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Modelling cost effectiveness of meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccination campaign in England and Wales

Caroline L Trotter, W John Edmunds

Abstract

Objectives To assess the cost effectiveness of a meningococcal serogroup C conjugate vaccination campaign in 0-17 year olds.

Design Cost effectiveness analysis from the perspective of the healthcare provider.

Setting England and Wales.

Main outcome measure Cost per life year saved.

Results In 1998-9, immediately before the introduction of meningococcal C vaccination, the burden of serogroup C disease was considerable, with an estimated 1137 cases in people aged 0-17 years and at least 72 deaths. The vaccination campaign is estimated to have cost between £126m ($180m, €207m) and £241m ($343m, €395m), depending on the price of the vaccine. Under base case assumptions the cost per life year saved from the vaccination campaign is estimated to be £6259. School based vaccination was more cost effective than general practice based vaccination because of lower delivery costs. Immunisation of infants aged under 1 year was the least cost effective component of the campaign because, although this maximises the life years gained, the three dose schedule required is more expensive than other methods of delivery. Estimates of the cost per life year saved were sensitive to assumptions on the future incidence of disease and the case fatality ratio.

Conclusions Meningococcal C vaccination is likely to be more cost effective in all age groups when the incidence of disease is high. It is also more cost effective when given to children aged 1-4 (by general practitioners) and to children and young people aged 5-17 years at school than when administered to infants under 12 months of age or young people aged 16-17 years who are not at school.

Introduction

In November 1999 the UK Department of Health incorporated meningococcal serogroup C conjugate (MenC) vaccine into routine infant immunisation and launched a national campaign offering vaccine to everyone aged under 18 years. The accelerated testing and introduction of this vaccine was prompted by a growing burden of meningococcal disease from the mid-1990s onwards, with serogroup C becoming more important. The vaccine provided a new opportunity for primary prevention of meningococcal disease because, unlike the older polysaccharide vaccines, it is immunogenic in infants and primes for memory.

We considered the cost effectiveness of the UK vaccination campaign in terms of the cost per life year saved from the perspective of the healthcare provider (the NHS). We considered only costs and savings accruing to the NHS compared with the life years saved. We ignored private costs and private benefits, except loss of life.

Methods

We compared the cost effectiveness of the meningococcal C vaccine campaign with the previous strategy, when there was no national vaccination programme and cases were treated as they arose, with control measures implemented in the event of an outbreak.

We compared the cost effectiveness of different components of the UK vaccination strategy (such as routine versus catch up, school based versus general practitioner based immunisation).

Cohort model

Because no clinical trial of vaccine efficacy exists we constructed a model to estimate the direct impact of the campaign by following an imaginary vaccine campaign cohort over a lifetime. This comprised 18 birth cohorts of people aged 0-17 who were offered vaccine in the first year of the campaign. We assumed there were 658 800 individuals in each cohort at birth, which is the average size of birth cohorts over the past 18 years (source: Office for National Statistics). We calculated the number of cases of serogroup C meningococcal disease per year by multiplying estimated incidence of disease by the susceptible population. In all cases patients were assumed to die or to acquire lifelong immunity to meningococcal disease and so were removed from the susceptible pool. Those dying from serogroup C meningococcal disease were assumed to lose the average life expectancy for the age at which they died.

Sensitivity analysis

The base case scenario was considered to be the most likely set of parameters. However, because of uncertainty surrounding these estimates we explored a range of values. Firstly, we varied one parameter at a time within its given range in a univariate sensitivity
analysis. Secondly, we performed a multivariate sensitivity analysis, under six different scenarios of disease burden, using Monte Carlo simulation with @RISK 4.0 (Palisade Corporation, NY, USA) running within Microsoft Excel. Here, we drew input parameter values from a probability distribution using Latin hypercube sampling. Uniform distributions were assumed for all input parameter values in the base case. The model was simulated 500 times to generate a distribution of outcome values.

**Costs and discounting**

We measured all costs in pounds sterling at 2000 prices, with costs estimated from previous years inflated using the hospital and community health services pay and prices index. Future costs and benefits were discounted back to their present value, with the assumption that all costs and benefits occurred at the end of the year. In the base case we used a 3% discount rate for both costs and benefits, as recommended by the US panel. We investigated a range of other discount rates because national recommendations differ—for example, the UK Department of Health recommends discount rates of 1.5% for benefits and 6% for costs.

**Estimating model parameters**

In the base case we derived future incidence of serogroup C disease from 1998-9 estimates because this was the period immediately before the introduction of the vaccine. The incidence of notified and laboratory confirmed meningococcal disease in the United Kingdom had increased every year from 1995, and the proportion of cases attributable to serogroup C increased from 25% to almost 40% because of the introduction and spread of a virulent clone (C2a, electrophoretic type 15) over this time period. To explore the effects of a lower incidence in the sensitivity analysis we also estimated the average incidence of serogroup C disease between 1989-90 and 1994-5 (table 1).

We obtained data on serogroup and age from 1989-99 from the meningococcal reference unit of the Public Health Laboratory Service. Not all infections are confirmed by a laboratory, and not all confirmed cases are characterised by serogroup, so we adjusted these data to estimate the true incidence of disease. Firstly, we estimated underascertainment by comparing reference unit data with hospital episode statistics and derived scale up factors. The hospital episode statistics (www.doh.gov.uk/hes) contain details of all patients admitted to and treated in NHS hospitals in England and is likely to be the most complete source of information on meningococcal disease. Cases were classified as meningococcal disease if there were ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th revision) codes A39.0-A39.9 in any of the seven diagnostic fields (ICD-9 codes used before 1996). Secondly, after 1996 (when diagnosis with the polymerase chain reaction was widely introduced) cases confirmed by polymerase chain reaction but uncharacterised were proportionally redistributed among other serogroups.

Deaths from meningococcal disease are registered with the Office for National Statistics with ICD-9 disease specific codes; and these are routinely linked to reference unit laboratory reports. In 1998-9 there were 72 registered deaths from laboratory confirmed serogroup C disease in 0-17 year olds. This is a minimum estimate as not all cases are confirmed by a laboratory and not all deaths will be registered to codes specific to meningococcal disease. Because of this uncertainty we used a range of mortality estimates in the sensitivity analysis. For the high estimate we calculated age specific case fatality ratios by dividing the number of laboratory confirmed registered deaths by the number of laboratory confirmed cases in 1998-9. For the low estimate we calculated the case fatality ratio by dividing the actual number of laboratory confirmed registered deaths by the adjusted number of cases for 1998-9 (described above). The medium estimate, used in the base case, was the mean of the high and low estimates (table 1). The widely quoted Department of Health estimate of 150 deaths per year (all ages) from serogroup C disease is based on estimates of case fatality ratios from enhanced surveillance of meningococcal disease, which are similar to our high estimate.

We assumed that in all cases of meningococcal disease patients were admitted to hospital and were recorded in the hospital episode statistics database. We estimated the average length of stay in hospital for specific ages from hospital episode statistics between 1997-8 and 1998-9 and the proportion of cases admitted to an intensive care unit and the length of stay from 1998-9 data (fig 1). We obtained details of the cost of an intensive care bed per day from NHS reference costs (www.doh.gov.uk/nhsexec/refcosts.htm) and the cost of a bed day (non-intensive care) from *Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2000* (table 2). There is no national database that documents the use of outpatient services by patients with meningococcal disease, though most patients will require further treatment or follow up. We therefore assumed that every patient attended a first consultation and one follow up appointment at an average total cost of £246 (NHS reference costs, equivalent to $350, €405). These costs were varied in the sensitivity analysis between +/− 20% of the base case.

Survivors of meningococcal disease can have a range of long term sequelae, most commonly hearing impairment, skin scarring, amputation, and neurological disorders. In developed countries 3–15% of survivors are estimated to have sequelae, with 7% assumed in the base case. There are likely to be considerable costs associated with the treatment and rehabilitation of survivors with serious sequelae, though there is no published information detailing these costs in the

### Table 1 Estimates of annual incidence of meningococcal group C disease and case fatality ratios in England and Wales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Disease incidence/100 000</th>
<th>Case fatality ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High (1998-9) Low (1989-94)</td>
<td>Low Medium High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>31.53 26.64</td>
<td>4.3 6.2 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>15.00 4.37</td>
<td>4.7 7.1 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>5.07 1.27</td>
<td>1.6 2.8 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>4.97 1.02</td>
<td>5.5 8.6 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>7.92 2.15</td>
<td>14.3 16.5 17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>1.89 0.71</td>
<td>8.1 8.0 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-64</td>
<td>0.67 0.23</td>
<td>12.6 12.8 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-84</td>
<td>0.77 0.25</td>
<td>13.6 16.4 16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>0.50 0.23</td>
<td>31.6 38.0 30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2.94 0.84</td>
<td>7.8 9.6 12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
United Kingdom. In particular the long term costs to primary care of subsequent permanent chronic disability are difficult to estimate. We assumed that 10% of survivors with sequelae would require lifetime institutionalised full time care (£21 500 ($30 637, €35 257) per year).\(^7\) Survivors with less severe sequelae were assumed to require additional health services at an average cost of £500 (£100 to £1000 in the sensitivity analysis) per year.

Wider public health action is recommended after two or more confirmed or probable cases of meningococcal disease probably caused by the same serogroup within an institution.\(^8\) The primary quantifiable costs are from vaccination with serogroup C polysaccharide (about £7 per dose), chemoprophylaxis (about £3 for 600 mg rifampicin every 12 hours for two days),\(^9\) and swabbing of contacts considered at risk (about £10), with staff costs at about £1 per procedure. We estimated the average cost of outbreak control per year from 1996 and 1997 data. There were around 11 outbreaks per year of serogroup C in school and preschool settings (J White, personal communication), from 1996 and 1997 data. There were around 11 outbreaks per year of serogroup C in school and preschool settings (J White, personal communication), with an average of 450 children receiving vaccine and antibiotics and 100 throat swabs taken at an estimated cost of £6500 per outbreak. We identified further outbreaks in community and university settings in the literature and, when they were not reported, estimated costs according to the numbers vaccinated, given antibiotics, and swabbed. In the base case the estimated cost per year of outbreak control is £245 500. This relates to direct health costs only and not additional external costs incurred (such as admissions due to false alarms, opportunity costs, costs to the schools and universities). Because the number and size of outbreaks cannot be predicted we varied the cost of outbreak control between +/− 20% in the sensitivity analysis. We assumed that outbreaks would not occur after the start of the vaccination programme, with savings calculated on the basis that the cohort would have been at risk of outbreaks in educational institutions for up to 18 years after the start of the campaign.

**Vaccination programme**

The UK vaccine schedule recommends three doses for infants aged under 4 months, two doses for infants aged 5-12 months, and one dose for children and young people aged 1-17 years.\(^9\) The 2001 UK list price of the licensed Wyeth meningococcal C vaccine is £17.95 per dose,\(^9\) although bulk purchasing may result in a lower cost. We assumed the vaccine to cost between £8 and £18 per dose (£12 in the base case). The total cost of the television advertising and leaflet campaign run by the Health Education Authority was £3.5m (Health Education Authority, personal communication). By September 2000, 4764 suspected reactions (including headaches, fever, rash, dizziness, faints, seizures) had been reported to the Medicines Control Agency, equivalent to 1:2875 distributed doses, with anaphylactoid reactions reported at a rate of 1 per 500 000 doses used.\(^2\) We assumed that each child with a reported adverse event was seen by a general practitioner at a cost of £18 per consultation and that those with anaphylactoid reactions were admitted to hospital at a cost of £310.\(^3\)

For each child vaccinated at school the Department of Health paid a fee of £1 to the relevant NHS trust or health authority to cover the cost of nursing, administration, and consumables. General practitioners received item of service payments of £6.25 per dose of vaccine or £4.30 for all but the last dose in a series if more than one dose was required. These payments may not accurately reflect the opportunity cost of the campaign. For example, the campaign involved extra administration costs for general practitioners and health authorities, and the normal activities of the school health service (such as health interviews, health promotion) were severely disrupted over the duration of the campaign. To allow for this in the sensitivity analysis we increased the cost of giving any general practice based vaccine to £9, to reflect the average cost for a consultation with a practice nurse (who is likely to immunise children attending a GP surgery), and increased school based costs from £1 to £3 per dose. In the base case we added an additional 10% to the vaccine costs to account for wastage, though there may be more wastage in general practice than in schools because of the larger volume of vaccine used in a school setting. We investigated the effects of this in the sensitivity analysis.

Early reports in the United Kingdom suggest an efficacy of 92% (95% confidence interval 65% to 98%) for toddlers (aged < 2 years) and 97% (77% to 99%) for teenagers.\(^9\) In the base case we assumed vaccine efficacy to be 95% in 2-12 year olds, with toddlers and teenagers as above. We estimated vaccine coverage by September 2000, 4764 suspected reactions (including headaches, fever, rash, dizziness, faints, seizures) had been reported to the Medicines Control Agency, equivalent to 1:2875 distributed doses, with anaphylactoid reactions reported at a rate of 1 per 500 000 doses used.\(^2\) We assumed that each child with a reported adverse event was seen by a general practitioner at a cost of £18 per consultation and that those with anaphylactoid reactions were admitted to hospital at a cost of £310.\(^3\)

For each child vaccinated at school the Department of Health paid a fee of £1 to the relevant NHS trust or health authority to cover the cost of nursing, administration, and consumables. General practitioners received item of service payments of £6.25 per dose of vaccine or £4.30 for all but the last dose in a series if more than one dose was required. These payments may not accurately reflect the opportunity cost of the campaign. For example, the campaign involved extra administration costs for general practitioners and health authorities, and the normal activities of the school health service (such as health interviews, health promotion) were severely disrupted over the duration of the campaign. To allow for this in the sensitivity analysis we increased the cost of giving any general practice based vaccine to £9, to reflect the average cost for a consultation with a practice nurse (who is likely to immunise children attending a GP surgery), and increased school based costs from £1 to £3 per dose. In the base case we added an additional 10% to the vaccine costs to account for wastage, though there may be more wastage in general practice than in schools because of the larger volume of vaccine used in a school setting. We investigated the effects of this in the sensitivity analysis.

Early reports in the United Kingdom suggest an efficacy of 92% (95% confidence interval 65% to 98%) for toddlers (aged < 2 years) and 97% (77% to 99%) for teenagers.\(^9\) In the base case we assumed vaccine efficacy to be 95% in 2-12 year olds, with toddlers and teenagers as above. We estimated vaccine coverage from routine COVER (cover of vaccination evaluated rapidly) statistics and four one off data collection exercises. On the basis of these data we assumed coverage...
to be 89% in under 1 year olds, 82% in 1-4 year olds, 87% in 5-13 year olds, 83% in 14-15 year olds, and 65% in 16-17 year olds in full time education (Marie Rush, personal communication). Limited information is available on vaccination in 16-17 year olds not in education, and we estimated coverage to be 50%.

Results

In the late 1990s the burden of serogroup C meningococcal disease was considerable, with an estimated 1519 cases in 1998-9, of which 1137 occurred in people aged 0-17 years. There were 72 deaths due to laboratory confirmed serogroup C disease in this age group. After adjustment for underascertainment this figure could be as high as 107 deaths. In the absence of a meningococcal C vaccination programme, the annual costs of treating and controlling acute serogroup C disease in 0-17 year olds is estimated to be around £3.87m. Inclusion of the costs of treating long term sequelae increases this to £9.6m (table 5).

The vaccination programme should substantially reduce the future burden of disease and associated costs (table 4). The campaign is estimated to prevent 7880 cases and 845 deaths, resulting in nearly 23 000 avoidable costs (table 4). This is estimated to avoid costs of about £29m present value (base case) in treatment and control. The total cost of vaccinating the campaign cohort was estimated at £172m at £12/dose (base case), ranging from £126m at £8 a dose to £241m at £18 a dose.

We estimated the cost per year of life saved of the entire programme to be £6259. The school based campaign is more cost effective than the general practitioner based campaign, primarily because of the lower delivery costs per person. Although early vaccination maximises life years saved, routine vaccination at 2, 3, and 4 months is the least cost effective because the cost of giving three doses is substantially higher. In terms of continued routine vaccination, vaccinating children with one dose at 1 year is more cost effective than vaccinating infants at 2, 3, and 4 months. However, delaying vaccination could result in up to 200 potentially preventable cases of serogroup C meningococcal disease in infants under 1 year.

The sensitivity analyses show that the most striking changes in the cost per life year saved occurred when the assumptions about incidence of disease and case fatality ratios were changed (table 5, fig 2). The results were also sensitive to changes in vaccine cost per dose and vaccine efficacy. The cost per life year saved is fairly insensitive to changes in the parameters with the most uncertainty, such as the cost of treating long term sequelae. The choice of discount rate is critical to the outcome (table 6), with the cost per life year saved increasing as the discount rate increases. Adopting the UK Treasury recommended discount rate reduces the cost per life year saved to less than £4000.

In the multivariate sensitivity analysis we fixed the discount rate at 3% and compared different scenarios for risk of disease and mortality (fig 2). In the base case (high incidence, medium case fatality ratio) 95% of the model simulations resulted in a cost per life year saved of less than £10 000. If we assumed a high case fatality ratio and high incidence then 33% of results were below £5000 per life year saved. If the incidence in the cohort is low then cost per life year saved is greatly

Table 3 Units and costs of treating and controlling cases of serogroup C meningococcal disease in 0-17 year olds that arise in one year (base case)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before vaccine</th>
<th>After vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Cost (£)</td>
<td>Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient stay in intensive care</td>
<td>1127 bed days</td>
<td>£1 347 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient stay on ward</td>
<td>6522 bed days</td>
<td>£2 021 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient follow up</td>
<td>2104 appointments</td>
<td>£258 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbreak costs</td>
<td>11 outbreaks per year</td>
<td>£246 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs of treating acute meningococcal disease</td>
<td>£3 874 250</td>
<td>£804 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term costs of treating sequelae*</td>
<td>66 mild</td>
<td>£983 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 severe</td>
<td>£4 708 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs of treating all cases that arise in one year</td>
<td>£9 564 750</td>
<td>£2 026 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Present value of cost of treating cases that arise in one year for the rest of their lifetime, discounted at 3%.

Table 4 Cost per life year saved of meningitis C vaccination programme, discounted to present value (3%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of campaign</th>
<th>Delivery method (No of doses)</th>
<th>Population (millions)</th>
<th>Cases avoided</th>
<th>Deaths avoided</th>
<th>Life years saved</th>
<th>Cost of vaccine campaign (£m)*</th>
<th>Total cost savings (£m)</th>
<th>Net cost (£m)</th>
<th>Cost per case avoided</th>
<th>Cost per life year saved (£m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4 months</td>
<td>GP (3)</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>33 326</td>
<td>14 630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-11 months</td>
<td>GP (2)</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>21 624</td>
<td>9489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4 years</td>
<td>GP (1)</td>
<td>2.621</td>
<td>2422</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>5879</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>14 138</td>
<td>5826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-17 years</td>
<td>School (1)</td>
<td>7.857</td>
<td>4342</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>14 354</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>17 907</td>
<td>5529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-17 year olds not in education</td>
<td>GP (1)</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>39 341</td>
<td>10 291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall UK campaign 0-17 years</td>
<td>GP and school</td>
<td>11.791</td>
<td>7880</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>22 799</td>
<td>172.0</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>18 112</td>
<td>6259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative strategy (not implemented)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>GP (1)</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1658</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11 878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cost of Health Education Authority advertising campaign distributed according to population size in each age group.
increased with 25%, 53%, and 75% of simulations resulting in a cost per life year saved of more than £30,000 for high, medium, and low case fatality ratios respectively.

Discussion

The meningococcal C vaccination campaign has rapidly and substantially reduced the incidence of serogroup C meningococcal disease in the targeted age groups. Modelling of the cost effectiveness of the campaign supports the introduction of the vaccine.

The sensitivity analyses show that assumptions on the incidence of disease are critical in determining the cost effectiveness of the campaign. This incidence cannot be predicted, especially given the variation in and instability of prevalent meningococcal strains. However, disease surveillance since the start of the campaign indicates that incidence of serogroup C disease has continued to increase in people aged 20-25 years, suggesting that in the absence of vaccination the incidence of the disease may have also continued to increase in those aged 0-17 years. This would have resulted in the vaccine campaign being more cost effective than we have estimated.

The current schedule of routine infant immunisation at 2, 3, and 4 months is the most clinically effective but least cost effective strategy because of the higher delivery costs and the three dose schedule. Routine immunisation at 12 months would be more cost effective but could result in up to 200 preventable cases in infants each year. The catch up campaign was most cost effective when delivery was school based rather than general practice based. School and general practice components complemented each other because extra general practice sessions were used to “mop up” children who missed sessions at school to improve coverage.

This analysis ignores gains in quality of life, principally because of a lack of information. Furthermore, we did not incorporate effects of herd immunity into the model because of uncertainty over the transmission dynamics of Neisseria meningitidis. The experience with Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) conjugate vaccination in the United Kingdom (and elsewhere) suggests that conjugate vaccination reduces carriage. If meningococcal C vaccination reduces transmission of serogroup C meningococci, the risk of infection for those who have not been vaccinated would decline. The net effect of these omissions would be that the campaign was probably more cost effective than is presented here.
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What is already known on this topic

The burden of group C meningococcal disease in England and Wales in the late 1990s was considerable.

In November 1999 the United Kingdom was the first country to introduce mass vaccination against group C meningococcal disease.

There are no published economic evaluations of the vaccination campaign.

What this study adds

This economic evaluation supports the introduction of the meningococcal C vaccine.

School based vaccination is more cost effective than routine vaccination of infants because delivery costs are lower and fewer doses are required.
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