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Abstract

Background Migration has been implicated as a risk factor for autism, but evidence is limited and inconsistent.

Aims To investigate the relationship between parental migration status and risk of autism spectrum disorders (ASD), taking into consideration the importance of region of origin, timing of migration and possible discrepancies in associations between autism subtypes.

Method Record-linkage study within the total child population of Stockholm County between 2001 and 2007. Cases of high-functioning autism (HFA) and low-functioning autism (LFA) were defined as ASD with and without comorbid intellectual disability, and ascertained via health and habilitation service registers.

Results In total 4952 cases of ASD were identified, comprising 2855 children with HFA and 2097 children with LFA. Children of migrant parents were at increased risk of LFA (odds ratio [OR] 1.5, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.3-1.7); this risk was highest when parents migrated from regions of low human development, and peaked when migration occurred around pregnancy (OR 2.3, 95% CI 1.7-3.0). A decreased risk of HFA was observed in children of migrant parents, regardless of area of origin or timing of migration. Parental age, income or obstetric complications did not fully explain any of these associations.

Conclusions Environmental factors associated with migration may contribute to the development of autism presenting with comorbid intellectual disability, especially when acting in utero. High- and low-functioning autism may have partly different aetiologies, and should be studied separately.
Introduction

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are a heterogeneous group of pervasive developmental disorders characterised by qualitative impairments in social interaction, communication and restricted and stereotyped patterns of interests and behaviours. Recent studies estimate that about 1% of the child population may have an ASD, representing almost a 20-fold rise in prevalence compared to reports before the 1980’s. Although much of this sharp rise in prevalence may be explained by widening of diagnostic criteria and increased recognition, a true increase in incidence of autism cannot be ruled out and constitutes an ‘urgent public health concern’. While highly heritable, the aetiology of autism spectrum disorders is not well understood. In the context of rising prevalence, the search for environmental risk factors is increasingly important.

Migration is one such factor that has attracted research interest, with Vitamin D deficiency and ethnicity suggested as possible explanatory mechanisms. However, previous studies on the topic of migration and risk of ASD vary markedly in quality, sample sizes and definitions of autism. They have also produced inconsistent findings, including reports of an increased, similar and even decreased risk of autism in children of migrants. There is some evidence that any positive association between parental migration and autism may be confined to autism with comorbid intellectual disability, with at least one study reporting a lowered risk for autism with normal or high intelligence.

Clarification of the role of migration in the development and detection of ASD may give aetiological insights and help reveal preventable health inequalities. Attention to the possibility of such health inequalities is particularly important in countries like Sweden where, since the 1970s, migration from outside of Europe has principally consisted of the provision of asylum to people fleeing armed conflict or other extreme adversities. Migration in this context may entail exceptionally stressful circumstances and be linked to social disadvantages both in the home country and during resettlement. We therefore studied the association between migration and ASD in a large, Swedish total-population based sample, concentrating on four important aspects that remain unexplored: i) characteristics of parental region of origin (both geographical region and an index of human development ) ii) the importance of timing of migration in relation to the birth of the index child and iii) discrepancies in observed associations between low and high-functioning autism and iv) the potentially explanatory role of obstetric and socioeconomic factors.

Methods

Study design and study population

We conducted a matched case-control study nested within the Stockholm Youth Cohort, a register based cohort of all children aged 0-17 years living in Stockholm County between 2001 to 2007 (N=589306). Cases of ASD were ascertained using an exhaustive multi-source case ascertainment method through data linkage with official registries covering all pathways of assessment or care of autism spectrum disorders in Stockholm County (see Methods in the data supplement). All Swedish citizens, including migrants with a residence permit, have unique national identification numbers that allowed record linkage with relevant registers for our study.
In the present study, we excluded children who were adopted, were resident in Stockholm County for less than 4 years, had one parent born abroad or had missing data (Figure DS1). Asylum seekers without a residence permit were by default excluded. Ethical approval was given by the research ethics committee at Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

**Case ascertainment**

Sweden’s child public services system is provided free of charge and used by the overwhelming majority of the population. All infants and preschool children are offered structured health and developmental assessments to screen for developmental and medical problems in well-baby clinics. Such an assessment with evaluation of social, motor, language and cognitive development is carried out at age 4, in order to ensure timely referral of children with suspected autism to specialist services. Referrals can also be requested by parents, schools and other health or social care agencies. Diagnoses of ASD in Stockholm County are made by specialist multi-professional teams at paediatric or child- and adolescent mental health services. Guidelines require the use of structured diagnostic assessments covering the child’s social, medical and developmental history, observation of the child in naturalistic settings, and a structured neuropsychiatric assessment including cognitive testing using standardised and internationally recognised tools (such as WISC, WPPSI, SON-R, and Leiter). The County Council offers follow-up care to children with diagnosed autism which includes special education, occupational therapy, social care or other services through its habilitation services. The habilitation services for children with ASD in Stockholm County are organised and provide care according to presence of comorbid intellectual disability (defined as an IQ of 70 or less). We identified children with ASD via registers covering the above healthcare pathways: that is, child- and adolescent mental health, habilitation, paediatric out-patient or in-patient services (see Methods in the Data supplement).

We studied ASD as a group and dichotomised into high-functioning autism (HFA: defined by the absence of a recorded comorbid intellectual disability, IQ<70 by international and Swedish convention) and low-functioning autism (LFA: defined by the presence of a recorded comorbid intellectual disability). This strategy of sub-categorisation was based on literature pointing these as two key categories for future classification and since the information on DSM-IV sub categories was not readily available in all registers.

Previous studies have validated the diagnostic accuracy of mental health and autism diagnoses recorded in Swedish healthcare registers and have found them to have good validity. Similar findings have also been found in neighbouring Denmark which has a similar system of healthcare and official registries. We furthermore conducted a validation study, including 200 (100 HFA and 100 LFA) randomly selected cases from the Stockholm Youth Cohort. Case notes were scrutinized by a Child and adolescent psychiatrist (SI) and a Neuro-paediatric registrar. An ASD diagnosis was confirmed in 170 (96%) of cases in whom complete records were retrieved (n=177), while clear evidence in support for the HFA and LFA dichotomy based on cognitive assessments was available in 88 and 76% of instances respectively (details available on request). Finally, we cross-validated our cases against information from a national population-based study of twins (the Child and Adolescent Twin study in Sweden-CATSS). We identified 27 cases of autism spectrum disorders among the twins in the Stockholm Youth Cohort and 24 (89% [95% CI 71-98%]) of these had an ASD confirmed in CATSS (according to parental reports and A-TAC, a screening interview targeting neurodevelopmental disorders). Virtually none (<1%) of the non-case twins in our study (n=2721) received an ASD diagnosis in CATSS.
Exposure and other variables

Prospectively recorded information on children and their parents were retrieved by linkage with registers that contain data based on mandatory reporting. This information included country of birth; date of immigration to Sweden; family income at birth or (for children born abroad) at the earliest measured point in childhood; and pregnancy outcomes, including birth weight for gestational age, gestational age and Apgar score at 5 minutes (see Methods in data supplement).

Using mother’s country of birth, migration status was first studied by geographical region of birth (United Nations definitions, available at http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm) and then by level of human development (using the UNDP Human Development Index, a composite indicator of development derived using indicators of life expectancy, education and income, available at http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/indices/hdi). These two groupings were employed to capture different dimensions of the parental countries of origin that may be of importance for the development or detection of offspring autism. These dimensions include, for example, ethnicity or environmental factors related to geographical region, as opposed to level of civil unrest or aspects of deprivation related to poor human development. The mother’s country of origins was used to characterise parental background, since the paternal and maternal countries of birth were largely identical in children with both parents born abroad.

Data analysis

Ten randomly selected controls (that were alive and free of autism) were matched to each case by birth date and sex. We conducted conditional logistic regression analyses to estimate crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) as estimates of relative risks and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for ASD according to parental region of birth and the timing of the mother’s immigration in relation to the child’s birth. We also studied the mutual effect of maternal region of birth and maternal timing of migration in the same model among migrant children only. We tested for trends using the Cochrane-Armitage method.

All models were adjusted for maternal age (<20, 20-29, 30-39, 40+ years) and paternal age (<20, 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50+ years) at the child’s birth, and for family disposable income (in quartiles) at birth or in childhood. To study if any observed associations between maternal region of birth and LFA were mediated by birth outcomes, we repeated our analyses with additional adjustment for birth weight for gestational age (being small for gestational age or not), gestational age (<32, 32-36, 37+ weeks) and Apgar score at 5 minutes after birth (<7, ≥ 7). Since these obstetric data were not available for children born outside Sweden, this analysis was restricted the subsample of children born in Sweden. All analyses were conducted overall and according to the sex of child, using SAS 9.2 (SAS Inc., 2008).

Results

We identified 4952 cases of ASD comprising 2855 children with HFA and 2097 children with LFA (Supplementary Figure 1). Our study population for this paper was restricted to the 3918 children with ASD meeting our inclusion criteria, comprising 2269 children with high-functioning and 1649 children with LFA (Supplementary Figure 1).
Twenty-one percent of our total study population had both parents born outside of Sweden. The mean duration of residence of the children to migrant parents in Stockholm County varied somewhat with region of origins, but was by definition always over 4 years, and in all age groups exceeded the age and period typically required for detection and diagnosis of ASD (Table DS1).

Table 1 depicts the ORs for ASD in relation to maternal geographical region of birth among children with both parents born abroad as compared to children with both parents born in Sweden. As a combined group, ASDs did not appear to have any clear association with migration status. Yet, when this association was examined separately for HFA and LFA, clearly divergent relationships were observed (Table 2). The odds of LFA was increased in children of migrant parents (crude OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.3-1.7), and this relationship varied with region of maternal birth. Children of parents born in Sub-Saharan Africa had especially elevated odds, but also those with parental origins in Northern Africa, Latin America, Caribbean’s and Southern Asia were more often diagnosed with LFA than children of Swedish born parents. In strong contrast, children of migrant parents appeared to have a reduced odds of HFA (crude OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.5-0.6) (Table 2). Notably, this inverse association was observed in almost all groups of migrant children, including those in which increased associations with LFA were not observed.

Table 1. Relative risk of autism spectrum disorders in relation to maternal geographical region of birth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration status</th>
<th>Cases/Controls</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>OR*</th>
<th>95% CI*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both parents Sweden born</td>
<td>3122/31445</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents born abroad</td>
<td>796/8600</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9-1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maternal country of birth, by geographical sub-region:

- Northern Africa: 31/317
  - OR 1.0
  - 95% CI 0.7-1.4
  - OR* 1.0
  - 95% CI* 0.7-1.4
- Eastern Africa: 122/933
  - OR 1.3
  - 95% CI 1.1-1.6
  - OR* 1.1
  - 95% CI* 0.9-1.4
- Other African: 30/168
  - OR 1.8
  - 95% CI 1.2-2.6
  - OR* 1.5
  - 95% CI* 1.0-2.2
- Northern America: 1/32
  - OR N/A
  - 95% CI N/A
  - OR* N/A
  - 95% CI* N/A
- Latin America/Caribbean: 94/759
  - OR 1.3
  - 95% CI 1.0-1.6
  - OR* 1.1
  - 95% CI* 0.9-1.4
- Southern Asia: 85/988
  - OR 0.9
  - 95% CI 0.7-1.1
  - OR* 0.8
  - 95% CI* 0.6-1.0
- Western Asia: 181/2848
  - OR 0.6
  - 95% CI 0.5-0.7
  - OR* 0.6
  - 95% CI* 0.5-0.7
- Other Asian: 26/269
  - OR 1.0
  - 95% CI 0.7-1.5
  - OR* 0.9
  - 95% CI* 0.6-1.3
- Northern Europe: 114/996
  - OR 1.1
  - 95% CI 0.9-1.4
  - OR* 1.1
  - 95% CI* 0.9-1.3
- Eastern Europe: 57/531
  - OR 1.1
  - 95% CI 0.8-1.4
  - OR* 0.9
  - 95% CI* 0.7-1.3
- Southern Europe: 41/612
  - OR 0.7
  - 95% CI 0.5-0.9
  - OR* 0.6
  - 95% CI* 0.4-0.9
- Western Europe: 14/136
  - OR 1.1
  - 95% CI 0.6-1.8
  - OR* 1.0
  - 95% CI* 0.6-1.7

OR = odds ratio. CI = confidence interval. N/A = not applicable. *Adjusted for maternal and paternal age at child’s birth and family disposable income at child’s birth or in early life, as applicable. † Among children with both parents born abroad. Countries contributing the largest number of cases in each subregion being (when applicable): Northern Africa – Morocco; Eastern Africa – Somalia and Ethiopia; Latin America and the Caribbean – Chile; Southern Asia – Iran; Western Asia – Iraq and Turkey; Northern Europe – Finland; Eastern Europe – Poland; Southern Europe – Former Yugoslavia.
Maternal country of birth, by geographical sub-region:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration status</th>
<th>High-Functioning autism</th>
<th>Low-Functioning Autism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases/ Controls OR 95% CI</td>
<td>OR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents Sweden born</td>
<td>1963/18116 1.0 0.2-0.8 0.3 0.2-0.7</td>
<td>1159/13357 1.0 1.3-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents born abroad</td>
<td>306/4925 0.5 0.5-0.6 0.5 0.4-0.6</td>
<td>490/3646 1.5 1.0 1.0-1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maternal country of birth, by geographical sub-region:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cases/ Controls OR 95% CI</th>
<th>OR 95% CI</th>
<th>Cases/ Controls OR 95% CI</th>
<th>OR 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Africa</td>
<td>8/175 0.4 0.2-0.8 0.3 0.2-0.7</td>
<td>23/123 2.0 1.3-3.1 1.5 0.9-2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Africa</td>
<td>26/521 0.4 0.3-0.6 0.3 0.2-0.5</td>
<td>96/414 2.5 2.0-3.1 1.9 1.5-2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other African</td>
<td>2/82 0.2 0.1-0.6 0.2 0.0-0.7</td>
<td>28/66 3.6 2.4-5.3 3.5 2.5-5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>1/20 N/A N/A N/A N/A</td>
<td>0/11 N/A N/A N/A N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America/ Caribbean</td>
<td>35/456 0.7 0.5-0.9 0.5 0.4-0.8</td>
<td>59/334 1.9 1.4-2.4 1.6 1.2-2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>26/556 0.5 0.3-0.6 0.3 0.2-0.5</td>
<td>59/415 1.6 1.2-2.1 1.3 0.9-1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Asia</td>
<td>64/1627 0.4 0.3-0.5 0.3 0.2-0.4</td>
<td>117/1165 1.1 0.9-1.3 0.9 0.7-1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>13/160 0.6 0.4-1.1 0.6 0.4-1.1</td>
<td>13/117 1.1 0.7-1.9 1.1 0.6-2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Europe</td>
<td>74/572 1.2 0.9-1.5 1.1 0.8-1.4</td>
<td>40/445 1.1 0.8-1.6 0.9 0.6-1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe</td>
<td>35/308 1.0 0.7-1.3 0.8 0.6-1.2</td>
<td>22/232 1.0 0.7-1.5 0.8 0.5-1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Europe</td>
<td>14/355 0.3 0.2-0.6 0.3 0.2-0.5</td>
<td>27/251 1.3 0.9-1.8 1.1 0.8-1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>8/85 0.9 0.5-1.8 0.8 0.4-1.7</td>
<td>6/66 1.1 0.5-2.3 1.0 0.4-2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR = odds ratio. CI = confidence interval. N/A = not applicable. *Adjusted for maternal and paternal age at child’s birth and family disposable income at child’s birth or in early life, as applicable. Among children with both parents born abroad. Countries contributing the largest number of cases in each subregion being (when applicable): Northern Africa – Morocco; Eastern Africa – Somalia and Ethiopia; Latin America and the Caribbean – Chile; Southern Asia – Iran; Western Asia – Iraq and Turkey; Northern Europe – Finland; Eastern Europe – Poland; Southern Europe – Former Yugoslavia.

The odds of LFA increased with decreasing human development index in the country of parental origin (p for trend <0.0001, Table 3). For HFA, the odds in migrant children were instead almost half that of children with parents born in Sweden, except when parents originated from countries with very high human development (Table 3).

There was a non-linear association between time since maternal immigration and odds of LFA (Figure 1, Table DS2). Children of mothers who migrated during pregnancy had the highest odds (crude OR 2.3, 95% CI 1.7-3.0), while children born abroad and arriving in Sweden after the age of 4 had an OR below unity. When both the maternal timing of migration and region of birth were considered simultaneously in a mutually adjusted model, both characteristics were independently associated with LFA (Table 4). No pattern in OR’s with timing of migration was, however, evident for HFA.

The relationships reported above were not affected by adjustment for parental age (Tables 1-4), or for obstetric complications (in an analysis restricted to children born in Sweden, see Data Supplement Table DS2). Adjustment for family disposable income, a characteristic highly correlated with migration from low income countries, partially attenuated the positive associations between parental migration and LFA (Tables 1-4).

The relationships between maternal geographical region of birth and HFA as well as LFA were similar in boys and girls (data not shown).
Table 3. Relative risk of high-functioning autism and low-functioning autism in relation to maternal country of birth categorised according to human development index (HDI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration status</th>
<th>High-Functioning Autism</th>
<th>Low-Functioning Autism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases/Controls OR 95% CI</td>
<td>Cases/Controls OR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents Sweden born</td>
<td>1963/18116 1.0 1.0</td>
<td>1159/13357 1.0 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents born abroad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal country of birth, by HDI:\</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>54/1179 0.4 0.3-0.5 0.4 0.3-0.5</td>
<td>167/898 2.1 1.8- 1.9 1.6-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>62/1276 0.4 0.4-0.6 0.4 0.3-0.5</td>
<td>128/933 1.6 1.3- 1.4 1.1-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>92/1579 0.5 0.4-0.6 0.5 0.4-0.6</td>
<td>137/1145 1.4 1.1- 1.3 1.0-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>98/891 1.0 0.8-1.2 1.0 0.8-1.2</td>
<td>58/670 1.0 0.8- 0.9 0.7-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR = odds ratio. CI = confidence interval. a Adjusted for maternal and paternal age at child’s birth and family disposable income at child’s birth or in early life, as applicable. b Among children with both parents born abroad.

Figure 1. Relative risk of high- and low-functioning autism in children with both parents born abroad as compared to those with both parents born in Sweden, by time since maternal immigration in relation to the child’s birth

- Low-functioning autism
- High-functioning autism

Time\ since mother’s migration in relation to the child’s birth (years)

Positive values indicate time since maternal migration to Sweden before the child's birth (in 2nd generation immigrant children), negative values indicate age of child when the mother migrated to Sweden (in 1st generation immigrant children).
Table 4. Relative risk of high-functioning autism and low-functioning autism in relation to mother’s region of birth and time since immigration, among children with both parents born abroad only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother’s geographical sub-region of birth:</th>
<th>High-Functioning Autism</th>
<th>Low-Functioning Autism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases/Controls</td>
<td>OR¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Africa</td>
<td>8/175</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Africa</td>
<td>26/518</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other African</td>
<td>2/81</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
<td>34/451</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>26/551</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Asia</td>
<td>64/1617</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>13/158</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Europe</td>
<td>67/527</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe</td>
<td>35/305</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Europe</td>
<td>13/349</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>7/81</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time since mother’s immigration to Sweden in relation to the child’s birth:

| ≥15 years before birth                    | 30/457        | 1.0  | 1.0     | 38/356 | 1.0  | 1.0     |
| 10-14 years before birth                 | 39/579        | 1.1  | 0.6-1.9 | 1.1  | 0.6-1.8 | 51/413       | 1.2  | 0.7-1.9 |
| 5-9 years before birth                   | 74/970        | 1.5  | 0.9-2.5 | 1.5  | 0.9-2.5 | 108/733      | 1.2  | 0.8-1.8 |
| 1-4 years before birth                   | 82/1284       | 1.5  | 0.9-2.4 | 1.4  | 0.9-2.4 | 149/966      | 1.4  | 0.9-2.1 |
| Migrated in the year                     | 33/454        | 1.7  | 0.9-3.0 | 1.7  | 0.9-3.0 | 65/333       | 1.8  | 1.1-2.9 |
| Migrated within one year                 | 6/138         | 1.0  | 0.4-2.6 | 1.0  | 0.4-2.6 | 19/102       | 1.2  | 0.6-2.4 |
| 1-4 years after birth                    | 23/437        | 1.3  | 0.7-2.4 | 1.3  | 0.7-2.5 | 34/334       | 0.9  | 0.5-1.6 |
| ≥5 years after birth                     | 9/514         | 0.5  | 0.2-1.2 | 0.5  | 0.2-1.2 | 19/344       | 0.6  | 0.3-1.2 |

OR = odds ratio. CI = confidence interval. N/A = not applicable. ¹Mother’s region of birth and time since immigration in relation to child birth mutually adjusted. ²Additionally adjusted for maternal and paternal age and family disposable income at child’s birth or in early life, as applicable. ³Countries contributing the largest number of cases in each subregion being (when applicable): Northern Africa – Morocco; Eastern Africa – Somalia and Ethiopia; Latin America and the Caribbean – Chile; Southern Asia – Iran; Western Asia – Iraq and Turkey; Northern Europe – Finland; Eastern Europe – Poland; Southern Europe – Former Yugoslavia.

Discussion

In this large population based study in Stockholm County, we found children of migrant parents to be at an increased risk of autism with intellectual disability. This risk appeared greatest in children of parents who migrated to Sweden from regions with low human development index, and peaked when migration occurred around the time of pregnancy. In contrast, the risk of autism without intellectual disability was reduced regardless of timing of migration, and for most regions of parental origin.

Comparison with previous studies

One recent study in Malmö, Sweden presented separate associations for risks of autism with and without intellectual disability and found results strikingly similar to ours, albeit in a much smaller sample of 250 cases.¹⁶ All other population-based studies with individual-level data have only examined migration as one of several risk factors,⁸⁻¹¹,¹³ often simply defining migration status as ‘mother born abroad’. These studies generated inconsistent findings, with parental migration either not related to ASD⁸⁻¹³ or associated with a higher ⁱ⁻¹¹ or lower¹³
risk. This inconsistency may be partly explained by differences between studies in their definitions of autism and the proportion of children with associated intellectual disability (Table DS4). Other studies on this topic lacked individual level control data, and reported similar or increased risks of autism in children of migrant mothers, except one study from Israel which found a reduced risk in Ethiopian migrants (Table DS4).

**Increased risk of LFA in children of migrant parents**

One possible explanation for the observed association between mother’s (employed as a proxy for both parents’) country of birth and risk of LFA is that the underlying ASD rates vary in different countries of origin. It is difficult to examine this possibility, because very little is known about indigenous prevalence of ASD in low and middle income countries. Difference in risk by ethnicity or skin colour is another possible explanation (e.g. reflecting darker skin pigmentation increasing the risk of maternal vitamin D insufficiency during pregnancy), although a British study found little evidence of an independent ethnic effect on the risk of autism in the absence of maternal migration. Studies from the US have reported both elevated and reduced risks of autism in non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic children compared to White children, and provided some evidence that Black ethnicity may be linked to autism with, but not without, comorbid intellectual disability. Further research is required, but the above evidence suggests that factors beyond ethnicity may be important in the relationship between migration and LFA.

In recent decades, many non-European migrants to Sweden have been asylum seekers, often migrating under exceptionally stressful circumstances and often facing social disadvantages both in the home country and during resettlement. To try to capture this dimension of the migration experience we studied whether the association between migration and ASD varied according to the human development of the maternal country of birth, and found increasing risks of LFA with decreasing levels of human development.

One key and entirely novel finding of this study was that the risk of LFA varied with timing of maternal migration. The risk of LFA appeared to be highest when migration occurred in the year before birth. This result is important, since it provides further evidence against ethnicity or case ascertainment differences being the main explanations of the parental migration-LFA association. Furthermore, it indicates that environmental, and hence possibly preventable, factors associated with a stressful parental migration process and acting *in utero* may be implicated in the aetiology of LFA.

While we were unable to study many potential causal mechanisms directly, we investigated whether the increased risk of LFA in migrant children was explained by obstetric complications, parental age or family disposable income. Since we did not have access to obstetric data on children born abroad, these analyses were conducted in the sample of children born in Sweden. Apart from income, consideration of which may have comprised an over-adjustment since low income was almost always a feature of families originating from regions of low human development, these factors explained little of the observed associations. Instead, our results are compatible with the ‘maternal stress theory’. Maternal stress during pregnancy is a proposed risk factor for neuropsychiatric disorders in offspring, perhaps via impairments in foetal neurodevelopment due to dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis or epigenetic mechanisms. There is emerging evidence this may be applicable to autism, but further confirmatory research is needed.
Several other hypotheses have also been conceptualised for the role of migration in impaired neurodevelopment and may underlie our findings, but have yet to be rigorously tested. These include maternal Vitamin D-deficiency, poor maternal nutrition, and early life infections. These theories have also been posited for the effect of migration on psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia, but despite several lines of enquiry for over 40 years, the mechanisms behind these observed associations are unclear.

Reduced risk of HFA in children of migrant parents

Overall, the increased risk of LFA among migrants was balanced out by a decreased risk of HFA, such that migration status was not associated with ASD as a combined category. One intuitive explanation of this finding is misclassification of high-functioning individuals as low-functioning, due to inter-ethnic bias in diagnostic procedures related to language barriers, cultural misunderstanding, prejudice or differential properties of diagnostic instruments. This explanation is not, however, supported by closer examination of the association between migration status and LFA/HFA status as i) HFA was also less common in children to migrants from regions which had no elevated risk of LFA and ii) the risk of LFA peaked in children of mothers who migrated during pregnancy, while no similar trend with timing of migration was evident for HFA.

It is impossible to rule out discrete aetiological mechanisms leading to a true protective effect of migration on HFA, but we consider under-diagnosis of HFA in migrant children a more plausible explanation of our findings. Unlike intellectual disabilities associated with LFA, which may be easily recognised, the nuanced social deficits related to HFA in migrant populations may be missed or attributed to cultural differences. Also, a low perceived need for mental health care, stigma and lower awareness of service availability in migrant populations may lead to reduced help-seeking in the absence of overt developmental delays. The cross-cultural validity of assessment tools for autism is another area of future research, but whatever the reason for our results, they mirrored those of a smaller study in a different region of Sweden and provide enough evidence to suggest ASDs with and without intellectual disability should be studied separately.

Strengths and Limitations

Our results must be interpreted in light of some limitations. In common with previous studies on this topic, our case ascertainment was based on service use; therefore it is impossible to tease out whether our findings are specific to development or detection of ASD. We were also unable to study if the increased risk of LFA in migrants is unique to autism or reflects risk factors for intellectual disability in general. We also did not have information on current ASD diagnostic subtypes, although it should be noted that our strategy of treating ASDs as a single group stratified by comorbid intellectual disability is supported by epidemiological evidence and reflects an approach proposed by the DSM-V work group for future ASD classification.

The strengths of our study include its large sample and population-based design ensuring high external validity. The universal and free system of mandatory child development assessments in Stockholm County makes it likely that the large proportion of cases with at least severe autism in the community would have been identified and diagnosed. Furthermore, our multisource case ascertainment, using registries covering all pathways of autism care warrants that we captured the majority of diagnosed cases. Furthermore, our validation studies
indicated that the case ascertainment had high sensitivity as well as specificity. This is an advantage as few studies use multisource case ascertainment approaches, and often rely on hospital discharge or healthcare records. A majority of autism cases may not have special healthcare needs which require hospital admission (instead more often requiring educational or social interventions).

Conclusion

In conclusion, our findings suggest that environmental factors associated with migration and acting in utero may contribute substantially to the risk of autism with comorbid intellectual disability. They also indicate that HFA may be underdiagnosed in children of migrant parents. Risk factors for low- and high-functioning autism may be markedly different, implying that these diagnostic subgroups should be studied separately. Migration during pregnancy could entail foetal risks, and policy measures to ensure comprehensive antenatal care for immigrant families from developing countries should be a priority.
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**A. Methods**

**Swedish registers used for data linkage**

**The Stockholm County Council Habilitation Services register**

**Source:** Stockholm County Council


Includes all patients treated by Habilitation centers in Stockholm County since 1998, including the Autism Center for Young Children, the Asperger Center, and the Autism Center. The habilitation services are offered free of charge to all children with a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder in Stockholm County. This register categorizes non-preschool recipients as receiving a service for autism spectrum disorders with or without comorbid intellectual disability.

**Pastill - Clinical Database for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry in Stockholm**

**Source:** Stockholm County Council

**Website:** [http://www.bup.se/](http://www.bup.se/)

Child and adolescent psychiatry, Stockholm County Council, is the main provider of autism diagnostic assessments in Stockholm County, and their register comprises all public mental health service utilization since 2001. It holds diagnostic information at the DSM-IV group level for the majority of treatment episodes.

**The Stockholm County Council VAL Database**

**Source:** Stockholm County Council

The VAL database is a County Council administrative register covering the date, venue and diagnosis of all publicly financed health services used in the County since 1990; diagnostic information is recorded according to ICD 9/10 but with incomplete data. Used for supplementing case ascertainment.

**The National Patient Register**

**Source:** National Board of Health and Welfare

**Website:** [http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/register/halsodatagister/patientregistret/inenglish](http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/register/halsodatagister/patientregistret/inenglish)

This register includes discharge diagnoses according to ICD 7-10 for all inpatient treatment episodes for psychiatric disorders in Sweden since 1973.

**The Multi-Generation Register:**

**Source:** Statistics Sweden

**Website:** [http://www.scb.se/default___2154.aspx](http://www.scb.se/default___2154.aspx)
This register includes all individuals born in Sweden since 1932, registered as living in Sweden after 1960, and their parents. First-degree biological relatives and their date of birth were identified through this source.

**Integrated Database for Labour Market Research**

**Source:** Statistics Sweden  
**Website:** [http://www.scb.se/Pages/List____257743.aspx](http://www.scb.se/Pages/List____257743.aspx)

Country of birth, family disposable income, education and other demographic variables for parents at birth of child or early in life as applicable were identified from this register.

**Medical Birth Register**

**Source:** National Board of Health and Welfare  
**Website:** [http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/register/halsodataregister/medicinskafodelseregistret/inenglish](http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/register/halsodataregister/medicinskafodelseregistret/inenglish)

This register holds prospectively collected data from antenatal and obstetric care on 99% of all Swedish births since 1973. Pregnancy outcomes, including growth for gestational age and Apgar score at 5 minutes, were retrieved using this source.
### B. Supplementary Tables and Figure

**TABLE DS1.** Average duration of residence in Stockholm County by maternal geographical region of birth among control children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration status</th>
<th>Duration of residence</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Both parents Sweden born</strong></td>
<td>31580</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Both parents born abroad, by maternal geographical sub-region of mothers birth:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Africa</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Africa</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other African</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America/Caribbean</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Asia</td>
<td>3315</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Europe</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Europe</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE DS2.
Relative risk of low-functioning autism among children born in Sweden in relation to maternal geographical region of birth, with and without adjustment for obstetric complications, parental age and family disposable income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration status</th>
<th>Cases/Controls</th>
<th>Low Functioning Autism</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>OR^a(95% CI)</th>
<th>OR^b(95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both parents born in Sweden</td>
<td>1103/12658</td>
<td>1.0 (ref)</td>
<td>1.0 (ref)</td>
<td>1.0 (ref)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents born abroad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>399/2776</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5-1.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4-1.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2-1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal country of birth, by geographical sub-region^c:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Africa</td>
<td>23/121</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3-3.3)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3-3.3)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1-2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Africa</td>
<td>84/321</td>
<td>3.0 (2.4-3.9)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.3-3.8)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.9-3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other African</td>
<td>24/52</td>
<td>5.1 (3.1-8.4)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.1-8.3)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.6-7.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern America</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
<td>47/272</td>
<td>2.1 (1.5-2.9)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.5-2.8)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.3-2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>44/298</td>
<td>1.7 (1.2-2.3)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2-2.3)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0-2.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Asia</td>
<td>92/838</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0-1.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0-1.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>11/89</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8-2.7)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8-2.7)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7-2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Europe</td>
<td>34/377</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7-1.5)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7-1.5)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Europe</td>
<td>19/179</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7-1.9)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7-1.9)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6-1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Europe</td>
<td>17/173</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7-1.9)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.7-1.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6-1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Europe</td>
<td>10/99</td>
<td>1.0 (0.4-2.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4-3.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.4-2.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR = odds ratio. CI = confidence interval. N/A = not applicable. ^aAdjusted for birth weight for gestational age, gestational age and Apgar score at 5 minutes. ^bAdditionally adjusted for maternal and paternal age at child’s birth and family disposable income at child’s birth or in early life, as applicable. ^cAmong children with both parents born abroad. Countries contributing the largest number of cases in each subregion being (when applicable): Northern Africa – Morocco; Eastern Africa – Somalia and Ethiopia; Latin America and the Caribbean – Chile; Southern Asia – Iran; Western Asia – Iraq and Turkey; Northern Europe – Finland; Eastern Europe – Poland; Southern Europe – Former Yugoslavia.
TABLE DS3. Relative risk of high-functioning autism and low-functioning autism in relation to maternal time since immigration as compared to children of parents born in Sweden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration status</th>
<th>High Functioning Autism</th>
<th>Low Functioning Autism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases/Controls</td>
<td>OR(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents Sweden born</td>
<td>1963/18116</td>
<td>1.0 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents born abroad, by time since maternal immigration:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 years before birth</td>
<td>30/458</td>
<td>0.6 (0.4-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 14 before birth</td>
<td>39/581</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 9 years before birth</td>
<td>74/970</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – 4 years before birth</td>
<td>82/1285</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5-0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrated in the year before birth</td>
<td>33/454</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5-1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrated within one year after birth</td>
<td>6/139</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>birth</td>
<td>23/438</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3-0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 years after birth</td>
<td>9/515</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1-0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5 years after birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR= odds ratio. CI = confidence interval. ^aAdjusted for maternal and paternal age and earliest estimate of family income.
Figure DS1. Derivation of analytical sample.

**STUDY POPULATION**

**THE STOCKHOLM YOUTH COHORT**
Total residents of Stockholm County 0-17 years old between 2001-2007 N=589 114
All Autism spectrum disorders (ASD), N=5 360
High Functioning Autism, N=3 090
Low Functioning Autism, N=2 270

**NOT RESIDENT IN STOCKHOLM COUNTY FOR AT LEAST 4 YEARS**
General 0-17 year old population, N=149 610
All ASD, N=260
High Functioning Autism, N=162
Low Functioning Autism, N= 98

**ADOPTED CHILDREN**
General 0-17 year old population, N=5 182
All ASD, N=131
High Functioning Autism, N=67
Low Functioning Autism, N= 64

**MISSING DATA IN MOTHER’S COUNTRY OF BIRTH**
General 0-17 year old population, N=2 746
All ASD, N=17
High Functioning Autism, N=6
Low Functioning Autism, N= 11

**ONE PARENT BORN IN SWEDEN**
General 0-17 year old population, N=67 915
All ASD, N=901
High Functioning Autism, N=529
Low Functioning Autism, N= 372

**MISSING DATA ON INCOME OR PARENTAL AGE**
General 0-17 year old population, N=13 051
All ASD, N=133
High Functioning Autism, N=57
Low Functioning Autism, N= 76

**FINAL ANALYTICAL SAMPLE**
General 0-17 year old population, N=350 610
All ASD, N=3 918
High Functioning Autism, N=2 269
Low Functioning Autism, N= 1 649
C. A systematic review of previous evidence

We aimed to find peer reviewed epidemiological studies studying the association of autism or any of its subtypes with migration of parents.

Method:
Anticipating few studies that specifically study this topic but several with a migration variable in analysis, we derived a broad search strategy in our systematic review of the literature.

We searched Embase (to September 2010), Medline (to September 2010), and Psychinfo (to May 2010) with search terms covering Autism and related terms (see search strategy below) with immigration or migration; or ethnicity/race; or perinatal/prenatal/pregnancy/environmental risk factors.

We excluded non human studies, duplicates, non peer reviewed articles and articles without abstracts and were left with 2 309 unique papers (1 622 in Embase, 298 in Medline, 389 in Psychinfo). The titles or/and abstracts of these were screened and 43 studies required reading to determine relevance for review.

Of these, 11 papers discussed relevant issues but were not reports of new data, 16 papers did not have a migration variable, one was a case-report of 3 cases and three were reviews. References cited in the articles were also cross-checked and 2 further studies were identified. Fourteen studies were reviewed in three groups in decreasing order of likelihood of biased estimates- using a population based design with individual level data and attempts at adjustment for confounding, studies that did not have individual level control data but reported population level estimates using ecological/census data, and studies in other groups.

Exact search strategy
Database: EMBASE <1980 to 2010 Week 37>, Medline 1950 to present, PsycINFO <1806 to September Week 3 2010>

Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1  autism/ or asperger syndrome/ or infantile autism/ or "pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified"/ (49038)
2  INFANTILE AUTISM/ or AUTISM/ or autism.mp. (55494)
3  1 or 2 (56423)
4  migration/ or immigration/ (59908)
5  ethnicity.mp. or "ethnic or racial aspects"/ or cultural factor/ or ethnology/ or ethnic difference/ or ethnic group/ or ETHNICITY/ or race/ (310650)
6  PRENATAL DEVELOPMENT/ or PRENATAL STRESS/ or PRENATAL PERIOD/ or prenatal.mp. or PRENATAL EXPOSURE/ (277052)
7  pregnancy.mp. or PREGNANCY/ (1244288)
8  perinatal.mp. or PERINATAL DEVELOPMENT/ or PERINATAL PERIOD/ or PERINATAL STRESS/ (111463)
9  environmental.mp. or "ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA"/ or ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR/ or ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE/ (692488)
10  RISK FACTOR/ (875600)
Migration and ASD

Results:
Only 5 of the 14 studies reviewed (detailed in Table A1) (1 Australian, 2 Danish, 1 USA, and 1 Swedish) were based in the general population with individual level data on cases and comparison groups. Autism was ascertained in all of these from health service use data but no subtypes of autism were studied. Migration history was one of several risk factors studied and generally limited in detail to a measure of ‘mother born abroad’. Maternal immigration was associated with either no or a higher risk of autism except the USA study which found children of Mexican mothers to have reduced risk of autism. Seven studies on this topic estimated risk of autism in children of migrant parents as compared to the general population, but lacked individual level control data and instead reporting prevalence or risk estimates from census or ecological data. The results revealed either similar or increased risks of autism in children of migrant mothers except one study from Israel which found a reduced risk in Ethiopian migrants. Keen et al’s study in this group is the most detailed study on this topic so far and benefited from a relatively large sample size. All reports before year 2000 had small sample sizes often precluding meaningful statistical analysis.

Our findings in context of previous literature: Our study has several strengths as compared to others. First, all previous studies have used clinical registries or samples for case ascertainment and may under ascertain higher functioning autism cases that are unlikely to present to child psychiatry services or be admitted to hospitals but rather require social, educational or other support as provided by Habilitation services. Secondly, no previous studies have investigated the differential risks of high and low functioning autism simultaneously. No previous studies have investigated the role of time since parental migration in this relationship. Most studies used a variable of mother born abroad in a larger of several other risk factors under study and were not adequately powered to conduct any in depth analysis.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source (chronological)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Migration variable</th>
<th>Autism definition</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POPULATION-BASED STUDIES INCLUDING INDIVIDUAL CONTROLS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams 2008</td>
<td>NSW, Australia</td>
<td>Surveillance through physician reports. Linkage with midwives data.</td>
<td>182 children with Autism were linked to population data</td>
<td>Mother born in or outside Australia (those born outside Australia were also categorized into born in South East or North-East Asia or not)</td>
<td>DSM-IV autistic disorder</td>
<td>Mother born outside Australia OR=1.5 (95% CI 1.1-2.1). Adjusted OR=1.4 (95% CI 1.0-2.0) adjusted for being male, premature, mother≥35 years of age.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maimberg 2006</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Population based matched case control study using data linkage of Danish Civil Registration, Danish Psychiatric Central Register, Danish Medical Birth Register, and medical birth records.</td>
<td>473 children discharged from a hospital with a diagnosis of Infantile autism (1990-1999). 10 controls matched for date of birth, sex and county of birth. Foreign born children excluded.</td>
<td>Mother with foreign citizenship Mother with foreign citizenship Father with foreign citizenship</td>
<td>ICD 8-10 diagnosis of Infantile Autism</td>
<td>Mother with foreign citizenship OR=1.7 (95% CI 1.3-2.5), adjusted OR=1.7 (95% CI 1.3-2.4) adjusted for mothers and fathers age, birth weight, gestational age, Apgar scores &lt;8 at 5 minutes, birth defects and irregular foetal position. Father with foreign citizenship OR=1.1 (95% CI 0.7-1.6), Adjusted OR= 1.1 (95% CI 0.7-1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauritsen 2005</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Register based cohort study. 943664 children younger than 10 years of age followed up between 1994-2001.</td>
<td>818 children developed autism.</td>
<td>Mothers country of birth in Denmark, in Europe but outside Denmark and outside Europe Child born in Denmark only.</td>
<td>ICD-10 autism codes (F84.0-F84.1) or with broader autistic codes (F84.5, F84.8, F84.9)</td>
<td>Both parents born abroad RR=1.2 (95% CI 0.9-1.5) Father born abroad RR=1.2 (95% CI 0.8-1.6) Mother born abroad RR=1.8 (95% CI 1.2-2.3) Maternal country of birth as compared to Denmark- Scandinavia or Europe RR=1.0 (95% CI 0.8-1.4), Outside Europe RR=1.4 (95% CI 1.1-1.8), adjusted for maternal and paternal age, maternal, paternal and sibling psychiatric disorder, fathers identity known, urbanization and if mother and father were born in the same country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croen 2002</td>
<td>California, USA</td>
<td>Population based, all live born children born in California 1988-1994 N=3,497,870</td>
<td>4356 California born children enrolled with Department of Developmental Services as having full syndrome autism.</td>
<td>Mother born in California, other US state, Mexico or ‘other’</td>
<td>All children enrolled with ‘full syndrome autism’, 36% had diagnosed intellectual disability</td>
<td>Mother born in Mexico OR=0.4 (95% CI 0.4-0.5), Adjusted OR=0.6 (95% CI 0.5-0.7), adjusted for child sex, birth weight, plurality, birth order, maternal age, ethnicity and education as compared to mother born in California. Born in ‘other country’ OR=1.2(95% CI 1.1-1.3), adjusted 1.1 (95% CI 1.0-1.2) Black ethnicity OR=1.2 (95% CI 1.1-1.3), adjusted OR=1.6 (95% CI 1.5-1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hultman 2002</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Population based nested case control study</td>
<td>408 children ≤9 years old discharged from Swedish inpatient hospitals with a diagnosis of infantile autism 1987-1994. 2040 controls matched on sex, year and hospital of birth</td>
<td>Mother born in Nordic country, Europe and North America or outside Europe or North America</td>
<td>ICD-9 Autistic Disorder</td>
<td>As compared to being born in a Nordic Country, for Europe or North America OR=1.6 (95% CI 0.9-2.9), adjusted OR=1.1 (95% CI 0.5-2.5), for outside Europe or North America OR=2.9 (95% CI 1.9-4.5), adjusted OR=3.0 (95% CI 1.7-5.2), adjusted for maternal age, parity, smoking during pregnancy, hypertension, diabetes, bleeding in pregnancy, mode of delivery, season of birth, gestational age, birth weight, Apgar score at 5 minutes, congenital malformations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE DS4 (continued). Previous studies on migration and autism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source (chronological)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Migration variable</th>
<th>Autism definition</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STUDIES THAT DID NOT HAVE INDIVIDUAL LEVEL INFORMATION ON CONTROLS BUT GENERATED POPULATION BASED ESTIMATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keen 2010&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; Two boroughs of South London, UK</td>
<td>Retrospective case note review</td>
<td>428 children with autism. Relative risks calculated based on ecological population census data.</td>
<td>Mother born abroad classified into Africa, Caribbean, Asian and elsewhere categories.</td>
<td>Both high and low functioning autism</td>
<td>Mothers born outside Europe had higher risk. Caribbean mothers had highest risk in both regions relative to mothers born in UK. RR=10.0 (95% CI 5.5-18.1), RR=8.9 (95% CI 5.0-15.5). Effect of Black ethnicity largely explained by immigration status of mother.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnevic-Olsson 2008&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; Stockholm County, Sweden</td>
<td>Clinical records from two habilitation centres. Compared with general population.</td>
<td>501 children with autism or PDD-NOS known to one of two habilitation centres and living in Stockholm 2005</td>
<td>Children born in Sweden or abroad, with both parents born abroad and at least one in Somalia.</td>
<td>Autism or PDD-NOS, all had intellectual disabilities</td>
<td>Prevalence in Somali children 0.7% (95% CI 0.37-1.03%). Prevalence in children of non-somali origin= 0.19% (0.18-0.21%).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanner 2004&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; Israel</td>
<td>Population based study of all Jewish population living in Israel born between 1983-1997.</td>
<td>1004 children with PDD born between 1983-1997, population prevalence calculated based on total population 1,113,900.</td>
<td>Native children of ethiopian extraction (based on surnames), Immigrants of non ethiopian extraction Children born in Ethiopia.</td>
<td>Pervasive developmental disorder</td>
<td>No children born in Ethiopia had PDD. Non-Ethiopian children born in Israel had elevated rate of PDD as compared to Ethiopian children born in Israel OR=1.7 (95% CI 1.3-2.2).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillberg 1996&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; Goteburg and Bohuslan, Sweden</td>
<td>Several sources of data collection.</td>
<td>55 children with autistic disorder, compared with total population demographic data from record linkage. At least one parent born abroad.</td>
<td>Autistic disorder (DSMIII TR)</td>
<td>15 of 55 (27%) children had one parent born abroad, 26.2% of general population had at least one parent born abroad. 11 of 15 (20%) children with autism had one immigrant parent from outside Northern Europe as compared to records suggesting 3.2% in general (Goteburg) population.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillberg 1987&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; Goteburg and Bohuslan, Sweden</td>
<td>Population based</td>
<td>20 children with infantile autism</td>
<td>Immigrant parents</td>
<td>Autistic disorder (DSMIII)</td>
<td>30% (6/20) autistic children in Goteburg had one parent born abroad as compared to 25.7% in general population (NS). 815 children from the rural county had immigrant parents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harper 1979&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt; New South Wales, Australia</td>
<td>not available at time of review</td>
<td>not available at time of review</td>
<td>not available at time of review</td>
<td>21.9% of all children diagnosed with autism belonged to parents not born in Australia. Greek and German nationalities over represented.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STUDIES NOT BASED IN THE GENERAL POPULATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein 2006&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; Tel Aviv, Israel</td>
<td>Case-control Healthy controls with mothers who were employees of a health centre</td>
<td>226 mothers of children with autism known to a voluntary organisation</td>
<td>Mother’s country of birth Israel/Asia, Europe/Africa, USA/</td>
<td>Autistic disorder DSM-IV (other PDD excluded)</td>
<td>Mother’s country of birth (Israel/Asia, Europe/Africa, USA) in cases 115/55/36, in controls 80/41/31. Chi sq=0.2, p non significant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodman 1995&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt; London, UK</td>
<td>Clinic based study</td>
<td>9 children with Infantile autism, 9 children with other pervasive developmental disorders, 292 children of afro-caribbean parents, compared with 1311 children with both parents in Britain</td>
<td>Both parents born in West Indies or Guinea.</td>
<td>ICD 10 diagnosis of Infantile Autism</td>
<td>Prevalence of autism and related conditions in afro-caribbean group 3.5%, Prevalence in comparison group 0.6%.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>