Effectiveness of Interventions to Prevent Sexually Transmitted Infections and Human Immunodeficiency Virus in Heterosexual Men

A Systematic Review

A. Rani Elwy, PhD; Graham J. Hart, PhD; Sarah Hawkes, PhD, MBBS; Mark Petticrew, PhD

ur objective was to review systematically studies of interventions to prevent transmission of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in heterosexual men. Of 1157 studies identified through database and hand searching, 27 met our inclusion criteria. Most interventions targeted specific groups of men (eg, those attending STI clinics) rather than general populations. Few were conducted with men alone, and most focused on behavioral and social psychological rather than morbidity outcomes. Of 8 interventions designed to reduce STI incidence (including HIV), 5 were successful, 2 were unsuccessful, and 1 had equivocal results. Of the 5 successful interventions, 1 was carried out in the workplace, 1 in the military, and 3 in STI clinics. They included on-site individual counseling and HIV testing, mass communications regarding risk reduction, and multiple-component motivation and skills education in STI clinics. More high-quality research into the effectiveness of interventions targeting heterosexual men is needed, especially methodologically sound trials to evaluate effects on morbidity. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:1818-1830

> Heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is increasing globally.1 This is despite widespread efforts to prevent the spread of the disease through behavioral and educational interventions and delivery of services aimed at offering free HIV testing and counseling. Additionally, treatment for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) known to facilitate HIV transmission has also been used to control its spread among men and women.^{2,3} Heterosexual men may be key to controlling the spread of the STI and HIV epidemics. Sexually transmitted infections, including HIV, are more easily transmitted from men to women than women to men.⁴ Indeed, women are twice as likely as men to become infected by a variety of sexually transmitted pathogens,⁵ and the efficiency of male-tofemale transmission of HIV is approximately 4 times higher than female-tomale transmission.⁶ Aside from the

increased biological risk of transmission, women may be at high risk of STI and HIV owing to social and cultural norms of behavior that dictate that women cannot decline sexual intercourse with their partners or insist on the use of barrier methods for protection during intercourse.⁷ Moreover, these same social and cultural norms often allow men to seek sexual pleasure outside of the home, thereby possibly increasing the risk of acquiring STIs, including HIV.⁸

No systematic reviews have been conducted to determine the most effective social and behavioral means of preventing the spread of STIs and HIV among heterosexual men. Reviews conducted on populations other than heterosexual men have demonstrated that few studies are of sound methodological quality. In a review of 68 behavioral interventions to prevent HIV and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS),⁹ the authors rated only 18 of these as being of high methodological quality. Five of the 18 interventions were judged to be effective at preventing HIV and AIDS by the reviewers, while 7 were judged ef-

From the Medical Research Council, Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland (Drs Elwy, Hart, and Petticrew), and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, England (Dr Hawkes).

Database	Years Searched
AIDSLine	1980-2000
ASSIA	1987-1999
BIDS (IBSS; EMBASE)	1980-1999
British Nursing Index (BNI)	1985-1998
CINAHL	1982-1999
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews	1998-2000
Current Research in Britain (CRIB)	1999 13th ed
Database of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE)	1995-1999
ERIC	1966-1999
HealthPromis (Health Development Agency, United Kingdom)	1964-2000
HealthSTAR	1988-1999
Health CD	1983-1999
Helping Involve Men (HIM; Johns Hopkins)	1983-2000
HMIC (King's Fund, United Kingdom)	1979-2000
MEDLINE	1966-2001
National Research Register (United Kingdom)	1998-1999
OCLC PapersFirst & Proceedings First	1993-1999
Popline	1983-1999
PsycInfo	1967-2000
SIGLE	1980-2000

fective by the authors of the studies. Four of these 5 effective interventions demonstrated a change in young people's sexual behavior, while the remaining effective intervention targeted homosexual and bisexual men.

Systematic reviews of interventions that consist of providing information on the HIV status of participants in an effort to prevent transmission have also been undertaken. In a meta-analysis of 27 HIV testing and counseling interventions,¹⁰ it was concluded that HIV counseling and testing was not an effective primary prevention strategy for uninfected participants, although it seemed to provide an effective means of secondary prevention for HIV-positive individuals. However, heterosexual men were not the main focus of these reviews. Men are not a homogeneous group.11 Heterosexual men of all ages may have different needs than women, men who have sex with men, or adolescents as a group and, based on prevailing attitudes and sociocultural and demographic characteristics, they may also respond differently than these other populations to interventions. Therefore results from existing systematic reviews cannot necessarily be generalized to adult men who have sex with women.

A second limitation of previous systematic reviews assessing the effectiveness of interventions to prevent STIs and HIV is that they only sought to include North American studies. It is known that men's attitudes toward contraception affect efforts to prevent STIs in the United States.¹² However, the association between men's sexual attitudes and their sexual behavior in other parts of the world has not been reviewed systematically. The spread of HIV is currently most rapid in other parts of the world, including the countries of the former Soviet Union and Asia.1 Interventions targeting North American populations, while important, will not substantially reduce the global threat of STI and HIV.

Finally, few reviews in this area have assessed the effectiveness of interventions to prevent the spread of STIs, along with HIV, in heterosexual men. Given that STIs can facilitate the acquisition and transmission of HIV13 and efforts to prevent the spread of HIV through treatment of STIs in women and men have proven effective,14 it is imperative that systematic reviews to determine the effectiveness of interventions to prevent HIV infection include interventions targeting STIs. The present systematic review takes account of the above limitations of previous reviews and seeks to determine the most effective social and behavioral means of preventing the

Table 2. MEDLINE Search Strategy: Key Terms Used

1.	Men/
2.	Male/
3.	1 or 2
4.	Sexually transmitted diseases/
5.	Gonorrhea/
6.	Chlamydia/
7.	Syphilis/
8.	Genital herpes/
9.	Condylomata acuminata/
10.	Urogenital diseases/
11.	5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11
12.	4 and 11
13.	3 and 12
14.	Sex behavior/
15.	3 and 4
16.	HIV/
17.	3 and 16 (not 12)
18.	Prevention/
19.	12 and 18
20.	3 and 19
21.	Community health/
22.	3 and 21
23.	Health services/
24.	3 and 23
25.	Reproductive health/
26.	3 and 25
27.	26 not 24
28.	26 not 22

spread of HIV and other STIs in heterosexual men.

METHODS

AIM AND RESEARCH QUESTION

We undertook a systematic review to evaluate the evidence for the effectiveness of social and behavioral interventions to promote men's sexual and reproductive health, focusing on the prevention of STIs including HIV. To this end, we sought to anwer the question, "Which interventions are successful in reducing the transmission of STIs and HIV in heterosexual men?"

SEARCH STRATEGY

A list of the databases searched is given in **Table 1**. Key words used in the MEDLINE search are listed in **Table 2**. These were adapted for use in the other database search strategies. Additional search strategies included hand searching 4 key journals (*AIDS*, *AIDS Care*, *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, and *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*); hand searching references of all studies meeting the reference criteria; and writing to the first authors of all studies that met the inclusion criteria to request information on unpublished work or research in progress.

1819





Studies located by the search strategy were coded for inclusion using a checklist. The reliability of this checklist was tested by 2 of the authors on a subsample of 25 studies. The Cohen κ for this reliability was 0.91, considered a very high interrater agreement.¹⁵ One author coded the remaining studies for inclusion.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Studies were selected for review if they met the following 5 criteria: (1) intervention populations included heterosexual male subjects 15 years or older; (2) data on heterosexual men's sexual behavior were presented and analyzed separately from other groups included in the study or at least 80% of the study participants were heterosexual men; (3) study designs were coded in the following categories: (i) randomized or nonrandomized controlled, (ii) prospective observational, or (iii) retrospective observational; (4) the outcomes assessed in the study included at least 1 of the following: (i) morbidity (new or reinfection with STI, including HIV), (ii) behavioral outcomes (eg, condom use or reduction in the number of sex partners), and (iii) social psychological outcomes (eg, attitudes toward condoms or HIV or intentions to use condoms); and (5) study was coded as being of moderate to high methodological quality. Unpublished studies and studies in all languages were considered for inclusion in the review.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Two authors independently assessed the methodological quality of the studies meeting the first 4 inclusion criteria by using 1 of 2 checklists. For behavioral studies using a randomized controlled trial (RCT) or prospective-observational design with more than 1 study group, an adapted version of a quality checklist standardized by Jadad et al¹⁶ was used. We adapted criterion 2 of the original checklist to read "Was this study single blind?" instead of "double blind" and assigned a maximum score of 4 to each study, with higher scores denoting higher methodological quality. For studies using a prospective design with only 1 study group (before-and-after studies) or a retrospective observational design, we used a methodological quality checklist with a maximum quality score of 5.17 Both quality checklists included items consistent with the consensus statement of meta-analysis reporting of observational studies in epidemiology.18 Differences in ratings between the authors were reconciled through discussion, and consensus was reached. Studies that received a quality score of 1 or lower based on these checklists were excluded from the review.

DATA COLLECTION

Data from studies meeting the inclusion criteria were extracted using a checklist.¹⁷ Two authors pilot-tested this checklist prior to its use in the study, and disagreements in data extraction were resolved through discussion. Study information and data were recorded in the Microsoft Access database.

RESULTS

INTERVENTION CHARACTERISTICS

Of the 1157 articles located through hand searching and electronic databases, 27 studies met the inclusion criteria (Figure). No additional studies were located through contact with experts in the field. Twelve (44%) of the studies were conducted on male-only populations. Heterosexual men in the 27 studies tended to fall into 5 welldefined populations: drug users receiving treatment (3/27, 11%); injecting drug users out of treatment (2/27, 7%); patients of sexually transmitted disease clinics (9/27, 33%); men in the workplace (3/27, 11%); and students (6/27, 22%).

Other populations of men included (in 1 study each) were African American men via outreach (ie, not attending clinic); prisoners; homeless men with psychiatric problems; and military men.

Women were included in 15 (56%) of the studies. In 8 of these, the interventions addressed men and women in different groups, or men and women were given individual intervention sessions; in the other 7 studies, women and men were addressed together in groups.

Most studies were North American, with 17 (63%) conducted in the United States. Eight (30%) of the 27 studies specifically targeted racial and ethnic minorities in US populations. Two studies were undertaken in Brazil, and 1 study each was conducted in Britain, Australia, India, Kenya, Mozambique, Namibia, Senegal, and Thailand.

Twenty studies included in the review were RCTs, and the other 7 were of a prospective experimental design. Six of these studies did not have a control or a comparison group.¹⁹⁻²⁴ No retrospective observational interventions were included in the review.

Eight studies (30%) evaluated morbidity outcomes (new HIV infection or new or reinfection of STI); 21 (78%) assessed behavioral outcomes (condom use, reduction in number of sex partners, and unprotected sex); and 15 (56%) assessed social psychological outcomes (attitudes toward condoms or HIV, intentions to use condoms or change risky behavior, knowledge of HIV and AIDS, self-efficacy of condom use, communication skills, and quality of sexual relationships).

The interventions identified by the present review are either groupbased or individual interventions with heterosexual men (and sometimes women). Group-based interventions tended to be weekly 1- to 2-hour sessions over 2 to 4 weeks. These sessions involved exclusively male groups or men and women together, and they were conducted by trained facilitators, either professionals or peer educators. Usually, the goal of these sessions was to change sexual risk behavior through education, communication skills training, and role-

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM 1820

playing. Condoms were often distributed free of charge during these sessions, and condom use skills were taught.

Individually based intervention sessions tended to consist of individual counseling with a trained professional or peer educator. These sessions were aimed at either men alone or at men and women, but men and women did not meet with the counselor together. The counselor offered pre-HIV test counseling, confidential HIV testing, posttest counseling, a personalized evaluation of risk for STI and HIV, and/or a personalized risk reduction plan. Further education could be offered to the client, and condoms were often distributed free of charge.

INTERVENTIONS BY GROUP OF MEN

Drug Users Receiving Treatment

All included sources,19-45 categorized according to groups of men, are listed in Table 3. Three studies of moderate quality were carried out with heterosexual men receiving treatment for drug use. Two of these studies were RCTs,25,26 and 1 used a prospective uncontrolled design.²² Two interventions aimed to increase condom use,^{22,25} and 1 aimed to decrease the number of sex partners of these men.26 These interventions were highly successful at changing the sexual behavior of this group of men. Both interventions that aimed to increase condom use in men reported a significant intervention effect in the experimental group.^{22,25} A decrease in the number of sex partners was reported for the study that aimed to change this behavior.26 Interventions that reported a significant effect on behavioral outcomes varied in their design. Two interventions featured educational and motivational aspects and focused on risk prevention, increasing levels of personal concern regarding HIV/AIDS, and personalizing the threat of HIV.^{25,26} These interventions also addressed the skills needed for negotiating condom use and preventing a relapse in drug use and risky sexual behavior, with men participating in separate intervention sessions from women²⁵ or in a group exclusively of men.²⁶ One intervention that was successful in increasing condom use involved a condom giveaway program in a drug abuse outpatient treatment clinic.22 There were no educational or cognitive-behavioral elements in this intervention. One intervention with drug users receiving treatment also focused on social psychological outcomes: Malow et al²⁶ reported increases in knowledge of HIV, condom use skills, sexual communication skills, and response efficacy for using condoms in the intervention and control groups.

Out-of-Treatment Injecting Drug Users

Two moderate- to high-quality studies aimed to increase use of condoms among a groups of heterosexual men,^{27,28} and 1 study also aimed to decrease numbers of sexual partners.27 Both studies used RCT designs. Cottler et al²⁷ conducted drug awareness, stress management, AIDS education, and personal risk awareness sessions through a peereducation outreach program, using a storefront satellite to recruit men. The authors report that no change in condom use or number of sexual partners was reported as a result of the intervention. Condom use did increase in the study by Robles et al.28 This intervention consisted of 8 sessions designed to improve individuals' perceptions of risk and provide motivation to change risk behavior. Risk behavior was monitored over 6 months of follow-up. A control group received 2 sessions of standard care including HIV pretest and posttest counseling. The reported increase in condom use was seen in the intervention and control groups.

Men in the Workplace

Three moderate-quality studies of a prospective uncontrolled design were carried out with heterosexual men in the workplace.^{19,23,24} One study, which consisted of an intervention with trucking workers in Kenya, reported a significant intervention effect on lowering the STI incidence and decreasing the number of sex partners of these men.23 An increase in condom use was not reported for this cohort. The intervention comprised on-site counseling and HIV testing. Skills in condom negotiation, condom use demonstration and promotion, and STI and HIV risk reduction were provided in individual sessions with the men.

The intervention evaluated by Hearst et al¹⁹ aimed to change the sexual behavior and attitudes toward condoms of Brazilian port workers and to increase their knowledge of AIDS using face-to-face contact by peer outreach workers to discuss HIV and AIDS, either individually or in groups, and free distribution of condoms. The authors reported a significant increase in reported condom use and a decrease in the number of sex partners of the men over a 2-year follow-up period. However, no change was reported in the men's attitudes toward condoms or their knowledge of HIV and AIDS.

In a peer-education intervention with trucking workers in Senegal, significant effects were reported over 2 years of study in increasing men's condom use and HIV knowledge and in eliminating perceived barriers to changing sexual behavior.24 The peer educators, initially part of the intervention group and subsequently selected and trained as peer educators by the authors, gave the workers information about HIV and STDs, including mode of transmission, signs and symptoms, and methods of prevention. Skills related to condom use, condom negotiation, and communication were all taught. Reports from female sex workers frequented by the men corroborated the reports of men's use of condoms. However, reports of men having fewer sex partners after intervention were not corroborated by the women. The intervention effect on the men's reports of decreases in the number of sex partners was determined unreliable by the authors.

Men Attending STI Clinics

Nine moderate- to high-quality studies focused on preventing the spread of STIs and HIV in men attending public STI clinics.^{21,29-36} Interventions with this group of men were

Source; Country; I	Design; Outcomes	Description	Results	Assesse Quality ¹
Calsyn et al ²² (1992); United States (Washington State); condom giveaway program	Prospective, 1 group; condom use (+), intention to use condoms (+) (condom possession)	Drug Users in Treatment Men receiving outpatient drug-abuse treatment and remaining in treatment for 4 mos. I: placing jars with individually packaged condoms in staff offices and public rooms. N = 103. Follow-up: 4 mo.	56 clients (60%) reported taking condoms during the I. The number of clients possessing condoms increased from 61 (59%) initially to 71 (76%) at follow-up (binomial P <.05). The mean use of condoms for vaginal sex increased from 20% to 33.7% at follow-up (P = .05). More clients (36.5%) reported using condoms for at least 50% of vaginal intercourse events in the previous 2 mo at follow-up than at initial assessment (binomial	3/5
Baker et al ²⁵ (1994); Australia; motivational interview to reduce HIV risk-taking behavior among IDUs	RCT; HIV risk-taking behavior (++) (condom use, number of sex partners)	Out-of-treatment IDUs. I: 1 motivational interview and a self-help booklet. C: no I. N = 159 men. Numbers for men in I and C groups not reported. Follow-up: 3 and 6 mo.	 P<.05). No change in sexual risk-taking behavior from pretreatment to follow-up for men in the I and C groups at 3 mo (3.60 vs 3.46; P>.05) or 6 mo (3.24 vs 3.0, P>.05). No group differences between I and C groups on any measure at 3- or 0 mo follow up (1) consistence (1) constants. 	2/4
Malow et al ²⁶ (1994); United States (Louisiana); culturally sensitive HIV risk-reduction Is within drug-abuse treatment program	RCT; sexual risk behavior (++), number of sexual partners (+), HIV knowledge (++), response efficacy (++), sexual communication skills (++), condom use skills (++)	Cocaine-using black men. I: focus on developing rapport, personalizing HIV threat, condom use, barriers to change, skills. C: same as I, but delivered as audiovisuals and printed material. I: n = 76; C: n = 76 (baseline). Follow-up: post-I and at 3 mo.	6-mo follow-ups (all comparisons, F<1). Rates of multiple partners fell from 75.5% to 47.5% at 3 mo (binomial P <.001) in I group. No differences in knowledge, response efficacy, communication skills, or condom use skills. Higher self-efficacy (3.94 vs 3.52; P <.05), sexual communication skills (3.87 vs 3.37; P <.01), and condom use skills (5.49 vs 4.37, P <.001) in I group.	2/4
Cottler et al ²⁷ (1998); United States (Missouri); peer-delivered enhanced I vs standard I for reducing HIV risk behaviors	RCT; condom use (–), number of sex partners (–)	Out-of-Treatment Injecting Drug I Out-of-treatment drug users. I: 4 peer-oriented sessions on drug awareness, stress management, AIDS information, and reducing high-risk sexual behavior. C: 2 standard sessions. I: n = 246 men; C: n = 196 men (baseline). Follow-up: 3 mo.	Users No group differences in the change in number of sex partners (I = 75% vs C = 75%; P>.05) or condom use (I = 47% vs C = 46%; P = not significant).	3/4
Robles et al ²⁸ (1998); United States (Puerto Rico); client-oriented counseling	RCT; condom use (++)	Out-of-treatment IDUs. I: 8-session client-oriented approach targeting perception of risk and motivation. C: 2-session standard care including HIV test counseling. N = 1016 men at baseline (numbers of men in each group not reported). Follow-up: 6 mo.	I group more likely to report condom use during vaginal sex (OR, 1.97; 95% CI, 1.18 to 3.29). Participants with a steady partner were less likely to report condom use during vaginal sex at follow-up (OR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.28 to 0.90). Participants reporting STI and those who were HIV positive were more likely to use condoms during vaginal sex (OR, 26.89; 95% CI, 1.99 to 364.20 and OR, 4.86; 95% CI, 2.43 to 9.71, respectively).	2/4
		Workers		
Jackson et al ²³ (1997); Kenya; on-site clinic offering range of services	Prospective, 1 group; STI (+), condom use (-), number of sex partners (+) (extramarital sex)	Trucking workers in Mombasa. I: on-site clinic providing counseling, HIV testing, skills in condom negotiation, demonstration and promotion, and HIV/STI risk reduction. Supply of free condoms. N = 856. Follow-up: every 3-16 mo.	The percentage reporting extramarital sex decreased from 49% during the first quarter to 36% during the last quarter of follow-up (P <.001). No differences in condom use. Decrease in incidence of observed STI from 34 cases per 100 patient-years during the first quarter to 10 cases per 100 patient-years during the last quarter of follow-up (P = .001).	3/5
Hearst et al ¹⁹ (1999); Brazil; worksite-based AIDS prevention program	Prospective, 1 group; condom use (+), number of sex partners (+), knowledge of AIDS (-), attitudes toward condoms (-)	Male port workers. I: contact with peer outreach workers, individually and in groups, to discuss HIV/AIDS. Free condoms. N = 395. Follow-up: 1 and 2 y.	Significant drop in risk behavior after the I (at 2 y): decrease in the number of nonprimary sex partners (from a mean 0.61 to 0.47; $P = .02$) and increase in consistent condom use (21% to 12%; $P < .001$). Unprotected sex with casual partners decreased by 64% in 2 y ($P = .001$). No effect of the I on knowledge of AIDS and attitudes toward condoms.	2/5
Leonard et al ²⁴ (2000); Senegal; Peer-education worksite HIV/STI prevention	Prospective, 1 group; number of sex partners (–), condom use (+), HIV knowledge (+), self-efficacy for using condoms (+)	Male trucking workers. I: contact with peer workers to discuss HIV/STI. Condom use skills, communication skills taught. N = 260. Follow up: 2 y.	Significant increase in condom use at 2 y after I (88%) from baseline (52%) (P <.01). At follow-up, 1.9% reported having sex with a CSW in past month compared with 8.2% at baseline (P <.05), but this was not substantiated by CSWs. Knowledge of HIV reached 100% of men at follow-up (P <.01). Self-efficacy for condom use increased for all items (P <.01).	2/5

(continued)

Source; Country; I	Design; Outcomes	Description	Results	Assesse Quality ¹⁰
		STI Clinics		
Boyer et al ³¹ (1997); United States (California); cognitive-behavioral skills-building I to prevent STI in high-risk heterosexual adults	RCT; STI (-), condom use (++), number of partners (+)	People attending STI clinic. I: 4 individual multiple-component sessions based on decision-making and communication skills to modify sexual behavior: n = 69 men (58%). C: 1 standardized risk-reduction counseling session: n = 111 men (75%). Follow-up: 3 and 5 mo, 6 mo (STI).	No difference in new/probable STI at 6 mo (13% vs 11%; P >.20). At 3 mo, the I group showed greater increase in percentage of time they used condoms (56.8% vs 42.3%; P <.05). At 5 mo, the mean number of sexual partners without use of condoms was lower in the I group (0.6 vs 0.9; P <.01).	4/4
lentley et al ²¹ (1998); India; HIV testing, counseling, and condom promotion	Prospective, 1 group; STI (+), condom use (+), number of sex partners (-) (continued visits to CSWs), knowledge of AIDS (+)	Heterosexual men attending STI clinics. I: individual counseling on transmission, risk behaviors, prevention, demonstration of condom use. N = 1628. Follow-up: every 3 mo until 24 mo.	Men who used condoms and who reported having sex with fewer partners had the lowest prevalent STI rate (22%; $P = .001$). After other factors controlled for, the level of consistent condom use with CSWs increased: men at 24 mo were 4.7 times more likely to use condoms every time (P <.001). Among the 56% of men with a history of STI, the likelihood of visiting CSWs had increased at follow-up, with men at 24 mo 3.2 times more likely to visit CSWs (P <.001). Knowledge of AIDS increased from 45% knowing that condoms could prevent transmission of HIV to 89% at 6 mo to 96% at 24 mo.	3/5
Branson et al ³² (1998); United States (Texas); group counseling vs standard HIV-prevention counseling	RCT; new STI (-), condom use (++), number of sex partners (++)	STI clinic attendees. I: 5 sessions on information, motivation, and behavioral skills. C: 2 sessions of standard HIV prevention counseling. I: n = 289; C: n = 254 (men). Follow-up: 2, 6, 9, and 12 mo.	No group difference in new infections of gonorrhea, chlamydia, or syphilis (19% vs 19.3%; P >.15). Percentage using a condom from baseline to 12 mo: I, 23% vs 48%; C, 30% vs 49%; P = .09. No difference in percentage change reporting >1 partner: I, 42% vs 26%; C, 42% vs 22%; P = .21.	3/4
alichman et al ³⁴ (1999); United States (Georgia); (1) group motivational sessions; (2) HIV education sessions	RCT; condom use (++), talking to sex partner about AIDS (+) and about condoms (+), planning to practice safe sex (+), knowledge (-), attitudes (-), intention to use condoms (-)	Inner-city black heterosexuals at STI clinic. I: motivational sessions. C: 2 HIV education sessions. I: n = 60; C: n = 57 (baseline). Follow-up: post-I and 3 and 6 mo	At 3 mo, more I group participants said that they used condoms almost every time they had sex (69% vs 47%; $P = .02$) and that they had talked with a sex partner about AIDS (92% vs 70%; P = .01), and they reported lower rates of unprotected vaginal sex (mean = 1.8 vs 7.4; P < .05) and more use of condoms during intercourse (71% vs 54%; $P < .05$). At 6 mo, I group participants were more likely to discuss condoms (86% vs 68%; $P < .05$) and plan safer sex (93% vs 74%; $P = .01$). No differences in knowledge, attitudes, or intentions.	3/4
ohen et al ²⁹ (1992); United States (California); brief I programs to promote condom use among patients in inner-city STI clinics	RCT; new STI (+)	Urban STI clinic attendees. I1: skills approach. I2: social influence approach: demonstrated condom use and then focused on social/erotic aspects of condom use. I3: condom distribution through 23 local businesses. C: no I. I1: n = 128, I2: n = 124, I3: n = 137 men. C: 164 men (baseline). Follow-up: medical data at 6 to 9 mo.	Fewer known reinfections in men exposed to the condom skills (I = 12.8% vs C = 87.2%) and social influence (I = 12.5% vs C = 86.2%) than in male C participants (RR, 0.43; 95% Cl, 0.19 to 0.97 and RR, 0.42; 95% Cl, 0.20 to 0.86, respectively).	2/4
National Institute of Mental Health ³⁰ (1998); United States (7 sites in California, Georgia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, and Wisconsin); behavioral I to reduce HIV risk behaviors	RCT; new STI (+), unprotected sex (+), condom use (+), STI symptoms (+)	Low-income men (and women) at high risk for HIV. I: seven 90- to 120-min HIV risk-reduction group discussion sessions, twice weekly. C: 1-h AIDS education session. I: n = 783 men; C: n = 781 men. Follow-up: 3, 6, and 12 mo.	I group reported fewer unprotected sexual acts (11.3 vs 15.7), higher condom use (0.64 vs 0.52), more consistent condom use (45.1% vs 36.9%) through 12 mo of follow-up (all P <.01). I group was less likely to report STI symptoms (12.5 vs 17.5; P <.05) and had lower incidence of gonorrhea based on chart review (3.6 vs 6.4; P <.05).	2/4
O'Donnell et al ³⁶ (1995); United States (New York); video-based educational Is on condom acquisition among men (and women)	RCT; redeeming coupons for free condoms (+) (intention to use condoms)	Hispanic and African American STI clinic attendees. 11: video plus group skills- building session. 12: video alone. C: standard STI prevention information. N = 1033 men (numbers of men by group not reported). Follow-up: post-I.	Men exposed to both video and group session more likely to redeem coupons (OR, 1.28; 95% Cl, 1.17 to 1.40).	2/4

(continued)

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 1823 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM

Table 3. Characteristics, Results, and Assessed Quality of Included Sources $\!\!\!^*$ (cont)

Author (Year); Country; I	Design; Outcomes	Description	Results	Assessed Quality ¹⁶
Solomon and DeJong ³⁵ (1989) (study 1); United States (Massachusetts); Soap-opera style videotape	RCT; attitudes toward condoms (+), strategies for persuading partners to use condoms(+)	Minority, at-risk STI clinic attendees. Attitudes/strategies I: video portraying acceptable, normative condom bahavior. C: no I. I: n = 42 men, C: n = 42 men (baseline). Follow-up: post-I.	I group scored higher on knowledge about need and use of condoms (11.1 vs 7.9; 95% Cl of difference, 2.7 to 3.7). Mean attitude score higher for the I group (difference in means, 1.7; 95% Cl of difference, 1.0 to 2.4). I group cited more strategies for persuading partners to use condoms (difference in means, 0.5; 95% Cl of difference, 0.2 to 0.8).	2/4
Solomon and DeJong ³⁵ (1989) (study 2); United States (Massachusetts); soap-opera style videotape	RCT; redeeming coupons for free condoms (+) (intentions), knowledge of condoms (+)	Minority, at-risk STI clinic attendees. I: video. C: no I. I: n = 71 men; C: n = 75 men (baseline). Follow-up: after I (not stated how many days/weeks).	Video group redeemed significantly more coupons (1.0 vs 0.8; difference in means, 0.20; 95% Cl of difference, 0.02 to 0.38).	2/4
Wagstaff et al ³³ (1999); United States (Pennsylvania); educational sessions	RCT; new STI (++), condom use, risk perception	 Black men. 11: individual education session. 12: educational video. C: standard care and education program. N = 562. (No experimental effects, so results analyzed as a cohort, and numbers per group not reported). Follow-up: post-l and 1 and 6 mo. 	Rates of gonorrhea lower at 6-mo follow-up than at baseline (34% vs 35%), chlamydia (15% vs 23%), and herpes (3.2% vs 3.7%). <i>P</i> values not reported.	2/4
		Students		
Stanton et al ³⁹ (1998); Namibia; HIV risk-reduction I among Namibian adolescents	RCT; condom use (+), intentions to use condoms (-), communication skills (+), self-efficacy for condom use (+)	Namibian students, aged 15-18 y. I: 14 educational sessions in communication and decision-making skills. C: delayed controls. I: n = 262; C: n = 253. Follow-up: 2, 6, and 12 mo.	Among subjects who initiated sex during follow-up, I group more likely to use condoms (18% vs 10%; $P < .05$). No group difference in condom intentions at 12 mo (89% vs 88%; P > .05). At 6 mo, I group more likely to discuss sexual history and risk behavior with partners (70% vs 50%; $P < .05$). I group had higher self-efficacy of finding condoms when needed (94% vs 90%; $P < .05$).	4/4
Wight et al ⁴¹ (in press); Scotland; theoretically based teacher-delivered sex education program	RCT; condom use (-), quality of sexual relationships (+), sexual health knowledge (+)	School students. I: 20 sessions over 2 y covering negotiation and condom use skills. C: standard sex education. I: n = 1299; C: n = 1437 (male students at 6 mo). Follow-up: 6 mo.	No difference in condom use (mean 3.80 vs mean 3.79; difference, 0.01; 95% Cl for difference, -0.17 to 0.19) or in unprotected sex at follow-up (13.98% vs 13.88%; difference, 0.09; 95% Cl for difference, -2.10 to 2.29). I male students less likely to report regret of first sexual intercourse (9.55% vs 19.41%; difference, -9.86; 95% Cl for difference, -18.70 to -1.04), and greater knowledge of sexual health at baseline (4.35% vs 3.66%; difference, 0.69%; 95% Cl for difference, 0.20% to 1.19%).	3/4
Antunes et al ⁴⁰ (1997); Brazil; HIV/AIDS prevention workshop	RCT; condom use (–), partner communication about sex and AIDS (–)	Heterosexual adult students. I: 4 sessions of group discussions on sexual norms, social roles, AIDS risk, HIV transmission; condom use practiced, role-playing. C: wait list. I: n = 69; C: n = 72. Follow-up: 6 mo.	At 6 mo, no group differences in sexual behavior (no means reported; P >.05) or partner communication (mean = 17.29 vs 18.55; P>.05).	2/4
Jemmott et al ³⁸ (1992); United States (Pennsylvania); informational and skills-building session	RCT; condom use (+), number of sexual partners (+), knowledge of AIDS (+), attitudes (+), intentions regarding risky sexual behavior (+)	Black male youths. I: 5-h informational and skills-building session. C: 5-h matched careers session. I: n = 85; C: n = 72 Follow-up: post-I and 3 mo.	I immediately improved AIDS knowledge (46.23 vs 40.37; P <.001), attitudes (3.02 vs 3.48; P <.004), and intentions (2.83 vs 3.40; P <.001). Also improved at 3 mo: knowledge (47.20 vs 44.40; P <.003), intentions (2.87 vs 3.30; P <.007), and behavior (-0.12 vs 0.24; P <.01). I reduced sexual intercourse frequency (2.15 d vs 5.48 d; P <.05), number of partners (0.85 vs 1.79; P <.05), and frequency without a condom (0.64 vs 2.38; P <.05).	2/4
St Lawrence et al ³⁷ (1995); United States (Mississippi); behavioral skills training I for black adolescents	RCT; unprotected vaginal sex (++), condom use (-), AIDS knowledge (-), attitudes to condoms (-), self-efficacy (-), response efficacy (-), personalization of risk (-)	Low-income black adolescents. I: 8-session skills training. C: 1 2-h educational session. N = 69 male adolescents (28% of sample). Numbers of male participants in each group not reported. Follow-up: 12 mo.	I group lowered frequency of unprotected vaginal intercourse compared with C (<i>P</i> <.05). No group differences in condom-protected vaginal sex, AIDS knowledge, condom attitudes, self-efficacy, response efficacy, or risk personalization.	2/4

(continued)

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 1824 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM

Author (Year); Country; I	Design;Outcomes	Description	Results	Assessed Quality ¹⁶
Sanderson ⁴² (1999); United States (New Jersey); HIV prevention videos	RCT; self-efficacy for eroticizing condom use (-), self-efficacy for condom use in difficult situations (+)	University students (male and female). 11: communication skills video. 12: technical skills video. 13: combined skills video. C: wait list. N = 102 men at baseline (numbers of men by group not reported). Follow-up: post-I and 3 mo.	Post-I, combined skills video group had lower self-efficacy condom use in difficult situations (mean = 3.99 compared with technical, mean = 4.24 , and communication, mean = 4.20 ; P < .04). No group differences on self-efficacy for eroticizing condom use (technical, communication, combined, and C groups, mean = 3.74 , 3.76 , 3.71 , and 3.89 , respectively; $P > .05$).	2/4
		Other Studies		
Vaz et al ²⁰ (1996); Mozambique; AIDS education sessions	Prospective, 1 group; AIDS knowledge (+)	 Prisoners. I: 3 educational sessions led by prisoners focusing on (1) the impact of STI/AIDS in prisons; (2) transmission; and (3) AIDS prevention. Theater group presented monthly shows. N = 300. Follow-up: 6 mo. 	Increase in the proportion of correct answers for AIDS knowledge questions (<i>P</i> <.01).	4/5
Susser et al ⁴⁴ (1998); United States (New York); I to reduce sexual risk behaviors among homeless mentally ill men	RCT; sexual risk index (+ at 6 mo), VEE points for unprotected sex, condom use (+), number of sex partners (+)	 Homeless men in a psychiatric outreach program; I: 15 sessions with storytelling, games, role-playing, condom skills training. C: 2 educational sessions and condom use instructions. I: n = 52 (23 sexually active); C: n = 45 (26 sexually active). Follow-up: 6, 12, and 18 mo. 	At 6 mo, VEE scores were higher in the C group (3.1) than in the I group (1.0) (P <.01). Rate of protected sexual episodes higher in I group (0.73 vs 0.52; P = 0.04). Percentage of high-risk behavior lower in I group (24% vs 58%; P = .01). No significant group differences at 12 or 18 mo.	3/4
Celentano et al ⁴³ (1998); Thailand; Mass communication campaign	Prospective, 2 groups (cohorts) at 2 different times; new STI (+)	Their military men. I: mass communication campaign to promote awareness of avoiding brothels, provision of condoms to CSWs, condom use promotion. I: n = 2147 (1991 cohort); n = 1669 (1993 cohort). Follow-up: up to 24 mo.	Significant decline in STI incidence within 1991 cohort (18.6 per 100 patient-years; P <.0001); borderline trend was observed within the 1993 cohort (1.7 per 100 patient-years; P = .06). Inconsistent condom use significantly less common in 1993 than in 1991 (1991, 16%; 1993, 3%; P<.001).	3/5
Kalichman et al ⁴⁵ (1997); United States (Wisconsin); cognitive-behavioral skills training in HIV prevention paradigm	RCT; condom use (-), number of vaginal sex episodes (++), strategies to reduce HIV risk (++), intentions to change risk behaviors (++), AIDS-related knowledge (++)	Black men. I: cognitive-behavioral skills training and condom use practice. C: HIV risk education and sensitization. I: n = 44; C: n = 37. Follow-up: 2 weeks post-I and 3 mo.	No differences between groups were reported on any variable.	2/4

*I indicates intervention(s); C, control activity(ies); HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; plus sign in parentheses, significant I effect; double plus sign in parentheses, significant changes reported in I and C groups; minus sign in parentheses, no significant I effect; IDU, injecting drug user; RCT, randomized controlled trial; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; NS, not significant; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; STI, sexually transmitted infection; CSW, commercial sex worker; RR, risk ratio; and VEE, vaginal episode equivalent.

characterized by their use of an RCT study design and their focus on US men. Only 1 intervention used a prospective uncontrolled design with a long follow-up period, and this was conducted in a non-US population.²¹ Most interventions with this group of men sought to reduce morbidity: 5 studies in this group aimed to decrease the incidence of STI.29-33 Two studies reported a significant decrease in STI incidence as a result of the intervention: these interventions involved a multiple-component motivation and skills approach to reducing the risk of HIV.^{29,30} Two studies reported no decrease in STI incidence; these interventions also consisted of a multiplecomponent motivation and skills approach to reducing the risk of HIV.^{31,32} One study reported a decrease in STI in the intervention and control groups.³³ This intervention involved an individually based AIDS education session, while the comparison and control groups consisted of either a video-based education session or the clinic's standard care and education.

Five interventions in STI clinics aimed to change men's sexual be-

havior.21,30-32,34 One study reported significant intervention effects in the experimental group on unprotected sexual acts, condom use, and consistent condom use.³⁰ In a study involving an individual counseling session providing education and condom use skills, condom use increased in this group of men.²¹ However, the number of sex partners did not decrease over the 2-year follow-up. Three studies reported an increase in condom use in men in the experimental and control groups.^{31,32,34} These interventions all consisted of motivational and skills-building components. In one

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM 1825

study, men and women were divided into single-sex intervention groups³¹; in another, men and women participated in mixed-sex sessions³²; and in another study (exclusively with men), participants were placed into groups.³⁴

Four studies focusing on men in STI clinics sought to change social psychological outcomes.^{21,34-36} Intentions to use condoms increased in the 2 studies of video-based education interventions that aimed to change this behavior.35,36 In 1 study of a motivational and skills-based intervention, intentions to use condoms did not increase in the experimental group.³⁴ Mixed results were found in the 2 studies addressing attitudes toward condoms,^{34,35} with one reporting a positive change in attitudes³⁵ and the other reporting no change.34 Mixed results were also reported for knowledge of AIDS. One study of a counseling and skills-based intervention showed a positive intervention effect on knowledge,²¹ while another of a motivational and skills-based intervention reported no effect.34 Communication skills with sex partners about the risk of AIDS34 and condom use^{34,35} showed a significant intervention effect in the 2 studies addressing these outcomes.

Heterosexual Male Students

Six moderate- to high-quality RCTs targeted heterosexual male students.³⁷⁻⁴² These studies aimed to change behavioral and social psychological outcomes; morbidity was not addressed. Four studies focused on younger school-aged men,^{37-39,41} one on university men,⁴¹ and 1 study targeted men attending night school.⁴⁰ Two studies were conducted with non-US populations.^{39,40}

The behavioral outcomes addressed were unprotected sex,³⁷ condom use,³⁷⁻⁴¹ and number of sex partners.³⁸ In a behavioral skillstraining intervention, St Lawrence et al³⁷ reported a decrease in the frequency of unprotected sex among men in the intervention and control groups.³⁷ Significant intervention effects on condom use were reported in 2 studies,^{38,39} while 3 studies reported no effect.^{37,40,41} All 5 interventions aimed at increasing condom use included cognitivebehavioral and skills training components.

All 6 studies aimed to change social psychological outcomes. In the study to address intentions to use condoms, these intentions did not change,³⁹ while in the study that sought to change intentions to engage in risky behavior, significant intervention effects were reported.38 Attitudes toward condoms did not change in one study,37 but attitudes toward risky behavior did in another.38 Increases in knowledge about sexual health⁴¹ and AIDS³⁴ were successfully brought about by interventions that addressed these outcomes. However, knowledge of AIDS did not change in another intervention.³⁷ Mixed results were also reported for changes in communicating about sex and AIDS with partners: intervention effect was reported in one study,³⁹ but no change was reported in another.40 Selfefficacy for using condoms was found to increase in one study⁴² and not another.37 Each of these interventions was similar in that each involved behavioral skills training in condom use, decision making, and communication skills. Active learning, role-playing, and practicing of skills were all part of the interventions.

Other Men

Four studies involved different male populations.^{20,43-45} A mass national communication campaign was conducted in Thailand, and its effectiveness was assessed through a prospective cohort study with men entering the Thai military over 2 periods.43 The authors reported a significant reduction in the incidence of new STIs over the course of the study. Two moderate-quality RCTs addressed behavioral outcomes.44,45 One RCT with homeless men with psychiatric diagnoses reported a positive intervention effect on the frequency of unprotected sex, condom use, and the number of sex partners of these men.44 Another RCT with African American men contacted through an outreach program reported no intervention effect on condom use.45 Both interventions consisted of multiple skillstraining sessions focusing on decision making, condom use, and behavioral self-management.

Two studies aimed to change social psychological outcomes. In a cognitive-behavioral RCT, changes were reported in intentions to engage in risky behavior and AIDSrelated knowledge in the intervention and control groups.⁴⁵ A significant increase in knowledge of AIDS was reported in a prospective uncontrolled study with male prisoners in Mozambique consisting of education sessions led by prisoner activists.²⁰

COMMENT

This is the first systematic review to assess the effectiveness of interventions to prevent STIs and HIV in heterosexual men-a heterogeneous population currently the focus of a concerted global effort to reduce the risk of STI for themselves and their partners, including the risk of HIV.1 We found 27 studies that met our inclusion criteria for a systematic review. We believe that this reflects the relatively new focus of interest in heterosexual men's sexual health. Until recently, the main focus on heterosexual men's reproductive and sexual health has been on attitudes toward contraception and family planning and men's roles in increasing the risk and vulnerability of their female sex partners, with relatively little effort concentrated on men's own sexual health concerns.11 Given that in many settings it is the behavior of the male partner that places women at increased risk of STI, including HIV, it is imperative to identify strategies and interventions that may work to decrease the burden of risk and disease among heterosexual men and their female partners.

Most of the studies identified through the present review were designed to evaluate behavioral and/or social psychological, rather than morbidity, outcomes. Most were undertaken in the United States, and thus do not reflect the global epidemiology of STIs and HIV in terms of incident and prevalent infections. Nonetheless, we believe that the review has highlighted the following points that will influence the design of future interventions and further research.

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM

1826

WHICH INTERVENTIONS WERE EFFECTIVE IN REDUCING THE BURDEN OF NEW DISEASE?

Only a minority of studies were designed to evaluate the effectiveness of reducing the incidence of new STIs or HIV infection. Most interventions aimed to change social psychological or behavioral outcomes. Of the 8 interventions designed to reduce the incidence of new STIs (including HIV), 5 were deemed to be successful, 2 were not successful, and 1 gave equivocal results (reduction in incidence in the intervention and control groups). The 5 successful (but not necessarily high methodological quality) interventions were carried out among men in the workplace (1 study), men in the military (1 study), and men in STI clinics (3 studies). A variety of methods were used in these interventions, including on-site counseling and HIV testing at a trucking company with individual sessions for participating men; a mass communications (and multiple-sector) approach to risk reduction in Thailand; and multiple-component motivation and skills approaches in STI clinics. In the latter case, 2 further studies using similar intervention methods reported no decrease in STI incidence.

What do these results tell us about the effectiveness of interventions? First, it is important to examine the need for studies that use laboratory-diagnosed STI as the outcome of interest. If reduced incidence of HIV or other STI is to become the gold standard goal of intervention research,46 studies of social psychological programs will have to demonstrate empirically the relationship between cognitions and infection. Many psychological theories of behavior emphasize the role of attitudes, intentions, and selfefficacy in determining behavior.47-49 However, systematic reviews and meta-analyses of these theories have shown that the evidence supporting the role of social psychological variables in predicting behavior is often conflicting.^{50,51} It is possible that attitudes and intentions predict behavior, and that these may be important variables to include in interventions to prevent the spread of STIs and HIV.

In this review, only 1 study among heterosexual men was identified that made the connection between change in social psychological measures, behavior, and morbidity, but this study did not use an RCT design to assess efficacy.21

Second, there is no single intervention that can be identified as being more effective than others in reducing the incidence of STI and HIV in heterosexual men. This finding is presumably a reflection of the heterogeneity of the groups of men under study and the wide variety of different contexts in which interventions were being evaluated. Successful interventions ranged from localized to national responses, but all were resource intensive either in their execution or their measurement (eg, the 2 successful interventions in STI clinics were both RCTs). This carries important implications for those charged with program and intervention design—a single "cookie-cutter" approach is unlikely to be successful in any given setting. Moreover, achieving and recording the success of an intervention is a resource-intensive undertaking, especially if gold standard laboratory outcomes are to be measured.

WHICH INTERVENTIONS WERE EFFECTIVE IN CHANGING MEN'S ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIORS?

Most studies were designed to measure the effect of interventions to change social psychological and behavioral outcomes. As with the studies that aimed to decrease incident STI, there was no single method that could be identified as being effective in all situations aiming to change behaviors, increase knowledge, or measure an intention to change.

All 3 studies targeting heterosexual drug users in treatment reported success in increasing condom use, decreasing the number of reported sexual partners, and improvement in social psychological outcomes. These results are especially notable in a population often described as "difficult." In addition, 2 studies were carried out among drug users out of treatment programs, and one showed an increase in condom use (but in both the intervention and control groups). Again, no single intervention strategy could be identified as being consistently successful, partly because a variety of methods were used in all the interventions reviewed.

Among men in other clinicbased settings (STI clinics), a small number of interventions were found to improve behavioral outcomes. A variety of methods were used, but positive effects were noted as frequently in the control groups as in the intervention groups in most studies. Two studies using video-based education programs showed an increase in intention to use condoms, and a counseling and skills-based intervention showed a significant improvement in communication skills with partners. However, the results for all other social psychological outcomes were difficult to interpret, with studies showing no improvement in other indicators of attitudinal change.

Studies of interventions with men in the workplace all reported significant intervention effects on the men's sexual behavior and knowledge of HIV and STI. These interventions show that other study designs apart from RCTs can demonstrate changes in sexual behavior of high-risk men (eg, truckers who have frequent contact with commercial sex workers). The use of peer educators in interventions of longer duration seem to have been effective. Accuracy of self-reports can also be validated by secondary sources, as in the study by Leonard and colleagues.24

Similar results were found in studies among men in other settings-students, homeless men, and prisoners. Even when similar intervention methods were used, results were not consistent between one group of men and another. Interventions that showed a positive outcome in one setting produced equivocal results elsewhere. A wide variety of approaches were used throughout these interventions, including individual counseling, group counseling, mixed-sex counseling, single-sex counseling, and repeat and one-off sessions, with no evidence of effect size being related to the form that interventions took.

POTENTIAL BIASES OF THE REVIEW PROCESS

Although we attempt herein to answer the question, "Which interventions are successful in reducing the transmission of STIs in heterosexual men?" most of the studies we evaluate do not include only heterosexual men. Interventions that included women along with heterosexual men were included if data on men were presented and analyzed separately from those on women or if men constituted 80% or more of the study population. While it is possible that the presence of women in mixed-sex intervention sessions may have influenced men's participation in these sessions,52 without further empirical investigation it is difficult to determine this influence. We decided to include these studies to increase the number for review when it became apparent that few interventions exclusively addressed heterosexual men.

Many of the studies were small and reported statistically significant results, which suggests that there is a risk of publication bias (ie, that small studies with negative findings are under-represented in the present review). This may be because such studies have been rejected for publication or because researchers have not submitted them for publication. A formal test for publication bias has not been carried out given the heterogeneity of the study designs and the ongoing debate about the applicability of current statistical approaches to observational studies.53 However, the number of small studies with positive results is suggestive of publication bias, and the possibility remains that this review may, if anything, overestimate the effectiveness of interventions in this area.

POTENTIAL BIASES OF THE STUDIES THEMSELVES

Most of the studies were conducted in the United States, with just under half of these US-based studies conducted on racial and ethnic minority populations. While recognizing the importance of these results for STI and HIV control in the United States (and especially given racial disparities in STI risk in the country), the findings cannot easily be transferred to the rest of the world, and especially to those countries currently experiencing the burden of incident and prevalent STIs and HIV infection. Several areas of the world are notable by their complete absence from the evidence base that we have reviewed: Eastern Europe and Central Asia (currently experiencing epidemics of HIV and other STIs) and Eastern Asia (home to a significant proportion of the world's population and under increasing threat of an HIV epidemic). In addition, other regions with a high burden of STIs and HIV disease or a potential burden of disease are represented by only 1 or 2 study results (eg, Africa and South Asia).

Most of the studies were undertaken among groups of men who were easily identifiable as being at risk of STIs and HIV (men attending STI clinics or injecting drug users). Other studies targeted very specific groups of men in the population: homeless men, students, and prisoners.

CONCLUSIONS

These potential biases suggest that caution should be used when interpreting the results. On the basis of the present review, it is not possible to prescribe the optimum approach to reduce the risk of transmission of STIs, including HIV, in heterosexual men. We identified relatively few methodologically rigorous studies (and even fewer with a gold standard biological indicator of behavior as the outcome) and no single consistently effective approach to reduce incident infections, change behavior, or change social psychological outcomes.

On a more optimistic note, however, it is worth remembering that although there were only 4 interventions that addressed most men in the population (who are not in clinic populations, not in education, or do not have an identifiable risk behavior), they all showed a positive behavioral intervention effect. These were interventions among men in the workplace or men joining the military after a nationwide mass media and structural intervention to reduce HIV risk in Thailand. This suggests that it is possible to reduce the burden of sexual risk and consequent ill health for men in the general population—the section of men likely to have the largest population-attributable risk for the burden of STI and HIV in men *and* women in many settings.

There is clearly a pressing need to identify successful programs to reduce HIV and STI transmission and morbidity among heterosexual men. However, it will only be possible to measure reduced incidence of HIV in heterosexual men in circumstances of high population prevalence of the infection. In other situations, including those where incident STIs are high, it may be necessary to use reductions in non-HIV morbidity as a proxy marker of program efficacy. There are problems in using bacterial infections such as Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae as indicators of risk for viral infections such as HIV because of biological differences in transmission dynamics, but they remain good markers of exposure to sexually transmitted pathogens. Also, transmission of these bacterial infections is prevented in the same way as HIV infections are prevented, notably through protected sex or sexual intercourse between uninfected partners.

The results of the present systematic review suggest that the following factors are important for future research agendas to prevent the spread of STIs and HIV in heterosexual men:

- Research needs to focus on morbidity outcomes (eg, incident infection) rather than only behavioral or social psychological outcomes.
- Interventions need to target heterosexual men or at least ensure that heterosexual men participate in single-sex intervention groups, and then evaluations can identify the approaches that are best suited to this population.
- More research needs to be carried out in regions of the world

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM

1828

where rates of STI and HIV are high among heterosexual men (such as sub-Saharan Africa) and where they are increasing (such as Asia, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia).

- Studies other than RCTs can identify promising interventions; the effectiveness of these interventions requires further rigorous evaluation before widespread implementation.
- Studies should have the statistical power to demonstrate effectiveness, and this should be calculated prior to research being funded and implemented.

Accepted for publication April 24, 2002.

Corresponding author and reprints: Graham J. Hart, PhD, Medical Research Council Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow, 4Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow G12 8RZ, Scotland (e-mail: graham@ mrc.msoc.gla.ac.uk).

REFERENCES

- Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS. Report on the Global HIV/AIDS Epidemic. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2000.
- Mayaud P, Mosha F, Todd J, et al. Improved treatment services significantly reduce the prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases in rural Tanzania: results of a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS*. 1997;11:1873-1880.
- Grosskurth H, Mosha F, Todd J, et al. A community trial of the impact of improved sexually transmitted disease treatment on the HIV epidemic in rural Tanzania, II: baseline survey results. *AIDS*. 1995;9:927-934.
- Jones RB, Wasserheit JN. Introduction to the biology and natural history of sexually transmitted diseases. In: Wasserheit JN, Aral SO, Holmes KK, eds. Research Issues in Human Behavior and Sexually Transmitted Diseases in the AIDS Era. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology; 1991:11-37.
- Harlap S, Kost K, Forrest J. Preventing Pregnancy, Protecting Health: A New Look at Birth Control Choices in the United States. New York, NY: Alan Guttmacher Institute; 1991.
- Aral S. Heterosexual transmission of HIV: the role of other sexually transmitted infections and behavior and its epidemiology, prevention and control. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 1993;14:451-467.
- Greene ME, Biddlecom AE. Absent and Problematic Men: Demographic Accounts of Male Reproductive Roles. New York, NY: Population Council; 1997.
- Moses S, Muia E, Bradley JE, et al. Sexual behavior in Kenya: implications for sexually transmitted disease transmission and control. *Soc Sci Med.* 1994;39:1649-1656.
- 9. Oakley A, Fullerton D, Holland J. Behavioural in-

terventions for HIV/AIDs prevention. *AIDS*. 1995; 9:479-486.

- Weinhardt L, Carey M, Johnson B, Bickham N. Effects of HIV counseling and testing on sexual risk behavior: a meta-analysis review of published research, 1985-1997. *Am J Public Health*. 1999;89: 1397-1405.
- Hawkes S, Hart GJ. Reproductive health: men's roles and men's rights. In Jejeebhoy S, Keonig M, Shah I, eds. Undertaking Community Surveys of Gynaecological Morbidity. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. In press.
- Forste R, Morgan J. How relationships of US men affect contraceptive use and efforts to prevent sexually transmitted diseases. *Fam Plann Per*spect. 1998;30:56-62.
- Wasserheit JN. Epidemiological synergy: interrelationships between human immunodeficiency virus infection and other sexually transmitted diseases. Sex Transm Dis. 1992;19:61-77.
- Grosskurth H, Mosha F, Todd J, et al. Impact of improved treatment of sexually transmitted diseases on HIV infection in rural Tanzania: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 1995;346: 530-536.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*. 1977;33:159-174.
- Jadad AR, Moore A, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials*. 1996;17: 1-12.
- Deeks J, Glanville J, Sheldon T. Undertaking Systematic Reviews of Effectiveness: CRD Guidelines for Those Carrying Out or Commissioning Reviews. York, England: NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York; 1996. CRD Report 4.
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al, for the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) Group. Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. *JAMA*. 2000;283:2008-2012.
- Hearst N, Lacerda R, Gravato N, Sid Hudes E, Stall R. Reducing AIDS risk among port workers in Santos, Brazil. *Am J Public Health.* 1999;89: 76-78.
- Vaz RG, Gloyd S, Trindade R. The effects of peer education on STI and AIDS knowledge among prisoners in Mozambique. *Int J STD AIDS*. 1996;7: 51-54.
- Bentley ME, Spratt K, Shepherd ME, et al. HIV testing and counseling among men attending sexually transmitted disease clinics in Pune, India: changes in condom use and sexual behavior over time. *AIDS*. 1998;12:1869-1877.
- Calsyn DA, Meinecke C, Saxon A, Stanton V. Risk reduction in sexual behavior: a condom giveaway program in a drug abuse treatment clinic. *Am J Public Health.* 1992;82:1536-1538.
- Jackson DJ, Rakwar JP, Richardson BA, et al. Decreased incidence of sexually transmitted diseases among trucking company workers in Kenya: results of a behavioural risk-reduction programme. *AIDS*. 1997;11:903-909.
- Leonard L, Ndiaye I, Kapadia A, et al. HIV prevention among male clients of female sex workers in Kaolack, Senegal: results of a peer education program. *AIDS Educ Prev.* 2000;12:21-37.
- Baker A, Kochan N, Dixon J, Heather N, Wodak A. Controlled evaluation of a brief intervention for HIV prevention among injecting drug users not in treatment. *AIDS Care.* 1994;6:559-570.
- 26. Malow R, West J, Corrigan S, Pena J, Cunning-

ham S. Outcome of psychoeducation for HIV risk reduction. *AIDS Educ Prev.* 1994;6:113-125.

- Cottler LB, Compton WM, Ben Abdallah A, et al. Peer-delivered interventions reduce HIV risk behaviors among out-of-treatment drug abusers. *Public Health Rep.* 1998;113(suppl):31-41.
- Robles RR, Marrero CA, Matos TD, et al. Factors associated with changes in sex behavior among drug users in Puerto Rico. *AIDS Care.* 1998;10: 329-338.
- Cohen DA, Dent C, MacKinnon D, Hahn G. Condoms for men, not women: results of brief promotion programs. *Sex Transm Dis.* 1992;19: 245-250.
- National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH). The NIMH multisite HIV prevention trial: reducing HIV sexual risk behavior. *Science*. 1998;280:1889-1894.
- Boyer CB, Barrett DC, Peterman TA, Bolan G. Sexually transmitted disease (STD) and HIV risk in heterosexual adults attending a public STD clinic: evaluation of a randomized controlled behavioral risk-reduction intervention. *AIDS*. 1997;11: 359-367.
- Branson BM, Peterman TA, Cannon RO, Ransom R, Zaidi AA. Group counseling to prevent sexually transmitted disease and HIV: a randomized controlled trial. *Sex Transm Dis.* 1998;25:553-560.
- Wagstaff DA, Delamater JD, Havens KK. Subsequent infection among adolescent African-American males attending a sexually transmitted disease clinic. J Adolesc Health. 1999;25:217-226.
- Kalichman SC, Cherry C, Browne-Spearling F. Effectiveness of a video-based motivational skills-building HIV risk-reduction intervention for inner-city African American men. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1999;67:959-966.
- Solomon MZ, DeJong W. Preventing AIDS and other STDs through condom promotion: a patient education intervention. *Am J Public Health*. 1989;79:453-458.
- O'Donnell LN, San Doval A, Duran R, O'Donnell C. Video-based sexually transmitted disease patient education: its impact on condom acquisition. *Am J Public Health*. 1995;85:817-822.
- St Lawrence JS, Brasfield TL, Jefferson KW, Alleyne E, O'Brannon RE III, Shirley A. Cognitivebehavioral intervention to reduce African American adolescents' risk for HIV infection. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1995;63:221-237.
- Jemmott JB III, Jemmott LS, Fong GT. Reductions in HIV risk-associated sexual behaviors among black male adolescents: effects of an AIDS prevention intervention. *Am J Public Health.* 1992; 82:372-377.
- Stanton BF, Li Xi, Kahihuata J, et al. Increased protected sex and abstinence among Namibian youth following a HIV risk-reduction intervention: a randomized, longitudinal study. *AIDS*. 1998;12:2473-2480.
- Antunes MC, Stall RD, Paiva V, et al. Evaluating an AIDS sexual risk reduction program for young adults in public night schools in Sao Paulo, Brazil. AIDS. 1997;11:S121-S127.
- Wight D, Raab GM, Henderson M, et al. The limits of teacher-delivered sex education: interim behavioral outcomes from a randomized trial. *BMJ*. In press.
- Sanderson CA. Role of relationship context in influencing college students' responsiveness to HIV prevention videos. *Health Psychol.* 1999;18: 295-300.
- 43. Celentano DD, Nelson KE, Lyles CM, et al. De-

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 162, SEP 9, 2002 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM 1829

creasing evidence of HIV and sexually transmitted diseases in young Thai men: evidence for success of the HIV/AIDS control and prevention program. *AIDS*. 1998;12:F29-F36.

- Susser E, Valencia E, Berkman A, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus sexual risk reduction in homeless men with mental illness. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1998;55:266-272.
- Kalichman SC, Rompa D, Coley B. Lack of positive outcomes from a cognitive-behavioral HIV and AIDS prevention intervention for inner-city men: lessons from a controlled pilot study. *AIDS Educ Prev.* 1997;9:299-313.
- Aral SO, Peterman TA. Do we know the effectiveness of behavioural interventions? *Lancet.* 1998; 351(suppl 3):33-36.
- Fishbein M, Ajzen I. Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley; 1975.
- 48. Ajzen I. *Attitudes, Personality and Behavior*. Milton Keynes, England: Open University Press; 1988.
- Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol Rev.* 1977;84:191-215.
- 50. Hausenblas HA, Carron AV, Mack DE. Application of the theories of reasoned action and planned

behavior to exercise behavior: a meta-analysis. Int J Sport Psychol. 1997;19:36-51.

- Ouellette J, Wood W. Habit and intention in everyday life: the multiple process by which past behavior predicts future behavior. *Psychol Bull.* 1998;124:54-74.
- Hodgins DC, el-Guebaly N, Addington J. Treatment of substance abusers: single or mixed gender programs? Addiction. 1997;92:805-812.
- Sterne JAC, Egger M, Davey Smith G. Systematic reviews in health care: investigating and dealing with publication and other biases in meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2001;323:101-105.

CME Announcement

CME Hiatus: July Through December 2002

CME from *JAMA/Archives* will be suspended between July and December 2002. Beginning in early 2003, we will offer a new *online* CME program that will provide many enhancements:

- Article-specific questions
- · Hypertext links from questions to the relevant content
- Online CME questionnaire
- · Printable CME certificates and ability to access total CME credits

We apologize for the interruption in CME and hope that you will enjoy the improved online features that will be available in early 2003.