MALARIA VACCINATION AND REBOUND MALARIA
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A large phase 3 trial of the RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine showed moderate efficacy against severe and uncomplicated malaria but also raised a number of safety concerns. For these reasons, WHO recommended three, large scale pilot implementation studies which are now underway. Infants and children recruited to the phase 3 trial were followed for an initial period of three or four years respectively and it is uncertain whether the efficacy observed in the trial would be sustained. Two recent studies provide further information on the longer term efficacy and safety of RTS,S/AS01.

In Kenya, Olotu and colleagues followed children who had been enrolled in a small phase 2 RTS,S/AS01 trial for a period of seven years. These children had received three doses of RTS,S/AS01 when aged 5-17 months but not the booster dose. Vaccine efficacy (VE) against clinical episodes of malaria seen initially was lost during the later period of follow-up and in year five there was a negative efficacy of -34.4% [95% CI-83.9, 1.8] in the overall cohort and of -56.8% [95% CI -118.7, -12.3] among children who lived in the area with the highest malaria transmission.

In Lancet Infectious Diseases Tinto and colleagues report findings among children enrolled in three of the phase 3 trial centres who were followed for an additional three years. Encouragingly, VE against severe malaria was sustained; VE against severe malaria during the six or seven-year post vaccination period in children who had received a booster dose was 36.7% [95% CI 14.6, 53.1] in the older age group and 31.0% [95% CI 4.7, 50.0] in the younger age group. VE against uncomplicated malaria during the full follow-up period was less 23.7% [95% CI 15.9, 30.7] and 15.5% [95% CI 6.7, 23.5] in the older and younger age groups respectively. No protection against clinical malaria was seen during the extension period and in the centre with the highest transmission, a statistically significant increase in the incidence of clinical malaria was seen in older children during the extension period (VE - 30.3% [95%CI -59.5, -6.4]). Fifteen deaths were recorded in RTS,S/AS01 vaccinated children (10 female, 5 male) and 7 in the controls (4 female, 3 male). Five cases of meningitis were reported, two in RTS,S/AS01 recipients and three in controls. Weaknesses of the study include a gap of nearly two years during which surveillance data was dependent on retrospective analysis of routinely collected data and the fact that only 70% of children in the original trial were enrolled in the extended follow-up. Nevertheless, this study provides strong evidence that RTS,S/AS01 can provide sustained protection against severe malaria.
and some reassurance on its safety, although numbers of serious adverse events and deaths were small and a gender imbalance was present in the latter.

In both studies, an increase in the incidence of uncomplicated clinical malaria was seen during the extended follow-up period, the effect being most marked in children exposed to the highest level of malaria transmission. This was not the case for severe malaria, although in Kenya, the peak age incidence of severe malaria was delayed in RTS,S/AS01 vaccinated children. These findings are not surprising as there is strong evidence that repeated exposure to malaria is necessary to establish and sustain naturally acquired immunity although the intensity of infection needed to induce and sustain naturally acquired immunity is not known. However, some information comes from studies of chemoprevention. When malaria was prevented in Tanzanian infants during the first year of life a significant increase in cases of clinical malaria were seen in the following year. However, in children age 3-59 months protected for one year by seasonal malaria chemoprevention there was only a small increase in cases of uncomplicated malaria during the following year. Longer periods of protection may be followed by a substantial, although short-lasing, increase in susceptibility to clinical malaria but in all cases the benefits of protection exceeded those of the subsequent period of enhanced risk. It is likely that a highly effective malaria vaccine that provides only a relatively short period of protection will lead to some degree of ‘rebound malaria’ as its efficacy wanes unless the force of infection has been reduced during the period of follow-up. Booster vaccinations may delay the period of risk but vaccinated subjects may need to be provided with additional support during the period of enhanced risk through education, improved access to treatment and regular distribution of ITNs.
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