1	Snapshot profiling of anti-leishmanial potency of lead compounds and drug candidates					
2	against intracellular L. donovani amastigotes with focus on human derived host cells					
3	Markella Koniordou <sup>a</sup> , Stephen Patterson <sup>b</sup> , Susan Wyllie <sup>b</sup> and Karin Seifert <sup>a,#</sup>					
4	<sup>a</sup> London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical					
5	Diseases, London WC1E 7HT, UK					
6	<sup>b</sup> School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, DD1 5EH, UK					
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16	*Corresponding author: Dr. Karin Seifert, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine,					
17	Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London WC1E 7HT, UK.					
18	Telephone +44 (0)207 927 2643, Fax +44 (0)207 927 2739, email: <u>karin.seifert@lshtm.ac.uk</u>					
19						
20						
21	Running title: Potency of anti-leishmanials in human host cells					

### 22 Abstract

23	This study characterised in vitro potencies of anti-leishmanial agents against intracellular
24	Leishmania donovani amastigotes in primary human macrophages, obtained with or without
25	CD14-positive monocyte enrichment, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) differentiated
26	THP-1 cells and mouse peritoneal exudate macrophages (PEMs). Host cell dependent
27	potency was confirmed for pentavalent and trivalent antimony. Fexinidazole was inactive
28	against intracellular amastigotes across the host cell panel. Fexinidazole sulfone, $(R)$ -PA-824,
29	(S)-PA-824 and VL-2098 displayed similar potency in all host cells tested.
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

Parasites of the genus Leishmania are causative agents of Neglected Tropical Diseases 48 (NTDs) known as the leishmaniases. In the host, parasites survive and multiply as 49 intracellular amastigotes in the parasitophorous vacuole of primarily tissue-resident 50 51 macrophages (1). Main disease manifestations include visceral leishmaniasis (VL) (2) and cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) (3). VL is caused by infection with L. donovani or L. infantum 52 (2) and estimated to cause more than 50 000 deaths per year (4). Limitations of current 53 chemotherapeutics include the need for long treatment courses, variable treatment responses 54 between endemic regions, safety concerns and lack of drug stability in hot climates (5, 6). 55 56 With increased support for drug research and development for NTDs the last decade has seen increased efforts in drug discovery for leishmaniasis. This was accompanied by the set-up of 57 high-throughput, high-content platforms to screen compounds against intracellular 58 59 Leishmania amastigotes in mammalian host cells (7-9). Different mammalian cells are used for this purpose. However, host cell properties are amongst the determinants of directly 60 acting drugs, which need to accumulate in infected host cells to exert their anti-leishmanial 61 62 effects, and immunomodulatory agents, which affect cellular pathways to kill intracellular parasites indirectly. Involvement of host cell transporters has been demonstrated in drug 63 accumulation and treatment outcome for antimonials (10, 11) and miltefosine (12). The 64 nature of the host cell has been shown to impact on the in vitro potency of the standard anti-65 66 leishmanial drug sodium stibogluconate (SSG) (13). Hence, the current study was undertaken 67 to characterise potencies of current lead compounds and drug candidates against intracellular L. donovani amastigotes in a panel of different host cells. 68

69 Selection of host cells was focussed on human derived cells to ensure relevance to 70 clinical use. Peritoneal exudate mouse macrophages (PEMs) were included as they have an 71 established role in anti-leishmanial drug evaluations. Compounds profiled included the 72 nitroheterocyclic drugs fexinidazole and its sulfone metabolite (14), VL-2098 (15) and the *(R)* and *(S)* enantiomers of PA-824 (16). Notably fexinidazole has entered clinical trials for
VL (www.dndi.org). Since SSG (pentavalent antimony) is a pro-drug and requires conversion
to the trivalent form (17), we included both oxidation states in the current study.

THP-1 cells (ATCC-TIB-202, LGC Ltd., Teddington, UK), PEMs, harvested from 76 BALB/c or CD-1 mice (LSHTM breeding colony), and human peripheral blood mononuclear 77 cells (PBMCs), harvested from heparinised blood collected from adult human donors, were 78 prepared as described (13). Autologous plasma was centrifuged for 30 minutes at 2,000 x g at 79 20°C and stored at 4°C for the duration of the experiment. Mononuclear cells were re-80 81 suspended in RPMI 1640 medium plus penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 µg/ml) and 10% autologous plasma and differentiated at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for a total of 6 days with addition 82 of fresh medium after 3 days. Selected assays used monocytes obtained through positive 83 84 immunomagnetic selection with CD14 MicroBeads (MACS; Miltenyi Biotec), following the manufacterer's protocol. The CD14-positive monocyte-enriched fraction was re-suspended in 85 RPMI 1640 medium plus 10% hi-FBS, 100 ng/ml recombinant human M-CSF (R&D 86 Systems, UK) and penicillin (100 U/mL) / streptomycin (100 mg/mL) and differentiated at 87 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 6 days. Prior to infection cells were washed with fresh medium containing 88 no antibiotic. Depending on the final number of cells obtained, PBMC derived macrophages 89 from 2-3 individual donors were either combined or plated separately for drug potency 90 evaluations. At the time of drug addition cells obtained without CD14 selection were > 85%91 92 macrophages as estimated by morphological appearance in Giemsa-stained preparations and those obtained with positive CD14 selection 100%. Host cells, plated in Lab-tek 16-well 93 chamber slides (Fisher Scientific, UK) at a density of 4 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well, were infected with 94 95 Leishmania donovani (MHOM/ET/67/HU3) amastigotes, harvested from Rag-1-knockout (B6) mice (LSHTM breeding colony), as described (13). Infected cultures were exposed to 6 96 point (4 point when limited by cell number) serial compound dilutions (2-fold, 3-fold for 97

98 antimonials) or assay medium (untreated controls) for 3 days (no medium change) or 5 days (medium change after 3 days). Each concentration and control was tested in quadruplicate. 99 Upon termination of the assay slides were prepared and data evaluated as described (13). 100 101 Percentage of infected cells was used to estimate EC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>90</sub> values as the clinically most relevant read out. Intracellular burden in untreated controls was determined by counting the 102 number of amastigotes in 50 infected host cells per well. Experiments were carried out in a 103 direct comparative assay design in which different host cell types were infected at the same 104 time with the same batch of parasites and exposed to dilutions prepared from the same stock 105 106 solution of compounds. This approach was chosen to ensure that any variation in drug potency between different cell types could be attributed to cell type rather than day-to-day 107 108 differences in parasite or drug preparation. Structurally related compounds were tested in 109 parallel in the same experiment and miltefosine (Zentaris GmbH, Germany) included as standard drug in selected assays. Nitroheterocyclic drugs were synthesised at the University 110 of Dundee as described (14, 16). VL-2098 was prepared in a single step from 4-111 (trifluoromethoxy)phenol and (R)-2-bromo-1-((2-methyloxiran-2-yl)methyl)-4-nitro-1H-112 imidazole using a modification of the published synthesis of delamanid (OPC-67683) (18). 113 Potassium antimonyl tartrate trihydrate (trivalent antimony) was obtained from Sigma, UK 114 and SSG from GSK, UK. Aqueous stock solutions of SSG, potassium antimonyl tartrate 115 trihydrate and miltefosine were prepared as described previously (13), those of other 116 117 compounds in dimethylsulfoxide (Sigma, UK).

Experiments involving animals were approved by the Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Board at LSHTM and performed under license in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (UK Home Office Project Licence PPL70/6997). For blood donations consenting volunteers were recruited through an anonymous blood donation system. Approval for blood donations and the specific study was given by the LSHTM EthicsCommittee (reference numbers 5520 and 6404).

At the  $EC_{50}$  level both pentavalent and trivalent antimony were more potent against L. 124 donovani amastigotes in primary human macrophages compared to differentiated THP-1 125 cells, by factors of 21 to >100. The difference in SSG's potency between these two cell types 126 is consistent with previous observations (13). As different methodologies exist for the 127 generation of primary human macrophages (10, 13, 19) and cells obtained from total PBMC 128 fractions by plastic adherence may contain lymphocyte and platelet contaminations (20) we 129 130 wanted to rule out that the methodology used affected our conclusion. Hence we additionally evaluated SSG's potency in macrophages generated from CD-14 positive enriched 131 monocytes. Again SSG was more potent in primary human macrophages compared to 132 133 differentiated THP-1 cells tested in parallel, with up to 20 fold differences at the EC<sub>50</sub> level. Also, SSG displayed anti-leishmanial activity in primary human macrophages already after 3 134 days exposure when either isolation method was used. Data is summarised in Table 1. In 135 macrophages obtained from CD-14 positive enriched monocytes EC<sub>90</sub> values were 136 consistently higher than those estimated in macrophages obtained from total PBMC fractions. 137 However, it should be noted that a systematic comparison of SSG's potency between the two 138 cell isolation procedures was outside the scope of this study. Since the *in vitro* potency of 139 140 SSG has been shown to decrease with increasing infection levels (21) it is important to note 141 that infection levels in macrophages obtained from CD-14 positive enriched monocytes or differentiated THP-1 cells were not higher than those in macrophages obtained from total 142 PBMC fractions (Supplementary Table 1). 143

Anti-leishmanial potencies of the nitroheterocyclic compounds (R)-PA-824, (S)-PA-824 and VL-2098 displayed less than 3-fold differences against amastigotes in primary human macrophages compared to differentiated THP-1 cells at the EC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>90</sub> level after 147 3 days of compound exposure. Previously reported differences in anti-leishmanial activity between the two enantiomers of PA-824 (16) were confirmed in both human derived host 148 cells. Larger variations between assays were observed for fexinidazole sulfone, resulting in 2-149 150 10 fold differences at the  $EC_{50}$  level. However, variable quality of dose response curve fits, as checked visually, was noted between experiments. Fexinidazole was inactive at 151 concentrations up to 80 µM in both human derived cell types. Data is summarised in Table 2. 152 Due to the absence of apparent host cell dependent drug action no further investigation was 153 carried out for nitroheterocyclic compounds in macrophages generated from CD-14 positive 154 155 enriched monocytes. Estimation of the steepness of the dose response curves through Hill slopes gave values of >1 for VL-2098, (R)-PA-824 and (S)-PA-824 and <1 for fexinidazole 156 sulfone. Levels of infection in human derived host cells were similar and increased over the 157 158 course of the experiments, but differed in PEMs (Supplementary Table 2). Hence, potencies against L. donovani amastigotes in PEMs are reported in Table 2 without direct comparisons 159 to the human derived cells. Potencies were in agreement with values reported in the literature 160 (14-16). 161

Cell lines are often used over primary cells due to ease of culture and an argument of 162 homogeneity. PMA differentiated THP-1 cells are widely used in anti-leishmanial drug 163 research, but different stimulation conditions are reported (7, 8, 10, 22). The host cell's 164 ability to sustain infection with Leishmania parasites and comparison of potency of reference 165 166 compounds to other host cells and assay formats has been the focus in developing protocols for anti-leishmanial drug evaluation using differentiated THP-1 cells. However it has been 167 shown that certain cell characteristics, including lysosomal structures, differ when different 168 169 stimulus conditions are used (23). Of note, lowering extracellular oxygen tension from 18% to 5% O<sub>2</sub> has also been shown to affect PMA induced THP-1 cell differentiation and function 170 (24). So far, these effects have not been explored in anti-leishmanial drug research. 171

Using primary human macrophages derived from individual blood donors we found drug potencies to be consistent between different donors (Fig. 1), but monocyte isolation requires a more dedicated approach than standard cell culture and macrophage yields are less predictable.

In summary, we show that antimonials are a class of compounds where the choice of 176 host cell affects drug potency under the conditions tested and provide potency profiles of 177 current anti-leishmanial lead and drug candidates in human derived host cells, including 178 primary macrophages. Antimonials have been shown to cause oxidative stress and activation 179 180 of L. donovani infected host cells in vitro with generation of ROS, NO and TNF-alpha and subsequent killing of intracellular parasites (25, 26). Drug transporters at the host cell level 181 have been linked to clinical responses to antimonial treatment and drug resistance (10, 11, 182 183 27). Modulation of gene expression profiles by SSG has also been demonstrated in vitro and increased levels of glutathione were measured in SSG treated compared to untreated host 184 cells (28). It is possible that differences in the response to oxidative stress, production of 185 cytokines, expression of drug transporters or a combination of these factors between different 186 host cells account for the host-cell dependent phenotype of antimonial drug action. 187

To enable evidence based host cell choice in anti-leishmanial drug research systematic functional characterisation of the different cell types and their cell-parasite interactions are needed. Finally, the lack of anti-leishmanial activity of fexinidazole in all three cell types tested underlines the importance of drug metabolism for successful treatment outcomes with this drug.

193

194

195

196

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Carolynne Stanley for subject recruitment andblood sample collection at LSHTM.

Funding information: This work was supported by the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (grant reference GA2012\_23R). K.S. was supported by a grant jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council and the UK Department for International Development under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement (grant reference MR/J008702/1). S. W. and S. P. are supported by a grant from the Wellcome Trust (079838). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. Conflicts of interest: none 

### **References**

223	1.	Arango Duque G, Descoteaux A. 2015. Leishmania survival in the macrophage:					
224		where the ends justify the means. Curr Opin Microbiol 26: 32-40.					
225	2.	Chappuis F, Sundar S, Hailu A, Ghalib H, Rijal S, Peeling RW, Alvar J, Boelaert					
226		M. 2007. Visceral leishmaniasis: what are the needs for diagnosis, treatment and					
227		control? Nat Rev Microbiol 5: 873-882.					
228	3.	Reithinger R, Dujardin JC, Louzir H, Pirmez C, Alexander B, Brooker S. 2007.					
229		Cutaneous leishmaniasis. Lancet Infect Dis 7: 581-596.					
230	4.	Alvar J, Velez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, Jannin J, den Boer M,					
231		Team WHOLC. 2012. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its					
232		incidence. PLoS One 7: e35671.					
233	5.	Croft SL, Olliaro P. 2011. Leishmaniasis chemotherapychallenges and					
234		opportunities. Clin Microbiol Infect 17: 1478-1483.					
235	6.	Seifert K. 2011. Structures, targets and recent approaches in anti-leishmanial drug					
236		discovery and development. Open Med Chem J 5: 31-39.					
237	7.	De Rycker M, Hallyburton I, Thomas J, Campbell L, Wyllie S, Joshi D,					
238		Cameron S, Gilbert IH, Wyatt PG, Frearson JA, Fairlamb AH, Gray DW. 2013.					
239		Comparison of a high-throughput high-content intracellular Leishmania donovani					
240		assay with an axenic amastigote assay. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 2913-2922.					
241	8.	Siqueira-Neto JL, Moon S, Jang J, Yang G, Lee C, Moon HK, Chatelain E,					
242		Genovesio A, Cechetto J, Freitas-Junior LH. 2012. An image-based high-content					
243		screening assay for compounds targeting intracellular Leishmania donovani					
244		amastigotes in human macrophages. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6: e1671.					
245	9.	Aulner N, Danckaert A, Rouault-Hardoin E, Desrivot J, Helynck O, Commere					
246		PH, Munier-Lehmann H, Spath GF, Shorte SL, Milon G, Prina E. 2013. High					

247		content analysis of primary macrophages hosting proliferating Leishmania
248		amastigotes: application to anti-leishmanial drug discovery. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7:
249		e2154.
250	10.	Gomez MA, Navas A, Marquez R, Rojas LJ, Vargas DA, Blanco VM, Koren R,
251		Zilberstein D, Saravia NG. 2014. Leishmania panamensis infection and antimonial
252		drugs modulate expression of macrophage drug transporters and metabolizing
253		enzymes: impact on intracellular parasite survival. J Antimicrob Chemother 69: 139-
254		149.
255	11.	Mookerjee Basu J, Mookerjee A, Banerjee R, Saha M, Singh S, Naskar K,
256		Tripathy G, Sinha PK, Pandey K, Sundar S, Bimal S, Das PK, Choudhuri SK,
257		Roy S. 2008. Inhibition of ABC transporters abolishes antimony resistance in
258		Leishmania Infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52: 1080-1093.
259	12.	Dohmen LC, Navas A, Vargas DA, Gregory DJ, Kip A, Dorlo TP, Gomez MA.
260		2016. Functional Validation of ABCA3 as a Miltefosine Transporter in Human
261		Macrophages: IMPACT ON INTRACELLULAR SURVIVAL OF LEISHMANIA
262		(VIANNIA) PANAMENSIS. J Biol Chem 291: 9638-47.
263	13.	Seifert K, Escobar P, Croft SL. 2010. In vitro activity of anti-leishmanial drugs
264		against Leishmania donovani is host cell dependent. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:
265		508-511.
266	14.	Wyllie S, Patterson S, Stojanovski L, Simeons FR, Norval S, Kime R, Read KD,
267		Fairlamb AH. 2012. The anti-trypanosome drug fexinidazole shows potential for
268		treating visceral leishmaniasis. Sci Transl Med 4: 119re1.
269	15.	Gupta S, Yardley V, Vishwakarma P, Shivahare R, Sharma B, Launay D,
270		Martin D, Puri SK. 2015. Nitroimidazo-oxazole compound DNDI-VL-2098: an

orally effective preclinical drug candidate for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis. J
Antimicrob Chemother **70**: 518-527.

#### 273 16. Patterson S, Wyllie S, Stojanovski L, Perry MR, Simeons FR, Norval S, Osuna-

- 274 Cabello M, De Rycker M, Read KD, Fairlamb AH. 2013. The R enantiomer of the
- antitubercular drug PA-824 as a potential oral treatment for visceral Leishmaniasis.
- Antimicrob Agents Chemother **57**: 4699-4706.
- 277 17. Croft SL, Sundar S, Fairlamb AH. 2006. Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. Clin
  278 Microbiol Rev 19: 111-126.
- 279 18. Sasaki H, Haraguchi Y, Itotani M, Kuroda H, Hashizume H, Tomishige T,
- 280 Kawasaki M, Matsumoto M, Komatsu M, Tsubouchi H. 2006. Synthesis and
- antituberculosis activity of a novel series of optically active 6-nitro-2,3-

dihydroimidazo[2,1-b]oxazoles. J Med Chem **49**: 7854-7860.

- Vogt G, Nathan C. 2011. In vitro differentiation of human macrophages with
  enhanced antimycobacterial activity. J Clin Invest 121: 3889-3901.
- 285 20. Zhou L, Somasundaram R, Nederhof RF, Dijkstra G, Faber KN, Peppelenbosch
- 286 MP, Fuhler GM. 2012. Impact of human granulocyte and monocyte isolation
- procedures on functional studies. Clin Vaccine Immunol **19**: 1065-1074.
- 288 21. Neal RA, Croft SL. 1984. An in-vitro system for determining the activity of
- 289 compounds against the intracellular amastigote form of Leishmania donovani. J
- 290 Antimicrob Chemother **14**: 463-475.

### 291 22. Tegazzini D, Diaz R, Aguilar F, Pena I, Presa JL, Yardley V, Martin JJ, Coteron

- **JM, Croft SL, Cantizani J.** 2016. A replicative in vitro assay for drug discovery
- against Leishmania donovani. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **60**: 3524-3532.

294

23. Daigneault M, Preston JA, Marriott HM, Whyte MK, Dockrell DH. 2010. The

- identification of markers of macrophage differentiation in PMA-stimulated THP-1
  cells and monocyte-derived macrophages. PLoS One 5: e8668.
- 297 24. Grodzki AC, Giulivi C, Lein PJ. 2013. Oxygen tension modulates differentiation
  298 and primary macrophage functions in the human monocytic THP-1 cell line. PLoS
  299 One 8: e54926.
- Sudhandiran G, Shaha C. 2003. Antimonial-induced increase in intracellular Ca2+
  through non-selective cation channels in the host and the parasite is responsible for
  apoptosis of intracellular Leishmania donovani amastigotes. J Biol Chem 278: 2512025132.
- 30426.Mookerjee Basu J, Mookerjee A, Sen P, Bhaumik S, Sen P, Banerjee S, Naskar
- 305K, Choudhuri SK, Saha B, Raha S, Roy S. 2006. Sodium antimony gluconate
- induces generation of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide via phosphoinositide 3kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinase activation in Leishmania donovani-
- infected macrophages. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **50**: 1788-1797.
- 309 27. Mukherjee B, Mukhopadhyay R, Bannerjee B, Chowdhury S, Mukherjee S,
- 310 Naskar K, Allam US, Chakravortty D, Sundar S, Dujardin JC, Roy S. 2013.
- 311 Antimony-resistant but not antimony-sensitive Leishmania donovani up-regulates
- 312host IL-10 to overexpress multidrug-resistant protein 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
- **110**: E575-82.
- El Fadili K, Imbeault M, Messier N, Roy G, Gourbal B, Bergeron M, Tremblay
  MJ, Legare D, Ouellette M. 2008. Modulation of gene expression in human
  macrophages treated with the anti-leishmania pentavalent antimonial drug sodium
- 317 stibogluconate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **52**: 526-533.
- 318

#### 319 **Figure legends**

## Table 1. Characterisation of cell type dependent potency of antimonials in primary human macrophages and differentiated THP-1 cells.

322 Experiment (Expt.) numbers indicate parallel testing of antimonials in assays with the same number, days indicates the number of days of continuous drug exposure, PBMC derived m $\Phi$ 323 refers to macrophages obtained without positive (CD14) selection, PBMC derived  $m\Phi$ 324 (CD14<sup>+</sup>) refers to macrophages obtained from positively selected (CD14<sup>+</sup>) monocytes. EC<sub>50</sub> / 325 <sub>90</sub> values are given in µg Sb/mL with 95% confidence intervals in brackets, - not determined. 326 327 <sup>a</sup>Cells were derived from two individual blood donors. <sup>b</sup>Cells were derived from the same blood donor. 328 <sup>c,d,e</sup> Percentage inhibition at 30 µg Sb/ml was 51.6%, 73.3% and 77.8% respectively. 329 330 Miltefosine was included as positive control and displayed  $EC_{50}$  /  $_{90}$  values (95% confidence intervals in brackets) of 7.97  $\mu$ M (4.47 – 11.47) / >20  $\mu$ M in differentiated THP-1 cells and 331 1.61  $\mu$ M (1.24 – 1.98) / >5  $\mu$ M in PBMC derived m $\Phi$  in expt 1. In expt. 2 respective values 332 were 1.64  $\mu$ M (1.35 – 1.93) / 7.77  $\mu$ M (6.42 – 9.12) and 1.42  $\mu$ M (1.38 – 1.45) / 3.92  $\mu$ M 333 (2.78 - 5.07).334

335

## Table 2. Potency of nitroheterocyclic compounds against intracellular *L. donovani*amastigotes in three different host cell types.

- Experiment (Expt.) numbers indicate parallel testing of respective compounds in assays with
- the same number. EC<sub>50</sub> /  $_{90}$  values are given in  $\mu$ M with 95% confidence intervals in brackets.
- 340 Data is representative of 2 4 separate directly comparative experiments.
- not determined, N.O. not obtained.
- <sup>a</sup>Cells were derived from two individual blood donors.
- <sup>b</sup>PEMs were harvested from CD-1 mice in this assay and BALB/c mice in all other assays.

344	Miltefosine was included as positive control in expt. 4 and displayed $EC_{50}$ values (95%)
345	confidence intervals in brackets) of 8.58 $\mu$ M (6.39 – 10.76) in differentiated THP-1 cells,
346	2.67 $\mu M$ (1.78 – 3.57) in PBMC derived m $\Phi$ and 1.19 $\mu M$ (0.83-1.54) in PEMs.

347

# Figure 1. Comparison of EC<sub>50</sub> values between primary human macrophages obtained from different blood donors.

350 Data is given for 3 day compound exposures to fexinidazole sulfone (A), (R)-PA-824 (B),

351 (S)-PA-824 (C), VL-2098 (D) and for 5 day exposures to SSG (E). Symbols represent results

- 352 with cells from individual blood donors (full circles) or with cells pooled from 2-3 individual
- 353 blood donors (full triangles).

354

### Table 1.

	Expt.	Days	PBMC de	rived mΦ	Differentiated THP-1 cells		<b>PBMC derived mΦ (CD14<sup>+</sup>)</b>	
			EC50	EC90	EC50	EC90	EC50	EC90
Sb <sup>V</sup>	1	3	5.39 (4.68-6.11)	10.22 (9.59-10.86)	-	-	-	-
Sb <sup>V</sup>	2	5	5.17 (4.62-5.71) <sup>a</sup>	11.20 (9.48-12.92) <sup>a</sup>	108.76 (53.52-164.00)	>200	-	-
	2	5	2.43 (1.66-3.20) <sup>a</sup>	10.27 (4.14-16.39) <sup>a</sup>				
Sb <sup>III</sup>	2	5	0.10 (0.09-0.11) <sup>a</sup>	>0.17 <sup>a</sup>	>10	>10	-	-
	2	5	0.08 (0.07-0.08) <sup>a</sup>	>0.17 <sup>a</sup>				
Sb <sup>V</sup>	3	3	-	-	>900	>900	15.08 (11.70-18.46) <sup>b</sup>	>30 <sup>b,c</sup>
	3	5	-	-	117.62 (84.91-150.34)	>900	11.11 (8.58-13.65) <sup>a,b</sup>	>30 <sup>a,b,d</sup>
	3	5	-	-			6.01 (5.59-6.42) <sup>a</sup>	>30 <sup>a,e</sup>

### Table 2.

		Differentiated THP-1 cells		PBMC derived mΦ		PEMs	
Drug	Expt.	EC50	EC90	EC50	EC90	EC50	EC90
( <i>R</i> )-PA-824	1	2.91 (2.77-3.04)	6.56 (4.66-8.47)	4.59 (4.15-5.03) <sup>a</sup>	5.76 (5.25-6.27) <sup>a</sup>	1.16 (0.89-1.42)	5.58 (3.25-7.92)
	1			2.63 (2.37-2.89) <sup>a</sup>	4.92 (4.36-5.47) <sup>a</sup>		
	2	4.01 (3.94-4.09)	8.39 (6.93-9.58)	5.06 (4.55-5.57)	7.27 (6.46-8.09)	2.26 (2.09-2.44) <sup>b</sup>	4.55 (3.46-5.64) <sup>b</sup>
(S)-PA-824	1	27.83 (24.95-30.71)	>40	38.62 (37.53-39.70)	>40	13.03 (8.05-18.02)	N.O.
	2	27.90 (25.32-30.49)	50.82 (44.66-26.97)	30.57 (26.73-34.41)	37.82 (35.88-39.77)	13.53 (11.67-15.39) <sup>b</sup>	N.O.
VL-2098	1	0.22 (0.21-0.23)	0.36 (0.32-0.41)	0.32 (0.31-0.34)	0.48 (0.45-0.50)	0.23 (0.20-0.26)	0.45 (0.37-0.54)
	2	-	-	0.39 (0.36-0.42)	0.56 (0.52-0.61)	0.23 (0.21-0.24) <sup>b</sup>	$0.45 (0.35 - 0.56)^{b}$
	3	-	-	0.27 (0.21-0.33)	0.41 (0.39-0.43)	-	-
Fexinidazole	3	>80	>80	>80 <sup>a</sup>	>80 <sup>a</sup>	>80	>80
	3			>80 <sup>a</sup>	>80 <sup>a</sup>		
Fexinidazole sulfone	1	3.15 (2.06-4.25)	>20	7.57 (6.72-8.43) <sup>a</sup>	>40 <sup>a</sup>	5.59 (5.21-5.96)	>20
	1			6.85 (4.56-9.13) <sup>a</sup>	>40 <sup>a</sup>		
	3	8.43 (7.38-9.49)	>40	9.37 (4.66-14.07) <sup>a</sup>	>80 <sup>a</sup>	10.44 (6.88-14.00)	>40
	3			14.70 (10.73-18.68) <sup>a</sup>	>80 <sup>a</sup>		
	4	2.24 (1.42-3.06)	>80	20.94 (17.02-24.86)	>80	10.27 (5.64-14.90)	>20





