

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Woods, LM; (2004) International differences in breast cancer survival and 'cure' with social deprivation a comparative study of England and Australia (oral presentation). [Conference or Workshop Item] <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/2121452>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/2121452/>

DOI:

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: Copyright the author(s)

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>

International differences in breast cancer survival and 'cure': impact of social deprivation

A comparative study of England and Australia


Laura M Woods, PhD student

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Supervisor: Professor Michel P Coleman

Breast cancer - overview

- Worldwide, the most common malignancy in women
- 20% of all cancers
- 36,000 cases diagnosed in England and Wales during year 2000
- 10% of the female population of Leeds



Breast cancer overview

↑
INCIDENCE

MORTALITY ↓

- Increasing age at first birth and nulliparity
- Increasing obesity
- Screening

- Improvement in hormonal treatment and surgery
- Screening

SURVIVAL ↑

Measuring cancer survival

- Preferable measure for patient and clinician
- Separate studies are difficult to compare:
 - ▶ Reliant on accurate recording of dates (birth, diagnosis, death)
 - ▶ Different statistical methods
 - ▶ Inclusion (and exclusion) criteria

Comparative studies

- Survival highest in Sweden, Finland, France and Switzerland
- Survival lowest in UK and Eastern Europe
- Variation by age where survival is low



The image features a world map with several regions highlighted in different colors: red for high survival (Sweden, Finland, France, Switzerland), purple for low survival (UK, Eastern Europe), brown for Africa, green for Asia, and blue for Australia. A yellow box on the right contains the text 'European comparisons & the EUROCARE project', with four yellow arrows pointing to various European countries.

European comparisons & the EUROCARE project

Comparative studies


Canada and
USA (SEER)



- Focus on the comparison between deprivation groups
- Deprived in USA had lower survival than the deprived in Canada
- Conflicting findings:
USA > Canada
USA < Canada

Comparative studies


Trans-Atlantic comparisons Europe and USA



- Survival in USA higher than all 17 European countries included
- Pooled European five-year survival rate 10% lower than for USA


Comparative studies

- Survival lower than USA or Europe
- Highest in urban China



Comparative studies

- International collaboration of cancer registries
- In progress (results expected 2005)




Implications from literature review

- Comparable data
- Comparable statistical methods
- National and sub-national analyses
- Adequate adjustment for age at diagnosis
- Multi-variate analyses
- Inclusion of diagnostic delay and treatment
- Adjustment for deprivation


Comparison of breast cancer survival in Australia and England

- Extend comparative studies to Australasia
- Important similarities
 - ▶ Nationalised health care
 - ▶ Caucasian population
 - ▶ National cancer registration
- Important differences
 - ▶ Survival rates
 - ▶ Deprivation gap in survival


Survival contrasts




Survival contrasts



Survival contrasts



Survival contrasts



Aims

- Describe epidemiology of breast cancer
- Quantify the Australian advantage
- Compare the proportion 'cured'
- Investigate reasons for differences
 - ▶ Between Australia and England
 - ▶ Within Australia and England
- Investigate the role of within-country variability in international differences

Planned analysis

- National data ('big picture') and registry data (detailed analyses)
- Incidence, relative survival and 'cure'
- Several covariates:
 - ▶ deprivation category
 - ▶ age at diagnosis
 - ▶ stage of disease at diagnosis
 - ▶ screening history
 - ▶ time period of diagnosis

West Midlands Cancer Intelligence Unit

New South Wales Central Cancer Registry

- Population 5.3 million (West Midlands)
6.4 million (New South Wales)
- Register c.3000 breast cancer cases per year
- Consistent geographic boundaries 1980-2004
- Screening history available for all women through national screening programme

Data: Breast cancers 1980-2004

Variables required	National Data	Registry Data
Patient and tumour identifiers	X	X
Dates of birth, diagnosis and death or censoring	X	X
Data quality indicators	X	X
Region/ State at diagnosis	X	
Area-based deprivation category		X
Tumour characteristics		X
Screening history		X

Measuring deprivation

- No individual measure in cancer registry data
- Area-based scores (census data)
- Several indices available
 - ▶ Carstairs, Townsend, IMD (England)
 - ▶ Townsend, SEIFA (Australia)
- Several possible geographies
 - ▶ English EDs ('91), OAs, Super-OAs ('01), wards
 - ▶ Australian Collection districts (CDs)

Methods

- Relative survival analysis
 - ▶ Adjusts for background mortality
 - ▶ Permits valid comparisons between different groups of cancer patients
 - ▶ Country- and deprivation-specific life tables
- Age standardisation of survival
- Cure analysis
 - ▶ Testing of currently available models
 - ▶ Development of a more robust cure model

Research conducted in collaboration with the
West Midlands Cancer Intelligence Unit
and the
New South Wales Central Cancer Registry

Laura Woods is funded by a Medical Research
Council PhD Studentship 2003-2006