

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Lindfield, R; (2015) Patient safety in low-income countries. Community eye health / International Centre for Eye Health, 28 (90). pp. 21-2. ISSN 0953-6833
<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/2478768>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2478768/>

DOI:

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>

Patient safety in low-income countries



Robert Lindfield

Clinical Lecturer: London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine;
Consultant in Public Health:
Public Health England, UK.

Robert.Lindfield@lshtm.ac.uk

The concept of 'first do no harm' is taught to every medical and nursing student. This phrase means that, as professionals, our first duty is to make sure that patients are not harmed as a result of their care.


Unfortunately, we know that many patients are harmed when receiving medical care. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that up to 10% of patients in high-income countries are harmed in such 'adverse events' or 'critical incidents' – events or incidents that caused harm to patients and could have been avoided.¹

There are many costs associated with harm – costs to the patient in pain, discomfort or distress, financial costs to the patient (e.g. through increased visits to the hospital) and financial costs to the health care system, with patients staying in hospital longer and/or requiring more – and different – treatments. There is also the emergence of legal costs as increasing numbers of patients are suing the hospital or clinician following a critical incident.

The large numbers of patients harmed and the resultant costs make a focus on patient safety incredibly important.

ABOUT THIS ISSUE

This issue of the *Community Eye Health Journal* is about patient safety. Ironically, when the focus of our day-to-day work is on improving the eye health of patients, it can be easy to overlook the central importance of safety. It is everyone in the eye team's responsibility to ensure that the day-to-day care we provide is safe and also patient-centred, so that patients will not just **be** safe, but also **feel** safe. Mistakes will happen – we are human, and humans make mistakes – but our task is to create systems and practices that reduce the risk of such 'critical incidents' taking place, and help us to learn from them if they do. This can only happen if everyone feels safe enough to report problems as they arise. We hope that this issue will help you to take time out from your daily routine to reflect on safety in all its aspects.



Lance Beilers/ICEH

The safety of our patients should be our first priority.
ETHIOPIA

There are two ways of approaching patient safety:

- 1 Preventing critical incidents.
- 2 Learning from critical incidents that have occurred and changing practice so that it does not happen again.

1 Preventing critical incidents

This is a focus on preventing harm. It involves the introduction of processes and procedures that reduce the risk of harm to patients. For example; everyone who conducts surgery changes clothes and

washes their hands before they start which is a way of preventing harm. By washing hands ('scrubbing') and changing clothes before surgery the risk of passing infection to the patient is reduced. Other examples of prevention activities include the WHO safe surgical checklist (which aims to ensure that the correct surgery is being performed on the correct patient using the correct methods and provides a formal method to check this), staff double-checking doses of drugs, making sure that all equipment is regularly maintained, sterilising instruments, plus many others.

Two of the key factors about these procedures are that they are routine (i.e. everybody does them every time the event occurs) and that they can be documented (e.g. the WHO Safe Surgical Checklist is completed and kept with the patient notes) so that it can be shown that the procedure was followed. If the procedures and protocols are only followed by some of the people, some of the time, then they are less effective. A good example of this is hand hygiene practice amongst staff:

Continues overleaf ➤

In this issue

- 21 **Patient safety in low-income countries**
- 23 **Keeping patients safe: a practical guide**
- 26 **The importance of critical incident reporting – and how to do it**
- 28 **Protecting yourself at work**
- 30 **CATARACT SERIES**
Quality of small incision cataract surgery
- 32 **Post-operative endophthalmitis**
- 34 **ICEH UPDATE Open Educational Resources**
- 35 **REFRACTIVE ERROR UPDATE**
Myopia: a growing global problem with sight-threatening complications
- 36 **CLINICAL SKILLS**
How to do a person-centred consultation
- 37 **EQUIPMENT CARE AND MAINTENANCE**
Electrical safety in the clinical environment – good habits to maintain
- 38 **TRACHOMA UPDATE**
- 39 **CPD QUIZ**
- 40 **NEWS AND NOTICES**

this has been shown to be variable in many hospitals, exposing patients and staff to the transmission of infection.

2 Learning from critical incidents

Even in the best clinics and hospitals, patients are sometimes harmed. It is important that medical personnel learn from any critical incidents and put things in place to stop the same incident from occurring again (and therefore preventing further patients from being harmed).

One of the key principles when learning from harm is 'no blame'. Our usual reaction when something goes wrong is to try and find someone to blame. However in many cases there are wider issues that have led to the mistake or incident.

Understanding the reasons behind mistakes helps the hospital to put procedures and protocols in place to try and prevent them from happening again. In the example (see panel) it might have been necessary to ensure that a specific number of staff members were on the ward on days when surgery was taking place; or that the IOL power was double-checked by the surgeon and theatre staff before being inserted into the eye.

Effective leadership is needed so that the staff members can learn from mistakes and make the hospital safer. There must be a system for investigating adverse events and finding out why they happened (page 26). Investigation should be done with the co-operation of the whole eye team because the solutions that make critical incidents less likely in the future usually require people to

Example

The wrong intraocular lens is inserted in a patient's eye, leaving him with very poor vision despite successful surgery.

Whose fault is it? The surgeon? The nurse? The person who recorded the biometry?

Finding out the reason for the mistake might identify staff members who may have been at fault but it is equally important to understand why they made an error. Were there too many patients and too few staff members? Was the patient elderly, making it difficult to conduct biometry? Were the theatre staff rushing because the surgeon arrived late for a busy operating list?

behave differently. If staff members see the reason for the change then they are more likely to adopt it. Blaming them, instead of exploring the issues that led to the incident, is likely to lead to a culture of fear where people try to hide problems and do not report critical incidents.

The articles in this issue explore different aspects of patient safety and provide ideas about how harm to patients can be prevented, including harm from endophthalmitis. There is also a focus on keeping yourself safe and healthy – without this, safe patient care is not possible.

Further reading

- 1 http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/patient_safety/en/ Accessed 29/06/2015
- 2 Seven steps to patient safety. NHS National Patient Safety Agency, 2004. www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/collections/seven-steps-to-patient-safety/?entryid45=59787



Volume 28 | Issue 90

Supporting
VISION 2020:
The Right to Sight



Editor

Elmien Wolvaardt Ellison
editor@cehjournal.org

Editorial committee

Allen Foster
Clare Gilbert
Nick Astbury
Daksha Patel
Richard Wormald
Peter Ackland
Janet Marsden
David Yorston
Serge Resnikoff

Regional consultants

Hugh Taylor (WPR)
Leshan Tan (WPR)
GVS Murthy (SEAR)
R Thulsiraj (SEAR)
Babar Qureshi (EMR)
Mansur Rabiu (EMR)
Hannah Faal (AFR)
Kovin Naidoo (AFR)
Ian Murdoch (EUR)
Janos Nemeth (EUR)
Van Lansingh (AMR)
Andrea Zin (AMR)

Editorial assistant Anita Shah

Design Lance Bellers

Proofreading Jane Tricker

Printing Newman Thomson

CEHJ online

Visit the *Community Eye Health Journal* online. All back issues are available as HTML and PDF. Visit:
www.cehjournal.org

Online edition and newsletter

Sally Parsley: web@cehjournal.org

Consulting editor for Issue 90

Allen Foster

Please support us

We rely on donations/subscriptions from charities and generous individuals to carry out our work. **We need your help.**

Subscriptions in high-income countries cost UK £100 per annum.

Contact Anita Shah

admin@cehjournal.org

or visit the journal website:

www.cehjournal.org/donate

Subscriptions

Readers in low- and middle-income countries get the journal **free of charge**. Send your name, occupation, and postal address to the address opposite. French, Spanish, and Chinese editions are available. To subscribe online, visit www.cehjournal.org/subscribe

Address for subscriptions

Anita Shah, International Centre for Eye Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK.

Tel +44 (0)207 958 8336

Email admin@cehjournal.org

Correspondence articles

We accept submissions of 800 words about readers' experiences. Contact: Anita Shah: exchange@cehjournal.org

© International Centre for Eye Health, London. Articles may be photocopied, reproduced or translated provided these are not used for commercial or personal profit. Acknowledgements should be made to the author(s) and to Community Eye Health Journal. Woodcut-style graphics by Victoria Francis and Teresa Dodgson.

ISSN 0953-6833

Disclaimer

Signed articles are the responsibility of the named authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the views of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (the School). Although every effort is made to ensure accuracy, the School does not warrant that the information contained in this publication is complete and correct and shall not be liable for any damages incurred as a result of its use.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the School in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. The School does not endorse or recommend products or services for which you may view advertisements in this Journal.