A breather from daily antiretroviral therapy for adolescents

The global scale-up of antiretroviral therapy (ART) has resulted in HIV infection being transformed from an inevitably fatal disease to a chronic, albeit incurable, infection requiring life-long treatment.1 Increasing numbers of children infected through mother-to-child HIV transmission, who would have died in infancy in the pre-ART era, are now reaching adolescence and face the prospect of having to take ART daily with optimum adherence for the rest of their lives.2–4

In The Lancet HIV, the PENTA 16 trial group report the findings of the BREATHER study, an open-label, non-inferiority trial, comparing continuous daily ART with a short cycle treatment strategy.5 199 participants aged 8–24 years who had virologically rebound (HIV viral load >50 copies per mL; difference –1·2%, 90% CI –7·3 to 4·9), showing that short cycle treatment is non-inferior to continuous treatment. There was no statistical difference between the groups in the proportion of participants who developed major resistance mutations or in the proportion of adverse events. This is the first trial to show that controlled interruption seems to be safe in terms of both maintenance of viral suppression and emergence of drug resistance. Notably, the trial was done in geographically diverse settings and achieved an impressive retention rate with only one participant lost to follow-up.

Children are expected to take ART for 20 years longer on average than adults and strategies that enable time off ART could be an effective way to reduce treatment fatigue.4 Additionally, reduced ART usage through short cycle treatment might provide potential cost savings. The short cycle treatment strategy was highly acceptable to participants, particularly because it enabled socialising at weekends, which is otherwise a key barrier to taking medication. Even patients who are virologically suppressed report intermittently missing drugs, and short cycle treatment provides regulated time off medication and a legitimate way to miss doses.7 Of concern is that such a strategy might give rise to a growing interest in the medical causes of miscarriage.8

Notably, the findings of this study are only generalisable to patients who are stable and well established on ART. The median time on ART before randomisation in this trial was 6 years and children had been virally suppressed for at least 12 months. Additionally, the results cannot be extrapolated to children who have had previous treatment failure, or to ART containing reduced doses of efavirenz (equivalent to 400 mg for adults) or indeed to other long-acting ART regimens. The follow-up period was short and the planned 2 year trial extension will provide data for longer term sustainability of the short cycle treatment strategy. Other questions remain to be answered before short cycle treatment can become a viable option. The trial was done in tightly controlled conditions with intensive viral load monitoring. Research is needed to understand whether the trial could be safely implemented in resource-constrained settings where routine viral load monitoring is unavailable or infrequent. Further research could also assess short cycle treatment with the newer long-acting drugs becoming available that have a higher barrier to resistance and are more tolerable, such as tenofovir alafenamide and dolutegravir.

Viral suppression is the ultimate goal to improve health outcomes and reduce HIV transmission, thus conferring individual and public health benefits. Optimum adherence to ART is crucial to ensure sustained virological suppression. Adherence to treatment of chronic illnesses drops off during adolescence and unfortunately HIV is no exception. Adolescents face several barriers to adherence, and our experience is that no single intervention will be sufficient to ensure the high levels of adherence needed to maintain virological suppression. Therefore, we need several different approaches in our armamentarium to support adherence in this age group.

We now have a promising and innovative option on the horizon that could be offered to young people who face the prospect of taking lifelong ART.
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Time to accommodate antiretroviral-based HIV prevention

In The Lancet HIV, Aghaizu and colleagues1 present results from their analysis of repeated, cross-sectional surveys coupled with anonymous HIV testing to try to explain why HIV incidence remains high in gay and bisexual men in London, UK. The authors sought to explain why incidence might be sustained, despite improvements in frequency of HIV testing and treatment uptake since 2000.

The findings show the value of repeated, behavioural surveillance of an HIV-affected population, not only in the identification of behaviours that explain epidemic trends, but also to suggest potential areas for intervention.1,2 Sadly, few jurisdictions have initiated or sustained investment in behavioural surveillance,3 so, in many locations, the direction in which community norms are shifting and the practices that need attention...