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Screening for sexually transmitted infection pathogens in semen samples

RW Peeling PhD¹, J Embree MD²

The transmission of sexually transmitted infection (STI) pathogens from an infected donor to the recipient of a semen donation in assisted conception may result not only in acute infection but also in long-term reproductive complications or adverse outcomes of pregnancy, including infection of the offspring. Screening for bacterial STI pathogens, Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae is strongly recommended because these pathogens can cause serious reproductive complications in the recipients of semen donations and infection in their offspring. Screening for these pathogens should be performed using the most sensitive methods, such as nucleic acid amplified tests.

False-negative results due to inhibitory substances in the semen sample should be monitored using amplification controls. Where specimen transport is not a problem and culture facilities are available, N gonorrhoeae can also be detected by culture. Laboratories performing screening should subscribe to proficiency programs and have strict quality controls. Although Trichomonas vaginalis, group B streptococci and genital mycoplasmas have been associated with adverse outcomes of pregnancy, the frequent finding of these organisms in healthy individuals brings into question the validity of mandatory inclusion of these organisms in the screening panel. Although viral STI pathogens and Treponema pallidum – the causative agent of syphilis – may be detected in semen, their presence may be more sensitively detected through antibody testing of the donor.

Screening for HIV, hepatitis B and syphilis by serology is uniformly recommended in all of the guidelines, but the value of screening either donors or semen samples for cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex viruses – the causative agent of genital herpes – group B streptococci and mycoplasmas is less clear.
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The present guidelines are intended for laboratories involved in the testing of semen samples to ensure, within the limitations of existing laboratory methods, that the donated semen samples are free from pathogens that can cause sexually transmitted infections (STIs).

Testing of semen specimens for STI pathogens is not recommended as a means of diagnosis of clinical syndromes in donors of assisted conception programs, nor should these specimens be used in tests of cure following treatment. Donors generally undergo vigorous screening for STIs before they are accepted into a semen donation program (1-6). Individuals who have been diagnosed with STIs or who have previously received STI treatment should not be considered suitable donors for anonymous assisted conception programs. However, on occasion, the testing of archived semen samples may be the only method of determining whether an infectious agent is present when more appropriate specimens are not available, or when there is a strong wish to use the sperm from the donor for attempted conception. Semen samples from a donor who has not been previously tested for hepatitis viruses B and C, HIV or human T-lymphotropic virus 1/2 should be discarded.
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TABLE 1
Screening for sexually transmitted infections in semen specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Recommended detection in semen</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia trachomatis ++</td>
<td>NAAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neisseria gonorrhoeae ++</td>
<td>Culture/NAAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycoplasmas (+)</td>
<td>Culture/PCR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B streptococcus (+)</td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treponema pallidum –</td>
<td>(serology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichomonas vaginalis (+)</td>
<td>Culture/PCR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV 1 and 2 (-)</td>
<td>(serology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B virus –</td>
<td>(test for surface antigen)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C virus –</td>
<td>(serology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytomegalovirus –</td>
<td>(serology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes simplex virus –</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human papillomavirus –</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Screening of donors using methods in brackets are recommended. ++ Strongly recommended; – Semen testing for these pathogens is not recommended. NA Not applicable; NAAT Nucleic acid-based amplification test; PCR Polymerase chain reaction

These guidelines, therefore, do not address issues related to what testing methods should be used for donor screening, which pathogens should be included in the donor screening panel, or the frequency of STI screening for individuals in semen donation programs. Those issues are addressed by guidelines for STI screening of donors developed by various relevant professional societies and disease control agencies (1-4).

OBJECTIVES OF SCREENING SEMEN SAMPLES FOR STIs

The objective of screening semen samples before they are used for assisted reproduction procedures is to protect the recipients of semen donations and their offspring from bacterial and viral STIs and their sequelae by preventing the transmission of STI pathogens from the donor to the recipient. The transmission of STI pathogens to the recipient of a semen donation may result not only in acute infection, but also in long-term reproductive complications in the recipient and possible adverse outcomes of pregnancy, including infection in the offspring (6-8).

RATIONALE FOR INCLUSION OF STI PATHOGENS IN THE SCREENING PANEL

Ideally, the decision on what should be included in the screening panel should be evidence-based (Table 1). In reality, little data exist to support such decisions (2,6,7). Although viral STI pathogens and Treponema pallidum, the causative agent of syphilis, may be detected in semen, these infections are more sensitively detected through antibody testing of the donor. Screening donors for HIV, hepatitis B and syphilis by serology is uniformly recommended in all of the guidelines, but the value of screening either donors or semen samples for cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex viruses and human papilloma viruses is less clear (7,9,10).

The bacterial STI pathogens Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae can cause serious reproductive complications such as pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy and tubal infertility in the recipient, and ophthalmia neonatorum and pneumonia in the neonate. Therefore, tests for C trachomatis and N gonorrhoeae must be included in the panel for STI screening of semen samples (1,7,11). Although Trichomonas vaginalis, Group B streptococcus and the genital mycoplasmas, including Ureaplasma urealyticum, have been associated with adverse outcomes of pregnancy, the frequent finding of these organisms in healthy individuals brings into question the validity of mandatory inclusion of these organisms in the screening panel (12-14).

GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR TESTING LABORATORIES

To ensure that donated semen samples intended for assisted conception are free of pathogens that cause bacterial and viral STIs in the recipient, laboratories must use the most sensitive and specific laboratory tests available for the detection of each STI pathogen in the screening panel. Because no laboratory test is 100% sensitive and specific, false-positive and false-negative results are inevitable. For semen screening, false-negative results may lead to more serious consequences. Therefore, every effort should be made to monitor and minimize false-negative results; in particular, those due to inhibition of nucleic acid-based amplification tests (NAATS). STI pathogens generally survive the freezing process; therefore, the screening of STI pathogens in both fresh and cryopreserved semen samples is essential.

STI SCREENING OF SEMEN SAMPLES

Recommended laboratory tests to be used for screening C trachomatis: C trachomatis can be diagnosed in the laboratory by culture, antigen detection tests such as enzyme immunoassays, or nucleic acid-based tests with or without amplification (see Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2005;16[1]:39-44). Culture was recognized as the reference or ‘gold’ standard test in the detection of C trachomatis until the advent of NAATS (15-19). Although considered to be 100% specific, culture is technically demanding, requires a cold chain to preserve specimen viability in transport, and is not widely available. The use of antigen detection or nucleic acid hybridization tests is not recommended due to their low sensitivity, especially in asymptomatic individuals. NAATS have replaced culture as the reference standard for the laboratory diagnosis of C trachomatis.

Several NAATS are commercially available in Canada, all of which are more sensitive than culture or enzyme immunoassay. These tests detect and amplify nucleic acid from C trachomatis based on technologies such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), ligase chain reaction, strand displacement amplification and transcription-mediated amplification. These tests have been approved for urine specimens and urethral and cervical swabs but not for semen specimens. Laboratories must therefore validate their test sensitivity using chlamydia-positive control specimens before proceeding with screening.

N gonorrhoeae: Culture remains the gold standard for the laboratory diagnosis of gonorrhea (19,20) (also see Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2005;16[1]:15-25). Antigen detection tests for the detection of N gonorrhoeae are commercially available but their use is not recommended due to low test sensitivity. NAATS may offer increased sensitivity compared with culture
for the detection of \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} in settings where preserving pathogen viability during specimen transport is a problem. There have been reports of false-positive NAAT results due to cross-reaction with other \textit{Neisseria} species (21,22). A positive finding by NAAT should therefore be confirmed (see below for confirmatory testing).

NAATs for \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} have been approved for urine specimens and urethral and cervical swabs but not for semen specimens. Laboratories must therefore validate their test sensitivity using positive control specimens before proceeding with screening.

**Multiplex NAAT:** At the time of writing, there are at least two commercial NAAT kits available in Canada for the simultaneous detection of \textit{C. trachomatis} and \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} in the same specimen. The comments for the dedicated tests apply equally to the multiplex tests.

**Volume of specimen for testing**
The optimal amount of semen that should be used for such testing is not known. A survey of the literature showed that 10 µL to 100 µL have been used with PCR testing (16-18). Some sperm banks use a swab dipped into the semen sample, but whether this sampling method is adequate to maximize the sensitivity of NAATs is unclear at this time.

**Specimen processing**
The viscosity and the abundance of human DNA in a semen specimen may interfere with the ability of the primers to access the target DNA in order to initiate the annealing step in the NAAT amplification cycle. Protease digestion or dilution in a PCR buffer may overcome this interference without sacrificing test sensitivity. There is no standardized method for specimen processing. If a swab is dipped into the semen sample, then the swab should be processed according to the NAAT kit manufacturer's directions.

**Monitoring false-positive and false-negative results**

**False-positive results:** False-positive results may occur because of contamination during laboratory processing. Contamination can be addressed by stringent quality control measures in the laboratory (see articles on \textit{C. trachomatis} [Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2005;16(1):39-44] and \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} [Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2005;16(1):15-25]).

**False-negative results:** False-negative results may occur when the specimen or reaction mix contains substances that are inhibitory to the amplification reaction. Semen specimens have been shown to contain substances inhibitory to NAATs, but the nature of the inhibition is not known. In addition, the viscosity of semen specimens and the abundance of DNA in the samples may also compromise the sensitivity of detection. To ensure that no STI pathogens are transmitted in the process of assisted conception through donated semen, the presence of an internal amplification control to monitor inhibition is an absolute requirement. The PCR and strand displacement tests have amplification controls which will flag false-negative results if the artificial target provided in the specimen mix is not amplified. The amplification control is run simultaneously with the \textit{C. trachomatis} and \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} assays. For assays that do not have an amplification control, it is important that known quantities of \textit{C. trachomatis} and/or \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} or a common human housekeeping gene is spiked into a duplicate specimen to monitor for false negatives.

A variety of methods have been shown to be effective in the resolution of inhibition in specimens for NAAT testing (22-24), including:

- heating the NAAT-ready sample at 95°C for 10 min;
- leaving the NAAT-ready sample at 4°C overnight;
- purification of the semen specimen using DNA purification kits or phenol chloroform extraction;
- heating the semen specimen with Chelex (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ontario) at 95°C for 15 min, followed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 5 min.

Dilution of the specimen has also been shown to be effective for resolving inhibition, but it is not recommended for semen testing because it may compromise the sensitivity of screening.

A negative NAAT result should only be reported if the internal control result is positive, indicating that the amplification reaction was not inhibited.

**Indeterminate results**
If a result is considered to be indeterminate – that is, borderline or in the grey zone between a positive and negative result – retesting using a fresh aliquot of the specimen is recommended. If the result is indeterminate again, that sample should not be used in assisted conception procedures.

**Confirmatory testing**
Positive results by one NAAT may be confirmed using another NAAT. Alternatively, the same NAAT targeting another gene may be used. Cultures for \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} should be confirmed by the use of tests such as carbohydrate fermentation or fluorescent antibodies.

**LABORATORY PROFICIENCY AND QUALITY ASSURANCE**
All testing laboratories performing semen testing must be accredited. In addition, testing laboratories should subscribe to national or international proficiency programs for each screening method used.

In general, laboratories performing NAATs must also follow stringent guidelines for avoiding contamination, such as unidirectional workflow, and the use of dedicated pipettes and other equipment.
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