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In Brief
A/E pathogens intimately adhere to the gut mucosa. Mullineaux-Sanders et al. demonstrate that inducing specific dysbiosis at the peak of murine infection with Citrobacter rodentium prevents mucosal colonization. This occurs via a mechanism independent of virulence gene expression modulation, indicating that enteric pathogens may rely on commensals for effective infection.
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SUMMARY

We investigated the role of commensals at the peak of infection with the colonic mouse pathogen Citrobacter rodentium. Bioluminescent and kanamycin (Kan)-resistant C. rodentium persisted avirulently in the cecal lumen of mice continuously treated with Kan. A single Kan treatment was sufficient to displace C. rodentium from the colonic mucosa, a phenomenon not observed following treatment with vancomycin (Van) or metronidazole (Met). Kan, Van, and Met induce distinct dysbiosis, suggesting C. rodentium relies on specific commensals for colonic colonization. Expression of the master virulence regulator ler is induced in germ-free mice, yet C. rodentium is only seen in the cecal lumen. Moreover, in conventional mice, a single Kan treatment was sufficient to displace C. rodentium constitutively expressing Ler from the colonic mucosa. These results show that expression of virulence genes is not sufficient for colonization of the colonic mucosa and that commensals are essential for a physiological infection course.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic chemotherapy is often an effective treatment for bacterial infections, leading to a rapid reduction in bacterial burden, morbidity, and mortality. However, unintentional targets of oral antibiotics are commensal bacteria, which provide a protective barrier against pathogens (Kamada et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Antibiotic-induced dysbiosis increases host susceptibility to bacterial colonization; by pre-treating mice with streptomycin pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria, e.g., Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and Escherichia coli, can colonize the murine gastrointestinal tract, which is usually refractory to these strains (Barthel et al., 2003; Spees et al., 2013). However, little attention has been paid to the consequences of antibiotic treatment during physiological enteric infections, which occur in the context of the endogenous microbiota, and the impact of antibiotic treatment on host physiology (e.g., streptomycin causes cecal enlargement).

Citrobacter rodentium is an extracellular enteric murine pathogen that shares an infection strategy and virulence factors with the human diarrheagenic pathogens enteropathic and enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EPEC and EHEC) (Collins et al., 2014). In C57BL/6 mice, C. rodentium causes a self-limiting infection, without the need for antibiotic pre-treatment, and triggers robust colitis, colonic crypt hyperplasia (CCH), and dysbiosis (Collins et al., 2014). Following oral inoculation, C. rodentium colonizes the cecum, where the pathogen adapts to the in vivo environment of the gut and from where it spreads to the distal colon and undergoes rapid expansion (Wiles et al., 2004). Colonization of C. rodentium plateaus 6 or 7 days post-infection (DPI) and starts to clear 10–12 DPI (Collins et al., 2013; Wiles et al., 2004). C. rodentium colonizes the colonic mucosa while forming attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions, which are characterized by effacement of the brush border microvilli underneath attached bacteria (Collins et al., 2014). Infection of cultured cells with C. rodentium leads to the formation of actin-rich pedestal-like structures (Collins et al., 2014; Crepin et al., 2010). The ability to form A/E lesions and pedestals is conferred by the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island (McDaniel et al., 1995), which encodes the transcriptional regulators Ler (Mellies et al., 1999), GrlA, and GrlR (Deng et al., 2004); the adhesin intimin; a type III secretion system (T3SS); and effectors (Garmendia et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2011). Mutants in ler, in which the LEE is not expressed, are avirulent, yet they can colonize germ-free mice (Kamada et al., 2012).

The gut microbiota is known to have a significant impact on C. rodentium disease course. Transplantation of the gut microbiota from C57BL/6 mice to lethally susceptible C3H/HeOuJ mice prevented mortality (Ghosh et al., 2011); Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron influences C. rodentium virulence gene expression via alteration of the metabolic landscape (Curtis et al., 2014), and gut commensals are necessary for effective clearance of luminal C. rodentium following infection (Kamada et al., 2012).

In this study, we used the bioluminescent (BL) and kanamycin (Kan)-resistant C. rodentium strain ICC180 and antibiotic treatment to test the effect of disrupting commensal bacteria during the peak of an acute enteric infection.
Treating ICC180 Infection with Kan Leads to Bacterial Persistence

We determined the impact of disturbing the microbiota with daily oral treatments of C57BL/6 mice with Kan (1,000 mg/kg/day) during the acute phase of ICC180 infection, from 6 DPI; ICC180 is resistant to >500 μg/mL Kan in vitro (not shown). Treatments with ciprofloxacin (Cip) (100 mg/kg/day) and water were used as controls. Enumeration of bacterial shedding and in vivo bioluminescent imaging (BLI) revealed that the water-treated group followed typical clearance dynamics and Cip-treated mice cleared C. rodentium within 48 hr (Figures 1A and 1B). In contrast, daily oral treatments with Kan resulted in the number of shed C. rodentium plateauing at around 10^9 colony-forming units (CFUs)/g of feces for the duration of the study (Figure 1A), a phenomenon we term antibiotic-induced bacterial persistence (AIBP). Stopping the Kan treatment resulted in rapid clearance of the infection (Figure 1C), suggesting the AIBP state is transient. BLI revealed that AIBP was accompanied by redistribution of the BL signal from the colon and cecum prior to Kan treatment to solely the cecum post-treatment (Figure 1B).

Investigating the kinetics of AIBP induction revealed that a single Kan treatment was sufficient to cause redistribution of the BL signal from the colon to the cecum (Figures 1D and 1E). Characterization of the dose-dependent response to Kan showed that, following a single treatment with 500 mg/kg, all mice exhibited cecal BL (Figure S1A). In contrast, following a single treatment with 250 mg/kg, this occurred in only 50% of the mice, whereas in the water-treated controls, BL remained visible in the colon of all animals (Figure S1A); C. rodentium shedding was comparable in all groups (Figure S1B). Ex vivo BLI showed that, following a single Kan treatment, C. rodentium is confined to the cecum, specifically the luminal cecal contents, with no BL signal visible on the distal colon (Figure 1E).

C. rodentium in the AIBP State Is Avirulent

We next examined the infectivity of C. rodentium after 20 Kan treatments (26 DPI) by co-housing mice harboring AIBP C. rodentium with naive untreated mice; untreated mice infected with C. rodentium for 7 days were used as a control. Whereas
mice co-housed with control animals developed a robust colonic infection by 4 days post-co-habitation, those co-housed with the AIBP donor did not (Figure 2A). Further, AIBP C. rodentium taken directly from re-suspended feces was unable to adhere to cultured mouse fibroblasts, whereas C. rodentium from control animals readily triggered pedestal formation (Figure 2B). Passage of AIBP C. rodentium in lysogeny broth (LB) prior to infection restored pedestal formation (not shown). Taken together, these data suggest a reversible repression of virulence gene expression in AIBP C. rodentium.

To directly visualize virulence gene expression in the AIBP state, we infected mice with a reporter C. rodentium strain (ICC690), in which a chromosomal lux operon is under the control of the ler promoter. A strain containing a single base pair deletion in the ler promoter (position –30; Figure S2A), rendering the promoter constitutively active (Islam et al., 2011), was used as a control (ICC1370; Figure S2B). Following oral inoculation, both ICC690 and ICC1370 were shed at comparable numbers (Figure 2C). A single dose of Kan resulted in redistribution of the BL of ICC690 and ICC1370 from the colon to the cecum. Whereas the BL signal in ICC690 diminished following 4 daily Kan treatments (10 DPI) and remained dim (Figure 2D), the BL signal remained visible in the cecum of ICC1370-infected mice at 24 DPI (Figure 2D). These results show that expression of ler, and by extension expression of the LEE, is downregulated in the AIBP state.

Kan-Induced Disruption of the Microbiota Displaces Colonic C. rodentium

Despite colonizing the colonic mucosa at 6 DPI, prior to antibiotic treatment, little to no BL signal was observed on the distal colon following a single dose, suggesting that Kan treatment displaces colonic C. rodentium (Figure 1E). To confirm that the lack of BL reflects an absence of mucosal-attached C. rodentium, the tissue-associated colonic CFUs of Kan-treated (1,000 mg/kg) mice were determined; water-treated mice were used as a control. Comparable levels of ICC180 were shed in the stool of both groups (Figure 3A); however, whereas significantly more C. rodentium were found in the cecal content, significantly fewer ICC180 were present in the distal colon of the Kan-treated, compared to the water-treated, mice (Figure 3B). Immunofluorescence staining of C. rodentium further confirmed that little to no C. rodentium was present on the colonic mucosa following a single Kan treatment (Figure 3C). As CCH and elevated fecal Lipocalin-2 (LCN-2) are known markers of...
C. rodentium infection (Collins et al., 2014; unpublished data), we investigated whether these parameters were impacted by a single Kan treatment. No significant differences in CCH or fecal LCN-2 were observed after a single Kan treatment compared to water-treated controls or mice prior to treatment, respectively (Figures 3D and 3E).

Met and Van Treatments Do Not Induce AIBP
To test whether the inability to colonize the colonic mucosa is the result of the specific depletion of Kan-sensitive commensals or a general reduction in microbiota diversity, we tested the effect of two other classes of antibiotics that C. rodentium resists: vancomycin (Van) (50 mg/kg/day) and metronidazole (Met) (100 mg/kg/day). Kan, Van, and Met induced distinct taxonomic changes to the fecal microbiota (Figure 4B) and caused a significant reduction in alpha diversity (Figure 4C). BLI showed that, following Van or Met treatments, ICC180 remained visible on the colonic mucosa (Figure 4C). Therefore, the depletion of commensals by a single Kan treatment, but not Van or Met treatments, displaces C. rodentium from the colonic mucosa.

Antibiotic-treated animals have reduced gut motility and display enlarged ceca (Wostmann and Bruckner-Kardoss, 1960). However, measuring the gut transit times of antibiotic-treated mice showed no significant reduction after a single treatment with Kan, Van, or Met compared to water-treated controls (Figure 4D), suggesting this is not related to the inability of C. rodentium to colonize the colonic mucosa following Kan treatment.

The Role of Ler Expression in C. rodentium Colonic Colonization during Kan Treatment
Members of the gut microbiota have been shown to indirectly modulate EHEC and C. rodentium virulence gene expression (Curtis et al., 2014). Therefore, to investigate whether the inability of C. rodentium to colonize the colonic mucosa of Kan-treated mice is due to repression of ler, we deleted the negative regulator GrlR from ICC180 to generate ICC1410, which constitutively expresses ler (Lio and Syu, 2004). ICC1410 hyper-secretes translocators and effectors in non-ler-inducing conditions (Figure 5A) and infects cultured cells more robustly than ICC180 (Figure 5B).

Following infections of conventional mice, ICC1410 behaved similarly to ICC180 and colonized the colonic mucosa at 6 DPI (Figures 5C and 5D). Importantly, following a single Kan dose, the BL signal was found exclusively in the cecal content (Figure 5D), demonstrating ler downregulation does not account for the inability of ICC180 to colonize the colonic mucosa following Kan treatment.

C. rodentium Colonizes the Cecal Lumen of Germ-free Mice
As disruption of the microbiota affects the ability of C. rodentium to colonize the colonic mucosa, we next investigated the outcome of infection of germ-free mice with ICC690 and ICC1370. CFU enumeration showed that, similarly to Kan-treated mice and consistent with previous data (Kamada et al., 2012), germ-free mice persistently shed C. rodentium at high titers (Figure 5E). Whereas the BL signal in ICC690-infected mice was dim 2 DPI and bright 8 DPI, a diminishing signal was seen at 12 and 14 DPI (Figure 5F). A bright signal from the control ICC1370 was seen at 14 DPI (Figure 5F), with similar CFUs to ICC690 shed in the stool (Figure 5E). These results suggest that cues from the host can upregulate ler gene expression during early C. rodentium infection in vivo. Importantly, despite strong ler expression at 8 DPI, ex vivo BLI and CFU enumeration revealed C. rodentium primarily reside in the cecal content.
Figure 4. Vancomycin and Metronidazole Do Not Prohibit Colonic Colonization
(A and B) 16S RNA sequencing analysis of stools collected from uninfected mice prior to antibiotic treatment (T0) and 24 hr after 3 daily treatments of Kan (1,000 mg/kg/day), Van, Met, or a water control (T3). (A) The relative abundance of taxa is shown. (B) Alpha diversity of the species in each of the treatment groups after T0 and T3 is shown. Significance was determined by a paired two-tailed Student’s t test.

(C) In vivo and ex vivo BLI of ICC180-infected mice treated with Van or Met, showing C. rodentium remain associated with the colonic mucosa.

(D) Total gut transit time of uninfected mice 24 hr following a single Kan (1,000 mg/kg), Van, Met, or water treatment, showing no significant differences in gut motility. Significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison post-test. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001.
Taken together, these results demonstrate that \textit{C. rodentium} virulence gene expression alone is not sufficient for effective colonic mucosal colonization and that it is reliant on members of the gut microbiota for a physiological infection course.

**DISCUSSION**

Commensal bacteria are one of the first barriers of defense against invading pathogens at mucosal surfaces (Kamada et al., 2013). In this study, we investigated the role of commensals during the peak of enteric infection. We used the natural mouse pathogen \textit{C. rodentium}, which can effectively colonize and trigger A/E lesions on the colonic mucosa, without antibiotic pre-treatment and in the presence of the endogenous microbiota. We show that antibiotic-induced dysbiosis with daily treatments of Kan gives rise to an avirulent population that persists in the lumen of the cecum and severely depletes the tissue-associated colonic population.

Pre-treatment of mice with antibiotics has been used to facilitate colonization of the mouse gut with \textit{S. Typhimurium} (Barthel et al., 2003) and EHEC (Wadowski et al., 1990); however, this leads to habitation of the cecal lumen. We confirmed that, in the absence of the microbiota (i.e., in germ-free mice), \textit{C. rodentium} mainly inhabits the cecal lumen, persisting at high titers avirulently (Kamada et al., 2012). We show that a persistent avirulent cecal population can also be induced with Kan treatment. Following humoral immunity-mediated eradication of virulent bacteria, commensal Proteobacteria are able to compete with \textit{C. rodentium} for monosaccharides, effectively eliminating avirulent luminal \textit{C. rodentium} (Kamada et al., 2012). Interestingly, we found Kan treatment results in a significant overgrowth of Bacteroidetes, primarily the \textit{Bacteroides} and \textit{Parabacteroides} genera. Members of the Bacteroidetes phylum, such as \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} and \textit{Bacteroides vulgatus}, which can utilize both mono- and poly-saccharides, are ineffective at out-competing avirulent \textit{C. rodentium} (Kamada et al., 2012). Together, this may explain the ability of \textit{C. rodentium} to persist in mice following Kan treatment.
C. rodentium infection is associated with overgrowth of Enterobacteriaceae (Lupp et al., 2007), and we have recently shown that, during the peak of C. rodentium infection, elevated levels of gut cholesterol and mucosal O2 cause a bloom in mucosal-associated γ-proteobacteria (Berger et al. 2017). Here, we show that the presence of gut commensals is required for colonization of the colonic mucosa; disruption of the microbiota with Kan leads to the rapid displacement of colonic tissue-associated C. rodentium. This phenomenon occurs when the microbiota is disrupted following intimate C. rodentium attachment and colonic A/E lesion formation. Met and Van treatment did not displace C. rodentium after four daily treatments; indeed, Met treatment has previously been found to exacerbate C. rodentium-induced colitis as a result of increased microbial degradation of the protective mucus layer (Wlodarska et al., 2011). Therefore, specific changes to the microbiome, induced by some antibiotic formulations, but not others, rather than a general reduction in diversity, displaces colonic C. rodentium.

Virulence of A/E pathogens is dependent on expression of LEE genes, which is regulated by a plethora of external stimuli, including microbiota-derived metabolites. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), including succinate and butyrate, and mucin O-glycans, derived from degradation of gut mucans by commensals, such as B. thetaiotaomicron, all serve as regulators of the EHEC and C. rodentium T3SS (Curtis et al., 2014; Nakanishi et al., 2009; Patcheco et al., 2012). However, interestingly, similar to wild-type C. rodentium, we observed displacement of C. rodentium constitutively expressing ler from the colon following a single Kan treatment, demonstrating that this phenomenon occurs via a mechanism independent of Ler modulation. Therefore, our data show that, under conditions of specific dysbiosis, expression of virulence factors enabling A/E lesion formation is not sufficient for efficient colonization of the colonic mucosa. In germ-free mice, we observed a dramatic increase in ler gene expression between 2 and 8 DPI, which then decreased by 14 DPI, likely due to the regulatory feedback loop in which Ler inhibits its own transcription (Berdichevsky et al., 2005). This further demonstrates that the inability of C. rodentium to colonize the cecal and colonic mucosa in germ-free mice 8 DPI, when Ler is highly expressed, is not due to repression of virulence genes. Moreover, these findings suggest that host cues alone are able to control ler gene induction in C. rodentium colonizing germ-free mice.

Our data suggest that, during the peak of infection, rather than acting as a barrier for colonization, the gut microbiota are to some extent necessary to maintain colonic tissue-associated C. rodentium and, by extension, other enteric pathogens (e.g., EHEC and EPEC) via a mechanism independent of virulence gene modulation. Enteric pathogens, such as Clostridium difficile and S. Typhimurium, are reported to utilize microbiota-derived energy sources, including salic acid and fucose (Ng et al., 2013). S. Typhimurium exploits tetrahionate, a product of the reaction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) with microbiota-produced hydrogen sulfide as an alternative electron acceptor (Winter et al., 2010). It is interesting to note that the increase in gut cholesterol at 8 DPI is accompanied by a high level of cholesterol-metabolizing Proteobacteria, including Serratia, Dickeya, and Erwinia (Berger et al., 2017). C. rodentium is unable to grow on cholesterol as the sole carbon source; however, it is capable of utilizing degradation products, such as succinate (unpublished data), and it is interesting to speculate that C. rodentium may rely on other members of the microbiota to provide an energy source at the nutrient-poor epithelium. Moreover, depletion of butyrate-producing commensals by broad-spectrum antibiotics alters intestinal epithelial cell metabolism, resulting in increased luminal O2 (Kelly et al., 2015; Rivera-Chavez et al., 2016). This would be expected to be beneficial to C. rodentium, which relies on aerobic respiration at the gut mucosa (Lopez et al., 2016). Indeed, we have recently shown that, during C. rodentium infection, the effector Map disrupts the mitochondrial function of intestinal epithelial cells to increase mucosal oxygenation (Berger et al., 2017). However, it is possible that an “over” saturation of O2 in the gut mucosa (as a combined result of infection and antibiotic treatment) may be detrimental to C. rodentium, for example, by facilitating enhanced ROS production.

The fact that enteric pathogens may rely on commensals for colonization of mucosal surfaces emphasizes the importance of studying infection and pathogen–microbiome–host interactions at physiological anatomic sites in the gut within the context of the endogenous microbiota. Further work is required to fully elucidate the exact timescale and nature of dysbiosis induced following a single Kan treatment and the mechanism by which this excludes C. rodentium from the colonic mucosa.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Bacterial Strain Construction and Infections**

C. rodentium strains were constructed by standard cloning methods. Western blot verification of strain phenotypes and cell culture infections were performed using standard techniques; see Supplemental Experimental Procedures and Tables S1 and S2.

**Treatment of Mice with Antibiotics and Infection Studies**

All animal experiments complied with the Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986 and UK Home Office guidelines and were approved by the local ethical review committee. Experiments were designed in agreement with the ARRIVE guidelines (Kilkenny et al., 2010) for the reporting and execution of animal experiments, including sample randomization and blinding. Mouse experiments were performed with 3–8 mice/group and repeated on at least two separate occasions, with the exception of Figures S1 and 4A, which were performed once. Pathogen-free female 18–20 g C57BL/6 mice (Charles River Laboratories) were housed in high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA)-filtered cages with sterile bedding and given food and water ad libitum. Mice were infected with C. rodentium by oral gavage as described (Crepin et al., 2016). From 6 DPI, mice were gavaged with Cip (100 mg/kg/day in water), Kan (250–1,000 mg/kg/day in water), Van (50 mg/kg/day in water), Met (100 mg/kg/day in 5 mM HCl), or sterile water. For microbiome sequencing, naive, uninected mice were gavaged with antibiotics; stools were collected prior to treatment and 24 hr after 3 daily treatments and immediately flash frozen.

**Germ-free Mice**

All germ-free animal studies were approved by the Weizmann Institute of Science Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), application number 28050616. Germ-free mice were born in the Weizmann Institute germ-free facility and routinely monitored for sterility; on the day of inoculation, germ-free mice were transferred into sterile iso-cages (Hecht et al., 2014). Germ-free mice were given a regular chow diet ad libitum and infected with C. rodentium as described above.
Co-housing Experiments
An AIBP donor mouse (26 DPI with ICM180; post-20 Kan 1,000 mg/kg/day treatments) was co-housed with naive, untreated recipient mice. As a control, an untreated, ICM180-infected mouse (7 DPI) was separately co-housed with naive mice. In both cases, the donor mice were removed after 72 hr.  

In Vivo Optical Imaging of C. rodentium-Infected Mice
Whole-animal bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was performed using an IVIS Spectrum CT (PerkinElmer; Crepin et al., 2016). At necropsy on the days indicated, excised gastrointestinal tissues with the mucosa exteriorized were also imaged.

Sample Processing and Histological Analysis
Colonization was monitored by enumeration of viable bacteria (CFU) per gram of feces or tissue as indicated. Histological analysis (OCH measurements) and immuno-staining were performed as described (Crepin et al., 2016); see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Measurement of Gut Transit Times
Mice were given a single antibiotic dose, as described above. 24 hr later, mice were gavaged with 300 mL 6% (w/v) carmine red in 0.5% (w/v) methyl cellulose solution. Mice were housed in individual cages and given food and water ad libitum. Total gut transit was recorded as the time from gavage until the passage of the first red stool rounded up to the nearest 10 min.

LCN-2 Measurement
Stool samples were homogenized in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20. Samples were centrifuged at 16,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant extracted and stored at –80°C. LCN-2 concentration was determined using a DuoSet Mouse Lipocalin-2/NGAL ELISA (R&D Systems), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

16S Sequencing and Analysis
Stool samples were processed for DNA isolation using MoBio (PowerSoil kit) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified DNA from feces was used for PCR amplification and sequencing of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. Amplicons of ~380 base pairs spanning the variable region 3 or 4 (V3–4) of the 16S rRNA gene were generated by using designated primers. The PCR products were subsequently pooled in an equimolar ratio, purified (PCR clean kit; Promega), and used for Illumina MiSeq sequencing. Reads were processed using the QIIME (quantitative insights into microbial ecology) analysis pipeline as previously described (Elionav et al., 2011) version 1.8. Paired-end joined sequences were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the UCLUST algorithm and the GreenGenes database (DeSantis et al., 2006). Sequences with distance-based similarity of 97% or greater over median sequence length of 353 base pairs were assigned to the same OTU. Analysis was performed at each taxonomical level (phylum to genus and species level if possible) separately. For each taxon, statistical tests were performed between the different groups. P values were false discovery rate (FDR) corrected for multiple hypothesis testing.

Statistical Analyses
Data were analyzed by a paired or unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison post-test, as specified in figure legends. A commercially available software (GraphPad 7) was used; a p value of <0.05 was taken to be significant.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, two figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.11.086.
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