to countries with better provision of intensive care units. However, for the United Kingdom, non-invasive ventilation for patients with mild to moderate acidosis due to decompensated chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is a highly effective technique that improves clinical outcomes, reduces demand for intensive care, and, from the hospital's perspective, reduces costs.

We thank Professor Christine Godfrey, Centre for Health Economics at the University of York, for advice on methods; Amanda Farrin and Vicky Allgar for statistical advice; ResMed (UK) for the loan of the ventilators; and the consultants, junior doctors, nursing staff, and physiotherapists at all 14 centres for help in conducting the trial.

Contributors: See bmj.com

Funding: Northern and Yorkshire NHS Executive. Ventilators loaned by ResMed (UK).

Competing interests: MWE receives research funding from Res-Med (UK).

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the multicentre research ethics committee South West Thames and the local research ethics committees from the centres.

 Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, Lofaso F, Conti G, Rauss A, et al. Non-invasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 1995;333:817-22.

- 2 Kramer N, Meyer TJ, Meharg J, Cece RD, Hill NS. Randomised, prospective trial of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in acute respiratory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;151:1799-806.
- 3 Martin TJ, Hovis JD, Costantino JP, Bierman MI, Donahue MP, Rogers RM, et al. A randomised prospective evaluation of non-invasive ventilation for acute respiratory failure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000:161:807-13.
- 4 Celikel T, Sungur M, Ceyhan B, Karakurt S. Comparison of non-invasive positive pressure ventilation with standard medical therapy in hypercapnic acute respiratory failure. *Chest* 1998;114:1636-42.
- 5 Plant PK, Owen JL, Elliott MW. Early use of non-invasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on general respiratory wards: a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;355:1931-5
- 6 Drummond MF, Stoddart GL, Torrance GW. Cost-effectiveness analysis. In: Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. 1st ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987:74-111.
- 7 Drummond MF, Stoddart GL, Torrance GW. Cost Analysis. In: Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. 1st ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987:39-74.
- 8 Plant PK, Owen JL, Elliott MW. One-year period prevalence study of respiratory acidosis in acute exacerbations of COPD; implications for the provision of non-invasive ventilation and oxygen administration. *Thorax* 2000: 55:550-4
- 9 Altman DG. Theoretical distributions. In: Practical statistics for medical research. London: Chapman and Hall, 1991:48-73.
- 10 Bott J, Carroll MP, Conway JH, Keitty SEJ, Ward EM, Brown AM, et al. Randomised controlled trial of nasal ventilation in acute ventilatory failure due to chronic obstructive airways disease. *Lancet* 1993;341:1555-7.

(Accepted 6 March 2003)

Loss of tolerance and overdose mortality after inpatient opiate detoxification: follow up study

John Strang, Jim McCambridge, David Best, Tracy Beswick, Jenny Bearn, Sian Rees, Michael Gossop

In many countries opiate overdose remains the main source of the 10-fold excess mortality among opiate addicts, notwithstanding the effects of HIV/AIDS.¹ Treatment reduces mortality but can sometimes increase mortality transiently—for example, during the first few weeks of methadone maintenance treatment and among former opiate addicts after their release from prison.² ³ The increase in mortality among released prisoners who were formerly opiate addicts has been attributed to loss of tolerance and erroneous judgment of dose when they returned to opiate use.¹ ³ We wished to investigate whether opiate addicts who have undergone inpatient detoxification might have a

similarly increased mortality after treatment. We followed up patients who received inpatient opiate detoxification, looked for evidence of increased mortality, and investigated the distinctive characteristics of patients who died.

Participants, methods, and results

Over 20 months we recruited 137 consecutive opiate addicts who were receiving opiate detoxification as part of a 28 day inpatient treatment programme and who consented to be followed up. Five patients died within 12 months after their discharge from the

National Addiction Centre, Institute of Psychiatry and the Maudsley Hospital, London SE5 8AF John Strang professor and director Jim McCambridge senior research worker David Best senior lecturer addictions Tracy Beswick research worker Jenny Bearn consultant psychiatrist,

addictions Sian Rees research worker Michael Gossop professor

Correspondence to: J Strang j.strang@ iop.kcl.ac.uk

BMJ 2003;326:959-60

Predictors of mortality among patients who underwent inpatient opiate detoxification. Values are numbers (percentage) of patients unless stated otherwise

Characteristic	Patients who died (n=5)	Other patients (n=132)	Statistical test results
Mean age in years (SD)	31.4 (7.1)	32.6 (7.3)	t=0.36, P=0.72
Male sex	5 (100)	99 (75)	Fisher's exact, P=0.34
Previous inpatient treatment	4 (80)	92 (70)	Fisher's exact, P=1.0
Ever overdosed	1 (20)	50† (39)	Fisher's exact, P=0.65
Was prescribed methadone*	5 (100)	92 (70)	Fisher's exact, P=0.32
Mean dose (mg) of prescribed methadone (SD)	51.0 (20.7)	29.2 (23.1)	t=2.08, P=0.04
Mean number of days of heroin use* (SD)	14.4 (14.4)	24.5 (10.2)	t=2.15, P=0.03
Living alone*	4 (80)	21† (16)	Fisher's exact, P=0.004
Physical health (MAP‡ score) (SD)*	38.6 (10.2)	29.9 (12.3)	t=1.59, P=0.12
Mean length of stay (days) in unit (SD)	24.6 (7.6)	15.6 (8.1)	t=2.44, P=0.02
Completed detoxification	5 (100)	89 (67)	Fisher's exact, P=0.33
Completed full treatment programme	4 (80)	33 (25)	Fisher's exact, P=0.02

*In the month before admission.

†Of 130 patients

#Maudsley Addiction Profile (see www.ntors.org.uk/map.pdf)

inpatient unit, of whom three had died after a drug overdose within the first four months after discharge. We successfully interviewed 103 patients (at a mean interval of 8.7 months after discharge). A search of records indicated that the remaining 29 patients were still alive one year after discharge.

To test whether loss of tolerance increased the risk of overdose, we grouped the patients into three categories, according to their opiate tolerance at the point of leaving treatment: 43 "still tolerant" (ST) patients who failed to complete detoxification; 57 "reduced tolerance" (RT) patients who completed the prescribed phase of detoxification but who prematurely left the treatment programme; and 37 "lost tolerance" (LT) patients who completed the detoxification and also completed the inpatient treatment programme.

The three overdose deaths that occurred within four months after treatment were all from the LT group; the two deaths unrelated to overdose (although both these patients had relapsed) were one LT patient with end stage renal failure and one RT patient with Clostridium welchii infection; no deaths occurred in the ST group (Fisher's exact test, df=2, P=0.02). This clustering did not derive from differences in duration to the follow up interview (mean durations were 9.5 months (ST), 8.7 months (RT), and 7.8 months (LT)).

We also considered length of time in treatment as a continuous variable. The five patients who died had stayed longer in the inpatient unit (mean 24.6 days (SD 7.6)) than the other 132 patients (15.6 days (8.1)) (t=2.44, P=0.02) (table). We looked for distinctive premorbid characteristics among the patients, all men, who died—possible clinical markers of risk of mortality after detoxification. Before admission these patients were more likely than the other patients to have been living alone, to have been taking higher doses of methadone, and to have been using heroin less often. They stayed longer in the inpatient unit and were more likely to have completed the treatment programme.

Comment

Patients who "successfully" completed inpatient detoxification were more likely than other patients to have died within a year. No patients who failed to complete detoxification died. Heroin addicts are known to have excess mortality.4 However, on the basis of previously published data we would have expected that in our group only one or two patients would have died within a year and only one from overdose.⁵ The clustering of the deaths from overdose in the group of patients who had successfully completed treatment is counterintuitive and illogical-unless it derives from loss of tolerance and consequent unpredictability of resumed heroin use. This study urgently requires replication, and if its results are confirmed these will need to be addressed within existing inpatient, residential, and custodial and associated aftercare programmes.

We thank the patients and staff of Wickham Park House, Bethlem Royal Hospital, South London and Maudsley NHS Trust. Contributors: JS conceived the analysis of data from the follow up study designed by DB, JB, MG, and JS. TB and SR collected and entered the data. Statistical analysis was by JMcC and JS. JS and JMcC wrote the original draft, and all authors contributed to interpretation and revision. JS and DB are the guarantors.

Funding: Henry Smith Charitable Foundation. Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: South London and Maudsley ethical committee.

- Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs. Reducing drug-related deaths. London: Stationery Office, 2000.
- London: Stationery Office, 2000.
 Capelhorn J. Deaths in the first two weeks of methadone treatment in NSW in 1994: identifying cases of iatrogenic methadone toxicity. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 1998;17:9-17.
- 3 Bird SM, Hutchinson SJ. Male drugs-related deaths in the fortnight after release from prison: Scotland, 1996-99. Addiction 2003;98:185-90.
- 4 Oppenheimer E, Tobutt C, Taylor C, Andrew T. Death and survival in a cohort of heroin addicts from London clinics: a 22-year follow-up study. *Addiction* 1994;89:1299-308.
- 5 Farrell M, Neeleman J, Griffiths P, Strang J. Suicide and overdose among opiate addicts. *Addiction* 1996;91:321-3.

(Accepted 30 January 2003)

Changing prescription patterns for lithium and valproic acid in old age: shifting practice without evidence

Kenneth I Shulman, Paula Rochon, Kathy Sykora, Geoffrey Anderson, Muhammad Mamdani, Susan Bronskill, Chau T T Tran

Department of Psychiatry, Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada M4N 3M5 Kenneth I Shulman professor

continued over

BMJ 2003;326:960-1

Over the past decade, valproic acid (prescribed as divalproex in North America) has been marketed as an alternative to lithium for treating bipolar disorders. For elderly patients, however, there is no clear evidence that valproic acid is more beneficial than lithium. Moreover, the evidence for the superiority of valproic acid in treating bipolar disorders—mixed episodes and rapid cycling—has been challenged in a recent Cochrane review.¹ Valproic acid has not benefited patients with manic and psychiatric symptoms in dementia, despite the growing use of the drug in the management of these conditions.² Recently, the relatively rapid shift in prescription patterns has been questioned.³ We describe trends in the use of lithium and valproic acid in a large population of people over 65.

Methods and results

We obtained information on drug use from the Ontario Drug Benefit Program, which provides comprehensive drug benefits to all residents aged 65 or older in Ontario, Canada. We identified all patients who had been taking lithium or valproic acid between 1993 and 2001 (prevalent users) and we further identified those patients who had not previously taken lithium or valproic acid (new users). We restricted our study to patients aged 66 or more to enable us to examine their previous drug use for a minimum of one year. Using unique encrypted health card numbers, we linked data on this cohort to two other large datasets—the Canadian Institute for Health