

1 **Title:** The potential impact of influenza vaccine rollout on antibiotic use in Africa

2

3 **Author names:** Gwenan M. KNIGHT^{1,2*}, Madeleine CLARKSON² and Thushan I. DE

4 SILVA^{3,4,5}

5 **Affiliations:**

6 1. Centre for Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases, London School of

7 Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, WC1E 7HT, UK

8 2. National Institute of Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Health

9 Care Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, Imperial College

10 London, London, W12 0NN, UK.

11 3. Vaccines and Immunity Theme, Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia at

12 the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, PO Box 273, Banjul,

13 The Gambia.

14 4. Centre of International Child Health, Section of Paediatrics, Department of

15 Medicine, Imperial College London, St Mary's Campus, London, W2 1PG,

16 UK.

17 5. The Florey Institute for Host-Pathogen Interactions and Department of

18 Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield,

19 Sheffield, S10 2RX, UK.

20

21

22 ***Contact details of corresponding author:** Gwenan M. Knight

23 Telephone: +44 (0) 2079272154

24 Email: gwen.knight@lshtm.ac.uk

25

26 **Short running title:** Influenza vaccine to reduce antibiotic use

27

28 **Synopsis** (250/250):

29 *Background:* Influenza infections result in both inappropriate and appropriate antibiotic
30 prescribing. There is a huge burden of both influenza and infections with antimicrobial
31 resistant (AMR) pathogens in Africa. Influenza vaccines have the potential to reduce
32 both appropriate antibiotic use, through the reduction in secondary bacterial infections,
33 as well as to reduce levels of influenza misdiagnosed and treated as a bacterial infection
34 (inappropriate).

35 *Objectives:* To estimate potential reductions in antibiotic use achievable by introducing
36 an influenza vaccine to various African settings.

37 *Methods:* Influenza incidence was combined with population size, vaccine and health
38 system characteristics.

39 *Results:* We estimated that the direct impact of vaccination could avert more than 390
40 prescriptions per 100,000 population per year if a 50% efficacious influenza vaccine at
41 30% coverage were introduced to adults >65 years old (yo) in South Africa or children
42 2 - 5 yo in Senegal. Across Africa, purely through reducing the number of severe acute
43 respiratory infections, the same vaccine characteristics could avert at least 24,000
44 antibiotic prescriptions per year if given to children < 5 years.

45 *Conclusions:* The introduction of an influenza vaccine into multiple African settings
46 could have a dramatic indirect impact on antibiotic usage. Our values are limited
47 underestimates, capturing only the direct impact of vaccination in a few settings and
48 risk groups. This is due to the huge lack of epidemiological information on antibiotic
49 use and influenza in Africa. However, it is likely that influenza vaccination in Africa
50 could substantially impact antibiotic usage in addition to influenza-related mortality
51 and morbidity.

52

53 **Background**

54 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global concern. The rise in resistance, in part, is
55 attributed to inappropriate use of antibiotics such as for misdiagnosed viral infections,
56 including influenza. Currently, the capacity to tackle misdiagnosis is lacking in many
57 low and middle-income countries (LMICs). A recent review of AMR in Africa
58 highlighted high levels of resistance to antibiotics commonly used for respiratory tract
59 infections.¹ Moreover, West and Southern Africa had among the greatest increases
60 globally in per person antibiotic consumption between 2000 and 2010.²

61
62 Influenza infections result in increased antibiotic prescribing to treat secondary
63 bacterial infections (appropriate) and primary influenza cases misdiagnosed as bacterial
64 infections (inappropriate). An indirect benefit of influenza vaccination could be to
65 reduce antimicrobial prescribing, and ultimately, AMR. However, both the burden of
66 influenza and use of influenza vaccines in Africa have been neglected. A study of 15
67 African countries demonstrated that influenza accounted for 21.7% of influenza-like
68 illness (ILI) and 10.1% of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) cases.³ A recent
69 systematic analysis found that the per capita influenza-associated hospitalization rate
70 in children < 5 years was > 3-fold higher in Africa as compared to Europe.⁴

71
72 In 2012, the WHO Strategic Advisory Group of Experts recommended influenza
73 vaccination in key high-risk groups: Pregnant women (with potential protection for the
74 neonate), children aged 6 – 59 months, the elderly, healthcare workers and those with
75 specific chronic medical conditions. However, a recent analysis found that only three
76 African countries (of 47 WHO member states) had implemented seasonal influenza
77 vaccine policies.⁵

78

79 The Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI) foundation, a major
80 vaccine funder, has proposed immunisation as a key strategy in combating AMR, but
81 one which requires more research to guide intervention prioritisation.⁶ The potential for
82 influenza vaccines to reduce antibiotic prescribing has been determined in only one
83 study from Ontario, Canada, where an association between a 64% reduction in
84 antibiotic prescriptions and roll out of a universal influenza immunisation programme
85 was demonstrated.⁷ The impact of influenza vaccine rollout on antibiotic usage in
86 Africa is currently unknown.

87

88 In the absence of direct trial data, we combined data from a range of sources to predict
89 the potential number of antibiotic prescriptions that could be directly avoided by
90 influenza vaccine rollout in various African populations, taking into account variability
91 in healthcare (and therefore antibiotic) availability and vaccine coverage. These
92 estimates should stimulate further discussion and research on the wider benefits of
93 influenza vaccine rollout in African countries with currently low influenza vaccine
94 coverage, high influenza burden, high level of antibiotic use and rising levels of AMR.

95

96

97 **Materials and Methods**

98

99 *Data on influenza incidence*

100 There is limited information on many aspects required to comprehensively estimate the
101 impact of influenza vaccination on antibiotic prescribing across Africa. Hence, we
102 included only the number of (1) appropriate antibiotic prescriptions following SARI
103 and (2) inappropriate antibiotic prescriptions following influenza-related ILI in
104 example settings. We identified studies that provided robust estimates of influenza-
105 related ILI or SARI in different high-risk groups from a number of African countries,
106 either via attack rates in placebo recipients enrolled in randomized clinical trials (RCT)
107 or epidemiological studies and systematic reviews (Table S1). We did not include the
108 indirect impact of vaccination on secondary influenza cases due to a lack of data on
109 influenza transmission dynamics from African settings.

110

111 *Calculating antibiotic use*

112 We split antibiotic use into two components: (1) likelihood that someone with an ILI
113 or SARI would be prescribed antibiotics and (2) likely provision of healthcare and
114 antibiotics in a setting. These were multiplied to give a level of antibiotic prescribing.

115

116 For (1) we assumed that SARI cases would usually fulfil criteria in clinical guidelines
117 for prescribing antibiotics (e.g. WHO integrated management of childhood illness) and
118 therefore, that if available, 100% would be prescribed antibiotics. The available
119 literature suggests that the proportion with ILI that receive an (inappropriate) antibiotic
120 is higher in LMICs than in high income settings (Text S1), hence we assumed in our

121 calculations that 70% of influenza-associated ILI would inappropriately be prescribed
122 antibiotics.

123

124 We assumed that coverage of health care provision and antibiotic availability was 50%.
125 Thus, even if 100% of SARI patients would ordinarily be given antibiotics, only 50%
126 of them would receive antibiotics. The aim of this parameter was to reflect health
127 system failings in LMIC settings where antibiotics may not always be available despite
128 prescription or where SARI-related deaths occur outside a healthcare setting.

129

130 *Population size estimates*

131 Data from the World Bank for 2015 was used to generate population size estimates
132 (Text S1).

133

134 *Vaccine characteristics & coverage*

135 We assumed vaccine effectiveness was 50% based on various international estimates.⁸
136 We considered a low vaccine coverage of 30%. In the Tables S2, we provide estimates
137 for higher health care provision and antibiotic availability (80%) and 90% vaccine
138 coverage. The high vaccine coverage figure was based on studies in The Gambia, where
139 uptake of infant immunisations reaches >90% in many cases.⁹

140

141 **Results**

142

143 The overall estimates for the impact of an influenza vaccine programme targeting key
144 high-risk groups is shown in Table 1. With low vaccine coverage (30%) and antibiotic
145 availability at 50%, the number of prescriptions that could be averted by targeting each
146 risk group is between 15 – 945 per 100,000 population per year. Of the populations
147 considered, the lowest estimates come from targeting those >65 yo in Ghana, the
148 highest from targeting adults >65 yo in South Africa or children 2 - 5 yo in Senegal. In
149 a corresponding measure, 5 – 315 antibiotic prescriptions could be averted per 10,000
150 vaccinations.

151

152 Two studies provided estimates for SARI incidence only in children <5 yo across
153 Africa.^{4, 10} Using these, we estimated that just the impact on avoiding appropriate
154 antibiotic use for these most serious cases with the introduction of influenza vaccine at
155 30% coverage could prevent at least 24,000 antibiotic prescriptions per year (13 [95%
156 CI 7, 26] per 100,000 population per year).

157

158

159 **Discussion**

160

161 We aimed to estimate the impact of influenza vaccines on antibiotic use in Africa, using
162 the current limited data available. Our conservative direct impact estimates suggest that
163 a large number of antibiotic prescriptions could be averted across Africa each year,
164 even with low coverage of an influenza vaccine.

165

166 Our estimates were limited by a lack of data. More data is needed on both influenza
167 and secondary bacterial infection incidence, as well as antibiotic exposure levels (by
168 age) to allow calculation of influenza “attributable prescribing”.¹¹ Complexity in
169 determining influenza vaccine impact would also involve modelling vaccine campaign
170 timing (with varying influenza seasonality across Africa) and variation in coverage in
171 different risk populations. Vaccine efficacy may also vary in different risk populations
172 (e.g. due to immunosenescence), as well as due to seasonality and influenza antigenic
173 drift. Moreover, high HIV prevalence in certain settings, alongside substantial variation
174 in access to healthcare (and hence antibiotic prescribing) could make estimates highly
175 setting-specific. We included an antibiotic “availability” parameter, but to our
176 knowledge, there are no studies that explore the relative ease of antibiotic accessibility
177 across Africa (e.g. impact of unsanctioned providers, health system quality or
178 rural/economic setting) or health seeking behaviour differences.

179

180 Our evaluation is an underestimate, not only as we likely use conservative vaccine
181 coverage (30%) and antibiotic availability (50%) values, but as we do not include the
182 indirect impact of vaccination on secondary cases of influenza. Reduction in influenza
183 transmission in the community by vaccinating high-risk groups may significantly

184 enhance the impact observed. A recent modelling study of the German population
185 suggested that 4-7x as many influenza cases are prevented among non-vaccinated
186 individuals as among vaccinees.¹² Due to a lack of data, our estimates also only
187 considered the number of ILI or SARI cases averted by the vaccine. Only a minority of
188 risk groups (e.g. ≥ 65 yos in Ghana) had data on both ILI and SARI incidence (Table
189 1). Hence our estimates are an underestimate of even the combined direct impact of
190 vaccination.

191

192 Several agencies (e.g. GAVI) are now calling for the use of vaccines to help in the
193 prevention of AMR.¹¹ However, as in our work here, whilst the impact on antibiotic
194 prescribing can be estimated, the jump to impact on AMR is challenging to make.¹³
195 Without this link, the likely dramatic impact of influenza vaccine on antibiotic usage,
196 and subsequent AMR levels in Africa cannot be estimated.

197

198 The estimates we make here should be expanded as more data on influenza, and
199 antibiotic use become available. Importantly, future trials in LMICs should consider
200 linking outcomes across public health measures: influenza vaccine trials could be
201 designed to capture impact on antibiotic usage in addition to preventing influenza
202 infections.

203

204 Influenza vaccines could have a dramatic impact on morbidity and mortality in Africa.
205 The reasons for the lack of influenza vaccine programmes across the continent are
206 multifactorial, including health economic ones. Yet policy decisions are often made by
207 considering prevention of influenza infections as the sole beneficial outcome. Although
208 public health interventions such as vaccination are costly, as highlighted by our

209 estimates, the wider benefits may be substantial, and with increasing evidence should

210 be included as key considerations.

211

212 **Funding section**

213 This study was conducted as part of our routine work. GK was funded by the National
214 Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in
215 Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at Imperial College
216 London in partnership with PHE. TdS is funded by a Wellcome Trust Intermediate
217 Clinical Fellowship (110058/Z/15/Z). The views expressed are those of the author(s)
218 and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or PHE.
219 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to
220 publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

221

222 **Transparency declarations section**

223 None to declare.

References

- 224
225
226 1. Tadesse BT, Ashley EA, Ongarello S *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance in Africa:
227 a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 616.
- 228 2. Van Boeckel TP, Gandra S, Ashok A *et al.* Global antibiotic consumption 2000
229 to 2010: An analysis of national pharmaceutical sales data. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; **14**:
230 742-50.
- 231 3. Radin JM, Katz MA, Tempia S *et al.* Influenza surveillance in 15 countries in
232 Africa, 2006-2010. *J Infect Dis* 2012; **206**: 2006-10.
- 233 4. Lafond KE, Nair H, Rasooly MH *et al.* Global Role and Burden of Influenza in
234 Pediatric Respiratory Hospitalizations, 1982–2012: A Systematic Analysis. *PLoS Med*
235 2016; **13**: 1-19.
- 236 5. Ortiz JR, Perut M, Dumolard L *et al.* A global review of national influenza
237 immunization policies: Analysis of the 2014 WHO/UNICEF Joint Reporting Form on
238 immunization. *Vaccine* 2016; **34**: 5400-5.
- 239 6. Abbott A. Vaccines promoted as key to stamping out drug-resistant microbes.
240 *Nature* 2017: 1-3.
- 241 7. Kwong JC, Maaten S, Upshur REG *et al.* The effect of universal influenza
242 immunization on antibiotic prescriptions: an ecological study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **49**:
243 750-6.
- 244 8. Feng S, Cowling BJ, Kelly H *et al.* Estimating Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness
245 in the Test-negative Design Using Alternative Control Groups - a Systematic Review
246 and Meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2017; **187**: 389-97.
- 247 9. Scott S, Odutola A, Mackenzie G *et al.* Coverage and timing of children's
248 vaccination: an evaluation of the expanded programme on immunisation in The
249 Gambia. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e107280.

- 250 10. Nair H, Brooks WA, Katz M *et al.* Global burden of respiratory infections due
251 to seasonal influenza in young children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*
252 2011; **378**: 1917-30.
- 253 11. Lipsitch M, Siber GR. How Can Vaccines Contribute to Solving the
254 Antimicrobial Resistance Problem? *MBio* 2016; **7**: e00428-16.
- 255 12. Eichner M, Schwehm M, Eichner L *et al.* Direct and indirect effects of influenza
256 vaccination. *BMC Infect Dis* 2017; **17**: 308.
- 257 13. Atkins KE, Lafferty EI, Deeny SR *et al.* Use of mathematical modelling to
258 assess the impact of vaccines on antibiotic resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2017.
259

				Number of prescriptions averted per year (mean [range])		
Pop.	Setting	ILI	SARI	Total	Per 100,000 population	Per 10,000 vaccinations
≥ 65 yo	S.A.	x		11,153	399	133
	Ghana	x	x	140 [125,157]	15 [13, 17]	5 [4.5,5.6]
< 5 yo (2-5yo)	Kenya	x		9,425 [6,492,13,655]	135 [93, 195]	44.9 [30.9,65.1]
	Ghana	x	x	8,456 [8,233,8,691]	210 [205, 216]	70.1 [68.2,72]
	Senegal	x		13,772	945	315.0
< 6 mo	S. A.	x		1,094	189	63.0
	Mali	x		505	147	49.0
	Kenya	x	x	894 [254,3,434]	128 [36, 491]	42.6 [12.1,163.7]
Pregnant	S. A.	x		1,661	189	63.0
	Mali	x		565	100	33.3
< 5 yo	Africa*		x	24 [12,49]*	13 [7, 26]	4.4 [2.2,8.7]
	Africa*		x	25 [14,47]*	14 [7, 25]	4.5 [2.4,8.3]

261 Table 1: The estimated number of antibiotic prescriptions that could be averted per year by the introduction of an influenza vaccine into specific
262 high-risk groups in Africa, where we could find sufficient data. A cross (“x”) indicates where estimates came from: ILI, SARI or both. The range
263 given is a 95% confidence interval (CI) except for Kenyan data where it is minimum-maximum. See Table S1 for sources of incidence data for
264 each example. Vaccine effectiveness was assumed to be 50%, vaccine coverage 30% and antibiotic availability at 50%. Estimates for other

265 coverage and antibiotic availability are found in Tables S2. *Note that the values for the estimates for the African setting total are in thousands
266 of prescriptions. S.A. = South Africa. “mo”: months old. “yo”: years old.