Acting on sex and gender in medical innovation is good for business

Lavanya Vijayasingham and colleagues argue that as well as improving safety and efficacy, considering sex and gender related factors in medical research can have commercial benefits

arlier and stronger attention to sex and gender factors during medical product research and development, including vaccines, was widely called for by sex and gender experts before the covid-19 pandemic.¹² A combination of biological sex and gender factors (box 1) are widely accepted to influence the safety, efficacy, and acceptability of products, which can ultimately influence the uptake of lifesaving and quality of life enhancing innovations.¹³⁴ Policies to promote the integration of sex and gender in health research have been implemented by multiple research funders, evaluation and regulatory bodies, and influential journals.¹²⁵ Yet those who funded, developed, and brought the covid-19 vaccines to market did not adequately consider sex and gender in clinical trial design and reporting; nor did they sufficiently act on the concerns and needs of women, who have been disproportionally affected by historical biases in medical research.

Innovators, investors, and industry tend to use cost as an excuse for excluding

KEY MESSAGES

- Insufficient consideration, analysis, and reporting of sex and gender related factors in medical research and development continues to disadvantage women and other gender groups
- The omission of pregnant women from early covid-19 vaccine research made decisions about safety difficult and affected uptake
- Failure to study sex and gender related factors can lead to missed opportunities to prevent morbidity and mortality, build trust, counter misinformation, and increase market size
- Industry, innovators, and investors can benefit from including sex and gender related factors in all product development strategies

sex and gender related factors—that the extra work and resources would increase research costs and raise the product price.¹

⁶⁷ Some examples of activities that add time, complexity, and cost to research include recruiting more people in clinical trials to show sex differentiated outcomes, analytical methods to account for hormonal changes in menstrual cycles, and navigating the risks, legal liabilities, and protectionary ethics of conducting research during pregnancy and lactation.¹⁶⁻⁸

Existing and emerging technologies to support the overall therapeutics and vaccines development process, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, can theoretically simplify and mitigate risks and cut costs associated with these activities to offer value and affordable innovation to consumers.9 10 Hence, we present an idea that may align with industry's and investors market oriented motivations: rectifying data gaps on sex and gender related factors could increase the demand for, use, and effectiveness of medical and vaccine research, which would also serve commercial and market oriented goals.

Sex and gender gaps in covid-19 vaccine research

Pregnancy was quickly identified as increasing the risk of severe covid-19 and related complications.11 The World Health Organization stated that pregnant women should not be routinely excluded from research participation.¹² Yet, pregnancy was an exclusion criterion in initial phase 3 clinical trials, and when the first covid-19 vaccines were authorised for emergency use in late 2020, there were no formal clinical trial data on pregnancy, though developmental and reproductive toxicity studies were in progress.¹³ In the absence of formal clinical trial data, global health authorities assessments and recommendations were based on real time analysis of real world data. This led to the public perceiving mixed messages from global and national health agencies such as WHO and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and vaguely worded advice on the use of these vaccines during pregnancy from national professional societies.¹⁴ For pregnant women and people with early access to covid vaccines, especially pregnant frontline health workers, personal vaccination decisions were guided by advice from their healthcare professionals, permissive recommendations from mainly high income countries, and real time tracking of real world outcomes.¹³⁻¹⁷

Despite known sex and gender related differences in respiratory infections and in the safety and efficacy of vaccines^{2 18} the supporting clinical trial reports for the regulatory evaluation process to facilitate the emergency use of covid-19 vaccines largely omitted sex disaggregated safety data, although sex disaggregated efficacy data were provided to some major regulatory agencies (US Food and Drug Administration, European Medicines Authority, Health Canada).¹⁹ Published clinical trial reports rarely included both sex disaggregated safety and efficacy outcomes.²⁰²¹

Some evidence of sex and gender related differences emerged once the vaccines were used outside trials. When vaccines began to be available to priority populations (healthcare workers) in early 2021, there were early reports of rare allergic reactions to mRNA vaccines and of thrombosis associated with viral vector vaccines, especially in women.^{7 22} Early post-vaccination survey reports from the UK and US also suggested that females reported more non-serious side effects than males.^{23 24} Serious adverse events such as myocarditis and pericarditis linked to the use of mRNA vaccines were higher in males, particularly in adolescent and adult males (aged 12-40 years).²⁵

There were also global reports of temporary menstrual changes and irregularities soon after covid-19 vaccinations, including heavier bleeding, longer and more frequent periods, missed periods, and breakthrough bleeding in those who do not normally menstruate.²⁶⁻²⁸ Menstrual changes have also been

Box 1: Definitions

Biological sex factors relate to the genetic, cellular, biochemical, physiological, and immunological nuances within and beyond the reproductive system that may variably influence the way medicines and vaccines act and move through the body

Gender factors refer to the socially constructed norms, behaviours, roles, differences in access to resources, and power relations experienced and navigated by those who identify as men, women, or gender diverse.

reported after human papillomavirus vaccinations²⁹ and viral infections are also known to induce menstrual changes in some instances.^{29 30} In October 2022, the European Medicines Authority Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee recommended that heavy menstrual bleeding be added as a "side effect of unknown frequency" related to mRNA covid-19 vaccines.³¹

Missed opportunities to influence uptake

Inadequate attention to sex and gender related factors in covid-19 vaccine research led to missed opportunities to counter preconceptions and unresolved concerns about the safety of covid-19 vaccines, which may have negatively influenced the equitable and timely uptake. Early research conducted in 2020 in the UK and US suggested that more women than men were hesitant about covid-19 vaccine safety and side effects.^{32 33} Global studies on vaccine acceptance, including those from low and middle income countries, also found higher vaccine acceptance in men than women.^{34 35} A survey of 1181 pregnant women conducted during August to October 2020 in the UK found that their acceptance of the covid-19 vaccine while pregnant was much lower than when not (62% v 81%).³⁶ A global survey of 17 871 women across 16 countries similarly found a lower willingness to be vaccinated among those who were pregnant than among those who were not (52% (2747/5282) v 73.4% (9214/12562)), but these proportions varied across countries.³⁷ The main issues that shaped negative responses in both surveys were concerns about safety and the vaccine development process, including the speed of development, the lack of data, and potential adverse or long term effects.^{36 37} These findings signal the need to engage and communicate with diverse groups of women to resolve their concerns.

Communication campaigns were developed to counter myths and misinformation that the covid-19 vaccine could affect fertility,³⁸ and research has since confirmed that male and female fertility is not affected by covid-19 vaccination.³⁹ Similarly, nuanced engagement, research, and communication targeting diverse groups of women might have helped anticipate and address women's concerns, perspectives, and needs, particularly among those sceptical about vaccine safety or who linked menstrual changes with fertility issues.^{26 36-38}

Realising the market oriented potential of sex and gender

Science that acts on sex and gender related factors in research and development of medicines and vaccines can fill some of the data and communication gaps outlined, which then can increase the demand for, use, and impact of research as well as helping to meet commercial and market oriented goals. We offer some strategies as a starting point for industry, innovators, and investors.

Explore female centric market dynamics and build a socially conscious brand

Countering gaps in sex related data and other dynamics of gender inequality can be good for business. Companies that work to address these issues can be perceived as socially conscious and responsive to user needs and preferences by target consumers, investors, and health payers.⁷ For example, the market for "femtech"-health technologies such as wearable devices and phone apps to monitor menstruation and fertility, discreet and comfortable breast pumps, and menstrual health products-is expected to grow to about \$1tn by 2026.⁴⁰ Femtech products currently focus on reproductive health needs but show the commercial value of better understanding of and filling women's health needs, including through intentional focus on their concerns in the development of therapeutics and vaccines.

Listen to and heed women's voices

Engagement and involvement activities can also enhance market advantage and value creation processes. For example, the meaningful involvement of diverse groups of women throughout the research and development process enables their perspectives and needs to be better understood and addressed.⁴¹ Engagement can range from input on study designs to ensure relevant

outcomes and trial recruitment and retention strategies, through to dialogue on product information materials and marketing strategies.^{42 43} Market research on the concerns and acceptability of forthcoming products can extend into active co-design of therapeutic product profiles, service, or communication strategies that better reflect women's experiences of illness, health preferences, decision making patterns, and care challenges. Resources such as the WHO R&D Blueprint good participatory practice toolbox provide approaches to engage communities, and apply participatory processes, including in trial participation, adherence, and retention.44

Routinely include pregnant and breastfeeding women in trials

If value is derived from filling unmet needs, then there is a huge market gap in using research to protect pregnant women and their unborn babies from the harm of serious health conditions, rather than solely protecting them from the unanticipated harms of research on a potentially life saving intervention. Industry, innovators, and investors must continue to engage with and co-develop strategies with regulators and expert scientists to mitigate risks and uncertainties that have constrained the inclusion of women who are pregnant or breastfeeding in clinical trials. Lessons from covid-19 and the Zika epidemic published by the Covax Maternal Immunisation Working Group¹³ and the Pregnancy Research Ethics for Vaccines, Epidemics, and New Technologies (PREVENT) Working Group⁴⁶ should guide vaccine development in future disease outbreaks.

Consider men, non-binary, and non-cis gender populations

Although historical gaps in research tend to disadvantage women, there is also value in ensuring no other gender groups are similarly left behind in future medical research. This includes non-binary and gender diverse groups as well as men when there is an unmet need. Movement away from a binary, cisgender conceptualisation of sex and gender can support research into if and how the safety and efficacy profiles differ across sex and gender identity groups. As an example, changes in the body physiology, composition, and biochemistry of transgender people who have gender affirming treatments such as surgery or long term hormone therapy (oestrogen or testosterone) may mean they respond to drugs differently from cisgender women and men.47 48

GENDER EQUALITY AND PANDEMIC RESPONSE

Report sex disaggregated data simply

Reporting of sex disaggregated data can be used as a science communication strategy that can influence women's health related decision making. Research data are no longer just required for regulatory approvals. Data narratives shape public perceptions and demand for a product, as shown by women's perceptions of the new covid-19 vaccines. Nuanced reporting, with attention to known concerns-for example, from experiences of previous epidemics or vaccination programmes-can better support women and other gender identity groups as health consumers to make informed decisions about their health. Indeed, ambiguous, small sample size, or non-clinically meaningful sex differences are often intentionally not reported in research journals to avoid misinterpretations and potential misuse of the information in creating inequitable access policies or uptake decisions.^{49 50}

During the pandemic, preprints and covid-19 related journals were publicly accessible. In some cases this resulted in premature media coverage and inaccurate public understanding of research findings.⁵¹ These problems can be partially avoided by including a clear description of the limitations and interpretation of the results, alongside sex disaggregated data. This information can also inform the design of future trials or analytical synthesis to further verify and document the influence of sex related factors.⁵⁰

Inclusive innovation requires inclusive collaboration

Acknowledging that industry, innovators, and investors value market oriented outcomes, we contend that filling data and communications gaps on sex and gender related factors in medicines and vaccines can be good for the advancement of medical science, which for commercial organisations is also good for business. Of course, commercial gains should not be the only reason to motivate change. Stronger action on sex and gender related factors also promotes safer and more precise science, as well as more equitable, inclusive, and human rights driven innovation.⁷ All these reasons have been articulated many times by gender experts.

To foster and accelerate change, regulators must continue to implement and enforce sex and gender related policies to normalise practice, including through dialogue with industry, innovators, and investors, and providing conditions that will support consistent action on sex and gender factors.¹⁷ Regulatory or policy levers are unlikely to be effective without support from industry and funders. Existing gender experts, advocates, and allies can collaborate more closely with industry, innovators, and investors to tackle sex and gender factors in meaningful ways, while also holding them accountable for their actions. We are optimistic that lessons from the covid-19 pandemic will shift mindsets to expand the global pool of gender champions who stimulate stronger action on sex and gender related factors in medical innovation.

We thank the contributors to the matic discussions and surveys for TG2- the rapeutics and diagnostics.

Contributors and sources: The authorship team has expertise and experience in, health gender, clinical research, epidemiology, bioethics, internal medicine, emergency medicine, critical care, geriatrics, paediatrics, psychiatry, basic, translational, and clinical research, including vaccine development, laboratory science, health systems and public health research within low, middle, and high income countries. Their careers span work in academia, aid organisations, private for-profit, the pharmaceutical industry, tertiary hospitals, civil society, and the United Nations system. LV was part of the core United Nations University-International Institute for Global Health and University of Western Cape Gender and COVID-19 Research Agenda Setting Initiative research team, and led the article content development and writing process. JW, EB, BA-A, and RM acted as co-leads for thematic discussions, and MR was a coordinator. All authors contributed to the content, writing, and revisions of this article. IV is the guarantor. The views expressed do not necessarily represent the views. decisions, or policies of the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on the declaration of interests and have no relevant interests to declare.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

This article is part of a collection proposed by the United Nations University and the University of the Western Cape and commissioned by *The BMJ*. *The BMJ* peer reviewed, edited, and made the decision to publish these articles. Article handling fees are funded by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and UN University-International Institute for Global Health.

Lavanya Vijayasingham, research fellow¹

Evelyne Bischof, professor of medicine^{2,3,4}

Bernadette Ateghang-Awankem, researcher⁵

Maryam Rumaney, freelance scientific consultant⁶ Mariam Otmani del Barrio, scientist⁶

Phaik Yeong Cheah, professor of global health and bioethics^{8,9}

Ajoke Sobanjo ter-Meulen, affiliate associate professor of global health¹⁰

Cara Tannenbaum, professor of medicine^{11,12} Rosemary Morgan, associate scientist¹³

Jeannette Wolfe, professor of emergency medicine¹⁴

on behalf of the Gender and Covid-19 Research Agenda Setting Initiative

¹London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

²Shanghai University of Medicine and Health Sciences, College of Basic Medicine, Shanghai, China ³Renji University Hospital of Jiatong School of Medicine, Renji, Shanghai, China

⁴International Center for Multimorbidity and Complexity in Medicine (ICMC), Universität Zürich, Switzerland

⁵Global Health Division, Pan African Health Systems Network, Nussloch, Germany

⁶Cape Town, South Africa

⁷Unicef/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

⁸Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit (MORU), Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Thailand

⁹Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ¹⁰Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, USA

¹¹Faculties of Medicine and Pharmacy at the Université de Montréal, Québec, Canada

¹²Institute of Gender and Health, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Canada

¹³Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA

¹⁴Department of Emergency Medicine, UMass Chan Medical School-Baystate Campus, Springfield, USA

Correspondence to: LVijayasingham Lavanya.Vijayasingham@lshtm.ac.uk



This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



- 1 Ravindran TS, Teerawattananon Y, Tannenbaum C, Vijayasingham L. Making pharmaceutical research and regulation work for women.
- BMJ 2020;371:m3808. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3808
 Flanagan KL, Fink AL, Plebanski M, Klein SL. Sex and gender differences in the outcomes of vaccination over the life course. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2017;33:577-99. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100616-060718
- 3 Mauvais-Jarvis F, Bairey Merz N, Barnes PJ, et al. Sex and gender: modifiers of health, disease, and medicine. *Lancet* 2020;396:565-82. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)31561-0
- 4 Tannenbaum C, Greaves L, Graham ID. Why sex and gender matter in implementation research. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2016;16:145. doi:10.1186/s12874-016-0247-7
- 5 White J, Tannenbaum C, Klinge I, Schiebinger L, Clayton J. The integration of sex and gender considerations into biomedical research: lessons from international funding agencies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2021;106:3034-48. doi:10.1210/clinem/ dgab434
- 6 Tannenbaum C, Day D, Matera Alliance. Age and sex in drug development and testing for adults. *Pharmacol Res* 2017;121:83-93. doi:10.1016/j. phrs.2017.04.027
- 7 Vijayasingham L, Heidari S, Munro J, Omer S, MacDonald N. Resolving sex and gender bias in COVID-19 vaccines R&D and beyond. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2022;18:2035142. doi:10.1080/2164 5515.2022.2035142
- 8 Vousden N, Haynes R, Findlay S, et al. Facilitating participation in clinical trials during pregnancy. BMJ 2023;380:e071278. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-071278

- 9 Paul D, Sanap G, Shenoy S, Kalyane D, Kalia K, Tekade RK. Artificial intelligence in drug discovery and development. *Drug Discov Today* 2021;26:80-93. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2020.10.010
- 10 Angelis A, Polyakov R, Wouters OJ, Torreele E, McKee M. High drug prices are not justified by industry's spending on research and development. *BMJ* 2023;380:e071710. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-071710
- 11 Chmielewska B, Barratt I, Townsend R, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021.
- 12 World Health Organization. R&D Blueprint and COVID-19. 2020.https://www.who.int/teams/ blueprint/covid-19
- 13 Munoz FM, Cutland CL, Jones CE, et al. Preparing for disease X: ensuring vaccine equity for pregnant women in future pandemics. *Front Med* (*Lausanne*) 2022;9:893292. doi:10.3389/ fmed.2022.893292
- 14 Rubin R. Pregnant people's paradox—excluded from vaccine trials despite having a higher risk of covid-19 complications. *JAMA* 2021;325:1027-8. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.2264
- 15 Shimabukuro TT, Kim SY, Myers TR, et al, CDC v-safe COVID-19 Pregnancy Registry Team. Preliminary findings of mRNA covid-19 vaccine safety in pregnant persons. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:2273-82. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2104983
- 16 Brickley EB, Paixão ES. Covid-19: The time to shield all pregnant frontline workers is now. BMJ Opinion, 28 Apr 2020.https://blogs.bmj.com/ bmj/2020/04/28/covid-19-the-time-to-shield-allpregnant-frontline-workers-is-now/
- 17 Bianchi DW, Kaeser L, Cernich AN. Involving pregnant individuals in clinical research on covid-19 vaccines. JAMA 2021;325:1041-2. doi:10.1001/ jama.2021.1865
- 18 Klein S, Roberts CW, eds. Sex and gender differences in infection and treatments for infectious diseases. Springer, 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16438-0
- 19 Jensen A, Stromme M, Moyassari S, et al. COVID-19 vaccines: Considering sex differences in efficacy and safety. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2022;115:106700. doi:10.1016/j. cct.2022.106700
- 20 Heidari S, Palmer-Ross A, Goodman T. A systematic review of the sex and gender reporting in covid-19 clinical trials. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2021;9:1322. doi:10.3390/vaccines9111322
- 21 Vijayasingham L, Bischof E, Wolfe J, Gender and COVID-19 Research Agenda-setting Initiative. Sex-disaggregated data in COVID-19 vaccine trials. *Lancet* 2021;397:966-7. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00384-6
- 22 Novak N, Tordesillas L, Cabanillas B. Adverse rare events to vaccines for COVID-19: From hypersensitivity reactions to thrombosis and thrombocytopenia. *Immunol* 2022;41:438-47. doi:1 0.1080/08830185.2021.1939696
- 23 Menni C, Klaser K, May A, et al. Vaccine sideeffects and SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in users of the COVID Symptom Study app in the UK: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021;21:939-49. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00224-3

- 24 Beatty AL, Peyser ND, Butcher XE, et al. Analysis of covid-19 vaccine type and adverse effects following vaccination. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e2140364. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.40364
- 25 Weintraub ES, Oster ME, Klein NP. Myocarditis or pericarditis following mRNA covid-19 vaccination. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2218512. doi:10.1001/ jamanetworkopen.2022.18512
- 26 Lee KMN, Junkins EJ, Luo C, Fatima UA, Cox ML, Clancy KBH. Investigating trends in those who experience menstrual bleeding changes after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. *Sci Adv* 2022;8:eabm7201. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abm7201
- 27 Edelman A, Boniface ER, Benhar E, et al. association between menstrual cycle length and coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) vaccination: a US cohort. *Obstet Gynecol* 2022;139:481-9. doi:10.1097/AOG.000000000004695
- 28 Chao MJ, Menon C, Elgendi M. Effect of COVID-19 vaccination on the menstrual cycle. Front Med (Lausanne) 2022;9:1065421. doi:10.3389/ fmed.2022.1065421
- 29 Suzuki S, Hosono A. No association between HPV vaccine and reported post-vaccination symptoms in Japanese young women: results of the Nagoya study. *Papillomavirus Res* 2018;5:96-103. doi:10.1016/j. pvr.2018.02.002
- 30 Male V. Menstrual changes after covid-19 vaccination. BMJ 2021;374:n2211. doi:10.1136/bmj.n2211
- 31 European Medicines Agency. Meeting highlights from the Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) 24 - 27 October 2022. 2022.https://www. ema.europa.eu/en/news/meeting-highlightspharmacovigilance-risk-assessment-committee-prac-24-27-october-2022
- 32 Callaghan T, Moghtaderi A, Lueck JA, et al. Correlates and disparities of intention to vaccinate against COVID-19. Soc Sci Med 2021;272:113638. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113638
- 33 Roberts HA, Clark DA, Kalina C, et al. To vax or not to vax: predictors of anti-vax attitudes and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy prior to widespread vaccine availability. *PLoS One* 2022;17:e0264019. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0264019
- 34 Sallam M. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy worldwide: a concise systematic review of vaccine acceptance rates. Vaccines (Basel) 2021;9:160. doi:10.3390/ vaccines9020160
- 35 Solís Arce JS, Warren SS, Meriggi NF, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and hesitancy in low- and middle-income countries. *Nat Med* 2021;27:1385-94. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01454-y
- 36 Skirrow H, Barnett S, Bell S, et al. Women's views on accepting COVID-19 vaccination during and after pregnancy, and for their babies: a multi-methods study in the UK. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2022;22:33. doi:10.1186/s12884-021-04321-3
- 37 Skjefte M, Ngirbabul M, Akeju O, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among pregnant women and mothers of young children: results of a survey in 16 countries. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2021;36:197-211. doi:10.1007/s10654-021-00728-6
- 38 Abbasi J. Widespread misinformation about infertility continues to create covid-19 vaccine hesitancy. JAMA 2022;327:1013-5. doi:10.1001/ jama.2022.2404
- 39 Zaçe D, La Gatta E, Petrella L, Di Pietro ML. The impact of COVID-19 vaccines on fertility—a systematic

review and meta-analysis. *Vaccine* 2022;40:6023-34. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.09.019

- 40 Lancet Digital Health. Empowering women in health technology. *Lancet Digit Health* 2022;4:e149. doi:10.1016/S2589-7500(22)00028-0
- 41 Carter A, Greene S, Nicholson V, et al, Canadian HIV Women's Sexual and Reproductive Health Cohort Study (CHIWOS) Research Team. Breaking the glass ceiling: increasing the meaningful involvement of women living with HIV/AIDS (MIWA) in the design and delivery of HIV/AIDS Services. *Health Care Women Int* 2015;36:936-64. doi:10.1080/073993 32.2014.954703
- 42 Vat LE, Finlay T, Jan Schuitmaker-Warnaar T, et al. Evaluating the "return on patient engagement initiatives" in medicines research and development: a literature review. *Health Expect* 2020;23:5-18. doi:10.1111/hex.12951
- 43 Thetford K, Gillespie TW, Kim YI, Hansen B, Scarinci IC. Willingness of Latinx and African Americans to participate in nontherapeutic trials: it depends on who runs the research. *Ethn Dis* 2021;31:263-72. doi:10.18865/ed.31.2.263
- 44 Molyneux S, Gobat N, Cheah PY, et al. WHO R&D blueprint novel coronavirus: working with community advisory boards for covid-19 related clinical studies. 2020. https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/ working-with-community-advisory-boards-for-covid-19-related-clinical-studies
- 45 WHO. Good participatory practice for covid-19 clinical trials: a toolbox. 2020. https://www.who. int/docs/default-source/science-division/research/ blueprint-good-participatory-practice-for-covid-19clinical-trials---a-toolbox.pdf
- 46 Krubiner CB, Faden RR, Karron RA, et al, PREVENT Working Group. Pregnant women & vaccines against emerging epidemic threats: ethics guidance for preparedness, research, and response. *Vaccine* 2021;39:85-120. doi:10.1016/j. vaccine.2019.01.011
- 47 Cirrincione LR, Huang KJ. Sex and gender differences in clinical pharmacology: implications for transgender medicine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2021:110:897-908. doi:10.1002/cpt.2234
- 48 Moseson H, Zazanis N, Goldberg E, et al. The imperative for transgender and gender nonbinary inclusion: beyond women's health. Obstet Gynecol 2020;135:1059-68. doi:10.1097/ AOG.000000000003816
- 49 O'Grady C. COVID-19 affects men and women differently. So why don't clinical trials report gender data? 2021.https://www.science.org/content/article/ covid-19-affects-men-and-women-differently-sowhy-don-t-clinical-trials-report-gender
- 50 Dagan N, Barda N, Balicer RD. Adverse effects after BNT162b2 vaccine and SARS-CoV-2 infection, according to age and sex. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:2299. doi:10.1056/ NEJMc2115045
- 51 Fraser N, Brierley L, Dey G, et al. The evolving role of preprints in the dissemination of COVID-19 research and their impact on the science communication landscape. *PLoS Biol* 2021;19:e3000959. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000959

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2023;381:e072242

http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2022-072242