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Population Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Lumefantrine in Young Ugandan Children Treated With Artemether-Lumefantrine for Uncomplicated Malaria
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Background. The pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of lumefantrine, a component of the most widely used treatment for malaria, artemether-lumefantrine, has not been adequately characterized in young children.

Methods. Capillary whole-blood lumefantrine concentration and treatment outcomes were determined in 105 Ugandan children, ages 6 months to 2 years, who were treated for 249 episodes of Plasmodium falciparum malaria with artemether-lumefantrine.

Results. Population pharmacokinetics for lumefantrine used a 2-compartment open model with first-order absorption. Age had a significant positive correlation with bioavailability in a model that included allometric scaling. Children not receiving trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole with capillary whole blood concentrations <200 ng/mL had a 3-fold higher hazard of 28-day recurrent parasitemia, compared with those with concentrations >200 ng/mL (P=.0007). However, for children receiving trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, the risk of recurrent parasitemia did not differ significantly on the basis of this threshold. Day 3 concentrations were a stronger predictor of 28-day recurrence than day 7 concentrations.

Conclusions. We demonstrate that age, in addition to weight, is a determinant of lumefantrine exposure, and in the absence of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, lumefantrine exposure is a determinant of recurrent parasitemia. Exposure levels in children aged 6 months to 2 years was generally lower than levels published for older children and adults. Further refinement of artemether-lumefantrine dosing to improve exposure in infants and very young children may be warranted.
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Despite major strides in control of malaria, an estimated 214 million cases occurred in 2015, mainly in sub-Saharan African children [1]. Artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) are the mainstay of therapy, with artemether-lumefantrine (AL) used as first- or second-line therapy in >40 countries in Africa [1]. AL combines artemether, a fast-acting, highly efficacious, short–half-life drug, with lumefantrine, a long–half-life (approximately 4.5 days) partner drug that eliminates residual parasites and reduces the risk of developing resistance [2].

In Asia, growing resistance to artemisinins and partner drugs, particularly piperaquine, has been reported [3–5]. Improper dosing of ACTs is an important determinant of inadequate efficacy and contributes to the development of resistance [6]. Among the groups at highest risk of underdosing are young children [7–10]. For AL, a recent meta-analysis of individual day 7 lumefantrine concentrations suggested that exposure was lowest in children <3 years of age, particularly those who were underweight (low weight for age) [8]. In addition, a day 7 lumefantrine concentration of <200 ng/mL was most predictive of the risk of recurrent malaria [8].

The overwhelming majority of studies of young children have involved drug sampling only on day 7. A very small number of children in this age range have undergone population pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis, and even fewer underwent PK combined with pharmacodynamic (PD) analysis [8,11–13]. The purpose of this study was to characterize the population PKs and PDs of lumefantrine in a cohort of Ugandan children 6 months to 2 years of age. This investigation included the evaluation of clinical and demographic covariate effects on lumefantrine exposure,
including the use of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMZ) prophylaxis for those infected with or exposed to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [14,15], as well as the relationship between concentration and clinical outcome.

METHODS

Study Area and Patient Enrollment
The study was conducted in a high-transmission area of Uganda [16]. PK/PD study participants were part of a larger randomized clinical trial comparing the antimalarial efficacy of AL versus that of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine in young children (Supplementary Materials) [17–19]. Daily TMP-SMZ prophylaxis was given to all HIV-infected participants. HIV-exposed participants received TMP-SMZ until completion of breast-feeding, and if they remained HIV-uninfected, they were randomly assigned to continue TMP-SMZ therapy until 2 years of age or to discontinue prophylaxis [18]. HIV-infected participants were provided triple-drug antiretroviral therapy (ART) with nevirapine, lamivudine, and either stavudine or zidovudine, according to national guidelines. The PK/PD substudy population consisted of children randomly assigned to receive AL for each episode of malaria occurring during longitudinal follow-up. Supplementary Figure 1 depicts the breakdown of HIV status, ART use, and TMP-SMZ use among substudy participants.

Diagnosis and Treatment of Malaria
Uncomplicated P. falciparum malaria was diagnosed in patients with both a positive thick blood smear (regardless of parasite density) and either a documented fever (tympanic temperature, >38.0°C) or a 24-hour history of fever. Clinical evaluation was made on days 0 (the day of diagnosis), 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28. Primary outcome was the 28-day risk of recurrent parasitemia.

Treatment Regimen
AL (Coartem, Novartis Pharmaceuticals) was administered as a fixed dose according to body weight at the time of diagnosis. All children received the same dose—20 mg of artemether and 120 mg of lumefantrine (1 tablet) twice daily over 3 days—because all body weights were <14 kg. All morning clinic doses were administered in the clinic as crushed tablets dispersed in approximately 5 mL of water, followed by administration of 150 mL of reconstituted cow’s milk containing approximately 5 g of fat or breast-feeding, to ensure optimal and consistent absorption of lumefantrine [21]. Evening doses were provided to parents with milk powder. Full doses were readministered if vomiting occurred within 30 minutes.

Sample Collection and Analysis
A total of 100 µL of capillary whole-blood was collected into heparinized microtubes on day 0 (before the first dose), day 2 (before the fifth dose), day 3 (12 hours after the last dose), day 7 (108 hours after the last dose), and day 14 (276 hours after the last dose); Supplementary Figure 1. Blood was expelled onto Whatman 31ET Chr Chromatography paper pretreated with 0.75 M tartaric acid per validated methods [22] and later shipped and analyzed in the laboratory of one of the authors (Y. B.) with a lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of 132 ng/mL and a limit of detection (LOD) of 52 ng/mL [22].

Pharmacokinetic Analysis
Population PK analysis with nonlinear mixed-effects modeling was conducted using Monolix software, version 4.3 (Lixoft, Orsay, France; Supplement) [23]. The structural model was defined as a 2-compartment open model with first-order absorption and linear elimination. Owing to limited data in the absorption phase, the rate constant of absorption (ka) was fixed to the previously reported value of 0.45 h−1 [24]. Disposition parameters of the model were capillary whole-blood clearance/bioavailability (CL/F), central volume of distribution/F (V1/F), intercompartmental clearance/F (Q/F), and peripheral volume of distribution/F (V2/F). A 1-compartment model was also tested. Data did not support testing of more complex structural models (eg, a 3-compartment model). Allometric scaling was included unconditionally in all models, including the basic model absent of other covariates, with respect to the 2 clearance parameters by multiplying each parameter by [weight/reference weight]0.75 and, for the 2 volume terms, by multiplying each parameter by [weight/reference weight]. The reference weight was taken to be the median, 9.0 kg, except for the final model, in which it was taken to be that of a 1-year-old child, 8.43 kg. Interindividual variability and interoccasion variability, modeled as log-normal distributions, were estimated for each parameter except Q/F and V2/F, for which interoccasion variability was fixed at 50%, and ka, which was fixed at 0. The covariates sex, weight, age, weight-for-age z scores (calculated using World Health Organization standards [25]), hemoglobin level, parasite density (log transformed), HIV status, ART use, breast-fed status, and TMP-SMZ prophylaxis (Table 1) were evaluated for their influence on PK (Supplement).

Association Analysis Between Day 7 Lumefantrine Concentration and Recurrent Malaria
Only P. falciparum infection episodes were included in the PD analysis. The risk of recurrent malaria was analyzed using Cox proportional hazard regression with a robust sandwich estimator, which accounts for repeated episodes and censoring of patients with incomplete follow-up. Covariates evaluated were age group, parasite density (log transformed), residence (urban vs rural), hemoglobin level, TMP-SMZ use, HIV status, day 3 or
day 7 capillary whole-blood lumefantrine concentration, and being underweight, based on a weight-for-age z score with a −2 cutoff [25]. For the PD analysis, PK values below the LOD were treated as 0, and those below the LLOQ were retained as measured. Statistical significance was defined as a 2-sided P value of <.05. Stata, version SE12.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX), and SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), were used.

RESULTS

A total of 105 children participated in PK sampling and experienced 249 episodes of malaria subjected to PK sampling over the 6-month period. In 99.5% of treatments included in the analysis, the full course of 6 doses was taken.

Population Pharmacokinetic Data

For the population PK analysis, 207 episodes in 101 children were included and contributed 806 evaluable capillary whole-blood concentration-time data (Figure 1; Supplementary Materials). A summary of demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants is in Table 1. The median total body weight-adjusted lumefantrine dose was 84.7 mg/kg (range, 65.4–118.0 mg/kg) for children aged ≤12 months, 80.0 mg/kg (range, 55.4–116.1 mg/kg) for children aged 12–18 months, and 72 mg/kg (range, 54.1–90.0 mg/kg) for children aged ≥18 months. Underweight children received a higher median milligrams per kilogram dose than children with a z score greater than or equal to −2 (90.0 vs 77.4 mg/kg; P < .001).

Population Pharmacokinetic Model

The final population PK model for capillary whole-blood lumefantrine concentrations was a 2-compartment model with first-order absorption. A 1-compartment model resulted in a significantly worse fit. Age was identified as having a significant influence on F despite modeling clearance and volume of distribution parameters with allometric scaling. The model for F is as follows: $F_i = \left(\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{\text{Age}}{12})^{0.596}}\right)$, in which $F_i$ is the typical value of bioavailability, Age, is the age of the i th child, 12 is the reference age of 12 months, and 0.596 is an estimated value. The final population parameter estimates are provided in Table 2. The prediction of CL/F in a typical 12-month-old child is 2.19 L/h, with 8% (CV) interindividual variability and 9% interoccasion variability. The point estimate of residual error (standard deviation) was 37.8% (proportional) and 19.2 ng/mL (additive). Figure 2 shows the prediction intervals of capillary whole-blood lumefantrine concentration. Half of all children are predicted to reach the LOD (52 ng/mL) in approximately 10 days. Figure 3 demonstrates the goodness of fit of the final model.
Table 2. Pharmacokinetics (PK) Parameter Estimates of Lumefantrine in Capillary Blood for Ugandan Children 6 Months to 2 Years of Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Point Estimate</th>
<th>RSE, %</th>
<th>IIV, % (RSE, %)</th>
<th>IOV, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population PK parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F (L/h)</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8 (174)</td>
<td>9.3 (105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₁/F(L/8.43 kg)</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.2 (46)</td>
<td>8.6 (133)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q/F (L/h)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50²</td>
<td>50 ™</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂/F (L/8.43 kg)</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>50²</td>
<td>50 ™</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kₑ (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>34.9 (23)</td>
<td>59.4 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age effectb</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional (%)</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive (ng/mL)</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Estimate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC₀−∞ (µg/mL•h)</td>
<td>347.8</td>
<td>243.6–</td>
<td>94.7–940.9</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7 concentration (ng/mL)</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>143.1–</td>
<td>55.0–589.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AUC₀−∞, area under the curve from 0 to ∞; CL, capillary whole-blood clearance; F, relative bioavailability; RSE, relative standard error; IIV, interindividual variability; IOV, interoccasion variability; IQR, interquartile range; Q, intercompartmental clearance; V₁, central volume of distribution; V₂, peripheral volume of distribution.  
ª Model: F[age/12][WT/8.43]⁰.₅, in which WT is total body weight, and 8.43 is the typical weight for a 12-month-old child.  
™ Covariate model: F[age/12]².  
* Fixed.  
† Median of predicted values (modes) for individuals in population analysis with day 7 concentrations less than the LOD (although the exact value is unknown).  
‡ Median of predicted values (modes) for individuals in population analysis with day 7 concentrations on the data (points). The median is given by the center line, and the prediction intervals are indicated by the depth of shading, as shown on the scale to the right. With inclusion of the informatively censored data below the LOD, predictions are appropriately lower than the data at 14 days.

Supplementary Figure 2A–C shows individual data and predictions for the first 18 individuals and demonstrate that retaining data less than the LOD (although the exact value is unknown) informed the predictions.

Clinical Outcome Following Artemether-Lumefantrine Therapy

Of the 249 episodes enrolled in the PK/PD study, 222 episodes in 100 children were analyzed for 28-day outcome (Table 1). For the 137 episodes in which TMP-SMZ was not received, 59.1% (81), 19.7% (27), and 21.2% (29) were classified as adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR), late clinical failure (LCF), and late parasitological failure (LPF), respectively. Among the 85 in which TMP-SMZ was received, 65.9% (56) were classified as ACPR, 5.9% (5) as LPF, and 28.2% (24) as LCF. At 63 days, 65.8% of episodes (146 of 222) were followed by an episode of recurrent malaria (LCF), with 95.9% (139 of 145) classified as new infection by multilocus genotyping (genotyping was unsuccessful in 1 sample).

Day 3 or 7 Lumefantrine Concentration and Clinical Outcomes

The median concentration of lumefantrine on day 3 (n = 187 samples) was 2777 ng/mL (interquartile range [IQR], 1672–4760 ng/mL) and on day 7 (n = 216 samples) was 216 ng/mL (IQR, 136–345 ng/mL). Concentrations of lumefantrine on day 7 differed significantly in patients receiving TMP-SMZ (median, 243 ng/mL; IQR, 158–424 ng/mL; n = 85) versus those not receiving TMP-SMZ (median, 206 ng/mL; IQR, 129–302 ng/mL; n = 131; P = .018). While the total milligrams per kilogram dose was slightly higher in children receiving TMP-SMZ as compared to those not receiving TMP-SMZ (mean, 82.4 vs 78.3 mg/kg, respectively; P = .01), the total milligrams per kilogram dose did not explain the difference in lumefantrine levels between these groups. Concentrations on day 3 did not differ statistically, based on TMP-SMZ status.

The hazard of recurrent malaria (recurrent parasitemia or parasitemia plus fever) by 28 days is described in Table 3. Our receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis suggested that a day 7 capillary whole-blood lumefantrine concentration of approximately 200 ng/mL was the optimal cutoff for predicting the risk of 28-day recurrence, particularly for children not receiving TMP-SMZ (area under the ROC curve, 0.684). In our multivariate analysis, significant interaction was present between TMP-SMZ use and lumefantrine concentration (P = .0005). For children not receiving TMP-SMZ prophylaxis, a day 7 concentration of lumefantrine of <200 ng/mL conferred a 3-fold higher hazard of recurrent malaria as compared to concentrations of ≥200 ng/mL (hazard ratio, 2.97; 95% confidence interval, 1.59–5.55; P = .0007). For children receiving TMP-SMZ prophylaxis, the 28-day risk of malaria did not significantly vary on the basis of this threshold (hazard ratio, 0.50; 95% confidence interval, 0.22–1.13; P = .10). The 28-day cumulative risk of recurrent parasitemia, stratified by lumefantrine concentration threshold of 200 ng/mL and TMP-SMZ use, is shown in Figure 4. The
Figure 3. Goodness of fit plots for the final model, illustrating individual weighted residuals IWRES, based on the conditional (cond.) mode versus time (top left) or individual predictions (bottom left) and the normalized prediction distribution error (NPDE) plots versus time (top right) or population prediction (bottom right). Data are represented by points (red for predicted concentrations whose actual values are below the LOD and blue for all other concentrations). Graphs patterns (absence of systematic relationships between \( x \) and \( y \) variables) suggest reasonable specification of the structural and error models.

Table 3. Cox Proportional Hazards Regression on Recurrent Malaria by Day 28, Unadjusted and Adjusted for Covariates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Unadjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 7 lumefantrine concentration &lt;200 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.62 (1.05–2.64)</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>1.21 (1.71–2.07)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP-SMZ use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.78 (0.49–1.24)</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>0.75 (0.43–1.29)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP-SMZ*day 7 interaction</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without TMP-SMZ use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7 concentration &lt;200 ng/mL</td>
<td>2.95 (1.61–5.43)</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td>2.97 (1.59–5.55)</td>
<td>.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7 concentration ≥200 ng/mL</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With TMP-SMZ use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7 concentration &lt;200 ng/mL</td>
<td>0.51 (0.22–1.18)</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>0.50 (0.22–1.13)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7 concentration ≥200 ng/mL</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, mo</td>
<td></td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>1.58 (0.72–3.48)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>1.53 (0.64–3.63)</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>1.91 (0.94–3.91)</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>1.87 (0.83–4.22)</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>1.21 (0.43–3.43)</td>
<td>.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1.53 (0.52–4.44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin level (g/dL)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.94 (0.38–1.06)</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>0.93 (0.83–1.05)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite density (parasites/µL)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.02 (0.92–1.12)</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>1.04 (0.95–1.14)</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (dichotomized)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>1.04 (0.47–2.31)</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.11 (1.53–2.30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; TMP-SMZ, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

<sup>a</sup> Values on the day of diagnosis; log-transformed parasite densities were used in the analysis.

<sup>b</sup> Weight-for-age z score less than −2 or greater than or equal to −2.
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DISCUSSION

We also examined the association of day 3 lumefantrine con-
centration with 28-day outcomes in 182 children who had both
day 3 and day 7 concentrations available. In our multivariate
analysis, for children not receiving TMP-SMZ, each 1-unit in-
crease in natural log–transformed day 3 lumefantrine concen-
tration was associated with a 49% reduced hazard of 28-day
recurrent malaria (\( P = .002 \)). In comparison, each 1-unit in-
crease in the natural log–transformed day 7 lumefantrine con-
centration was associated with a 20% reduced hazard of 28-day
recurrent malaria (\( P = .002 \)).

We identified a previous report of age-dependent lumefantrine bioavailability in children aged 3 months to 12
years [30]. In this study, in the presence of concomitant food in-
take, a doubling of age was associated with a 22% increase in lu-
mefantrine bioavailability.

Based on the pathways of elimination and transport of lume-
fantrine, a decrease in bioavailability, rather than an increase,
would be expected with maturation. Lumefantrine is eliminated
primarily via metabolism by cytochrome p450 3A4 (CYP3A4)
and is also a substrate of P-glycoprotein [31, 32]. Both are ex-
pressed in the gut wall, mature over the first years of life, and
act in concert to limit oral bioavailability. Alternative factors
may explain our observed age-dependent increase in bioavail-
ability. One explanation may relate to immature biliary function
and reduced bile salt formation (approximately 50% of adults)
[33]. Ionization and the partitioning of lumefantrine, a highly
lipophilic drug, would be expected to exhibit a slower dissolu-
tion rate and lower permeability in the diminished intra-
duodenal bile salt environment [21, 34]. Other age-related
changes include alterations in protein binding and changes in
the gastrointestinal tract, such as maturation of intestinal mucos-
a, gastric emptying, and intestinal transit [35–37]. Being able to
understand the cause of lower average concentrations in the
youngest of children is critical. For instance, no dose adjustment
may be required if changes are related to protein binding, while
adjustment may be warranted if differences are due to the
amount absorbed.

A direct comparison of our PK results to those of previous
studies is limited by differences in sampling methods (whole-
blood and use of filter paper vs plasma), matrices (capillary vs
venous) and assay methods (liquid chromatography tandem
mass spectrometry vs high-performance liquid chromatogra-
phy). In a study of children similar in weight (5–14.9 kg) to
those in our study, the average area-under-the-curve from 0
to infinity (AUC\(_{0-\infty}\)) was 441–577 \( \mu \)g/mL\( \cdot \)h, and the average
peak concentration \( (C_{\text{max}}) \) was 5.2–6.1 \( \mu \)g/mL, both of which
are generally higher than our findings, although this prior
study used venous plasma, which may contain higher concen-
trations than recovered from capillary whole-blood [8, 11]. In
studies of adult patients with malaria, the AUC in venous plas-
ma has ranged from 252 to 758 \( \mu \)g/mL\( \cdot \)h [12, 21, 27, 38], and in
studies of older African children (up to 13 years old) treated
under supervision and in whom lumefantrine was measured
for up to at least 14 days, the average AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) in venous plasma ranged from 460 to 704 \( \mu \)g/mL\( \cdot \)h compared with 345 \( \mu \)g/mL\( \cdot \)h in our study [11, 24, 30, 39]. The \( C_{\text{max}} \) in these studies of older
children, and that by Mwesigwa et al, ranged from 5.6 to 9.4 \( \mu \)g/
/mL, compared with approximately 3.9 \( \mu \)g/mL (90% prediction
interval, 1.5–9.8 \( \mu \)g/mL) in our study [9].

In contrast to AUC data, more information is available to
compare day 7 lumefantrine concentrations across different
age groups. Our data reveal that children <2 years of age have

![Figure 4](image_url) Cumulative risk of recurrent parasitemia by day 28 following treatment
with artemether-lumefantrine, stratified by trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-
SMZ) status and capillary whole-blood lumefantrine threshold of 200 ng/mL.
a median day 7 capillary whole-blood concentration of 216 ng/mL. Two previous studies using the same sampling and matrix reported a median day 7 concentration of 304 ng/mL (IQR, 191–523 ng/mL) in children aged 6 months to 5 years [40] and a median of 430 ng/mL (IQR 282, 634) ng/mL in children aged 6 months to 15 years [41]. A recent WWARN meta-analysis, which included the above studies, suggested that children aged <3 years had the lowest day 7 exposure to lumefantrine, particularly those with a low weight-for-age z score [8].

Our study also revealed a potential PK interaction between TMP-SMZ and AL use, an interesting finding as TMP-SMZ use is recommended by the World Health Organization in all HIV-infected and HIV-exposed children until HIV can be definitively excluded [42]. Children receiving TMP-SMZ had higher day 7 lumefantrine concentrations than children not receiving TMP-SMZ (median, 243 ng/mL vs 206 ng/mL; P = .018), a finding that was not explained by the slightly higher milligrams per kilogram dose received by children taking TMP-SMZ. To our knowledge, the only other study to assess the PK of AL in the context of TMP-SMZ also found a higher level of lumefantrine in children taking TMP-SMZ [43]. It should be noted, however, that TMP-SMZ use was not identified as a significant covariate in our population PK analysis. While our current understanding of the metabolism of lumefantrine [31], TMP, and SMZ [44, 45] is unable to explain this finding, it is likely incomplete, as evidenced by 2 recent studies. A study of pregnant women receiving TMP-SMZ and mefloquine found that SMZ concentrations were reduced by approximately 50% in those receiving mefloquine [46], and a study of TMP-SMZ and rifampin, a potent CYP-inducer, reported significant reductions in TMP-SMZ concentrations in those receiving rifampin [47].

TMP-SMZ use, which itself provides protection against malaria, also influenced lumefantrine PK/PD. Among those not receiving TMP-SMZ, there was a nearly 3-fold higher risk of 28-day recurrence if the concentration of lumefantrine was <200 ng/mL (P = .0007). For children receiving TMP-SMZ, lumefantrine concentrations were not associated with the risk of recrudescence, likely because of the independent protective effects of TMP-SMZ against malaria. In these children, there was a trend towards an opposite interaction (a higher risk of recurrence with higher lumefantrine concentrations; Supplementary Figure 3), these findings should be interpreted with caution. One potential explanation could be an attenuation of the protective TMP-SMZ effect seen at higher lumefantrine levels, although the mechanism behind such an effect remains unclear. Assessment of TMP-SMZ levels and the potential impact of TMP-SMZ on lumefantrine absorption in future studies may be informative.

Finally, we evaluated the comparative predictive value of day 3 lumefantrine concentrations in those for whom both day 3 and day 7 concentrations were available. Our analysis revealed that day 3 concentrations were stronger predictors of 28-day recurrence than day 7 concentrations (HR, 0.51 and 0.80, respectively; P=.002 for both). These findings are supported by our earlier results, which suggest that AUC and day 3 lumefantrine exposure are highly correlated (r² = 0.94; P < .0001) [9]. If day 3 concentrations prove to be equivalent or improved predictors of treatment outcomes than day 7 concentrations, it may be logistically easier to sample at this earlier time point in future PK/PD studies.

Our analysis has several limitations. Owing to its simplicity and convenience, capillary sampling on treated filter papers was used. While the use of dried blood spots is advantageous in field operations because it does not require plasma sample preparation and freeze shipping, its usefulness is limited because of the need for extraction of samples in a bioanalytical laboratory, as well as its decreased sensitivity and increased variability [8, 22]. In addition, the correlation between capillary whole-blood and venous plasma remains unclear. However, while average concentrations were lower in capillary whole-blood on filter paper than in venous plasma, a recent meta-analysis did not find an association of assay matrix and day 7 concentrations in a multivariable analysis [8].

An additional limitation was the lack of sufficient sampling times during the absorption phase, making it difficult to detect an absorption delay and related kinetics in our study. We were able to overcome these limitations by fixing the absorption rate constant (ka) to a prior reported value of 0.45 h⁻¹. Additionally, since a limited amount of material were available, we were not able to determine the levels of desbutyl-lumefantrine (DBL) in this investigation. Measurement of DBL levels may have been of interest for its contribution to the therapeutic efficacy of lumefantrine, and as a secondary point, DBL levels are of value for the assessment of the rate and extent of CYP3A4 metabolism in this age group and its implication on the oral bioavailability of lumefantrine. Finally, the number of underweight children and children taking ART limited our ability to detect an impact of these variables on PK exposure.

In summary, a population PK approach has been used to characterize the PK of lumefantrine in young children age 6 months to 2 years. Lumefantrine’s PK were best described by a 2-compartment open model with first-order absorption and linear elimination. Of the covariates studied, age was identified as the variable significantly affecting lumefantrine bioavailability. Exposure on day 7 in our patient population was generally lower than those previously reported in older children and adults despite higher actual milligrams per kilogram doses in this group, though at least some of the difference may be due to a difference in sampling methods. In addition, TMP-SMZ use was found to modulate the association between lumefantrine exposure and recurrent parasitemia. Further investigation into the mechanisms of altered AL exposure in young children, as well as optimization of dosing in this age group, should be done to improve exposure...
and reduce the risk of recurrent malaria in this vulnerable population.
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