Who keeps children alive? A review of the effects of kin on child survival

REBECCA SEAR¹
London School of Economics

RUTH MACE University College London

Running headline: who keeps children alive?

Word count (text): 9,175

Tel: + 44 20 7955 7348 Fax: +44 20 7955 7415 Email: <u>r.sear@lse.ac.uk</u>

¹ Corresponding author Department of Social Policy, London School of Economics Houghton St, London WC2A 2AE, UK

Abstract

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Children pose a problem. The extended period of childhood dependency and short inter-birth intervals mean that human mothers have to care for several dependent children simultaneously. It has long been argued that this is too much of an energetic burden for mothers to manage alone, and that they must enlist help from other relatives to share the costs of raising children. Which kin help is the subject of much debate. Here, we review the evidence for whether the presence of kin affects child survival rates, in order to infer whether mothers do receive help in raising offspring and who provides this help. These 45 studies come from a variety of (mostly) natural fertility populations, both historical and contemporary, across a wide geographical range. We find that in almost all studies, at least one relative (apart from the mother) does improve the survival rates of children, but that relatives differ in whether they are consistently beneficial to children or not. Maternal grandmothers tend to improve child survival rates, as do potential sibling helpers at the nest (though the latter observation is based on rather few studies). Paternal grandmothers show somewhat more variation in their effects on child survival. Fathers have surprisingly little effect on child survival, with only a third of studies showing any beneficial effects. Overall, this review suggests that while help from kin may be a universal feature of human childrearing, who helps is dependent on ecological conditions.

1.0 Introduction

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

Human life history poses a problem for women: that of raising several dependent children simultaneously. The human birth interval, of about three years in natural fertility populations, is out of line with that of other great apes of similar body size. The orang-utan, for example, has an interbirth interval of about eight years, and the chimpanzee four-five years (see Galdikas & Wood, 1990 for a review). If human females are capable of such rapid reproduction, most anthropologists agree that this is due to the support they receive from other family members. The 'traditional view' has been that this help comes from the father – hence the human pair-bond is based on mutual interdependence of husband and wife to raise their children (e.g. Lovejoy, 1981). In hunter-gatherer societies, the division of labour is nearly always such that men bring back meat to the band, whereas women gather. However, the importance of the male contribution to the subsistence of the women and children has been questioned (Hawkes, 1990). The observation that the number of calories brought back from gathered foods often exceeds that from hunting, combined with the fact that meat is often shared widely throughout the band rather than strictly within the nuclear family (Hawkes et al., 2001; Kaplan & Hill, 1985), has lead to the suggestion that women are not as dependent on men to raise their family as once thought (Hawkes et al., 1997).

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

If human life history poses a problem for women, then it may also provide the solution. Unusually, human females spend a relatively high proportion of their lives in a non-reproductive state. Both pre- and post-reproductive individuals may be available to help mothers in raising offspring, as they can do so at relatively little cost to their own reproduction. Grandmothers, in particular, are often proposed as an alternative to male care. If grandmothers are helping to support their daughters' children, then two unusual features of

human female life history – menopause and high birthrates - can potentially be explained at once. Both may arise because menopause is an adaptation to enable grandmaternal support, which in turn enables a high human birth rate (Hawkes et al., 1998). Mothers may also use the labour of their older children, particularly daughters, to spread the costs of raising offspring. The extended juvenile period of human young is another unusual characteristic of our species, and the economic contributions of older children may also help to underwrite the costs of large family size (Kramer, 2005; Lee & Kramer, 2002#2374).

1.1 Who supports the family in hunter-gatherer societies?

How might empirical studies help us to distinguish between the two views of the human family: that the pairbond with the father is key, or that other kin, especially grandmothers, are more important as allocarers? Empirical studies on hunter-gatherer communities are data-limited, due to both the very small number of such societies that survive, and the very small number of individuals living in something approaching a hunter-gatherer lifestyle within those societies. This may have contributed to the fact that a consensus view on the relative importance of fathers as compared to grandmothers has not emerged.

The main line of evidence in this debate came from nutritional studies. Hawkes et al. (1997) point out that in the Hadza of Tanzania, children with older female relatives in their band are better nourished, and their data suggest that the hunting season is not actually a particularly good time of year for children (see also Hadley, 2004). Studies on foraging strategies in the Ache of Paraguay and in the Hadza highlight the fact that total calories and energy return rates from gathering often equal or even exceed that from hunting (Blurton Jones et al., 2000; Hill et al., 1987; Marlowe, 2003). Isotope studies on pre-historical

Californians suggest that male and female diets were so different that they appeared to be almost on different trophic levels (Walker & Deniro, 1986); the males appeared to have been living almost entirely off marine resources whereas the females must have been eating food almost exclusively terrestrial in origin. This suggests that food sharing between the sexes was minimal. But Hill, Kaplan and others (see e.g. Gurven & Hill, 1997; Gurven & Kaplan, 2006; Hill, 1993; Kaplan & Lancaster, 2003) have argued that the nature of the food brought back by males is superior and very important, leading them to conclude that the contribution of males to family nutrition is very significant (though note that an important contribution by males to the diet does not necessarily imply that fathers are directly provisioning their families). As an extreme example, Arctic hunters like the Inuit are almost entirely dependent on hunted food brought in by men. In the coldest areas, babies and young children could barely survive outside for much of the year, and thus females are dependent on their spouses for almost everything. And Marlow (2003) shows that male provisioning occurs at very important times in the Hadza, such as when a woman's foraging is handicapped because she recently gave birth.

These findings suggest that the ecology of the system influences the relative importance of fathers, grandmothers, and potentially other kin such as siblings or older offspring, in the rearing of human children. This should come as no surprise to evolutionary ecologists. The variability in hunter-gatherer ecology further highlights the fact that data from just one type of population cannot answer the question of whether humans are co-operative breeders. We will argue here that it is not necessary or sufficient to restrict our studies to extant hunting and gathering communities, none of which are necessarily cases of special importance in human history. Furthermore, very few hunter-gatherer studies can generate large enough sample sizes to estimate important determinants of rare events like mortality, or

low variance measures like fertility. There are a small number of natural fertility and natural mortality populations for which large sets of demographic data are available, some of which are historical populations. These are now being analyzed to enhance our understanding of which kin have an influence on the fitness of their descendants. Most of these populations are farmers, but farmers with high workloads, high disease burdens and high reproductive rates. Whilst most of these populations are/were growing rather than stable, the same can be said of contemporary hunter-gatherers populations too. We need to use as much data as is available to us to untangle the full story of the evolutionary ecology of human family life.

2.0 Kin effects on child mortality in a range of natural

fertility/natural mortality populations

There are many studies on the contributions of various relatives to childcare, nutrition and other aspects of development (Hewlett et al., 2000; Hurtado & Hill, 1992; Ivey, 2000) that contribute greatly to our understanding of social networks and child-rearing, but it is not always easy to determine from these studies the extent to which such help enhances the fitness of the beneficiary. In this review we shall concentrate solely on studies that have examined the effects of kin on one specific component of fitness: child mortality. For women, at least, child survival may be the most important determinant of reproductive success (Jones, 2005; Strassmann & Gillespie, 2002), since women (compared to men) have relatively low variance in fertility. Improving the survival chances of a woman's children may be the most important thing relatives can do to increase her reproductive success.

This review includes 45 populations in which the impact of at least one category of kin on child mortality has been investigated. Most populations had little or no access to

modern medical care, including contraception. A few studies do include data from populations which are moving through the demographic transition, so cannot strictly be described as natural fertility, natural mortality populations, but are nevertheless from societies in which child mortality is sufficiently high to demonstrate variation according to the presence or absence of kin. Such studies correlating the presence (often approximated by the survival status) of relatives with the survival of children do, of course, need to be interpreted with caution. Correlational studies are helpful, but suffer from the usual problem of attributing causation. Given that kin can share not only genes but frequently much of the same environment, there is a high possibility that confounding variables, not included in the analysis, are of great significance. Appropriate statistical analysis needs to be employed to minimise the chance that confounding factors will obscure genuine kin effects or result in false positives (Allison, 1984; Singer & Willett, 2003). Ideally, longitudinal datasets should be analysed using event history analysis (which allows a sensitive analysis of the effects of time-dependent variables, such as the presence of relatives, on the probability of dying over time), and including control variables for potentially confounding factors. As not all studies which have investigated this topic have used such adequately controlled statistical analysis, we have divided the sample into two groups. The statistically valid sample (n=31) includes only those studies in which at least some attempt was made to statistically control for confounding factors. Not all of these studies are longitudinal, nor do they all use event history analysis, but all have recognised the importance of confounding factors and tried to control for them in some way. The supplementary studies (n=13) present data on the impact of relatives but either do not attempt statistical analysis to demonstrate associations, or have not adequately controlled for possible confounding variables (i.e. only univariate analysis was used). The statistically valid and supplementary studies do not sum to 45 because one study (Derosas, 2002) presented an appropriately controlled event history analysis investigating the

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

effects of grandparents, but only descriptive data (and no statistical analysis) on the effects of parents.

We have presented the data in three sets of tables. Tables 1a and 1b give details of the effect of the presence of the mother on child survival (Table 1a shows the statistically valid sample, Table 1b supplementary data). Tables 2a and 2b demonstrate the effects of other kin on child survival (Table 2a the statistically valid sample, Table 2b supplementary data). In these tables, '+' indicates that the presence of a particular relative improves child survival, '-' that the relative lowers survival and 'none' the relative has no effect. Brackets indicate that the relationship was of borderline significance (0.05>p>0.1), only applied to certain children (e.g. boys or girls) or was otherwise qualified. In several cases, the kin effects only applied to children of certain ages. These age-specific effects are mentioned in the 'Other effects and notes' columns. Blank cells indicate that category of relative was not included in the study. Table 3 provides a numerical summary of the previous four tables, and shows the number of studies which have found positive, negative or no effects of each relative on child survival.

3.0 Who keeps children alive?

3.1 The importance of mothers

It comes as no surprise that in all 28 populations in which the association between mother's death and child death has been investigated, the death of the mother is clearly associated with higher child mortality (Tables 1a and 1b). That this effect exists is expected. What we wanted to determine from this analysis was firstly, how long this association lasted (i.e. is it seen throughout the whole period of childhood, or do mothers only matter to young children?), and secondly, can even young children survive the loss of their mothers? If this

association is confined to young children, and if children are able to survive the loss of their mother, this would indicate that other relatives are stepping in to help children out, if their mothers die.

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

168

169

170

Tables 1a and 1b indicate that the mother effect is strongly dependent on the age of the child. The consequences of losing a mother in very early life are catastrophic, as evidenced by the tiny proportion of children who survive if their mothers die giving birth to them: only 1.6% of Swedish children survived such a maternal death in the 19th century, and 5% of Bangladeshi children in the late 1960s (although by the 1980s, 26% of children survived maternal deaths in the same Bangladeshi population). But a child's survival chances appear to improve rapidly with age. Much higher proportions of children manage to survive the death of their mothers if it occurs during their first year of life in some populations: 35% in 19th century Caribbean and 40% in 1920s US (though these studies only investigated survival to age 1 year); 50% in Burkina Faso, 40% in historical Sweden and 48% in historical Germany (all looked at survival of the child to at least age 6 years). Studies which have statistically investigated the timing of the mother effect confirm that the effect of mother's death on child survival weakens or even disappears entirely after children are weaned. Almost all of the 13 studies which have tested whether the mother effect varies with the age of the child found evidence that the effect declines substantially as the child ages (11 found a decline with age; of the remaining two, one only investigated child mortality up to the age of five years, the other tested the timing of the effect for boys only). Five studies found that the mother effect disappeared entirely after the child reached two years of age.

190

191

192

Clearly, two year old children are not self-sufficient, so the good survival prospects of children who lose their mothers in later childhood must be due to other individuals taking

over childcare and provisioning. Tables 2a and 2b suggest who those individuals might be. These tables demonstrate clear evidence that the presence of kin is important in improving child survival. In every single study which has examined the impact of *multiple* family members on child survival (apart from the mother), at least one relative has a significant impact on child survival. This widespread importance of kin apart from the mother supports the hypothesis that women are cooperative breeders, sharing child-rearing with other family members. But which relatives help is less consistent than the fact of help itself.

3.2 How much do fathers matter?

Every study that has compared the effects of the loss of mother and father on child survival found that the loss of the father has substantially less impact than the mother's death. Indeed, Tables 2a and 2b demonstrate that fathers frequently make no difference to child survival. Table 3 shows that in eight of the 15 populations studied using appropriate statistical techniques (53%) there is no association between the death of the father and the death of the child. If supplementary studies are included this proportion rises to 68% (15 of 22 studies). Even where associations between the loss of the father and increased child mortality are found, it is not clear that this is a direct result of the loss of paternal care. In at least one case where an association was found, the relationship was more likely to have been caused by mortality crises that killed family members simultaneously (such as infectious disease) rather than any causal effect of the loss of the father: Beekink et al. (2002) found that child mortality was only increased for one month after the death of the father (whereas the effect of the mother's death lasted considerably longer). In another case, that of rural Ethiopia, father absence increased the mortality of male infants only (Gibson, in preparation).

household resources (father absence actually increased the survival of female infants in this population).

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

217

218

We interpret this variation in the impact of fathers as an indication that paternal investment in young children is facultative, and dependent on ecological conditions. Even where fathers are important for child survival, it is not clear that the benefits they bring to children are the traditionally assumed benefits of provisioning and economic support. Hurtado & Hill (1992) compared the effects of fathers on child survival in two South America hunter-gatherer groups. The loss of the father had a significant impact on Ache children, where marriages are unstable, meat widely shared among the group and fathers little involved in childcare, but no effect on Hiwi children, who are raised in nuclear families, with considerable input from the father in terms of both provisioning with meat and direct childcare. The importance of Ache fathers may instead lie in protecting their children from other males, rather than direct provisioning (infanticide of orphans was not uncommon in this group). Indirect evidence that the importance of fathers lies at least partly in protecting children from other males comes from studies of the impact of the mother's divorce and remarriage. Divorce and remarriage have been shown to increase a child's risk of dying (Alam et al., 2001; Bhuiya & Chowdhury, 1997; Sear et al., 2002). It is often not clear how much of this is due to father absence, to step-father presence or to mother absence (divorcing women may be unwilling or unable to take children with them), or indeed to the stress and violence of the divorce itself. But step-children have been found to be at greater risk of homicide than children living with natural parents (Daly & Wilson, 1988), and have higher stress levels than children living with both biological parents (Flinn & England, 1995).

240

Though these studies suggest that the importance of fathers in provisioning their young children has previously been overestimated, it does not mean that men do not invest in their offspring. Many of these analyses focus on relatively young children: 10 of the 22 father studies looked only at children under the age of five years. Such analyses may well underestimate the importance of fathers. The mortality risks of young children are likely to be highly dependent on the quality of care received (including lactation). Fathers can take no part in lactation, and in most populations take relatively little part in direct childcare (though there are exceptions: Hewlett, 1992; Huber & Breedlove, 2007), so may have little opportunity to affect the survival chances of young children, with the exception of protecting them from other males. Fathers may play more important roles in the lives of older children, teaching them subsistence skills and perhaps enhancing their marriage and fertility prospects. There is some evidence that women in traditional societies who lack fathers have later first births than those with fathers, suggesting fathers may be instrumental in arranging marriages for women (Allal et al., 2004; Waynforth, 2002). And Marlowe (2001) has found that male contribution to diet is positively correlated with female reproductive success in a crosscultural study of hunter-gatherers, although male contribution was not associated with child survival.

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

Secondly, the lack of a father effect may be because what fathers do for children can be more easily substituted than the services mothers provide to children. The care given to young children by reproductive aged women is usually directed exclusively towards the women's own children (i.e. lactation). There are rare cases of a lactating woman adopting and feeding an infant after the mother's death, but lactation is energetically costly and also inhibits conception, so that reproductive aged women can usually gain more from investing in their own offspring than looking after less closely related children. In contrast, the productive

work or protection that men provide for children can more easily be directed towards children other than their own. Though evidence does suggest that men are disinclined to invest in the progeny of other men (hence the role fathers play in some societies as protectors against other men), there are strategies that can be used to spread the 'fathering' role amongst other men. Hrdy (2000), in a review of the ethnographic literature on mating behaviour, suggests that women are more polyandrous than has been traditionally supposed. This polyandry functions in part to improve child survival by confusing or spreading paternity in order to protect children from potentially infanticidal males and/or encourage several males to invest in mothers and children. For example, in some South American hunter-gatherer communities, paternity is considered to be 'partible', i.e. any man who has sex with the mother around the time of conception and pregnancy is regarded as a father of the child. In both the Ache and among Bari hunter-gatherers of Venezuela, children with multiple fathers do better than those with only one (Beckerman et al., 2002; Hill & Hurtado, 1996) – though Ache children with many fathers did less well than those with one primary and one secondary father. An alternative strategy for spreading the fathering role may be patriliny, where patrilineally related men and their wives may live and work in close proximity. In such societies, patrilineally related males may take over the father's responsibilities if a child's father dies, especially where the levirate is practiced (women marrying their husband's brother after widowhood). In the Gambian population we have studied, patrilines live in extended family compounds, and the levirate is common (around 40% of widows married their dead husbands' brothers). Children may therefore suffer little after the death of their fathers, as any services provided by the father can be taken over by other related males in the compound.

288

289

290

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

Additionally, the loss of the father may affect the investment decisions of other relatives, such as grandmothers and grandfathers, who may increase their investment to

compensate for the lack of the father (Winking, in press). For example, though illegitimate children tended to have higher mortality rates than legitimate children in historical Europe (providing indirect evidence for the importance of male support: van Poppel, 2000), there is some suggestion that kin support from maternal grandparents could alleviate the disadvantages of illegitimacy, indicating interactions between the presence of the father and extended kin (Blaikie, 1998).

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

291

292

293

294

295

296

The facultative and time-varying nature of paternal investment makes adaptive sense given that child mortality is probably not the most important determinant of male reproductive success. Under some circumstances at least, men are likely to achieve significantly greater gains in fitness by directing their efforts towards gaining additional mates rather than investing in existing children. In polygynous societies, men have the option of spending their resources on attracting additional wives. This could account for some of the variation: for example, the absence of a father effect in polygynous Gambians or Kipsigis (Borgerhoff Mulder, in press; Sear et al., 2002), but a significant positive effect of fathers in monogamous, historical Quebec (Beise, 2005). Even if successfully polygynous men were inclined to provide for children, they would find it rather difficult to provision all of their offspring; men with multiple wives can father considerable numbers of children (the most reproductively successful man in our Gambian population had 36 children). We conclude that a full investigation of how much fathers matter requires analysing the effects of fathers on all components of reproductive success; investigating how such investment may vary over the life-cycle of both fathers and children; and how such investment varies according to specific environmental conditions.

314

3.3 Grandmothers and child mortality

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

If the impact of fathers on the survival of children is variable, is there any evidence that the impact of grandmothers is more consistently beneficial? The results presented in Tables 2a and 2b suggest that grandmothers may be more reliable sources of help than fathers, though they do not have universally positive effects on child survival. There are also some differences between maternal and paternal grandmothers, with maternal grandmothers appearing to be somewhat more reliable helpers than paternal grandmothers. In total, maternal grandmothers improved child survival in 69% of cases (nine of 13 studies); the proportion is similar if only statistically valid studies are taken into account (seven of 11: 64%). Paternal grandmothers seem to be somewhat less consistent helpers if all studies are considered: they improve child survival in 53% of cases (nine of 17), though the proportion rises to 60% of statistically valid studies. Tables 2 and 3 also highlight that kin are not necessarily always beneficial to children: in two studies there was a detrimental effect of paternal grandmothers on child survival, and in one case the maternal grandmother had a detrimental effect (though this latter dataset did not include grandmaternal effects for children whose mothers had died, and in such cases anecdotal evidence suggested maternal grandmothers play a crucial role: Sear, 2006). This greater variability in the effects of paternal grandmothers may be in part explained by the greater age of paternal than maternal grandmothers, due to females reproducing earlier than males (though maternal age, and sometimes age of grandparents, is controlled for in those studies in Table 2a). Or it may reflect their lower level of genetic relatedness to their patrilineal descendants (due to paternity uncertainty). Separating out the effects of maternal and paternal relatives on female fitness is clearly important, as maternal and paternal kin may therefore differ in both their ability and inclination to invest in children. This may explain why two of the three studies

which have not separated out the effects of maternal from paternal grandmothers have found no effect.

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

339

340

A closer inspection of the timing of these grandmaternal effects suggests evidence that maternal and paternal relatives have different roles to play in the lives of mothers and children. In some populations, maternal grandmothers appear to have the strongest effect around the age of weaning (Beise, 2002, 2005; Sear et al., 2002). Weaning is a dangerous time for children. It increases their exposure to pathogens in food, and is often associated with the arrival of a younger sibling, when mothers divert their attention away from weaned children and to their new babies. Maternal grandmothers may be stepping in to protect children from the dangers associated with this stage of childhood (see Thompson & Rahman, 1967 for an example of this in the Gambia). Paternal grandmothers, in contrast, often appear to have the strongest effect (whether beneficial or detrimental) during the first month or year of a child's life (Beise, 2002, 2005; Kemkes-Grottenthaler, 2005). Mortality in this period is less dependent on exogenous causes (such as quality of care received) and more dependent on endogenous causes (such as low birthweight: Mosley & Chen, 1984). Birthweight is correlated with the condition of the mother during pregnancy (Andersson & Bergstrom, 1997; Kirchengast & Hartmann, 1998). Paternal grandmothers may therefore affect child mortality by affecting the condition of the mother during pregnancy. This effect may be beneficial (perhaps by helping out with domestic or productive tasks) or detrimental (stress and harassment may lead to worse maternal condition and higher neonatal mortality rates). The pathways through which maternal and paternal grandmothers affect child survival may therefore be somewhat different: the former help out with direct childcare; the latter affect the condition of the mother, and thereby the child, by helpful (or occasionally harmful) behaviour during pregnancy.

Most of the studies in this review have only used correlational evidence to infer helping behaviour from kin, but Gibson & Mace (2005) also collected time budget data to establish what relatives were actually doing for one another. This analysis provides further support for the suggestion that maternal and paternal relatives perform different functions in women's lives. Maternal grandmothers were found to help women out with heavy domestic tasks, thus freeing mothers for childcare. Paternal grandmothers, on the other hand, were more likely to help women with agricultural work, an activity from which they may gain a direct benefit (i.e. a share in the harvest).

3.4 What about grandfathers and other adult kin?

Grandfathers are much less important to children. In 10 of 12 cases (83%), maternal grandfathers had no effect on child survival, though a positive effect in the remaining two cases. Paternal grandfathers had no effect in six of 12 cases (50%); a negative effect in three (25%) and a positive effect in three cases (25%). However, even where associations are found between grandfathers and child survival they tend to be of borderline statistical significance. In four of the six cases where paternal grandfathers had an impact on child survival, for example, the effect was borderline or applied only to female children.

Data on the effects of related reproductive-aged adults on child survival (apart from parents, such as aunts and uncles) is relatively scarce. The little evidence available suggests the effects of such relatives are very mixed (see the 'Other effects and notes' columns in Tables 2a and 2b for details). The children of Kipsigis agropastorialists in Kenya do better if they have either paternal or maternal uncles (Borgerhoff Mulder, in press). Chewa children in Malawi have lower survival if maternal aunts are present, but only in households in which

women own resources. In the minority of households in which men own resources, maternal aunts protect against child mortality (Sear, 2007). Venetian children apparently neither gain nor suffer from aunts or uncles (but neither maternal nor paternal, nor aunts and uncles were distinguished: Derosas, 2002). Similarly, aunts and uncles have no impact on Ache child (though maternal and paternal relatives were not distinguished: Hill & Hurtado, 1996) In historical China, the presence of reproductive aged females (usually paternal aunts) increased mortality for motherless children (Campbell & Lee, 2002). 19th century Mormon children benefited from maternal uncles and either kind of aunt (Heath, 2003). Reproductive-aged adults may be in a position to help one another with childcare, domestic tasks or productive activities, but also may either be too concerned with the well-being of their own small children, or actively in competition with each other for resources to be consistently beneficial. In a study of childcare arrangements in Efe hunter-gatherers, Ivey (2000) found that children were frequently looked after by individuals other than their mothers but these allocarers were rarely other women who had nursing infants of their own. Data from historical studies do however suggest that one category of reproductive-aged women may be beneficial for child survival: stepmothers. Despite numerous folk tales warning of the dangers of the wicked stepmother, both Andersson et al. (1996) and Campbell and Lee (2002) found that children with stepmothers had similar risks of dying to those children who still had their own mothers, which were considerably lower than the mortality risks of children without either mothers or stepmothers. Such analyses need to be interpreted with care, as children with stepmothers will be older and have experienced the death of their mothers further in the past than most motherless children. But if this is not a statistical artifact, such philanthropic behaviour on the part of step-mothers may be a form of mating effort, as has been suggested for step-parental behaviour in non-human animals (Rohwer et al., 1999).

413

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

3.5 Helpers at the nest

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

Rather few studies have investigated the effect of potential sibling 'helpers at the nest' on child survival, despite the widespread observation that the labour of older children is used by parents both for domestic work (including childcare) and productive activities (Borgerhoff Mulder & Milton, 1985; Cain, 1977; Kramer, 2002, 2005; Weisner & Gallimore, 1977). The effects of older siblings, however, are complicated by competitive relationships. Several studies have found that older siblings increase, rather than decrease, the risk of death for children (e.g. Das Gupta, 1987; Kemkes, 2006; Muhuri & Preston, 1991). These effects are usually interpreted as parental manipulation of the size and sex composition of their families for optimal allocation of limited family resources. Here, we only present studies which have investigated the effect of older siblings who are potential helpers, rather than competitors, by restricting the analysis to those children several years older than the focal child (at least three years older, and often more, depending on the study). Restricting the analysis in this way is not a perfect method of identifying the effect of helpers at the nest, and will bias the sample in other ways, e.g. it will include a disproportionate number of later born children, and exclude firstborns. But all of the studies which investigated helping at the nest used some statistical controls, which should reduce, though not eliminate, potentially confounding factors. Only six studies analysed helping at the nest, but five of these studies find potential helpers have a positive effect on child survival. The sixth study only investigated the effects of adult siblings, who may have been occupied with children of their own. In some cases this positive effect is specific to older sisters, suggesting the domestic responsibilities of juvenile girls (including childcare) are important, but in other cases the sex of helpers does not matter, suggesting all activities contributed by pre-reproductives are beneficial.

3.6 Confounding effects

Some of the studies in the sample found that kin effects are not straightforward. In a few populations, the effect of a particular category of kin was only seen for children of one sex. Mothers themselves are known to invest differentially in children according to sex and birth order. Other kin may mirror the investment decisions of mothers, by investing in similarly favoured children. The reproductive interests of kin are not necessarily identical to those of the mother, however. Sorenson Jamison et al. (2002) highlight the possibility that paternal grandmothers in Japan are influenced by concerns of lineage, which means that certain children (such as later born boys who may be unwelcome competitors for favoured male heirs) are particularly disadvantaged, whereas other grandchildren may be supported. Such sex-specific and birth order biases, which are found in a number of wealth-inheriting societies, would confound attempts to label individual kin relationships as always positive or negative for child survival. Such grandmothers would, nonetheless, be attempting to promote their lineage, albeit at the expense of certain unfortunate grandchildren.

Availability of resources also seems to alter kin effects. Both Borgerhoff Mulder (in press) and Leonetti et al. (2004) found interactions between kin effects and wealth. In the Kipsigis, paternal uncles are most important for buffering rich children against mortality but maternal uncles are more important in poor families (Borgerhoff Mulder, in press). In India, husbands were more likely to help women out in poorer households (Leonetti et al., 2004). In the latter study, the condition of the mother also mattered. There was a tendency for men to be more helpful to women with fewer resources, both economic and physiological: shorter women were more likely to be helped by husbands. There were also interactions between help given by husbands and grandmothers (more help from grandmothers correlated with less help from husbands). These complications to the story of kin help suggest that help from any

category of kin may be facultative to some extent, depending on other factors such as the available resources, the mother's ability to rear children and the presence of other kin.

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

463

464

A final word about confounding effects. A common criticism of studies which find a correlation between the survival of a particular relative and child survival is that these effects might simply be due to shared genes or environment, i.e. certain children come from 'healthy' families where both they and their relatives have good survival prospects, and others come from 'unhealthy' families where their own survival chances are low, as is the probability that their relatives have survived long enough to help care for them. While such explanations cannot entirely be ruled out, the results presented in Tables 1 and 2 suggest that shared genes or environment is unlikely to be the full explanation in all cases. For example, if such confounding effects were important we would expect to see positive relationships between children and all categories of kin. Instead we see considerable variation between relatives and between populations in which kin are important for child survival. The effects of kin are also often dependent on the age of the child. Again, if shared genes or environment were responsible for these results then the survival of kin should be correlated with child survival throughout the child's life. Thirdly, several studies have controlled for shared environment between relatives by including statistical controls for economic factors (e.g. Borgerhoff Mulder, in press; Gibson & Mace, 2005; Leonetti et al., 2005), or by using hierarchical models which control for family-level effects (e.g. Beise, 2002; Borgerhoff Mulder, in press; Sear et al., 2002; Tymicki, 2006). Significant kin effects are still seen even using such controls. Finally, the authors of these studies are frequently aware of this potential confound and have often used additional analysis or ethnographic evidence to interpret the results of their correlational analysis, to provide assurances that these results are unlikely to be entirely due to shared genes or environment (see, e.g., Sear et al. in press).

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

4.0 Discussion

4.1 Evolution and the human family

What does this review tell us about the evolution of the human family? Clearly, there is a problem using data on current populations to infer anything about evolutionary history. Certainly the study of a single society tells us little about evolution of a particular trait. In the Gambia, we found positive effects of maternal grandmothers and no effect of fathers on child survival, but this does not constitute strong evidence in favour of the importance of older women and the unimportance of men in the human family. These results could have arisen due to some peculiarities of Gambian ecology. Cross-cultural analysis is essential to determine which traits are common across societies and which vary according to environmental conditions (see e.g. Walker et al., 2006 for an example on growth). This review offers hints about which features of the human family may have been common throughout our evolutionary history, and which are adaptations to local environments. We conclude from this review that kin support in rearing offspring does appear to be a human universal. Support from maternal kin (especially grandmothers) may perhaps be more reliable than that from paternal kin, though no category of kin is universally beneficial. Support from fathers for young children also appears to be facultative, and dependent on ecological conditions.

507

508

509

510

511

But does even this cross-cultural review tell us anything about the *evolution* of the human family? This review covers a variety of human cultures, but examining the impact of relatives on child mortality is a data intensive exercise. This means that the dataset has relatively few hunter-gatherers, and is biased towards those who made at least some of their

living from farming. Is it possible that throughout most of our history we have lived in relatively stable (perhaps nuclear) families where fathers assume more importance in provisioning children, or even where mothers were better able to provision their children alone? The variation we see among extant populations may be, at least in part, a response to a shift in subsistence and demographic patterns to a set of conditions which make helping by extended kin more favourable. For example, if agricultural populations have higher fertility and lower adult mortality than hunter-gatherers, this might make kin (such as grandmothers and older children) both available and necessary as helpers. Draper & Harpending (1987) have suggested that paternal involvement and sibling care may differ systematically between foraging and farming communities, with father involvement much more common among foragers and sibling care more frequent among farmers (see also Hewlett, 1991). Kaplan & Lancaster (2003) have also argued that shifts in subsistence strategy during human history have been accompanied by shifts in optimal family structure. In particular, they assert that the move from foraging to horticulture and agriculture was accompanied by a significant reduction in the importance of male provisioning to children.

If there are such systematic differences in the family structures of farmers and foragers, then our sample may well overestimate or underestimate the importance of certain relatives. However, it seems unlikely to us that one particular family structure has been of paramount importance throughout human history. Existing hunter-gatherer populations are hardly uniform in either their subsistence strategies or demographic patterns. Hunter-gatherer populations have, after all, been used to illustrate both the importance of fathers (Ache), and the importance of grandmothers (Hadza). This particular debate might reflect differences between Old World and New World foragers, since foragers in the Old World tend to rely relatively more on gathering and have lower male contributions to the diet than New World

foragers (Marlowe, 2005). There are also problems in using extant hunter-gatherer populations as models for past hunter-gatherers as many of the remaining hunter-gatherers occupy marginal environments unsuitable for farming activities (though this view has recently been questioned: Marlowe, 2005). This variability shown by hunter-gatherer populations is unlikely to have been of recent origin, given that recent estimates suggest hominins have had a wide geographical distribution (i.e. outside of Africa) for nearly 2 million years (Dennell & Roebroeks, 2005). If early hominids had a wide geographic distribution then they probably occupied a variety of different environments, with associated plasticity in behavioural characteristics.

It seems more parsimonious to us to assume that human family systems have always been somewhat flexible and responsive to ecological conditions, as are those of many other primates. After all, as Hrdy (2005) points out, relying exclusively on a single category of kin (such as fathers) seems a rather risky strategy, given the improbability that one particular relative will survive and be able to help throughout a woman's reproductive career.

4.2 Evolution of human life history

We introduced this paper by describing the unusual features of human female life history – late puberty, short birth spacing and menopause. Does this review tell us anything important about the evolution of human female life history characteristics? We have found unmistakable support for the hypothesis that women receive help from kin in raising children in extant populations, but can we infer from this that characteristics of human life history can be explained by the cooperative nature of human reproduction? Again, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the evolution of a particular trait by examining existing populations. For example, grandmothers (of one kind or another) do appear to be almost universally beneficial

across societies in improving the fitness of their relatives: in all 12 studies which investigated the impact of both maternal and paternal grandmothers, as least one kind of grandmother was beneficial for child survival. This provides some support for the grandmother hypothesis for menopause, but we still cannot be entirely certain that menopause evolved because of its fitness benefits. It may be that grandmothers invest in their grandchildren because they are unable to continue having children of their own, and investing in grandchildren is better than investing in nothing at all. Rather than relying solely on statistical investigations of patterns of behaviour in modern populations, mathematical modelling may be necessary to get at the evolution of particular traits, by quantitatively testing whether a particular trait is likely to have evolved given a set of parameters.

Most attempts to build quantitative models in which women can compensate for lost fertility in later life through enhancing the fitness of children and grandchildren have failed to find fitness benefits sufficiently large to favour menopause at 50 (Grainger & Beise, 2004; Hill & Hurtado, 1991; Rogers, 1993). Shanley & Kirkwood (2001) argue that menopause at a slightly older age could be favoured if a range of selective forces are combined, including an increase in maternal mortality with age, as well as grandmaternal effects both on grandchild survival and on their daughters' fertility (and these latter effects need to be large). When parameterising this model with data from the Gambia (Shanley et al. in prep), we find that maternal and grandmaternal effects on child survival are particularly important, and parental contributions to daughters' fertility are less important. But again, realistic parameter values suggest a late age menopause is adaptive, which implies that some important effect may still be missing from the model.

That these quantitative analyses suggest marginal, if any, benefits of menopause at 50, has contributed to a belief that grandparental and parental care are a significant selective force on human longevity, but not necessarily on the timing of menopause (Hawkes et al., 1998). Recent work has focussed on modelling the mortality schedules and aging patterns of our species, rather than a specific component of human life history such as menopause. These models have suggested that many of the peculiarities of human life history, including a long juvenile period, long lifespan and postreproductive life, may hinge on intergenerational transfers in general (not specifically those from grandmothers, but including all transfers from older to younger individuals: Kaplan & Robson, 2002; Lee, 2003; Pavard et al., 2007). The mathematical framework needed to address these problems continues to develop. Such models would also benefit from more information on the parameters needed to inform these models: effect sizes for kin help across a number of different populations would illustrate the relative importance of mothers, fathers and grandmothers. Whether elaborations of these models using realistic human parameters can explain menopause, as well as other human life history characteristics, better than existing models awaits further analysis.

4.3 Next steps

This review has of necessity been a fairly crude analysis of the effects of kin on child mortality: we have simply presented numerical data on the number of populations which have found, or failed to find, an effect of various relatives on child survival. We have attempted no meta-analysis of the data presented here, because of the considerable variation in statistical methodology (or lack of it) used in these studies. Even in those studies which do calculate effect sizes, the magnitude of the effects cannot be compared directly for a number of reasons, including differences in the age of children being studied, in which confounding factors were controlled, and whether interactions between the effect and child's age were

included in the models. Additionally, not all studies in this sample can be considered independent data points, since a few come from similar populations. Nevertheless, we believe this is a useful exercise as a first step in systematically determining which kin are helpful to mothers in raising children and under which circumstances these kin help. This review has found some commonalities but also substantial variation between populations in which kin help women raise children. The next step is to explain this variation within an evolutionary ecological framework. This could involve a meta-analysis of those studies which have investigated this issue, testing hypotheses about the circumstances under which particular kin help, preferably using appropriately phylogenetically controlled methods (Mace & Pagel, 1994), though this is unlikely to be practical until more studies can be collated on the effects of kin on child survival. We suggest the following, by no means exhaustive, list of potential factors may affect the level of help offered by particular relatives. (1) Subsistence strategy, which may affect: (a) the degree to which certain kin may help (e.g. children may be economically productive in some agricultural societies, but less so hunter-gatherer communities); and (b) the division of labour between sexes, which affects what kind of help kin can provide and the extent to which help is necessary. (2) Demography: the probability of having a particular relative around to help depends on a number of demographic factors such as sex-specific mortality rates, age-specific fertility rates and age difference between spouses. (3) Marriage and mating systems (which will also be linked to demography through the operational sex ratio): polygynous men are likely to find it difficult to invest in children from several mothers, and will also have alternative mating opportunities which make mating effort more productive than parental effort. (4) Resource availability: which may affect the demography and marriage patterns of a population. (5) Inheritance patterns: which may result in selective helping of certain children. (6) Residence patterns: which will affect which kin are most available for help.

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

This study has only examined statistical correlations between the survival of kin and survival of children. While we have attempted to separate out studies which are likely to have demonstrated genuine correlations from those which have not adequately controlled for potentially confounding factors, even those studies which have used appropriate statistical analysis have not demonstrated a *causal* relationship between the presence of kin and the survival of children. A better understanding of the pathways by which kin help would improve our understanding of why these associations are found (and provide reassurance these effects are not merely statistical artifacts). The studies that are presented here suggest that the pathways through which kin influence reproductive success may well differ between relatives. Men and women appear to help in different ways, because of sexual division of labour within societies (e.g. help in direct childcare is much more likely to come from female kin than male kin). There also appear to be differences in the kinds of help offered by maternal and paternal kin in their helping behaviour (and not only in the frequency with which they offer help: Beise, 2005; Gibson & Mace, 2005). Pathways may also be more variable for fathers than for other kin. Fathers can potentially provide a variety of services to children including provisioning with food, providing protection from other males, childcare, and other social benefits. Female kin tend to confine their roles to lifting energetic burdens from women by helping out with childcare, domestic and subsistence activities. This review has also highlighted that not all kin are beneficial. Suggestions for the detrimental effects of relatives on child survival have included competition for resources (Campbell & Lee, 1996) and conflicting interests between women and their husband's kin (Beise, 2002; Voland & Beise, 2005). These results suggest that any models which attempt to investigate the evolution of certain life history traits need to take into account differences between maternal and paternal kin, as well as potential conflicts between relatives.

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

4.4 Relevance to current family policy debates

Finally, we conclude with a brief discussion of the relevance of such evolutionary analysis to family policy. There is a tendency for policymakers in Western countries to believe that the nuclear family model is most beneficial for individuals, children and society, and that the decline in marriage and increase in divorce and single motherhood in recent years marks an unprecedented decline in the family in human history (McDonald, 2000). This nuclear family model also usually includes a rather rigid view of the division of labour within families, with mothers primarily concerned with childcare and the domestic sphere, and fathers responsible for economic provisioning. Policy theorists have claimed that all welfare states were initially predicated on the nuclear family model, and most still subscribe to some degree to this model (Lewis, 1992; Sommestad, 1997). There is an enormous literature arguing that father absence has detrimental consequences for children (see Sigle-Rushton & McLanahan, 2004 for a review), reinforcing the view that marriage is good for children, divorce is bad, and that children should grow up in a home with both biological parents. But this review shows that the human family is clearly a diverse entity, and that the nuclear family system may not be the normative solution to the problem of raising children in all circumstances (though it may be in others).

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

What is clear from this review is that this nuclear model is a rather unusual family system in extant populations, which gives us little reason to assume that it has been common throughout our evolutionary history. The three features which make this nuclear family model somewhat unusual are: that women are expected to care for children alone; that women are not expected to contribute any productive labour; and the vital role that fathers play in the economic support of the family. The studies cited here demonstrate that mothers

do not raise their children alone in many societies, but receive substantial help from others, so that it is not at all unusual for children to receive care from other kin and group members. It is also extremely unusual for women to take no part in productive activities. Hewlett, in a table titled 'the myth of the male breadwinner', tabulates the contribution of women to the family diet from 90 societies worldwide and observes that in half the societies the breadwinner role was shared roughly equally between men and women, and that the number of societies in which men were the main breadwinners was equalled by the number of societies in which females contributed the majority of the family diet (Hewlett, 2000). Not dissimilar results are seen if only hunter-gatherers are considered (Hewlett, 1991; Marlowe, 2005). This both questions the lack of female involvement in production, and also the role that fathers play within the family. While fathers may well be important to their offspring, exactly what they do to support their children, and how this investment is patterned across the life-cycle, is likely to vary substantially both between and within societies, according to the level of available resources, degree of paternity certainty and other factors. Additionally, the lack of a substantial father effect on child mortality in many societies suggests that when fathers are absent, other relatives or group members may be able to compensate for the loss of the father. These observations of considerable variation in optimal family structure suggest it might be useful for policymakers to take a slightly less rigid approach when considering what is the best environment to raise a child.

705

706

707

708

709

710

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

This does raise the question of exactly how such evolutionary analyses can be used to inform family policy, if at all. For example, knowledge that the best kind of family to raise a child can take several forms may not be necessarily useful to policymakers trying to formulate policies at a national level. A recent attempt to use evolutionary psychology to inform family policy appeared to conclude that evolutionary approaches are useful because

they allow us to understand better the preferences of individuals, so that social policy can be directed towards fulfilling these preferences (Browne, 2002). However, an evolutionary perspective also tells us that the preferences of individuals may be well in conflict: the preferences of men may not coincide with the preferences of women; the preferences of children may not coincide with those of parents; and the preferences of the family may very well be in conflict with those of institutions such as employers, governments, etc.

Evolutionary analyses can be used to gain a better understanding of human behaviour, but cannot be used to provide easy policy solutions.

5.0 Conclusion

We have presented evidence that human children benefit from an extended family and that kin support can enhance female reproductive success. There are several studies focussing on components of reproductive success that further support this view, but we narrowed our discussion here to those that could identify a kin effect on child survival, an unambiguous determinant of reproductive success, so that we could unpick differing influences within the family. This analysis reveals some commonalities and some differences in kin help. A consistency across studies is that at least one relative is beneficial in almost all populations, suggesting that we are evolved to raise children as an extended family enterprise. Maternal grandmothers tend to improve child survival, as do elder sibling 'helpers-at-the-nest'. Paternal grandmothers are frequently beneficial, but show rather more variation than maternal grandmothers in their effects on child survival. Fathers' contributions to child survival appear to be surprising small. This review has also highlighted that kin interactions are not always beneficial, and that the presence of certain kin may occasionally be harmful for child

survival. A systematic analysis of what causes this variation in kin support should be the next step in furthering our understanding of the human family.

6.0 Acknowledgements

Thanks to Monique Borgerhoff Mulder, Mhairi Gibson and one anonymous reviewer
for helpful comments on the text. Thanks also to Mhairi Gibson, Monique Borgerhoff
Mulder, Krzysztof Tymicki and Jeff Winking for permission to use unpublished data.

7.0 References

741

Alam, N., Saha, S.K., Razzague, A., & Van Ginneken, J.K. (2001) The effect of divorce on 742 743 infant mortality in a remote area of Bangladesh. Journal of Biosocial Science, 33, 744 271-278. Allal, N., Sear, R., Prentice, A.M., & Mace, R. (2004) An evolutionary model of stature, age 745 746 at first birth and reproductive success in Gambian women. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences, 271, 465-470. 747 748 Allison, P.D. (1984) Event History Analysis: regression for longitudinal event data. Newbury Park: Sage Publications. 749 750 Andersson, R. & Bergstrom, S. (1997) Maternal nutrition and socio-economic status as 751 determinants of birthweight in chronically malnourished African women. Tropical Medicine & International Health, 2, 1080-1087. 752 Andersson, T., Högberg, U., & Åkerman, S. (1996) Survival of orphans in 19th century 753 Sweden: the importance of remarriages. Acta Paediatrica, 85, 981-985. 754 755 Becher, H., Muller, O., Jahn, A., Gbangou, A., Kynast-Wolf, G., & Kouyate, B. (2004) Risk 756 factors of infant and child mortality in rural Burkina Faso. Bulletin of the World 757 Health Organization, 82, 265-273. Beckerman, S., Lizarralde, R., Lizarralde, M., Bai, J., Ballew, C., Schroeder, S., Dajani, D., 758 759 Walkup, L., Hsiung, M., Rawlins, N., & Palermo, M. (2002). The Barí partible paternity project, Phase One. In S. Beckerman & P. Valentine (Eds.) Cultures of 760 761 Multiple Fathers: the Theory and Practice of Partible Paternity in Lowland South 762 America (pp. 27-41). Gainesville: University Press of Florida. 763 Beekink, E., van Poppel, F., & Liefbroer, A.C. (1999) Surviving the loss of the parent in a 764 nineteenth-century Dutch provincial town. Journal of Social History, 32, 641-670.

765 Beekink, E., van Poppel, F., & Liefbroer, A.C. (2002). Parental death and death of the child: 766 common causes or direct effects? In R. Derosas & M. Oris (Eds.), When Dad Died: 767 *Individuals and Families Coping with Distress in Past Societies* (pp. 234-260). Bern: 768 Peter Lang. 769 Beise, J. (2002) A multilevel event history analysis of the effects of grandmothers on child 770 mortality in a historical German population, Krummhörn, Ostfriesland, 1720-1874. 771 Demographic Research, 7, 13. 772 Beise, J. (2005). The helping grandmother and the helpful grandmother: the role of maternal 773 and paternal grandmothers in child mortality in the 17th and 18th century population 774 of French settlers in Quebec, Canada. In E. Voland, A. Chasiotis & W. Schiefenhoevel (Eds.) Grandmotherhood: the Evolutionary Significance of the 775 776 Second Half of the Female Life (pp. 215-238). New Brunswick: Rutgers University 777 Press. Bhuiya, A. & Chowdhury, M. (1997) The effect of divorce on child survival in a rural area of 778 779 Bangladesh. Population Studies, 51, 57-62. Bishai, D., Brahmbhatt, H., Gray, R., Kigozi, G., Serwadda, D., Sewankambo, N., Suliman, 780 781 E.D., Wabwire-Mangen, F., & Wawer, M. (2003) Does biological relatedness affect 782 child survival? Demographic Research, 8. 783 Blaikie, A. (1998) Infant survival chances, unmarried motherhood and domestic 784 arrangements in rural Scotland, 1845-1945. Local Population Studies, 60, 34-46. 785 Blurton Jones, N., Hawkes, K., & O'Connell, J.F. (1996). The global process and local ecology: how should we explain the differences between the Hadza and the !Kung? In 786 787 S. Kent (Ed.) Cultural Diversity Among Twentieth-Century Foragers (pp. 159-187). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 788

789 Blurton Jones, N.G., Marlowe, F., Hawkes, K., & O'Connell, J.F. (2000). Paternal investment 790 and hunter-gatherer divorce rates. In L. Cronk, N. Chagnon & W. Irons (Eds.) 791 Adaptation and Human Behaviour: an Anthropological Perspective (pp. 69-89). New 792 York: Aldine de Gruyter. 793 Borgerhoff Mulder, M. (in press) Hamilton's rule and kin competition: the Kipsigis Case. Evolution and Human Behavior. 794 795 Borgerhoff Mulder, M. & Milton, M. (1985) Factors affecting infant care in the Kipsigis. Journal of Anthropological Research, 41, 231-262. 796 797 Breschi, M. & Manfredini, M. (2002). Parental loss and kin networks: demographic 798 repercussions in a rural Italian village. In R. Derosas & M. Oris (Eds.), When Dad Died: Individuals and Families Coping with Distress in Past Societies (pp. 369-387). 799 800 Bern: Peter Lang. 801 Brittain, A.W. (1992) Birth spacing and child mortality in a Caribbean population. *Human* 802 Biology, 64, 223-241. 803 Browne, K.R. (2002) Biology at Work: Rethinking Sexual Equality. New Brunswick: Rutgers 804 University Press. 805 Cain, M. (1977) The economic activities of children in a village in Bangladesh. *Population* and Development Review, 3, 201-227. 806 807 Campbell, C. & Lee, J.Z. (1996) A death in the family: household structure and mortality in 808 rural Liaoning: life-event and time-series analysis, 1792-1867. History of the Family, 809 1, 297-328. Campbell, C. & Lee, J.Z. (2002). When husbands and parents die: widowhood and 810 811 orphanhood in late Imperial Liaoning, 1789-1909. In R. Derosas & M. Oris (Eds.), When Dad Died: Individuals and Families Coping with Distress in Past Societies (pp. 812 813 301-322). Bern: Peter Lang.

814	Chen, L.C., Gesche, M.C., Ahmed, S., Chowdhury, A.I., & Mosley, W.H. (1974) Maternal
815	mortality in rural Bangladesh. Studies in Family Planning, 5, 334-341.
816	Crognier, E., Baali, A., & Hilali, M.K. (2001) Do "helpers at the nest" increase their parents'
817	reproductive success? American Journal of Human Biology, 13, 365-373.
818	Crognier, E., Villena, M., & Vargas, E. (2002) Helping patterns and reproductive success in
819	Aymara communities. American Journal of Human Biology, 14, 372-379.
820	Daly, M. & Wilson, M. (1988) Homicide. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
821	Das Gupta, M. (1987) Selective discrimination against female children in India. <i>Population</i>
822	and Development Review, 13, 77-101.
823	Dennell, R. & Roebroeks, W. (2005) An Asian perspective on early human dispersal from
824	Africa. Nature, 438, 1099-1104.
825	Derosas, R. (2002). Fatherless families in 19th century Venice. In R. Derosas & M. Oris
826	(Eds.), When Dad Died: Individuals and Families Coping with Distress in Past
827	Societies (pp. 421-452). Bern: Peter Lang.
828	Draper, P. & Harpending, H. (1987). Parent investment and the child's environment. In J.B.
829	Lancaster, J. Altmann, A.S. Rossi & L.R. Sherrod (Eds.) Parenting Across the
830	Lifespan: biosocial dimensions (pp. 207-235). New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
831	Flinn, M.V. & England, B.G. (1995) Childhood stress and family environment. Current
832	Anthropology, 36, 854-866.
833	Galdikas, B.M.F. & Wood, J.W. (1990) Birth spacing patterns in humans and apes. American
834	Journal of Physical Anthropology, 83, 185-191.
835	Gibson, M.A. (in preparation) Does investment in the sexes differ when fathers are absent?
836	Parental investment and sex-biased infant mortality and child growth in rural
837	Ethiopia.

838	Gibson, M.A. & Mace, R. (2005) Helpful grandmothers in rural Ethiopia: A study of the
839	effect of kin on child survival and growth. Evolution and Human Behavior, 26, 469-
840	482.
841	Grainger, S. & Beise, J. (2004) Menopause and post-generative longevity: testing the
842	'stopping early' and 'grandmother' hypotheses. Max Planck Institute for Demographic
843	Research Working Paper 2004-003.
844	Griffiths, P., Hinde, A., & Matthews, Z. (2001) Infant and child mortality in three culturally
845	contrasting states of India. Journal of Biosocial Science, 33, 603-622.
846	Gurven, M. & Hill, K. (1997) Comment on 'Hadza women's time allocation, offspring
847	provisioning and the evolution of long postmenopausal life spans' by Hawkes et al.
848	Current Anthropology, 38, 566-567.
849	Gurven, M. & Kaplan, H. (2006) Determinants of time allocation across the lifespan: a
850	theoretical model and an application to the Machiguenge and Piro of Peru. Human
851	Nature, 17, 1-49.
852	Hadley, C. (2004) The costs and benefits of kin: kin networks and children's health among
853	the Pimbwe of Tanzania. Human Nature, 15, 377-395.
854	Hawkes, K. (1990). Why do men hunt? Benefits for risky choices. In E. Cashdan (Ed.) Risk
855	and Uncertainty in tribal and peasant economies (pp. 145-166). Boulder, Colorado:
856	Westview Press.
857	Hawkes, K., O'Connell, J.F., & Blurton Jones, N.G. (1997) Hadza women's time allocation,
858	offspring provisioning and the evolution of long postmenopausal life spans. Current
859	Anthropology, 38, 551-578.
860	Hawkes, K., O'Connell, J.F., Blurton Jones, N.G., Alvarez, H., & Charnov, E.L. (1998)
861	Grandmothering, menopause and the evolution of human life histories. Proceedings of
862	the National Academy of Sciences, USA, 95, 1336-1339.

863	Hawkes, K., O'Connell, J.F., & Jones, N.G.B. (2001) Hunting and nuclear families: some
864	lessons from the Hadza about men's work. Current Anthropology, 42, 681-709.
865	Heath, K.M. (2003) The effects of kin propinquity on infant mortality. Social Biology, 50,
866	270-280.
867	Hewlett, B.S. (1991) Demography and childcare in preindustrial societies. <i>Journal of</i>
868	Anthropological Research, 47, 1-37.
869	Hewlett, B.S. (1992). Husband-wife repciprocity and the father-infant relationship among
870	Aka pygmies. In B.S. Hewlett (Ed.) Father-Child Relations (pp. 153-176). New York:
871	Aldine de Gruyter.
872	Hewlett, B.S. (2000) Culture, history and sex: anthropological contributions to
873	conceptualizing father involvement. Marriage and Family Review, 29, 59-73.
874	Hewlett, B.S., Lamb, M.E., Leyendecker, B., & Schölmerich, A. (2000). Parental investment
875	strategies among Aka foragers, Ngandu farmers and Euro-American urban-
876	industrialists. In L. Cronk, N. Chagnon & W. Irons (Eds.) Adaptation and Human
877	Behaviour: an Anthropological Perspective (pp. 155-177). New York: Aldine de
878	Gruyter.
879	Hill, K. (1993) Life history theory and evolutionary anthropology. <i>Evolutionary</i>
880	Anthropology, 2, 78-88.
881	Hill, K. & Hurtado, A.M. (1991) The evolution of premature reproductive senescence and
882	menopause in human females: an evaluation of the "grandmother hypothesis". Human
883	Nature, 2, 313-350.
884	Hill, K. & Hurtado, A.M. (1996) Ache Life History: The Ecology and Demography of a
885	Foraging People. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.

886	Hill, K., Kaplan, H., Hawkes, K., & Hurtado, A.M. (1987) Foraging decisions among Ache
887	hunter-gatherers: new data and implications for optimal foraging models. Ethology
888	and Sociobiology, 8, 1-36.
889	Högberg, U. & Broström, G. (1985) The demography of maternal mortality: 7 Swedish
890	parishes in the 19th century. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 23,
891	489-497.
892	Hrdy, S.B. (2000) The optimal number of fathers: evolution, demography, and history in the
893	shaping of female mate preferences. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences,
894	907, 75-96.
895	Hrdy, S.B. (2005). Cooperative breeders with an ace in the hole. In E. Voland, A. Chasiotis &
896	W. Schiefenhoevel (Eds.) Grandmotherhood: the Evolutionary Significance of the
897	Second Half of Female Life (pp. 295-317). New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
898	Huber, B.R. & Breedlove, W.L. (2007) Evolutionary theory, kinship, and childbirth in cross-
899	cultural perspective. Cross-Cultural Research, 41, 196-219.
900	Hurtado, A.M. & Hill, K.R. (1992). Paternal effect on offspring survivorship among Ache
901	and Hiwi hunter-gatherers: implications for modeling pair-bond stability. In B.S.
902	Hewlett (Ed.) Father-Child Relations: Cultural and biosocial contexts (pp. 31-55).
903	New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
904	Ivey, P.K. (2000) Cooperative reproduction in Ituri Forest Hunter-Gatherers: Who cares for
905	Efe infants. Current Anthropology, 41, 856-866.
906	Jones, J.H. (2005) Fetal programming: Adaptive life-history tactics or making the best of a
907	bad start? American Journal of Human Biology, 17, 22-33.
908	Kaplan, H. & Hill, K. (1985) Food sharing among Ache foragers: tests of explanatory
909	hypotheses. Current Anthropology, 26, 223-246.

910	Kaplan, H. & Lancaster, J. (2003). An evolutionary and ecological analysis of human
911	fertility, mating patterns and parental investment. In K.W. Wachter & R.A. Bulatao
912	(Eds.) Offspring: Human Fertility in Biodemographic Perspective (pp. 170-223).
913	Washington: National Academies Press.
914	Kaplan, H.S. & Robson, A.J. (2002) The emergence of humans: The coevolution of
915	intelligence and longevity with intergenerational transfers. Proceedings of the
916	National Academy of Sciences, USA, 99, 10221-10226.
917	Katz, J., West, K.P., Khatry, S.K., Christian, P., LeClerq, S.C., Pradhan, E.K., & Shrestha,
918	S.R. (2003) Risk factors for early infant mortality in Sarlahi district, Nepal. Bulletin og
919	the World Health Organization, 81, 717-725.
920	Kemkes-Grottenthaler, A. (2005) Of grandmothers, grandfathers and wicked step-
921	grandparents: differential impact of paternal grandparents on grandoffspring survival.
922	Historical Social Research, 30, 219-239.
923	Kemkes, A. (2006) Does the sex of firstborn children influence subsequent fertility behavior?
924	Evidence from family reconstitution. Journal of Family History, 31, 144-162.
925	Kirchengast, S. & Hartmann, S. (1998) Maternal prepregnancy weight status and pregnancy
926	weight gain as major determinants for newborn weight and size. Annals of Human
927	Biology, 25, 17-28.
928	Koenig, M.A., Fauveau, V., Chowdhury, A.I., Chakraborty, J., & Khan, M.A. (1988)
929	Maternal mortality in Matlab, Bangladesh: 1976-85. Studies in Family Planning, 19,
930	69-80.
931	Kramer, K.L. (2002) Variation in juvenile dependence: helping behavior among Maya
932	children. Human Nature, 13, 299-325.
933	Kramer, K.L. (2005) Children's help and the pace of reproduction: cooperative breeding in
934	humans. Evolutionary Anthropology, 14, 224-237.

935 Ladusingh, L. & Singh, C.H. (2006) Place, community education, gender and child mortality 936 in North-East India. Population, Space and Place, 12, 65-76. Lahdenpera, M., Lummaa, V., Helle, S., Tremblay, M., & Russell, A.F. (2004) Fitness 937 938 benefits of prolonged post-reproductive lifespan in women. Nature, 428, 178-181. 939 Lee, R.D. (2003) Rethinking the evolutionary theory of aging: Transfers, not births, shape social species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States 940 941 of America, 100, 9637-9642. Lee, R.D. & Kramer, K.L. (2002) Children's economic roles in the Maya family life cycle: 942 943 Cain, Caldwell, and Chayanov revisited. Population and Development Review, 28, 475-499. 944 945 Leonetti, D.L., Nath, D.C., Hemam, N.S., & Neill, D.B. (2004) Do women really need 946 marital partners for support of their reproductive success? The case of the matrilineal 947 Khasi of NE India. Research in Economic Anthropology, 23, 151-174. Leonetti, D.L., Nath, D.C., Hemam, N.S., & Neill, D.B. (2005). Kinship organisation and the 948 949 impact of grandmothers on reproductive success among the matrilineal Khasi and patrilineal Bengali of Northeast India. In E. Voland, A. Chasiotis & W. 950 951 Schiefenhoevel (Eds.) Grandmotherhood: the Evolutionary Significance of the Second Half of Female Life (pp. 194-214). New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press. 952 953 Lewis, J. (1992) Gender and the development of welfare regimes. *Journal of European Social* 954 Policy, 2, 159-173. Lovejoy, C.O. (1981) The origin of man. Science, 211, 341-350. 955 Mace, R. & Pagel, M. (1994) The comparative method in anthropology. Current 956 957 Anthropology, 35, 549-564. Marlowe, F. (2001) Male contribution to diet and female reproductive success among 958 foragers. Current Anthropology, 42, 755-760. 959

960 Marlowe, F.W. (2003) A critical period for provisioning by Hadza men - Implications for 961 pair bonding. Evolution and Human Behavior, 24, 217-229. 962 Marlowe, F.W. (2005) Hunter-gatherers and human evolution. Evolutionary Anthropology, 963 14, 54-67. 964 Masmas, T.N., Jensen, H., da Silva, D., Hoj, L., Sandstrom, A., & Aaby, P. (2004) Survival among motherless children in rural and urban areas in Guinea-Bissau. Acta 965 966 Paediatrica, 93, 99-105. McDonald, P. (2000) The "toolbox" of public policies to impact on fertility - a global view. 967 968 Paper prepared for the Annual Seminar 2000 of the European Observatory on Family 969 Matters, Low Fertility, Families and Public Policies, Sevilla (Spain), 15-16 970 September 2000. 971 Mosley, W.H. & Chen, L.C. (1984) An analytical framework for the study of child survival 972 in developing countries. *Population and Development Review*, \$10, 25-45. 973 Muhuri, P.K. & Preston, S.H. (1991) Effects of family composition on mortality differentials 974 by sex among children in Matlab, Bangladesh. Population and Development Review, 975 17, 415-434. 976 Over, M., Ellis, R.P., Huber, J.H., & Solon, O. (1992). The consequences of adult ill-health. 977 In R.A. Feachem, T. Kjellstrom, C.J.L. Murray, M. Over & M.A. Phillips (Eds.) The 978 Health of Adults in the Developing World (pp. 161-207). Oxford: Oxford University 979 Press. Pavard, S., Gagnon, A., Desjardins, B., & Heyer, E. (2005) Mother's death and child survival: 980 The case of early Quebec. Journal of Biosocial Science, 37, 209-227. 981 982 Pavard, S., Sibert, A., & Heyer, E. (2007) The effect of maternal care on child survival: a demographic, genetic and evolutionary perspective. Evolution, 61, 1153-1161. 983

984 Ragsdale, G. (2004) Grandmothering in Cambridgeshire, 1770-1861. Human Nature, 15, 301-317. 985 Reher, D.S. & González-Quiñones, F. (2003) Do parents really matter? Child health and 986 987 development in Spain during the demographic transition. Population Studies, 57, 63-988 75. Rogers, A.R. (1993) Why menopause? Evolutionary Ecology, 7, 406-420. 989 Rohwer, S., Herron, J.C., & Daly, M. (1999) Stepparental behavior as mating effort in birds 990 991 and other animals. Evolution and Human Behavior, 20, 367-390. 992 Sear, R. (2007) Kin and child survival in rural Malawi: are matrilineal kin beneficial in a matrilineal society? Manuscript under review 993 994 Sear, R., Allal, N., & Mace, R. (in press). Family matters: kin, demography and child health 995 in a rural Gambian population. In G.R. Bentley & R. Mace (Eds.) Substitute Parents: 996 Alloparenting in Human Societies. New York: Berghahn Books. 997 Sear, R., Mace, R., & McGregor, I.A. (2000) Maternal grandmothers improve the nutritional 998 status and survival of children in rural Gambia. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences, 267, 461-467. 999 Sear, R., Steele, F., McGregor, I.A., & Mace, R. (2002) The effects of kin on child mortality 1000 1001 in rural Gambia. *Demography*, 39, 43-63. 1002 Shanley, D.P. & Kirkwood, T.B.L. (2001) Evolution of the human menopause. *Bioessays*, 23, 1003 282-287. Sigle-Rushton, W. & McLanahan, S. (2004). Father absence and child well-being: a critical 1004 review. In D.P. Moynihan, T. Smeeding & L. Rainwater (Eds.) The Future of the 1005 1006 Family, (pp. 116-158). New York: Russell Sage Foundation. Singer, J.D. & Willett, J.B. (2003) Applied Longitudinal Data Analysis: Modeling Change 1007 1008 and Event Occurrence. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

1009	Sommestad, L. (1997) Welfare state attitudes to the male breadwinning system: the United
1010	States and Sweden in comparative perspective. International Review of Social
1011	History, 42, 153-174.
1012	Sorenson Jamison, C., Cornell, L.L., Jamison, P.L., & Nakazato, H. (2002) Are all
1013	grandmothers equal? A review and a preliminary test of the "Grandmother
1014	Hypothesis" in Tokugawa Japan. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 119,
1015	67-76.
1016	Strassmann, B.I. & Gillespie, B. (2002) Life-history theory, fertility and reproductive success
1017	in humans. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences
1018	269, 553-562.
1019	Thompson, B. & Rahman, A.K. (1967) Infant feeding and child care in a West African
1020	village. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics, 13, 124-138.
1021	Tsuya, N.O. & Kurosu, S. (2002). The mortality effects of adult male death on women and
1022	children in agrarian households in early modern Japan: evidence from two
1023	Northeastern villages, 1716-1870. In R. Derosas & M. Oris (Eds.), When Dad Died:
1024	Individuals and Families Coping with Distress in Past Societies (pp. 261-299). Bern:
1025	Peter Lang.
1026	Tymicki, K. (2006) The correlates of infant and childhood mortality: a theoretical overview
1027	and new evidence from the analysis of longitudinal data from Bejsce parish register
1028	reconstitution study 18th-20th centuries, Poland. Paper presented at Population
1029	Association of America Annual Conference 2006, Los Angeles.
1030	van Poppel, F. (2000) Children in one-parent families: Survival as an indicator of the role of
1031	the parents. Journal of Family History, 25, 269-290.
1032	Voland, E. (1988). Differential infant and child mortality in evolutionary perspective: data
1033	from late 17th to 19th century Ostfriesland (Germany). In L. Betzig, M. Borgerhoff

1034	Mulder & P. Turke (Eds.) Human Reproductive Behaviour: a Darwinian Perspective
1035	(pp. 253-276). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
1036	Voland, E. & Beise, J. (2002) Opposite effects of maternal and paternal grandmothers on
1037	infant survival in historical Krummhörn. Behavioural Ecology & Sociobiology, 52,
1038	435-443.
1039	Voland, E. & Beise, J. (2005). "The husband's mother is the devil in the house": data on the
1040	impact of the mother-in-law on stillbirth mortality in historical Krummhörn (C18-19
1041	Germany) and some thoughts on the evolution of postgenerative female life. In E.
1042	Voland, A. Chasiotis & W. Schiefenhoevel (Eds.), Grandmotherhood: the
1043	Evolutionary Significance of the Second Half of the Female Life (pp. 239-255). New
1044	Brunswick: Rutgers University Press.
1045	Walker, P.L. & Deniro, M.J. (1986) Stable Nitrogen and Carbon Isotope Ratios in Bone-
1046	Collagen as Indexes of Prehistoric Dietary Dependence on Marine and Terrestrial
1047	Resources in Southern-California. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 71,
1048	51-61.
1049	Walker, R., Gurven, M., Hill, K., Migliano, A., Chagnon, N., De Souza, R., Djurovic, G.,
1050	Hames, R., Hurtado, A.M., Kaplan, H., Kramer, K.L., Oliver, W.J., Valeggia, C.R., &
1051	Yamauchi, T. (2006) Growth rates and life histories in 22 small-scale societies.
1052	American Journal of Human Biology, 18, 295-311.
1053	Waynforth, D. (2002). Evolutionary theory and reproductive responses to father absence:
1054	implications of kin selection and the reproductive returns to mating and parenting
1055	effort. In C.S. Tamis-LeMonda & N. Cabera (Eds.), Handbook of Father
1056	Involvement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives, (pp. 337-357). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence
1057	Earlbaum Associates.

1058	Weisner, T.S. & Gallimore, R. (1977) My brother's keeper: child and sibling caretaking.
1059	Current Anthropology, 18, 169-190.
1060	Winking, J. (in press) Are men really that bad as fathers? The role of men's investments.
1061	Social Biology.
1062	Winking, J., Gurven, M., & Kaplan, H. (2006) Measuring impacts of fathers among the
1063	Tsimane of Bolivia. Paper presented at the Human Behavior and Evolution Society
1064	Conference 2006, Philadelphia, USA.
1065	Woodbury, R.M. (1926) Infant Mortality and Its Causes. Baltimore, MD: Williams and
1066	Wilkins.
1067	Yerushalmy, J., Kramer, M.S., & Gardiner, E.M. (1940) Studies in childbirth mortality:
1068	puerperal fatality and loss of offspring. Public Health Reports, 55, 1010-27.
1069	Zaba, B., Whitworth, J., Marston, M., Nakiyingi, J., Ruberantwari, A., Urassa, M., Issingo,
1070	R., Mwaluko, G., Floyd, S., Nyondo, A., & Crampin, A. (2005) HIV and mortality of
1071	mothers and children: evidence from cohort studies in Uganda, Tanzania, and Malawi.
1072	Epidemiology, 16, 275-280.
1073	

Table 1a: Studies of the effect of the mother on child survival

Population	Authors	Effect of	Age of children	Timing of mother	%age surviving mother's death	Notes
		mothers	studied	effect	mother sucath	
Nepal (Sarlahi)	Katz et al., 2003	+	0-24	0-24		Maternal deaths ² only considered. Effect size increased
1994-97			weeks	weeks ¹		with age of infant.
Caribbean (St Barthélemy) 1878-1976	Brittain, 1992	+	0-1 yr		35%	%age survival to 1 yr after mother's death in first year
Gambia (4 villages) 1950-74	Sear et al., 2000; 2002	+	0-5 yrs	< 2 yrs only		Nutritional status also lower without mothers
Kenya (Kipsigis) 1945-90	Borgerhoff Mulder, in press	+	0-5 yrs			
Burkina Faso (Nouna) 1992-99	Becher et al., 2004	+	0-5 yrs	0-5 yrs	50%	%age survival in follow-up period (0-5 yrs) after mother's death in first year. Effect weakens with child's age
Sub-Saharan Africa ³ 1980s-2000	Zaba et al., 2005	+	0-5 yrs	<2 yrs only		Effect limited to first yr after mother's death. Relationship holds for HIV –ve children
Canada (Quebec) 1680-1750	Beise, 2005	+	0-5 yrs	0-5 yrs		Effect weakens with child's age
Poland (Bejsce) 1737-1968	Tymicki, 2006	+	0-5 yrs	0-5 yrs		
Guinea-Bissau 1990-98	Masmas et al., 2004	+	0-8 yrs	<2 yrs only		Low HIV prevalence, so effect not due to mother-to-child-transmission of HIV
Paraguay (Ache) 1890-1971	Hill & Hurtado, 1996	+	0-9 yrs	0-9 yrs		Weak evidence that effect declines with child's age (interaction between mother and child's age sig at p=0.09)
Netherlands (Woerden) 1850-1930	Beekink et al., 1999; 2002	+	0-12 yrs	<6 mths / 0-12 yrs		1999 paper suggests effect only seen <6 mths; 2002 paper effect seen up to age 12, though weakens with child's age
Italy (Tuscany) 1819-59	Breschi & Manfredini, 2002	+	0-12 yrs			
Canada (Quebec) 1625-1759	Pavard et al., 2005	+	0-15 yrs	0-15 yrs		Effect weakens with child's age. Neonates excluded. Effect stronger on girls after age 3 yrs
Sweden (Sundsvall) 1800-1895	Andersson et al., 1996	+	0-15 yrs	<1 yr only	40%	%age survival to 15 yrs after mother's death in first year
Japan (Central) 1671-1871	Sorenson Jamison et al., 2002	+	1-16 yrs			Effect stronger for boys (but seen in all children)
China (NE) 1774-1873	Campbell & Lee, 1996, 2002	+	~1-15 yrs	Strongest ~6-10 yrs		Timing of effect only tested for boys

¹ Excluded from discussion of timing effects since only very young children included in the study ² Definition of maternal death may differ between studies but broadly refers to death due to childbirth ³ Pooled data from 3 cohort studies in Tanzania, Malawi and Uganda

Table 1b: Supplementary data on the effect of mothers on child survival (not statistically controlled for confounding factors)

Population	Authors	Effect of mothers	Age of children	Timing of effect	%age surviving mother's death	Notes
			studied			
US (New York State) 1936-38	Yerushalmy et al., 1940	+	0-1 mth			Maternal deaths only considered
Bangladesh (Matlab) 1967-70	Chen et al., 1974	+	0-1 yr		5%	%age survival to 1 year after maternal death
Bangladesh (Matlab) 1976-85	Koenig et al., 1988	+	0-1 yr		25.9%	%age survival to 1 year after maternal death. Deaths among older siblings <3 yrs not affected by maternal death
US (8 cities) 1920s	Woodbury, 1926	+	0-1 yr		40%	%age survival to 1 yr after mother's death in first month
Tanzania (Hadza) 1980s-90s	Blurton Jones et al., 1996	+	0-5 yrs			
Uganda (Rakai) 1994-2000	Bishai et al., 2003	+	0-6 yrs			Effect holds for HIV –ve children
Bangladesh (Matlab) 1983-85	Over et al., 1992	+	0-9 yrs			Effect substantially stronger for girls
Spain (Aranjuez) 1870-1950	Reher & González- Quiñones, 2003	+	0-9 yrs	<2 yrs only		Effect strongest for boys in neonatal period; girls at older ages. Effect increases over calendar time. Nutritional status also lower without mothers
Italy (Venice) 1850-69	Derosas, 2002	+	0-10 yrs			
Germany (Ostfriesland) 1668-1879	Voland, 1988	+	0-15 yrs		48.5%	%age survival to 15 yrs after loss of mother in first year
Sweden (7 parishes) 19 th C	Högberg & Broström, 1985	+	0-15 yrs	<5 yrs only	1.6%, 3%, 13%	%age survival to age 5 if child lost mother at birth, during first year and between 1-5 yrs respectively
UK (Cambridgeshire) 1770-1861	Ragsdale, 2004	+	0-15 yrs			Loss of mother within 2 yrs of birth of child

Table 2a: Studies of the effects of fathers, grandparents and older siblings on child survival

Population	Authors	Age of child	Effect of fathers	Effect of maternal	Effect of paternal	Effect of maternal	Effect of paternal	Effect of older	Other effects and notes
Gambia (4 villages)	Sear et al., 2000;	(yrs) 0-5	none	gms +	gms none	gfs none	gfs none	sibs +	Elder sisters only increase survival (not
1950-74	2002	0-3	Hone		Hone	none	Hone		brothers), and only at 24-59 mths; divorce -
Canada (Quebec) 1680-1750	Beise, 2005	0-5	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	Fathers improve survival 1-23 mths; pgms in first month; mgms 12-35 mths; mgfs 36-59 mths; pgfs 36-59 mths but only for girls
Malawi (Chewa) 1992-1997	Sear, 2007	0-5	none	(-)	(+)	none	none	+	Mgms borderline, but sig at p<0.05 for girls only; mat aunts – in families where women own resources, + where men do; divorce -
Kenya (Kipsigis) 1945-90	Borgerhoff Mulder, in press	0-5	none	none	+	none	none		Mat and pat uncles +; pgm and mat uncle effects stronger in poor households; pat uncle effect stronger in rich households
Poland (Bejsce) 1737-1968	Tymicki, 2006	0-5	+	+	+	+	+		All grandparental effects seen only in first year; father effect seen at all ages
Japan (Central) 1671-1871	Sorenson Jamison et al., 2002	1-16	none	(+)	(-)	none	(-)		Mgm effect borderline; pgm effect only seen for boys; pgfs only for girls
Germany (Ludwigshafen) 1700-1899	Kemkes- Grottenthaler, 2005	0-2		none	+	none	-		Pgm effect only in first year
Ethiopia (Oromo) 1993-2003	Gibson, in preparation; Gibson & Mace, 2005	0-5	+/-	(+)	(+)	none	none		Father effect only investigated 0-1 yr: no overall effect, but + for boys and - for girls; mgm effect borderline; pgm effect only seen for girls
Germany (Krummhörn) 1720-1874	Beise, 2002; Voland & Beise, 2002	0-5		+	-	none	none		Pgm effect seen in first month; mgm effect esp pronounced 6-12 mths
Italy (Venice) 1850-69	Derosas, 2002	0-10		none	(+)	none	(-)		Pgm effect only seen in orphaned children; pgf effect only <1yr; both effects borderline; no effect aunts/uncles
India (Khasi) 1980-2000	Leonetti et al., 2004, 2005	0-10	none	+					Mgm effect seen in first yr only
Bolivia (Tsimane) 1930s-2000s	Winking et al., 2006	0-10	none						Child's risk of murder was increased if father was dead, but not overall mortality
Italy (Tuscany) 1819-59	Breschi & Manfredini, 2002	0-12	none						Death of father increased risk of emigration

Sweden (Sundsvall)	Andersson et al.,	0-15	none				Stepmother +
1800-95	1996						
Japan (NE)	Tsuya & Kurosu,	2-14	+				
1716-1870	2002						
Netherlands (Woerden)	Beekink et al.,	0-12	(+)				Fathers only had effect within 1 mth of
1850-1930	1999, 2002						their deaths
India (Bengali)	Leonetti et al.,	0-10		+			Pgm effect only seen in children 1-9 yrs
1980-2000	2005						
India (Uttar Pradesh)	Griffiths et al.,	0-2		+			Pgm effect only in first mth
1990-3	2001						
India (Tamil Nadu)	Griffiths et al.,	0-2		none			
1990-3	2001						
India (Maharashtra)	Griffiths et al.,	0-2		none			
1990-3	2001						
NE India (8 states)	Ladusingh &	0-5		none			
1994-9	Singh, 2006						
Bolivia (Aymara)	Crognier et al.,	0-15				+	Elder brothers and sisters improve survival
1960s-90s	2002						
Morocco (Berber)	Crognier et al.,	0-15				+	Elder brothers and sisters improve survival
1930-80	2001						
Finland (5 communities)	Lahdenpera et al.,	0-15		(+)			Pat and mat gms not distinguished; effect
18 th &19 th C	2004						only seen 2-15 yrs, and only for gms <60
- 4	*****	0.0					yrs old
Paraguay (Ache)	Hill & Hurtado,	0-9	+	none	none	none	Mat and pat grandparents not distinguished;
1890-1971	1996						elder sibs only include adult sibs; no effect
CI: (ME)	C 1 11 0 T	1 17	(.)				aunts or uncles
China (NE)	Campbell & Lee,	~1-15	(+)	none	-		Father effect only in girls; pat and mat
1774-1873	1996, 2002						grandparents not distinguished; presence of
							'adult women' increases mortality for boys
							if no mother or stepmother present;
							stepmother +

Table 2b: Supplementary data on the effects of fathers, grandparents and older siblings on child survival (not statistically controlled for confounding factors)

Population	Authors	Age of child	Effect of fathers	Effect of maternal	Effect of paternal	Effect of maternal	Effect of paternal	Effect of older	Other effects and notes
		(yrs)		gms	gms	gfs	gfs	siblings	
UK (Cambridgeshire) 1770-1861	Ragsdale, 2004	0-15	none	+	none	none	none		
Utah (Mormons) 19 th century	Heath, 2003	0-1		+	none	none	(+)		Pgf effect borderline; mat aunts, mat uncles and pat aunts +
Tanzania (Hadza) 1980s-90s	Blurton Jones et al., 2000	0-5	none						Father absence tested (including death and desertion)
Venezuela (Hiwi) ~1980s	Hurtado & Hill, 1992	0-5	none						Father absence tested (including death and divorce)
Uganda (Rakai) 1994-2000	Bishai et al., 2003	0-6	none						
Bangladesh (Matlab) 1983-85	Over et al., 1992	0-9	none						
Spain (Aranjuez) 1870-1950	Reher & González- Quiñones, 2003	0-9	none						Fathers improve nutritional status
Italy (Venice) 1850-69	Derosas, 2002	0-10	none						

Table 3: summary of kin effects on child survival (figures in brackets represent percentages)

	Statistically valid				Supplementary				Total			
	Number of studies	+ve effect	-ve effect	No effect	Number of studies	+ve effect	-ve effect	No effect	Number of studies	+ve effect	-ve effect	No effect
Mothers	16	16	0	0	12	12	0	0	28	28	0	0
		(100)				(100)				(100)		
Fathers ⁴	15	7	1	8	7	0	0	7	22	7	1	15
		(47)	(7)	(53)				(100)		(32)	(4)	(68)
Maternal gms	11	7	1	3	2	2	0	0	13	9	1	3
		(64)	(9)	(27)		(100)				(69)	(8)	(23)
Paternal gms	15	9	2	4	2	0	0	2	17	9	2	6
		(60)	(13)	(27)				(100)		(53)	(12)	(35)
Non-specific gms	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	2
		(33)		(67)						(33)		(67)
Maternal gfs	10	2	0	8	2	0	0	2	12	2	0	10
		(20)		(80)				(100)		(17)		(83)
Paternal gfs	10	2	3	5	2	1	0	1	12	3	3	6
		(20)	(30)	(50)		(50)		(50)		(25)	(25)	(50)
Non-specific gfs	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1
			(50)	(50)							(50)	(50)
Older sibs	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	5	0	1
		(83)		(17)						(83)		(17)

_

⁴ Percentages do not sum to 100 in this row because one study found a positive effect of fathers on the survival of sons and a negative effect on the survival of daughters