

Ending nuclear weapons, before they end us



Authors:

Kamran Abbasi¹ 
 Parveen Ali² 
 Virginia Barbour³ 
 Marion Birch⁴ 
 Inga Blum⁵ 
 Peter Doherty⁶ 
 Andy Haines⁷ 
 Ira Helfand⁸
 Richard Horton⁹ 
 Kati Juva¹⁰
 Jose F. Lapena Jr.¹¹ 
 Robert Mash¹² 
 Olga Mironova¹³
 Arun Mitra¹⁴
 Carlos Monteiro¹⁵ 
 Elena N. Naumova¹⁶ 
 David Onazi¹⁷
 Tilman Ruff¹⁸
 Peush Sahni¹⁹ 
 James Tumwine²⁰ 
 Carlos Umaña²¹ 
 Paul Yonga²² 
 Chris Zielinski²³ 

Affiliations:

¹British Medical Journal, London, United Kingdom

²International Nursing Review, Sheffield, United Kingdom

³Medical Journal of Australia, Brisbane, Australia

⁴Medact, University College London, London, United Kingdom

⁵General Practitioner, Hamburg, Germany

⁶Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Doherty Institute, Melbourne, Australia

⁷London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

⁸International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, Springfield, Massachusetts, United States

Read online:



Scan this QR code with your smart phone or mobile device to read online.

In May 2025, the World Health Assembly will vote on re-establishing a mandate for the World Health Organization to address the health consequences of nuclear weapons and war.¹ Health professionals and their associations should urge their governments to support such a mandate and support the new United Nations comprehensive study on the effects of nuclear war.

The first atomic bomb exploded in the New Mexico desert 80 years ago, in July 1945. Three weeks later, two relatively small (by today's standards), tactical-size nuclear weapons unleashed a cataclysm of radioactive incineration on Hiroshima and Nagasaki. By the end of 1945, about 213 000 people were dead.² Tens of thousands more have died from late effects of the bombings.

Last December, Nihon Hidankyo, a movement that brings together atomic bomb survivors, was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize for its 'efforts to achieve a world free of nuclear weapons and for demonstrating through witness testimony that nuclear weapons must never be used again'.³ For the Norwegian Nobel Committee, the award validated the most fundamental human right: the right to live. The Committee warned that the menace of nuclear weapons is now more urgent than ever before. In the words of Committee Chair **Jørgen Watne Frydnes**,

[I]t is naive to believe our civilisation can survive a world order in which global security depends on nuclear weapons. The world is not meant to be a prison in which we await collective annihilation.⁴

He noted that our survival depended on keeping intact the 'nuclear taboo' (which stigmatises the use of nuclear weapons as morally unacceptable).⁵

The nuclear taboo gains strength from recognition of compelling evidence of the catastrophic humanitarian consequences of nuclear war, its severe global climatic and famine consequences, and the impossibility of any effective humanitarian response. This evidence contributed significantly to ending the Cold War nuclear arms race.^{6,7}

While the numbers of nuclear weapons are down to 12331 now, from their 1986 peak of 70300,⁸ this is still equivalent to 146605 Hiroshima bombs,⁹ and does not mean humanity is any safer.¹⁰ Even a fraction of the current arsenal could decimate the biosphere in a severe mass extinction event. The global climate disruption caused by the smoke pouring from cities ignited by just 2% of the current arsenal could result in over two billion people starving.¹¹

A worldwide nuclear arms race is underway. Deployed nuclear weapons are increasing again, and China, India, North Korea, Pakistan, Russia and the United Kingdom are all enlarging their arsenals. An estimated 2100 nuclear warheads in France, Russia, the United Kingdom,

⁹The Lancet, London, United Kingdom

¹⁰Division of Psychiatry, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

¹¹Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Philippine General Hospital, Manila, Philippines

¹²Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

¹³Russian Cardiology Research and Production Complex, Sechenov University, Moscow, Russian Federation

¹⁴Indian Doctors for Peace and Development, Ludhiana, India

¹⁵Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

¹⁶Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

¹⁷Society of Nigerian Doctors for the Welfare of Mankind (SNDWM), Abuja, Nigeria

¹⁸International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

¹⁹All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, India

²⁰School of Medicine, Kabale University, Kampala, Uganda

²¹Costa Rican Ministry of Health, San José, Costa Rica

²²CA Medlynks Medical Centre and Laboratory, Nairobi, Kenya

²³University of Winchester and World Association of Medical Editors, Winchester, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Chris Zielinski, zielinski@ippnw.org

How to cite this article: Abbasi K, Ali P, Barbour V, et al. Ending nuclear weapons, before they end us. *Afr J Lab Med.* 2025;14(1), a2847. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajlm.v14i1.2847>

Copyright: © 2025. The Authors. Licensee: AOSIS. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

the United States and, for the first time, also China, are on high alert, ready for launch within minutes.⁸ With disarmament in reverse, extensive nuclear modernisations underway, multiple arms control treaties abrogated without replacement, no disarmament negotiations in evidence, nuclear-armed Russia and Israel engaged in active wars involving repeated nuclear threats, Russia and the United States deploying nuclear weapons to additional states, and widespread use of cyberwarfare, the risk of nuclear war is widely assessed to be greater than ever. This year, the Doomsday Clock was moved the closest to midnight since the Clock's founding in 1947.¹⁰

Led by Ireland and New Zealand, in late 2024, the United Nations General Assembly voted overwhelmingly to establish a 21-member independent scientific panel to undertake a new comprehensive study on the effects of nuclear war,¹² with its final report due in 2027. Noting that 'removing the threat of a nuclear war is the most acute and urgent task of the present day', the panel has been tasked with examining the physical effects and societal consequences of a nuclear war on a local, regional and planetary scale. It will examine the climatic, environmental and radiological effects of nuclear war, and their impact on public health, global socioeconomic systems, agriculture and ecosystems.

The resolution calls upon United Nations agencies, including WHO, to support the panel's work, including by 'contributing expertise, commissioned studies, data and papers'. All United Nations Member States are encouraged to provide relevant information, scientific data and analyses; facilitate and host panel meetings, including regional meetings; and make budgetary or in-kind contributions. Such an authoritative international assessment of evidence on the most acute existential threat to humankind and planetary health is long overdue. The last such report dates from 1989. It is shameful that France, the United Kingdom and Russia opposed this resolution.¹³

In 1983 and 1987,¹⁴ WHO convened an international committee of scientists and health experts to study the health effects of nuclear war. Its landmark, authoritative reports were influential and an excellent example of WHO fulfilling its constitutional mandate 'to act as the directing and coordinating authority on international health work'. In 1993, WHO produced an additional shorter report on the health and environmental effects of nuclear weapons, which included discussion of the production chain of nuclear weapons, including processing, testing and disposal.¹⁵

However, despite the World Health Assembly having mandated WHO to report periodically on relevant developments, no further work was undertaken and in 2020, WHO's mandate on nuclear weapons and health lapsed.

The Marshall Islands, Samoa and Vanuatu, supported by seven co-sponsoring states and International Physicians for

the Prevention of Nuclear War, are working to renew WHO's mandate. They are seeking wide support for a resolution on the health effects of nuclear weapons/war at this year's World Health Assembly in Geneva on 19–27 May.¹ The World Health Organization would then re-establish a programme of work on this most critical threat to health, and be able to lead strongly in providing the best health evidence to the United Nations panel.

Health professionals are well aware how crucial accurate and up-to-date evidence is to making good decisions. We and our organisations should support such a renewed mandate by urging our national World Health Assembly delegates to vote in support and commit the modest funds needed to re-establish WHO's work programme, especially now, as the organisation faces severe financial strain with the United States' decision to withdraw its membership.

Our joint editorial in 2023¹⁶ on reducing the risks of nuclear war and the role of health professionals, published in over 150 health journals worldwide, urged three immediate steps by nuclear-armed states and their allies: adopt a 'no first use' policy, take their nuclear weapons off hair-trigger alert, and pledge unequivocally that they will not use nuclear weapons in any current conflicts they are involved in. We also urged nuclear-armed states to work for a definitive end to the nuclear threat by urgently starting negotiations for a verifiable, timebound agreement to eliminate their nuclear arsenals, and called on all nations to join the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.¹⁷

It is an alarming failure of leadership that no progress has been made on these needed measures, nor on many other feasible steps away from the brink, acting on the obligation of all states to achieve nuclear disarmament. Nine states jeopardise all humanity and the biosphere by claiming an exclusive right to wield the most destructive and inhumane weapons ever created. The world desperately needs the leaders of these states to freeze their arsenals, end the modernisation and development of new, more dangerous nuclear weapons, and ensure that new technology such as artificial intelligence can never trigger the launch of nuclear weapons.

The United Nations scientific panel and a renewed mandate for WHO's work in this area can provide vital authoritative and up-to-date evidence for health and public education, evidence-based advocacy and policies, and the mobilised public concern needed to trigger decisive political leadership. This is a core health imperative for all of us.

References

1. World Health Organization. Effects of nuclear weapons and war on health and health services [homepage on the Internet]. EB156/CONF./10, Executive Board. 2025 [cited 2025 May 04]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB156/B156_CONF10-en.pdf
2. Tomonaga M. The atomic bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki: A summary of the human consequences, 1945–2018, and lessons for *Homo sapiens* to end the nuclear weapon age. *J-PAND*. 2019;2(2):491–517. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25751654.2019.1681226>

3. NobelPrize.org, 2024. The Nobel Peace prize [homepage on the Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Feb 25]. Available from: <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/2024/summary/>
4. Award ceremony speech. Nobel Prize outreach [homepage on the Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Feb 25]. Available from: <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/2024/ceremony-speech/>
5. Tannenwald N. The nuclear taboo: The United States and the normative basis of nuclear non-use. *Int Organ*. 1999;53(3):433–468. <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081899550959>
6. Robock A, Xia L, Harrison CS, Coupe J, Toon OB, Bardeen C. Opinion: How fear of nuclear winter has helped save the world, so far. *Atmos Chem Phys*. 2023;23(12):6691–6701. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-6691-2023>
7. Helfand I, Haines A, Ruff T, Kristensen H, Lewis P, Mian Z. The growing threat of nuclear war and the role of the health community. *World Med J*. 2016;62(3):86–94.
8. Kristensen H, Korda M, Johns E, Knight M, Kohn K. Status of world nuclear forces. Federation of American Scientists [homepage on the Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Mar 18]. Available form: <https://fas.org/initiative/status-world-nuclear-forces/>
9. Norwegian People's Aid. Nuclear weapons ban monitor 2024 [homepage on the Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Mar 25]. Available from: <https://banmonitor.org/>
10. Science and Security Board. Closer than ever: It is now 89 seconds to midnight. 2025 Doomsday Clock statement [homepage on the Internet]. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. 2025 [cited 2025 Mar 04]. Available from: <https://thebulletin.org/doomsday-clock/2025-statement/>
11. Xia L, Robock A, Scherrer K, et al. Global food insecurity and famine from reduced crop, marine fishery and livestock production due to climate disruption from nuclear war soot injection. *Nat Food*. 2022;3:586–596. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-022-00573-0>
12. United Nations General Assembly. Nuclear war and scientific research [homepage on the Internet]. A/C.1/79/L.39. 2024 [cited 2025 Mar 04]. Available from: <https://reachingcriticalwill.org/images/documents/Disarmament-fora/1com/1com24/resolutions/L39-.pdf>
13. United Nations General Assembly: 55th Plenary meeting. Item 98 - A/79/408 DRXVII as a whole [homepage on the Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Mar 04]. Available from: <https://reachingcriticalwill.org/images/documents/Disarmament-fora/1com/1com24/votes-ga/408DRXVII.pdf>
14. World Health Organization. Effects of nuclear war on health and health services [homepage on the Internet]. 2nd ed. Geneva: WHO; 1987 [cited 2025 Mar 04]. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/39199>
15. World Health Organization. Health and environmental effects of nuclear weapons [homepage on the Internet]. WHA46/30. 1993 [cited 2025 Mar 04]. Available from: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/175987/WHA46_30_eng.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1
16. Abbasi K, Ali P, Barbour V, et al. Reducing the risks of nuclear war. *Br Med J*. 2023;382:1682. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.p1682>
17. United Nations. Treaty on the prohibition of nuclear weapons [homepage on the Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Mar 09]. Available from: https://www.icanw.org/tpnw_full_text