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The major ophthalmic diseases of public health concern in the UK are cataract, glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy (DR) and age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Older age is a major risk factor for each of these conditions, and expansion of treatment options has increased the resource allocation necessary per case. Hence with the number of UK residents aged over 75 set to rise from 4.9 million (2010) to 8.9 million (2035), whilst the ratio of working age to retirement age populations drops from 3.16 (2010) to 2.87 (2035), there is a pressing national need for proactive service provision planning to avoid a serious and progressive under-provision which cannot be ethically dealt with by continued recruitment of medical staff from nations with greater human resource problems than the UK.

Planning expansion of services cannot occur without estimation of future demands. We attempted epidemiological modelling, therefore, to quantify the proportional disease burden growth 2015-35 in so far as that growth is driven by prevalence. In doing so, however, the constraints were as apparent as the possibilities.

**Epidemiological modelling – more caveat than confidence?**

Projections of UK population growth by Office for National Statistics (ONS) are stratified by age and gender. However, with significant variation in disease prevalence between different ethnicities and major UK ethnographic changes expected, modelling must incorporate ethnicity. ‘ETHPOP’ population projections for 12 ethnic groups by age and gender, constrained to ONS projections to 2051, have been produced using a cohort-component model. For example, ETHPOP predicts Asian/British Asian population aged >80 to quadruple from ~60 000 in 2015 to 231 000 in 2035. Difficulties then arise, starting with the absence of contemporary UK population-based survey data to provide prevalence estimates. Increasingly historic survey data from geographically and genetically disparate contexts was, of necessity, therefore utilised. Furthermore, modelling should take into account age-specific incidence and the effects of becoming a “case” on life expectancy.
Lack of data to permit quantification of the current impact of diagnosis on life expectancy prevents serious consideration of such an approach.

Other known risk factors such as smoking, hypertension, socio-economic status and obesity are not static within the UK population – but modelling to include the expected changes in these factors and their interactions with disease burden is fraught with difficulties; changes in efficacy of treatment modalities for diseases or their risk factors is impossible to predict.

The undeniable size of these obstacles to the generation of academically robust projections of disease burden, does not diminish the equally undeniable need for long term service delivery planning – which cannot occur in the absence of some attempt to quantify the future needs.

With this in mind, we searched for the most relevant survey data and applied them to the ETHPOP population projections via the National Eye Health Epidemiological Model (NEHEM) which facilitates application of disease prevalence to populations (www.eyehealthmodel.org).

**Glaucoma** – Published UK Asian population studies cannot be considered widely representative of UK Asian/British Asian populations so meta-analysis of large studies from both India and Bangladesh was selected. No relevant UK Black population data is available, so meta-analysis was again used with the largest contributing studies utilising West Indies, USA and African data. The largest White UK population data were felt to be too old, coming from a survey undertaken in 1995/96, so large American, European and Australian surveys were accessed. Using these data, a 49% rise in glaucoma cases from 2015-35 is predicted.

**AMD** – Prevalence data for AMD could be taken from UK sources, however, case definition limitations and restriction to age >75 made multicentre EUREYE data appear most representative. The lower prevalence of blinding AMD seen in black populations was accommodated by application of data from the American Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group. Using these data, a 64% rise in nAMD cases from 2015-35 is predicted.
Cataract - Absence of internationally agreed case definition, to which prevalence estimates are
extremely sensitive, makes estimation of case numbers of limited value. Proportional increase in
those numbers, however, is potentially very useful. Estimates from two surveys were taken, and
using these data, a 52% rise in cataract cases is expected from 2015-35.

Diabetic Retinopathy - The global diabetic population by 2030 has been variously predicted to rise by
three separate academic groups to: 366 million, 439 million, and 552 million. Similarly, two
1997 estimates of growth rate of UK diabetic population were 4.1% annually and 1.0% annually.
The general scarcity and need for data and future projections to inform ophthalmic public health
planning for DR is acknowledged. Despite this need, we concluded that the data did not exist to
permit us to attempt this, as the variation in existing projections demonstrates.

However, if the best indicator of future behaviour is past behaviour, then we should note that UK
diabetes prevalence increased from 2.8% (1996) to 4.3% (2005), >50% rise in 10 years, hence it
would seem prudent to anticipate a substantial increase in demand from DR. The scale of this may
be similar to the predicted 86% rise in the diabetic population projected for the USA (2009-2034)
or for Germany of a 64% rise in diagnosed type 2 diabetics.

Of what value are these estimates?
If a large, unquantified and changing proportion of glaucoma is undiagnosed, and visual thresholds
for cataract surgery alter surgical numbers far more than prevalence, then it would be reasonable to
assert that predicting numbers of “cases” in a population has little to offer to service planning and
that the case numbers in table 1 can be discounted. However, there must be a substantial
proportion of demand that is driven by prevalence, and in so far as the conversion rate between
prevalence and demand remains relatively stable, epidemiological modelling gives the best chance
of estimating by what proportion demand will rise.
The historic lack of prospective planning for rising demand has allowed a capacity shortfall with well-documented national level evidence of harm to patients.\textsuperscript{29,30} Short term financial targets make it unattractive for managers to configure services with any excess capacity to accommodate expected growth, hence utilisation of inefficient short term fixes such as waiting list initiatives, until the system decompensates with serious untoward incidents at which point investment to increase routine capacity becomes unavoidable. This situation must change.

Better source data for planning would require a UK national population-based survey, but until this becomes available, these estimates of proportional increase in case numbers offer some guidance on the size of the growth in service delivery that UK eye care services will be expected to deliver over the next 20 years – and as such should be useful to those taking the long view nationally or locally on resource allocation and workforce planning. Amongst the uncertainties, one thing can be said for sure: if we fail to plan for growth, we are consciously planning to fail our patients in the years to come.
Table 1: Estimated and projected numbers of cases of Glaucoma, Cataract and nAMD in the UK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Glaucoma Cases (% increase cf 2015)</th>
<th>Cataract Cases (% increase cf 2015)</th>
<th>nAMD Cases (% increase cf 2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>659 000</td>
<td>1 450 000</td>
<td>411 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>807 000 23%</td>
<td>1 790 000 23%</td>
<td>521 000 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>983 000 49%</td>
<td>2 210 000 52%</td>
<td>672 000 64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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