



RESEARCH NOTE

Infant contact in day-care centres in Vietnam: A cross-sectional study to understand infant infection risk [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]

Michiko Toizumi ^{1,2}, Lay-Myint Yoshida¹, Motoi Suzuki ^{3,4}, Hien Anh Thi Nguyen⁵, Amy Pinsent⁶, Duc Anh Dang⁵, Stefan Flasche ⁶

¹Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

²Department of Global Health, School of Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

³Department of Clinical Medicine, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

⁴Infectious Disease Surveillance Center, The National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan

⁵Department of Bacteriology, National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁶Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

v1 First published: 01 May 2019, 4:75 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15238.1>)

Latest published: 01 May 2019, 4:75 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15238.1>)

Abstract

Background: Infant contact information (skin-to-skin contact between infants and others) is important to understand *Streptococcus pneumoniae* transmission patterns. A few studies have investigated infant contact patterns by asking the mother/guardian to record all contacts a child makes in one day. However, this approach does not capture contact behaviour in day-care. Our study describes the frequency and nature of physical contacts of infants in day-care to understand infant infection risk in day-care in Nha Trang, central Vietnam.

Methods: This cross-sectional study enrolled infants aged less than 12 months, attending 10 randomly selected day-care centres in Nha Trang. Physical contacts of each infant for one day at the day-care centre were observed and recorded. The mean number of contacts of infants and its factors were assessed using negative binomial regression.

Results: In total 14 infants, aged 6 to 11 months, were enrolled, and a total of 96 contacts were observed. The mean number of contacts an infant made in one day was 6.9. Infants who walked independently (age-adjusted rate ratio 1.68, 95% confidence interval 1.06-2.68) and those cared for in a larger group (1.99, 1.42-2.79) had more contacts at day-care. About 50% of infants made contact with at least one person from a commune different from the infant's, and 50% made contact with at least one other infant at day-care.

Conclusion: This study found that day-care attendance may be one factor that increases contact rates of infants in Nha Trang and diversifies them in terms of age and geographical spread. In this study, day-care attendance not only increased contact rates beyond those usually experienced by young children cared at home but specifically increased the contact rates with other children and adults from other communes. Day-care may play a

Open Peer Review

Reviewer Status 

Invited Reviewers

1

version 1

published
01 May 2019


report

1 **Alessia Melegaro** , Bocconi University, Milan, Italy

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

key role in the transmission of respiratory pathogens like *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to infants.

Keywords

infant, skin-to-skin contact, social behaviour, child day care, Streptococcus pneumoniae

Corresponding author: Michiko Toizumi (toizumi@nagasaki-u.ac.jp)

Author roles: **Toizumi M:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Yoshida LM:** Investigation, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Suzuki M:** Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Nguyen HAT:** Investigation, Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; **Pinsent A:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; **Dang DA:** Investigation, Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; **Flasche S:** Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This study was supported by the Wellcome Trust through a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and Royal Society to SF [208812]. This study was also supported by the Rutherford Fund Strategic Partner Grants programme, funded by the UK Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy and delivered by Universities UK International.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2019 Toizumi M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Toizumi M, Yoshida LM, Suzuki M *et al.* **Infant contact in day-care centres in Vietnam: A cross-sectional study to understand infant infection risk [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]** Wellcome Open Research 2019, 4:75 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15238.1>)

First published: 01 May 2019, 4:75 (<https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15238.1>)

Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae can cause otitis media, meningitis, sepsis, and pneumonia. Young children and the elderly are most at risk for contracting these diseases¹. While pneumococcal conjugate vaccines (PCVs) have substantially reduced the burden of pneumococcal disease, their high price and the current World Health Organization recommendation for routine infant immunisation schedules of at least three doses introduce a substantial financial burden. To mitigate some of these costs and enhance affordability of PCVs, reduced dose schedules have been proposed that may sustain the pronounced herd effects of a mature PCV programme². However, reducing the number of doses in the priming schedule during infancy will leave infants with inferior direct protection compared to a three-dose prime and boost schedule³.

To better assess potential risks of a reduced dose PCV schedule, we need to understand where infants contract pneumococci and from whom, as well as whether those groups are likely vaccine protected and hence offer indirect protection to infants. These considerations include both questions on what age groups infect infants and what proportion of transmission is local as opposed to coming from potentially unvaccinated populations across commune, city, or country borders.

Several age-stratified contact studies have been performed⁴⁻⁶, yet the contact patterns of infants remain poorly described, not only because we cannot ask them directly but also because mothers do not have an overview of their contact during a whole day when they are in day-care^{7,8}. A study in the UK⁸, one in Turkey⁷, and another in Nha Trang, 2017 (Kovacs, personal communication) investigated contact patterns focusing on infants by asking the mother/guardian to record all the contacts on one day. However, their methods were not effective to capture the actual contact behaviour in the day-care setting because mothers/guardians often do not know what occurs daily in that setting. There are no previous studies targeting infant contact in day-care.

This study aimed to describe the frequency and nature of infants' physical contacts, as close interpersonal contacts relevant to the transmission of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*^{9,10}, in the day-care setting to aid our understanding of infant infection risk stemming from these settings in Nha Trang, Vietnam.

Methods

Study design and area

This cross-sectional study was conducted in November 2018 within the city boundaries of Nha Trang, central Vietnam, which is the capital of Khanh Hoa province and has about 400,000 inhabitants.

Selection and enrolment of participants

Infants aged less than 12 months from 10 day-care centres in Nha Trang and living in Nha Trang were eligible for enrolment. We excluded infants who were absent from the day-care centres on the observation day and those whose parent and/or legal guardian did not consent to the study. One to three infants from each

day-care centre were enrolled. Communes, day-care centres, and infants for the study were selected as follows: Khanh Hoa Health Service and commune health centres in Nha Trang provided a list with known day-care centres that are attended by infants. We randomly selected 10 Nha Trang communes with at least one infant attending a day-care centre under the following criteria that ensured a spread of day-care centres across the whole study area: 1) for each of the five arms of an ongoing cluster randomised PCV trial in the Nha Trang area, in which all 27 communes in Nha Trang were randomly divided into five arms¹¹, 2) randomly select one rural and one urban commune with at least one infant attending a day-care centre, 3) randomly select one day-care centre per selected commune, and 4) randomly select up to three infants per day-care centre for enrolment.

Written consent

Written informed consent from the infants' parents and/or legal guardians and from the day-care centres was obtained prior to participation in this study.

Data collection and entry

Staff from Khanh Hoa Health Service (KHHS) observed and recorded physical (skin-to-skin) contacts of each infant for one day at the day-care centre (from arriving to leaving) using a contact record form which was developed in line with previously conducted contact surveys (see extended data: Form 1¹²)^{4,7,8}. Additionally, background information on infant age, gender, residency, day-care attendance history/frequency, physical ability (holds one's head up, rolls over, crawls, sits up on one's own, pulls oneself up, walks), and how the infant takes a nap in day-care (in a cot alone/with other children, on the floor alone/with other children) was collected as well as the age, gender, and residency of the contacts. Information on duration of contact (5 min, 5–59 min, more than 1 hour), contact frequency (daily/almost daily, once/twice a week, once/twice a month, less than once per month, never met before) was also recorded. Information on location and size of the day-care centres was collected as well (see extended data: Form 2¹²).

Sample size calculation

Assuming a mean of 5 contacts per infant based on previous studies which recorded mean number of contacts in a day as 4.3 to 6.68 (Kovacs, personal communications;^{7,8}), and that the number of contacts follows a t-distribution, a precision of ± 1.5 contacts at the 95% confidence level was expected to be obtained by enrolling at least 10 infants. Hence, we targeted 10 to 30 infants, 1 to 3 infants from each of 10 day-care centres, for this study.

Statistical analysis

Infant physical contact patterns were described by tabulation. The mean number of contacts of infants was compared in each of the characteristics of infants and day-care centres using negative binomial regression analysis. Estimated coefficients were exponentiated and transformed to rate ratios (RR) assuming all the infants stayed in day-care for the same length of time. Crude RR was adjusted by age group (6–9 vs 10–11 months) with confidence intervals (CIs) adjusted for the clustering of day-care

centres (communes) using robust standard errors. Statistical analyses were conducted using **STATA** version 14.0 (StataCorp LLC, TX, USA).

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) (LSHTM ethics ref. 15892) and the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology (NIHE), Hanoi (IRB-VN01057-04.1/2018).

Results

Enrolment of participants

We selected one urban and one rural commune each from four arms of the ongoing trial¹¹ and two rural communes from the remaining arm because no urban commune in that arm had

any infants registered as attending day-care centres. Each of the selected 10 day-care centres had between 1 and 3 infants in regular attendance. In total, 18 infants from 10 day-care centres were eligible for the study. Four of those infants did not attend the day-care centre on the day of the survey and were thus not enrolled in the study. Finally, three infants from one day-care centre, two each from two day-care centres, and one each from the remaining seven centres (i.e. 14 infants, in total, from 10 day-care centres) were enrolled for the study.

Characteristics of the participants

A total of 14 infants, 9 boys and 5 girls, aged between 6 and 11 months, were observed at the 10 day-care centres on a designated day in November 2018 (Table 1 and Underlying data¹²). The days were chosen at the observers' convenience. All were weekdays; seven observations were conducted on Tuesdays, five

Table 1. Effect of each characteristic on number of contacts per infant at day-care, estimated using negative binomial regression model.

Characteristics	Number	Mean (SD)	Rate ratio	Age adjusted rate ratio*
Total	14	6.9 (3.2)		
Demographics				
Sex				
Male	9	6.9 (2.9)	reference	reference
Female	5	6.8 (4.1)	0.99 (0.59-1.64)	0.95 (0.55-1.65)
Age (months)				
6–9 months	4	5.5 (1.7)	reference	
10–11 months	10	7.4 (3.6)	1.35 (0.78-2.31)	
Infant's activity				
Hold his/her head up and roll over				
Yes	14	6.9 (3.2)	NA	NA
No	0	-	-	-
Crawl				
Yes	13	6.8 (3.4)	0.98 (0.38-2.49)	0.71 (0.51-1)
No	1	7.0	reference	reference
Sit up on his/her own				
Yes	13	6.8 (3.4)	0.98 (0.38-2.49)	0.71 (0.51-1)
No	1	7.0	reference	reference
Pull himself/herself up				
Yes	12	6.9 (3.5)	1.06 (0.53-2.15)	0.69 (0.42-1.14)
No	2	6.5 (0.7)	reference	reference
Walk				
Yes	3	10.3 (2.9)	1.75 (1.14-2.68)	1.68 (1.06-2.68)
No	11	5.9 (2.7)	reference	reference
Sleep				
in a cot/hammock	10	7.7 (2.5)	1.62 (0.95-2.76)	1.65 (0.72-3.76)
on the floor	4	4.8 (4.3)	reference	reference

Characteristics	Number	Mean (SD)	Rate ratio	Age adjusted rate ratio*
Socioeconomic status				
Fee for day-care (VND per month)				
480,000–1,700,000	8	8.6 (2.7)	1.92 (1.23-2.99)	1.88 (1.13-3.14)
2,000,000–2,500,000	6	4.5 (2.3)	reference	reference
Infant's residence				
Rural	9	7.0 (3.1)	0.94 (0.57-1.57)	1.01 (0.55-1.84)
Urban	5	6.6 (3.8)	reference	reference
Day-care is outside of infant's commune				
Yes	7	6.6 (3.0)	0.92 (0.57-1.49)	0.99 (0.6-1.64)
No	7	7.1 (3.6)	reference	reference
Day-care centre				
Number of children in the day-care				
3–12	7	7.0 (2.2)	reference	reference
16–76	7	6.7 (4.2)	0.96 (0.59-1.56)	0.96 (0.57-1.62)
Number of childcare persons in the day-care				
2–3	8	7.0 (2.1)	reference	reference
4–12	6	6.7 (4.6)	0.95 (0.58-1.56)	0.89 (0.48-1.63)
Size of the day-care center				
30–45 m ²	8	7.0 (2.1)	reference	reference
65–232 m ²	6	6.7 (4.6)	0.95 (0.58-1.56)	0.89 (0.48-1.63)
Number of children in infant's group at day-care				
1–6	9	5.0 (1.9)	reference	reference
9–16	5	10.2 (2.2)	2.04 (1.37-3.05)	1.99 (1.42-2.79)
Number of childcare persons in the infant's group at day-care				
1–2	12	6.9 (3.5)	reference	reference
3	2	6.5 (0.7)	0.94 (0.47-1.9)	0.85 (0.59-1.23)
Size of the infant's room at day-care				
25–36 m ²	8	6.6 (3.9)	reference	reference
40–55 m ²	6	7.2 (2.4)	1.08 (0.67-1.76)	1.02 (0.61-1.72)
Place of day-care centre				
Rural	10	6.9 (3.0)	1.02 (0.6-1.75)	1.03 (0.55-1.93)
Urban	4	6.8 (4.3)	reference	reference

*Rate ratios adjusted by age group considering clustering in each day-care centre (commune).

on Wednesdays, and two on Thursdays. Nine and five infants were living in rural and urban communes, respectively. Seven infants were living in different communes from those of the day-care centres. They had started attending day-care when they were 3–11 months old. They attended the day-care centres 2–7 times per week and stayed there for 495–670 minutes on the survey day (mean and standard deviation [SD] were 587.8

and 46.5 minutes, respectively). Monthly fee for the day-care centre was from 480,000 to 2,500,000 VND, and the mean was 1,591,429 (SD 567,950) VND or approximately 68.7 USD.

All infants could hold their head up and roll over. Three infants could walk alone, nine could not walk but could pull themselves up, one could not pull himself up but could crawl and sit up on his

own, and one could not crawl and sit up on his own but could roll over. Ten infants slept on a cot or hammock alone, and four slept on the floor alone at the day-care centres.

Characteristics of the day-care centres

A total of 10 day-care centres in Nha Trang were enrolled for this study. Six were in rural and four were in urban communes. The day-care centres accommodated between 5 and 76 children, including 1 to 3 infants aged between 6 and 11 months, 2 to 75 children aged between 12 and 60 months, and 2 to 12 childcare staff members. The size of the day-care centres was between 30 and 232 square metre (median and IQR were 55 and 95, respectively).

Number of contacts per infant

The number of contacts an infant made in one day ranged between 1–12 and the mean was 6.9 (SD = 3.2). This SD yielded a precision of ± 1.8 contacts at the 95% confidence level. Infants who could walk independently (age-adjusted rate ratio [aaRR] 1.68, 95%CI 1.06-2.68), whose parents are paying lower fees for day-care (aaRR 1.88, 95%CI 1.13-3.14), and those cared for in a group with more children at day-care (aaRR 1.99, 95%CI 1.42-2.79) on average had more contacts at day-care (Table 1). If further adjusted for the number of children in the participating infant's group, the RR of infants paying lower monthly day-care fees was 1.33 (95%CI 0.86-2.07). Infants' age, sex, residence (rural/urban), number of childcare persons in a day-care centre/a group of the infant, size of a day-care centre/a room for the infant, day-care centre's place (rural/urban), and how the infant takes a nap were not associated with increased contact rates.

Characteristics of contacts

A total of 96 contacts across the 14 infants were observed at the day-care centres (Table 2). Of the contacts, 63% occurred with other children cared for at the day-care centres, and 31% were with childcare staff working there. The remaining contacts were with the family of childcare personnel (n = 3), other children's parents (n = 1), and with one mother who visited her child in the middle of day-care (n = 1). A disproportionately high proportion of contacts were female (67% vs 33%), largely because all childcare personnel included in this study were female. The gender ratio among child-only contacts was well balanced. Most contacts were reported to have occurred daily or almost daily (84%), and often lasted shorter than five minutes in duration (46%). Contact with other children tended to be short; 68% were shorter than five minutes. On the other hand, those with childcare personnel were longer, with 97% lasting longer than five minutes and 43% lasting more than one hour.

The age of contacts ranged from 0 to 65 years, with a median contact age of 2 years (IQR 28). The most frequent contact age was 1 and 2 years with 24 (25%) and 23 (24%) recorded contacts, respectively. Ten contacts (10%) occurred with other infants aged less than 12 months.

Across commune mixing

In this study 45% of the contacts occurred with persons living in a different commune from that of the infant. Eight of the 14 infants

made contact with at least one person from a commune different from the commune where they reside. Of infants attending a day-care centre in a commune different from the commune in which the infants reside 86% made contact with person(s) from different communes, while 29% attending a day-care centre in their home commune did so. Infants cared for in a day-care centre with more children (86% of those in a centre with > 15 children vs 29% of those in a centre with < 14 children) and with more childcare personnel (100% of those in a centre with 4–12 childcare personnel vs 25% of those with two to three childcare personnel) on average had an elevated probability for contact with a person from a different commune. Additionally, four of the ten day-care centres recorded contacts with persons from more than one different commune: contacts with persons from two different communes in two centres, four different communes in one centre, and five different communes in another centre.

Infant-infant contact

Ten percent of the contacts occurred with other infants younger than 12 months old. Half of the infants made contact with at least one other infant at day-care. Half of the enrolled day-care centres had more than one infant. Seven of nine infants in those day-care centres made contact with at least one other infant, while all infants attending the centres with only one infant (the subject only) did not have contact with other infants.

Discussion

Summary

This is the first study that focuses on the physical contact patterns of infants in day-care settings. The study included 14 participants who were in contact with 96 different individuals in day-care over the course of one day. Most contacts were with other children and 10% were with other infants. More contacts occurred in day-care centres with more children in care and for infants who could walk. Nearly 60% of the infants had across commune contacts in day-care, while half of the infants went to another commune to attend a day-care centre.

Infants who can walk by themselves tended to have more contacts during day-care. Our study implies that walking brings about higher activity to touch or to be touched more frequently with other individuals at day-care; however, almost all infants were already able to roll over, crawl, and sit themselves up, so we were unable to look at those activities as factors. Age could work as a confounder in an association between walking and contacts, and we adjusted RR by age group, while walking could be partially on the causal pathway from age to the infant's contacts, and we saw only crude RR of age group on the mean number of contacts. In this study, at day-care, infants' age did not increase the rate of contacts very much, though the participants in this study were relatively similar in age (6–11 months). Moreover, infants with larger numbers of children in their group on average had more contacts, while neither room/facility size of day-care nor total number of children in a day-care centre was associated with elevated contact rates. We found that, unsurprisingly, infant contact rates scaled with the size of the child's group in day-care and that cheaper day-care facilities had generally larger groups.

Table 2. Characteristics of contacts at day-care centres (n=96).

Characteristics	Total (n=96) Number (%)	Child cared (n=60) Number (%)	Childcare worker (n=30) Number (%)
Working/cared at the day-care centre			
Child cared	60 (62.5)		
Childcare worker	30 (31.3)		
Other	6 (6.3)		
Sex			
Male	32 (33.0)	29 (48.3)	0 (0.0)
Female	65 (67.0)	31 (51.7)	30 (100.0)
Age group (years)			
0–5	60 (62.5)	60 (100.0)	0 (0.0)
6–10	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
11–15	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
16–20	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)
21–25	6 (6.3)	0 (0.0)	6 (20.0)
26–30	8 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	5 (16.7)
31–35	4 (4.2)	0 (0.0)	3 (10.0)
36–40	6 (6.3)	0 (0.0)	6 (20.0)
41–45	2 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (6.7)
46–50	5 (5.2)	0 (0.0)	5 (16.7)
51–55	2 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (6.7)
56–60	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
61–65	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Contact's residence			
Rural	69 (71.9)	45 (75.0)	22 (73.3)
Urban	22 (22.9)	15 (25.0)	3 (10.0)
Outside of Nha Trang	5 (5.2)	0 (0.0)	5 (16.7)
Contact's residence different from the infant's			
Yes	43 (44.8)	24 (40.0)	16 (53.3)
No	53 (55.2)	36 (60.0)	14 (46.7)
Contact duration			
<5 minutes	44 (45.8)	41 (68.3)	1 (3.3)
6 min – 1 hour	37 (38.5)	18 (30.0)	16 (53.3)
>1 hour	15 (15.6)	1 (1.7)	13 (43.3)
Contact frequency			
Daily or almost daily	81 (84.4)	49 (81.7)	28 (93.3)
Once or twice a week	13 (13.5)	10 (16.7)	2 (6.7)
Once or twice a month	1 (1.0)	1 (1.7)	0 (0.0)
Less than once a month	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Never met before	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Comparison to previous studies

The average number of contacts with different individuals was 6.9 (SD 3.2) during the infants' stay at day-care on the day of observation. Previous studies have found the average number of contacts of an infant per day outside of day-care to range from 4.3 (Kovacs, personal communications) to 4.6⁷ or to 6.68⁸. However, these studies collected contact information by asking the mother/guardian to record all the contacts in one day. Skin-to-skin contacts as well as interactions in close proximity with three or more words directed to the infant were counted as contacts in the latter two studies, so the number of only physical contacts in these studies must have been lower than those shown in the studies as the number of contacts.

In this study, the majority of infant contacts at day-care occurred with other children who were cared for at the same day-care centre (63%) and with adults working there as childcare personnel (31%). These contacts were made on a daily or almost daily basis (84%). Contacts with other children mostly lasted only for a few minutes and those with childcare personnel lasted longer (greater than five minutes in duration). Similarly, studies of infants outside of the day-care setting found most contacts to be regular⁸; however, those contacts usually lasted over multiple hours (Kovacs, personal communications,^{7,8}). This may be in part due to the difference in the definition of contact in this study and those in the previous studies^{7,8}. For example, previous studies included both interaction in close proximity and physical contacts, which means they measured the length of time during which the persons simply spent time closely together. In contrast, in this study, we precisely measured only the time during which a subject had skin-to-skin contact with another person by observation. The method used in this study is more likely to correlate with the transmission of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* because it is generally assumed to be transmitted through close interpersonal contact (i.e. skin-to-skin contact)^{9,10}.

More than half of the infants made contact with at least one person from a commune different from their own commune at day-care. Four of the ten day-care centres recorded infant contacts with persons from more than one different commune. Half of the participants in this study attended a day-care centre beyond the borders of their commune of residence. This finding was surprising because education and health in Vietnam is largely organized within administrative communes. It is probably because privately-operated day-care centres are commonly chosen for infant care in Vietnam, which is not related to the administrative area, and mothers may be likely to use day-care centres close to their workplace or their supporter's place (e.g. grandparent's house) rather than their own residence. Moreover, a higher number of children attending the same day-care centre was likely to increase the odds of making contact with a person from different commune. The infant contact study in Nha Trang, 2017 (Kovacs, personal communications) found that contacts outside of day-care were mostly localized within the same household and within the same commune, with only four percent of all the contacts occurring outside the infant's commune. Generally, infants are likely to

make contact with individuals in their own commune; however, those attending day-care could have much more opportunities to mix with people outside their own commune. Thus, day-care could be a means to accelerate the spatial spread of childhood infections.

The age of contacts ranged from 0 to 65 years, skewed to young children, with the median contact age of 2 years. The most frequent contact age was between 0 and 2 years following the age of people who were cared for at day-care. This is different from the age distribution of infant contacts in previous studies (Kovacs, personal communications,^{7,8}), where adults aged 20–40, consistent with the parent's age, were major contributors. A peak in adults was lower and wider in this study following age distribution of childcare personnel. Previous studies have also shown another peak in the age group 0–5 (Kovacs, personal communication,⁷) or 0–4⁸ years, but the proportion of contacts aged 0–5 at day-care in this study (63%) was much higher than that in those studies (12%—age group 0–5 in Kovacs's study, and 8%—age group 0–5 in Oguz *et al.*'s⁷). In particular, the proportion of contacts with other infants aged < 12 months was remarkably higher (10%) than that recorded in the Nha Trang study in 2017 (5 out of 430, recorded as part of group contact). Infant contacts with other infants do not seem to occur very often in general but commonly occur in the day-care setting, especially if there are higher numbers of infants in the same group at day-care.

Limitations

In Vietnam, it is not common to use day-care for children aged less than 12 months. Families typically use privately paid-for day-care if needed because they have more space to care for infants. Small private childcare locations are likely not registered with the relevant administrative units, and hence, we are likely to have not identified all day-care options for infants in Nha Trang. Nevertheless, this study selected day-care centres to be largely representative of the whole Nha Trang area.

Our study enrolled 14 infants because the primary endpoint of interest, in the absence of any other data to inform such, was a reasonably precise estimate of the number of contacts in a day-care setting. This did not allow for much statistical power to investigate in detail which characteristics are associated with the number of infant contacts. Therefore, our analyses are largely descriptive. Future studies may wish to investigate infant contacts on a larger scale to determine environmental factors that may influence the spread of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

Conclusions

This study found that day-care attendance may be one factor that increases contact rates of infants in Nha Trang and diversifies them in terms of age and geographical spread. In this study, day-care attendance not only increased contact rates beyond those usually experienced by young children cared at home but also specifically increased the contact rates with other children and adults from other communes. Day-care may play a key role in the transmission of respiratory pathogens like *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to infants.

Data availability

Underlying data

Open Science Framework: Infant contact in day-care centres in Vietnam: A cross-sectional study to understand infant infection risk. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YH468>¹²

This project contains the following underlying data:

- infant_daycare_data.csv (Data of infants)
- daycare_centre_daycare_data.csv (Data of day-care centres)
- contact_daycare_data.csv (Data of contacts)

Extended data

Open Science Framework: Infant contact in day-care centres in Vietnam: A cross-sectional study to understand infant infection risk. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YH468>¹²

This project contains the following extended data:

- Form1_contact information.pdf (Form used to record infant contact)

- Form2_daycare information.pdf (Form used to record day-care characteristics)

Reporting guidelines

STROBE checklist for 'Infant contact in day-care centres in Vietnam: A cross-sectional study to understand infant infection risk'. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YH468>¹²

Grant information

This study was supported by the Wellcome Trust through a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and Royal Society to SF [208812].

This study was also supported by the Rutherford Fund Strategic Partner Grants programme, funded by the UK Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy and delivered by Universities UK International.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- O'Brien KL, Wolfson LJ, Watt JP, *et al.*: **Burden of disease caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in children younger than 5 years: global estimates.** *Lancet.* 2009; 374(9693): 893–902.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Flasche S, Van Hoek AJ, Goldblatt D, *et al.*: **The Potential for Reducing the Number of Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine Doses While Sustaining Herd Immunity in High-Income Countries.** *PLoS Med.* 2015; 12(6): e1001839.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Goldblatt D, Southern J, Andrews NJ, *et al.*: **Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine 13 delivered as one primary and one booster dose (1 + 1) compared with two primary doses and a booster (2 + 1) in UK infants: A multicentre, parallel group randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2018; 18(2): 171–179.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, *et al.*: **Social contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases.** *PLoS Med.* 2008; 5(3): e74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Horby P, Thai PQ, Hens N, *et al.*: **Social contact patterns in vietnam and implications for the control of infectious diseases.** *PLoS One.* 2011; 6(2): e16965.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Mikolajczyk RT, Akmatov MK, Rastin S, *et al.*: **Social contacts of school children and the transmission of respiratory-spread pathogens.** *Epidemiol Infect.* 2008; 136(6): 813–22.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Oguz MM, Camurdan AD, Aksakal FN, *et al.*: **Social contact patterns of infants in deciding vaccination strategy: A prospective, cross-sectional, single-centre study.** *Epidemiol Infect.* 2018; 146(9): 1157–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- van Hoek AJ, Andrews N, Campbell H, *et al.*: **The Social Life of Infants in the Context of Infectious Disease Transmission: Social Contacts and Mixing Patterns of the Very Young.** *PLoS One.* 2013; 8(10): e76180.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Kadioglu A, Weiser JN, Paton JC, *et al.*: **The role of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* virulence factors in host respiratory colonization and disease.** *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2008; 6(4): 288–301.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Le Polain De Waroux O, Edmunds WJ, Takahashi K, *et al.*: **Predicting the impact of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine programme options in Vietnam.** *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2018; 14(8): 1939–1947.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Nagasaki University: **Evaluation of PCV Schedules in a Naive Population in Vietnam.** *ClinicalTrials.gov*; [15 Feb 2019].
[Reference Source](#)
- Toizumi M: **Infant contact in day-care centres in Vietnam: A cross-sectional study to understand infant infection risk.** 2019.
<http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YH468>

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 

Version 1

Reviewer Report 20 May 2019

<https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.16631.r35420>

© 2019 Melegaro A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Alessia Melegaro 

“Carlo F. Dondeña” Centre for Research on Social Dynamics and Public Policies, Bocconi University, Milan, Italy

Toizumi and colleagues investigate on a very important question that has not been yet addressed so specifically in other works. Indeed they gather data to describe infants mixing interactions in a day care setting. This kind of information is critical to better understand diffusion processes of childhood infectious diseases and to evaluate the impact of alternative control measures.

The work is nicely presented and clear. The main limitation is the number of children enrolled in the study (14 infants) which make any conclusion very specific to the sample and the day care settings being evaluated. This said, however, I think the work is of interest to the infectious disease community and should be accepted.

I think more effort should be put into better describing how contacts are defined here and who is measuring these. In the paper it says "staff" but I imagine that with infants it is not so easy to really quantify the precise number of interactions. In addition, it seems that it is not the day care size (sqm and people) that matters but rather the infant's group. Bigger group report double the number of contacts as opposed to smaller ones. This aspect is extremely relevant for infections transmission and it should be further stressed in the text.

In Table 2 rather than the gender of the contact I would evaluate the assortativeness by gender if anything..

Results: Is the kind and number of contacts changing for infants that are attending more/less frequently the day care center?

More specific comments:

Abstract is vague in the method. What kind of setting is being evaluated?

Results: From Infants...to day-care should be made clearer..

How are commune defined by the way? What is a commune in this setting? More details on the setting in the main text should be included

Conclusions: ...diversifies them in terms of age and geographical spread...
What are the authors referring to here?

Introduction:
Reduced dose OF PCV schedule

Methods:
One to three infants...were enrolled...
How is this decided? Whether one or three?
Staff from...observed...
Staff meaning? How did they observe and record?

Results:
6 other contacts in Table 2, whereas it is only 5 other contacts in the text. check.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: mathematical modelling, public health, health economics

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.
