

## Appendix 2.2 Quality appraisal ratings

Study reference	CASP Qualitative Checklist Categories (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme, 2018)										Final rating
	Aims of research stated	Qualitative methods appropriate	Research design appropriate	Recruitment strategy appropriate	Data collection appropriate	Relationship between researcher and participants considered	Ethical issues discussed	Data analysis sufficiently rigorous	Findings clearly stated	Value of the research discussed	
Abas et al., 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Athié et al., 2016	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Partially discussed	Fair
Bhana et al., 2010	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	No	Not discussed	Partially discussed	Partially discussed	Yes	Yes	Fair
Chatterjee et al., 2008	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	Partially discussed	Yes	Yes	Fair
Hanlon et al., 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Not discussed	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Fair
Hijazi et al., 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	No	Yes	Yes	Fair
Jenkins et al., 2013a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Jenkins et al., 2013b	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Jordans et al., 2016	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Partially discussed	No	No	No	Poor
Jordans et al., 2013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Kigozi et al., 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	Partially discussed	No	Partially discussed	Fair
Kiima and Jenkins, 2010	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Partially discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Fair
Luitel et al., 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Yes	Not discussed	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fair
Mendenhall et al., 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Partially discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Nasir and Al-Qutob, 2005	No	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fair
Othieno et al., 2013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Yes	Good
Pereira et al., 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Petersen et al., 2009	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Good
Petersen et al., 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Yes	Fair
Petersen et al., 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Petersen et al., 2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Shidhaye et al., 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Shidhaye et al., 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Good
Soares and de Oliveira, 2016	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not discussed	Yes	Yes	Partially discussed	Partially discussed	Fair