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Mendelian randomisation and instrumental variable analysis

Adult height, coronary heart disease and stroke: a multi-locus Mendelian randomization meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: We investigated causal effect of completed growth, measured by adult height, on coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke and cardiovascular traits, using instrumental variable (IV) Mendelian randomization meta-analysis.

Methods: We developed an allele score based on 69 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with adult height, identified by the IBCCardioChip, and used it for IV analysis against cardiovascular risk factors and events in 21 studies and 60,028 participants. IV analysis on CHD was supplemented by summary data from 180 height-SNPs from the GIANT consortium and their corresponding CHD estimates derived from CARDIoGRAMplusC4D.

Results: IV estimates from IBCCardioChip and GIANT-CARDIoGRAMplusC4D showed that a 6.5-cm increase in height reduced the odds of CHD by 10% [odds ratios 0.90; 95% confidence intervals (CIs): 0.78 to 1.03 and 0.85 to 0.95, respectively], which agrees with the estimate from the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration (hazard ratio 0.93; 95% CI: 0.91 to 0.94).
IV analysis revealed no association with stroke (odds ratio 0.97; 95% CI: 0.79 to 1.19). IV analysis showed that a 6.5-cm increase in height resulted in lower levels of body mass index ($P < 0.001$), triglycerides ($P < 0.001$), non high-density (non-HDL) cholesterol ($P < 0.001$), C-reactive protein ($P = 0.042$), and systolic blood pressure ($P = 0.064$) and higher levels of forced expiratory volume in 1 s and forced vital capacity ($P < 0.001$ for both).

**Conclusions:** Taller individuals have a lower risk of CHD with potential explanations being that taller people have a better lung function and lower levels of body mass index, cholesterol and blood pressure.

---

**Introduction**

Observational studies have shown associations of adult height used as a measure of completed growth, with major non-communicable diseases.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\) Studying over 1 million participants, the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration (ERFC) found a 6% decrease in risk of dying from coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke per 6.5 cm increase in adult height.\(^4\) Controversy remains about the explanations for these associations. Some authors suggest adult height is only a proxy of circumstances affecting growth in infancy and childhood,\(^2\)\(^5\) whereas others argue for confounding by behavioural, psychosocial and biological factors. Finally, reverse causation could arise from ‘shrinkage’ in early stages of disease.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^6\)

Given that genetic variants are unlikely to be affected by the wide range of confounders that usually bias multivariable analyses and cannot be influenced by reverse causality, we employed a multiple instruments Mendelian randomization approach\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\) to investigate the causal effect of completed growth, measured by adult height, with CHD and stroke and examine several cardiovascular traits to gain insight about potential mechanisms.

**Methods**

We included individual participant data from 60,028 participants of European ancestry from 21 prospective studies (for details see Table S1, available as Supplementary data at IJE online) with recorded standing adult height and at least one of the outcomes (CHD or stroke). All participating studies obtained informed consent for DNA analysis and received ethical approval.

Two multiple instruments were created. The first incorporates 69 loci identified in a gene-centric meta-analysis of height with the Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics (ITMAT) Broad Institute CARe consortium (IBC) CardIoChip array\(^10\)\(^11\) (a chip designed to assess SNPs across relevant loci for a range of cardiovascular disease (CVD) syndromes), and was applied in 21 prospective studies (60,028 participants) with access to individual participant data. The second was based on summary data from 180 statistically independent height-associated SNPs from the Genetic Investigation of ANthropometric Traits (GIANT) Consortium\(^12\) and their corresponding summary CHD estimates derived from the Coronary Artery Disease Genome-wide Replication and Meta-analysis (CARDIoGRAM) plus the Coronary Artery Disease (C4D) Genetics Consortium, collectively known as CARDIoGRAMplusC4D,\(^13\) downloaded from [http://www.CARDIOGRAMPLUSC4D.org]. The GIANT Consortium\(^12\) was a meta-genome-wide association study (GWAs) including 183,727 individuals, that identified 180 independent loci associated with adult height, explaining 10% of the phenotypic variation. The CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium\(^13\) identified SNPs...
associating with CHD in 63,746 CAD cases and 130,681 controls.

Genotyping was conducted with the IBC Cardiochip array in 16 studies, and with Metabochip in the five remaining studies (Table S2, available as Supplementary data at IJE online). We selected 69 SNPs, representing 69 different loci, independently associated with adult height at array-wide significance ($P < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$) in gene-centric meta-analysis of height from 114,223 individuals and 47 studies genotyped with the IBC Cardiochip array (including 16 studies also analysed here) to construct the allele score. In the five studies with Metabochip genotyping, we used imputed SNPs in linkage disequilibrium ($R^2 > 0.8$) with those from IBC Cardiochip. 16 SNPs were coded as 0, 1 and 2 indicating the number of height-raising alleles. A per-allele positive effect weighted by the summary beta coefficients from the meta-analysis was summed for each risk allele to construct an allele score. In a sensitivity analysis, allele scores were constructed without weighting, to address potential overfitting given that the studies included here contributed to the meta-analysis that provided the weights.

The primary outcome was prevalent or incident (fatal and non-fatal) CHD. The secondary outcome was prevalent or incident (fatal and non-fatal) stroke including haemorrhagic or ischaemic events. Validated events were preferred over non-validated, self-reported events. Details for outcome definitions in each study are provided in Table S3, available as Supplementary data at IJE online.

To gain insight into the mechanisms that may explain the association of height with CHD and stroke, we used available information from individual studies on established or promising risk factors for CHD and stroke [sex, age, blood pressure, body mass index, smoking, type 2 diabetes, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, C-reactive protein. The reason we selected these traits is that they are established or promising risk factors for CHD and stroke [sex, age, blood pressure, body mass index, smoking, type 2 diabetes, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, C-reactive protein].

**Statistical analysis**

The same analytical script was used by all studies. For each of the SNPs (69 SNPs for studies using IBC CardioChip and 35 for studies using Metabochip; Table S5, available as Supplementary data at IJE online), we calculated frequencies of the height-increasing allele and $P$-values for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. In each study, we fitted regression models to estimate the association between adult height and the allele score, with the allele score treated as a continuous trait or divided into deciles. We estimated the proportion of variance ($R^2$) of height explained by the allele score and the corresponding standard error by bootstrapping. We used inverse-variance weighted fixed-effects meta-analysis to pool estimates across studies.

We used linear or logistic regression models to examine the genetic association of the allele score with clinical events, cardiovascular traits and confounders (smoking) in individual studies. Owing to skewed distributions, triglycerides and C-reactive protein were analysed on the natural logarithmic scale. For comparability across cardiovascular traits, the original values were divided by the standard deviation. Fixed-effect meta-analysis was used to estimate pooled associations across studies.

For the instrumental variable (IV) analysis, we used the logistic control function estimator to estimate study-specific odds ratios (ORs) between height and clinical events. This involved a two-stage process: we first conducted within each study a linear regression analysis with adult height as the dependent variable and the allele score as the independent variable. The residuals from the first step were then incorporated into a logistic regression model of the binary trait on the predicted adult height from the first stage. We specified heteroskedasticity robust standard errors in the second stage to incorporate the uncertainty in the estimated residuals from the first stage. Results were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) per 6.5 cm height with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to make them comparable to observational estimates from the ERFC. For continuous traits, we used two-stage least squares analysis using the allele score as the IV for adult height. We also fitted IV models including the following cardiovascular risk factors as covariables: systolic blood pressure, body mass index, lipids [triglycerides, non-HDL cholesterol], lung function [FEV1, FVC] and C-reactive protein. The reason we selected these traits is that they were identified (by our genetic instrument) as potential downstream biological consequences of height. This approach required that studies had measured the traits of interest; studies without this information were excluded.

We pooled study-specific instrumental variable estimates using fixed-effects meta-analysis. We calculated $I^2$ statistics to quantify heterogeneity between studies and derived $P$-values from Cochran’s Q test. All $P$-values are two-sided.

In a separate analysis, we used published data from both the GIANT and CARDioGRAMplusC4D consortia to conduct a multiple instrument Mendelian randomization meta-analysis of adult height on CHD using summary level data. For the 180 GWAs height loci reported in GIANT, we extracted the rs number, beta coefficient, effect allele and $P$-value. We approximated the $Z$ statistic by...
taking the inverse cumulative standard normal distribution of the \( p \)-value and divided the beta coefficient by the \( Z \) statistic to obtain the standard error. We identified the corresponding SNPs and summary estimates for CHD in the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium and arranged SNPs so that the estimates for height and CHD corresponded to the same reference allele (Table S6, available as Supplementary data at IJE online). Using the summary estimates for height and CHD, we synthesized instrumental variable estimates for each SNP by dividing the SNP-CHD association by the SNP-height association and using the delta method to approximate the standard error.\(^{22}\) This generated an instrumental variable estimate for each of the 180 individual SNPs, which we pooled using fixed-effects meta-analysis to yield a summary effect of height on CHD.\(^{20}\)

Results

A total of 21 studies (60,028 participants) with data on IBC CardioChip array were included (Tables S2 and S4) with a median age at baseline of 61 years (range 26 to 74 years), and 51% were women (range 0% to 100%). The median height was 169 cm (range 156 to 175 cm). In total, there were 10,848 CHD and 4,878 stroke cases.

Adult height increased by 0.79 cm (95% CI: 0.75 cm, 0.84 cm) per one-unit increase in allele score derived from the IBC CardioChip array with low heterogeneity across studies (\( I^2 = 29\% , \ P = 0.108 \) (Figure 1), and explained 1.4% (95% CI: 1.2%, 1.5%) of the variance in adult height. The allele score showed no association with smoking (20 studies with 57,075 participants including 32,665 smokers, OR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.87, 1.07).

An IV analysis, using the allele score derived from IBC CardioChip array, that included 19 studies with 10,848 prevalent or incident CHD cases, found that for each 6.5-cm increase in adult height the pooled OR of CHD was 0.90 (95% CI: 0.78, 1.03). The corresponding IV estimate derived from summary data from 180 independent SNPs from the GIANT and CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortia (including up to 183,727 individuals with height and 63,746 CHD cases) yielded an OR of CHD of 0.90 (95% CI: 0.85, 0.95) for the same difference in adult height. These IV estimates were in agreement with the observational estimate reported by the ERFC (hazard ratio 0.93, 95% CI: 0.91, 0.94, Figure 2).\(^{4}\)

We analysed 4,878 cases of stroke in 43,790 participants in 17 studies (Figure 2) with data on IBC CardioChip array. The ERFC observed an OR of 0.93 (95% CI: 0.91, 0.96) per 6.5 cm difference in adult height. Our pooled IV estimate for the same height difference showed a not very dissimilar point estimate, though with wide confidence intervals (OR 0.97, 95% CI: 0.79, 1.19).

Study-specific causal estimates for the effect of height on risk of CHD and stroke in the studies for which we had access to participant data are presented in Figures S1 and S2 (available as Supplementary data at IJE online). These did not show a relationship between study precision and

---

**Figure 1.** Meta-analysis pooled estimates for the association between deciles of the allele score and adult height. Presented are pooled differences in mean adult height with corresponding 95% confidence intervals as compared with the 5th decile, derived from fixed-effect meta-analysis. N, numbers analysed in each decile.
the IV estimate, which would arise from weak instrument bias and thus bias the overall meta-analysis of IV estimates. SNP-specific instrumental variable estimates derived from summary-level data (GIANT and CARDIoGRAMplusC4D) are presented in Figure S3 and a cross-hair plot showing the relationship of height and risk of CHD across the SNPs is presented in Figure S4 (available as Supplementary data at IJE online). These show significant heterogeneity, suggesting that the causal effect identified by the allele score is a composite of multiple causal pathways which identify different magnitudes of causal effect.

Instrumental variable analyses of height derived from IBC CardioChip array on cardiovascular traits, showed that an increase of 6.5 cm in adult height had the strongest association with lung function, with a difference of 0.26 standard deviation (SD) units of FEV1 (95% CI: 0.15, 0.36) and of 0.30 SD units of FVC (95% CI: 0.20, 0.41) (Table 1). An increase of 6.5 cm in height associated with lower levels of body mass index (–0.10 SD units, 95% CI: –0.15, –0.05), triglycerides (–0.10 SD, –0.16, –0.05), non-HDL cholesterol (–0.12 SD, –0.17, –0.06),

Table 1. Meta-analysis pooled estimates derived from instrumental variable analysis for a 6.5-cm increase in adult height on cardiovascular traits. Traits are sorted according to the magnitude of the association observed with adult height

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No studies/participants</th>
<th>Difference per SD in trait for a 6.5-cm increase in height (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value, Z-test</th>
<th>Heterogeneity, I² (Cochran’s Q test P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>6/11129</td>
<td>0.30 (0.20, 0.41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0% (0.774)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁</td>
<td>6/11131</td>
<td>0.26 (0.15, 0.36)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0% (0.735)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>17/41477</td>
<td>–0.12 (–0.17, –0.06)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>32% (0.102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceridesa</td>
<td>17/42117</td>
<td>–0.10 (–0.16, –0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0% (0.513)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>20/54099</td>
<td>–0.10 (–0.15, –0.05)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>30% (0.100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive proteina</td>
<td>15/35338</td>
<td>–0.07 (–0.13, –0.00)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0% (0.761)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>19/52345</td>
<td>–0.05 (0.10, 0.00)</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0% (0.467)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose</td>
<td>18/40451</td>
<td>–0.04 (–0.10, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>13% (0.302)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>18/43030</td>
<td>0.02 (–0.03, 0.08)</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>33% (0.089)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from instrumental variable analyses are derived from 2-stage least-squares regression and pooled using fixed-effects meta-analysis.

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

aEffects of triglycerides and C-reactive protein are estimated after log-transformation. A negative difference indicates that levels of traits decrease with an increase in adult height.

Figure 2. Meta-analysis pooled causal effects for a 6.5-cm increase in adult height on the risk of cardiovascular disease. Odds ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) are estimated from fixed-effect meta-analysis of instrumental variable (IV) estimates from individual studies. Hazard ratios are taken from estimates published by the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration (ERFC). Effect estimates are per 6.5 cm increase in adult height. An estimate below 1 indicates that increasing adult height decreases the risk of cardiovascular events.
C-reactive protein (−0.07 SD, −0.13, −0.00) and a trend to lower levels in systolic blood pressure (−0.05 SD, −0.10, 0.00). We did not find evidence for an association between adult height and fasting glucose (−0.04 SD, −0.10, 0.01) or type 2 diabetes (19 studies, 60 171 participants, 7340 cases, OR per 6.5 cm height 0.99, 95% CI: 0.85, 1.15).

In an exploratory analysis using a sub-sample of prospective studies with clinical events and cardiovascular traits, we conducted a multivariate IV analysis that included height and the traits that showed an association with the gene score for height (blood pressure, BMI, lipids, lung function and CRP). These analyses suggested a diminution of the IV estimate between adult height and CHD (Figure S5, available as Supplementary data at IJE online). The null effect for stroke remained unchanged after adjustment for these traits.

Results for IV analysis derived from the IBC CardioChip array with CHD, stroke and cardiovascular traits using an unweighted allele score were similar to those externally weighted (Table S7, available as Supplementary data at IJE online).

### Discussion

In order to investigate the causal effect of completed growth, measured by adult height, on cardiovascular events and traits, we used multiple genetic instruments (derived from IBC CardioChip array, and GIANT-CARDioGRAMplusC4D consortia) associated with adult height representing completed growth, and through IV analysis showed that genetically taller individuals had a lower risk of CHD as well as differences in several cardiovascular (CV) traits that may explain the cardio-protective effect. The IV estimate indicates a 10% risk reduction in CHD for every 6.5 cm increase in standing height. This is in agreement with the observational estimate reported by the ERFC. Although we did not find evidence for a causal effect between adult height and risk of stroke, the IV estimate was imprecise, meaning we cannot exclude a causal effect.

Our analysis showed that genetically taller individuals had lower levels of adiposity (body mass index), lipid fractions (non-HDL cholesterol and triglycerides) and better lung function. Our results suggest that these physiological variables may contribute to explain the association of adult height (and completed growth) on CHD. Additional biological mechanisms, which we were not able to explore, might also explain the observed effects on CHD. For example, shorter individuals have smaller vessel calibre, which becomes more easily occluded leading to increased arterial occlusive events, and have a higher risk of more advanced coronary atherosclerosis. Shorter individuals also have faster heart rate and increased augmentation of the primary systolic pulse, indicating greater ventricular systolic work.

According to the principles of Mendelian randomization, we would expect the genetic variants to be evenly distributed with respect to potential confounding factors. However in this particular case, with respect to exposures acting in utero, a potential confounding factor described as a “dynastic effect” may lead to an imbalance whereby adults carrying more height raising alleles may have experienced greater on average maternal height (due to genomic sharing between mothers and offspring). This greater maternal height could affect the in utero environment experienced by the offspring, which could possibly influence their long-term health. However, these early environmental determinants of adult height are unlikely to entirely explain the association of adult height with CHD, derived from our IV analysis. However, it does not mean that early growth, a key period when these genes act, is not an important mechanism. Since genotype is invariant, our results also suggest that the reverse causation phenomenon of ‘shrinkage’ due to illness does not explain our effect of adult height on CHD. Furthermore, we did not observe an association between the allele score and smoking, which is a potential confounder.

Mendelian randomization studies using IV methods have been used for causal inference for a broad range of environmental exposures and diseases. However, the validity of the IV results depends on whether or not the IV assumptions (strength of the genetic instrument, minimization of confounding and specificity) hold in each specific case. First, we used multiple genetic instruments that substantially increase the proportion of the variance in height explained by the instrument (1.4% by IBC CardioChip array and 10% by GIANT consortium), which together with a large number of clinical events (in particular for CHD) lead us to counteract weak instrument bias. Of note, there was no evidence that smaller studies were more affected by weak instrument bias. Second, our genetic instrument for height was not associated with smoking, showing the ability to reduce confounding due to Mendel’s second law. Third, the use of multiple instruments increased the specificity of our genetic instrument (compared with any single instrument); this is especially important for non-protein traits that are not encoded for by a specific gene. Thus, although the significant heterogeneity among individual SNP IV estimates suggests possible non-specificity for some SNPs, taken together we expect the multiple instruments to have greater specificity, reflected in the similar IV estimates from the 69 and 180 SNP instruments. As a consequence of the strength and
specificity of our genetic instrument, we were also able to
dissect the downstream biological consequence of the
intermediate trait of interest (i.e. differences in blood pres-
sure, lung function and lipid traits as consequence of differ-
ences in adult height), known as vertical pleiotropy\textsuperscript{32,33}
and its presence does not violate the IV assumptions. We
weighted the IBC CardioChip allele score by the summary
beta coefficients from the meta-analysis,\textsuperscript{10} which included
all of the studies in this analysis and, therefore, there is a
risk of overfitting in the IV estimates. However, when we
compared our results with IV results obtained from un-
weighted scores, we found very similar results,\textsuperscript{9,34,35}
suggesting that the magnitude of potential overfitting to be
unlikely to invalidate our findings. Fourth, our findings are
in alignment with a very recent Mendelian randomization
study of height and risk of coronary artery disease;\textsuperscript{36} we
additionally considered the effect of height on risk of
stroke, and our access to participant data from the UCLEB
consortium allowed us to explore potential mediators of
the relationship between adult height and CHD.

An interesting finding from our IV analysis on cardio-
vascular traits was that taller people tend to have lower
body mass index. Previous studies have shown that
whereas adult height is directly associated with most body
girths, it is inversely associated with waist girth.\textsuperscript{37} A link
between short stature and adiposity may emerge through
associations between poor growth in early life and altered
metabolism,\textsuperscript{38} which may allow partial reduction of the
height deficit while also favouring insulin resistance and
central fat accumulation.

The main strength of our study is use of the Mendelian
randomization approach incorporating data from two very
large consortia consisting of studies with validated cardio-
vascular endpoints, and two different multiple-genetic
instruments. Our approach of using multiple SNPs in com-
*ination for Mendelian randomization has been used for
causal inference for a broad range of environmental expos-
ures and diseases (including BMI\textsuperscript{39} and lipids\textsuperscript{17}) and use
of an allele score in this regard yields reliable causal
estimates.\textsuperscript{40}

One limitation of our study was that we were unable to
explore additional biological mechanisms, which might also
explain the causal effects of height on CHD. Second,
we rescaled the IV effect for comparability with the pub-
lished ERFC results, which is only valid when assuming a
linear association between the height and the IV effect.
Third, the absence of association of our genetic instrument
with risk of stroke should be interpreted with caution:
additional Mendelian randomization studies using
multiple instruments in larger sample sizes (such as
METASTROKE) are needed to clarify the effect of adult
height on stroke. Interesting next steps would include

**Summary**

Our multiple instruments Mendelian randomization
approach provided evidence that people with a genetic
predisposition to achieve a higher completed growth,
measured by adult height, have a reduced risk of CHD,
and with potential mechanisms including better lung func-
tion and lower levels of body mass index, non-HDL chole-
terol, triglycerides and blood pressure.

**Supplementary Data**

Supplementary data are available at IJE online.
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