

Abortion and its correlates among female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda

Annet Nanvubya^{1,6}, Francis Matovu¹, Andrew Abaasa², Yunia Mayanja², Teddy Nakaweesa¹, Juliet Mpendo¹, Barbarah Kawoozo¹, Kundai Chinyenze³, Matt A Price^{3,5}, Rhoda Wanyenze⁴, Jean Pierre Van geertruyden⁶

¹UVRI-IAVI HIV Vaccine Program, Entebbe, Uganda, ²Medical Research Council, Uganda Virus Research Institute and London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (MRC/UVRI and LSHTM) Uganda Research Unit, Entebbe, Uganda, ³IAVI, New York, NY, USA, ⁴School of Public Health, Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala Uganda, ⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA, ⁶Global Health Institute, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Abstract

Introduction: In Uganda, people living in fishing communities tend to engage in high-risk sexual activity which leads to unintended pregnancies that may end in abortions. Abortion has negative social, psychological, and medical impacts. We determined the frequency of abortion and its correlates among female fisher-folk along Lake Victoria in Uganda. **Methods:** A cross-sectional survey was conducted among women aged 15– 49 years from Kigungu and Nsazi fishing communities. Data were collected on socio-demographic characteristics, abortion, and family planning use. Associations between abortion and participant characteristics were assessed using logistic regression models. **Results:** Of the 713 women interviewed, 36, 5% were pregnant and 247, 34.6% were using contraception. Majority (600, 84.2%) of those interviewed reported ever being pregnant. Approximately 45% of the pregnancies were un-intended while a third of those who had ever been pregnant (195, 32.5%) reported having aborted before. Slightly over a third (247, 34.6%) reported currently using or ever using family planning. Women aged 30+ years were more likely to abort compared to those who didn't have any living child (aOR: 0.06; 95% CI: 0.01 – 0.17). **Conclusion:** The rate of abortion among female fisher-folk in Uganda is substantial. Family planning use is still low and unintended pregnancies are common. Abortion risk increased with the age of the mother. Continuous behavioral change communication and optimization of family planning use are recommended to reduce abortions.

Keywords: Abortion, family planning, fishing communities, Uganda, unintended pregnancy

Introduction

Abortion is one of the main contributors to the high maternal mortality in Uganda.^[1] An abortion rate of 39 per 1,000 women aged between 15 and 49 years was reported in 2013 in Uganda

Address for correspondence: Dr. Francis Matovu, UVRI-IAVI HIV Vaccine Program, 51-59 Nakiwogo Rd, Entebbe, Uganda. E-mail: FMatovu@iavi.or.ug Received: 27-04-2021 Accepted: 11-07-2021 Published: 29-11-2021

Access this article online
Quick Response Code:
Website:
www.jfmpc.com
DOI:
10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_771_21

representing approximately 314,300 abortions.^[2] The Ugandan abortion rate was slightly higher than the estimated rate for the East African region at 34 per 1,000 women between 2010 and 2014.^[2] In 2013, approximately 128,682 women were treated for abortion complications up from 110,000 in 2003.^[3] The injuries and illnesses resulting from unsafe abortion place a huge health care burden and remain a critical challenge for the Ugandan health care system, which is already burdened with other morbidities.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Nanvubya A, Matovu F, Abaasa A, Mayanja Y, Nakaweesa T, Mpendo J, *et al*. Abortion and its correlates among female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda. J Family Med Prim Care 2021;10:3968-75.

Most religions and cultures in Africa do not encourage abortion because it goes against their beliefs.^[3-5] Consequently, abortion in Africa tends to be associated with fear, shame and stigma leading to discrimination in society.^[6] A study conducted in Kenya on community perceptions of abortion indicated that women who abort are excluded from community activities being labelled as murderers or prostitutes and often perceived as bad examples to younger women.^[7] In Uganda and many other African countries, abortion is illegal unless performed by a licensed medical doctor in a situation where the woman's life is deemed to be at risk.^[8-12] The 2012 Uganda national policy guidelines and service standards for sexual and reproductive health and rights permitted abortion under specific circumstances, including in cases of fetal anomaly, rape and incest.^[13] Inconsistencies in the interpretation of the laws and policies on abortion by the law enforcement and the judicial system have led to uncertainties for women and medical personnel to know when abortion is acceptable.^[14] Medical personnel are often reluctant to perform an abortion for any reason because of fear of the legal implications. Therefore, many abortions are self-induced and often conducted privately under unsafe conditions. Moreover, the stigma surrounding induced abortion makes it difficult for women to report, making unsafe induced abortions hard to measure. Under-reporting abortion leads to missed mitigation opportunities.[15]

In most low-income countries, there is also a lack of skilled medical personnel, which leads many women who wish to terminate their pregnancies to seek services of unskilled medical personnel.^[10] The risk of illness and death tends to be high when abortions are performed by unskilled personnel.^[16-18] Unsafe abortion contributes to maternal morbidity and mortality even though it is preventable.^[19] It is estimated that 128,682 women were treated for abortion complications in 2013 in Uganda.^[3] Family planning (FP) use remains one of the cost-effective public health intervention for preventing unintended pregnancies.^[20] Ugandan women undergoing abortions often report that their pregnancies are unintended or undesired, indicating an unmet need for FP.^[21]

People living in fishing communities (FCs) of Uganda are engaged in high sexual activity with multiple sexual partnerships and low condom use,^[22], predisposing them to unintended pregnancies. These FCs are also characterized by limited access to healthcare services with few trained medical personnel.^[23,24] This puts them at an increased risk of unsafe abortions and insufficient postabortion care, which poses health risks and associated healthcare costs.^[25] Measurement of abortion rates and associated factors in the hard-to-reach settings such as the FCs is essential in informing reproductive policies and programs that suit such unique settings. However, data on abortion rates in FCs are still few, and the associated factors are not clearly understood. We set out to determine the rate of abortion and its correlates among female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda.

Materials and Methods

Study design and eligibility criteria

A cross-sectional survey was conducted in Kigungu landing site and Nsazi Island.^[26,27] Abortion and pregnancy history were assessed during the survey. The study communities were purposively selected based on their location (proximity to research center) and size (among the FCs on Lake Victoria, with >1,000 households). The sample size was determined using 1,786 households on a household list that was previously generated during census taking of the FCs.^[28] From the original list, 1,452 eligible households were contacted. The study included residents for at least 6 months, those aged 15 to 49 years, and who consented to participate in the study.

Study population and setting

Kigungu landing site is found in Entebbe Municipality, along the shores of Lake Victoria, Africa's biggest lake. It is situated in Wakiso District, approximately 37 km (23 miles) from Kampala (Uganda's capital) and approximately 45 minutes' drive from the study clinic in Entebbe. It has a population of approximately 30,000 people. Kigungu has one Health Center III facility, which runs a general outpatient clinic and a maternity ward. It provides basic preventive, curative and promotive care, including a few FP services. Residents normally go to Entebbe regional referral hospital, which is approximately 30 minutes' drive from Kigungu landing site, to seek for more comprehensive health services. Nsazi Island is located in Mukono district. It is composed of 7 square miles of land with a population ranging from 2,000 to 8,000 people depending on fish seasonality. Nsazi has one Health Center II facility, which runs an outpatient clinic, treats a few minor illnesses, and offers antenatal care and community outreach services.

Availability of FP in both FCs is haphazard and limited to a few methods, including male condoms, oral contraceptive pills and Depo-Provera or Injectaplan. Periodically, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) offer long-acting reversible methods, including implants and intrauterine devices through outreach services. Permanent methods including vasectomy and bilateral tubal ligation are offered at the Entebbe regional referral hospital. The main economic activities in both communities include fishing and fishing-related activities (jobs that support the fishing industry, e.g. fish processing, drying and selling of fish), trading in other non–fish-related commodities, including commercial sex work, farming and other commercial activities.

Community mobilization

The study was first presented to community leaders to seek their permission to conduct the study and support in mobilizing participants. Thereafter, members in both communities were informed of the study through community sensitization seminars. Participants were invited by the community mobilization team to study clinics based in their communities where more study information was provided and data collected.

Key measurements

The main outcome variable was a self-reported history of ever having an abortion which was measured as a binary (Yes/No) variable. Abortion was defined as a deliberate termination of a pregnancy. Participants were asked if they had ever been pregnant and if they had ever had an abortion. They were further asked if they had wanted to become pregnant then and if they currently wanted to become pregnant. Independent variables included social demographic characteristics, sexual behavior characteristics, FP use and other reproductive health factors.

Data collection and quality control

Semi-structured questionnaires were used to collect data using a team of five trained staff who had a scientific and research background. The study team was trained prior to the commencement of the study on how to complete the study questionnaire. The study questionnaires were pretested and piloted in a non-study site before conducting the study. At the end of each day, verification of the data for completeness, accuracy and consistency was done.

Data management and analysis

Data generated from questionnaires were reviewed before entry. Double data entry was done and the data were exported to STATA Version 15.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) for analysis. At univariate analysis, the data were summarized into meaningful descriptive statistics such as means, medians or frequencies and appropriate proportions to present categorical variables. Independent variables were cross-tabulated with the primary outcome variable to determine clinically relevant and/ or statistically significant associations. Chi-square tests and their respective P values were obtained to assess for associations using P = 0.05 as a cut-off point for statistical significance. At multivariable level, logistic regression models were run to estimate the adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of factors associated with abortion. The models were adjusted for potential confounders noted from findings of other studies such as social economic status, parity and statistically significant (P < 0.05) covariates identified in bivariate analyses.^[29,30]

Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Uganda Virus Research Institute– Research Ethics Committee (UVRI-REC, GC/127/16/10/572) and the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST, SS 4183). Written informed consent or assent was obtained from all participants prior to conducting any study procedures. Pregnant women were referred for antenatal care.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda [Table 1]

From the original 1,786 eligible households, we contacted 1,452 to interview 713 females. The majority (564; 79%) of

the participants were from Kigungu as shown in Table 1. For both communities, the majority of the participants were aged between 15 and 29 years (73.9% and 61.1%, respectively) with women from Nsazi being older. Three hundred and forty women representing 47.7% of all the participants were engaging in trade or business which tends to be disguised as commercial sex work. More than half of the women in both villages had attained up to primary level of education with Nsazi having the higher percentage of these. More than half of the participants in both villages reported being married. Many participant characteristics differed significantly by study community [Table 1].

Reproductive health outcomes of female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda [Table 2]

The majority of the women (600; 84.2%) reported ever being pregnant with nearly everyone, with 137 (92%) from Nsazi reporting ever being pregnant [Table 2]. A total of 195 women (32.5%) of all those who reported ever being pregnant reported that they had ever had an abortion. Although more than a half (329; 54.8%) of the women interviewed wanted to have children at the time of pregnancy, a few wanted to have children later (104; 17.3%) or not at all (167; 27.8%). It was also observed that slightly over a third (201; 33.5%) of the women got pregnant while in school. Almost everyone (700; 98.2%) knew about modern FP methods that include condoms, pills, injectable hormones, intrauterine devices and implants. However, just over a third (247; 34.6%) of the women were using FP. The majority of the women (575; 80.6%) reported having at least one living child with more than half of them (315; 52.6%) having children with their current sexual partners.

Abortion and its correlates among female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda

After adjustment, women aged 30+ years were more likely to have reported an abortion compared with those aged 15 to 29 years (ages 30-39: aOR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.05–2.59; and ages 40+: aOR: 2.7, 95% CI: 1.23–5.91). Women who had living children were less likely to abort than those who did not have any living child (one living child: aOR = 0.06, 95% CI = 0.01–0.17; more than one living child: aOR = 0.09, 95% CI = 0.03–031). Although not statistically significant after adjustment, women who had children with their current sexual partners were less likely to abort. Occupation, education level and religion were not statistically significantly associated with abortion [Table 3].

Discussion

This study established the rate of abortion and its correlates among female fisherfolk along Lake Victoria in Uganda with the aim of informing policy change. The rate of abortion was found to be relatively high compared with that in the general population.^[3] We attribute this to the high sexual activity and low condom use in FCs previously reported.^[22] In the current study, almost half of the women who had previously conceived, alluded to wrong timing implying that their pregnancies were unintended.

| Nanvubya, | et al.: | Abortion | and its | correlates | among | female | fisherfolk |
|-----------|---------|----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|------------|
|-----------|---------|----------|---------|------------|-------|--------|------------|

| Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants stratified by study site | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|-------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| All Participants | Total n=713 | Kigungu <i>n</i> =564 (79.1%) <i>n</i> (col %) | Nsazi n=149 (20.9%) n (col %) | Р | | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 26.2 (6.5) | | | | | | |
| 15-29 | 508 (71.2) | 417 (73.9) | 91 (61.1) | 0.01 | | | |
| 30-39 | 173 (24.3) | 125 (22.2) | 48 (32.2) | | | | |
| 40+ | 32 (4.5) | 22 (3.9) | 10 (6.7) | | | | |
| Tribe | | | | | | | |
| Non-Muganda | 389 (54.6) | 318 (56.4) | 71 (47.7) | 0.06 | | | |
| Muganda | 324 (45.4) | 246 (43.6) | 78 (52.3) | | | | |
| Occupation | | | | | | | |
| No Job | 98 (13.7) | 84 (14.9) | 14 (9.4) | < 0.001 | | | |
| Trade/Business | 340 (47.7) | 278 (49.3) | 62 (41.6) | | | | |
| Fishing/Fish-related | 80 (11.2) | 42 (7.5) | 38 (25.5) | | | | |
| Housewife | 124 (17.4) | 92 (16.3) | 32 (21.5) | | | | |
| Others | 71 (10.0) | 68 (12.1) | 3 (2.0) | | | | |
| Religion | | | | | | | |
| Catholic | 304 (42.6) | 232 (41.1) | 72 (48.3) | < 0.001 | | | |
| Protestant/Anglican | 167 (23.4) | 132 (23.4) | 35 (23.5) | | | | |
| Muslim | 106 (14.9) | 74 (13.1) | 32 (21.5) | | | | |
| Other | 136 (19.1) | 126 (22.3) | 10 (6.7) | | | | |
| Highest Education Level | | | | | | | |
| Up to Primary | 411 (57.6) | 314 (55.7) | 97 (65.1) | 0.04 | | | |
| Post-Primary | 302 (42.4) | 250 (44.3) | 52 (34.9) | | | | |
| Marital Status | | | | | | | |
| Married | 420 (58.9) | 333 (59) | 87 (58.4) | 0.89 | | | |
| Not Married | 293 (41.1) | 231 (41) | 62 (41.6) | | | | |

SD=Standard deviation

Almost two thirds of the abortions occurred among women with unintended pregnancies. Social and economic challenges have been reported previously as some of the reasons why women with unintended pregnancies abort.^[31,32] Women in FCs tend to experience an early sexual debut which exposes them to pregnancies early in life before they are economically able to manage them.^[33,34] So, many of these pregnancies will most likely end in abortion. This highlights the primary care physician's need to improve FP service provision in FCs.

While the prevalence of abortion elsewhere increased with increasing levels of education,^[35] our findings were different. The majority of the study participants had low education levels with very few studying beyond the primary level. It is possible that this precluded positive associations of abortion with education. Many FCs lack adequate schools, and as such people in these communities may not have the opportunity to have 'sex education', putting them at risk of prematurely engaging in unprotected sexual activities.^[27] While some women in these communities may intend to avoid pregnancy, many end up failing due to lack of knowledge on safe behavior and birth control.[36-38] In order to achieve universal access to reproductive health, health care providers need to devise innovative FP education tools that will suit the social context of people living in FCs. According to the recent UNICEF (United Nations Children's Emergency Fund) findings, 40% of girls in Uganda are coerced into marriage with much older men before the age of 18 years and 10% marry before their 15th birthday.^[39] Although child marriages were not specifically assessed in the current study, this practice may put young women and adolescent girls at risk for pregnancy earlier than they perhaps may have otherwise anticipated. There remains a need to introduce sex education even among those who do not get formal education. The lack of access to FP services might explain the high abortion rates. It was observed that there were a few available FP methods or options in the FCs. Unmet need for modern FP remains a big challenge in Africa.^[40] In Uganda, 40% married women and almost half of sexually active women of reproductive age have an unmet need and unsatisfied demand for FP.^[41] Previously published work from this study has reported that unmet need for FP is even higher in FCs due to various socio-medical, cultural and structural barriers.^[27]This makes women fisherfolk prone to unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) such as HIV (human immunodeficiency virus).^[42] Meeting the female fisherfolk's contraceptive needs could be a critical strategy for avoiding unintended pregnancies and controlling the spread of STIs.

Essential healthcare is limited and skilled personnel are few in most remote areas in Uganda.^[23,33,38] Therefore, morbidity due to various disease conditions including unsafe abortion is prevalent in Uganda.^[43] FCs may be experiencing higher rates of morbidity due to unsafe abortion because of their inadequate health facilities and lack of enough skilled medical personnel. High levels of abortion morbidity have serious consequences not only on the health and life of women but also on their finances, their children's health and well-being.^[44.46] Expanding

| Table 2: Reproductive health outcomes of the participants stratified by study site | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Total (n=713) n (%) | Kigungu (n=564) n (col %) | Nsazi (n=149) n (col %) | Р | | | |
| Ever been pregnant? | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 600 (84.2) | 463 (82.1) | 137 (92) | 0.003 | | | |
| No | 113 (15.9) | 101 (17.9) | 12 (8) | | | | |
| Ever had an abortion before? | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 195 (32.5) | 144 (31.1) | 51 (37.2) | 0.18 | | | |
| No | 405 (67.5) | 319 (68.9) | 86 (62.8) | | | | |
| Wanted to become pregnant then? | | | | | | | |
| Yes, right time | 329 (54.8) | 254 (54.9) | 75 (54.7) | < 0.001 | | | |
| Yes, but later | 104 (17.3) | 65 (14) | 39 (28.5) | | | | |
| Not at all | 167 (27.8) | 144 (31.1) | 23 (16.8) | | | | |
| Currently want to become pregnant? | | | | | | | |
| Yes, I want to become pregnant | 275 (45.8) | 198 (42.8) | 77 (56.2) | 0.02 | | | |
| I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant | 179 (29.8) | 146 (31.5) | 33 (24.1) | | | | |
| I do not want to become pregnant | 146 (24.3) | 119 (25.7) | 27 (19.7) | | | | |
| Were you in school at time of pregnancy? | | | | | | | |
| No | 399 (66.5) | 303 (65.4) | 96 (70.1) | 0.34 | | | |
| Yes | 201 (33.5) | 160 (34.6) | 41 (29.9) | | | | |
| Aware of family planning? | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 700 (98.2) | 553 (98) | 147 (98.7) | 0.62 | | | |
| No | 13 (1.8) | 11 (2) | 2 (1.3) | | | | |
| Use of a family planning method | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 247 (34.6) | 194 (34.4) | 53 (35.6) | 0.79 | | | |
| No | 466 (65.4) | 370 (65.6) | 96 (64.4) | | | | |
| Number of children living | | | | | | | |
| No children | 138 (19.4) | 121 (21.5) | 17 (11.4) | < 0.001 | | | |
| One child | 175 (24.5) | 151 (26.8) | 24 (16.1) | | | | |
| Two children | 141 (19.8) | 106 (18.8) | 35 (23.5) | | | | |
| More than two | 259 (36.3) | 186 (32.9) | 73 (49) | | | | |
| Have children with current sexual partner? | | | | | | | |
| No | 285 (47.4) | 211 (45.6) | 74 (54) | 0.08 | | | |
| Yes | 315 (52.6) | 252 (54.4) | 63 (46) | | | | |

and improving the quality of postabortion care services to treat the often serious health complications resulting from unsafe abortion remains critical. Infrastructural upgrade and deployment of skilled medical personnel in such remote areas may be helpful in reducing maternal mobility or mortality. NGO services reduce the economic burden women may face as extra costs are incurred when they move from their primary resident communities to go to the referral hospitals to access FP services. In this study, abortions were more likely to occur among older women compared with younger girls. This is contrary to the findings from other studies where younger girls were more likely to abort compared with older women.[47-49] Our findings show a similar trend with findings from the general population cohort, between 1996 and 2013, where women aged 15 to 49 years were interviewed on their pregnancy outcome in the past 12 months.^[50] In this study, abortion risk increased with the age of the mother. The early sexual debut exposes women from FCs to a long reproductive window. So, they tend to have many children or big family, which is economically and socially demanding. Some women are involved in multiple sexual partnerships and may end up getting children from different men which may be undesirable ending in abortion.^[51] Also because they have already proved their fertility, they may find it easier to make a decision to abort, which may not be the case for younger women who have no children or those that are just starting a family.

The high HIV infection rates among women in FCs may be another contributing factor.^[23,52] Though we did not collect HIV test data in this study, or ask about how HIV may figure into pregnancy decisions, the risk of transmission and challenges of raising a child with HIV may certainly weigh on some women when deciding about pregnancy. Attending antenatal care services for early detection of HIV and prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is recommended to lower abortion risk. Women who had living children were less likely to abort compared with those who did not have any living child. This is contrary to the findings from other studies where having children was significantly associated with abortion.^[53,54] In these studies, the desire to stop or postpone childbearing and economic constraints were thought to explain the high rates of abortion.

Lastly, law enforcement against abortion in Uganda is still weak; therefore, termination of a pregnancy through abortion becomes easy to implement.^[2] Strengthening law enforcement against abortion across the country is necessary. Raising awareness of Uganda's abortion laws and policies among the primary care

| | Table 3: Abortion and its c | orrelates among | female fish | erfolk along I | ake Victo | oria in U | ganda | |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------|---|---------------|---------|
| OR 95% Cl P aOR 95% Cl P Age (years) 13.27 113 (23.3) 1 (ked) 1.02.34 1.02.35 1.60 1.05-2.59 0.0028 30.37 65 (38.7) 1.60 1.10+2.34 0.025 1.65 1.05-2.59 0.0028 30.37 Oceapation 2.88 1.35.56 0.015 1.05 1.25.591 0.013 Table Concapation 1.00 1.06.9 0.42 0.22 1.25.591 0.013 Table/Bainess 33 (17.%) 2.00 1.069-209 0.52 1.15 0.35 0.37 Flade/Bainess 33 (17.%) 2.00 (1.02.391) 0.04 1.16 | Characteristics | Abortion 195 (27.3%) | Crude Odds Ratios (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI) | | | Adjusted Odds Ratios (aOR) and 95%CI | | |
| Apr (vers) 18 (28.3) 1.18 (28.3) 30.39 15 (28.7) 1.60 1.10.2.34 0.025 1.65 1.05.2.59 0.038 40+ 17 (53.1) 2.88 1.39 5.96 0.015 2.70 1.23 5.91 0.013 Non-Miganda 100 (11%) 1 (Ref) Miganda 95 (4%) 1.20 (0.69-2.09) 0.52 Nojob 22 (28.2%) 1 (Ref) (0.82-1.62) 0.42 0.42 Carponion 5 (3.3%) 1.20 (0.69-2.09) 0.52 1.65 1.65 1.65 0.43 0.04 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.04 1.00 0.04 1.00 0.04 1.00 0.04 1.00 0.05 1.01 0.04 1.00 0.05 0.06 0.06 0.06 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>OR</th> <th>95% CI</th> <th>Р</th> <th>aOR</th> <th>95% CI</th> <th>Р</th> | | | OR | 95% CI | Р | aOR | 95% CI | Р |
| | Age (years) | | | | | | | |
| 3b-39 65 (387) 1.60 1.10-2.34 0.025 1.65 1.05-2.59 0.013 Tabe 7 (33.1) 2.88 1.39-5.36 0.015 2.70 1.23-5.91 0.013 Tabe 7 0.015(1) 1.16 (0.82-1.62) 0.42 1.23-5.91 0.013 Wagnada 100 (31%) 1.16 (0.82-1.62) 0.42 1.23-5.91 0.013 Occupation 2 2.28.2%) 1.00 (0.69.2.09) 0.52 1.4 1.63.2%) 1.66 Hoassonic 33 (17.3%) 2.00 (0.03.31) 0.04 1.4 1.63.5%) 1.66 1.65.2%) 1.66 1.65.2%) 1.66 <td>15-29</td> <td>113 (28.3)</td> <td>1 (Ref)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | 15-29 | 113 (28.3) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| | 30-39 | 65 (38.7) | 1.60 | 1.10-2.34 | 0.025 | 1.65 | 1.05-2.59 | 0.028 |
| The Non-Magnada 100 (31%) 1 (8c) | 40+ | 17 (53.1) | 2.88 | 1.39-5.96 | 0.015 | 2.70 | 1.23-5.91 | 0.013 |
| Non-Nuganda 100 (31%) 1.15 (0.82-1.62) 0.42 Muganda 92 (28.2%) 1.16 (0.82-1.62) 0.42 No Job 22 (28.2%) 1.16 (0.69-2.09) 0.52 - - - Tishing/Tish-catacd 33 (4%) 1.20 (0.69-2.09) 0.52 - - - Tishing/Tish-catacd 33 (71.7%) 2.00 (1.02-3.01) 0.04 -< | Tribe | × / | | | | | | |
| Magnada 95 (34%) 1.15 (0.82.1.6.2) 0.42 Occupation | Non-Muganda | 100 (31%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Occupation Description No Job 22 (28.2%) 1 (Ref) Trade/Busicess 33 (44%) 1.20 $(0.69-2.09)$ 0.52 Fishing/Fish-related 33 (24%) 0.20 $(1.02-3.01)$ 0.04 Houseswife 33 (28%) 0.99 $0.52.1.87$ 0.97 Others 15 (5.3%) 1.41 $(0.63.3.15)$ 0.39 Religion 1 (28.9%) 1 (Ref) Catalole $0.35.1.87$ 0.36 Maxim 31 (33.7%) 1.25 $(0.71-2.2)$ 0.34 0.44 Other 33 (34.4%) 1.29 $(1.74+2.25)$ 0.57 Highest Education level 10 pto Primary 78 (33.6%) $1.86r$ $0.52.1.87$ 0.64 Marical 122 (31.6%) 1.02 $0.79-1.59$ 0.53 0.53 Community National 122 (31.6%) 1.02 $0.79-1.59$ 0.53 Size and 12 (21.6%) 1.02 $0.79-1.59$ 0.53 Community Nati | Muganda | 95 (34%) | 1.15 | (0.82 - 1.62) | 0.42 | | | |
| No job 22 (28.2%) 1 (Re) Trade/Basiness 33 (44%) 1.20 (0.69-2.09) 0.52 Housesvife 33 (28%) 0.99 (0.52.1.87) 0.97 Housesvife 35 (28%) 0.99 (0.52.1.87) 0.97 Religion 1 (0.63.3.15) 0.39 | Occupation | · · · | | · · · · | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | No Job | 22 (28.2%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| | Trade/Business | 33 (44%) | 1.20 | (0.69 - 2.09) | 0.52 | | | |
| Housewife 33 (28%) 0.99 (0.52-1.87) 0.97 Others 15 (5.3%) 1.41 (0.63-315) 0.39 Religion 90 (33.3%) 1.25 (0.79-1.92) 0.36 Muslim 31 (33.7%) 1.25 (0.71-2.20) 0.44 Other 33 (34.4%) 1.29 (1.74-2.25) 0.37 Highest Education level 90 90 90 90 90 Marial staus 122 (1.6%) 1.064 1.064 Marial staus 117 (31.8%) 0.92 (0.65-1.31) 0.64 Marial staus 112 (0.79/1.59) 0.53 0.53 Community 18 (32.7%) 1.12 (0.79/1.59) 0.53 Wanted to become pregnant then? 14 (31.1%) 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant 60 (75.9%) 1.27 (0.85-1.88) 0.24 0.24 Carrently want to become pregnant 82 (2.8%) 1 (Ref) 1.12 (0.64-1.71) 0.48 1.12 No 2 (2.86/4) 1.16 (0.71-1.72) 0.48 | Fishing/Fish-related | 33 (71.7%) | 2.00 | (1.02-3.91) | 0.04 | | | |
| Others 15 (5.3%) 1.41 (0.63.31) 0.39 Religion Protestant/Anglean 41 (28.9%) 1 (Ref) Carbolic 90 (33.3%) 1.23 (0.79.1.92) 0.36 Muslim 31 (33.7%) 1.25 (0.71-22.0) 0.44 Other 33 (34.4%) 1.29 (1.74-22.5) 0.37 Highest Education level Doat-Primary 78 (33.6%) 1 (Ref) Marial status | Housewife | 33 (28%) | 0.99 | (0.52 - 1.87) | 0.97 | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Others | 15 (5 3%) | 1 41 | (0.63-3.15) | 0.39 | | | |
| Impact marked41 (28.9%)1 (Ref)Catholic90 (33.3%)1.23(0.79-1.92)0.36Muslim31 (33.7%)1.25(0.71-2.20)0.44Other33 (34.4%)1.29(1.74-2.25)0.37Highest Education level V V V V Dost-Primary78 (3.6%)1 (Ref) V Up to Primary117 (31.8%)0.92(0.65-1.31)0.64Marial staus V V V V Normarried72 (34.1%)1.12(0.79-1.59)0.53Community V V V V V Naried51 (37.2%)1 (Ref) V V Kigungu144 (31.1%) V V V V Ves, but later101 (30.7%)1 (Ref) V V V Yes, but later100 (55%)1.10(0.68-1.76) V V No at all00 (75.9%)1.27(0.85-1.88) 0.24 V Carrently want to become pregnant59 (64.8%)1.16 $(0.77-1.73)$ 0.48 V V Ves, but ater59 (35.7%)1.38 $(0.90-2.11)$ 0.14 V V Were you in school at time of pregnancy? V V V V V V No123 (25.9%)1 (Ref) V V V V V No124 (22.9%)1.28 $(0.90-2.11)$ 0.14 V V No124 (22.9%)1.26 $(0.6-$ | Religion | 15 (5.576) | 1.11 | (0.05 5.15) | 0.57 | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Protestant/Anglican | 41 (28 0%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Muslim 31 (33.7%) 1.25 (0.74.152) 0.044 Other 33 (34.4%) 1.29 (1.74.2.25) 0.37 Highest Education level 78 (33.6%) 1 (Ref) 1 Up to Primary 117 (31.8%) 0.92 (0.65-1.31) 0.64 Maritial status 117 (31.8%) 0.92 (0.65-1.31) 0.64 Maritid 122 (31.6%) 1 (Ref) 1 1 Not married 73 (34.1%) 1.12 (0.79-1.59) 0.53 Community 1 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 Waried to become pregnant then? Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) 1 Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1.27 (0.85-1.88) 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant? 82 (29.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.16 (0.74-1.52) 0.76 No 128 (29.4%) 1 (Ref) 1.84 1.40 1.43 0.90-2.11) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 1.28 (29.4%) 1.16 <td< td=""><td>Catholic</td><td>90(33.3%)</td><td>1 23</td><td>(0.79.1.92)</td><td>0.36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Catholic | 90(33.3%) | 1 23 | (0.79.1.92) | 0.36 | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Muslim | 31(33.7%) | 1.25 | $(0.7)^{-1.02}$ | 0.30 | | | |
| Outer 35 (94.74) 1.22 $(1.14-2.4)$ (0.57) Post-Primary 78 (33.6%) 1 (ke) 10 10 Up to Primary 117 (31.8%) 0.9 $(0.55-1.31)$ 0.64 Marrial status 122 (31.6%) 1 (ke) 0.53 10 Married 122 (31.6%) 1 (ke) 0.53 10 Not married 73 (34.1%) 1.12 $(0.79-1.59)$ 0.53 10 Community 184 (31.1%) 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 10 Wanted to become pregnant then? 101 (30.7%) 1 (ke) 122 10 0.68-1.76) 0.70 10 Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (ke) 127 (0.85-1.88) 0.24 10 | Other | 31(33.770) 32(24.40/) | 1.20 | (0.71-2.20) | 0.44 | | | |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c $ | Under Education lavel | 55 (54.470) | 1.29 | (1.74-2.23) | 0.37 | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Dest Daiment | 79(22(0/)) | 1 (D = f) | | | | | |
| Up to Primary 117 (51.8%) 0.03 (0.05-1.51) 0.04 Marriad status Married 122 (31.6%) 1 (Ref) 0.79-1.59) 0.53 Not married 73 (34.1%) 1.12 (0.79-1.59) 0.53 Community 144 (31.1%) 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant then? ************************************ | Post-Primary | / ð (33.070) | 1 (Kel) | (0, (5, 1, 21)) | 0.64 | | | |
| Married 122 (31.6%) 1 (Ref) Not married 73 (34.1%) 1.12 (0.79-1.59) 0.53 Community Nsazi 51 (37.2%) 1 (Ref) Kigungu 144 (31.1%) 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant then? Yes, fight time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) Yes, but later 34 (32.7%) 1.10 (0.68-1.76) 0.70 Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 (0.85-1.88) 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant? Yes, I want to become pregnant? Yes, I want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1 (Ref) I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.10 (0.74-1.52) 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 10 (2.86.9%) 1.06 (0.74-1.52) 0.76 No 127 (34.2%) 1.023 (0.86-1.76) 0.24 Number of children living No children 121 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) No children 121 (67.7%) 1.005 (0.02-0.15) <0.001 0.006 (0.01-0.17) <0.001 Two children 146 (30.5%) 0.005 (0.02-0.15) <0.001 0.006 (0.01-0.17) <0.001 More than two 93 (38.9%) 0.11 (0.04-0.32) <0.001 0.00 (0.03-0.31) <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No 199 (38.2%) 0.11 (0.43-0.80, 0.004 0.72 (0.49-1.04) 0. | Up to Primary | 117 (31.8%) | 0.92 | (0.65-1.31) | 0.64 | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Marital status | 100 (21 (0)) | 1 (D) () | | | | | |
| Not married $(3 (34,1\%)$ 1.12 $(0,79-1.39)$ 0.33 Community Nsazi $51 (37,2\%)$ 1 (Ref) Kigungu $144 (31.1\%)$ 1.31 $(0.88-1.96)$ 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant then? Yes, right time $101 (30.7\%)$ 1 (Ref) Yes, but later $34 (32.7\%)$ 1.10 $(0.68-1.76)$ 0.70 Not at all $60 (75.9\%)$ 1.27 $(0.85-1.88)$ 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant Yes, I vant to become pregnant $82 (29.8\%)$ 1 (Ref) I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant $59 (64.8\%)$ 1.16 $(0.77-1.73)$ 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant $54 (36.7\%)$ 1.38 $(0.90-2.11)$ 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnance? No $128 (32\%)$ 1 (Ref) Yes (33.5%) 1.06 $(0.74-1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes $193 (32.5\%)$ 1.06 $(0.74-1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes $68 (29.7\%)$ 1 (Ref) No $2 (28.6\%)$ 0.83 $(0.16-4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning method Yes $68 (29.7\%)$ 1 (Ref) No $127 (34.2\%)$ 1.23 $(0.86-1.76)$ 0.24 Number of children living No children $21 (67.7\%)$ 1 (Ref) No children $21 (67.7\%)$ 1 (Ref) One children $35 (19.6\%)$ 0.05 $(0.02-0.15) < 0.001$ 0.06 $(0.01-0.17) < 0.001$ More than two $93 (38.9\%)$ 0.11 $(0.04-0.32) < 0.001$ 0.09 $(0.03-0.31) < 0.001$ Have children with current sexual partner? No $109 (38.2\%)$ 1 (Ref) Yes $86 (27.3\%)$ 0.61 $(0.45-0.86)$ 0.004 $0.72 (0.49-1.04)$ 0.08 | Married | 122 (31.6%) | 1 (Ref) | (0.50.4.50) | 0.50 | | | |
| Community Nsazi 51 (37.2%) 1 (Ref) Kigungu 144 (31.1%) 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant then? 1 (Ref) 1 Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.688-1.76) 0.70 Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 (0.88-1.76) 0.70 Ourrently want to become pregnant? 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) 1 I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 (0.90-2.11) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 (0.90-2.11) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) 1 Yes 0 and want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.06 (0.74.1.52) 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 108 (0 33.2.5%) 1 (Ref) 1 1 No 2 (28.6%) 0.83 (0.16-4.31) 0.82 1 1 Ves 68 (29.7%) 1 (Ref) 1 1 1 1 1 1 No | Not married | /3 (34.1%) | 1.12 | (0./9-1.59) | 0.53 | | | |
| Nsari 51 ($3/2^{90}$) 1 (ket) Kigungu 144 (31.1%) 1.31 ($0.88-1.96$) 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant then? Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.70 Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 ($0.85-1.88$) 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant? 2 ($0.87.16.8$) 0.48 Yes, I want to become pregnant 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) 1.44 44 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 ($0.77.1.73$) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 ($0.90-2.11$) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? Were you in school at time of pregnancy? 0.46 0.48 Yes 67 (33.5%) 1 (Ref) 76 40 40 No 2 (28.6%) 0.83 ($0.16-4.31$) 0.82 Use of a family planning 1.23 ($0.86-1.76$) 0.24 1.31 Yes 68 (22.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.24 1.23 ($0.86-1.76$) 0.24 </td <td>Community</td> <td>54 (05 00())</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Community | 54 (05 00()) | | | | | | |
| Kgungu 144 (31.1%) 1.31 (0.88-1.96) 0.18 Wanted to become pregnant then? 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) | Nsazı | 51 (37.2%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Wanted to become pregnant then? Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) Yes, jultater 34 (32.7%) 1.10 (0.68-1.76) 0.70 Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 (0.85-1.88) 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) 1 I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.38 (0.90-2.11) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) Yes 67 (33.5%) 1.06 (0.74-1.52) 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 13 (32.5%) 1 (Ref) No 2 (28.6%) 0.83 (0.16-4.31) 0.82 1 Yes 68 (29.7%) 1 (Ref) No 127 (34.2%) 1.23 (0.86-1.76) 0.24 Number of children living No 127 (34.2%) 1.23 (0.86-1.76) 0.24 No children 21 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) No 0.06 (0.01-0.17) <0.001 | Kıgungu | 144 (31.1%) | 1.31 | (0.88-1.96) | 0.18 | | | |
| Yes, right time 101 (30.7%) 1 (Ref) Yes, but later 34 (32.7%) 1.10 ($0.68-1.76$) 0.70 Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 ($0.85-1.88$) 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant? 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) Have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 ($0.77-1.73$) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 ($0.90-2.11$) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 128 ($32.\%$) 1 (Ref) No 128 ($32.\%$) 1 (Ref) 82 (28.6%) 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 67 (33.5%) 1.06 ($0.74-1.52$) 0.76 Aware of a family planning method 2 (28.6%) 0.83 ($0.16-4.31$) 0.82 Use of a family planning method 2 (28.6%) 1 (Ref) 86 92.9% 1 (Ref) 86 No 127 (34.2%) 1.23 ($0.86-1.76$) 0.24 86 92.9% $92.60.01$ 0.06 $(0.01-0.17)$ <0.001 No 12 | Wanted to become pregnant then? | | | | | | | |
| Yes, but later 34 (32.7%) 1.10 $(0.68-1.76)$ 0.70 Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 $(0.85-1.88)$ 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) 1 I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 $(0.77-1.73)$ 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 $(0.90-2.11)$ 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) Yes 67 (33.5%) 1.06 $(0.74-1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 193 (32.5%) 1 (Ref) No 2 (28.6%) 0.83 $(0.16-4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning method Yes 68 (29.7%) 1 (Ref) No 2 (28.6%) 0.83 $(0.16-4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning method Yes 68 (29.7%) 1 (Ref) No 0.24 No 0.24 No Number of children living No 12 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.001 0.06 0.01 | Yes, right time | 101 (30.7%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Not at all 60 (75.9%) 1.27 (0.85-1.88) 0.24 Currently want to become pregnant 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 (0.90-2.11) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) Yes 67 (33.5%) 1.06 (0.74-1.52) 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 193 (32.5%) 1 (Ref) 0.82 Yes of a family planning method 2 (28.6%) 0.83 (0.16-4.31) 0.82 Yes 68 (29.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.24 127 (32.4%) 1.23 (0.86-1.76) 0.24 Number of children living No 127 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.24 120 0.01 0.06 (0.01-0.17) <0.001 | Yes, but later | 34 (32.7%) | 1.10 | (0.68-1.76) | 0.70 | | | |
| Currently want to become pregnant? 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.77-1.73) 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 (0.90-2.11) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? 0.48 0.90-2.11) 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? 0.76 0.76 No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) 0.76 Yes 67 (33.5%) 1.06 (0.74-1.52) 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 193 (32.5%) 1 (Ref) 0.82 Vise of a family planning method 2 (28.6%) 0.83 (0.16-4.31) 0.82 Vise of a family planning method 2 (32.5%) 1 (Ref) Volumber of children living Volumber of children living No 127 (34.2%) 1.23 (0.86-1.76) 0.24 Volumber of children living No children 21 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) Volumber of children living Volumber of (0.01-0.17) <0.001 | Not at all | 60 (75.9%) | 1.27 | (0.85-1.88) | 0.24 | | | |
| Yes, I want to become pregnant 82 (29.8%) 1 (Ref) I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant 59 (64.8%) 1.16 $(0.77-1.73)$ 0.48 I do not want to become pregnant 54 (36.7%) 1.38 $(0.90-2.11)$ 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? $vee you$ $vee you$ $vee you$ $vee you$ $vee you$ No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) $vee you$ < | Currently want to become pregnant? | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Yes, I want to become pregnant | 82 (29.8%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| I do not want to become pregnant $54 (36.7\%)$ 1.38 $(0.90-2.11)$ 0.14 Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No $128 (32\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ Yes $67 (33.5\%)$ 1.06 $(0.74\cdot1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes $93 (32.5\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ 0.82 0.82 Yes $193 (32.5\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ 0.82 0.83 $0.16\cdot4.31$ 0.82 Use of a family planning method $2 (28.6\%)$ 0.83 $(0.16\cdot4.31)$ 0.82 Yes $68 (29.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ 0.24 0.24 $0.16 \cdot 1.23$ 0.024 Number of children living $121 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ 0.24 $0.01 \cdot 0.17) < 0.001$ No children $21 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ $0.05 (0.02\cdot0.15) < 0.001 0.06 (0.01\cdot0.17) < 0.001$ Two children living $0.05 (0.02\cdot0.15) < 0.001 0.06 (0.01\cdot0.17) < 0.001$ $0.001 0.06 (0.03\cdot0.31) < 0.001$ More children with current sexual partner? $0.09 (0.03\cdot0.28) < 0.001 0.00 (0.03\cdot0.31) < 0.001$ $0.004 0.072 (0.49\cdot1.04) 0.08$ Have children with current sexual partner? $109 (38.2\%) 1 (Ref)$ $0.61 (0.43\cdot0.86) 0.004 0.72 (0.49\cdot1.04) 0.08$ | I have mixed feelings about becoming pregnant | 59 (64.8%) | 1.16 | (0.77-1.73) | 0.48 | | | |
| Were you in school at time of pregnancy? No 128 (32%) 1 (Ref) Yes 67 (33.5%) 1.06 $(0.74 \cdot 1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning? Yes 193 (32.5%) 1 (Ref) No 2 (28.6%) 0.83 $(0.16 \cdot 4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning method 2 (28.6%) 1.08 0.16 \cdot 4.31) 0.82 Yes 68 (29.7%) 1 (Ref) 0.82 0.24 0.24 Number of children living 1.23 $(0.86 \cdot 1.76)$ 0.24 0.01 \cdot 0.17) <0.001 | I do not want to become pregnant | 54 (36.7%) | 1.38 | (0.90 - 2.11) | 0.14 | | | |
| No $128 (32\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ Yes $67 (33.5\%)$ 1.06 $(0.74 \cdot 1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning?Yes $193 (32.5\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ No $2 (28.6\%)$ 0.83 $(0.16 \cdot 4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning methodYes $68 (29.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ No $127 (34.2\%)$ 1.23 $(0.86 \cdot 1.76)$ 0.24 Number of children livingNo children $21 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ One child $35 (19.6\%)$ 0.05 $(0.02 \cdot 0.15)$ <0.001 0.06 $(0.01 \cdot 0.17)$ <0.001 Two children $46 (30.5\%)$ 0.09 $(0.03 \cdot 0.28)$ <0.001 0.00 $(0.03 \cdot 0.31)$ <0.001 More than two $93 (38.9\%)$ 0.11 $(0.04 \cdot 0.32)$ <0.001 $(0.03 \cdot 0.31)$ <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No $109 (38.2\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ $Vat = 100 (0.001 - 0.72) (0.49 \cdot 1.04)$ 0.08 | Were you in school at time of pregnancy? | | | | | | | |
| Yes $67 (33.5\%)$ 1.06 $(0.74 \cdot 1.52)$ 0.76 Aware of family planning?Yes $193 (32.5\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ No $2 (28.6\%)$ 0.83 $(0.16 \cdot 4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning methodYes $68 (29.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ No $127 (34.2\%)$ 1.23 $(0.86 \cdot 1.76)$ 0.24 Number of children livingNo children $21 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ One child $35 (19.6\%)$ 0.05 $(0.02 \cdot 0.15)$ <0.001 0.06 $(0.01 \cdot 0.17)$ <0.001 Two children $46 (30.5\%)$ 0.09 $(0.03 \cdot 0.28)$ <0.001 0.09 $(0.03 \cdot 0.31)$ <0.001 More than two $93 (38.9\%)$ 0.11 $(0.04 \cdot 0.32)$ <0.001 0.10 $(0.03 \cdot 0.31)$ <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No $109 (38.2\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ Ves V | No | 128 (32%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Aware of family planning?Yes193 (32.5%)1 (Ref)No2 (28.6%)0.83(0.16-4.31)0.82Use of a family planning method768 (29.7%)1 (Ref)Yes68 (29.7%)1 (Ref)0.82No127 (34.2%)1.23(0.86-1.76)0.24Number of children living21 (67.7%)1 (Ref)One child35 (19.6%)0.05(0.02-0.15)<0.001 | Yes | 67 (33.5%) | 1.06 | (0.74-1.52) | 0.76 | | | |
| Yes193 (32.5%)1 (Ref)No2 (28.6%)0.83($0.16-4.31$)0.82Use of a family planning method48(29.7%)1 (Ref)Yes68 (29.7%)1 (Ref)0.24No127 (34.2%)1.23($0.86-1.76$)0.24Number of children living21 (67.7%)1 (Ref)One child35 (19.6%)0.05($0.02-0.15$)< 0.001 0.06Two children46 (30.5%)0.09($0.03-0.28$)< 0.001 0.09($0.03-0.31$)< 0.001 More than two93 (38.9%)0.11($0.04-0.32$)< 0.001 0.10($0.03-0.31$)< 0.001 Have children with current sexual partner?No109 (38.2%)1 (Ref)< 126.2% < 0.001 0.040.72($0.49-1.04$)0.08 | Aware of family planning? | | | | | | | |
| No $2 (28.6\%)$ 0.83 $(0.16-4.31)$ 0.82 Use of a family planning methodYes $68 (29.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ No $127 (34.2\%)$ 1.23 $(0.86-1.76)$ 0.24 Number of children living $21 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ One child $35 (19.6\%)$ 0.05 $(0.02-0.15)$ <0.001 0.06 Two children $46 (30.5\%)$ 0.09 $(0.03-0.28)$ <0.001 0.09 $(0.03-0.31)$ <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No $109 (38.2\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ $Varther (Ref)$ $Varther (Ref)$ Yes $86 (27.3\%)$ 0.61 $(0.43-0.86)$ 0.004 0.72 $(0.49-1.04)$ 0.08 | Yes | 193 (32.5%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| $ Use of a family planning method \\ Yes & 68 (29.7\%) & 1 (Ref) \\ No & 127 (34.2\%) & 1.23 & (0.86-1.76) & 0.24 \\ Number of children living \\ No children & 21 (67.7\%) & 1 (Ref) \\ One child & 35 (19.6\%) & 0.05 & (0.02-0.15) & <0.001 & 0.06 & (0.01-0.17) & <0.001 \\ Two children & 46 (30.5\%) & 0.09 & (0.03-0.28) & <0.001 & 0.09 & (0.03-0.31) & <0.001 \\ More than two & 93 (38.9\%) & 0.11 & (0.04-0.32) & <0.001 & 0.10 & (0.03-0.31) & <0.001 \\ Have children with current sexual partner? \\ No & 109 (38.2\%) & 1 (Ref) \\ Yes & 86 (27.3\%) & 0.61 & (0.43-0.86) & 0.004 & 0.72 & (0.49-1.04) & 0.08 \\ $ | No | 2 (28.6%) | 0.83 | (0.16-4.31) | 0.82 | | | |
| Yes $68 (29.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ No $127 (34.2\%)$ 1.23 $(0.86-1.76)$ 0.24 Number of children living $21 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ One child $35 (19.6\%)$ 0.05 $(0.02-0.15)$ <0.001 0.06 $(0.01-0.17)$ <0.001 Two children $46 (30.5\%)$ 0.09 $(0.03-0.28)$ <0.001 0.09 $(0.03-0.31)$ <0.001 More than two $93 (38.9\%)$ 0.11 $(0.04-0.32)$ <0.001 0.10 $(0.03-0.31)$ <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No $109 (38.2\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ $Value (20.004)$ $Value (20.004$ | Use of a family planning method | | | | | | | |
| No 127 (34.2%) 1.23 (0.86-1.76) 0.24 Number of children living No children 21 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) One child 35 (19.6%) 0.05 (0.02-0.15) <0.001 | Yes | 68 (29.7%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Number of children living 21 (67.7%) 1 (Ref) One child 35 (19.6%) 0.05 (0.02-0.15) <0.001 | No | 127 (34.2%) | 1.23 | (0.86-1.76) | 0.24 | | | |
| No children $21 (67.7\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ One child $35 (19.6\%)$ 0.05 $(0.02-0.15)$ <0.001 0.06 $(0.01-0.17)$ <0.001 Two children $46 (30.5\%)$ 0.09 $(0.03-0.28)$ <0.001 0.09 $(0.03-0.31)$ <0.001 More than two $93 (38.9\%)$ 0.11 $(0.04-0.32)$ <0.001 0.10 $(0.03-0.31)$ <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No $109 (38.2\%)$ $1 (Ref)$ Ves $86 (27.3\%)$ 0.61 $(0.43-0.86)$ 0.004 0.72 $(0.49-1.04)$ 0.08 | Number of children living | | | | | | | |
| One child 35 (19.6%) 0.05 (0.02-0.15) <0.001 0.06 (0.01-0.17) <0.001 Two children 46 (30.5%) 0.09 (0.03-0.28) <0.001 | No children | 21 (67.7%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| Two children 46 (30.5%) 0.09 (0.03-0.28) <0.001 0.09 (0.03-0.31) <0.001 More than two 93 (38.9%) 0.11 (0.04-0.32) <0.001 | One child | 35 (19.6%) | 0.05 | (0.02 - 0.15) | < 0.001 | 0.06 | (0.01 - 0.17) | < 0.001 |
| More than two 93 (38.9%) 0.11 (0.04-0.32) <0.001 0.10 (0.03-0.31) <0.001 Have children with current sexual partner? No 109 (38.2%) 1 (Ref) < | Two children | 46 (30.5%) | 0.09 | (0.03-0.28) | < 0.001 | 0.09 | (0.03-0.31) | < 0.001 |
| Have children with current sexual partner? No 109 (38.2%) 1 (Ref) Yes 86 (27.3%) 0.61 (0.43-0.86) 0.004 0.72 (0.49-1.04) 0.08 | More than two | 93 (38.9%) | 0.11 | (0.04-0.32) | < 0.001 | 0.10 | (0.03-0.31) | < 0.001 |
| No 109 (38.2%) 1 (Ref) Yes 86 (27.3%) 0.61 (0.43-0.86) 0.004 0.72 (0.49-1.04) 0.08 | Have children with current sexual partner? | × / | | . / | | | · / | |
| Yes 86 (27.3%) 0.61 (0.43-0.86) 0.004 0.72 (0.49-1.04) 0.08 | No | 109 (38.2%) | 1 (Ref) | | | | | |
| | Yes | 86 (27.3%) | 0.61 | (0.43-0.86) | 0.004 | 0.72 | (0.49-1.04) | 0.08 |

physicians, the judicial system and women across the country is required. That way, the medical personnel and pregnant women

will know when it is acceptable to conduct an abortion and thereby reduce self-induced unsterile abortions that tend to be

fatal. To promote safe motherhood in this and other similar settings, sensitization on the dangers of abortion should be emphasized.

Conclusion

Abortion among female fisherfolk is high and unintended pregnancies are common, while the proportion using FP is low. Continuous behavioral change communication and sex education by healthcare providers are needed. Contraceptive uptake needs to be optimized to lower the incidence of unintended pregnancy and potential subsequent abortions. A stable supply of FP services in FCs should be made possible to reduce the unmet need. A wide range of contraceptive options should be available to enable women to make the best choice when desired. More resources should be allocated to sexual and reproductive health services while prioritizing marginalized areas with abstract reproductive health services. The introduction of youth-friendly FP services could improve FP access among the youth who might be stigmatized. It is necessary to address the reproductive health needs of the elderly fisherfolk and increase their awareness about the dangers of abortion while enabling them to achieve their desired fertility.

Study limitations

Being a cross-sectional study design, the Casual inference is what I meant. Also, since the study relied on the participants' self-report, there could have been potential for recall bias about the history related to abortion. Non-response and concealment of sensitive information as would be expected from questions about one's sexual practices (particularly illegal ones) was a concern that we attempted to address with a larger study sample size. The research team was also trained on how to ask sensitive questions as best as possible. We attempted to control for potential confounders of known factors in the multivariable analysis; however, it was clear that many factors varied by study community, and we may not have captured data on all confounders. To adequately assess perceptions about self-induced abortions and attitudes towards abortions, further research involving qualitative data collection methods is recommended.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. (UBOS) Uganda Bureau of Statistics. Uganda Demographic and Health Survey 2016. Kampala, Uganda and Rockville, Maryland, USA: UBOS and ICF; 2018.
- 2. Institute G. Abortion and post abortion care in Uganda. *Fact sheet*. Published online 2017. Available from: https://www.guttmacher.org/fact-sheet/abortion -uganda.

- 3. Prada E, Atuyambe LM, Blades NM, Bukenya JN, Orach CG, Bankole A. Incidence of induced abortion in Uganda, 2013: New Estimates Since 2003. PLoS One 2016;11:e0165812.
- 4. Sedgh G, Rossier C, Kabore I, Bankole A, Mikulich M. Estimating abortion incidence in Burkina Faso using two methodologies. Stud Fam Plann 2011;42:147-54.
- 5. Yegon EK, Kabanya PM, Echoka E, Osur J. Understanding abortion-related stigma and incidence of unsafe abortion: Experiences from community members in Machakos and Trans Nzoia counties Kenya. Pan Afr Med J 2016;24:258.
- 6. Zia Y, Mugo N, Ngure K, Odoyo J, Casmir E, Ayiera E, *et al.* Psychosocial experiences of adolescent girls and young women subsequent to an abortion in sub-Saharan Africa and globally: A systematic review. *Front Reprod Heal* 2021;3:13.
- 7. Ushie BA, Juma K, Kimemia G, Ouedraogo R, Bangha M, Mutua M. Community perception of abortion, women who abort and abortifacients in Kisumu and Nairobi counties, Kenya. PLoS One 2019;14:e0226120.
- 8. Suh S. Rewriting abortion: Deploying medical records in jurisdictional negotiation over a forbidden practice in Senegal. Soc Sci Med 2014;108:20-33.
- 9. Nyarko SH, Potter L. Effect of socioeconomic inequalities and contextual factors on induced abortion in Ghana: A Bayesian multilevel analysis. PLoS One 2020;15:e0235917.
- 10. Rogo KO. Induced abortion in sub-Saharan Africa. East Afr Med J 1993;70:386.
- 11. Barreto T, Campbell OMR, Davies JL, Fauveau V, Filippi VG, Graham WJ, *et al.* Investigating induced abortion in developing countries: Methods and problems. Stud Fam Plann 1992;23:159-70.
- 12. Coeytaux FM. Induced abortion in sub-Saharan Africa: What we do and do not know. Stud Fam Plann 1988;19:186-90.
- 13. Uganda MOH. The national policy guidelines and service standards for sexual and reproductive health and rights. Reprod Heal Div. Published online 2018.
- 14. Rehnstrom Loi U, Lindgren M, Faxelid E, Oguttu M, Klingberg-Allvin M. Decision-making preceding induced abortion: A qualitative study of women's experiences in Kisumu, Kenya. Reprod Health 2018;15:166.
- 15. Singh S, Prada E, Juarez F. The abortion incidence complications method: A quantitative technique. In Methodologies for Estimating Abortion Incidence and Abortion-Related Morbidity: A Review. New York: Guttmacher Institute; 2010. p. 71-98.
- 16. Okonofua F. Abortion and maternal mortality in the developing world. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2006;28:974-9.
- 17. World Health Organization. Complications of Abortion: Technical and Managerial Guidelines for Prevention and Treatment. World Health Organization; 1995.
- 18. Donnay F. Maternal survival in developing countries: What has been done, what can be achieved in the next decade. Int J Gynecol Obstet 2000;70:89-97.
- 19. Yokoe R, Rowe R, Choudhury SS, Rani A, Zahir F, Nair M. Unsafe abortion and abortion-related death among 1.8 million women in India. BMJ Glob Heal 2019;4:e001491.
- 20. Wanyenze RK, Tumwesigye NM, Kindyomunda R, Beyeza-Kashesya J, Atuyambe L, Kansiime A, *et al.* Uptake of family planning methods and unplanned pregnancies among HIV-infected individuals: A cross-sectional survey among clients at HIV clinics in Uganda. J Int AIDS Soc 2011;14:35.
- 21. Hussain R. Unintended pregnancy and abortion in Uganda. Issues Brief (Alan Guttmacher Inst) 2013;:1-8.

- 22. Nanvubya A, Ssempiira J, Mpendo J, Ssetaala A, Nalutaaya A, Wambuzi M, *et al.* Use of modern family planning methods in fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Uganda. PLoS One 2015;10:e0141531. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0141531.
- 23. Ssetaala A, Nakiyingi-Miiro J, Asiimwe S, Nanvubya A, Mpendo J, Asiki G, *et al.* Recruitment and retention of women in fishing communities in HIV prevention research. Pan Afr Med J 2015;21:104.
- 24. Kissling E, Allison EH, Seeley JA, Russell S, Bachmann M, Musgrave SD, *et al.* Fisherfolk are among groups most at risk of HIV: Cross-country analysis of prevalence and numbers infected. AIDS 2005;19:1939-46.
- 25. Soleimani Movahed M, Husseini Barghazan S, Askari F, Arab Zozani M. The economic burden of abortion and its complication treatment cares: A systematic review. J Fam Reprod Heal 2020;14:60-7.
- 26. Nanvubya A, Wanyenze RK, Nakaweesa T, Mpendo J, Kawoozo B, Matovu F, *et al.* Correlates of knowledge of family planning among people living in fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Uganda. BMC Public Health 2020;20:1642.
- 27. Nanvubya A, Wanyenze RK, Kamacooko O, Nakaweesa T, Mpendo J, Kawoozo B, *et al.* Barriers and facilitators of family planning use in fishing communities of Lake Victoria in Uganda. J Prim Care Community Health 2020;11. doi: 10.1177/2150132720943775.
- 28. Kiwanuka N, Ssetaala A, Mpendo J, Wambuzi M, Nanvubya A, Sigirenda S, *et al.* High HIV-1 prevalence, risk behaviours, and willingness to participate in HIV vaccine trials in fishing communities on Lake Victoria, Uganda. J Int AIDS Soc 2013;16. doi: 10.7448/IAS.16.1.18621.
- 29. Adjei G, Enuameh Y, Asante KP, Baiden F, A Nettey OE, Abubakari S, *et al.* Predictors of abortions in Rural Ghana: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2015;15:202. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-1572-1.
- 30. Skjeldestad FE, Borgan JK, Daltveit AK, Nymoen EH. Induced abortion. Effects of marital status, age and parity on choice of pregnancy termination. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1994;73:255-60.
- 31. Singh S, Prada E, Mirembe F, Kiggundu C. The incidence of induced abortion in Uganda. Int Fam Plan Perspect 2005;31:183-91.
- 32. Sedgh G, Henshaw S, Singh S, Åhman E, Shah IH. Induced abortion: Estimated rates and trends worldwide. Lancet 2007;370:1338-45.
- 33. Kiwanuka N, Mpendo J, Nalutaaya A, Wambuzi M, Nanvubya A, Kitandwe PK, *et al.* An assessment of fishing communities around Lake Victoria, Uganda, as potential populations for future HIV vaccine efficacy studies: An observational cohort study. BMC Public Health 2014;14:986. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-986.
- Pickering H, Okongo M, Bwanika K, Nnalusiba B, Whitworth J. Sexual behaviour in a fishing community on Lake Victoria, Uganda. Heal Transit Rev 1997;7:13-20.
- 35. Lamina MA. Prevalence and determinants of unintended pregnancy among women in South-Western Nigeria. Ghana Med J 2015;49:187-94.
- Sedgh G, Bankole A, Oye-Adeniran B, Adewole IF, Singh S, Hussain R. Unwanted pregnancy and associated factors among Nigerian women. Int Fam Plan Perspect 2006;32:175-84.
- 37. Ushie BA, Izugbara CO, Mutua MM, Kabiru CW. Timing of abortion among adolescent and young women presenting for post-abortion care in Kenya: A cross-sectional analysis

of nationally-representative data. BMC Womens Health 2018;18:41.

- 38. Matovu JKB, Makumbi F, Wanyenze RK, Serwadda D. Determinants of fertility desire among married or cohabiting individuals in Rakai, Uganda: A cross-sectional study. Reprod Health 2017;14:2.
- 39. UNICEF. Early Marriage a Harmful Traditional Practice a Statistical Exploration 2005. Unicef; 2005.
- 40. Program (UBOS) Uganda Bureau of Statistics, ICF International. Uganda Demographic and Health Survey 2016: Key Indicators Report. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: UBOS and ICF; 2017. Published online 2017. Available from: https://health.go.ug/sites /default/files/Demographic and Health Survey .pdf.
- 41. Khan S, Bradley SEK, Fishel J, Mishra V. Unmet Need and the Demand for Family Planning in Uganda: Further Analysis of the Uganda Demographic and Health Surveys, 1995-2006. Calverton, Maryland, USA: Macro International Inc.
- 42. Asiki G, Mpendo J, Abaasa A, Agaba C, Nanvubya A, Nielsen L, *et al.* HIV and syphilis prevalence and associated risk factors among fishing communities of Lake Victoria, Uganda. Sex Transm Infect 2011;87:511-5.
- 43. Sully EA, Atuyambe L, Bukenya J, Whitehead HS, Blades N, Bankole A. Estimating abortion incidence among adolescents and differences in postabortion care by age: A cross-sectional study of postabortion care patients in Uganda. Contraception 2018;98:510-6.
- 44. Shah I, Åhman E. Unsafe abortion: Global and regional incidence, trends, consequences, and challenges. J Obstet Gynaecol Canada 2009;31:1149-58.
- 45. Shusterman LR. Predicting the psychological consequences of abortion. Soc Sci Med Part A Med Psychol Med Sociol 1979;13:683-9.
- 46. Haddad LB, Nour NM. Unsafe abortion: Unnecessary maternal mortality. Rev Obstet Gynecol 2009;2:122-6.
- 47. Maina BW, Mutua MM, Sidze EM. Factors associated with repeat induced abortion in Kenya. BMC Public Health 2015;15:1048.
- Bell SO, Sheehy G, Hyacinthe AK, Guiella G, Moreau C. Induced abortion incidence and safety in Côte d'Ivoire. PLoS One 2020;15:e0232364.
- 49. Boah M, Bordotsiah S, Kuurdong S. Predictors of unsafe induced abortion among women in Ghana. J Pregnancy 2019;2019:9253650. doi: 10.1155/2019/9253650.
- 50. Asiki G, Baisley K, Newton R, Marions L, Seeley J, Kamali A, *et al.* Adverse pregnancy outcomes in rural Uganda (1996–2013): Trends and associated factors from serial cross sectional surveys. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015;15:279.
- 51. Coleman PK, Rue VM, Spence M, Coyle CT. Abortion and the sexual lives of men and women: Is casual sexual behavior more appealing and more common after abortion? Int J Clin Heal Psychol 2008;8:77-91.
- 52. Kwena Z, Nakamanya S, Nanyonjo G, Okello E, Fast P, Ssetaala A, *et al.* Understanding mobility and sexual risk behaviour among women in fishing communities of Lake Victoria in East Africa: A qualitative study. BMC Public Health 2020;20:944.
- 53. Russo NF, Zierk KL. Abortion, childbearing, and women's well-being. Prof Psychol Res Pract 1992;23:269.
- 54. Ranji A. Induced abortion in Iran: Prevalence, reasons, and consequences. J Midwifery Women's Heal 2012;57:482-8.