

Sponsored document from Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology

Published as: Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009 August ; 166(2): 194–197.

Site-specific DNA double-strand breaks greatly increase stable transformation efficiency in *Trypanosoma brucei*

Lucy Glover and David Horn*

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, WC1E 7HT, UK.

Abstract

Genetic manipulation in African trypanosomes typically relies upon electroporation with chromosomal integration of DNA constructs by homologous recombination. Relatively little is known about chromosomal recombination and repair in these organisms however and low transformation efficiency and position effects can limit forward genetic approaches. In yeast and mammalian cells, site-specific DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) stimulate targeted integration through homologous recombination-based repair where the exogenous DNA serves as the template. We have explored the effect of DSBs on targeted integration in bloodstream-form *Trypanosoma brucei*, focusing on the ribosomal RNA-spacer target commonly used to integrate recombinant constructs. DSB-repair within the ribosomal RNA tandem gene-repeats is likely dominated by single-strand annealing allowing approximately 80% of cells to survive the break. In the presence of exogenous DNA, transformation efficiency is increased approximately 250-fold by DSB-induction. In the example presented, more than 1% of cells that survive the procedure were transformed generating 80,000 transformants from a typical experiment.

Keywords

I-SceI; Recombination; Repair

Chromosomal double-strand break (DSB) repair mechanisms are crucial for genome stability and cell survival in all living organisms. Single DSBs in the core of *Trypanosoma brucei* chromosomes have been shown to trigger a robust DNA damage response and efficient repair via homologous recombination with allelic templates [1]. In yeast [2] and mammalian cells [3,4], homologous sequence on exogenously introduced DNA can also be used for repair such that DSBs stimulate stable chromosomal integration. The ability to manipulate trypanosomatid genomes [5,6] revolutionized molecular biology studies in these organisms and electroporation using the Nucleofector device and 'T-cell' solution (Lonza) now allows efficient stable transformation of bloodstream-form *T. brucei*[7]. However, forward genetic approaches are still limited by transformation efficiency and position effects, major differences in expression dependent upon the locus of integration.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 20 7927 2352; fax: +44 20 7636 8739. E-mail: david.horn@lshtm.ac.uk.

^{© 2009} Elsevier B.V.

This document may be redistributed and reused, subject to certain conditions.

This document was posted here by permission of the publisher. At the time of deposit, it included all changes made during peer review, copyediting, and publishing. The U.S. National Library of Medicine is responsible for all links within the document and for incorporating any publisher-supplied amendments or retractions issued subsequently. The published journal article, guaranteed to be such by Elsevier, is available for free, on ScienceDirect.

With few loci shown to be transcriptionally inactive in trypanosomatids, the non-transcribed ribosomal RNA (*RRNA*) spacer loci [8] are probably the most popular targets for the integration of regulated transgenes. There are nine of these annotated in the haploid genome sequence (*T. brucei* is diploid) with one complete *RRNA* unit each on chromosomes 1 and 7, two on chromosome 2 and five on chromosome 3 [9] and position effects have been demonstrated when targeting the spacers at these loci [10]. I-SceI is a site-specific double-strand meganuclease that recognizes and cleaves an 18 bp sequence. I-SceI has been used previously to efficiently induce DSBs at specific loci on *T. brucei* chromosomes [1] with no evidence of non-specific toxicity [11]. Here, we have employed tetracycline-inducible I-SceI expression to introduce a specific DSB at a *RRNA*-spacer, to explore repair at this locus and to determine the effect on transformation efficiency.

Initially, to determine whether a DSB can increase transformation efficiency in *T. brucei*, we induced a DSB at a 'single-copy' gene locus on chromosome 11 where we had previously integrated a I-SceI site [1]. We added tetracycline to induce a DSB 0, 3 or 18 h prior to electroporation (BioRad, GenePulser II). The number of stably transformed cells was increased in all three samples exposed to tetracycline and peaked in the 3 h samples which generated 3×10^{-5} transformants, at least 300-fold more than control cells (Table 1, expt. 1). Similar results were obtained when we induced a DSB in the tandem tubulin gene array on chromosome 1 (Table 1, expt. 2).

Using standard electroporation, circular (uncut) and linear DNA are taken up by cells equally well but circular DNA displays reduced transformation efficiency [12,13], typically $<10^{-7}$ in bloodstream-form cells (data not shown). We next examined how genomic breaks and exogenous DNA linearization combine to affect transformation. Circular exogenous DNA combined with 3 h, I-SceI-induced samples yielded 2×10^{-6} transformants while linear DNA yielded 7×10^{-5} transformants (Table 1, expt. 3). This indicated that both exogenous DNA linearization and genomic breaks promote stable integration in a cooperative manner, presumably because breaks facilitate strand-invasion [14]. We now proceeded to study recombination at *RRNA* loci.

For these studies, a Red Fluorescent Protein (*RFP*) – Puromycin *N*-ACetyltransferase (*PAC*) fusion gene with an embedded I-SceI site ($R^{s}P$) and driven by an *RRNA* promoter, was assembled and targeted to *RRNA*-spacers in cells with a conditional I-SceI gene (Fig. 1A). RNA polymerase I transcription stimulates homologous recombination in *T. brucei* [15] and *RRNA*-spacer loci exert position effects on integrated promoters [10] so we screened several $R^{s}P_{\text{RRNA}}$ clones for direct RFP fluorescence and selected one with the highest expression to ensure robust transcription at the target site. The vast majority (>99%) of these $R^{s}P_{\text{RRNA}}$ cells revert to puromycin sensitivity when grown in tetracycline (data not shown) indicating efficient I-SceI cleavage and disruption or loss of the $R^{s}P$ cassette (see Fig. 1A). $R^{s}P_{\text{RRNA}}$ cells were then tested for transformation efficiency by inducing I-SceI expression 3 h prior to electroporation. This generated 8×10^{-5} transformants, 200-fold more than control cells (Table 1, expt. 4) and similar to the efficiency obtained when these conditions were used to target loci on chromosomes 1 and 11.

All *RRNA*-spacers, possibly excepting the one found on chromosome 1, are flanked by large stretches of duplicated sequence which would be expected to facilitate single-strand annealing. This form of repair can occur when a DSB is flanked by two related sequences; DNA resection reveals homologous sequences that anneal and facilitate repair with loss of the intervening DNA [14]. First, a clonogenic assay with a pair of independent $R^{s}P_{\text{RRNA}}$ strains demonstrated that ~80% of cells survived the introduction of a DSB (Fig. 1B) and suggested that the targeted *RRNA*-spacer loci were efficiently repaired. We next used hybridization analysis to monitor the DNA-damage-response triggered by a DSB at a *RRNA*-spacer (in the absence of exogenous

Published as: Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009 August ; 166(2): 194-197.

Glover and Horn

We had chosen the BioRad Gene Pulser for our initial electroporation studies (above) because T. brucei chromosomal integration has been extensively characterized using this approach [16]. However, one goal was to combine gains in transformation efficiency from Nucleofection and DSB-repair. We considered this to be conceivable since Nucleofection is thought to increase efficiency by delivering DNA to the nucleus more efficiently than other electroporation devices and solutions (http://www.lonza.com/). Since Nucleofector buffer composition is proprietary, we decided to carry out some preliminary analyses. In particular, we wanted to determine whether Nucleofection was similarly dependent upon terminal homologous sequence on the exogenous DNA [12,13]. For this, we took advantage of the 2T1 system which requires a defined recombination event to generate drug-resistant cells [17]. Using exogenous DNA that was either circular or linear with internal or terminal targeting sequences, we obtained $<1 \times 10^{-7}$, 3×10^{-7} and 1×10^{-5} transformants respectively (Table 1, expt. 5). These results indicate that, as with standard electroporation, terminal targeting sequences on the exogenous DNA greatly increase integration efficiency. Nucleofection yields approximately 10^{-4} transformants when the tandem procyclin gene loci on chromosomes 6 and 10 are targeted [7], but we have seen locus-dependent differences in efficiency using this approach; we obtained approximately 10^{-5} transformants when targeting the full set of RRNA-spacer loci for example (data not shown).

We now proceeded to use Nucleofection to assess meganuclease-facilitated integration in $R^{s}P_{\text{RRNA}}$ cells (Fig. 2A). Without DSB-induction we obtained 1.2×10^{-5} transformants and with DSB-induction we obtained 3.2×10^{-3} transformants (Fig. 2B and Table 1, expt. 6). Thus, in the presence of a DSB, transfection efficiency is increased >250-fold and >1% of cells that survive the procedure are transformed. High efficiency, site-specific integration could be exploited to develop cost-effective and practical forward genetic approaches that minimise position effects.

Acknowledgements

Our work is supported by The Wellcome Trust (083648). We thank Brashanthiy Gnanasegarampillai for help with preliminary analysis and also Sam Alsford, John Kelly, Sam Obado (LSHTM) and Richard McCulloch (Univ. of Glasgow) for comments on the draft manuscript.

References

- [1]. Glover L. McCulloch R. Horn D. Sequence homology and microhomology dominate chromosomal double-strand break repair in African trypanosomes. Nucleic Acids Res 2008;36:2608–2618.
 [PubMed: 18334531]
- [2]. Storici F. Durham C.L. Gordenin D.A. Resnick M.A. Chromosomal site-specific double-strand breaks are efficiently targeted for repair by oligonucleotides in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:14994–14999. [PubMed: 14630945]
- [3]. Choulika A. Perrin A. Dujon B. Nicolas J.F. Induction of homologous recombination in mammalian chromosomes by using the I-SceI system of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15:1968–1973. [PubMed: 7891691]
- [4]. Rouet P. Smih F. Jasin M. Introduction of double-strand breaks into the genome of mouse cells by expression of a rare-cutting endonuclease. Mol Cell Biol 1994;14:8096–8106. [PubMed: 7969147]

Published as: Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009 August ; 166(2): 194-197.

- [5]. Bellofatto V. Cross G.A. Expression of a bacterial gene in a trypanosomatid protozoan. Science 1989;244:1167–1169. [PubMed: 2499047]
- [6]. Carruthers V.B. van der Ploeg L.H. Cross GA. DNA-mediated transformation of bloodstream-form Trypanosoma brucei. Nucleic Acids Res 1993;21:2537–2538. [PubMed: 8506158]
- [7]. Burkard G. Fragoso C.M. Roditi I. Highly efficient stable transformation of bloodstream forms of Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2007;153:220–223. [PubMed: 17408766]
- [8]. White T.C. Rudenko G. Borst P. Three small RNAs within the 10kb trypanosome rRNA transcription unit are analogous to domain VII of other eukaryotic 28S rRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 1986;14:9471– 9489. [PubMed: 3797245]
- [9]. Berriman M. Ghedin E. Hertz-Fowler C. The genome of the African trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei. Science 2005;309:416–422. [PubMed: 16020726]
- [10]. Alsford S. Kawahara T. Glover L. Horn D. Tagging a T. brucei RRNA locus improves stable transfection efficiency and circumvents inducible expression position effects. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2005;144:142–148. [PubMed: 16182389]
- [11]. Glover L. Alsford S. Beattie C. Horn D. Deletion of a trypanosome telomere leads to loss of silencing and progressive loss of terminal DNA in the absence of cell cycle arrest. Nucleic Acids Res 2007;35:872–880. [PubMed: 17251198]
- [12]. ten Asbroek A.L. Mol C.A. Kieft R. Borst P. Stable transformation of Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 1993;59:133–142. [PubMed: 8515775]
- [13]. ten Asbroek A.L. Ouellette M. Borst P. Targeted insertion of the neomycin phosphotransferase gene into the tubulin gene cluster of Trypanosoma brucei. Nature 1990;348:174–175. [PubMed: 2172836]
- [14]. Sugawara N. Haber J.E. Repair of DNA double strand breaks: in vivo biochemistry. Methods Enzymol 2006;408:416–429. [PubMed: 16793384]
- [15]. Alsford S. Horn D. RNA polymerase I transcription stimulates homologous recombination in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2007;153:77–79. [PubMed: 17316839]
- [16]. Barnes R.L. McCulloch R. Trypanosoma brucei homologous recombination is dependent on substrate length and homology, though displays a differential dependence on mismatch repair as substrate length decreases. Nucleic Acids Res 2007;35:3478–3493. [PubMed: 17478508]
- [17]. Alsford S. Horn D. Single-locus targeting constructs for reliable regulated RNAi and transgene expression in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2008;161:76–79. [PubMed: 18588918]
- [18]. Horn D. Cross G.A. Position-dependent and promoter-specific regulation of gene expression in Trypanosoma brucei. EMBO J 1997;16:7422–7431. [PubMed: 9405371]

Glover and Horn



Fig. 1.

Response to a DSB at the *RRNA*-spacer locus. (A) The schematic map illustrates an *RFP*– *PAC* fusion gene ($R^{s}P$) with an embedded I-SceI site (indicated by DSB) at the *RRNA*-spacer (*RRNA*^s) locus. $pR^{s}P_{RRNA}$ was assembled as follows: an *RRNA* promoter (P_{RRNA}) fragment was amplified from genomic DNA using primers RpF

(GATCcggcggTAGCTTTCCACCCAGCGC) and RpR

(GATCcggccgggcccACTGggatccTCTGAGAGCGGTCAGTTGC), digested with EagI (relevant restriction sites in lower-case) and ligated to a NotI-digested RRNA-spacer fragment in pBlusescript. An R^sP cassette was then added using the BspI201 and BamHI sites. The R^sP_{RRNA} construct was then digested with SacI/AgeI and introduced into the 2T1 bloodstreamform T. brucei strain [10] that also contained a tetracycline-inducible I-SceI ORF introduced using the pRPaⁱ construct [17]. These Lister 427, clone 221a cells were grown and manipulated as described [10]. (B) A clonogenic assay to assess recovery from a DSB. Cells in all uninduced wells tested remained puromycin-resistant and cells in every induced well were puromycin-sensitive indicating loss of the $R^{s}P$ cassette in the latter case. Cell counts were carried out using a haemocytometer and tetracycline (used at 1 µg ml⁻¹) was from Sigma. Data are derived from a pair of independent R^sP_{RRNA} strains and error bars represent one standard deviation. (C) Physical monitoring of DNA resection adjacent to the lesion was carried out by slot-blot assay as described [1]. Genomic DNA samples were 'native', to detect ssDNA or denatured, to detect total DNA. The probes used on each blot are indicated on the right; the control probe is from chromosome 11 (Tb11.01.7240). Phoshorimager analysis was used to quantify the signals and ssRFP values were derived after correction for background, ssDNA versus total DNA and loading. The RFP ssDNA and total DNA plots indicate resection kinetics and DNA loss respectively.



Fig. 2.

A genomic DSB increases transformation efficiency. (A) The schematic map illustrates the genomic target (reproduced from Fig. 1A) and the exogenous DNA construct. (B) Transformation assays. A DSB was induced by growth in tetracycline (1 μ g ml⁻¹) for 3 h. Nucleofection (Lonza) was carried out as described [7]. Briefly, $2.5 \times 10^7 R^s P_{\text{RRNA}}$ cells were resuspended in 100 μ l of human T-cell Nucleofector solution, mixed with 10 μ g of purified linear DNA and subjected to Nucleofection using program X-001 in a 1 mm-gap cuvette. G418 was added <6 h later at 2 μ g ml⁻¹. To estimate the number of transformed clones, we initially used serial dilutions in 96-well plates but, due to concerns with loss of accuracy during extensive serial dilution, we used a modified approach to generate the data presented. Briefly, in duplicate experiments, 6 h after Nucleofection and drug addition, we distributed a sample predicted to contain 32 transformants (based on estimates from serial dilutions) over a 96-well plate. This approach yielded 15–40% positive wells per plate and was therefore deemed to have provided accurate scores. Error bars represent one standard deviation.

()
<u> </u>
\circ
Õ.
\mathbf{U}
S
\cap
\leq
Ð
\mathbf{O}
_
00
Doc
Docu
Docur
Docum
Docume
Documer
Documen

Table 1

Transformation efficiencies under different conditions.

1 $Tb11.02.2110$ L [BLA] - [tubulin] L 0 3 [tubulin] L 1 3 2 Tubulin (chr 1) L [BLA] 0 2 Tubulin (chr 1) L [BLA] 0 3 L 1 3 4 KNA-spacer L 3 5 RRNA-spacer (chr 2) C [HrG] 3 6 RRNA-spacer L 1 6 RRNA-spacer L 1		(II) [1-SCEL/ DSB- induction]		I Fansiormation entremey
	L [BLA]	I	Э	$<1 \times 10^{-7}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L	0	ш	$5 imes 10^{-7}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L	ω	ш	3×10^{-5}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L	18	ш	$6 imes 10^{-6}$
3 Th1.02.2110 L 18 4 Th1.02.2110 C [BLA] 3 4 RRM-spacer L 3 5 RRM-spacer L [NPT] - 6 RRM-spacer L ^H - 6 RRM-spacer L ^H - 6 RRM-spacer L ^H -	$\Gamma [BLA]$	0	ш	$6 imes 10^{-6}$
3 $Tb11.02.2110$ L 3 4 $Rb11.02.2110$ C [BLA] 3 4 $RNA-spacer$ L 3 5 $RNA-spacer$ L [NPT] - 6 $RNA-spacer$ L [MT] - 6 $RNA-spacer$ L ^H - 6 $RNA-spacer$ L ^H - 6 $RNA-spacer$ L ^H -	L	ε	ш	$8 imes 10^{-5}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L	18	ш	$1 imes 10^{-5}$
	C [<i>BLA</i>]	c,	ш	$2 imes 10^{-6}$
4 RRMA-spacer L - 5 RRMA-spacer (chr 2) L 3 6 RRMA-spacer L ^{IH} - 6 RRMA-spacer L - 6 RRMA-spacer L -	L	n	ш	$7 imes 10^{-5}$
5 $RRM4$ -spacer (chr 2) C [HYG] - [$hyg/RRM4$ -spacer] L^{H} - L L $-$	L [NPT]	I	ш	$4 imes 10^{-7}$
5 $RRM4$ -spacer (chr 2) $C[HYG]$ – $[hiyg/RRN4$ -spacer] L^{IH} – L – 6 $RRM4$ -spacer L –	L	c,	ш	$8 imes 10^{-5}$
6 RRNA-spacer L ^H – L L – –	C [HYG]	I	N	$<1 imes 10^{-7}$
6 RRNA-spacer L [NPT] –	L ^{III}	I	Ν	3×10^{-7}
6 RRNA-spacer L [NPT] –	L	I	N	$1 imes 10^{-5}$
	L [NPT]	I	N	$1 imes 10^{-5}$
[P _{RRVA} /KKNA-spacer] L 3	L	.0	Z	$3 imes 10^{-3}$

experiment 1.3. As experiment 1.4. Strain: R^sPRRNA (this manuscript); exogenous DNA: pbRn1 [18] digested with SacI/AgeI. 5. Strain: 2T1 [17]; exogenous DNA: pRPa^{TAG}[17] digested with NgoMIV (LIH) or AscI (L). 6. Strain: R⁵ PRRNA; exogenous DNA: pbRn1 digested with SacI/Bsp120I.

 b Only shown if different to the locus. i.e. if the target was engineered at that locus. All targets are 200–600 bp in length.

^c, circular, L, linear with terminal targeting sequences; L^{IH}, linear with internal targeting sequences; BLA, blasticidin deaminase; NPT, neomycin phosphotransferase; HYG, hygromycin phosphotransferase. A construct containing the BLE (phleomycin binding protein) gene yielded similar results in tests equivalent to experiment 6 (data not shown).

d b, standard electroporation (BioRad, Gene Pulser II); N, Nucleofection (Lonza). Approximately 7% [15] and 25% [7] of cells survive the procedure respectively.

 e All values are the average of minimally duplicate experiments.