BMJ Open Work of being an adult patient with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review of qualitative studies

Javier Roberti,¹ Amanda Cummings,^{2,3} Michelle Myall,^{2,3} Jonathan Harvey,² Kate Lippiett,² Katherine Hunt,² Federico Cicora,¹ Juan Pedro Alonso,⁴ Carl R May⁵

ABSTRACT

To cite: Roberti J, Cummings A, Myall M, *et al.* Work of being an adult patient with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e023507. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-023507

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023507).

Received 9 April 2018 Revised 28 June 2018 Accepted 8 August 2018

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2018. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹FINAER, Foundation for Research and Assistance of Kidney Disease, Buenos Aires, Argentina ²Faculty of Health Sciences,

Viversity of Neurit Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ³NIHR CLAHRC Wessex, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ⁴Faculty of Social Sciences, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina ⁵London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London, UK

Correspondence to Javier Roberti; javierroberti@gmail.com **Introduction** Chronic kidney disease (CKD) requires patients and caregivers to invest in self-care and self-management of their disease. We aimed to describe the *work* for adult patients that follows from these investments and develop an understanding of burden of treatment (BoT).

Methods Systematic review of qualitative primary studies that builds on EXPERTS1 Protocol, PROSPERO registration number: CRD42014014547. We included research published in English, Spanish and Portuguese, from 2000 to present, describing experience of illness and healthcare of people with CKD and caregivers. Searches were conducted in MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL Plus, PsycINFO, Scopus, Scientific Electronic Library Online and Red de Revistas Científicas de América Latina y el Caribe, España y Portugal. Content was analysed with theoretical framework using middle-range theories.

Results Searches resulted in 260 studies from 30 countries (5115 patients and 1071 carers). Socioeconomic status was central to the experience of CKD, especially in its advanced stages when renal replacement treatment is necessary. Unfunded healthcare was fragmented and of indeterminate duration, with patients often depending on emergency care. Treatment could lead to unemployment, and in turn, to uninsurance or underinsurance. Patients feared catastrophic events because of diminished financial capacity and made strenuous efforts to prevent them. Transportation to and from haemodialysis centre, with variable availability and cost, was a common problem, aggravated for patients in non-urban areas, or with young children, and low resources. Additional work for those uninsured or underinsured included fund-raising. Transplanted patients needed to manage finances and responsibilities in an uncertain context. Information on the disease, treatment options and immunosuppressants side effects was a widespread problem.

Conclusions Being a person with end-stage kidney disease always implied high burden, time-consuming, invasive and exhausting tasks, impacting on all aspects of patients' and caregivers' lives. Further research on BoT could inform healthcare professionals and policy makers about factors that shape patients' trajectories and contribute towards a better illness experience for those living with CKD.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42014014547.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- We analysed data with a coding framework supported by middle-range theories to understand the work involved in being a person with chronic kidney disease.
- Comprehensive inclusion of publications in English, Spanish and Portuguese, which may enhance the transferability of our findings.
- The variety of methodologies, quality of reporting and heterogeneity of perspectives make synthesis difficult.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) contributes significantly to global morbidity and mortality.¹⁻⁴ Even in its early stages, the risk of death, cardiovascular events, cerebrovascular disorders, hospitalisation, reduced health-related quality of life, anxiety, depression and suicidal ideation is increased.¹⁻⁶

Worldwide, about 500 million people are affected by CKD; about 80% of these live in low-income and middle-income countries (LMIC); an estimated 3 million people with end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) receive renal replacement therapy (RRT) with either dialysis or transplantation.¹⁷⁸ The number of people receiving RRT is increasing and will more than double by 2030, but a significant number of people without access to this type of live-saving treatment will remain.⁹ In 2010, at least 2.28 million people might have died because of lack of access to RRT, mostly in LMIC in Asia, Africa and Latin America.⁹

Much is now known about the pathophysiological and treatment trajectories of CKD, and about the associated burden of symptoms experienced by patients. More recently, there has been increasing interest in the way that complex long-term conditions require patients and their carers to invest in self-care and self-management of their disease.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ The work for patients and carers that follows from these investments, including medication management, medical visits, laboratory tests, lifestyle changes and monitoring in addition to the activities done as part of life, is here termed burden of treatment (BoT), which adds to the burden of symptoms (BoS).^{10 13 16} Research on BoT has focused on long-term conditions such as diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic heart failure, with the development of analytic framework and patient-created taxonomies.^{10 16-27} Patients and carers are expected to actively participate in managing both index conditions and comorbidities and, depending on their resources or lack thereof, they often need to negotiate or renegotiate the responsibilities that healthcare providers and healthcare systems assign to them.^{13 28 29} Patients' and carers' experience in managing the disease and its treatment, including their choices and expectations, is affected by structural, relational and resilience factors; the interactions among these factors remain understudied.³⁰ The aim of this study is to develop specific understanding of treatment burden experienced by people with CKD and ESKD extending it to experiences of uninsured and underinsured patients in LMIC.

METHODS

This is a systematic review of primary qualitative studies, which builds on the published EXPERTS1 Protocol and its meta-review of qualitative reviews.^{30 31} PROSPERO registration number is CRD42014014547. This review follows the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research framework.³² We interrogated a subset of qualitative primary research papers concerned with CKD identified by EXPERTS1 qualitative meta-review to understand the dynamics of patient experience of complexity and treatment burden in long-term life-limiting conditions. EXPERTS1 search was updated and expanded to Spanish and Portuguese language literature.

Eligibility, inclusion and exclusion criteria

Eligibility criteria for study inclusion were developed using the participants, interventions, comparators and

Table 1 PICC) criteria for including studies
Population	Patients of at least 18 years of age, diagnosed with CKD, and formal and informal carers.
Intervention	Experiences of healthcare provision, any type of treatment for CKD.
Comparator	Not limited to comparator studies.
Outcomes	Qualitative data on patients' and carers' experiences of care for those patients with CKD.
Study type	Primary studies, qualitative or mixed methods studies.
Time	From 2000 to present.

CKD, chronic kidney disease; PICO, participants, interventions, comparators and outcomes.

outcomes framework (table 1). Inclusion criteria were primary qualitative and mixed-method studies of adult patients diagnosed with CKD in any stage and their formal or informal carers; in any type of treatment or healthcare provision; not limited to comparative studies; with qualitative data on the patients' and carers' experiences on any aspect of CKD, in any stage, and its treatments; in English, Spanish and Portuguese. Following the EXPERTS1 protocol, studies were excluded if they were of other EXPERTS1 index conditions; if they reported results of treatments, interventions, tests or surveys; were guidelines, discussions of the literature or editorials, notes, news, letters and case reports; if the experiences described by patients and carers could not be clearly discriminated.³¹ Studies describing experiences of children with CKD were excluded because their BoT may be significantly different from that of adult patients. The year of publication 2000 onwards was established to include current treatments.

Study selection

A first search for the EXPERTS1 meta-review was conducted in MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL Plus, PsycINFO and Scopus. For this review, searches were updated using the same databases and expanded to include studies published in Spanish and Portuguese with additional searches in the Iberoamerican databases Scientific Electronic Library Online and Red de Revistas Científicas de América Latina y el Caribe, España y Portugal. Searches were completed by April 2017 and identified papers published between 1 January 2000 and March 2017. Search strategy is included in supplementary appendix 1. For a first set of studies, titles and abstracts were independently screened by AC, MM and CRM, disagreements resolved by JH. Full-text papers (n=1238) were obtained and screened by JH, KAL and MM; disagreements resolved by KH or AC. Of 606 articles, 191 were related to CKD. For a second set, updated results in English and studies in Spanish and Portuguese were screened by JR, JPA, disagreements resolved by FC. Two authors (JR, JPA) assessed papers against the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme qualitative research checklist.³³ As there is no accepted criteria for the exclusion of qualitative studies-based appraisal score, we did not exclude studies based on quality. See figure 1 for screening and selection process.

Data extraction and analysis

Data outlining study characteristics are shown in table 2. Manuscripts were entered into Atlas.Ti V.7.5.12 (Scientific Software Development GmbH). The results sections and participant quotations of the primary studies were analysed line-by-line using directed content analysis, sometimes called framework analysis.³⁴ The coding frame drew on concepts from the Burden of Treatment Theory and the Cognitive Authority Theory.^{18–21} ²⁹ ³⁵ ³⁶ Coding was conducted by JR and CRM, with a third party involved for disagreements (JPA), and reviewed and discussed by



Figure 1 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow chart of screening and selection process. CKD, chronic kidney disease.

two researchers (AC, MM). Refinement of the coding frame and analysis was iterative, codes were identified or merged reading the result sections of primary studies and consulting the theoretical framework. Investigator triangulation (comparison of results of two or more researchers) was used to capture relevant issues, reflect participants' experience as reported and ensure the credibility of the findings.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or public were not involved in the development of the research question. To ensure wide dissemination of this systematic review, it is published in peer-reviewed open-access journal and presented in research meetings.

RESULTS

Combined searches yielded 5407 citations and resulted in 260 studies from 30 countries included in the final analysis. A total of 5115 patients and 1071 carers were included. Countries most frequently represented in the studies were: the USA with 52 (20%), the UK with 46 (18%), Brazil with 28 (11%), Australia with 25 (10%), Canada with 20 (8%), Sweden with 19 (7%), New Zealand with 8 (3%) and Iran with 7 (3%) studies. Most studies (n=193, 74%) described the experiences of patients with ESKD, in dialysis or conservative treatment, 28 (11%) studies reported on transplanted patients, 17 (6%) studies referred to patients with CKD stages 1–4 and the remainder studies described experiences of patients with CKD in all stages. Table 2 shows characteristics of studies included in the review, box 1 shows illustrative quotations, table 3 shows summary of results and table 4 shows main challenges related to BoT.

Structural inequalities

Access to care

Poverty and other socioeconomic disadvantages such as unemployment or poor housing conditions were defining factors for lack of treatment or interrupted care.^{37–52} Living as a person with CKD and ESKD always implied some degree of financial burden, from having to pay for the whole dialysis treatment or transplantation surgery to out-of-pocket payments of incidentals, even in countries with universal coverage.^{35 47–49 51 53–63} Poorly funded or unfunded healthcare resulted in fragmented treatment across healthcare systems.^{47 48 64} Although patients who had difficulties affording treatment were naturally more concerned with accessing healthcare than in improving services, they recognised fragmentation and lack of integration as important problems.40 45 48-51 Where government or private insurance coverage of ESKD treatment was limited, for example, Mexico or India, patients paid for some or all the following: vascular access, hospitalisation, medical visits, haemodialysis sessions, medication, tests, prescribed food, transport and meals.^{45 47-50 60 65} In such settings, patients received dialysis treatment only if they could afford it or when they had access to free sessions.^{45 47-50 60 65} Medication was sometimes counterfeit, obtained on the black market, as legitimate medication was beyond patients' reach.⁴⁹ For the uninsured, dependence on emergency care added uncertainty and

Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Aasen et al ¹⁰⁷	2012	Norway	5 H, East, West	11		ESKD	Interviews	Critical discourse
Aasen e <i>t al²⁴⁶</i>	2012	Norway	5 H, East, West		7	ESKD	Interviews	Critical discourse
Aasen ²⁸⁷	2012	Norway	5 H, East, West	11	17	ESKD	Interviews	Critical discourse
Al-Arabi ¹⁰⁴	2006	NSA	1 C, Southwest	80		ESKD	Interviews	Naturalistic inquiry, thematic
Allen e <i>t al¹⁷³</i>	2011	Canada	1 H, urban	7		ESKD	Ethnographic observations, interviews	Participatory action, thematic
Allen et al ⁶⁴	2015	Canada	2H	9	÷	ESKD	Ethnographic observations, interviews	Thematic
Anderson <i>et al⁷⁷</i>	2008	Australia	9H, 17 C	241		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Anderson <i>et al⁵³</i>	2012	Australia	9H, 17 C	241		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Arslan and Ege ²⁰⁰	2009	Turkey	1 H, Kenya	10		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Ashby et al ³⁸	2005	Australia	2 H, Melbourne	16		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Avril-Sephula <i>et alⁱ¹⁸</i>	2014	UK	1 H, North	80		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Axelsson <i>et al¹⁸⁷</i>	2012	Sweden	2H, 2 C	ω		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological, hermeneutical
Axelsson <i>et al</i> ¹³⁶	2012	Sweden	2H, 2 C	ω		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological, hermeneutical
Axelsson et al ¹³⁴	2015	Sweden	2 H, 1 C, urban		14	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Bailey e <i>t al²³⁵</i>	2015	UK	Bristol	32		Transplanted	Interviews	Constant comparison
Bailey e <i>t al</i> ³⁹	2016	UK	Bristol	13		Transplanted	Interviews	Constant comparison
Baillie and Lankshear ¹⁵⁶	2015	UK	Wales	16	0	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Baillie and Lankshear ¹⁵⁷	2015	UK	Wales	16	6	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Barbosa and Valadares ¹⁴⁵	2009	Brazil	1 C, Rio de Janeiro	10		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Bath et a/ ²⁵²	2003	UK	South	10		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Beanlands <i>et al²¹⁰</i>	2005	Canada	Ontario		37	ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Bennett <i>et al¹⁹⁷</i>	2013	Australia	4 C	б	0	ESKD	Interviews facilitated by images	Thematic
Blogg and Hyde ⁶⁹	2008	Australia	Urban		5	ESKD	Interviews	Ethnographic
Boaz and Morgan ¹⁷⁵	2014	UK	Rural, urban	25		Transplanted	Interviews	Constant comparison
Bourbonnais and	2012	Canada	1H	25		ESKD	Interviews	Content

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023507 on 4 September 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on 7 September 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Bridger ²³⁸	2009	NK	GP, South	23		CKD	Interviews, drawings, journals	Grounded theory
Bristowe <i>et al</i> ¹²⁶	2015	UK	2 C, London	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
de Brito-Ashurst <i>et al¹²¹</i>	2011	UK	London	20		CKD	Focus groups, vignettes and diaries	Thematic
Browne <i>et al²²⁶</i>	2016	NSA	South	40		ESKD	Focus groups	Content
Buldukoglu <i>et al¹⁸⁶</i>	2005	Turkey	Antalya	40		Transplanted	Open-ended questions	Constant comparison
Burnette and Kickett ⁷⁸	2009	Australia	1 C, Perth	6		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Cadena <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁴	2015	Mexico	Coyotepec, Mexico	5		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretative phenomenological
Calvey and Mee ¹⁴⁶	2011	Ireland	NA	7		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Calvin/ ²⁵¹	2004	NSA	3 C, Texas	12		ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Calvin <i>et al²⁹²</i>	2014	NSA	Texas		18	ESKD	Interviews	Interpretative, Glaserian
Campos and Turato ²³⁴	2003	Brazil	1 H, Sao Paulo	7		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Campos and Turato ⁸⁷	2010	Brazil	1 H, Sao Paulo	7		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Campos <i>et al^{as}</i>	2015	Brazil	H, C, Paraná	23		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Cases et al ²⁷⁹	2011	ЛK	NA	6		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Cervantes <i>et al</i> ⁵²	2017	NSA	1 H, Colorado	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Chatrung <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁸	2015	NSA	California	00		CKD	Interviews	Thematic
Chenitz <i>et al</i> ⁸⁶	2014	NSA	4 C, Pennsylvania	30		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Chiaranai ⁴⁰	2016	Thailand	1H	26		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Cho and Shin ⁴¹	2016	South Korea	1 H, South	5		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Chong et al ¹⁶⁴	2016	South Korea	1 H, South	8		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Clarkson and Robinson ¹⁰⁶	2010	USA	Oklahoma	10		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Costa et a/ ¹⁹⁸	2014	Brazil	3 H, Paraíba	26		ESKD	Interviews	Lexical
Costantini <i>et al⁹²</i>	2008	Canada	Ontario	14		CKD	Interviews	Content
Cox et al ¹⁴⁸	2016	NSA	6 C, New Mexico	50		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretive description
Cramm et al ²¹⁹	2015	The Netherlands	1 H, Rotterdam	15	12	ESKD	Interviews	Factor analysis, Q methodology
Cristóvao et al ¹¹³	2013	Portugal	1 C, Lisbon	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Crowley-Matoka <i>et al⁸³</i>	2005	Mexico	2 prog, Guadalajara	50		Transplanted	Interviews	NA
Curtin et al ²⁶⁵	2001	USA	Diverse	18		ESKD	Interviews	Content

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023507 on 4 September 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on 7 September 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Table 2 Continued								
Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Curtin <i>et al</i> ²⁶⁴	2002	USA		18		ESKD	Interviews	Content
da Silva <i>et al</i> ¹⁰³	2016	Brazil	1 C, Northeast	30		ESKD	Interviews	Content and thematic
da Silva <i>et al³³⁸</i>	2011	Brazil	1 H, Rio Grande do Sul	6		ESKD	Interviews	Qualitative
Darrell <i>et al</i> ²⁸¹	2016	NSA	1H	12		ESKD	Interviews	Giorgi's method
Davison <i>et al²³¹</i>	2006	Canada	Alberta	24		ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison, iterative
Davison <i>et al²⁹¹</i>	2006	Canada	1H	19		ESKD	Interviews	inductive
de Brito <i>et al</i> ⁸⁹	2015	Brazil	1 H, Minas Gerais	50		Transplanted	Interviews	Collective subject technique
de Rosenroll <i>et al²⁷⁷</i>	2013	Canada	1H		10	ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Dekkers et al ⁴²	2005	The Netherlands	2 C	7		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
DePasquale <i>et al²²¹</i>	2013	NSA	NP, 1 C	68	62	CKD	Group interviews	Mixed method
dos Reis <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁵	2008	Brazil	1 H, Sao Paulo	8		ESKD	Interviews	Content
dos Santos <i>et al</i> ¹⁶²	2011	Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	00		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
dos Santos <i>et al²⁵⁹</i>	2015	Brazil	3 NP, Rio Grande do Sul	20		Transplanted	Interviews	Critical incident
Ekelund <i>et al</i> ⁴³	2010	Sweden	1 C, South	39	21	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Erlang <i>et al²⁰³</i>	2015	Denmark	1H	6		CKD (predialysis) Interviews	Interviews	Systematic text condensation
Eslami <i>et al²¹⁴</i>	2016	Iran	4 C, Isfahan		20	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Finnegan-John <i>et al⁹⁰</i>	2013	UK	1 trust, London	118	12	CKD/ESKD	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic
Flores et al ¹⁶⁵	2004	Brazil	1 H, Rio Grande do Sul	0		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Fraguas et a/ ³⁷	2008	Brazil	2 H, Minas Gerais		18	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Ghadami <i>et al²³⁹</i>	2012	Iran	1 charity, Isfahan	15		Transplanted	Interviews	Content
Giles <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁹	2003	Canada	1 H, urban	4		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Giles et al ¹⁶⁰	2005	Canada		4		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Goff et a/ ²⁸⁸	2015	NSA	New Mexico	13	6	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Goldane et al ¹⁷⁶	2011	USA	1 C	39		Transplanted	Focus groups and interviews	Iterative analysis
Gordon <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁰	2007	NSA		20		Transplanted	Diary entries	Thematic
Gordon <i>et al</i> ⁸⁴	2009	NSA	2 H, Illinois, New York	82		Transplanted	Interviews	Thematic
Gricio et al ¹¹⁴	2009	Brazil	1 H, Sao Paulo	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
								Continued

Table 2 Continued								
Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Gullick et al ³³⁹	2016	Australia	1 H, Sydney	11	5	ESKD	Interviews	Hermeneutic interpretation
Hagren <i>et al²⁸²</i>	2001	Sweden	1H	15		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Hagren <i>et alⁱ¹⁵</i>	2005	Sweden	3H	41		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Hain <i>et al¹⁸⁹</i>	2011	NSA	6 C, Southeast	56		ESKD	Interviews	Story inquiry method
Hanson <i>et al⁷⁰</i>	2016	Australia	1 C, West	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Harrington <i>et al²⁸³</i>	2016	UK	8H	24		Transplanted	Interviews	Thematic
Harwood <i>et al²⁷⁰</i>	2014	Canada	1H	13		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Harwood <i>et al²⁴⁸</i>	2005	UK	1 H, London	11		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Content
Haspeslagh e <i>t al²⁴⁰</i>	2013	Belgium	1 H, Leuven	31		Transplanted	Interviews and questionnaires	Thematic
Heiwe <i>et al</i> ¹³⁷	2003	Sweden	1 H, Karolinska	16		ESKD	Interviews	Contextual
Heiwe <i>et al</i> ¹⁴⁰	2004	Sweden	1 H, Karolinska	16		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Contextual
Herbias <i>et al</i> ¹¹⁶	2016	Chile	1 C, Santiago	12		ESKD	Interviews	Streubert's method
Herlin <i>et al</i> ²⁸⁴	2010	Sweden	3 C	6		ESKD	Interviews	Giorgi's method
Hollingdale <i>et al²²⁷</i>	2008	UK		20		CKD/ESKD	Focus groups	Framework approach
Hong <i>et al</i> ¹²⁰	2017	Singapore	1H	14		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Horigan <i>et al</i> ¹³⁸	2013	NSA	1 C, Mid-Atlantic	14		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Hutchison <i>et al</i> ²⁹⁰	2017	Canada	1 clinic, urban	6	16	CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Interpretive description
lles-Smith <i>et al</i> ²³²	2005	UK	1 C, Manchester	10		CKD (predialysis) Interviews	Interviews	Thematic
Johnston <i>et al</i> ¹²⁸	2012	ЛĶ	1 trust, London	0		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Kaba et a/ ³⁴⁰	2007	Greece	2 H, Athens	23		ESKD	Interviews	Qualitative
Kahn et a/ ³⁵	2015	NSA	2 NP, New York	34		CKD	Interviews	Thematic
Karamanidou <i>et al</i> ¹⁵	2014	UK	1 C, London	7		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretative, phenomenological
Kazley et al ⁴⁴	2015	NSA	1 C, Southeast	20		CKD/ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Keeping <i>et al⁷³</i>	2001	Canada	East	80		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Kierans e <i>t al¹⁶⁷</i>	2001	Ireland		5		ESKD	Interviews, life stories	Phenomenological
Kierans <i>et al¹⁶⁶</i>	2005	Ireland		5		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Kierans e <i>t al¹²⁵</i>	2013	Mexico	1 H, Jalisco	51	87	CKD/ESKD, transplanted	Interviews, observation*	Ethnographic approach
King <i>et al</i> ⁹¹	2002	UK	1 C	22		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Template approach
Knihs et al ¹⁶⁸	2013	Brazil	1 C, South	20		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Krespi-Boothby <i>et al</i> ¹⁴⁷	2004	UK	1H, 4 C	16		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
								Continued

Table 2 Continued								
Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Krespi-Boothby <i>et al</i> ¹⁵¹	2013	UK	1 H, 4 C	16		ESKD	Interviews	Template approach
Ladin <i>et al²⁰²</i>	2016	NSA	2 C, Massachusetts	23		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Ladin <i>et al²⁶⁹</i>	2017	NSA	2 C, Massachusetts	31		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic Nutbeam's framework
Landreneau <i>et al</i> ²⁷⁴	2006	NSA	1 C, 1 NP, South	9		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Landreneau <i>et al²⁷⁸</i>	2007	NSA	2 C, South	12		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Lawrence <i>et al</i> ¹⁶⁹	2013	UK	1 C	10		ESKD	Interviews	Conceptual and categorical
Lederer <i>et al²⁶⁶</i>	2015	NSA	1 C	32		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Lee et a/ ²²³	2008	Denmark	Diverse	27	18	ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Lee et al ⁴⁵	2016	Singapore	1 organisation		20	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Lenci <i>et al</i> ²⁵⁶	2012	NSA		4		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Leung <i>et al</i> ¹⁸¹	2007	Hong Kong	1 C	12		Transplanted	Interviews	Content
Lewis <i>et al</i> ²⁸⁵	2015	UK	14H	40		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Lin <i>et al</i> ¹⁹⁰	2015	Taiwan	1 C, S, rural	15		ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Lindberg <i>et al</i> ⁴⁶	2008	Sweden	1 C, mid-country	10		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Lindberg <i>et al</i> ²⁶²	2013	Sweden	1 C, mid-country	10		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Lindsay <i>et al²⁸⁰</i>	2014	Australia	1 C, Sydney	7		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Llewellyn <i>et al²⁷¹</i>	2014	UK	4 C, London	19		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Lo <i>et al</i> ¹²⁹	2016	Australia	4 H, Melbourne, Sydney	58		CKD/ESKD	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic
Lopes <i>et al</i> ¹⁷⁰	2014	Brazil	1 C, Santa Catarina	12		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretative
Lopez-Vargas e <i>t al⁹⁴</i>	2014	Australia	3 C, New South Wales	38		CKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Lopez-Vargas <i>et al⁹³</i>	2016	Australia	3 C, New South Wales	38		CKD/ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Lovink <i>et al²¹⁷</i>	2015	The Netherlands	1 C	12		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Low <i>et al</i> ¹⁶¹	2014	UK	5 C, Southeast		26	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Machado <i>et al¹⁴⁹</i>	2003	Brazil	Sao Paulo	18		ESKD	Interviews	Discourse
Marques <i>et al²²⁸</i>	2014	Brazil	Paraná		10	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Martin-McDonald et al ¹⁹⁴	2003	Australia	5 C	10		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Martin-McDonald et al ¹⁹⁵	2003	Australia	1 C	10		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Mason <i>et al⁹⁵</i>	2007	UK	1 C	6	5	CKD	Focus groups	Framework approach
McCarthy <i>et al</i> ¹⁶³	2010	Australia	1H	5		ESKD	Interviews	Sequential
								Continued

Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
McKillop <i>et al²⁶⁷</i>	2013	NK	Clinics	10		СКD	Interviews	Thematic
Mercado-Martínez <i>et al</i> ⁴⁹	2014	Mexico	Jalisco, San Luis Potosí	21		Transplanted	Interviews	Thematic
Mercado-Martínez <i>et al</i> ⁴⁸	2015	Brazil	1 H, South	1	5	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Mercado-Martínez et al ⁴⁷	2015	Mexico	Public H and institutions, Jalisco	37	50	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Mitchell <i>et al</i> ²⁰⁵	2009	UK	1 C	10		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Content
Molzahn <i>et al²⁹⁴</i>	2012	Canada	Middle size city	14		CKD	Interviews	Thematic
Moran <i>et al²⁰⁴</i>	2009	Ireland	1H	16		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretive
Moran <i>et al</i> ¹⁵⁰	2009	Ireland	1H	16		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretive
Moran <i>et al¹³³</i>	2011	Ireland	т	16		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretative
Morton <i>et al⁷⁹</i>	2010	Australia	Diverse	95		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Muduma <i>et al⁹⁶</i>	2016	UK	2 C	37		Transplanted	Focus groups	Qualitative
Nagpal <i>et al²¹⁸</i>	2017	NSA	1 C, New York	36		ESKD	Interviews	Coding
Namiki <i>et al²²⁰</i>	2010	Australia	1H	4		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Niu et a/ ¹⁹⁶	2017	China	1 C, Jiangsu	23		ESKD	Interviews	Continuous comparison
Nobahar <i>et al⁶⁷</i>	2016	Iran	1 H, Semnan	80	12	ESKD	Interviews	Graneheim Lundman content
Nobahar <i>et al⁶⁸</i>	2016	Iran	1 H, Semnan	Ø	12	ESKD	Interviews	Granheim and Lundman's approach
Noble <i>et al²⁹³</i>	2009	ПК	1 service, London	30	17	ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Noble <i>et al</i> ⁹⁸	2010	UK	1 service, London	30	17	ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Noble <i>et al⁹⁷</i>	2012	UK	1 service		19	ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Nygardh <i>et al²⁸⁹</i>	2011	Sweden	1 C, South		12	CKD (predialysis) Interviews	Interviews	Content
Nygardh <i>et al²³⁶</i>	2011	Sweden	1 C, South	20		CKD	Interviews	Latent content
Malheiro Oliveira <i>et al²⁰⁹</i>	2012	Brazil	Bahia	19		ESKD	Interviews	Categorical
Orr et al ¹⁸²	2007	UK	1 C	26		Transplanted	Focus groups	Thematic
Orr et al ¹⁸³	2007	UK	1 C	26		Transplanted	Focus groups	Thematic
Oyegbile <i>et al⁶⁵</i>	2016	Nigeria	2 H, Southwest		15	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Pelletier-Hibbert <i>et al²⁸⁶</i>	2001	Canada	East		41	ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Piccoli <i>et al²²⁴</i>	2010	Italy	1H	12		CKD/ESKD, transplanted	Focus groups	Not clear
Pietrovski <i>et al²⁰⁸</i>	2006	Brazil	1 H, Paraná	15		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Dilact of 0/225	0100	1:102	1 C Doroná	00				Thomatio

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023507 on 4 September 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on 7 September 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Polaschek <i>et al⁵⁴</i>	2003	New Zealand	1 C	9		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Polaschek <i>et al^{ss}</i>	2006	New Zealand	1 regional department	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Polaschek <i>et al</i> ⁵⁶	2007	New Zealand	1 regional department	20		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Prieto <i>et al</i> ¹³⁰	2011	Spain	Andalusia	22		ESKD	Interviews	Discourse
Rabiei <i>et al</i> ¹⁴¹	2015	Iran	Isfahan		20	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Ravenscroft <i>et al²⁶⁰</i>	2005	Canada	3 C	7		ESKD	Interviews	Inductive
Reid <i>et al</i> ²⁶⁸	2012	UK	1 C, clinics	11		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Reta <i>et al</i> ¹³¹	2014	Spain	1 H, Araba	14		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Richard et a/ ¹⁰⁸	2010	NSA		14		ESKD	Interviews	Cultural negotiation model framework
Rifkin <i>et a/</i> ⁰	2010	NSA	1 C	20		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Rix et al ⁵⁸	2014	Australia	New South Wales, rural	18		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Rix et al ⁵⁷	2015	Australia	New South Wales, rural	18	29	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Rodrigues <i>et al</i> ¹⁹¹	2011	Brazil	1 C, South	8		ESKD	Interviews	Categorical
Ros et al ²⁴⁴	2012	USA	1 H, Maryland	19		ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Roso <i>et al</i> ¹¹⁹	2013	Brazil	1 H, South	15		ESKD	Narrative interviews	: Thematic
Russ et al ²²⁹	2005	USA	2 C, California	43		ESKD	Interviews	Anthropologic study
Russell et al ²⁴¹	2003	NSA	1 C, Midwest	16		Transplanted	Interviews	Constant comparison
Rygh <i>et al</i> ⁷¹	2012	Norway	North	11		ESKD	Interviews	Inductive, actor's point of view
Sadala et al ⁷²	2012	Brazil	1H	19		ESKD	Narrative interviews	 Phenomenological, hermeneutical
Sahaf et a/ ²²²	2017	Iran	2 hour, Sari	6		ESKD	Interviews	van Manen's thematic
Salvalaggio <i>et al⁸²</i>	2003	Canada	1 H, Ontario	12		ESKD	Interviews	Immersion/crystalisation
Schell <i>et al²⁷²</i>	2012	NSA	1 university system, 1 NP, North Carolina	29	11	CKD/ESKD	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic
Schipper <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁴	2014	The Netherlands	5 H	30		Transplanted	Focus groups and interviews	Thematic
Schmid-Mohler et al ⁸⁵	2014	Switzerland	1 H, Zurich	12		Transplanted	Interviews	Content
Schober <i>et al²⁰⁶</i>	2016	NSA	14 states	48		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Seah et a/ ⁵⁰	2013	Singapore	3H	6		ESKD	Interviews	Interpretative phenomenological

Shahqholian et al ¹⁴²	YPAR	Country	Setting	Datiente	Carers	Type of natient	Data collection	Data analvsis renorted
Shahgholian et al		Country	Setting					
>	2015	Iran	1 H, Isfahan	17		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Shaw et al ²⁷⁵	2015	New Zealand	Diverse	24		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Sheu <i>et al²⁴⁵</i>	2012	NSA	Maryland	27	23	ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Shih <i>et al</i> ⁵⁹	2011	New Zealand	1 C, North	7		ESKD	Interviews	Hermeneutical and thematic
Shirazian <i>et al'¹²³</i>	2016	NSA	1 C, Northeast	23		CKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Sieverdes <i>et al¹⁷⁴</i>	2015	USA	1 C, South Carolina	27		Transplanted	Focus groups	Thematic
Smith <i>et al²⁰⁷</i>	2010	NSA	2 C	19		ESKD	Focus groups	Content
Spiers <i>et al¹⁷⁷</i>	2015	UK	1 C, London	4		Transplanted	Interviews	Interpretative phenomenological
Spiers <i>et al¹⁷¹</i>	2016	UK	2 online groups	10		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Stanfill <i>et al¹⁷⁸</i>	2012	NSA	1 C, mid-South	7		Transplanted	Focus groups	Iterative
Stewart <i>et al⁸¹</i>	2012	NSA	2 C, urban	19		ESKD	Interviews	Coding
Tanyi <i>et a/</i> ²⁰¹	2006	NSA	Mid-West	16		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Tanyi <i>et al¹⁹²</i>	2008	NSA	2 C, mid-West	16		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Tanyi <i>et al'¹⁹³</i>	2008	NSA	Mid-West	16		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Tavares et a/ ²¹⁶	2016	Brazil	1 H, Rio de Janeiro		19	ESKD	Interviews and groups	Content
Taylor <i>et al¹¹¹</i>	2016	Australia	2 H, Sydney	26		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Taylor <i>et al²¹⁵</i>	2015	UK	6 trusts	15	11	ESKD	Interviews	Constant comparison
Theofilou <i>et al¹²²</i>	2013	Greece	1 H, Athens	10		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Thomé et al ²⁴⁷	2011	Brazil	1H, Rio Grande do Sul		10	ESKD	Interviews	Cultural
Tielen <i>et al¹⁷⁹</i>	2011	The Netherlands	1 C	26		Transplanted	Interviews	Q methodology
Tijerina <i>et al⁷⁶</i>	2006	NSA	8 C, Texas	26		ESKD	Interviews	Coding
Tong et a/ ⁶³	2009	Australia	4 H, Brisbane, Sydney, Melbourne	63		CKD/ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Tong et al ¹⁵²	2013	Italy	4 C, Bari, Marsala, Nissoria, Taranto	22	20	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Tong <i>et al²³⁷</i>	2015	Australia	1 C, Adelaide	15		CKD/ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Tonkin-Crine <i>et al¹²⁷</i>	2015	UK	9 C	42		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Torchi <i>et al</i> ¹⁵³	2014	Brazil	1 C, Rio de Janeiro	10		ESKD	Interviews	Collective subject technique
Tovazzi et al ¹¹⁷	2012	Italy	North	12		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Tweed <i>et al</i> ¹⁰⁹	2005	UK	1 C, Leicester	6		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological

Open access

Table 2 Continued								
Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Urstad et al ²⁴²	2012	Norway	1 C	15		Transplanted	Interviews	Hermeneutic
Valsaraj et a/ ⁶⁰	2014	India	1 H, South Karnataka	10		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Velez et al ¹⁰⁰	2006	Spain	1 C	12		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Vestman <i>et al²⁶³</i>	2014	Sweden	1H	6		ESKD	Written narratives	Thematic
Visser et al ²⁷⁶	2009	The Netherlands	1 C	14		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Wachterman <i>et al¹⁷²</i>	2015	NSA	1 C	16		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Walker <i>et al</i> ¹²⁴	2012	UK	1H	6		CKD	Interviews	Thematic
Walker <i>et al</i> ⁵¹	2016	New Zealand	3 C	43	൭	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Walker et al ⁶¹	2016	New Zealand	3 C	43	0	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Walker et al ⁸⁰	2017	New Zealand	3 C	13		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Walton <i>et al²⁵⁸</i>	2002	NSA	1 H, rural, Northwest	11		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Walton ²⁵⁷	2007	NSA	1 C	21		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Weil ²⁵³	2000	NSA	2 C, rural, Northwest	14		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Wells ²⁵⁴	2015	NSA	3 C, 1 NP, Texas	17	17	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Wells ⁶²	2015	NSA	3 C, 1 NP, Texas	15	21	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
White <i>et al</i> ¹³⁹	2004	NSA	1 C, Colorado	6	0	ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Wiederhold <i>et al</i> ¹⁸⁵	2012	Germany	1 C	10		Transplanted	Interviews	Content
Wilkinson <i>et al</i> ⁷⁵	2011	UK	Luton, West London, Leicester	48		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Wilkinson <i>et al²³³</i>	2014	UK	4 C	16	45	Transplanted	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic
Wilkinson <i>et al</i> ⁷⁴	2016	UK	4 C	16	45	ESKD	Interviews and focus groups	Thematic
Williams et al ¹⁰¹	2009	Australia	2H	20		CKD	Interviews	Qualitative
Williams <i>et al</i> ¹⁰²	2008	Australia	2H, Melbourne	23		CKD	Interviews and focus groups	Interpretative
Williams et al ²⁶¹	2009	Australia	1 H, Melbourne	23		CKD	Interviews	Qualitative
Wilson et al ²⁵⁵	2015	UK	3 C	15	15	ESKD	Focus groups	Thematic
Winterbottom <i>et al</i> ²³⁰	2012	UK	1 C, Northern England	20		CKD	Interviews	Thematic
Wu et al ⁶⁶	2015	Taiwan	2 C, Central	15		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
								Continued

Table 2 Continued								
Author	Year	Country	Setting	Patients	Carers	Type of patient	Data collection	Data analysis reported
Xi et al ¹¹⁰	2011	Canada	1 C, Ontario	13		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Xi et al ¹⁵⁸	2013	Canada	1 C, Ontario	10		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Yeun <i>et al</i> ¹⁴³	2016	South Korea	1 H, Seoul		33	ESKD	Interviews	Q methodology
Yngman-Uhlin <i>et al</i> ¹³⁵	2010	Sweden	Southeast	14		ESKD	Interviews	Phenomenological
Yngman-Uhlin <i>et al</i> ¹³²	2016	Sweden	1 H, Southeast	8		ESKD	Interviews	Content
Yodchai <i>et al²⁴⁹</i>	2016	Thailand	2 H, Songkhla	20		ESKD	Interviews	Qualitative
Yodchai <i>et al</i> ¹⁹⁹	2012	Thailand	1 C, South	5		ESKD	Interviews	Grounded theory
Yu et al ¹¹²	2014	Singapore	NKF	32		ESKD	Interviews	Thematic
Yumang <i>et al</i> ¹⁴⁴	2009	Canada	1 H, Quebec	0		ESKD	Interviews	Colaizzi's method
Ziegert <i>et al²¹³</i>	2001	Sweden			12	ESKD	Interviews	Pragmatic approach
Ziegert <i>et al²¹¹</i>	2006	Sweden	Southwest		13	ESKD	Interviews	Content
Ziegert et al ²¹²	2009	Sweden	Southwest		20	ESKD	Interviews	Content
*Includes healthcare staff. C, centre, unit or clinic; CKD, chronic kidney disease; D, dialysis; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; GP, general practice; H, hospital; HD, haemodialysis; NA, not available; NKF, National Kidney Foundation (Singapore); NP, nephrology practice; PD, peritoneal dialysis.	Ironic kidr NP, nephr	ney disease; D, dialy ology practice; PD,	ysis; ESKD, end-stage ki , peritoneal dialysis.	idney disease;	GP, genera	l practice; H, hospital	l; HD, haemodialysis; N	A, not available; NKF, National

Open access

Box 1 Illustrative quotations

Structural inequalities

(Undocumented immigrant in US without access to scheduled haemodialysis) When you enter through the emergency department, you arrive in bad shape...you need to have a high potassium or they send you home even though you feel you are dying. Sometimes, you crawl out when they decide to not do dialysis. You eat a banana because it is high in potassium even though you may die and you go back and wait and hope that they will do dialysis so that you don't feel like you are drowning and so that the anxiety goes away (American patient).⁵²

My mother got some help from DIF (Mexican social assistance office), it was five haemodialysis sessions; when there was no session left, we went to a private centre, there is a foundation there and they helped us... they gave me eight sessions. After that, my mom went to DIF in Zapopan again and they sent us to DIF in Guadalajara. We got some help there (Mexican patient without coverage).⁴⁷

Workload

Sometimes I have to sit and wait at least an hour and I have to call and say my ride is not here yet, which makes me late getting there, which makes me late getting on the machine, which makes me late getting off the machine. And then... coming to pick you up, if you're not ready when they get there, they will leave you and you'll have to sit and wait and wait (American patient).⁸⁶

It is always in the back of your mind that it (the transplant) will fail, at times. And I think if anything that makes you more inclined to comply with your treatment, comply with your medication because at the end of the day if, you know, if you do the utmost that you can and you take your medicine and you go to your follow up appointments, then there's hopefully less chance of it failing in the long run (woman, 3 years+post-transplant).¹⁷⁵

I suppose mine being genetic. It's been very difficult to find what kind of diet you're supposed to follow. You read one bit of information and it tells you this and you read another bit and it tells you don't eat that, which the other one said you must eat. there's no clear guideline on what it is you can or can't eat (man, 38 years, CKD stage 3).⁹⁴

It was a lot more work because of all the things that you had to learn... I don't eat out anymore... It's tough taking so many pills (patient with CKD). $^{\rm 92}$

Capacity

Before she left (pause) when everything was happy and happy sort of thing, you know, I think it was—she was going to give a kidney to somebody else and somebody else was going to give a kidney to somebody and somebody was going to give a kidney to me—like a triangle... she was willing to do that. It didn't happen, um (pause) 'cos she left (UK patient).³⁹

it's a kind of tiredness that you wouldn't wish on your worst enemy... when you can't read, you're too tired to watch the telly, you're too tired to do anything, because your brain is so tired like all of you... it feels like you're kind of hollow inside... like it's only a kind of shell that's functioning.¹³⁷

Well about five years ago, I went to the hospital because I wasn't feeling good and they took my blood pressure and it was 200 over something...Then while they were trying to get my blood pressure down, they said something about my kidneys. And I didn't know the connection between high blood pressure and kidneys (Evan, African-American male, 50, CKD stage 3).³⁵

It wasn't till about 2 years ago, until I fully understood and I've had the kidney disease from the age of 15, what exactly my (kidney) function was and I got a fright. No one had ever told me (man, 38 years, CKD stage 3).⁹⁴

Continued

Box 1 Continued

Control and decision-making

I have free rein of whatever days I want to take off. They don't tell me when I have to dialyse or when I can't dialyse. Everything is under my control. That's what I like (talking on home dialysis, patient from Canada).¹⁵⁸

If I'm going to feel this bad for the rest of my life, do I just want to end it now? (woman, 40s, CKD stage 4). 63

Carers' involvement

I just sit here like a robot. Nurses asked me to buy items that my mother needed. They never told me why she needed them. They ordered me to pay for dialysis, laboratory investigations and other things. I don't like it when I do not know the reason behind my actions. I am sad to see myself as a fool being tossed around (caregiver from Nigeria).⁶⁵

End of life

Then (the home care nurse) said 'Well you haven't got to go on. We'll make it quite peaceful for you to pass on'. They can tell you, but it's your body. It's up to me to decide what I want to do (patient from the UK).²⁰⁵ I have heard (about) a lot of people that died on dialysis and had strokes on dialysis... Once I sit down there, I don't know whether I'm gonna come out alive or dead (Berta, aged 45 years, blind amputee, dialysis patient for 18 months).⁷⁶

I think about (death) everyday. I mean you can't help it. I know that it is a terminal illness and it's not going to get better and that there is only one way out (wife of a Canadian patient on peritoneal dialysis).²⁸⁶

CKD, chronic kidney disease.

risk, whatever their treatment modality, as in the case of many undocumented and uninsured immigrants in the USA.^{35 47–49 52 66} In countries with poor healthcare infrastructure, patients reported shortage of public specialised hospitals, long delays to undergo examinations, limited number of haemodialysis machines available, lack of ward space or poor bed conditions in hospitals, for example, poor hygiene, worn-out mattresses, shortage of linen; to avoid delays, patients sometimes had tests performed by private providers.^{40 50 60 67 68}

When home dialysis was available, patients had to pay for transport to training, appointments and other check-ups; moreover, some equipment, supplies, increased utility bills and home modifications represented unexpected expenses.^{51 53 61 69–73} In countries with coverage of RRT, for patients whose first language was different from that where treatment was received, as in the case of migrants, communication was a barrier for discussions with health-care professionals; family members and neighbours acted as translators at appointments.^{53 74–76} Where language was shared, communications between clinicians and patients of different ethnic origins—for example, Australian Aborigines and New Zealand Maoris—was often itself a source of conflict and disadvantage, because of prejudice.^{53 57–59 77–82}

In some countries, the transplantation procedure could be particularly expensive, even at public hospitals.^{35 47-49 66 83} Moreover, patients sometimes found that the expensive immunosuppressants necessary after the

transplant were not covered by their insurance; other patients who obtained information about the high costs of immunosuppressants and realising that they could not afford them, were forced to continue with dialysis until it failed.^{49 83–85} In Mexico, structural constraints resulted in transplanted patients being sent back to small peripheral clinics with no transplantation expertise, increasing the risk of iatrogenic or poorly managed complications.⁸³

Housing conditions

Unsuitable housing was a barrier to home dialysis if it could not accommodate equipment, and was impossible without an adequate electricity supply.^{51 61} In rented accommodation, landlords might not approve of necessary modifications. Home dialysis was not a treatment option for those with no fixed abode.^{51 61 70 86}

Employment status

Patients who were physically able to continue working often had informal or temporary jobs, with diminished income; others were forced into unemployment, leading to new financial problems.^{39 45 52 60 69 72 87-91} Unemployed patients in the USA were covered by government or state schemes; however, this coverage either diminished or ceased if they found work with a new insurance.^{35 52}

Patient workload

Self-care

People with CKD and ESKD had complex medication regimens managed through dispensing aids, daily activities associated with medication taking such as meals, family support or a combination of these.^{40 46 71 86 92-106} Anticipating dialysis, patients underwent vascular access, a way to reach the blood for haemodialysis, undergoing minor surgery and care needed to be taken to prevent infections or clotting.^{66 107-110} To care for their vascular access, patients kept the access area clean, changed bandages, restricted themselves from lifting heavy objects and were alert for pain or hardness in the area.^{108 111}

Patients controlled their diets and fluid intake between dialysis sessions, and managed food cravings and thirst with strategies such as thinking of the potential detrimental consequences of drinking water, avoiding thoughts and behaviours that could trigger thirst and modifying social activities to minimise exposure to hot weather, social pressure and temptation to intake certain foods or fluids.⁴⁶ ⁶³ ^{112–120} Women also faced potential family conflicts if they followed prescribed diets.⁴⁵ ⁶² ^{121–124} In certain cultures, including immigrants who preserved their customs in other countries, the perceived association of a rich diet and wealth acted as a barrier to adherence to a restrictive diet, essential to self-care, as patients feared being stigmatised as poor.⁶²

Travel and time management

People with ESKD travelled to haemodialysis centres three times a week, received treatment for several hours and then transported themselves home again; very often, transportation represented a problem for

Table 3 Summa	Summarv of results		6
i i i	sis		
Primary category	Primary category Secondary category	Summary results	
Structural disadvantage	Access to care Housing	Socioeconomic status is central to experience of CKD. ^{35,37–63,125} Treatment costs were major obstacle to care ^{47–49,64,125} as was limited access to healthcare for the uninsured or underinsured. ^{35,40,48,505,266,768} Transplants, dialysis and drug treatments were often beyond the reach of low-income patients, ^{35,47–49,66} Uninsured or underinsured people experienced increased dependence on emergency care. ^{35,47–49,66} Poorly funded or unfunded healthcare was often fragmented and of indeterminate duration. ^{47,48,64} For non-native speakers, language was an important barrier for having a discussion with care providers. ^{53,74–76} Patients were often poorly informed about disease progression and treatment options. ^{38,50,51,68,68,64,125,129,188, 205,219–222} Homelessness, unsuitable housing, lack of utilities (electricity, clean running water) are critical to self-care and home dialysis. ^{516,17,086}	
	Employment status	Loss of employment may lead to uninsurance or underinsurance that limits or prevents access to treatment. ^{35 39 45 52 60 69 72 87-91}	
Workload	Self-care	Complex medication regimens were managed through dispensing aids, associated activities, family support. ^{40 46 71 86 92-103} When taking care of their vascular access, patients made efforts to protect the arm. ^{108 111} Patients controlled their diets and fluid intake, and managed food cravings and thirst. ^{65 112} Many modified social activities to minimise exposure to hot weather, temptation and social pressure. ^{112 118-120} Women could face family conflicts if they followed prescribed diets. ^{45 62 121-124} Restrictive diets were sometimes stigmatised as a sign of poverty. ¹²¹	
	Navigating healthcare structures	When pathways in system were not established, patients and carers had to identify institutions to obtain treatment and laboratory results. ^{48,49,125,161} In settings with healthcare coverage, socioeconomically disadvantaged patients found it difficult to access financial support. ⁵¹ Lack of continuity of care contributed to patients using services without sufficient expertise in CKD. ^{49,101} The efficiency focus of medical system was perceived as a barrier to a personal connection. ^{102,173}	
	Negotiating costs and fund-raising	Fund-raising was important for those who were uninsured or underinsured, sold goods or services, organised raffles or obtained loans. ^{47–49,125} Patients contacted centres, other patients and organisations to ask for free treatment when they were uninsured or underinsured. ^{47,49,52,125,217,218}	Q
	Travel and time management	Patients often travelled for long distances to dialysis centres, three times a week. ^{15,47–49,53,78,86,126–133} Home dialysis patients had to pay transport to training, appointments and other check-ups. ^{53,61,69–72} Patients arranged daily activities between sessions, adjusted activities to their fatigue and tried to schedule medical appointments all on one day. ^{55,134–145} Parents arranged child care while they were in sessions or when they were tired. ^{49,53,55,154,155}	
	Home dialysis	Training was required with extended periods off work. ^{61 70} ^{156–158} Homes needed physical adaptation, carers invested efforts in maintaining cleanliness and hygiene. ^{152 158–162} Specific tasks were managing treatment at set times, recording blood pressure and body weight, titrating medications, adopting aseptic techniques. ^{156 157 163}	
	Pretransplant adaptation	Patients adjusted to being on transplant waiting-list, prepared for transplant from a deceased donor at any time. ⁴³ ¹¹⁵ ¹³³ ^{164–170} Specific adjustment tasks included: hospital visits, tests and organising payment for treatment. ¹³² ¹³³ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁶⁵ ^{170–173} Some people needed to negotiate donation of a kidney by living relatives or others. ³⁹ ⁴⁷ ¹⁶⁴ ¹⁷⁴	en acce
		Continued	

Table 3 Continued	per	
Qualitative analysis	sis	
Primary category	y Secondary category	Summary results
	Post-transplant adjustment	Transplanted patients managed complex medication regimens, balanced against the need to re-enter the labour market to pay off loans. ^{84 85 175-180} off loans. ^{84 85 175-180} Post-transplant, patients needed to manage relationships, finances and family responsibilities in context of prognostic uncertainty.
Capacity	Physical and mental capacity	Daily activities were limited by symptoms associated with dialysis (pain, fatigue, anxiety and depression). ^{37 44 55 63 90 96 138 140 154 187-199} depression). ^{37 44 55 63 90 96 138 140 154 187-199} Symptoms were sometimes overlooked by healthcare professionals. ^{58 94 101} 202-204 When in poor health, patients relied on wider networks for food preparation, transportation, shopping, ordering supplies, symptom management and training. ^{37 118 161 205-208} Carers were involved in the treatment, accompanying patients to dialysis and responding to psychosocial needs. ^{45 6997 129 141 143 161 210-215}
	Managing information	<i>Managing information</i> Information on disease and treatment was often insufficient or difficult to comprehend, particularly during early stages. ⁶¹ 77 92 109 121 130 131 223 -227 Short clinic visits, jargon and anxiety were barriers to accessing information. ⁶¹ 102 223 231-234 For organ donation and transplantation, patients relied on information from other patients, healthcare professionals, social workers, financial representatives, meetings and the internet. ¹¹⁷ 174 235-238 Information about the effects and side effects of immunosuppression was important but hard to come by. ¹⁷⁸ 185 239-242 Stress and urgency affected how people with CKD processed information provided by healthcare professionals. ^{240 242-245}
	Social support	Support from friends, family, neighbours, healthcare professionals and other patients was essential. ³⁹ 44 60 62 215 247 255-256 Lack of social support was a frequently reported problem. ^{44 60} 247 259 Patients ought to maintain a sense of normalcy, integrating dialysis community into their network. ^{42 139 210 260} Younger patients sometimes considered home dialysis as an opportunity for employment and contact with social networks. ^{61 152}
Experienced control	Personal control and decision-making	When clinicians failed to discuss care, eligibility for transplant and potential donors, patients felt disempowered. ^{39 55 57 58 77 78 169 282} disempowered. ^{39 55 57 58 77 78 169 282} When relatives offered to donate a kidney, many patients were reluctant to accept because of concerns on future health of donor; other patients had reservations about kidneys from deceased donors because of the donor's age, medical history. ^{172 181 235} Once transplanted, main clinical objective was preserving the graft. ^{49 63 89 96 167 283-285}
	Carers' involvement	Carers needed more information on dialysis techniques to feel confident, stressed the importance of 24 hours telephone support, wanted to be involved in decision-making as dialysis would also affect them. ^{55 70 111 156–158 223 279 286} When carers perceived patient was in pain with no response to treatment, they sometimes yearned for the patient's freedom of this condition through a peaceful death. ^{134 141 161}
	End-of-life decisions	Patients and carers emphasised self-determination, autonomy and dignity. ^{134 136 205 251 294} End-of-life decisions were influenced by ideas about personal fulfilment, nature taking its course, fears of dependence or of dialysis accelerating death. ^{128 293} Decisions often passed to trusted carers or professionals. ²⁹⁰⁻²⁹² Acceptance of decisions was influenced by treatment modality, patient age and ineffectiveness of haemodialysis. ^{64 128 134 161} Families emphasised importance of respecting patients' wishes. ^{202 233 292}
CKD, chronic kidney disease.	y disease.	

Table 4 Main challenges related to burden of treatment			
Challenge	Group of patient mostly affected	Type of country mostly affected	Severity
Limited access to healthcare for the uninsured or underinsured.	CKD, ESKD	LMIC	+++
Dialysis, transplant surgery, immunosuppressive drugs were often beyond the reach of low-income patients.	ESKD	LMIC	+++
Healthcare was often fragmented and of indeterminate duration for the uninsured or underinsured.	CKD, ESKD	LMIC	+++
In settings with healthcare coverage, socially disadvantaged patients found it difficult to access financial support.	CKD, ESKD	HIC	++
Fund-raising was important for those who were uninsured or underinsured.	ESKD	LMIC	+++
For non-native speakers, language was an important barrier for having a discussion with care providers.	CKD, ESKD	LMIC, HIC	++
Patients were often poorly informed about disease progression and treatment options.	CKD, ESKD	LMIC, HIC	++
Patients and carers had to identify institutions to obtain diagnosis, laboratory results and treatment.	CKD, ESKD	LMIC	++
Homelessness, unsuitable housing, lack of utilities, critical to self-care and home dialysis.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Loss of employment may lead to uninsurance or underinsurance limiting or preventing access to treatment.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+++
Complex medication regimens were managed through dispensing aids, associated activities, family support.	CKD, ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+
When taking care of their vascular access, patients made efforts to protect the arm.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+
Patients controlled diets and fluid intake, modified social activities to minimise exposure and pressure.	CKD, ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Patients often travelled for long distances to dialysis centres, three times a week.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Home dialysis patients had to pay transport to training, appointments and other check-ups.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Patients arranged daily activities between sessions.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+
For home dialysis, training was required with extended periods off work.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+
For home dialysis, homes needed physical adaptation.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+
For home dialysis, tasks were managing treatment, monitoring, titrating medications, adopting aseptic techniques.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Pretransplantation, specific adjustment tasks included: hospital visits, tests and organising payment for treatment.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	
Some people needed to negotiate donation of a kidney by living relatives or others.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Transplanted patients managed complex medication regimens.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	+
Transplanted patients needed to manage relationships, finances and family responsibilities.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Symptoms associated with dialysis limited daily activities, sometimes overlooked by healthcare professionals.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
When in poor health, wider networks were necessary for daily activities, transportation, symptom management.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Information on disease and treatment was often insufficient or difficult to comprehend.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Information about immunosuppression was hard to obtain.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Lack of social support was a frequently reported problem.	ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
			Continued

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023507 on 4 September 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on 7 September 2018 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Challenge	Group of patient mostly affected		Severity
Many clinicians failed to discuss care, eligibility for transplant and potential donors.	CKD, ESKD	HIC, LMIC	++
Carers needed more information on dialysis techniques to feel confident.	ESKD	HIC	+
Patients and carers emphasised self-determination, autonomy and dignity when nearing end of life.	ESKD	HIC	++

Severity: + mild, ++ moderate, +++ very severe.

CKD, chronic kidney disease; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; HIC, high-income country; LMIC, low-income and middle-income country.

patients because of pick-up delays, long distances or high costs.¹⁵ ^{47–49} ⁵³ ⁷⁶ ⁸⁶ ^{126–133} Patients receiving dialysis arranged their daily activities between treatment sessions, adjusted the timing and intensity of their activities to their fatigue and tried to schedule medical appointments all on one day to avoid further interactions with the healthcare system.⁵⁵ ^{134–145} The treatment was seen by most patients as an emotional and time imposition that caused boredom and frustration.⁶³ ^{146–152} Time was often spent waiting for visits, prescriptions and tests.⁵⁵ ^{134–145} ¹⁵³ Parents also arranged child care while they were in sessions, or had to travel for treatment.⁴⁹ ⁵³ ⁵⁵ ¹⁵⁴ ¹⁵⁵

Home dialysis

For patients receiving home dialysis, training was required which necessitated extended periods of leave from work.^{61 70 156–158} They and their families had to adapt their home to accommodate equipment and materials, and spent more time cleaning in case healthcare workers assessed their housing conditions.^{152 158–162} Tasks associated included managing treatment at set times each day, recording blood pressure and body weight, titrating medications and adopting aseptic techniques, as well as adhering to diet and fluid restrictions.^{156 157 163} In the case of developing peritonitis, workload increased as antibiotics had to be reconstituted and injected.^{156 157}

Pretransplantation adaptation

People with ESKD adjusted to being on the transplant waiting list and prepared for the possibility of receiving a kidney from a deceased donor at any time.^{43 115 133 164–170} The tasks included hospital visits, several investigations and tests, saving money for the operation and maintaining robust health; many potential recipients felt overwhelmed by all that was necessary.^{132 133 164 165 170–173} Talking to others about their requirement for a kidney transplant involved making the request itself to potential living donors, educating people about CKD, treatment options and donation.^{39 47 164 174}

Post-transplantation adjustment

After transplantation, patients' workload included financial and occupational changes resulting from a new type of treatment and status, managing complex medication regimens and managing social relations.^{84 85 175–180} These tasks had to be balanced against the work of safeguarding access to healthcare, organising their disability insurance, interacting with healthcare providers, managing symptoms, monitoring medication side effects and managing self-care in relation to diet, fluid and physical activity.^{84 85 175–180} Although transplantation was seen as a route back to normality, it was laden with ambiguous feelings towards the donor, unanticipated challenges in forming or maintaining relationships, financial worries, the responsibility of supporting their family, disappointments when side effects were noticed and a prevailing prognostic uncertainty.^{83 85 175–177 181–186}

Navigating healthcare structures

Very often, patients had to identify and call on the appropriate institutions to obtain a diagnosis, laboratory exams, treatment or coverage; contacting several public and private healthcare providers, social insurance offices, charity organisations and non-governmental organisations.^{48 49 125 161} In settings with coverage of RRT, socioeconomically disadvantaged patients could also find it difficult to access financial support and navigate the social support system, which resulted in not receiving the assistance to which they were entitled.⁵¹ Lack of continuity of care contributed to patients using services without sufficient expertise in CKD or ESKD, such as emergency departments or peripheral health centres.49 101 The efficiency focus of the medical system was perceived by patients and professionals as a barrier to a personal connection; moreover, patients also recognised professionals' dismissive attitudes towards patients' experiential knowledge.^{102 173}

Negotiating costs and fund-raising

Those patients and carers in countries with limited health coverage needed to perform additional work; poor families sold goods, products or services, organised raffles to collect money or obtained loans.^{47–49} ¹²⁵ They also contacted treatment centres, other patients, hospitals and non-government organisations to ask for free dialysis sessions or medication. For this reason, disadvantaged people were advised by healthcare staff on how to seek help in charities and advocacy organisations.⁴⁷ In more

affluent settings, patients also struggled to negotiate coverage of extra expenses, such as those related to home dialysis or conservative management.^{51 161}

Capacity

Physical and mental capacity

The ability of people with ESKD to carry out daily activities, including their paid job, was limited by symptoms associated with the disease and dialysis treatment, such as pain, fatigue, anxiety, depression and sexual problems.³⁷ ⁴⁴ ⁵⁵ ⁶³ ⁹⁰ ⁹⁶ ¹³⁸ ¹⁴⁰ ¹⁵⁴ ¹⁸⁷ ⁻²⁰¹ sometimes overlooked by healthcare professionals.^{58 94 101 202–204} When in poor physical health, patients relied on wider family networks and neighbours to help with activities related to BoT such as scheduling and attending medical appointments, arranging transportation to those appointments, ordering and arranging medical supplies and training; also, other daily tasks such as food preparation, or shopping.³⁷¹¹⁸¹⁶¹²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁹Carers were involved in the dialysis procedure, accompanying patients to dialysis and responding to psychosocial needs.⁴⁵ ⁶⁹ ⁹⁷ ¹²⁹ ¹⁴¹ ¹⁴³ ¹⁶¹ ^{210–216} Patients' capacity to carry out the activities related to healthcare were affected by insufficient financial resources and the fear of catastrophic consequences, such as death because of lack of dialysis treatment or immunosuppressive medication in the case of transplanted patients. 47 49 52 217 218

Managing information

Obtaining information on the disease and treatment was a significant burden for patients and carers. Patients reported that their information on the disease and treatment options was often insufficient or difficult to comprehend, particularly during the early stages of their trajectory, independent of income or coverage level. ^{38 50 57 58 61 63 64 77 92 109 121 125 127-131 188 205 219-230} Patients may not have asked for clarification for fear of not understanding or because they did not even know what to ask; the desire for more patient-centred care were widely expressed. Short clinic visits, unknown technical jargon and high levels of anxiety were barriers to accessing information.^{61 102 223 231-234} Other patients could sometimes supply information about dialysis options, travelling, hygiene regimens, dietary restrictions, benefit advice, timing of treatment and pain management.^{117 174 235-238} For organ donation and transplantation, people usually received information through discussions with other patients, providers, social workers, financial representatives, the internet and, in affluent populations, informative meetings.¹¹⁷ ¹⁷⁴ ^{235–238} In relation to transplantation, patients reported they needed practical information about the unexpected side effects of immunosuppressive medication; most frequently mentioned were higher risk of cancer, infections, weight gain and fragile skin.¹⁷⁸ 184 185 239-242 Other information needs for transplanted patients included coping with emotions related to the transplant, what to do when a suitable organ became available, alternatives to transplantation and how the waiting list worked.²⁴⁰ ^{242–245} Family members were

afraid to bother the healthcare team,²⁴⁶ and perceiving little power in comparison to healthcare professionals, downplayed their knowledge in front of them.²¹⁰ Patients and carers were responsible for obtaining and carrying their medical files and test results to appointments when the healthcare administrative systems were not integrated.^{49 125} Some had anticipated that transplantation would offer dramatic health improvement but were disappointed when they experienced side effects, particularly cancer.^{44 63 101 106 122 167 190 193 199 206 214 247-251}

Social support

Most people highlighted the support from family, friends, staff, other neighbours, patients and church communities; friends, staff and spiritual groups were particularly important for those living alone.^{39 44 60 62 215 247 249 252-258} A lack of social support was also frequently reported.^{44 60 247 259} In a UK study, patients' socioeconomic disadvantage adversely affected the availability of social support, and it was suggested that personal relationships sometimes broke down when potential donors declined to donate.³⁹ Attending dialysis was sometimes seen as a social outlet, where they could make friends with staff and patients. Younger participants often considered the schedule flexibility of home dialysis as an opportunity for maintaining their employment and contact with their family and established social networks.^{61 152} To demonstrate resilience, some patients tried to maintain a sense of normalcy, integrating the dialysis community into their social network.^{42 139 210 260}

Experienced control

Personal control

Feelings of personal control were achieved through learning how to manage CKD and ESKD, finding a balance between illness and normalcy, or even denying the seriousness of their condition.^{218 260 261} The experience of feelings of personal control led to increased self-confidence and well-being.^{15 189 251} Strategies for maintaining control included requesting tests, withholding information from clinicians, monitoring and modifying their treatments and checking the activities of dialysis nurses assisting them.¹³⁹ ²⁴⁶ ²⁵¹ ²⁶²⁻²⁶⁵ People with ESKD experimented with their therapy to determine if the prescriptions were really necessary, they also shortened dialysis hours to reduce worsening symptoms, to meet work commitments, or to participate in an unexpected social situation.54 55 Lengthening treatment hours could facilitate higher than usual fluid removal or managing symptoms.^{54 55} Some patients entrusted decisions entirely to the care team, and this promoted feelings of security.⁶¹ 70 102 107 266 267 The main barrier to personal control was lack of information about treatments, test results and the course of their illness and that they could not choose when and where to travel.¹⁵ 43 61 63 197 239 268 However, even when patients knew they were not in control, they felt unsafe if the treatment went differently from what was expected.²⁶⁹ Patients recognised prognostic uncertainty, and their own fear of

incompetence as an obstacle to choosing the appropriate dialysis modality.⁵⁴⁷²⁹²¹³²¹³³¹⁵⁰¹⁶¹²²³²⁵¹²⁶⁸^{270–274} For many patients, home dialysis restored a sense of control and freedom to manage their schedule, especially if it was nocturnal.⁵¹⁷⁰¹⁵⁸²²⁰²⁶³²⁷⁵ Dependence on emergency care or on fund-raising tasks to cover life-saving treatment represented a severe case of lack of experienced control.³⁵^{47–49}⁵²⁶⁶

Control and decision-making

Control translated into participation in decision-making, which was affected by the healthcare staff's attitude towards the patients' adherence to treatment.²³⁶ Lack of choice in decision-making about dialysis modality was very common; when possible, modality was negotiated and agreed after discussions with clinicians and family members, reading educational material or attending informational meetings.^{202 248 270 273 274 276–278} Home dialysis patients appreciated training to build confidence and skills to use the machine.^{54 70 111 270 279 280} Patients in dialysis aspired to improve their situation by receiving a transplant, motivating them to adhere to treatment; other motivations included family, especially their children, work and beliefs.^{55 58 281} People with ESKD whose clinicians failed to discuss care, eligibility and ineligibility for transplant, and potential donors with them felt disempowered.^{39 55 57 58 77 78 169 282} When relatives offered to donate a kidney, many patients felt reluctant to accept this because of their concerns about the future health of the donor; other patients had reservations about accepting kidneys from deceased donors because of the donor's age and medical history.^{172 181 235} Once transplanted, the main clinical objective was preserving the graft. However, the disease and its treatment continued to be a significant burden on patients' social capital and financial capacity, with unexpected side effects. 49 63 89 96 167 283–285

Carers involvement

Relatives wanted to be involved in discussions on dialysis modality as dialysis would take up a large part of their lives.^{55 70} 111 156-158 223 279 286 Carers of patients on home dialysis needed to know more about the dialysis techniques to feel confident about self-managing the treatment, they stressed the importance of 24 hours telephone access for advice.^{61 69} Family members were afraid to bother the healthcare team,²⁴⁶ and perceiving little power in comparison to healthcare professionals, used strategies to downplay their knowledge of the disease or the treatment in front of them.^{210 287} To cope with caring, carers sought support in psychiatric help or religion when available, or support in religion.^{141 247} Patients who decided to stop dialysis did not usually ask for their carers' opinion; when physicians thought the patient was too ill to decide, carers were consulted and felt death could be liberating if the patient was in pain and with no response to treatment.^{134 141 161}

End-of-life decisions

Some patients felt that advance care planning (ACP) was hard and unnecessary as they trusted their families to make decisions; others were less concerned, trusted their healthcare team and felt empowered.^{236 288 289} Family members felt ACP was necessary as a means to protect patients.²⁹⁰⁻²⁹² At the end of life, maintaining control was a struggle with respect to autonomy and dignity.¹³⁴ ¹³⁶ ²⁰⁵ ²⁵¹ Patients based their dialysis withdrawal or non-acceptance decision on having lived a full life, on nature taking its course, on their fear of being a burden for their families, their bodies being invaded and dialysis accelerating death.^{128 293} For some, the decision to withdraw from dialysis meant asserting their self-determination.^{251 294} Carers' acceptance of patients' decision was influenced by the perception of conservative management as a non-invasive treatment, the advanced age of the patient and the lack of benefit received from haemodialysis.^{64 128 134 161} Although family members were often uncomfortable about making end-of-life decisions, they tended to recognise it was important to respect the patient's wishes.²⁰² 233 292 Figure 2 shows thematic schema of experienced control and cognitive authority in CKD.

DISCUSSION

Our findings demonstrate that the work and capacity of patients and carers are highly unstable situational factors that make up the BoT. Capacity is particularly diminished by socioeconomic factors, which ultimately exacerbates the work of patients and their carers; this may occur even in regions with universal health coverage. Particularly in LMICs, patients with ESKD are often underinsured or not at all, which makes it almost impossible for them to attain life-saving treatments. Patients with ESKD can be caught in a vicious cycle, whereby they lose their job and health insurance because of ill health or because they need time off from work to attend dialysis, leading to exacerbations in disease, lack of financial access to treatment and difficulty obtaining a job because of poor health. Patients often fear catastrophic consequences due to a lack of financial capacity, and make strenuous efforts to prevent them. Thematic syntheses with robust methods have covered different aspects of being a patient with CKD.^{295–308} Here, we focused on three elements of BoT, namely workload, capacity and experienced control, to develop an understanding of the BoT of CKD, focusing on ESKD and including the experiences of patients in contexts of structural inequalities.

Worldwide, many individuals with CKD and especially with ESKD receive no treatment or receive only fragmented care.^{8 35 309-314} Millions of preventable deaths occur because of lack of access to RRT.⁹ Moreover, in some LMICs with universal health coverage, resources may be limited because of geography or poor infrastructure; in such cases, the use of free health providers can create delays that compromise the treatment itself, resulting in patients struggling to pay for private providers. When



Figure 2 Thematic schema of experienced control and cognitive authority in chronic kidney disease.

this occurs, healthcare becomes fragmented and uncoordinated. Even in some modern welfare states, health inequalities persist, particularly affecting minorities, those who are unemployed or undocumented.³¹⁵ One example is the use of emergency haemodialysis by undocumented and uninsured immigrants with ESKD.⁵² Several studies have highlighted the imperative necessity to address this disturbing reality.^{316–323}

When health systems fail to meet patients' treatment needs, patients mobilise resources and develop coping strategies such as accepting charity or selling assets.^{13 29 312} This distressing scenario adds to their workload and very easily overwhelms patients' capacity. Transportation to and from dialysis centres is a frequent challenge, it is time-consuming, costly or simply not available. Those patients living in non-urban areas in countries where home dialysis is not available have to travel long distances or relocate to access treatment; some may be faced with the decision of leaving their young children in the care of others for long periods of time. In many LMICs, the costs of RRT remain prohibited for both individuals and healthcare systems; dialysis and transplantation costs often lead to financial ruin of the family, discontinuation and death.³²⁴ In fact, patients, families and healthcare professionals are burdened with having to choose between life and death.³²⁴ On the other hand, in countries with robust health coverage, patients may feel overwhelmed even by having to travel short distances to the treatment centre every 2 days, especially if they do not have support or, if offered home dialysis, they may experience social isolation, unexpected costs and lack of sufficient technical assistance. In settings in which RRT costs are covered,

patients may have the choice of not initiating or withdrawing from dialysis.³²⁴ Among other factors, advanced age, white ethnicity and chronic disease are associated with dialysis withdrawal.³²⁵

Support from social networks, professionals and other patients is critical in improving patient's capacity. Spirituality and church communities are significant resources for coping with illness and its treatment, as seen in several studies.^{21 201 249 257 326-329} However, social support is not guaranteed; in some cultures, patients perceive lack of support by their own networks caused by discrimination because of their illness, leading to intolerable levels of BoT.^{44 60 247 259} It has also been shown that informal care offered by family, friends or neighbours can burden patients through uncomfortable feelings of dependency or the obligation to have an optimistic attitude towards their condition.³³⁰ Our findings support this view; patients often fear becoming a burden on their families, which affects their decisions related to treatment options.

We confirmed that patients' capacity can be undermined by insufficient or inadequate information. Deficits in communication between patients and professionals are endemic and rooted in structural and system factors.^{20 30 296 308 331} This shortfall affects decisions regarding dialysis modality, medication management and the possibility of using a living donation. Patient discussions with professionals must result in a collaborative partnership and should not simply provide information.³³⁰ For example, patients' concerns and expectations about waitlists, eligibility and allocation for transplantation could be addressed via additional information, clinical conversations and access to specialised psychological therapists. $^{\rm 306}$

Immigrant populations do not always have access to healthcare; when they do, language, cultural and religious differences can act as barriers to care and contribute to the BoT. In high-income countries, the need to provide RRT for migrants and refugees with ESKD will increase as more people are displaced to countries where RRT is available; this situation poses ethical challenges at the societal and individual levels.³²¹ It is necessary to promote and support equitable access to care for those living within any border by means of organisational position statements and focused research.³²² For migrants with access to care, culturally competent navigator programmes could contribute to the improvement of healthcare disparities.³³²

Surprisingly, patients who undergo haemodialysis tend to perceive that staff underestimate their capacities.^{58 94 101 202-204} When healthcare professionals do not take into consideration patients' knowledge or values, a diminished participation in self-care and relationally induced non-adherence can occur. Treatment plans should be discussed against an assessment of patient and caregiver capacity, as well as their material, social and cognitive resources.^{28 333}

Changes in treatment may be needed as CKD progresses to its later stages-symptom control may become the main treatment focus.¹⁰¹¹ Our results relate predominantly to the BoT of patients with ESKD, as most reports included in this systematic review have addressed the experiences of this group of patients. Indeed, a large proportion of patients with early stage CKD are unaware of their diagnosis.³³⁴ In patients whose kidney function will not decline to a point necessitating RRT, the overall BoT may be related to a reduction of risk.¹⁰¹¹ In the later stages of CKD, symptom control may become the main treatment focus, and the time-consuming and invasive treatment of dialysis, by any modality, and all tasks related to dialysis represent considerable portions of the burden.^{10 11} Moreover, the BoT is influenced by patients' financial resources, family support and comorbidities, as well as the healthcare setting. In fact, because of the likely coexistence of multiple conditions, elderly patients experience a greater BoT than do younger patients.²⁴ Management should be coordinated among professionals, particularly for patients with ESKD and multiple morbidities,²³ who frequently experience fragmented care with a substantial time and travel burden, as well as contradictory healthcare advice.²³

Challenged by constraints, a patient's sense of control can become fragile. As seen in our review, patients often employ a range of strategies to retain their control, such as withholding clinical information from professionals, asking for additional tests or modifying their treatment. Although a patient's capacity to cope with BoT is often exceeded, healthcare systems increasingly delegate responsibilities to patients and carers, focusing on self-management and compliance.³⁰ ³³⁵ When

overwhelmed, patients may be forced to renegotiate their responsibilities with actors in the health system and their own social networks.²⁹

Our review has important limitations. The variety of methodologies, quality of reporting and heterogeneity of perspectives make synthesis difficult. Only studies that included face-to-face interviews were included to capture rich qualitative data, and studies that reported methods such as telephone and postal questionnaires or surveys were excluded. Studies with paediatric patients and/or their carers were excluded, as BoT may significantly differ. Although the use of framework analysis can improve the transparency of coding and identify underlying assumptions, it can also be interpreted as a limitation because findings may be influenced by and connected to these theories. For a more global perspective, studies published in other languages could have been included. Grey literature was excluded to manage the scope of the review. We analysed data with a coding framework supported by middle-range theories to understand the work involved in being a person with CKD and how practises are organised and integrated into social contexts. The major strengths of this study are the comprehensive inclusion of publications in the English, Spanish and Portuguese languages to understand the experience of patients in LMICs, which may enhance the transferability of our findings, the broad description of BoT across all stages of CKD and the use of theories to underpin our findings. However, the included studies representing only some LMICs can hardly be presumed to reflect patients' experiences in these countries.

CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first theory-led review that focuses on the structural inequalities that shape patients' and caregivers' experiences related to BoT in CKD. The inclusion of LMICs extends our understanding of the experiences of individuals living in these countries and the work they undertake to manage their conditions. CKD can result in invasive and exhausting BoT, which is exacerbated in contexts of limited health coverage, socioeconomic disadvantages and marked imbalances in power. An urgent, collaborative, multipronged approach is needed to address the overwhelming BoT of CKD that, in many populations, results in premature death.^{8 312} However, knowledge gaps persist in resource-limited settings and the nephrology community need to quantify the burden of CKD, understand its social impact, raise awareness of the disease among healthcare workers and advocate for cost-effective and setting-specific detection and prevention strategies.^{9 324 336 337} The design of innovative policies, interventions and activities are warranted to support and empower patients, considering the constraints and structure of systems that patients navigate in their disease trajectory. This will lead to a better understand of their burden, with the objective of improving quality of care and the illness experience.

search strategy.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the systematic reviews 10. group of the York Health Economics Consortium (YHEC) for developing the original

Contributors JR drafted the paper. AC, MM, CRM and JH screened titles and abstracts in first search round. Full papers were screened by JH, KL, MM, KH and AC. JR performed database searches for update and extension of search. JR. JPA and FC screened updated results. JR, CRM and JPA performed content analysis. CRM. MM. AC and JPA critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content; all authors approved the final version of the paper.

Funding The first stages of this project (EXPERTS1 Protocol, search strategy and meta review) were partially funded by the National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (NIHR CLAHRC) Wessex, which is a partnership between Wessex NHS organisations and partners and the University of Southampton.

Disclaimer The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. Funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement EXPERTS1 Protocol is published in BMJ Open, with open access CRM, Masters J, Welch L, Hunt K, Pope C, Myall M, Griffiths P, Roderick P, Glanville J, Richardson A: EXPERTS1-experiences of long-term life-limiting conditions among patients and carers: protocol for a qualitative meta-synthesis and conceptual modelling study. BMJ Open 2015, 5(4):e007372. Meta-review of systematic reviews is published in BMJ Open, with open access CRM, Cummings A, Myall M, Harvey J, Pope C, Griffiths P, Roderick P, Arber M, Boehmer K, Mair FS et al: experiences of long-term life-limiting conditions among patients and carers: what can we learn from a meta-review of systematic reviews of qualitative studies of chronic heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic kidney disease? BMJ Open 2016, 6(10):e011694. Search strategy is included as supplementary file.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ene-lordache B, Perico N, Bikbov B, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk in six regions of the world (ISN-KDDC): a cross-sectional study. Lancet Glob Health 2016;4:e307-e319.
- 2. Schlieper G, Hess K, Floege J, et al. The vulnerable patient with chronic kidney disease. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation 2016:31:382-90.
- As G, Chertow GM, Fan D, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease and the 3 Risks of Death, Cardiovascular Events, and Hospitalization. N Engl J Med 2016;351:1296-305.
- Chillon JM, Massy ZA, Stengel B. Neurological complications 4. in chronic kidney disease patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2016;31:1606-14.
- Jhee JH, Lee E, Cha MU, et al. Prevalence of depression and 5. suicidal ideation increases proportionally with renal function decline, beginning from early stages of chronic kidney disease. Medicine 2017:96:e8476.
- Goh ZS, Griva K. Anxiety and depression in patients with end-stage 6. renal disease: impact and management challenges - a narrative review. Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis 2018;11:93-102.
- 7. Levin A, Tonelli M, Bonventre J, et al. Global kidney health 2017 and beyond: a roadmap for closing gaps in care, research, and policy. Lancet 2017;390:1888-917.
- Stanifer JW, Muiru A, Jafar TH, et al. Chronic kidney disease in low-8. and middle-income countries. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation 2016:31:868-74.
- Liyanage T, Ninomiya T, Jha V, et al. Worldwide access to treatment 9. for end-stage kidney disease: a systematic review. Lancet 2015;385:1975-82.

- Fraser SD, Roderick PJ, May CR, et al. The burden of comorbidity in people with chronic kidney disease stage 3: a cohort study. BMC Nephrol 2015;16:193.
- 11. Fraser SD, Taal MW. Multimorbidity in people with chronic kidney disease: implications for outcomes and treatment. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2016:25:465-72.
- 12. Holman HR. Chronic disease and the healthcare crisis. Chronic Illn 2005:1:265-74
- 13. May CR, Eton DT, Boehmer K, et al. Rethinking the patient: using burden of treatment theory to understand the changing dynamics of illness. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;14:281.
- 14. May C. Chronic illness and intractability: professional-patient interactions in primary care. Chronic Illn 2005;1:15-20.
- Karamanidou C, Weinman J, Horne R. A gualitative study of 15 treatment burden among haemodialysis recipients. J Health Psychol 2014;19:556-69.
- 16. Eton DT. Elraivah TA. Yost KJ. et al. A systematic review of patientreported measures of burden of treatment in three chronic diseases. Patient Relat Outcome Meas 2013;4:7-20.
- 17. Bohlen K, Scoville E, Shippee ND, et al. Overwhelmed patients: a videographic analysis of how patients with type 2 diabetes and clinicians articulate and address treatment burden during clinical encounters. Diabetes Care 2012;35:47-9.
- Gallacher K, Jani B, Morrison D, et al. Qualitative systematic 18 reviews of treatment burden in stroke, heart failure and diabetes: methodological challenges and solutions. BMC Med Res Methodol 2013:13:10
- 19. Gallacher K, May CR, Montori VM, et al. Understanding patients experiences of treatment burden in chronic heart failure using normalization process theory. Ann Fam Med 2011;9:235-43.
- 20 Gallacher K, Morrison D, Jani B, et al. Uncovering treatment burden as a key concept for stroke care: a systematic review of qualitative research. PLoS Med 2013;10:e1001473.
- 21. Ridgeway JL, Egginton JS, Tiedje K, et al. Factors that lessen the burden of treatment in complex patients with chronic conditions: a qualitative study. Patient Prefer Adherence 2014;8:339-51.
- 22. Shippee ND, Shah ND, May CR, et al. Cumulative complexity: a functional, patient-centered model of patient complexity can improve research and practice. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:1041-51.
- Sav A, Kendall E, McMillan SS, et al. 'You say treatment, I say hard 23. work': treatment burden among people with chronic illness and their carers in Australia. Health Soc Care Community 2013;21:n/ a-74
- 24. Sav A, King MA, Whitty JA, et al. Burden of treatment for chronic illness: a concept analysis and review of the literature. Health Expect 2015;18:312-24.
- 25. Eton DT, Ramalho de Oliveira D, Egginton JS, et al. Building a measurement framework of burden of treatment in complex patients with chronic conditions: a qualitative study. Patient Relat Outcome Meas 2012;3:39-49.
- Eton DT, Ridgeway JL, Egginton JS, et al. Finalizing a measurement 26 framework for the burden of treatment in complex patients with chronic conditions. Patient Relat Outcome Meas 2015;6:117-26.
- Tran VT, Barnes C, Montori VM, et al. Taxonomy of the burden of 27. treatment: a multi-country web-based qualitative study of patients with chronic conditions. BMC Med 2015;13:115.
- 28 Boehmer KR, Gionfriddo MR, Rodriguez-Gutierrez R, et al. Patient capacity and constraints in the experience of chronic disease: a qualitative systematic review and thematic synthesis. BMC Fam Pract 2016:17:127.
- 29. Hunt KJ, May CR. Managing expectations: cognitive authority and experienced control in complex healthcare processes. BMC Health Serv Res 2017;17:459.
- 30. May CR, Cummings A, Myall M, et al. Experiences of long-term lifelimiting conditions among patients and carers: what can we learn from a meta-review of systematic reviews of qualitative studies of chronic heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic kidney disease? BMJ Open 2016;6:e011694.
- 31. May CR, Masters J, Welch L, et al. EXPERTS 1-experiences of longterm life-limiting conditions among patients and carers: protocol for a qualitative meta-synthesis and conceptual modelling study. BMJ Open 2015:5:e007372
- 32. Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, et al. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC Med Res Methodol 2012;12:181.
- CASP Checklists. Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP). Oxford: 33. CASP Checklists, 2014.
- 34. May C, Finch T. Implementing, Embedding, and Integrating Practices: an outline of normalization process theory. Sociology 2009;43:535-54.

Open access

- Kahn LS, Vest BM, Madurai N, et al. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) treatment burden among low-income primary care patients. Chronic Illn 2015;11:171–83.
- Demain S, Gonçalves AC, Areia C, et al. Living with, managing and minimising treatment burden in long term conditions: a systematic review of qualitative research. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0125457.
- Fráguas G, Soares SM, Silva PA. The Family in the Context of the Care to the Diabetic Nephropathy-holder: demands and resources. Escola Anna Nery - Revista de Enfermagem 2008;12:271–7.
- Ashby M, op't Hoog C, Kellehear A, et al. Renal dialysis abatement: lessons from a social study. Palliat Med 2005;19:389–96.
- Bailey PK, Ben-Shlomo Y, Tomson CR, et al. Socioeconomic deprivation and barriers to live-donor kidney transplantation: a qualitative study of deceased-donor kidney transplant recipients. BMJ Open 2016;6:e010605.
- Chiaranai C. The lived experience of patients receiving hemodialysis treatment for end-stage renal disease: a qualitative study. *J Nurs Res* 2016;24:101–8.
- Cho MK, Shin G. Gender-based experiences on the survival of chronic renal failure patients under hemodialysis for more than 20 years. *Appl Nurs Res* 2016;32:262–8.
- Dekker W, Uerz I, Wils JP. Living well with end stage renal disease: patients' narratives interrupted from a virtue perspective. *Ethical Theory Moral Pract* 2005;8:485–506.
- Ekelund ML, Andersson SI. "I need to lead my own life in any case"–a study of patients in dialysis with or without a partner. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010;81:30–6.
- Kazley AS, Johnson E, Simpson K, et al. African American patient knowledge of kidney disease: a qualitative study of those with advanced chronic kidney disease. Chronic Illn 2015;11:245–55.
- Lee VY, Seah WY, Kang AW, et al. Managing multiple chronic conditions in Singapore - Exploring the perspectives and experiences of family caregivers of patients with diabetes and end stage renal disease on haemodialysis. *Psychol Health* 2016;31:1220–36.
- Lindberg M, Lindberg P. Overcoming obstacles for adherence to phosphate binding medication in dialysis patients: a qualitative study. *Pharm World Sci* 2008;30:571–6.
- Mercado-Martínez FJ, Correa-Mauricio ME. [Living in hemodialysis without social insurance: the voices of renal sick people and their families]. Salud Publica Mex 2015;57:155–60.
- Mercado-Martinez FJ, Silva DGVda, Souza SdaSde, et al. Vivendo com insuficiência renal: obstáculos na terapia da hemodiálise na perspectiva das pessoas doentes e suas famílias. *Physis: Revista* de Saúde Coletiva 2015;25:59–74.
- Mercado-Martínez FJ, Hernández-Ibarra E, Ascencio-Mera CD, et al. Viviendo con trasplante renal, sin protección social en salud: ¿Qué dicen los enfermos sobre las dificultades económicas que enfrentan y sus efectos? Cadernos de Saúde Pública 2014;30:2092–100.
- Seah AS, Tan F, Srinivas S, *et al.* Opting out of dialysis Exploring patients' decisions to forego dialysis in favour of conservative nondialytic management for end-stage renal disease. *Health Expect* 2015;18:1018–29.
- 51. Walker RC, Howard K, Tong A, *et al*. The economic considerations of patients and caregivers in choice of dialysis modality. *Hemodial Int* 2016;20:634–42.
- Cervantes L, Fischer S, Berlinger N, et al. THe illness experience of undocumented immigrants with end-stage renal disease. JAMA Intern Med 2017;177:529–35.
- Anderson K, Cunningham J, Devitt J, et al. "Looking back to my family": indigenous Australian patients' experience of hemodialysis. BMC Nephrol 2012;13:114.
- Polaschek N. Living on dialysis: concerns of clients in a renal setting. J Adv Nurs 2003;41:44–52.
- Polaschek N. Managing home dialysis: the client perspective on independent treatment. *Renal Society of Australasia Journal* 2006;2:53–63.
- Polaschek N. 'Doing dialysis at home': client attitudes towards renal therapy. J Clin Nurs 2007;16(3A):51–8.
- 57. Rix EF, Barclay L, Stirling J, *et al.* The perspectives of Aboriginal patients and their health care providers on improving the quality of hemodialysis services: a qualitative study. *Hemodial Int* 2015;19:80–9.
- Rix EF, Barclay L, Stirling J, et al. 'Beats the alternative but it messes up your life': aboriginal people's experience of haemodialysis in rural Australia. BMJ Open 2014;4:e005945.
- Shih LC, Honey M. The impact of dialysis on rurally based Maori and their whanau/families. *Nurs Prax N Z* 2011;27:5–15.
- 60. Valsaraj BP, Bhat SM, Prabhu R, et al. A qualitative research on the experience of haemodialysis in South Karnataka: lived experience

of persons undergoing haemodialysis. *Journal of Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences University* 2014;3:90–100.

- Walker RC, Howard K, Morton RL, *et al.* Patient and caregiver values, beliefs and experiences when considering home dialysis as a treatment option: a semi-structured interview study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2016;31:133–41.
- 62. Wells SA. Determinants of adherence to living on dialysis for Mexican Americans. *Sage Open* 2015;5:215824401557496–12.
- Tong A, Sainsbury P, Chadban S, et al. Patients' experiences and perspectives of living with CKD. Am J Kidney Dis 2009;53:689–700.
- Allen D, Badro V, Denyer-Willis L, et al. Fragmented care and wholeperson illness: Decision-making for people with chronic end-stage kidney disease. *Chronic Illn* 2015;11:44–55.
- Oyegbile YO, Brysiewicz P. Family caregiver's experiences of providing care to patients with End-Stage Renal Disease in South-West Nigeria. *J Clin Nurs* 2017;26(17-18):2624–32.
- Wu CC, Lin CC, Hsieh HF, et al. Lived experiences and illness representation of Taiwanese patients with late-stage chronic kidney disease. J Health Psychol 2016;21:2788–98.
- Nobahar M. Exploring experiences of the quality of nursing care among patients, nurses, caregivers and physicians in a haemodialysis department. *Journal of Renal Care* 2016;xx(xx):1–10.
- Nobahar M, Tamadon MR. Barriers to and facilitators of care for hemodialysis patients; a qualitative study. *J Renal Inj Prev* 2016;5:39–44.
- Blogg AH, Hyde C. The experience of spouses caring for a person on home haemodialysis: an ethnography. *Renal Society of Australasia Journal* 2008;4:75–80.
- Hanson CS, Chapman JR, Craig JC, et al. Patient experiences of training and transition to home haemodialysis: a mixed-methods study. *Nephrology* 2017;22:631–41.
- Rygh E, Arild E, Johnsen E, et al. Choosing to live with home dialysis-patients' experiences and potential for telemedicine support: a qualitative study. BMC Nephrol 2012;13:13(13):13.
- MLcAj S, GAdS B, Pereira ER, et al. Patients' experiences of peritoneal dialysis at home: a phenomenological approach. *Rev Latino-am Enfermagem* 2012;20:68–75.
- Keeping LM, English LM. Informal and incidental learning with patients who use continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2001;28:313–23.
- Wilkinson E, Randhawa G, Brown E, et al. Exploring access to end of life care for ethnic minorities with end stage kidney disease through recruitment in action research. *BMC Palliat Care* 2016;15:57.
- Wilkinson E, Randhawa G, Farrington K, et al. Lack of awareness of kidney complications despite familiarity with diabetes: a multiethnic qualitative study. J Ren Care 2011;37:2–11.
- Tijerina MS. Psychosocial factors influencing Mexican-American women's adherence with hemodialysis treatment. Soc Work Health Care 2006;43:57–74.
- Anderson K, Devitt J, Cunningham J, et al. "All they said was my kidneys were dead": Indigenous Australian patients' understanding of their chronic kidney disease. *Med J Aust* 2008;189:499–503.
- Burnette L, Kickett M. 'You are just a puppet': Australian Aboriginal people's experience of disempowerment when undergoing treatment for end-stage renal disease. *Renal Society of Australasia Journal* 2009;5:113–8.
- Morton RL, Devitt J, Howard K, et al. Patient views about treatment of stage 5 CKD: a qualitative analysis of semistructured interviews. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010;55:431–40.
- Walker RC, Walker S, Morton RL, *et al.* Māori patients' experiences and perspectives of chronic kidney disease: a New Zealand qualitative interview study. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e013829.
- Stewart M. Qualitative inquiry: perceptions of sexuality by African Americans experiencing haemodialysis. *J Adv Nurs* 2013;69:1704–13.
- Salvalaggio GK, L.: Minore B. Perspectives on health: experiences of First Nations dialysis patients relocated from remote communities for treatment. *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine* 2003;8:19–24.
- Crowley-Matoka M. Desperately seeking "normal": the promise and perils of living with kidney transplantation. Soc Sci Med 2005;61:821–31.
- Gordon EJ, Prohaska TR, Gallant M, et al. Self-care strategies and barriers among kidney transplant recipients: a qualitative study. Chronic IIIn 2009;5:75–91.
- Schmid-Mohler G, Schäfer-Keller P, Frei A, et al. A mixed-method study to explore patients' perspective of self-management tasks in the early phase after kidney transplant. *Prog Transplant* 2014;24:8–18.

Open access

- Chenitz KB, Fernando M, Shea JA. In-center hemodialysis attendance: patient perceptions of risks, barriers, and recommendations. *Hemodial Int* 2014;18:364–73.
- Campos CJ, Turato ER. [Hemodialysis treatment as perceived by the renal patient: clinical qualitative study]. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2010;63:799–805.
- Campos CG, Mantovani MF, Nascimento ME, *et al.* [Social representations of illness among people with chronic kidney disease]. *Rev Gaucha Enferm* 2015;36:106–12.
- de Brito DC, de Paula AM, Grincenkov FR, et al. Analysis of the changes and difficulties arising from kidney transplantation: a qualitative study. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem* 2015;23:419–26.
- Finnegan-John J, Thomas VJ. The psychosocial experience of patients with end-stage renal disease and its impact on quality of life: findings from a needs assessment to shape a service. *ISRN Nephrol* 2013;2013:1–8.
- King N, Carroll C, Newton P, et al. "You can't cure it so you have to endure it": the experience of adaptation to diabetic renal disease. Qual Health Res 2002;12:329–46.
- Costantini L, Beanlands H, McCay E, et al. The self-management experience of people with mild to moderate chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2008;35:147–55.
- Lopez-Vargas PA, Tong A, Howell M, et al. Patient awareness and beliefs about the risk factors and comorbidities associated with chronic kidney disease : a mixed-methods study. *Nephrology* 2017;22:374–81.
- Lopez-Vargas PA, Tong A, Phoon RK, et al. Knowledge deficit of patients with stage 1-4 CKD: a focus group study. *Nephrology* 2014;19:234–43.
- Mason J, Stone M, Khunti K, *et al.* Educational needs for blood pressure control in chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Care* 2007;33:134–8.
- Muduma G, Shupo FC, Dam S, et al. Patient survey to identify reasons for non-adherence and elicitation of quality of life concepts associated with immunosuppressant therapy in kidney transplant recipients. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2016;10:27–36.
- Noble H, Kelly D, Hudson P. Experiences of carers supporting dying renal patients managed without dialysis. *J Adv Nurs* 2013;69:1829–39.
- 98. Noble H, Meyer J, Bridges J, *et al.* Examining renal patients' death trajectories without dialysis. *End of Life Care* 2010;4:26–34.
- Rifkin DE, Laws MB, Rao M, et al. Medication adherence behavior and priorities among older adults with CKD: a semistructured interview study. Am J Kidney Dis 2010;56:439–46.
- Vélez E, Ramasco M. Meaning of illness and illness representations, crucial factors to integral care. *Edtna Erca J* 2006;32:81–5.
- Williams AF, Manias E. Perceptions of pain control by consumers with chronic kidney disease. J Nurs Healthc Chronic Illn 2009;1:199–209.
- 102. Williams AF, Manias E, Walker R. Adherence to multiple, prescribed medications in diabetic kidney disease: a qualitative study of consumers' and health professionals' perspectives. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2008;45:1742–56.
- 103. RARd S, VLd S, GJNd O, et al. Coping strategies used by chronic renal failure patients on hemodialysis. *Escola Anna Nery Revista de Enfermagem* 2016;20:147–54.
- Al-Arabi S. Quality of life: subjective descriptions of challenges to patients with end stage renal disease. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2006;33:285–92.
- 105. Bourbonnais FF, Tousignant KF. The pain experience of patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2012;39:13–19.
- Clarkson KA, Robinson K. Life on dialysis: a lived experience. Nephrol Nurs J 2010;37:29–35.
- Aasen EM, Kvangarsnes M, Heggen K. Perceptions of patient participation amongst elderly patients with end-stage renal disease in a dialysis unit. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2012;26:61–9.
- Richard CJ, Engebretson J. Negotiating living with an arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2010;37:363–74.
- Tweed AE, Ceaser K. Renal replacement therapy choices for predialysis renal patients. *Br J Nurs* 2005;14:659–64.
- 110. Xi W, Harwood L, Diamant MJ, *et al*. Patient attitudes towards the arteriovenous fistula: a qualitative study on vascular access decision making. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011;26:3302–8.
- 111. Taylor MJ, Hanson CS, Casey JR, *et al.* "You know your own fistula, it becomes a part of you"-Patient perspectives on vascular access: a semistructured interview study. *Hemodial Int* 2016;20:5–14.
- 112. Yu J, Ng HJ, Nandakumar M, *et al.* The management of food cravings and thirst in hemodialysis patients: a qualitative study. *J Health Psychol* 2016;21:217–27.

- Cristóvão AF. Dificultades y estrategias en el manejo del régimen terapéutico en el paciente renal crónico en hemodiálisis. *Enfermería Nefrológica* 2013;16:247–55.
- Gricio TC, Kusumotal L, MIdL Cândido. Perceptions and knowledge of patients with chronic kidney disease under conservative treatment. *Rev Eletr Enf* 2009;11:884–93.
- Hagren B, Pettersen IM, Severinsson E, et al. Maintenance haemodialysis: patients' experiences of their life situation. J Clin Nurs 2005;14:294–300.
- 116. Herbias LH, Soto RA, Figueroa HB, et al. Meaning of quality of life in patients on hemodialysis therapy: a phenomenological study. Revista de la Sociedad Espanola de Enfermeria Nefrologica 2016;19:37–44.
- 117. Tovazzi ME, Mazzoni V. Personal paths of fluid restriction in patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2012;39:207–15.
- 118. Avril-Sephula B, Meekums B, Jackson C. How do partners living with haemodialysis patients cope? *Journal of Renal Nursing* 2014;6:133–7.
- 119. Roso CC, Beuter M, Kruse MHL, et al. Self-care of patients in conservative treatment of chronic renal insufficiency. *Text Context Nursing* 2013;22:739–45.
- Hong LI, Wang W, Chan EY, et al. Dietary and fluid restriction perceptions of patients undergoing haemodialysis: an exploratory study. J Clin Nurs 2017;26:3664–76.
- de Brito-Ashurst I, Perry L, Sanders TA, et al. Barriers and facilitators of dietary sodium restriction amongst Bangladeshi chronic kidney disease patients. J Hum Nutr Diet 2011;24:86–95.
- 122. Theofilou P, Synodinou C, Panagiotaki H. Undergoing haemodialysis: a qualitative study to investigate the lived experiences of patients. *Europe's Journal of Psychology* 2013;9:19–32.
- 123. Shirazian S, Crnosija N, Weinger K, *et al*. The self-management experience of patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease: A qualitative study. *Chronic Illn* 2016;12:18–28.
- Walker R, James H, Burns A. Adhering to behaviour change in older pre-dialysis populations-what do patients think? A qualitative study. *J Ren Care* 2012;38:34–42.
- 125. Kierans C, Padilla-Altamira C, Garcia-Garcia G, et al. When health systems are barriers to health care: challenges faced by uninsured Mexican kidney patients. PLoS One 2013;8:e54380.
- 126. Bristowe K, Horsley HL, Shepherd K, *et al.* Thinking ahead–the need for early advance care planning for people on haemodialysis: a qualitative interview study. *Palliat Med* 2015;29:443–50.
- Tonkin-Crine S, Okamoto I, Leydon GM, *et al*. Understanding by older patients of dialysis and conservative management for chronic kidney failure. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2015;65:443–50.
- Johnston S, Noble H. Factors influencing patients with stage 5 chronic kidney disease to opt for conservative management: a practitioner research study. *J Clin Nurs* 2012;21(9-10):1215–22.
- Lo C, Ilic D, Teede H, et al. The perspectives of patients on health-care for co-morbid diabetes and chronic kidney disease: a qualitative study. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0146615.
- Prieto MA, Escudero MJ, Suess A, et al. Patients' opinions and expectations about the dialysis care process. An Sist Sanit Navar 2011;34:21–31.
- 131. IaS R, Larrea AA, OGa U, *et al*. Withdrawing dialysis in Endstage renal disease: What do patients think? *Enferm Nefrol* 2014;17:110–9.
- Yngman-Uhlin P, Fogelberg A, Uhlin F. Life in standby: hemodialysis patients' experiences of waiting for kidney transplantation. *J Clin Nurs* 2016;25(1-2):92–8.
- Moran A, Scott A, Darbyshire P. Waiting for a kidney transplant: patients' experiences of haemodialysis therapy. *J Adv Nurs* 2011;67:501–9.
- Axelsson L, Klang B, Lundh Hagelin C, et al. End of life of patients treated with haemodialysis as narrated by their close relatives. Scand J Caring Sci 2015;29:776–84.
- Yngman-Uhlin P, Friedrichsen M, Gustavsson M, et al. Circling around in tiredness: perspectives of patients on peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Nurs J 2010;37:407–13.
- 136. Axelsson L, Randers I, Jacobson SH, *et al.* Living with haemodialysis when nearing end of life. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2012;26:45–52.
- 137. Heiwe S, Clyne N, Dahlgren MA. Living with chronic renal failure: patients' experiences of their physical and functional capacity. *Physiother Res Int* 2003;8:167–77.
- Horigan AE, Schneider SM, Docherty S, *et al*. The experience and self-management of fatigue in patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2013;40:113–22.
- White N, Richter J, Koeckeritz J, et al. "Going Forward": Family resiliency in patients on hemodialysis. J Fam Nurs 2004;10:357–78.

Open access

- 140. Heiwe S, Dahlgren MA. Living with chronic renal failure: Coping with physical activities of daily living. *Adv Physiother* 2004;6:147–57.
- 141. Rabiei L, Eslami AA, Abedi H, et al. Caring in an atmosphere of uncertainty: perspectives and experiences of caregivers of peoples undergoing haemodialysis in Iran. Scand J Caring Sci 2016;30:594–601.
- Shahgholian N, Yousefi H. Supporting hemodialysis patients: a phenomenological study. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2015;20:626–33.
- Yeun EJ, Bang HY, Kim EJ, et al. Attitudes toward stress and coping among primary caregivers of patients undergoing hemodialysis: a Q-methodology study. *Hemodial Int* 2016;20:453–62.
- Yumang MJ, Hammond L, Filteau N, et al. Perceptions of risk for foot problems and foot care practices of patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2009;36:509–16.
- 145. Barbosa GDS, Valadares GV. Hemodialysis: patient's adaptation and life style. *Acta Paul Enferm* 2009;22(Especial Nefrologia:524–7.
- 146. Calvey D, Mee L. The lived experience of the person dependent on haemodialysis. *J Ren Care* 2011;37:201–7.
- Krespi R, Bone M, Ahmad R, et al. Haemodialysis patients' beliefs about renal failure and its treatment. *Patient Educ Couns* 2004;53:189–96.
- 148. Cox KJ, Parshall MB, Hernandez SHA, *et al.* Symptoms among patients receiving in-center hemodialysis: a qualitative study. *Hemodial Int* 2017;21:524–33.
- 149. Machado LRC, Car MR. A dialetic of patients' daily life with chronic renal failure in hemodialysis: the unavoidable and the casual. *Rev Esc Enferm USP* 2003;37:27–35.
- 150. Moran A, Scott PA, Darbyshire P. Existential boredom: the experience of living on haemodialysis therapy. *Med Humanit* 2009;35:70–5.
- 151. Krespi Boothby MR, Salmon P. [Self-efficacy and hemodialysis treatment: a qualitative and quantitative approach]. *Turk Psikiyatri Derg* 2013;24:84–93.
- 152. Tong A, Palmer S, Manns B, *et al*. The beliefs and expectations of patients and caregivers about home haemodialysis: an interview study. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e002148.
- 153. Torchi TS, STCd A, Guimarães AM AGM, *et al.* Clinical conditions and health care demand behavior of chronic renal patients. *Acta Paul Enferm* 2014;27:585–90.
- Cadena DMaGn, GPAb H, Atilano BF, et al. Anchored to a machine: experiences of patients with chronic kidney disease. *Revista* CONAMED 2015;20(S1):16–20.
- 155. Klava dos Reis C, Guirardello EB, Gomes Campos CJ. [The person with renal chronic disease and caring demands]. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2008;61:336–41.
- Baillie J, Lankshear A. Patient and family perspectives on peritoneal dialysis at home: findings from an ethnographic study. *J Clin Nurs* 2015;24(1-2):222–34.
- Baillie J, Lankshear A. Patients' and relatives' experiences of peritonitis when using peritoneal dialysis. *J Ren Care* 2015;41:177–86.
- 158. Xi W, Singh PM, Harwood L, *et al*. Patient experiences and preferences on short daily and nocturnal home hemodialysis. *Hemodial Int* 2013;17:201–7.
- Giles S. Transformations: a phenomenological investigation into the life-world of home haemodialysis. Soc Work Health Care 2004;38:29–50.
- Giles S. Struggles between the body and machine: the paradox of living with a home haemodialysis machine. Soc Work Health Care 2005;41:19–35.
- Low J, Myers J, Smith G, *et al.* The experiences of close persons caring for people with chronic kidney disease stage 5 on conservative kidney management: contested discourses of ageing. *Health* 2014;18:613–30.
- 162. FKd S, Valadares GV. Living between the nightmare and the awakening - the first time in dealing with peritoneal dialysis. *Escola Anna Nery - Revista de Enfermagem* 2011;15:39–46.
- McCarthy A, Shaban R, Boys J, et al. Compliance, normality, and the patient on peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Nurs J 2010;37:243–50.
- Chong HJ, Kim HK, Kim SR, *et al.* Waiting for a kidney transplant: the experience of patients with end-stage renal disease in South Korea. *J Clin Nurs* 2016;25(7-8):930–9.
- 165. Flores RV, Thome EG. Feelings of patients on the waiting list for a kidney transplant]. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2004;57:687–90.
- Kierans C. Narrating kidney disease: the significance of sensation and time in the emplotment of patient experience. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 2005;29:341–59.
- Kierans CM, Maynooth NUI. Sensory and narrative identity: the narration of illness process among chronic renal sufferers in Ireland. *Anthropol Med* 2001;8(2-3):237–53.

- Knihs NDS, Sartori DL, Zink V, et al. The experience of patients who need renal transplantation while waiting for a compatible organ. *Text Context Nursing* 2013;22:1160–8.
- Lawrence C, Sharma S, Da Silva-Gane M, et al. Exploring the views of patients not on the transplant waiting list: a qualitative study. J Ren Care 2013;39:118–24.
- Lopes SGR, Silva DMGVda. Narratives of women on hemodialysis: waiting for a kidney transplant. *Texto & Contexto - Enfermagem* 2014;23:680–7.
- Spiers J, Smith JA. Waiting for a kidney from a deceased donor: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Psychol Health Med* 2016;21:836–44.
- 172. Wachterman MW, McCarthy EP, Marcantonio ER, *et al.* Mistrust, misperceptions, and miscommunication: a qualitative study of preferences about kidney transplantation among African Americans. *Transplant Proc* 2015;47:240–6.
- 173. Allen D, Wainwright M, Hutchinson T. 'Non-compliance' as illness management: Hemodialysis patients' descriptions of adversarial patient-clinician interactions. *Soc Sci Med* 2011;73:129–34.
- 174. Sieverdes JC, Nemeth LS, Magwood GS, *et al*. African American kidney transplant patients' perspectives on challenges in the living donation process. *Prog Transplant* 2015;25:164–75.
- Boaz A, Morgan M. Working to establish 'normality' post-transplant: a qualitative study of kidney transplant patients. *Chronic Illn* 2014;10:247–58.
- Goldade K, Sidhwani S, Patel S, et al. Kidney transplant patients' perceptions, beliefs, and barriers related to regular nephrology outpatient visits. Am J Kidney Dis 2011;57:11–20.
- 177. Spiers J, Smith JA, Drage M. A longitudinal interpretative phenomenological analysis of the process of kidney recipients' resolution of complex ambiguities within relationships with their living donors. J Health Psychol 2015 (published Online First: 2015/05/02).
- Stanfill A, Bloodworth R, Cashion A. Lessons learned: experiences of gaining weight by kidney transplant recipients. *Prog Transplant* 2012;22:71–8.
- Tielen M, van Exel NJ, van Buren MC, et al. Attitudes towards medication non-adherence in elderly kidney transplant patients: a Q methodology study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011;26:1723–8.
- Gordon EJ, Prohaska TR, Gallant MP, et al. Adherence to immunosuppression: a prospective diary study. *Transplant Proc* 2007;39:3081–5.
- Leung SS, Shiu AT. Experience of Hong Kong patients awaiting kidney transplantation in mainland China. *J Clin Nurs* 2007;16:341–9.
- Orr A, Orr D, Willis S, et al. Patient perceptions of factors influencing adherence to medication following kidney transplant. *Psychol Health Med* 2007;12:509–17.
- Orr A, Willis S, Holmes M, et al. Living with a kidney transplant: a qualitative investigation of quality of life. J Health Psychol 2007;12:653–62.
- Schipper K, Abma TA, Koops C, et al. Sweet and sour after renal transplantation: a qualitative study about the positive and negative consequences of renal transplantation. Br J Health Psychol 2014;19:580–91.
- 185. Wiederhold D, Langer G, Landenberger M. Ambivalent lived experiences and instruction need of patients in the early period after kidney transplantation: a phenomenological study. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2011;38:417–23.
- Buldukoglu K, Kulakac O, Kececioglu N, et al. Recipients??? Perceptions of their transplanted kidneys. *Transplantation* 2005;80:471–6.
- Axelsson L, Randers I, Lundh Hagelin C, et al. Thoughts on death and dying when living with haemodialysis approaching end of life. J Clin Nurs 2012;21(15-16):2149–59.
- Chatrung C, Sorajjakool S, Amnatsatsue K. Wellness and religious coping among thai individuals living with chronic kidney disease in southern california. *J Relig Health* 2015;54:2198–211.
- Hain DJ, Wands L, Liehr P. Approaches to resolve health challenges in a population of older adults undergoing hemodialysis. *Res Gerontol Nurs* 2011;4:53–62.
- Lin CC, Han CY, Pan IJ. A qualitative approach of psychosocial adaptation process in patients undergoing long-term hemodialysis. *Asian Nurs Res* 2015;9:35–41.
- Rodrigues DFD, Schwartz E, MdG S, et al. Experience of men undergoing hemodialysis about their sexuality. Avengerm 2011;XXIX:255–62.
- Tanyi RA, Werner JS. Women's experience of spirituality within end-stage renal disease and hemodialysis. *Clin Nurs Res* 2008;17:32–49.

<u>6</u>

Open access

- Tanyi RA, Werner JS. Toward a trajectory of adjustment in women with end-stage renal disease on haemodialysis. *J Clin Nurs* 2008;17(5A):43–50.
- 194. Martin-McDonald K. Being dialysis-dependent: a qualitative perspective. *Collegian* 2003;10:29–33.
- MartinMcDonald K. Dialysis-dependency: the reformulated or remnant person. *Contemp Nurse* 2004;16(1-2):151–61.
- 196. H-y N, J-f L. The psychological trajectory from diagnosis to approaching end of life in patients undergoing hemodialysis in China: a qualitative study. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences* 2017;4:29–33.
- 197. Bennett PN, Bonner A, Andrew J, *et al.* Using images to communicate the hidden struggles of life on dialysis. *J Commun Healthc* 2013;6:12–21.
- Costa FG, Coutinho MdaPdeL, Santana IOde. Insuficiência renal crônica: representações sociais de pacientes com e sem depressão. *Psico-USF* 2014;19:387–98.
- 199. Yodchai K, Dunning T, Hutchinson AM, et al. How do Thai patients with end stage renal disease adapt to being dependent on haemodialysis?: a pilot study. J Ren Care 2011;37:216–23.
- 200. Arslan SY, Ege E. Sexual experiences of women exposed to hemodialysis treatment. *Sex Disabil* 2009;27:215–21.
- Tanyi RA, Werner JS, Recine AC, et al. Perceptions of incorporating spirituality into their care: a phenomenological study of female patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2006;33:532–8.
- Ladin K, Lin N, Hahn E, et al. Engagement in decision-making and patient satisfaction: a qualitative study of older patients' perceptions of dialysis initiation and modality decisions. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2017;32:gfw307.
- Erlang AS, Nielsen IH, Hansen HO, et al. Patients experiences of involvement in choice of dialysis mode. J Ren Care 2015;41:260–7.
- 204. Moran A, Scott AP, Darbyshire P. Communicating with nurses: patients' views on effective support while on haemodialysis. *Nurs Times* 2009;105:42146.
- Mitchell A, Farrand P, James H, *et al*. Patients' experience of transition onto haemodialysis: a qualitative study. *J Ren Care* 2009;35:99–107.
- Schober GS, Wenger JB, Lee CC, *et al.* Dialysis Patient Perspectives on CKD Advocacy: a semistructured interview Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2017;69:29–40.
- 207. Smith K, Coston M, Glock K, *et al.* Patient perspectives on fluid management in chronic hemodialysis. *J Ren Nutr* 2010;20:334–41.
- Pietrovsk V, Dall'Agnol CM. Situações significativas no espaçocontexto da hemodiálise: o que dizem os usuários de um serviço? *Rev Bras Enferm* 2006;59:630–5.
- Malheiro Oliveira P, Arruda Soares D. Percepciones de las personas con insuficiencia renal crónica sobre la calidad de vida. *Enfermería Global* 2012;28:257–75.
- Beanlands H, Horsburgh ME, Fox S, et al. Caregiving by family and friends of adults receiving dialysis. Nephrol Nurs J 2005;32:621–31.
- 211. Ziegert K, Fridlund B, Lidell E. Health in everyday life among spouses of haemodialysis patients: a content analysis. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2006;20:223–8.
- Ziegert K, Fridlund B, Lidell E. "Time for dialysis as time to live": experiences of time in everyday life of the Swedish next of kin of hemodialysis patients. *Nurs Health Sci* 2009;11:45–50.
- Ziegert K, Fridlund B. Conceptions of life situation among next-ofkin of haemodialysis patients. *J Nurs Manag* 2001;9:231–9.
- Eslami AA, Rabiei L, Abedi HA, et al. Coping skills of Iranian family careivers in caretaking of patients undergoing haemodialysis: a qualitative study. *Journal of renal care* 2016;XX(XX):1–10.
- 215. Taylor F, Gutteridge R, Willis C. Peer support for CKD patients and carers: overcoming barriers and facilitating access. *Health Expect* 2016;19:617–30.
- 216. Tavares JM, Lisboa MT, Ferreira MA, *et al.* Peritoneal dialysis: family care for chronic kidney disease patients in home-based treatment. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2016;69:1172–8.
- Lovink MH, Kars MC, de Man-van Ginkel JM, et al. Patients' experiences of safety during haemodialysis treatment - a qualitative study. J Adv Nurs 2015;71:2374–83.
- Nagpal N, Boutin-Foster C, Melendez J, et al. Experiences of patients undergoing dialysis who are from ethnic and racial minorities. J Ren Care 2017;43:29–36.
- Cramm JM, Leensvaart L, Berghout M, et al. Exploring views on what is important for patient-centred care in end-stage renal disease using Q methodology. *BMC Nephrol* 2015;16:74):74.
 Namiki S, Rowe J, Cooke M. Living with home-based
- Namiki S, Rowe J, Cooke M. Living with home-based haemodialysis: insights from older people. J Clin Nurs 2010;19(3-4):547–55.
- 221. DePasquale N, Ephraim PL, Ameling J, et al. Selecting renal replacement therapies: what do African American and non-African

American patients and their families think others should know? A mixed methods study. *BMC Nephrol* 2013;14:9.

- 222. Sahaf RP, Sadat Ilali EPS, Peyrovi HP, et al. Uncertainty, the overbearing lived experience of the elderly people undergoing Hemodialysis: a qualitative study. *Int J Community Based Nurs Midwifery* 2017;5:13–21.
- Lee A, Gudex C, Povlsen JV, et al. Patients' views regarding choice of dialysis modality. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008;23:3953–9.
- 224. Piccoli GB, Consiglio V, Deagostini MC, *et al.* Starting together: a focus group for the organization of a CKD outpatient care unit. *Journal of nephrology* 2010;23:699–704.
- 225. Pilger C, Rampari EM, Waidman MAP, *et al*. Hemodialysis: its meaning and impact in the elderly life. *Escola Anna Nery Revista de Enfermagem* 2010;14:677–83.
- 226. Browne T, Amamoo A, Patzer RE, *et al.* Everybody needs a cheerleader to get a kidney transplant: a qualitative study of the patient barriers and facilitators to kidney transplantation in the Southeastern United States. *BMC Nephrol* 2016;17:108.
- 227. Hollingdale R, Sutton D, Hart K. Facilitating dietary change in renal disease: investigating patients' perspectives. *J Ren Care* 2008;34:136–42.
- 228. Marques FRB, Botelho MR, Marcon SS, *et al.* Coping strategies used by family members of individuals receiving hemodialysis. *Texto & Contexto Enfermagem* 2014;23:915–24.
- Russ AJ, Shim JK, Kaufman SR. "Is there life on dialysis?": time and aging in a clinically sustained existence. *Med Anthropol* 2005;24:297–324.
- Winterbottom A, Bekker HL, Conner M, et al. Choosing dialysis modality: decision making in a chronic illness context. *Health Expect* 2014;17:710–23.
- 231. Davison SN. Facilitating advance care planning for patients with end-stage renal disease: the patient perspective. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;1:1023–8.
- 232. Iles-Smith H. Perceptions and experiences of pre-dialysis patients. *Edtna Erca J* 2005;31:130–3.
- 233. Wilkinson E, Randhawa G, Brown EA, et al. Communication as care at end of life: an emerging issue from an exploratory action research study of renal end-of-life care for ethnic minorities in the UK. J Ren Care 2014;40 Suppl 1(S1):23–9.
- 234. Campos CJG, Turato ER. The professional health team, the renal patient undergoing hemodialysis and interpersonal relationships. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2003;56:508–12.
- Bailey PK, Ben-Shlomo Y, de Salis I, et al. Better the donor you know? A qualitative study of renal patients' views on 'altruistic' livedonor kidney transplantation. Soc Sci Med 2016;150:104–11.
- Nygårdh A, Malm D, Wikby K, *et al*. The experience of empowerment in the patient-staff encounter: the patient's perspective. *J Clin Nurs* 2012;21(5-6):897–904.
- Tong A, Gow K, Wong G, *et al.* Patient perspectives of a young adult renal clinic: a mixed-methods evaluation. *Nephrology* 2015;20:352–9.
- 238. Bridger J. Enabling patients with chronic kidney disease to selfcare. *Journal of Renal Nursing* 2009;1:173–8.
- 239. Ghadami A, Memarian R, Mohamadi E, *et al.* Patients' experiences from their received education about the process of kidney transplant: a qualitative study. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2012;17(2 Sup1):157–64.
- 240. Haspeslagh A, De Bondt K, Kuypers D, *et al.* Completeness and satisfaction with the education and information received by patients immediately after kidney transplant: a mixed-models study. *Prog Transplant* 2013;23:12–22.
- Russell CL, Kilburn E, Conn VS, et al. Medication-taking beliefs of adult renal transplant recipients. *Clin Nurse Spec* 2003;17:200–8.
- 242. Urstad KH, Wahl AK, Andersen MH, *et al*. Renal recipients' educational experiences in the early post-operative phase-a qualitative study. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2012;26:635–42.
- Calestani M, Tonkin-Crine S, Pruthi R, *et al.* Patient attitudes towards kidney transplant listing: qualitative findings from the ATTOM study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2014;29:2144–50.
- 244. Ros RL, Kucirka LM, Govindan P, *et al.* Patient attitudes toward CDC high infectious risk donor kidney transplantation: inferences from focus groups. *Clin Transplant* 2012;26:247–53.
- 245. Sheu J, Ephraim PL, Powe NR, *et al.* African American and non-African American patients' and families' decision making about renal replacement therapies. *Qual Health Res* 2012;22:997–1006.
- 246. Aasen EM, Kvangarsnes M, Wold B, *et al.* The next of kin of older people undergoing haemodialysis: a discursive perspective on perceptions of participation. *J Adv Nurs* 2012;68:1716–25.
 247. ECdB Thomé Maria DEC Ward
- 247. EGdR Thomé, Meyer DEE. Women caregivers for men with chronic kidney disease: a cultural approach. *Text Context Nursing* 2011;20:503–11.

- 248. Harwood L, Locking-Cusolito H, Spittal J, *et al.* Preparing for hemodialysis: patient stressors and responses. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2005;32:295–302.
- Yodchai K, Dunning T, Savage S, *et al*. The role of religion and spirituality in coping with kidney disease and haemodialysis in Thailand. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2017;31:359–67.
- 250. Wise M, Schatell D, Klicko K, *et al.* Successful daily home hemodialysis patient-care partner dyads: benefits outweigh burdens. *Hemodial Int* 2010;14:278–88.
- 251. Calvin AO. Haemodialysis patients and end-of-life decisions: a theory of personal preservation. *J Adv Nurs* 2004;46:558–66.
- 252. Bath J, Tonks S, Edwards P. Psychological care of the haemodialysis patient. *Edtna Erca J* 2003;29:85–8.
- 253. Weil CM. Exploring hope in patients with end stage renal disease on chronic hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2000;27:219–24.
- 254. Wells SA. Occupational deprivation or occupational adaptation of Mexican Americans on renal dialysis. *Occup Ther Int* 2015;22:174–82.
- 255. Wilson PM, Reston JD, Bieraugel R, *et al*. You cannot choose your family: sociological ambivalence in the hemodialysis unit. *Qual Health Res* 2015;25:27–39.
- Lenci LT, Campbell JD. Peritoneal dialysis in elderly patients. Adv Perit Dial 2012;28:79–83.
- 257. Walton J. Prayer warriors: a grounded theory study of American Indians receiving hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2007;34:377–86.
- Walton J. Finding a balance: a grounded theory study of spirituality in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2002;29:447–56.
- BPd S, Schwartz E, Beuter M, et al. Consequences attributed to kidney transplantation: critical incident technique. *Texto & Contexto* - *Enfermagem* 2015;24:748–55.
- 260. Ravenscroft EF. Diabetes and kidney failure: how individuals with diabetes experience kidney failure. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2005;32:502–10.
- Williams AF, Manias E, Walker R. The role of irrational thought in medicine adherence: people with diabetic kidney disease. J Adv Nurs 2009;65:2108–17.
- 262. Bäckström-Andersson H, Lindberg B, Lindström R. Dry weight from the haemodialysis patient perspective. *Renal Society of Australasia Journal* 2013;9:68–73.
- Vestman C, Hasselroth M, Berglund M. Freedom and confinement: Patients' experiences of life with home haemodialysis. *Nurs Res Pract* 2014;2014:1–7.
- Curtin RB, Mapes D, Petillo M, et al. Long-term dialysis survivors: a transformational experience. Qual Health Res 2002;12:609–24.
- Curtin RB, Mapes DL. Health care management strategies of longterm dialysis survivors. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2001;28:385–92.
- Lederer S, Fischer MJ, Gordon HS, et al. Barriers to effective communication between veterans with chronic kidney disease and their healthcare providers. *Clin Kidney J* 2015;8:766–71.
- McKillop G, Joy J. Patients' experience and perceptions of polypharmacy in chronic kidney disease and its impact on adherent behaviour. *J Ren Care* 2013;39:200–7.
- Reid K, Morris M, Cormack M, *et al.* Exploring the process of adjusting to diabetic kidney disease. *J Ren Care* 2012;38 Suppl 1:30–9.
- Ladin K, Buttafarro K, Hahn E, et al. "End-of-life care? I'm not going to worry about that yet." Health literacy gaps and endof-life planning among elderly dialysis patients. *Gerontologist* 2017;00:1–10.
- Harwood L, Clark AM. Dialysis modality decision-making for older adults with chronic kidney disease. *J Clin Nurs* 2014;23(23-24):3378–90.
- Llewellyn H, Low J, Smith G, *et al.* Narratives of continuity among older people with late stage chronic kidney disease who decline dialysis. *Soc Sci Med* 2014;114:49–56.
- Schell JO, Patel UD, Steinhauser KE, *et al.* Discussions of the kidney disease trajectory by elderly patients and nephrologists: a qualitative study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2012;59:495–503.
- Walker RC, Morton RL, Tong A, et al. Patient and caregiver preferences for home dialysis-the home first study: a protocol for qualitative interviews and discrete choice experiments. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007405.
- Landreneau K, Ward-Smith P. Patients' perceptions concerning choice among renal replacement therapies: a pilot study. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2006;33:397–402.
- 275. Shaw R. Being-in-dialysis: The experience of the machine-body for home dialysis users. *Health* 2015;19:229–44.
 270. Nicerot Distance of the machine-body for the second part of the second par
- 276. Visser A, Dijkstra GJ, Kuiper D, et al. Accepting or declining dialysis: considerations taken into account by elderly patients with end-stage renal disease. *J Nephrol* 2009;22:794–9.

- 277. de Rosenroll A, Higuchi KS, Dutton KS, *et al.* Perspectives of significant others in dialysis modality decision-making: a qualitative study. *Cannt J* 2013;23:17–24.
 270. London M. (2013):23:17–24.
- Landreneau KJ, Ward-Smith P. Perceptions of adult patients on hemodialysis concerning choice among renal replacement therapies. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2007;34:513–9.
- Cases A, Dempster M, Davies M, et al. The experience of individuals with renal failure participating in home haemodialysis: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. J Health Psychol 2011;16:884–94.
- 280. Lindsay H, MacGregor C, Fry M. The experience of living with chronic illness for the haemodialysis patient: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Health Sociology Review* 2014;23:232–41.
- 281. Darrell L. Faith that God cares: the experience of spirituality with African American hemodialysis patients. *Social Work & Christianity* 2016;43:189–212.
- Hagren B, Pettersen I-M, Severinsson E, *et al.* The haemodialysis machine as a lifeline: experiences of suffering from end-stage renal disease. *J Adv Nurs* 2001;34:196–202.
- Harrington J, Morgan M. Understanding kidney transplant patients' treatment choices: the interaction of emotion with medical and social influences on risk preferences. *Soc Sci Med* 2016;155:43–50.
- Herlin C, Wann-Hansson C. The experience of being 30-45 years of age and depending on haemodialysis treatment: a phenomenological study. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2010;24:693–9.
- Lewis H, Arber S. The role of the body in end-stage kidney disease in young adults: Gender, peer and intimate relationships. *Chronic Ilin* 2015;11:184–97.
- 286. Pelletier-Hibbert M, Sohi P. Sources of uncertainty and coping strategies used by family members of individuals living with end stage renal disease. *Nephrol Nurs J* 2001;28:411–9.
- Aasen EM. A comparison of the discursive practices of perception of patient participation in haemodialysis units. *Nurs Ethics* 2015;22:341–51.
- Goff SL, Eneanya ND, Feinberg R, et al. Advance care planning: a qualitative study of dialysis patients and families. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2015;10:390–400.
- 289. Nygårdh A, Wikby K, Malm D, *et al.* Empowerment in outpatient care for patients with chronic kidney disease from the family member's perspective. *BMC Nurs* 2011;10:21.
- Hutchison LA, Raffin-Bouchal DS, Syme CA, et al. Readiness to participate in advance care planning: a qualitative study of renal failure patients, families and healthcare providers. *Chronic Illn* 2017;13:171–87.
- Davison SN, Simpson C. Hope and advance care planning in patients with end stage renal disease: qualitative interview study. *BMJ* 2006;333:886.
 Davison C. Hope and C. Statistical Content of Con
- Calvin AO, Engebretson JC, Sardual SA. Understanding of advance care planning by family members of persons undergoing hemodialysis. West J Nurs Res 2014;36:1357–73.
- Noble H, Meyer J, Bridges J, *et al.* Reasons renal patients give for deciding not to dialyze: a prospective qualitative interview study. *Dial Transplant* 2009;38:82–9.
- 294. Molzahn A, Sheilds L, Bruce A, *et al*. Perceptions regarding death and dying of individuals with chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Nurs* J 2012;39:197–204.
- 295. Casey JR, Hanson CS, Winkelmayer WC, et al. Patients' perspectives on hemodialysis vascular access: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014;64:937–53.
 206. Jaminger, NJ, Hanson CO, Jaminger, Jaminger, Jaminger, Hanson CO, Jaminger, Jaminger,
- 296. Jamieson NJ, Hanson CS, Josephson MA, *et al.* Motivations, challenges, and attitudes to self-management in kidney transplant recipients: a Systematic review of qualitative studies. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2016;67:461–78.
- 297. Palmer SC, Hanson CS, Craig JC, et al. Dietary and fluid restrictions in CKD: a thematic synthesis of patient views from qualitative studies. Am J Kidney Dis 2015;65:559–73.
- 298. Ralph A, Chapman JR, Gillis J, *et al.* Family perspectives on deceased organ donation: thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. *Am J Transplant* 2014;14:923–35.
 200. The A D P and Comparison of the synthesis of the synthesynthesis of the synt
- 299. Tong A, Brown MA, Winkelmayer WC, *et al.* Perspectives on pregnancy in women with ckd: a semistructured interview study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2015;66:951–61.
 200. Torg A, Olympic J, Start M, Start M
- 300. Tong A, Chapman JR, Wong G, *et al.* The experiences of commercial kidney donors: thematic synthesis of qualitative research. *Transpl Int* 2012;25:1138–49.
 201. Tong A Champ Int 2012;25:1138–49.
- 301. Tong A, Cheung KL, Nair SS, *et al.* Thematic synthesis of qualitative studies on patient and caregiver perspectives on end-of-life care in CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014;63:913–27.

Open access

- Tong A, Jesudason S, Craig JC, *et al.* Perspectives on pregnancy in women with chronic kidney disease: systematic review of qualitative studies. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2015;30:652–61.
- Tong A, Lowe A, Sainsbury P, et al. Experiences of parents who have children with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Pediatrics* 2008;121:349–60.
- 304. Tong A, Rangan GK, Ruospo M, et al. A painful inheritancepatient perspectives on living with polycystic kidney disease: thematic synthesis of qualitative research. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015;30:790–800.
- Walker RC, Hanson CS, Palmer SC, et al. Patient and caregiver perspectives on home hemodialysis: a systematic review. Am J Kidney Dis 2015;65:451–63.
- 306. Tong A, Hanson CS, Chapman JR, et al. 'Suspended in a paradox'-patient attitudes to wait-listing for kidney transplantation: systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. *Transpl Int* 2015;28:771–87.
- Bailey P, Tomson C, Risdale S, et al. From potential donor to actual donation: does socioeconomic position affect living kidney donation? A systematic review of the evidence. *Transplantation* 2014;98:918–26.
- Reid C, Seymour J, Jones C. A thematic synthesis of the experiences of adults living with hemodialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016;11:1206–18.
- 309. Arokiasamy P, Uttamacharya U, Jain K, et al. The impact of multimorbidity on adult physical and mental health in low- and middle-income countries: what does the study on global ageing and adult health (SAGE) reveal? BMC Med 2015;13:178.
- Kim TJ, Vonneilich N, Lüdecke D, et al. Income, financial barriers to health care and public health expenditure: a multilevel analysis of 28 countries. Soc Sci Med 2017;176:158–65.
- Mackenbach JP, Looman CWN, Artnik B, et al. 'Fundamental causes' of inequalities in mortality: an empirical test of the theory in 20 European populations. Social Health Illn 2017;39:1117–33.
- 312. Prasad N, Jha V. Hemodialysis in Asia. *Kidney Dis* 2015;1:165–77.
- Rajapurkar MM, John GT, Kirpalani AL, et al. What do we know about chronic kidney disease in India: first report of the Indian CKD registry. BMC Nephrol 2012;13:10.
- Obrador GT, Rubilar X, Agazzi E, et al. The challenge of providing renal replacement therapy in developing countries: the latin American perspective. Am J Kidney Dis 2016;67:499–506.
- Mackenbach JP. The persistence of health inequalities in modern welfare states: the explanation of a paradox. Soc Sci Med 2012;75:761–9.
- Rodriguez RA. Dialysis for undocumented immigrants in the United States. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2015;22:60–5.
- 317. Pavli A, Maltezou H. Health problems of newly arrived migrants and refugees in Europe. *J Travel Med* 2017;24.
- Matesanz R, Marazuela R, Domínguez-Gil B, et al. The 40 donors per million population plan: an action plan for improvement of organ donation and transplantation in Spain. *Transplant Proc* 2009;41:3453–6.
- 319. Acevedo-Garcia D, Almeida J. Special issue introduction: place, migration and health. *Soc Sci Med* 2012;75:2055–9.
- 320. Terasaki G, Ahrenholz NC, Haider MZ. Care of adult refugees with chronic conditions. *Med Clin North Am* 2015;99:1039–58.
- Van Biesen W, Vanholder R, Ernandez T, et al. Caring for migrants and refugees with end-stage kidney disease in Europe. Am J Kidney Dis 2018;71:701–9.

- 322. Raghavan R. Caring for Undocumented Immigrants With Kidney Disease. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2018;71:488–94.
- 323. Wild V, Dawson A. Migration: a core public health ethics issue. *Public Health* 2018;158:66–70.
- Luyckx VA, Miljeteig I, Ejigu AM, et al. Ethical Challenges in the Provision of Dialysis in Resource-Constrained Environments. Semin Nephrol 2017;37:273–86.
- 325. Qazi HA, Chen H, Zhu M. Factors influencing dialysis withdrawal: a scoping review. *BMC Nephrol* 2018;19:96.
- 326. EdCL Č, TPd C, Carvalho CC, et al. Associação entre bem-estar espiritual e autoestima em pessoas com insuficiência renal crônica em hemodiálise. Psicologia: Reflexão e Crítica 2015;28:737–43.
- 327. Cruz JP, Colet PC, Alquwez N, *et al*. Influence of religiosity and spiritual coping on health-related quality of life in Saudi haemodialysis patients. *Hemodial Int* 2017;21:125–32.
- 328. Saisunantararom W, Cheawchanwattana A, Kanjanabuch T, et al. Associations among spirituality, health-related quality of life, and depression in pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease patients: An exploratory analysis in thai buddhist patients. *Religions* 2015;6:1249–62.
- Lucchetti G, Almeida LG, Granero AL. [Spirituality for dialysis patients: should the nephrologist address?]. *J Bras Nefrol* 2010;32:126–30.
- 330. Dwarswaard J, Bakker EJ, van Staa A, et al. Self-management support from the perspective of patients with a chronic condition: a thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. *Health Expect* 2016;19:194–208.
- Morton RL, Tong A, Howard K, et al. The views of patients and carers in treatment decision making for chronic kidney disease: systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative studies. BMJ 2010;340:c112.
- 332. Shommu NS, Ahmed S, Rumana N, et al. What is the scope of improving immigrant and ethnic minority healthcare using community navigators: a systematic scoping review. Int J Equity Health 2016;15:6.
- Boehmer KR, Shippee ND, Beebe TJ, et al. Pursuing minimally disruptive medicine: disruption from illness and health care-related demands is correlated with patient capacity. J Clin Epidemiol 2016;74:227–36.
- Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. Lancet 2013;382:260–72.
- Rosenzveig A, Kuspinar A, Daskalopoulou SS, et al. Toward patientcentered care: a systematic review of how to ask questions that matter to patients. *Medicine* 2014;93:e120.
- 336. Nugent RA, Fathima SF, Feigl AB, *et al*. The burden of chronic kidney disease on developing nations: a 21st century challenge in global health. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2011;118:c269–c277.
- Garcia-Garcia G, Jha V. World Kidney Day Steering C. Chronic kidney disease in disadvantaged populations. *Transplantation* 2015;99:13–16.
- Silva AS, Silveira RS, Fernandes GF, et al. [Perceptions and changes in the quality of life of patients submitted to hemodyalisis]. *Rev Bras Enferm* 2011;64:839–44.
- Gullick J, Monaro S, Stewart G. Compartmentalising time and space: a phenomenological interpretation of the temporal experience of commencing haemodialysis. *J Clin Nurs* 2017;26:3382–95.
- Kaba E, Bellou P, Iordanou P, et al. Problems experienced by haemodialysis patients in Greece. Br J Nurs 2007;16:868–72.