# Occupational Exposures to Pesticides and Other Chemicals: a New Zealand Motor Neurone Disease Case-Control Study

Grace Chen<sup>1</sup>, Jeroen Douwes<sup>1</sup>, Leonard H. van den Berg<sup>2</sup>, Neil Pearce<sup>3</sup>, Hans Kromhout<sup>4</sup>,

Bill Glass<sup>1</sup>, Dave McLean<sup>1</sup>, Andrea 't Mannetje<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Centre for Hauora and Health (formerly the Centre for Public Health Research), Massey University, Wellington, New Zealand.

<sup>2</sup> Brain Centre Rudolf Magnus, Department of Neurology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Medical Statistics, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom.

<sup>4</sup> Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The

Netherlands

Corresponding author:

Grace Chen, Research Centre for Hauora and Health, Massey University.

Private Box 756, Wellington, New Zealand

E-Mail: g.chen1@massey.ac.nz

Phone: +64-4-9793121, Fax: +64-4-802-7120

Word Count: 3405

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To assess associations between occupational exposures to pesticides and other chemicals and motor neurone disease (MND).

**Methods** A population-based case-control study that included 319 MND cases (64% male/36% female) recruited through the New Zealand MND Association complemented with hospital discharge data, and 604 controls identified from the Electoral Roll. For each job held, a questionnaire collected information on 11 exposure categories (dust, fibres, tobacco smoke, fumes, gas, fumigants, oils/solvents, acids/alkalis, pesticides, other chemicals, and animals/animal products). Odds Ratios (OR) were estimated using logistic regression adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, education, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activities, head/spine injury, and other occupational exposures.

**Results** Two exposure categories were associated with increased MND risks: pesticides (OR 1.70, 95%CI 1.17-2.48) and fumigants (OR 3.98, 95%CI 1.81-8.76), with risks increasing with longer exposure duration (p<0.01). Associations were also observed for: methyl bromide (OR 5.28, 95%CI 1.63-17.15), organochlorine insecticides (OR 3.28, 95%CI 1.18-9.07), organophosphate insecticides (OR 3.11, 95%CI 1.40-6.94), pyrethroid insecticides (OR 6.38, 95%CI 1.13-35.96), inorganic (copper) fungicides (OR 4.66, 95%CI 1.53-14.19), petrol/diesel fuel (OR 2.24, 95%CI 1.27-3.93), and unspecified solvents (OR 1.91, 95%CI 1.22-2.99). In women, exposure to textile fibres (OR 2.49, 95%CI 1.13-5.50), disinfectants (OR 9.66, 95%CI 1.29-72.44), and cleaning products (OR 3.53, 95%CI 1.64-7.59) were also associated with MND; this was not observed in men (OR 0.80, 95%CI 0.44-1.48; OR 0.72, 95%CI 0.29-1.84; OR 0.57, 95%CI 0.21-1.56, respectively).

**Conclusions** This study adds to the evidence that pesticides, especially insecticides, fungicides, and fumigants, are risk factors for MND.

### **Key Messages**

#### What is already known about this subject?

Several occupational exposures such as pesticides, solvents, and metals have been hypothesised to be associated with Motor Neurone Disease.

#### What are the new findings?

- In this population-based case-control study with complete lifetime job histories, occupational exposure to pesticides (especially insecticides, fungicides) and fumigants were associated with an increased risk of MND in both men and women.
- Occupational exposure to petrol/diesel fuel and unspecified solvents were also associated with an increased MND risk.

#### How might this impact on policy or clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

These results confirm previous findings and support policies to reduce exposures to specific chemicals in the workplace e.g., by using effective exhaust ventilation, or, where that is not feasible, by using appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE). Where feasible, hazardous pesticides should be substituted with less harmful alternatives, and methods of pesticide application need to be improved to reduce exposure and resultant risk of MND.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Motor neurone disease (MND) comprises a group of progressive and fatal neurodegenerative conditions with largely unknown aetiology, <sup>1</sup> although age (with a peak onset between 70-75 years),<sup>2</sup> male sex, and a family history of MND are well-known risk factors.<sup>2-4</sup> Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is the most common form of MND, accounting for 85% of cases;<sup>3 5 6</sup> other forms include Progressive Bulbar Palsy (PBP), Progressive Muscular Atrophy (PMA), and Primary Lateral Sclerosis (PLS).<sup>2</sup> Despite the growing evidence about the genetics of MND, more than 90% of patients occur sporadically without a clear family history and/or obvious inherited genetic mutations,<sup>7</sup> suggesting an important role for environmental factors, including occupational exposures.<sup>3</sup>

Pesticide exposure has been shown to be associated with an approximately 1.5 to 2.0 fold risk of ALS, as shown in three meta-analyses<sup>7-9</sup> and two systematic reviews.<sup>10 11</sup> However, most studies investigated the association of ALS with pesticides exposure as a group, and have not been able to identify the specific pesticide classes involved (i.e. insecticides, herbicides, fungicides). The few that considered specific pesticides showed inconclusive results,<sup>12 13</sup> although a role for organochlorine<sup>7</sup> and organophosphate<sup>14</sup> insecticides has been suggested.

Solvents have also been associated with ALS,<sup>13</sup> with a recent meta-analysis reporting a 40% increased MND risk for solvents exposure as a group,<sup>9</sup> however, results have not always been consistent.<sup>12 15</sup> Some studies reported associations for specific solvents, but results have been mixed.<sup>12 16 17</sup>

Other exposures that have been studied in relation to MND include heavy metals,<sup>13 15</sup> with several studies showing positive associations with blood or bone lead levels<sup>18 19</sup> and systemic reviews reporting an 80% increase in MND risk associated with a history of lead exposure.<sup>11 20</sup>

Although these studies provide support for a role of environmental and occupational chemicals, particularly those known to have neurotoxic properties (i.e., insecticides, solvents, lead), the evidence is currently insufficient to inform effective MND prevention strategies, highlighting the need for more and larger studies, underpinned by detailed exposure assessment.

We have previously reported associations between MND and employment in specific occupations, such as agricultural and construction workers, electricians, forecourt attendants, and plant and machine operators and assemblers,<sup>21</sup> and occupational exposure to electric shocks and extremely low-frequency magnetic fields (ELF-MF).<sup>22</sup> Using data from the same population-based case-control study, we have now assessed associations with specific occupational exposures, based on detailed questionnaire information and full occupational histories.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Study population**

A New Zealand population-based case-control study was conducted to assess associations between occupational exposures and MND using self-reported lifetime occupational histories with specific information on occupational exposures.<sup>21</sup> Cases were recruited primarily through the New Zealand MND Association register from 2013-2016. This was supplemented with searches for patients with a primary or secondary diagnosis of MND (ICD10 – G122) in the National Minimum Dataset (2013-2015), which holds records of all hospital outpatients in New Zealand. The inclusion criterion for cases was a diagnosis for any form of MND by a neurologist. A total of 396 (275 incident and 121 prevalent) cases with a primary diagnosis of MND were recruited. Controls were randomly selected from the 2008 New Zealand Electoral Roll, frequency matched on the age and sex distribution of the United Kingdom's MND incidence data, as the MND incidence by age and sex was not available for New Zealand at the time of recruitment.<sup>23</sup> We aimed to include two controls for each case and assumed an approximate 50% response rate. In total 2400 potential controls were therefore selected from the Electoral Roll. Controls with any neurodegenerative disease were excluded.

All participants gave written informed consent. Ethics approval was provided by the Multi-region Ethics Committee in New Zealand (MEC/12/01/005).

#### Questionnaire

Data on demographics, lifestyle factors, injuries, smoking and drinking, and lifetime occupational history were collected by using a questionnaire,<sup>21</sup> which was administered depending on participants' own preference; a face-to-face interview (59% in cases vs 16% in controls); a telephone interview (23% vs 66%); or a postal questionnaire (18% vs 18%). All

controls completed the questionnaire themselves, while nine cases used a proxy (three required proxy assistance with a face-to-face interview, and six used proxy assistance for reading and writing only).

#### Exposures

Participants were asked to complete a full work history (all jobs ever held for ≥6 months) and for each job, information on job title, employer, industry, start and end date, and tasks and work processes was obtained. Participants were asked whether the following 11 exposure categories were present (yes/no) in each job: dust (e.g. coal, metal, wood, grain); fibres (textile fibres, asbestos or insulation material); environmental tobacco smoke (from other workers); other smoke or fume (e.g. combustion products, engine emission, metal fume); gas (e.g. combustion gases, refrigerant); fumigants (e.g. methyl bromide, chloropicrin); oils and solvents (e.g. lubricants, cutting oils, degreasers, thinners); acids or alkalis; pesticides (fungicides, insecticides, herbicides or timber preservatives); other chemical products (e.g. dyes, inks, adhesives, etc.); and animals or animal products (e.g. living animals, meat, faeces). Exposure duration for all 11 exposure categories was determined based on the duration of the job(s) in which the exposure occurred.

For each exposure, participants were asked the name and source of the substance, and how often they were exposed. Based on this free-text information, new variables for occupational exposure sub-categories (yes/no) were constructed, blind to the case-control status of the participant, through automated keywords searches (including alternative spelling and trade names). For each newly created exposure sub-category, the original job descriptions were checked to ensure that the new category captured only participants considered to be truly exposed.

#### Statistical analyses

Analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4. Differences in general characteristics between cases and controls were tested using chi-squared tests, and unconditional logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), for ever-exposed to a particular occupational exposure, compared to never being exposed to that particular exposure.

Analyses were adjusted for age (5-year categories); sex; ethnicity (European, Māori (the indigenous population of New Zealand), and other); highest achieved education level (primary and secondary school, technical or trade school diploma, undergraduate university degree, postgraduate university degree); smoking status (never, ex, current smoker; before diagnosis for cases; and at the time of the interview for controls); alcohol consumption frequency (average alcohol consumption of the lifetime: ≤once a month,1-2 times/week, 3-5 times/week, daily; up to diagnosis for cases and up to the interview for controls); sports (never versus ever having played sports as an adult (>18 years)); head injury (ever/never); spine injury (ever/never); and socioeconomic status (SES) using the New Zealand Deprivation Index (NZDep2006, quintiles).<sup>24</sup> Additional analyses were conducted mutually adjusting for all other exposure categories. We checked for multicollinearity by comparing the standard errors for the main effect estimates between the full model, and a minimally adjusted model,<sup>25</sup> there was no evidence of collinearity affecting the study findings. All analyses were repeated separately for males and females. Analyses were also repeated controlling for the questionnaire method, exposure to ELF-MF and electric shocks.

We also assessed associations with exposure duration (for each category) defined as the number of years worked in each exposed job, summed over the entire job history. Exposure duration was categorised based on the quartiles of duration in the controls, specific to each exposure. A test for trend was performed by assigning scores to the categories of the categorical duration variables and fitting them as continuous variables.

#### RESULTS

#### **Population characteristics**

A total of 321 (92% participation) cases and 605 controls (48% participation) took part in the study. Two cases and one control with missing occupational history were excluded, leaving 319 cases and 604 controls for analyses. The time between diagnosis and interview was 6-18 months (median=238 days, IQR=269 days) for cases.

Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the cases (203 (64%) male/116 (36%) female) and controls (331 (55%) male/273 (45%) female). There was little difference between the groups in smoking status, ethnicity, and education. However, the 70+ age group was overrepresented in the controls, and male cases were less deprived compared to male controls. There was no difference in the number of jobs held by cases and controls (mean=7 for both).

#### **Exposure categories**

Two of the 11 occupational exposure categories were associated with an increased risk of MND after adjustment for all other exposure categories: fumigants (OR 3.98, 95%CI:1.81, 8.76), and pesticides (OR 1.70, 95%CI:1.17, 2.48; Table 2). Of those reporting exposures to pesticides, half reported having applied the pesticides themselves, while the other half reported being exposed indirectly (Supplementary Table 1). An increased risk was observed for those who applied pesticides themselves (OR 2.72, 95%CI:1.66, 4.44), an occupational activity more common among males than females (13.3% versus 2.6% in controls). This association was stronger in males (OR 2.88, 95%CI:1.61, 5.16 versus OR 2.01, 95%CI: 0.56, 7.24 in females; Supplementary Table 1). Of interest, no association was found for those exposed indirectly (Supplementary Table 1).

Analyses stratified by sex (Table 2) showed stronger or similar findings for males

(fumigants: OR 9.69, 95% CI:3.00, 31.35; pesticides OR 1.72, 95% CI:1.08, 2.75), whilst for females, an elevated OR of similar magnitude was only found for pesticides, but this did not reach statistical significance (OR 1.82, 95% CI:0.84, 3.93). For females, exposure to fibres (OR 2.24, 95% CI:1.09, 4.61) and other chemical products (OR 1.82, 95% CI:1.03, 3.24) was also associated with an increased risk, which was not observed in males.

Characteristics	Male Cases (n=203)		Male Control (n=331)		<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup>	Female Cases (116)		Female Controls (273)		<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup>
	No.	%	No.	%	1	No.	%	No.	%	r · ·····
Age at interview					0.001					0.047
20-49	20	9.9	16	4.8		10	8.6	24	8.8	
50-59	47	23.1	51	15.4		26	22.4	48	17.6	
60-69	79	38.9	112	33.8		44	37.9	76	27.8	
≥70	57	28.1	152	46.0		36	31.1	125	45.8	
Ethnicity					0.946					0.122
European/Pakeha <sup>b</sup>	188	92.6	304	91.8		106	91.4	259	94.9	
Māori <sup>c</sup>	8	3.9	14	4.2		5	4.3	11	4.0	
Pacific & others	7	3.5	13	4.0		5	4.3	3	1.1	
Deprivation Index Quintile					0.024					0.167
1-2 (least deprived)	76	37.4	83	25.1		23	19.8	82	30.1	
3-4	50	24.6	83	25.1		28	24.1	60	22.0	
5-6	32	15.8	71	21.4		35	30.2	58	21.2	
7-8	27	13.3	64	19.3		16	13.8	44	16.1	
9-10 (most deprived)	18	8.9	30	9.1		14	12.1	29	10.6	
Highest Education					0.409					0.395
Primary & secondary school	92	45.3	160	48.3		52	44.8	129	47.3	
Technical or trade school diploma	70	34.5	94	28.4		35	30.2	61	22.3	
Undergraduate university degree	27	13.3	45	13.6		18	15.5	53	19.4	
Postgraduate university degree	14	6.9	32	9.7		11	9.5	30	11.0	
Smoking (prior diagnosis)					0.697					0.471
Never	102	50.2	155	46.8		62	53.5	164	60.1	
Smoker at the time of diagnosis	16	7.9	25	7.6		4	3.5	9	3.3	
Ex	85	41.9	151	45.6		50	43.0	100	36.6	
Total jobs [mean (range)]	6.8	(1-22)	6.6	(1-20)	0.533	7.0	(1-23)	7.1	(1-22)	0.686

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants in a Population-Based Case-Control Study of Occupational Exposures and the Risk of Motor Neurone Disease, New Zealand, 2013-2016.

Chi-square tested the differences in age, ethnicity, education, smoking status, socioeconomic status (SES), and the number of jobs by gender. a p-values were calculated using a chi-square test for categorical variables.

<sup>b</sup> Pakeha (a Māori word) - This is used as a term specifically for New Zealand European people.

<sup>c</sup>Māori – indigenous people of New Zealand

Table 2. Risk of Motor Neurone Disease with Self-reported Occupational Exposures in a Population-Based Case-Control Study, New Zealand, 2013-2016

Self-Reported Exposures	Cases/	All <u>Controls</u> 9/604)	OR (95%CI)	OR <sup>1</sup> (95%CI)	Cases/	1ale <u>Controls</u> 3/331)	OR (95%CI)	OR <sup>1</sup> (95%CI)	Cases	emale 5 <u>/Controls</u> 16/273)	OR (95%CI)	OR <sup>1</sup> (95%CI)
	No.	%			No.	%			No.	%		
Dust	170/287	53.3/47.5	1.00[0.74-1.36]	0.72[0.51-1.03]	133/204	65.5/61.6	1.00[0.67-1.50]	0.68[0.42-1.10]	37/83	31.9/30.4	0.79[0.47-1.33]	0.56[0.30-1.03]
Fibres	91/126	28.5/20.9	1.33[0.95-1.86]	1.26[0.87-1.84]	65/100	32/30.2	1.01[0.67-1.52]	0.92[0.57-1.47]	26/26	22.4/9.5	2.54[1.33-4.86]*	2.24[1.09-4.61]*
Environmental tobacco smoke	166/289	52.0/47.9	1.06[0.79-1.43]	1.00[0.73-1.36]	113/183	55.7/55.3	0.93[0.63-1.36]	0.86[0.57-1.31]	53/106	45.7/38.8	1.23[0.76-2.01]	1.26[0.74-2.15]
Other smoke or Fume	135/193	42.3/32	1.28[0.92-1.76]	1.05[0.72-1.53]	116/158	57.1/47.7	1.39[0.95-2.06]	1.10[0.69-1.76]	19/35	16.4/12.8	0.93[0.48-1.83]	0.72[0.33-1.58]
Gas	51/62	16.0/10.3	1.33[0.88-2.03]	1.06[0.66-1.69]	42/45	20.7/13.6	1.51[0.92-2.46]	1.27[0.73-2.23]	9/17	7.8/6.2	0.81[0.33-2.02]	0.58[0.20-1.64]
Fumigants	26/10	8.2/1.7	4.95[2.29-10.70]*	3.98[1.81-8.76]*	22/4	10.8/1.2	12.32[3.89-39.03]*	9.69[3.00-31.35]*	4/6	3.5/2.2	1.43[0.36-5.61]	1.12[0.27-4.60]
Oils and solvents	133/195	41.7/32.3	1.26[0.91-1.73]	1.09[0.74-1.59]	115/157	56.7/47.4	1.36[0.92-1.99]	1.30[0.82-2.07]	18/38	15.5/13.9	1.09[0.57-2.10]	0.78[0.36-1.72]
Acids or Alkalis	48/72	15.1/11.9	1.02[0.67-1.57]	0.79[0.50-1.26]	41/59	20.2/17.8	1.00[0.62-1.63]	0.80[0.47-1.34]	7/13	6/4.8	1.10[0.40-3.03]	1.00[0.32-3.08]
Pesticides	109/122	34.2/20.2	1.92[1.38-2.67]*	1.70[1.17-2.48]*	87/96	42.9/29	1.95[1.31-2.91]*	1.72[1.08-2.75]*	22/26	19/9.5	2.07[1.07-4.01]*	1.82[0.84-3.93]
Other chemical products	137/193	43/32	1.43[1.07-1.92]*	1.29[0.94-1.78]	90/119	44.3/36	1.28[0.88-1.87]	1.15[0.76-1.74]	47/74	40.5/27.1	1.74[1.06-2.83]*	1.82[1.03-3.24]*
Animals or animal products	105/142	32.9/23.5	1.53[1.11-2.10]*	1.22[0.85-1.77]	73/97	36/29.3	1.45[0.97-2.17]	1.11[0.70-1.78]	32/45	27.6/16.5	1.73[0.99-3.02]	1.51[0.76-2.98]

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

OR adjusted for age and sex (or age only in case of sex-stratified analyses), education, ethnicity, socioeconomic status (SES), smoking status, sports, alcohol, head injury, spine injury.

OR<sup>1</sup> adjusted for age, sex (for analyses combining males and females), education, ethnicity, socioeconomic status (SES), smoking status, sports, alcohol, head injury, spine injury, and for the respective other self-reported exposures. \*P <0.05

		All <u>Cases/Controls</u> (319/604)	OR (95%CI)	Male <u>Cases/Controls</u> (203/331)	OR (95%CI)	Female <u>Cases/Controls</u> (116/273)	OR (95%CI)
Fibres							
Never exposed		228/478	1	138/231	1	90/247	1
Exposed <5 years		28/37	1.34 [0.76-2.35]	15/24	0.85 [0.39-1.84]	13/13	1.83 [0.71-4.72]
Exposed 5-10 years		20/29	1.31 [0.67-2.54]	13/24	0.86 [0.38-1.95]	7/5	3.44 [0.93-12.76]
Exposed 11-29 years		16/29	0.73 [0.35-1.49]	14/23	0.60 [0.26-1.39]	2/6	0.68 [0.11-4.16]
Exposed >29 years		27/31	1.70 [0.92-3.15]	23/29	1.37 [0.68-2.75]	4/2	6.48 [0.93-45.29]
	p(trend)		0.255		0.908		0.038
Fumigants							
Never exposed		293/594	1	181/327	1	112/267	
Exposed <4 years		4/4	2.04 [0.48-8.73]	2/1	5.16 [0.31-84.93]	2/3	1.36 [0.19-9.78]
Exposed 4-6 years		5/2	3.97 [0.72-21.92]	3/1	8.06 [0.72-90.11]	2/1	3.17 [0.24-42.26]
Exposed 7-10 years		5/1	9.32 [0.96-90.20]	5/0		0/1	
Exposed >10 years		12/3	4.77 [1.28-17.84]*	12/2	7.54 [1.57-36.19]*	0/1	
- •	p(trend)		0.001		0.001		0.691
Oils and solvents	/						
Never exposed		186/409	1	88/174	1	98/235	1
Exposed <5 years		22/50	0.73 [0.40-1.34]	15/37	0.63 [0.29-1.38]	7/13	0.88 [0.29-2.66]
Exposed 5-14 years		26/51	0.86 [0.48-1.54]	24/41	0.99 [0.51-1.96]	2/10	0.34 [0.06-1.87]
Exposed 15-31 years		37/47	1.28 0.72-2.27	29/37	1.46 0.74-2.90	8/10	1.36 0.39-4.74
Exposed >31 years		48/47	1.79 [1.04-3.10]*	47/42	2.60 [1.39-4.85]*	1/5	0.41 [0.03-4.99]
- ·	p(trend)		0.056		0.004		0.633
Pesticides	/						
Never exposed		210/482	1	116/235	1	94/247	1
Exposed <5 years		19/32	1.13 [0.59-2.15]	12/28	0.76 [0.34-1.72]	7/4	4.34 [1.03-18.32]*
Exposed 5-15 years		26/33	1.60 0.87-2.93	19/25	1.37 [0.64-2.92]	7/8	2.29 [0.69-7.62]
Exposed 16-30 years		27/27	1.89 [1.00-3.54]*	21/19	2.09 [0.96-4.54]	6/8	1.08 0.30-3.94
Exposed >30 years		37/30	2.39 [1.32-4.31]*	35/24	3.04 [1.54-5.97]*	2/6	0.52 [0.09-3.17]
1 2	p(trend)		0.001		0.001		0.659
Other chemical products	/						
Never exposed		182/411	1	113/212	1	69/199	1
Exposed <5 years		32/46	1.36 [0.81-2.29]	20/21	1.33 [0.63-2.79]	12/25	1.66 [0.71-3.90]
Exposed 5-11years		34/49	1.12 [0.66-1.88]	26/24	1.28 [0.65-2.53]	8/25	0.58 [0.21-1.63]
Exposed 12-26 years		33/49	1.27 [0.75-2.16]	17/32	0.90 [0.44-1.83]	16/17	3.11 [1.24-7.80]*
Exposed >26 years		38/49	1.41 [0.85-2.35]	27/42	1.13 [0.61-2.10]	11/7	6.27 [1.88-20.95]*
1 )	p(trend)		0.142		0.743		0.004

Table 3. Motor Neurone Disease Risk by	<b>Duration of Exposure in a</b>	Population-Based Case-Control Study, New Zealand, 2013-2016.

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. OR adjusted for age, sex (for analyses combining males and females), education, ethnicity, socioeconomic status (SES), smoking status, sports, alcohol, head injury, spine injury, and for the respective other self-reported exposures. \*P <0.05

	All <u>Cases/Controls</u> (319/604)	OR (95%CI)	Male <u>Cases/Controls</u> (203/331)	OR (95%CI)	Female <u>Cases/Controls</u> (116/273)	OR (95%CI)
Fibres			× /		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Asbestos	42/71	0.95 [0.59-1.52]	40/66	0.98 [0.59-1.65]	2/5	0.72 [0.12-4.37]
Insulation fibre <sup>#</sup>	22/10	3.63 [1.59-8.26]*	20/9	3.82 [1.56-9.34]*	2/1	4.33 [0.29-63.74]
Textile fibre	51/60	1.36 [0.87-2.14]	28/40	0.80 [0.44-1.48]	23/20	2.49 [1.13-5.50]*
Fibreglass	17/16	1.57 [0.72-3.43]	16/14	1.81 [0.76-4.32]	1/2	2.17 [0.15-31.41]
Funigants	1//10	1.57 [0.72-5.45]	10/14	1.01 [0.70-4.52]	172	2.17 [0.15-51.41]
Methyl bromide	15/4	5.28 [1.63-17.15]*	13/4	5.29 [1.52-18.39]*	2/0	
Formaldehyde	6/3	3.73 [0.85-16.40]	4/0	5.29 [1.52-16.59]	2/3	1.59 [0.22-11.39]
Chloropicrin	3/1	5.71 [0.53-61.29]	3/1	9.22 [0.83-101.82]	0/0	1.59 [0.22-11.59]
	3/3		3/0	9.22 [0.83-101.82]	0/0	
Non-specified fumigants	3/3	1.27 [0.23-7.01]	3/0		0/3	
Dils and solvents			60/ <b>0</b>		0.44.0	
Oils	76/112	1.08 [0.72-1.61]	68/93	1.19 [0.75-1.89]	8/19	0.87 [0.32-2.36]
Cutting Fluid	13/20	0.98 [0.44-2.21]	12/20	0.99 [0.42-2.31]	1/0	
Fuel oil	44/34	2.13 [1.27-3.59]*	40/28	2.56 [1.42-4.63]*	4/6	1.26 [0.28-5.61]
Petrol & Diesel	39/28	2.24 [1.27-3.93]*	35/24	2.45 [1.30-4.60]*	4/4	2.04 [0.37-11.25]
Kerosene	6/9	1.11 [0.37-3.31]	6/7	1.71 [0.52-5.64]	0/2	
Engine oil/Lubricants	40/75	0.65 [0.40-1.05]	34/67	0.56 [0.32-0.97]*	6/8	1.09 [0.31-3.90]
Non-specified oils	3/13	0.53 [0.14-1.99]	2/6	0.54 [0.10-3.00]	1/7	0.41 [0.04-3.78]
Solvents	95/116	1.31 [0.88-1.94]	82/95	1.54 [0.96-2.46]	13/21	1.07 [0.42-2.71]
Chlorinated solvents	29/29	1.37 [0.76-2.46]	22/23	1.22 0.61-2.46	7/6	2.53 0.69-9.23
Other organic solvents	29/56	0.65 [0.37-1.13]	26/52	0.68 0.37-1.25	3/4	1.54 [0.25-9.59]
Non-specified solvents	69/65	1.91 [1.22-2.99]*	62/51	2.72 [1.61-4.58]*	7/14	0.72 [0.28-2.28]
Pesticides	03100		02,01	20/2[101 000]		01/2 [0120 2120]
Herbicides	64/76	1.34 [0.85-2.10]	55/61	1.40 [0.82-2.40]	9/15	1.06 [0.37-3.08]
245T	21/31	1.11 [0.58-2.11]	19/28	1.13 [0.55-2.32]	2/3	1.92 [0.27-13.83]
24D	12/21	0.85 [0.38-1.88]	19/28	0.85 [0.36-2.01]	0/1	1.92 [0.27-13.83]
MCPA	4/6	0.85 [0.22-3.25]	4/6	0.91 [0.23-3.56]	0/0	
MCPB	2/2	0.82 [0.11-6.24]	2/2	0.98 [0.12-7.71]	0/0	0.01 [0.16 4.11]
Glyphosate	16/17	1.16 [0.54-2.47]	13/12	1.17 [0.47-2.93]	3/5	0.81 [0.16-4.11]
Non-specified herbicides	26/20	2.22 [1.15-4.30]*	22/14	2.57 [1.17-5.69]*	4/6	1.21 [0.25-5.83]
Insecticides	60/38	3.06 [1.90-4.94]*	43/29	2.86 [1.57-5.18]*	17/9	4.24 [1.66-10.78]*
Organochlorines	12/8	3.28 [1.18-9.07]*	10/8	2.27 [0.73-7.07]	2/0	
Organophosphates	22/11	3.11 [1.40-6.94]*	20/6	5.97 [2.16-16.53]*	2/5	0.72 [0.12-4.43]
Pyrethroids	5/2	6.38 [1.13-35.96]*	3/2	2.99 [0.42-21.53]	2/0	
Carbamates	0/2		0/1		0/1	
Non-specified insecticides	25/16	2.42 [1.22-4.78]*	14/13	1.49 [0.62-3.57]	11/3	7.86 [1.85-33.35]*
Fungicides	36/22	2.40 [1.30-4.42]*	28/17	2.24 [1.07-4.69]*	8/5	3.77 [1.08-13.13]*
Aromatic Hydrocarbons	3/0		3/0		0/0	
Phthalimides	5/3	2.87 [0.60-13.76]	4/2	3.62 [0.53-24.91]	1/1	2.25 [0.11-46.04]
Inorganic (Copper)	14/5	4.66 [1.53-14.19]*	10/3	5.13 [1.18-22.21]*	4/2	4.51 [0.70-29.24]
Non-specified fungicides	15/14	1.19 [0.52-2.72]	12/12	0.99 [0.37-2.62]	3/2	3.66 [0.48-27.48]
Sheep/Cattle dip <sup>+</sup>	20/17	1.90 [0.91-3.94]	18/13	2.27 [0.97-5.30]	2/4	0.59 [0.09-3.95]
Timber treatments	31/25		28/22	2 3	3/3	1.82 [0.28-11.61]
		1.73 [0.94-3.18]		1.71 [0.87-3.36]		
Non-specified pesticides	18/14	1.98 [0.90-4.35]	11/9	1.54 [0.54-4.34]	7/5	2.47 [0.63-9.62]
Other chemical products	07/07	1 55 50 04 0 003	<u>-</u>			
Dyes	27/26	1.55 [0.84-2.88]	14/15	1.16 [0.48-2.76]	13/11	2.44 [0.93-6.40]
Inks	13/18	1.27 [0.58-2.81]	7/10	1.11 [0.36-3.42]	6/8	1.90 [0.57-6.38]
Adhesives	47/71	0.84 [0.53-1.34]	40/54	1.08 [0.61-1.89]	7/17	0.88 [0.30-2.56]
Disinfectants	16/18	1.14 [0.53-2.44]	11/16	0.72 [0.29-1.84]	5/2	9.66 [1.29-72.44]*
Cleaning products	33/35	1.98 [1.13-3.44]*	9/15	0.57 [0.21-1.56]	24/20	3.53 [1.64-7.59]*
Non-specified other chemical products	36/63	0.97 [0.61-1.55]	30/38	1.35 [0.76-2.39]	6/25	0.47 [0.17-1.30]

 Table 4. Risk of Motor Neurone Disease with Self-reported Occupational Exposure Sub-Categories in a Population-Based Case-Control Study, New Zealand, 2013-2016

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

P < 0.05

<sup>#</sup> Insulation fibre, mostly fibreglass.

<sup>+</sup> Sheep/Cattle dip: pesticides, mainly insecticides and fungicides, used to protect cattle and sheep from external parasites.

A positive association with duration of exposure was observed for: fibres (for females, p test for trend=0.038); fumigants (for males, p test for trend=0.001); oils and solvents (for males, p test for trend=0.004); pesticides (for males, p test for trend= 0.001); and other chemical products (for females, p test for trend=0.004; Table 3). For the other exposure categories, a trend with exposure duration was not observed (Supplementary Table 2).

#### **Exposure sub-categories**

For exposure categories that showed significant associations with MND, we conducted further analyses on specific exposures within each category (Table 4). Among the most frequently reported fibre types, insulation fibre was associated with an increased risk (OR 3.63, 95% CI:1.59, 8.26), while asbestos was not associated with MND (OR 0.95, 95% CI:0.59, 1.52). Exposure to textile fibres was associated with an increased risk in women (OR 2.49, 95% CI:1.13, 5.50) but not in men.

Elevated odds ratios were found for each fumigant sub-category, but this reached statistical significance only for methyl bromide (OR 5.28, 95% CI:1.63, 17.15). This association was found only in males, as very few females were exposed to methyl bromide.

Among specific exposures within the oils and solvents category, a positive association was observed for non-specified solvents, but only in males (OR 2.72, 95% CI:1.61, 4.58). A positive association was also observed for exposure to petrol and diesel fuel (OR 2.24, 95% CI:1.27, 3.93). Most of those reporting exposures to petrol and diesel fuel were exposed before 1996, the year lead was phased out from petrol in New Zealand. The OR was slightly higher for this group (OR 2.20, 95% CI:1.27, 3.79; Supplementary Table 3) compared to that reported for the overall group (Table 3) and compared to those

exposed to only unleaded fuel oil (after 1996) (OR 1.64, 95% CI:0.37, 7.18, Supplementary Table 3), with the latter risk estimate based on small numbers.

Among the different pesticide groups, statistically significant increased risks were found for insecticides (OR 3.06, 95% CI:1.90, 4.94) and fungicides (OR 2.40, 95% CI:1.30, 4.42), for both males and females (Table 4). Several specific insecticide sub-categories were also associated with increased risk: organochlorines (OR 3.28, 95% CI:1.18, 9.07), organophosphates (OR 3.11, 95% CI:1.40, 6.94), and pyrethroids (OR 6.38, 95% CI:1.13, 35.96). Among fungicides, inorganic (copper) fungicides showed a statistically significant elevated risk (OR 4.66, 95%CI 1.53, 14.19). Among herbicides, a statistically significant association was found only for non-specified herbicides (OR 2.22, 95%CI 1.15, 4.30).

Specific exposures most commonly reported under "other chemical products" were dyes, inks, adhesives, disinfectants, and cleaning products, with increased risks observed for disinfectants (OR 9.66, 95% CI:1.29, 72.44) and cleaning products (OR 3.53, 95% CI:1.64, 7.59), but this was found only in females.

Additional adjustment for the interview method (face-to-face/telephone/postal) in these models made little difference and did not alter our findings (data not shown). We previously reported on associations with exposure to ELF-MF and electric shocks assessed through job-exposure matrices for this study population,<sup>22</sup> additional adjustment for these occupational exposures did not alter the findings of the analyses presented here (data not shown).

#### DISCUSSION

This study found that several common occupational exposures were associated with an increased risk of MND, including pesticides, fumigants, petrol/diesel fuel, unspecified solvents, textile fibres, and cleaning products.

Exposure to pesticides was associated with a 70% increase in MND risk (OR 1.70; 95%CI 1.17-2.48), with very similar relative risk estimates for males and females, and with a lifetime exposure prevalence of 20% among controls (29% males 9.5% females). Estimating a population attributable fraction (PAF=100\*(Px\*(OR-1))/(1+(Px\*(OR-1))); Px is the exposure prevalence among the controls ) based on these numbers suggests that 12.4% of MND cases in our study population may be attributable to pesticide exposure (17.3% for males and 7.2% for females). The associations were strongest for those with the longest exposure duration and for those who had applied pesticides themselves and were therefore more likely to have been exposed to higher levels compared to those who were exposed indirectly for whom we found no association. These findings are consistent with three meta-analyses and two recent systematic reviews that reported positive associations between pesticide exposure and ALS.<sup>7-11</sup> It is also consistent with previous studies showing no associations in people who were indirectly exposed to pesticides.<sup>26,27</sup>

In this study, exposure to insecticides was associated with a three times greater MND risk, with associations observed for several insecticide classes (organochlorines, organophosphates, and pyrethroids). Other studies focusing on specific pesticide classes have also reported associations for organochlorines,<sup>8 28</sup> including pentachlorobenzene, cischlordane,<sup>28</sup> aldrin, dieldrin, DDT, and toxaphene.<sup>8</sup> The role of organochlorines in MND is biologically plausible, given their known neurotoxicity,<sup>29</sup> but these have largely been discontinued in New Zealand. Consistent with previous studies<sup>30</sup> we observed increased MND risks for organophosphates, a class of insecticides of continued high use. This is

biologically plausible as polymorphisms in paraoxonase 1, an enzyme that detoxifies organophosphates,<sup>31</sup> have been associated with the development of ALS,<sup>32</sup> and high exposure to organophosphates can result in OP-induced delayed neuropathy, a condition akin to ALS.<sup>14</sup> Organophosphates also induce oxidative stress,<sup>33</sup> which plays an important role in the pathogenesis of MND.<sup>34</sup> We observed an elevated MND risk from exposure to pyrethroids insecticides, which is consistent with an earlier case report that presented a patient who developed MND after three years of chronic exposure to pyrethroids.<sup>35</sup> An increased risk for exposure to fungicides, in particular inorganic fungicides, was also found, which is similar to two previous case-control studies that reported an elevated, but not statistically significant risk for occupational fungicides exposure.<sup>13 36</sup>

For fumigants, which are predominantly used as insecticides, we also observed an elevated risk in both men and women, with the greatest risk observed for those with the longest exposure duration. All fumigant sub-categories were positively associated with MND, but only the association with methyl bromide was statistically significant. A metaanalysis reported positive associations with fumigants (OR 1.8) and methyl bromide (OR 1.2), but the findings did not reach statistical significance.<sup>8</sup> An earlier New Zealand report suggested a role for methyl bromide in a cluster of MND cases in port workers,<sup>37</sup> however, a subsequent investigation noted that the evidence was inconclusive.<sup>38</sup> In our study, exposure to methyl bromide predominantly occurred in horticulture where it has been used to sterilise the soil. While this application of methyl bromide is now discontinued in New Zealand, methyl bromide continues to be used for the fumigation of export logs. Chronic exposure to methyl bromide can damage both the central and peripheral nervous systems,<sup>39</sup> but a specific mechanistic pathway for methyl bromide has not been established.

We observed elevated risks for exposure to petrol/diesel fuel (OR 2.24), which was different from an earlier study that found no association, <sup>12</sup> although another study found an

association between diesel motor exhaust and ALS.<sup>40</sup> Lead, a petrol additive until 1996 in New Zealand and a known neurotoxin that can cross the blood-brain barrier and accumulate in neuronal and glial cells,<sup>11</sup> may explain the association with petrol/diesel fuel oil. In particular, several studies have reported positive associations with lead exposure,<sup>13 19 36</sup> including a recent meta-analysis.<sup>20</sup> For the majority of participants, petrol/diesel fuel oil exposure occurred before 1996 when lead was phased out from petrol in New Zealand, hence, we were not able to elucidate whether associations observed in our study were attributable to lead, or other petrol components e.g. benzene.

Solvents have been associated with an increased MND risk,<sup>16</sup> but most studies did not specify the type of solvent. Case-control studies have reported positive associations for alcohols or ketones, cleaning solvents or degreasers,<sup>13</sup> n-hexane,<sup>12</sup> thinners, and paint removers.<sup>36</sup> A recent Danish study, using job exposure matrices (JEM) to estimate cumulative solvent exposure, found associations with methylene chloride and benzene in men.<sup>16</sup> However, a Dutch prospective cohort study, using a JEM to assess occupational exposures to total solvents, chlorinated, and aromatic solvents found no significant association with ALS mortality.<sup>15</sup> In our study, the overall category of solvents was not associated with MND, although an increased risk for men exposed to non-specified solvents was found (the majority of participants reporting solvent exposure could not recall which specific solvent was used). Organic solvents are known neurotoxins and long-term exposure may cause encephalopathy, cognitive deficits, disrupt motor function,<sup>41</sup> and increase oxidative stress,<sup>42</sup> which play a role in motor neurone degeneration.<sup>34</sup> In addition, exposure to solvents has been associated with other neurodegenerative conditions including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis,<sup>41</sup> with which MND shares some underlying biological mechanisms.<sup>43</sup>

We found that several exposures were associated with MND only among women including exposure to textile fibres, despite analyses being based on similar numbers of exposed males and females. Textile and clothing workers have previously been shown to have an increased risk of MND,<sup>44</sup> although another study observed an inverse association between textile work and ALS.<sup>17</sup> The observed increased risk for textile fibres could be the result of exposure to other compounds commonly associated with textiles, such as dyes, solvents, antimicrobial agents, or organophosphorus and organobromine flame retardants.<sup>45</sup>

An increased MND risk was observed for disinfectants and cleaning products, again only among women. In a Danish cohort, an inverse association was found for women employed in the cleaning industry.<sup>46</sup> An association between disinfectants and MND has not been reported previously. The use of disinfectants may also be a marker of exposure to infectious agents, which may play a role in the development of ALS.<sup>47</sup>

This study has several limitations. Exposure was assessed through self-reports, which can be subject to exposure misclassification and recall bias. On average, cases and controls reported the same number of jobs, suggesting no differential recall in lifetime occupational histories between cases and controls. For each job, exposures were initially assessed using tick-boxes for 11 categories, after which participants were asked (for each ticked category) to provide more information on product names, sources, and tasks related to the exposure(s). Self-reported exposures were subsequently compared with job titles and task descriptions to ensure that they were relevant for that job, thus reducing exposure misclassification. This was done blind to the case/control status of participants, thus limiting differential exposure misclassification. However, it cannot be excluded that cases recall their exposures differently from controls, particularly for exposures widely known to adversely impact health. Nevertheless, for our main findings, including the observed increased risks associated with insecticides, fungicides, and petrol/diesel fuel oil, we have previously found corresponding elevated risks for occupational groups where these exposures are most common (e.g. agricultural occupations, forecourt attendant),<sup>21</sup> for which, as noted above, recall bias is less likely. Furthermore, we found positive and statistically significant duration-response associations for these exposures, with exposure duration based on job duration, which is unlikely to be subject to differential recall. Therefore, we consider recall bias to be an unlikely explanation for the observed associations. However, self-reports have clear limitations, particularly when attempting to assess exposures to highly specific agents, as illustrated by the high proportion of participants reporting exposure to non-specified solvents (Table 4).

We had no detailed information on personal protective equipment (PPE)-use but consider that this would have minimal impact on the study results, given that effective PPE such as full protective clothing and respirators have only more recently become available. Moreover, the lack of information on exposure level is a limitation.

While participation was high among cases (92%), lower participation was achieved for controls (42%), which may contribute to participation bias. We had access to basic information (age, sex, deprivation, address, occupation) from the electoral roll for all nonparticipating controls and compared this with participating controls; this showed no large differences<sup>21</sup> and suggests that participation bias is unlikely to explain our findings. For example, participating controls were slightly more likely to live rurally (18%) compared to non-participating controls (14%), suggesting that participation bias is unlikely to explain the observed increased MND risks for pesticide exposures.

Another limitation is that cases more often opted for a face-to-face interview. To evaluate potential bias, we repeated all analyses controlling for the interview method which made very little difference and did not alter our findings (data not shown). We also conducted analyses stratified by interview method, which resulted in wider confidence intervals, but largely identified the same exposures as being associated with an elevated risk (Supplementary Table 4). This suggests that our main findings are unlikely to be affected by differential information bias due to using different interview methods. Moreover, as both incident and prevalent cases were included, we also conducted stratified analyses to assess whether associations differed by case type (supplementary Table 5). Findings were very similar for the incident and prevalent cases, suggesting that case status did not affect the results.

The age and sex distribution differed between cases and controls. This is due to controls being matched based on the age/sex distribution of MND incidence in the United Kingdom, as equivalent New Zealand data was not available at the time of participant recruitment. However, all associations were adjusted for age and sex, and the main findings are therefore unlikely to be explained by differences in age/sex distribution between case and controls.

Finally, we had no information on specific MND subtypes (this was not recorded in New Zealand at the time of study recruitment). Analyses could therefore not be restricted to ALS to improve comparability with other studies, the majority of which reported on ALS. However, as ALS is the most common form of MND accounting for 80-90% of the total cases,<sup>6</sup> our case definition is therefore unlikely to differ substantially from those used in other studies.

Our study has several strengths. First, the MND diagnosis was confirmed by neurologists. Second, the study size is relatively large in comparison with many other casecontrol studies with access to lifetime occupational exposure histories. Third, cases and controls mostly answered the questionnaire without the use of proxies, which is a particular advantage compared to studies based on MND mortality. Fourth, using the MNDANZ national register, the NMDS, and the New Zealand Electoral Roll to identify cases and controls was an important strength of this study. In particular, the MNDANZ national register and NMDS provided a reliable source for all MND patients in New Zealand, and the Electoral Roll records virtually all New Zealand citizens and permanent residents. These sources are therefore representative of the general population that generated the cases. Finally, we were able to adjust analyses for potential confounders by collecting extensive information on education, SES, smoking, alcohol consumption, and injury, as well as other (self-reported) occupational exposures, ELF-MF, and electric shocks.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this study shows that several common occupational exposures are associated with MND, including pesticides to which a relatively large proportion of the population continues to be exposed to. Measures to reduce these exposures may contribute to a reduction in MND incidence.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Motor Neurone Disease Association New Zealand, and their field staff for their generous support.

## FUNDING INFORMATION

The study was funded by a grant from the Health Research Council (HRC) of New Zealand (Part of 11/1041 HRC Programme Grant - Building Research in Occupational Health in New Zealand (BROHNZ)).

## DECLARATION OF COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

## REFERENCES

- 1. van Es MA, Hardiman O, Chio A, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet (London, England)* 2017;390(10107):2084-98. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31287-4 [published Online First: 2017/05/30]
- Logroscino G, Piccininni M, Marin B, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of motor neuron diseases 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet Neurology* 2018;17(12):1083-97. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30404-6
- Al-Chalabi A, Hardiman O, Kiernan MC, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: moving towards a new classification system. *Lancet Neurol* 2016;15(11):1182-94. doi: 10.1016/s1474-4422(16)30199-5 [published Online First: 2016/09/21]
- Al-Chalabi A, Hardiman O. The epidemiology of ALS: a conspiracy of genes, environment and time. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 2013;9:617. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2013.203
- 5. Turner MR, Talbot K. Mimics and chameleons in motor neurone disease. *Practical neurology* 2013;13(3):153-64. doi: 10.1136/practneurol-2013-000557
- 6. Rojas P, Ramírez AI, Fernández-Albarral JA, et al. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: A Neurodegenerative Motor Neuron Disease With Ocular Involvement. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 2020;14(976) doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.566858
- Malek AM, Barchowsky A, Bowser R, et al. Pesticide exposure as a risk factor for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies: pesticide exposure as a risk factor for ALS. *Environ Res* 2012;117:112-9. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2012.06.007 [published Online First: 2012/07/24]
- Kamel F, Umbach DM, Bedlack RS, et al. Pesticide exposure and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurotoxicology* 2012;33(3):457-62. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2012.04.001 [published Online First: 2012/04/24]
- 9. Wang MD, Little J, Gomes J, et al. Identification of risk factors associated with onset and progression of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis using systematic review and metaanalysis. *Neurotoxicology* 2017;61:101-30. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2016.06.015 [published Online First: 2016/07/06]
- Kang H, Cha ES, Choi GJ, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and agricultural environments: a systematic review. *J Korean Med Sci* 2014;29(12):1610-7. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2014.29.12.1610 [published Online First: 2014/12/04]
- 11. Belbasis L, Bellou V, Evangelou E. Environmental Risk Factors and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: An Umbrella Review and Critical Assessment of Current Evidence from Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Observational Studies. *Neuroepidemiology* 2016;46(2):96-105. doi: 10.1159/000443146 [published Online First: 2016/01/06]
- 12. Fang F, Quinlan P, Ye W, et al. Workplace exposures and the risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2009;117(9):1387-92. doi: 10.1289/ehp.0900580
- McGuire V, Longstreth WT, Jr., Nelson LM, et al. Occupational exposures and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. A population-based case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997;145(12):1076-88. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009070
- 14. Merwin SJ, Obis T, Nunez Y, et al. Organophosphate neurotoxicity to the voluntary motor system on the trail of environment-caused amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: the known, the misknown, and the unknown. *Arch Toxicol* 2017;91(8):2939-52. doi: 10.1007/s00204-016-1926-1 [published Online First: 2017/01/11]

- Koeman T, Slottje P, Schouten LJ, et al. Occupational exposure and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in a prospective cohort. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74(8):578-85. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2016-103780 [published Online First: 2017/03/31]
- 16. Dickerson AS, Hansen J, Thompson S, et al. A mixtures approach to solvent exposures and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population-based study in Denmark. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2020;35(3):241-49. doi: 10.1007/s10654-020-00624-5 [published Online First: 2020/03/21]
- Peters TL, Kamel F, Lundholm C, et al. Occupational exposures and the risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74(2):87-92. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2016-103700 [published Online First: 2016/07/16]
- Peters S, Broberg K, Gallo V, et al. Blood Metal Levels and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Risk: A Prospective Cohort. *Annals of Neurology* 2021;89(1):125-33. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25932</u>
- 19. Fang F, Kwee LC, Allen KD, et al. Association between blood lead and the risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Am J Epidemiol 2010;171(10):1126-33. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwq063 [published Online First: 2010/04/22]
- 20. Wang M-D, Gomes J, Cashman NR, et al. A meta-analysis of observational studies of the association between chronic occupational exposure to lead and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine* 2014;56(12):1235-42. doi: 10.1097/JOM.00000000000323
- 21. Chen GX, 't Mannetje AM, Douwes J, et al. Occupation and motor neuron disease: a New Zealand case-control study. *Occup Environ Med* 2019;76(5):309-16. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2018-105605 [published Online First: 2019/03/25]
- 22. Chen GX, 't Mannetje AM, Douwes J, et al. Associations of Occupational Exposures to Electric Shocks and Extremely Low-Frequency Magnetic Fields With Motor Neurone Disease. Am J Epidemiol 2021;190(3):393-402. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwaa214 [published Online First: 2020/10/10]
- 23. Alonso A, Logroscino G, Jick SS, et al. Incidence and lifetime risk of motor neuron disease in the United Kingdom: a population-based study. *European journal of neurology* 2009;16(6):745-51. [published Online First: 2009/05/29]
- 24. Salmond C, Crampton P, Atkinson J. NZDep2006 Index of Deprivation. University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand: Department of Public Health, 2007.
- 25. Greenland S, Daniel R, Pearce N. Outcome modelling strategies in epidemiology: traditional methods and basic alternatives. *International journal of epidemiology* 2016;45(2):565-75. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw040 [published Online First: 2016/04/22]
- 26. Vinceti M, Filippini T, Violi F, et al. Pesticide exposure assessed through agricultural crop proximity and risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Environ Health* 2017;16(1):91. doi: 10.1186/s12940-017-0297-2 [published Online First: 2017/08/31]
- 27. Bermudo Fuenmayor S, Serrano Castro PJ, Quiroga Subirana P, et al. Environmental exposure to pesticides and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis in the South of Spain. *Neurologia (Engl Ed)* 2021 doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2021.01.013 [published Online First: 2021/03/28]
- 28. Su FC, Goutman SA, Chernyak S, et al. Association of Environmental Toxins With Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol* 2016;73(7):803-11. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.0594
- 29. Costa LG. Handbook of Clinical Neurology. In: Lotti M, Bleecker ML, eds. Handbook of Clinical Neurology: Elsevier 2015:135-48.

- 30. Morahan JM, Yu B, Trent RJ, et al. A gene–environment study of the paraoxonase 1 gene and pesticides in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *NeuroToxicology* 2007;28(3):532-40. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2006.11.007</u>
- 31. van Blitterswijk M, Blokhuis A, van Es MA, et al. Rare and common paraoxonase gene variants in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients. *Neurobiology of aging* 2012;33(8):1845.e1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2012.01.007 [published Online First: 2012/02/15]
- 32. Verde F, Tiloca C, Morelli C, et al. PON1 is a disease modifier gene in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: association of the Q192R polymorphism with bulbar onset and reduced survival. *Neurological Sciences* 2019;40(7):1469-73. doi: 10.1007/s10072-019-03834-2
- 33. Ledda C, Cannizzaro E, Cinà D, et al. Oxidative stress and DNA damage in agricultural workers after exposure to pesticides. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology* 2021;16(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s12995-020-00290-z
- 34. D'Amico E, Factor-Litvak P, Santella RM, et al. Clinical perspective on oxidative stress in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2013;65:509-27. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.06.029 [published Online First: 2013/06/26]
- 35. Doi H, Kikuchi H, Murai H, et al. Motor neuron disorder simulating ALS induced by chronic inhalation of pyrethroid insecticides. *Neurology* 2006;67(10):1894-5. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000244489.65670.9f
- 36. Filippini T, Tesauro M, Fiore M, et al. Environmental and Occupational Risk Factors of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17(8) doi: 10.3390/ijerph17082882 [published Online First: 2020/04/26]
- 37. Shaw I. Motor neurone disease a methyl bromide exposure cluster points to a causal mechanism. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 2010;29(3):241-2. doi: 10.1177/0960327109359462
- 38. Kiddle E. Cluster Investigation into Motor Neurone Disease Nelson. Nelson: Nelson Marlborough District Health Board, New Zealand,2005.
- 39. Keifer MC, Firestone J. Neurotoxicity of pesticides. *J Agromedicine* 2007;12(1):17-25. doi: 10.1300/J096v12n01\_03 [published Online First: 2007/11/23]
- 40. Visser AE, D'Ovidio F, Peters S, et al. Multicentre, population-based, case-control study of particulates, combustion products and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis risk. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2019;90(8):854-60. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2018-319779 [published Online First: 2019/03/10]
- 41. Dick FD. Solvent neurotoxicity. *Occup Environ Med* 2006;63(3):221-6, 179. doi: 10.1136/oem.2005.022400 [published Online First: 2006/02/25]
- 42. Ratner MH, Jabre JF, Ewing WM, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-A case report and mechanistic review of the association with toluene and other volatile organic compounds. *Am J Ind Med* 2018;61(3):251-60. doi: 10.1002/ajim.22791 [published Online First: 2017/11/11]
- 43. Lee D-H, Gold R, Linker RA. Mechanisms of Oxidative Damage in Multiple Sclerosis and Neurodegenerative Diseases: Therapeutic Modulation via Fumaric Acid Esters. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2012;13(9):11783-803.
- 44. Abarbanel JM, Herishanu YO, Osimani A, et al. Motor neuron disease in textile factory workers. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1989;79(4):347-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0404.1989.tb03796.x [published Online First: 1989/04/01]
- 45. Singh Z, Chadha P. Textile industry and occupational cancer. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology* 2016;11(1):39. doi: 10.1186/s12995-016-0128-3
- 46. Dickerson AS, Hansen J, Kioumourtzoglou MA, et al. Study of occupation and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in a Danish cohort. *Occup Environ Med*

2018;75(9):630-38. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2018-105110 [published Online First: 2018/06/27]

47. Castanedo-Vazquez D, Bosque-Varela P, Sainz-Pelayo A, et al. Infectious agents and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: another piece of the puzzle of motor neuron degeneration. *Journal of Neurology* 2019;266(1):27-36. doi: 10.1007/s00415-018-8919-3