

LONDON  
SCHOOL of  
HYGIENE  
& TROPICAL  
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Elbieh, I; Bascaran, C; Blanchet, K; Foster, A; (2018) Trends in cataract surgical rate and resource utilisation in Egypt. *Ophthalmic epidemiology*. pp. 1-7. ISSN 0928-6586 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09286586.2018.1481983>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4648072/>


DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09286586.2018.1481983>

**Usage Guidelines:**

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact [researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk).

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>



## Trends in Cataract Surgical Rate and Resource Utilization in Egypt

Journal:	<i>Ophthalmic Epidemiology</i>
Manuscript ID	NOPE-2017-0090
Manuscript Type:	Original Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Apr-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Elbeih, Islam; National Eye Centre Bascaran, Covadonga; London school of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, International Centre for Eye Health Blanchet, Karl; London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Foster, Allen; London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine
Keywords:	Cataract, Cataract Surgical Rate, Egypt, Resouce Utilization, Service Provision

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Trends in Cataract Surgical Rate and Resource Utilization in Egypt

Islam Elbieh<sup>1</sup>

Covadonga Bascaran<sup>2</sup>

Karl Blanchet<sup>2</sup>

Allen Foster<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *National Eye Centre, Cairo, Egypt*

<sup>2</sup> *London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, WC1E 7HT, London*

Islam Elbieh [islamelbieh@gmail.com](mailto:islamelbieh@gmail.com)

Covadonga Bascaran [Covadonga.Bascaran@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:Covadonga.Bascaran@lshtm.ac.uk)

Karl Blanchet [Karl.Blanchet@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:Karl.Blanchet@lshtm.ac.uk)

Allen Foster [Allen.Foster@lshtm.ac.uk](mailto:Allen.Foster@lshtm.ac.uk)

Financial support: this research was funded by the Kirkpatrick Scholarship, awarded to the first author by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.

None of the following authors has any proprietary interests or conflicts of interest related to this submission

This submission has not been published anywhere previously and is not simultaneously being considered for any other publication.

### **Corresponding author details:**

Islam Elbieh

[islamelbieh@gmail.com](mailto:islamelbieh@gmail.com)

National Eye Centre, Cairo, Egypt

**Abstract****Purpose:**

To evaluate cataract services in Egypt and assess resources and practices in public and private sector.

**Methods:**

The study was conducted between June and August 2015. All facilities in the country providing cataract services were contacted to obtain information on surgeries performed in 2014. Hospitals performing eye surgery in Quena, Sharkia, and Fayoum regions were visited and a questionnaire on resources for cataract surgery was completed.

**Results:**

Cataract surgery was offered in the public sector by 64 government and 16 university teaching hospitals and in the private sector by 101 hospitals. In 2014, the national CSR was 3,674 varying in governorates from 7,579 in Ismailia to 402 in Suez. The private sector performed 70% of cataract surgeries.

Analysis of 3 regions showed an 11.7% increase in cataract output between 2010 and 2014. The average number of cataract surgeries per unit in 2014 was 2,272 in private, 1,633 in university and 824 in government hospitals. Private hospitals had 60% of human resources for eye care. Phacoemulsification was the surgical technique in 85.6% of private, 72.1% of university and 41% of government hospitals.

Reasons explaining the differences in output between public and private sectors were the lack of trainers, supervisors and incentives.

**Conclusion:**

The private sector provides most of the cataract services in Egypt, resulting in inadequate services for the poor. There is a 15 fold variation in CSR between the best and least served regions. The public sector could increase cataract output by improving training, supervision and incentives.

For Peer Review Only

## Background

Cataract is the main cause of blindness worldwide affecting an estimated 20 million people.<sup>1</sup> As such, it is a priority of the WHO Global Action Plan 2014-19, (GAP) for Universal Eye Health. Cataract Surgical Rate (CSR) and Cataract Surgical Coverage (CSC) are two key indicators to monitor the progress of the GAP towards reducing the prevalence of vision impairment.<sup>2</sup>

Egypt is classified as a low / middle income country (LMIC) by the World Bank.<sup>3</sup>

In 2014, the percentage of GDP spent on Health was 5 %, less than other neighboring countries such as Sudan (7.2%), Morocco (6.4%), Tunisia (7%).<sup>4</sup>

The out-of-pocket (OOP) expenditure as a percentage of total health expenditure (THE) was 60% in 2010 compared with 7% in Morocco, 35% in Tunisia, and 15% in Algeria.<sup>4, 5</sup> The high OOP indicates inadequate financial protection for poor people and the presence of financial barriers to access health services.<sup>6</sup>

The CSR is defined as the number of cataract surgeries performed per million population per year.<sup>7, 8</sup> In Egypt, the national CSR was estimated to be 692 in 2005, less than Sudan (932), Tunisia (1329), and Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (1887) in the same year.<sup>9</sup>

As a national policy, cataract surgical services are provided for free in public hospitals for all patients eligible for National Health Insurance and Social Insurance.<sup>10</sup>

The aim of this study in Egypt was to ~~evaluate the coverage of cataract services over time and by region,~~ and to assess the availability of key resources and practices in both public and private sectors of cataract service delivery.

## **Methods and Analysis**

The study was conducted between June and August 2015. All facilities in the country providing cataract surgical services were included and contacted by phone by the research team to obtain information on cataract surgeries performed in 2014.

All hospitals performing eye surgery in three regions representing different geographical areas (Quena, Sharkia, and Fayoum) were visited and a detailed questionnaire of the availability and utilization of resources for cataract surgery was completed by the research team.

All private and public facilities in the country providing cataract surgical services were included in the CSR calculation for 2014.

Quantitative data were entered using MS Excel<sup>®</sup> and analyzed by using STATA 11<sup>®</sup>. The CSR was calculated using population projections for 2014 from the Ministry of Health based on the latest census (2006).<sup>9</sup>

Qualitative data from the interviews was extracted from written notes and major themes were identified. Twelve eye care managers were interviewed, 5 from government, 2 from university and 5 from private hospitals.

Ethical approval was obtained from The National Eye Health office in the Ministry of Health in Egypt and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine.

## Results

### National and Regional Cataract Data

Cataract surgery is offered by 80 public hospitals - 64 government, 16 university hospitals - and 101 private sector hospitals.

The response rate for the nationwide questionnaire was 90.6% (164 of 181 hospitals) with 100% government (64) and university (16) hospitals responding and 83.2% of private sector hospitals (84 of 101). The distribution of the hospitals by sector and governorate is shown in (Figure 1).

In 2014, a total of 317,181 cataract operations were reported to have been performed in Egypt of which 70.5% were in the private sector, 17.4% in government hospitals and 12.2% in university hospitals.

The National CSR was 3,674 per million population varying from 7,579 in Ismailia governorate to 402 in Suez. The Regional CSR is presented in Table 1 and Figure 2.

### Analysis of Cataract Services in three Regions (2010-2014)

#### *Cataract output*

Data from 23 eye care facilities in three regions (Sharkia (11), Fayoum (8) and Quena (4) were analyzed. There was an 11.7% increase in the cataract output from 2010 (32,533) to 2014 (36,364) despite a decrease in 2011 (29,338). The CSR shows a decline of more than 10% in all 3 Regions in 2011 (Figure 3).

The average number of cataract surgeries per unit in 2014 was 2,272 in private hospitals, 1,633 in university hospitals and 824 in government hospitals. Of the 43,752 cataract operations performed in the 3 regions in 2014 57.4% were on men.



### ***Resources for Cataract Services***

Approximately 60% of the total human resources for eye care were working in private hospitals, 23% in the government hospitals, and 17% in university hospitals. The distribution of eye care workers by cadre in the three sectors is shown in Table 2. Fayoum had 28 ophthalmologists/million pop compared with Sharkia 26 and Quena 13. The average working day in private hospitals was 6.8 hours compared with 4.0 in university and 3.8 in government facilities.

Private and university hospitals were better equipped with operating theatre equipment than government hospitals. (Table 3).

### ***Cataract Surgery Practices***

Phacoemulsification was the surgical technique in 85.6% of private, 72.1% of university and 41% of government hospitals. Extra Capsular Cataract Extraction (ECCE) was the most common technique in government hospitals (59%). The relatively low number of phacoemulsification cases in the government hospitals was due to either the absence of functioning phacoemulsification machines or absence of trained surgeons. Small Incision Cataract Surgery (SICS) was not practiced in any of the visited hospitals.

The private hospitals had the shortest average surgical time per case (approx. 8 mins) and turnaround time (16 minutes/case), compared with approx. 28mins and 37mins in government hospitals. (Figure 4)

### ***Surgeons and Managers perspectives on cataract services***

Ophthalmologists (n=22) from government and university hospitals were interviewed regarding provision of cataract services. The two main reasons given

1  
2  
3 for the differences in output and practices between public and private sectors  
4  
5 were the lack of trainers and supervision (15 of 22) and the lack of incentives  
6  
7 (12 of 22). Lack of equipment was reported as the main reason for the lower  
8  
9 number of phacoemulsification cases in the government and university hospitals.  
10

11 Most managers in government and university hospitals were not satisfied with  
12  
13 the quantity and quality of the cataract services. Lack of government financial  
14  
15 and technical support were the major challenges mentioned. They also  
16  
17 expressed the need to improve the training programmes and update the  
18  
19 hospital's equipment. On the other hand, most managers in the private hospitals  
20  
21 were satisfied with the cataract services provided.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Discussion

There are an estimated 2,400 ophthalmologists in Egypt, 30 per million population.<sup>11</sup> This is higher than other Middle East countries and the VISION2020 recommendations.<sup>12</sup>

The CSR in 2014 in Egypt is estimated to be 3,674 per million population. This includes cataract surgeries performed in both public and private sectors. The study included 90.6% of all providers of cataract surgery, ~~and most of the remaining centers are small or non-functioning units and therefore unlikely to significantly affect the CSR calculation.~~ The previous CSR estimate for Egypt was 692 in 2005, giving a 4-5 times increase in CSR over the last 9 years.<sup>13</sup> Although this would suggest a significant progress, the 2005 number may be underestimated as the methodology used in 2005 is not explicit about whether both sectors were included. Our survey showed that, in 2014, the private sector was responsible for over 70% of the cataract surgeries in Egypt.

There were marked differences in CSR between regions, ranging from 402 in Suez to 7,579 in Ismailia. In low and middle income countries, most eye hospitals and ophthalmologists offering cataract surgery are based in urban areas.<sup>13</sup> Similarly, in our study, regions with large cities and a higher proportion of urban population, like Cairo, Giza, Ismailia, and Alexandria, showed higher CSR figures.

The target CSR of a given population is determined by the incidence of operable cataract, which is mainly influenced by the age structure of the population and the threshold of visual impairment used for performing cataract surgery.<sup>8</sup> The target CSR for Egypt is likely to increase due a progressively ageing population

1  
2  
3 and the lowering of the visual acuity threshold used as the indication of cataract  
4  
5 surgery.

6  
7 When analyzing three Regions over five years, there was an overall increase in  
8  
9 cataract output of 14.6% from 2009 to 2014; however, there was a considerable  
10  
11 decrease of the CSR in 2011, particularly in the private sector. This sharp decline  
12  
13 is probably due to the uprising and revolution in Egypt in 2011 and the  
14  
15 accompanying economic instability and political unrest.

16  
17  
18 VISION 2020 and the WHO Global Action Plan for Universal Eye Health promote  
19  
20 the development and equitable distribution of human resources to achieve the  
21  
22 optimum outcome in eye care delivery.<sup>2,14</sup> In all three Regions analysed, the  
23  
24 private sector was more productive, better equipped and the staff had greater  
25  
26 work satisfaction. Phacoemulsification surgery is ubiquitous in the private sector  
27  
28 but not in the government sector. Egypt is transitioning from ECCE to phaco  
29  
30 without adopting SICS. This is the same pattern that high income countries have  
31  
32 followed.<sup>15</sup> In Egypt phaco outside the private sector is still not widespread, due  
33  
34 to the cost of equipment and consumables. Conversely, in other middle-income  
35  
36 countries SICS is now the routine technique. It is superior to ECCE in results and  
37  
38 matches those of phaco at a lower cost.<sup>16</sup> Re-training surgeons from ECCE to  
39  
40 SICS could be faster and less costly than training them to do phaco, and it would  
41  
42 mean that patients outside the private sector would be offered a technique with  
43  
44 comparable results, however whether this is acceptable to the profession has to  
45  
46 be considered. The alternative is a considerable investment to supply public  
47  
48 sector hospitals with the training, equipment and consumables to perform  
49  
50 routine phacoemulsification cataract surgery.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Gender inequity in access to cataract surgery has been shown in low-income  
4 settings, with a higher cataract surgical coverage for males than for females.<sup>17,</sup>  
5  
6  
7 <sup>18</sup>. In our study in the three regions evaluated females accounted for 43% of  
8  
9 cataract surgeries. In general women in LMICs are poorer and have less access  
10  
11 to health services than men. <sup>19</sup> In our study this was confirmed by the fact that  
12  
13 gender inequity was more marked in the private sector.  
14

## 15 **Conclusion**

16  
17  
18 In conclusion, determining CSR has given a better understanding of the  
19  
20 geographic distribution of cataract services in Egypt. Civil unrest had an impact  
21  
22 on provision of cataract services.  
23

24  
25 Relying on the private sector to provide the majority of cataract services may  
26  
27 result in inadequate services for the poor if they cannot afford the cost of the  
28  
29 surgery. Further research should focus on the quality of cataract surgical  
30  
31 outcomes and interventions that can improve the utilization and productivity of  
32  
33 resources available for cataract services.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References

1. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2012;96(5):614-618.
2. WHO. Global Initiative for the Elimination of Avoidable Blindness : action plan 2006-2011. World health Organization, 2007 ISBN 978 92 4 159588 9
3. World Bank. [cited 2015 08/02]; Available from: <http://data.worldbank.org/country/egypt-arab-republic>.
4. World Bank, Health expenditure, total (% of GDP) [cited 2015 16/02]; Available from: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.TOTL.ZS>.
5. Bethesda M. National Health Accounts 2007/2008: Egypt. Ministry of Health, Egypt, and Health Systems 20/20 project, September 2010.
6. Saksena P, Hsu J, Evans DB. Financial risk protection and universal health coverage: evidence and measurement challenges. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(9):e1001701.
7. Foster A. VISION 2020: the cataract challenge. *Community Eye Health* Vol. 13 No. 34 2000 pp 17 - 19.  
<http://www.cehjournal.org/article/vision-2020-the-cataract-challenge>.
8. Lewallen S, Williams TD, Dray A, Stock BC, Mathenge W, Oye J, Nkurikiye J, Kimani K, Muller A, Courtright P. Estimating incidence of vision-reducing cataract in Africa: a new model with implications for program targets. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128(12):1584-1589.
9. WHO. Global Initiative for the Elimination of Avoidable Blindness : action plan 2006-2011. World health Organization, 2007 ISBN 978 92 4 159588 9
10. Nakhimovsky S, Douglas Glandon, Nadwa Rafeh, Nagwan Hassan. Egypt National Health Accounts: 2008/09. Bethesda, MD: Health Systems 20/20 project, Abt Associates Inc. November 2011.

- 1  
2  
3 11. International Council of ophthalmology, Number of Ophthalmologists in  
4 Practice and Training Worldwide [cited 2015 February 2nd]. Available  
5 from: <http://www.icoph.org/ophthalmologists-worldwide.html>.  
6  
7
- 8  
9 12. Mediterranean WHO-ROftE. Implementation of VISION 2020 in the Eastern  
10 Mediterranean Region Cairo: 2004.  
11
- 12 13. Brian G, Taylor H. Cataract blindness: challenges for the 21st century. *Bull*  
13 *World Health Organ*. 2001;79(3):249-256.  
14
- 15 14. Adepoju FG, Ayanniyi AA, Pam V, Akanbi TB. Human resource development  
16 for Vision 2020 in developing countries: a change from absolute numbers.  
17 *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2010;21(6):820-825.  
18
- 19 15. Tabin G, Chen M, Espandar L. Cataract surgery for the developing world.  
20 Current opinion in ophthalmology. 2008;19(1):55-9  
21
- 22 16. Aravind S, Haripriya A, Taranum BSS. Cataract surgery and intraocular  
23 lens manufacturing in India. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2008;19(1):60-65.  
24
- 25 17. Lewallen S, Courtright P. Gender and use of cataract surgical services in  
26 developing countries. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2002;80(4):300-303.  
27
- 28 18. Rao GN, Khanna R, Payal A. The global burden of cataract. *Curr Opin*  
29 *Ophthalmol*. 2011;22(1):4-9.  
30
- 31 19. Puentes-Markides C. Women and access to health care. *Social Science &*  
32 *Medicine*. 1992;35(4):619-626.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **List of Tables**  
4

5 **Table 1:** CSR in Egypt by governorate in 2014  
6

7  
8 **Table 2:** Distribution of Human Resources by Cadre in 3 Regions  
9

10 **Table 3:** Distribution of equipment by type of eye care unit  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review Only



1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **List of Figures**  
6  
7

8 **Figure 1:** Distribution of participating eye hospitals per governorate and sector  
9

10 **Figure 2:** GIS map of Egypt showing distribution of CSR in 2014  
11  
12

13 **Figure 3:** CSR in three regions 2010-2014  
14

15 **Figure 4:** Turnaround time and Cataract surgical rate in the government,  
16 university and private hospitals  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1: CSR in Egypt by governorate in 2014

Region	Cataract	Population (mills)	CSR 2014
Ismailia	8928	1.178	7579
Cairo	65097	9.278	7016
Alexandria	29074	4.812	6042
Giza	38999	7.585	5142
Portsaid	3406	0.666	5114
Luxour	5584	1.147	4868
Dakahlia	26041	5.949	4377
Aswan	6170	1.431	4312
<b>Sharkia*</b>	<b>26746</b>	<b>6.485</b>	<b>4124</b>
Gharbia	17416	4.751	3666
Damietta	4702	1.33	3535
<b>Fayoum*</b>	<b>9126</b>	<b>3.17</b>	<b>2879</b>
Menofya	11229	3.941	2849
Menya	13919	5.156	2700
<b>Quena*</b>	<b>7879</b>	<b>3.045</b>	<b>2588</b>
Assyuit	9430	4.245	2221
Banisuef	6118	2.856	2142
Kfrelshikh	5560	3.172	1753
Qualiopia	8159	5.106	1598
Behira	8004	5.804	1379
Sohag	5344	4.603	1161
Suez	250	0.622	402
<b>Total</b>	<b>317,181</b>	<b>86.342</b>	<b>3,674</b>

\*Three Regions evaluated in depth

**Table 2: Distribution of Human Resources by Cadre in 3 Regions**

Cadre	Government		University		Private	
	Hospital N=5		Hospitals N=2		Hospitals (N=16)	
	No.	No./unit	No.	No./unit	No.	No./unit
Total Ophthalmologists	69	<b>13.8</b>	56	<b>28.0</b>	168	<b>10.5</b>
Ophthalmologists doing cataract operations	45	<b>9.0</b>	55	<b>27.5</b>	126	<b>7.9</b>
Anesthesiologists	7	<b>1.4</b>	5	<b>2.5</b>	22	<b>1.4</b>
Operating Theatre nurses	23	<b>4.6</b>	17	<b>8.5</b>	54	<b>3.4</b>
General nurses	54	<b>10.8</b>	24	<b>12.0</b>	107	<b>6.7</b>
Administrative staff	82	<b>16.4</b>	54	<b>27.0</b>	258	<b>16.1</b>
Maintenance Technicians	4	<b>0.8</b>	2	<b>1.0</b>	20	<b>1.2</b>

**Table 3: Distribution of equipment by type of eye care unit**

<b>Equipment</b>	<b>Number / govern. unit</b>	<b>Number / university unit</b>	<b>Number / private unit</b>
Number of beds	6.6	10.0	4.9
<b>Clinic Equipment</b>			
Slit lamp	3.0	5.7	2.7
Tonometer	1.4	2.0	2.0
Direct Ophthalmoscope	2.2	3.5	2.0
Indirect Ophthalmoscope	1.6	3.5	2.0
Trial lens set	3.0	4.5	2.6
Auto refractor	1.4	2.5	1.6
A scan	0.8	1.0	1.1
Keratometer	0.8	1.0	1.1
<b>Operating Theater Equipment</b>			
Operating Theatre	2.2	5.5	2.7
Operating Microscope	2.0	4.0	3.0
Operating table	2.4	5.5	3.0
Cataract surgical set	4.8	13.0	9.1
Phaco machine	0.8	3.0	2.4
Vitreotomy machine	0.4	2.0	1.4

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Figure 1: Distribution of participating eye hospitals per governorate and sector




Figure 1: Distribution of participating eye hospitals per governorate and sector

107x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Figure 2: GIS map of Egypt showing distribution of CSR in 2014




Figure 2: GIS map of Egypt showing distribution of CSR in 2014

60x80mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Figure 3: CSR in the Three Regions 2010-2014




Figure 3: CSR in three regions 2010-2014

107x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Figure 4: Turnaround time and Cataract surgical time in the government, university, and private hospitals.**




Figure 4: Turnaround time and Cataract surgical rate in the government, university and private hospitals

107x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)