-19: towards controlling of a pandemic

During the past 3 weeks, new major epidemic foci of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), some without traceable origin, have been identified and are rapidly expanding in Europe, North America, Asia, and the Middle East, with the first confirmed cases being identified in African and Latin American countries. By March 16, 2020, the number of cases of COVID-19 outside China had increased drastically and the number of affected countries, states, or territories reporting infections to WHO was 143.<sup>1</sup> On the basis of “alarming levels of spread and severity, and

by the alarming levels of inaction”, on March 11, 2020, the Director-General of WHO characterised the COVID-19 situation as a pandemic.<sup>2</sup>

The WHO Strategic and Technical Advisory Group for Infectious Hazards (STAG-IH) regularly reviews and updates its risk assessment of COVID-19 to make recommendations to the WHO health emergencies programme. STAG-IH’s most recent formal meeting on March 12, 2020, included an update of the global COVID-19 situation and an overview of the research priorities established by the



Published Online  
March 16, 2020  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30673-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30673-5)

WHO Research and Development Blueprint Scientific Advisory Group that met on March 2, 2020, in Geneva, Switzerland, to prioritise the recommendations of an earlier meeting on COVID-19 research held in early February, 2020.<sup>3</sup> In this Comment, we outline STAG-IH's understanding of control activities with the group's risk assessment and recommendations.

To respond to COVID-19, many countries are using a combination of containment and mitigation activities with the intention of delaying major surges of patients and levelling the demand for hospital beds, while protecting the most vulnerable from infection, including elderly people and those with comorbidities. Activities to accomplish these goals vary and are based on national risk assessments that many times include estimated numbers of patients requiring hospitalisation and availability of hospital beds and ventilation support. Most national response strategies include varying levels of contact tracing and self-isolation or quarantine; promotion of public health measures, including handwashing, respiratory etiquette, and social distancing; preparation of health systems for a surge of severely ill patients who require isolation, oxygen, and mechanical ventilation; strengthening health facility infection prevention and control, with special attention to nursing home facilities; and postponement or cancellation of large-scale public gatherings.

Some lower-income and middle-income countries require technical and financial support to successfully respond to COVID-19, and many African, Asian, and Latin American nations are rapidly developing the capacity for PCR testing for COVID-19.

Based on more than 500 genetic sequences submitted to GISAID (the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data), the virus has not drifted to significant strain difference and changes in sequence are minimal. There is no evidence to link sequence information with transmissibility or virulence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2),<sup>1</sup> the virus that causes COVID-19.

SARS-CoV-2, like other emerging high-threat pathogens, has infected health-care workers in China<sup>4,5</sup> and several other countries. To date, however, in China, where infection prevention and control was taken seriously, nosocomial transmission has not been a major amplifier of transmission in this epidemic. Epidemiological records in China suggest that up to 85% of human-to-human transmission has occurred in

family clusters<sup>4</sup> and that 2055 health-care workers have become infected, with an absence of major nosocomial outbreaks and some supporting evidence that some health-care workers acquired infection in their families.<sup>4,5</sup> These findings suggest that close and unprotected exposure is required for transmission by direct contact or by contact with fomites in the immediate environment of those with infection. Continuing reports from outside China suggest the same means of transmission to close contacts and persons who attended the same social events or were in circumscribed areas such as office spaces or cruise ships.<sup>6,7</sup>

Intensified case finding and contact tracing are considered crucial by most countries and are being undertaken to attempt to locate cases and to stop onward transmission. Confirmation of infection at present consists of PCR for acute infection, and although many serological tests to identify antibodies are being developed they require validation with well characterised sera before they are reliable for general use.

From studies of viral shedding in patients with mild and more severe infections, shedding seems to be greatest during the early phase of disease (Myoung-don Oh and Gabriel Leung, WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Control, School of Public Health, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region, China, personal communication).<sup>8,9</sup> The role, if any, of asymptomatic carriers in transmitting infection is not yet completely understood.<sup>4</sup> Presymptomatic infectiousness is a concern (Myoung-don Oh and Gabriel Leung, personal communication)<sup>8,9</sup> and many countries are now using 1–2 days of symptom onset as the start day for contact identification.

A comprehensive report published by the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention on the epidemiological characteristics of 72 314 patients with COVID-19 confirmed previous understanding that most known infections cause mild disease, with a case fatality ratio that ranged from 2.9% in Hubei province to 0.4% in the other Chinese provinces.<sup>5</sup> This report also suggested that elderly people, particularly those older than 80 years, and people with comorbidities, such as cardiac disease, respiratory disease, and diabetes, are at greatest risk of serious disease and death. The case definition used in China changed several times as COVID-19 progressed, making it difficult to completely

For GISAID see <https://www.gisaid.org/>

characterise the natural history of infection, including the mortality ratio.<sup>4</sup> Information on mortality and contributing factors from outbreak sites in other countries varies greatly, and seems to be influenced by such factors as age of patients, associated comorbidities, availability of isolation facilities for acute care for patients who need respiratory support, and surge capacity of the health-care system. Individuals in care facilities for older people are at particular risk of serious disease as shown in the report of a series of deaths in an elderly care facility in the USA.<sup>10</sup>

The pandemic of COVID-19 has clearly entered a new stage with rapid spread in countries outside China and all members of society must understand and practise measures for self-protection and for prevention of transmission of infection to others. STAG-IH makes the following recommendations.

First, countries need to rapidly and robustly increase their preparedness, readiness, and response actions based on their national risk assessment and the four WHO transmission scenarios<sup>11</sup> for countries with no cases, first cases, first clusters, and community transmission and spread (4Cs).

Second, all countries should consider a combination of response measures: case and contact finding; containment or other measures that aim to delay the onset of patient surges where feasible; and measures such as public awareness, promotion of personal protective hygiene, preparation of health systems for a surge of severely ill patients, stronger infection prevention and control in health facilities, nursing homes, and long-term care facilities, and postponement or cancellation of large-scale public gatherings.

Third, countries with no or a few first cases of COVID-19 should consider active surveillance for timely case finding; isolate, test, and trace every contact in containment; practise social distancing; and ready their health-care systems and populations for spread of infection.

Fourth, lower-income and middle-income countries that request support from WHO should be fully supported technically and financially. Financial support should be sought by countries and by WHO, including from the World Bank Pandemic Emergency Financing Facility and other mechanisms.<sup>12</sup>

Finally, research gaps about COVID-19 should be addressed and are shown in the accompanying panel and include some identified by the global community and

#### Panel: Research gaps that need to be addressed for the response to COVID-19

- Fill gaps in understanding of the natural history of infection to better define the period of infectiousness and transmissibility; more accurately estimate the reproductive number in various outbreak settings and improve understanding the role of asymptomatic infection.
- Comparative analysis of different quarantine strategies and contexts for their effectiveness and social acceptability
- Enhance and develop an ethical framework for outbreak response that includes better equity for access to interventions for all countries
- Promote the development of point-of-care diagnostic tests
- Determine the best ways to apply knowledge about infection prevention and control in health-care settings in resource-constrained countries (including identification of optimal personal protective equipment) and in the broader community, specifically to understand behaviour among different vulnerable groups
- Support standardised, best evidence-based approach for clinical management and better outcomes and implement randomised, controlled trials for therapeutics and vaccines as promising agents emerge
- Validation of existing serological tests, including those that have been developed by commercial entities, and establishment of biobanks and serum panels of well characterised COVID-19 sera to support such efforts
- Complete work on animal models for vaccine and therapeutic research and development

by the Research and Development Blueprint Scientific Advisory Group.

The STAG-IH emphasises the importance of the continued rapid sharing of data of public health importance in medical journals that provide rapid peer review and online publication without a paywall. It is sharing of information in this way, as well as technical collaboration among clinicians, epidemiologists, and virologists, that has provided the world with its current understanding of COVID-19.

We are all members of the WHO Strategic and Technical Advisory Group for Infectious Hazards and declare no competing interests.

*Juliet Bedford, Delia Enria, Johan Giesecke,  
\*David L Heymann, Chikwe Ihekweazu, Gary Kobinger,  
H Clifford Lane, Ziad Memish, Myoung-don Oh,  
Amadou Alpha Sall, Anne Schuchat, Kumnuan Ungchusak,  
Lothar H Wieler, for the WHO Strategic and Technical  
Advisory Group for Infectious Hazards  
david.heyman@lshtm.ac.uk*

Anthrologica, Oxfordshire, UK (JB); Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Virales Humanas (INEVH) "Julio Maiztegui" and CCWHO-OPS on Viral Haemorrhagic Fevers and Arboviruses, Buenos Aires, Argentina (DE); Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden (JG); Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK (DLH); Nigeria Centre for Disease Control, Abuja, Nigeria (CI); Infectious Disease Research Centre, Université Laval, Faculty of Medicine, Québec City, Canada (GK); National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD, USA (HCL); Research and Innovation Center, King Saud Medical City, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (ZM); J W Lee Center for Global Medicine, SNU College of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea (M-dO); Institut Pasteur de Dakar, Dakar, Senegal (AAS); Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA (AS); Ministry of Health, Department of Diseases Control, Bangkok, Thailand (KU); and Robert Koch Institute, Berlin, Germany (LHW)

- 1 WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports. Situation report—55. March 15, 2020. [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200315-sitrep-55-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=33daa5cb\\_6](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200315-sitrep-55-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=33daa5cb_6) (accessed March 16, 2020).
- 2 WHO. WHO Virtual press conference on COVID-19. March 11, 2020. [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/transcripts/who-audio-emergencies-coronavirus-press-conference-full-and-final-11mar2020.pdf?sfvrsn=cb432bb3\\_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/transcripts/who-audio-emergencies-coronavirus-press-conference-full-and-final-11mar2020.pdf?sfvrsn=cb432bb3_2) (accessed March 16, 2020).
- 3 WHO. A coordinated global research roadmap. 2020. <https://www.who.int/blueprint/priority-diseases/key-action/Roadmap-version-FINAL-for-WEB.pdf?ua=1> (accessed March 16, 2020).
- 4 WHO. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). February, 2020. <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf> (accessed March 13, 2020).
- 5 Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020; published online Feb 24. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.2648.
- 6 Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, et al. Transmission of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in Germany. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**: 970–71.
- 7 The National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan. Field briefing: Diamond Princess COVID-19 cases. Feb 19, 2020. <https://www.niid.go.jp/niid/en/2019-ncov-e/9407-covid-dp-fe-01.html> (accessed March 16, 2020).
- 8 Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients. *New Engl J Med* 2020; published online Feb 19. DOI:10.1056/NEJMc2001737.
- 9 Kim JY, Ko JH, Kim Y, et al. Viral load kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in first two patients in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci* 2020; **35**: e86.
- 10 Public Health King County, Seattle. Update: increasing King County COVID-19 case numbers for March 10, 2020 point to importance of social distancing. March 10, 2020. <https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/health/news/2020/March/10-covid-case-updates.aspx> (accessed March 13, 2020).
- 11 WHO. Critical preparedness, readiness and response actions for COVID-19. March 7, 2020. <https://www.who.int/publications-detail/critical-preparedness-readiness-and-response-actions-for-covid-19> (accessed March 13, 2020).
- 12 WHO. 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): strategic preparedness and response plan. February, 2020. <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/srp-04022020.pdf> (accessed March 16, 2020).



## Why WHO needs a feminist economic agenda



Mark Henley/Panos Pictures

In September, 2019, Alan Donnelly and Ilona Kickbusch called for a chief economist at WHO.<sup>1</sup> Such a position, they argued, would enable WHO to better advocate for greater recognition of, and thus action on, the interdependency of health and the economy. We support this proposal: recognition of the interdependence of health and the economy is vital for WHO to achieve its mandate: “the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health... without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition”.<sup>2</sup> Given this mandate, WHO should be more ambitious than the appointment of one economist. A more strategic and enlightened approach, especially in the aftermath of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic,<sup>3</sup> would be for WHO to embrace and articulate a feminist economic agenda.

A feminist economic agenda interrogates power dynamics and peoples’ relative access to and use of wealth and resources. A feminist economic lens that incorporates intersectionality must address the power dynamics between genders and acknowledge the power relationships between nation states, ethnicities, ages, abilities, and other dimensions of diversity, and how they are interconnected with gender inequality and the economy.<sup>4</sup>

A feminist economic approach is consistent with how public health is taught and sometimes practised: that health, and access to health care, is interdependent not only on the economy but also on all other social and commercial determinants of health.<sup>5,6</sup>

WHO has estimated a shortfall of 18 million health workers by 2030, largely in low-income and middle-income

countries. Women comprise more than 70% of the global health workforce, but WHO research into the state of gender equity in the health workforce has revealed systematic gender biases, inequities, and discrimination.<sup>7</sup> A feminist economic approach recognises the systems of disadvantage and discrimination that lead to this inequality. Minority ethnic status, class, education, and sexuality determine who is represented in unpaid community health-care worker roles.<sup>8</sup> The unpaid and low paid labour of women has contributed to profits for private health-care providers and saved the bottom line of health spending in national budgets: capitalism and patriarchy combine to systematically undervalue social reproductive labour—ie, unpaid care roles as women’s work.<sup>9</sup>

Governments’ ability to fund health-care services is dictated by their revenue and fiscal policy space. For the world’s poorest countries, revenue and fiscal space have been largely controlled by the policy advice and loan conditionalities of international financial institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank. The IMF,<sup>10</sup> the World Bank,<sup>11</sup> the G7,<sup>12</sup> and the G20<sup>13</sup> have championed gender equality, while the G7 and G20 have highlighted the necessity of universal health coverage (UHC) and the World Bank aims to support pandemic response through its Pandemic Emergency Financing Facility. Yet the IMF and the World Bank continue to prioritise austerity measures and “private sector first” strategies that systematically undermine the ability of governments to provide public services and achieve UHC.<sup>14,15</sup> Neither institution has linked its rhetoric