

Letter to the Editor

Guidelines for confidentiality and cancer registration

H Storm^{*1}, DH Brewster², MP Coleman³, D Deapen⁴, A Oshima⁵, T Threlfall⁶ and E Démaret⁷

¹Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark; ²Scottish Cancer Registry, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK; ³Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; ⁴Los Angeles Cancer Surveillance Program, Los Angeles, USA; ⁵Osaka Cancer Registry, Osaka, Japan; ⁶Western Australian Cancer Registry, Perth, Australia; ⁷Descriptive Epidemiology Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

British Journal of Cancer (2005) 92, 2095–2096. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602618 www.bjcancer.com
Published online 10 May 2005
© 2005 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

Legislation and professional guidance on confidentiality in medical research has increased significantly in the past 10 years (Stiller, 1993; Working Group to the Royal College of Physicians Committee on Ethical Issues in Medicine, 1994; European Parliament, 1995; The Caldicott Committee, 1997; Department of Health, 1999; General Medical Council, 2000; Medical Research Council, 2000; Coker and McKee, 2001; Confidentiality and Security Advisory Group for Scotland, 2002; Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences, 2002; Information Commissioner, 2002).

Numerous reports have been issued by national and international bodies (Lowrance, 1997; National Health and Medical Research Council, 1999; Canadian Institutes of Health Research, 2001; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2001; Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences, 2002; Lowrance, 2002; Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2002; World Medical Association, 2002a,b; Medical Research Council, 2003; National Institutes of Health, 2004).

There is very wide debate over the appropriate balance to be struck between increasing demands for personal autonomy, on the one hand, and, on the other, the need for society to learn from the experience of individual patients, in order to understand how best to control disease – this is also in the interests of individuals. The debate has often focused on the confidentiality of individual health data and the need for informed consent before such data can be used in research (Vandenbroucke, 1992; Vanchieri, 1993; Strobl *et al*, 2000; Anderson, 2001; Bastian, 2001; Doll, 2001; Doll and Peto, 2001; Cassell and Young, 2002; Greenberg, 2002; Kulynych and Korn, 2002a,b; Verity and Nicoll, 2002; Coleman *et al*, 2003; De Vet *et al*, 2003; Ingelfinger and Drazen, 2004; Peto *et al*, 2004; Tu *et al*, 2004; Robling *et al*, 2005).

REFERENCES

Anderson R (2001) Undermining data privacy in health information. *Br Med J* 322: 422–423
Bastian H (2001) What are the effects of the fifth revision of the Declaration of Helsinki? Gains and losses for rights of consumer and research participants. *Br Med J* 323: 1419–1421

The International Association of Cancer Registries (IACR) published guidance on confidentiality for cancer registries in the *British Journal of Cancer* in 1992 (Coleman *et al*, 1992). Some national and regional cancer registry associations incorporated the IACR guidance in their own guidelines. At the IACR scientific meeting in Tampere, Finland, in 2002, it was decided to update the guidance. A review seemed appropriate after 10 years. European Union (EU) legislation on the protection of personal data had come into force in all member states during this period, and the EU Directive (European Parliament, 1995) has served as a model for national legislation in many countries outside Europe. Rapid developments in web-based communication also motivated revision of the guidance, with a view to appropriate use of this technology, with the attendant risks of breach of confidentiality. The guidance was revised by a small group, endorsed by the IACR Board in 2004, and made available at www.iacr.com.fr/confidentiality2004.pdf.

The main changes from the previous version are:

- a clear description of the principles of confidentiality, as they relate to identifiable data and the registration of cancer;
- an update of measures to protect data confidentiality;
- guidance on security for both traditional paper-based systems and modern electronically based data systems; and
- expanded recommendations designed to ensure confidentiality in data releases for research, including cross-border transfers.

The updated IACR guidance on confidentiality in the cancer registry should help the cancer research community continue to provide useful information on the causes, treatment and outcome of cancer in the entire population, while maintaining the highest ethical standards in confidential data collection and research.

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (2001) *Selected International Legal Norms on the Protection of Personal Information in Health Research*. Ottawa: CIHR
Cassell J, Young A (2002) Why we should not seek individual informed consent for participation in health services research. *J Med Ethics* 28: 313–317
Coker R, McKee M (2001) Ethical approval for health research in central and eastern Europe: an international survey. *Clin Med* 1: 197–199

*Correspondence: Dr H Storm; E-mail: hans@cancer.dk
Published online 10 May 2005

- Coleman MP, Evans BG, Barrett G (2003) Confidentiality and the public interest in medical research – will we ever get it right? *Clin Med* 3: 219–228
- Coleman MP, Muir CS, Ménégos F (1992) Confidentiality in the cancer registry. *Br J Cancer* 66: 1138–1149
- Confidentiality and Security Advisory Group for Scotland (2002) *Protecting Patient Confidentiality: Final Report*. Edinburgh: Confidentiality and Security Advisory Group for Scotland
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (2002) *International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects*. Geneva: CIOMS
- De Vet HCW, Dekker JM, van Ven EB, Olsen J (2003) Access to data from European registries for epidemiological research: results from a survey by the International Epidemiological Association European Federation. *Int J Epidemiol* 32: 1114–1115
- Department of Health (1999) *Protecting and Using Patient Information: a Manual for Caldicott Guardians*. London: Department of Health
- Doll R (2001) What are the effects of the fifth revision of the Declaration of Helsinki? Research will be impeded. *Br Med J* 323: 1421–1422
- Doll R, Peto R (2001) Rights involve responsibilities for patients. *Br Med J* 322: 730
- European Parliament (1995) Protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data. Directive 95/46/EC, 0031–0050. 24-10-1995
- General Medical Council (2000) Statement on confidentiality and cancer registries (8 November 2000). General Medical Council. 8-11-2000
- Greenberg DS (2002) US Senate weighs proposal on medical privacy. *Lancet* 359: 1585
- Information Commissioner (2002) Use and disclosure of health data: guidance on the application of the Data Protection Act 1998. Wilmslow: Cheshire, Information Commission
- Ingelfinger JR, Drazen JM (2004) Registry research and medical privacy. *N Engl J Med* 350: 1452–1453
- Kulynych J, Korn D (2002a) The new federal medical-privacy rule. *N Engl J Med* 347: 1133–1134
- Kulynych J, Korn D (2002b) The effect of the new federal medical-privacy rule on research. *N Engl J Med* 346: 201–204
- Lowrance WW (1997) *Privacy and health research. A Report to the US Secretary of Health and Human Services*. Washington DC: DHHS
- Lowrance WW (2002) *Learning From Experience: Privacy and the Secondary Use of Data in Health Research*. London: Nuffield Trust
- Medical Research Council (2000) *Personal information in medical research. MRC Ethics Series*. London: Medical Research Council
- Medical Research Council, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council and Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (2003) *Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans*. Ottawa
- National Health and Medical Research Council (1999) *National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia
- National Institutes of Health (2004) *Research Repositories, Databases and the HIPAA Privacy Rule*. Bethesda MD: NIH
- Nuffield Council on Bioethics (2002) *The Ethics of Research Related to Healthcare in Developing Countries*. London: Nuffield Council on Bioethics
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2001) *OECD Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data*. Paris: OECD
- Peto J, Fletcher O, Gilham C (2004) Data protection, informed consent and research. Medical research suffers because of pointless obstacles. *Br Med J* 328: 1029–1030
- Robling MR, Hood K, Pill R, Fay J, Evans HM (2005) Public attitudes towards the use of primary care patient record data in medical research without consent: a qualitative study. *J Med Ethics* 30: 104–109
- Stiller CA (1993) Cancer registration: its uses in research, and confidentiality in the EC. *J Epidemiol Comm Hlth* 47: 342–344
- Strobl J, Cave E, Walley T (2000) Data protection legislation: interpretation and barriers to research. *Br Med J* 321: 890–892
- The Caldicott Committee (1997) *Report on the Review of Patient-Identifiable Information*. London: Department of Health
- Tu JV, Willison DJ, Silver FL, Fang J, Richards JA, Laupacis A, Kapral MK, Investigators in the Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network (2004) Impracticability of informed consent in the registry of the Canadian Stroke Network. *N Engl J Med* 350: 1414–1421
- Vanchieri C (1993) New EC privacy directive worries European epidemiologists. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 85: 1022–1023
- Vandenbroucke JP (1992) Privacy, confidentiality and epidemiology: the Dutch ordeal. *Int J Epidemiol* 21: 825–826
- Verity C, Nicoll A (2002) Consent, confidentiality, and the threat to public health surveillance. *Br Med J* 324: 1210–1213
- Working Group to the Royal College of Physicians Committee on Ethical Issues in Medicine (1994) Independent ethical review of studies involving personal medical records. *J Roy Coll Physic (London)* 28: 439–443
- World Medical Association (2002a) *The World Medical Association Declaration on Ethical Considerations Regarding Health Databases*. Geneva: CIOMS
- World Medical Association (2002b) *Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical Principles for Medical Research involving Human Subjects*. Ferney-Voltaire: WMA