

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Country differences in transmissibility, age distribution and case-fatality of SARS-CoV-2: a global ecological analysis



Caroline Favas^{a,*}, Prudence Jarrett^{a,*}, Ruwan Ratnayake^a, Oliver J Watson^b, Francesco Checchi^a

^a Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel St, Bloomsbury, London, WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom

^b Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 March 2021 Revised 27 September 2021 Accepted 1 November 2021

Keywords: Coronavirus COVID-19 transmissibility age structure endemic infections parasites

ABSTRACT

Objectives The first COVID-19 pandemic waves in many low-income countries appeared milder than initially forecasted. We conducted a country-level ecological study to describe patterns in key SARS-CoV-2 outcomes by country and region and explore associations with potential explanatory factors, including population age structure and prior exposure to endemic parasitic infections.

Methods We collected publicly available data and compared them using standardisation techniques. We then explored the association between exposures and outcomes using random forest and linear regression. We adjusted for potential confounders and plausible effect modifications.

Results While mean time-varying reproduction number was highest in the European and Americas regions, median age of death was lower in the Africa region, with a broadly similar case-fatality ratio. Population age was strongly associated with mean (β =0.01, 95% CI, 0.005, 0.011) and median age of cases (β =-0.40, 95% CI, -0.53, -0.26) and deaths (β = 0.40, 95% CI, 0.17, 0.62).

Conclusions Population age seems an important country-level factor explaining both transmissibility and age distribution of observed cases and deaths. Endemic infections seem unlikely, from this analysis, to be key drivers of the variation in observed epidemic trends. Our study was limited by the availability of outcome data and its causally uncertain ecological design.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Since the end of 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic, caused by the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, has spread rapidly worldwide, resulting in considerable morbidity and mortality (Max et al., 2020). Nevertheless, it has affected countries differently, with marked geographical disparities in the observed burden of cases and deaths. While the North American continent bears the highest burden of cases and fatalities to date, African countries seem relatively spared; by September 27, 2021, they made up 2.6% of cases globally and 3.1% of the death toll despite accounting for 14% of the global population (WHO, 2020). Indeed, the first pandemic waves in many low-income countries (LICs) appeared milder than initially

* Corresponding author at Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel St, Bloomsbury, London, WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom. forecasted (Koum Besson et al., 2020; Makoni, 2020; Pearson et al., 2020; Truelove et al., 2020; Walker et al., 2020).

Many hypotheses have been put forward to explain those differences. At the health system and public health level, weaker health systems with inequities in access and limited testing capacity may have under-ascertained cases and deaths (Watson et al., 2020). The forewarning from health systems that were quickly overwhelmed in China and Europe may have led to earlier introduction and increased stringency in SARS-CoV-2 control measures in some LICs, and thus partial suppression of community transmission (Massinga Loembé et al., 2020; Mbow et al., 2020).

In terms of population structures, in LICs a younger population age structure could have had implications for transmission as well as lowering the infection fatality ratio due to a smaller proportion of older individuals who are most vulnerable to severe disease (Davies et al., 2020; Ludvigsson, 2020; Nguimkeu and Tadadjeu, 2020). Furthermore, younger populations have a lower prevalence of comorbidities that increase the risk of death from COVID-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.11.004

E-mail address: caroline.favas@lshtm.ac.uk (C. Favas).

^{1201-9712/© 2021} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



Figure 1. Proposed causal framework of factors determining SARS-CoV-2 transmissibility and COVID-19 disease outcomes Pink boxes=outcome variables; blue boxes=exposures of interest; green boxes=covariates for which we obtained data; grey boxes=covariates and intermediate outcome variables for which we did not obtain data. Dotted lines represent hypotheses explored in this study.

19 (Clark et al., 2020). In addition, population density and household size are typical drivers of person-to-person disease transmission (Campbell et al., 2014; Weiss and McMichael, 2004).

It has also been postulated that greater lifetime exposure since childhood to common infections in LIC populations may confer some immune protection from SARS-CoV-2 through a more diverse and competitive microbiome, more effective non-specific immune response and decreased likelihood of the cytokine storm seen in severe disease (Kumar and Chander, 2020; Mbow et al., 2020). Parasites such as *Plasmodium spp.* and soil-transmitted helminths have immunomodulatory effects (Hays et al., 2020; Ssebambulidde et al., 2020). Access to improved water and sanitation may be distally associated with exposure to parasites.

There is little evidence for the relative influence of these hypothesised factors on the observed heterogeneity in global epidemic trends. In Figure 1, we propose a causal framework for understanding the relationship between these potential explanatory factors and the outcomes of transmissibility, the age distribution of cases and deaths, and case-fatality at the population level.

We conducted a country-level ecological study to describe patterns in key SARS-CoV-2 outcomes by country and region and explore associations of these outcomes with potential explanatory factors.

Methods

Study population and period

We considered data available from March to October 2020 from 193 United Nations member states plus the State of Palestine, Holy See and Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Dependent territories and other entities were excluded due to inconsistencies in reporting.

Independent variables

We sought publicly available data on indicators representing the domains in the causal framework (e.g., the Human Development Index was used to represent the development level; domains for which we could not identify suitable indicators are coloured in grey in Figure 1). Information on the indicators used and data sources are summarised in Table 1 and Supplementary File 1.

Outcome variables

Transmissibility

We sourced time-varying reproduction numbers by country, as estimated on a real-time basis (Imperial College 2020). These estimates are informed by the dynamics of observed COVID-19 deaths rather than cases, which are less likely to be detected and more susceptible to fluctuations in ascertainment over time due to changes in testing regimens. We averaged estimates over our analysis period (March-October), commencing from the day when 50 cumulative deaths were reported to ensure that averages were not overly influenced by the prior distribution before use to inform the Bayesian framework for estimation (itself highly dependent on observations during the first days and weeks of observed transmission).

Age of observed cases and deaths

We conducted a systematic search of national COVID-19 websites (e.g., Ministry of Health dashboards) or regional surveillance reports for overall and age-stratified COVID-19 case and death data. Age-specific data on cases were available from 61 countries and age-specific data on deaths from 39 countries; 35 countries reported both values. For each country, we present a "standardised median age" indicator interpretable as the median age of cases or deaths if the country's observed age-specific cumulative incidence or death rates were applied to the world's population age structure (World Population Prospects 2019; United Nations 2019). Further detail can be found in Supplementary File 1.

Case-fatality ratio

After omitting countries with <50 total observed cases, we computed a crude case-fatality ratio (CFR) for each country by dividing observed deaths by cases. For countries with available age-specific data, we computed: (i) an age-standardised CFR, derived as above by applying countries' age-specific crude CFRs to the world's population structure; and (ii) an incidence-standardised CFR, derived by applying each country's age-specific CFRs to the observed

Table 1

Summary of included variables, indicators and sources of data.

Variable measured	Indicator	Year	Countries included	Data source	
Outcome variables					
Transmissibility of SARS-Cov-2	Average reproduction number estimates over	2020	153	Imperial College COVID-19 LMIC Reports	
2	the study time period, from the day when 50			(Imperial College, 2020)	
	cumulative deaths were reported			(
Clinical profile of cases	Standardised median age of cases	2020	61	NA*	
Clinical profile of deaths	Standardised median age of deaths	2020	39	NA*	
Severity of COVID-19 epidemic	Observed case fatality ratio (CFR):	2020	23	NA*	
sevenity of COVID-19 epidemic		2020	100	INA [*]	
	- Crude CFR		169		
	- Age-standardised CFR		31		
	- Incidence standardised CFR		31		
Independent variables					
Prior exposure to infections: malaria	The age-standardised mean predicted parasite	2017	176	The Malaria Atlas Project database	
-	prevalence rate for Plasmodium falciparum			(Weiss et al., 2019)	
	malaria for children 2-10 years old				
Prior exposure to infections: malaria	The age-standardised mean predicted all-age	2017	163	The Malaria Atlas Project database	
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	parasite prevalence rate for <i>Plasmodium vivax</i>			(Battle et al., 2019)	
	malaria			(
Prior exposure to infections: other	All-age point prevalence of infection with:	2017	186	Global Burden of Disease Study	
parasites	- soil-transmitted helminths	2017	100	(Global Burden of Disease Study	
	- schistosomiasis				
				Network, 2018)	
	- lymphatic filariasis				
Country age structure	Median age (in years) of the population	2020	185	(United Nations 2019; World Population	
				Prospects 2019)	
Country level of development	Human development index	2018	188	United Nations Development Programme	
				database (United Nations Development	
				Programme, 2019)	
Population density	Population density, as the number of persons	2020	196	United Nations World Population	
ropulation density	per square kilometre	2020	100	Prospects (World Population Prospects	
	per square knometre			2019, 2019)	
				2013, 2013)	
			Countries		
Variable measured	Indicator	Year	included	Data source	
Independent variables					
Household size	The average number of usual residents	-	149	United Nations Database on Household	
	(household members) per household			Size and Composition (United Nations	
				2019)	
Access to WASH infrastructures	The proportion of people using safely	2017	88	World Bank World Development Indicato	
Access to Wish mildstructures	managed sanitation services, as a percentage	2017	00	database (The World Bank, 2017)	
				udiabase (The Wohn Bank, 2017)	
Chain and a COVID 10 sectors	of population	2020	100	Or for a COURD 10 Commenter Deserves	
Stringency of COVID-19 control	Average score for stringency index from	2020	169	Oxford COVID-19 Government Response	
measures	01/01/2020 to 09/09/2020			Tracker (Thomas et al., 2020)	
Performance of COVID-19 testing	Average score for testing policy indicator from	2020	169	Oxford COVID-19 Government Response	
	01/01/2020 to 09/09/2020			Tracker (Thomas et al., 2020)	
Performance of COVID-19 testing	Testing rate over the study time period	2020	120	NA*	
Adherence to COVID-19 control	The percentage net change in mobility across	2020	130	Google Community Mobility Reports	
measures (change in mobility)	four categories (1- Retail & Recreation, 2-			(Google 2021)	
	Grocery & Pharmacy, 3- Transit stations, 4-				
	Workplaces). Average calculated over the				
	Workplaces). Average calculated over the period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020				
Provalance of comprehidition	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020	2020	192	Clark et al. (Clark et al. 2020)	
Prevalence of comorbidities	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020 Age-standardised percentage of country	2020	183	Clark et al. (Clark et al., 2020)	
Prevalence of comorbidities	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020 Age-standardised percentage of country populations at increased risk of severe	2020	183	Clark et al. (Clark et al., 2020)	
Prevalence of comorbidities	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020 Age-standardised percentage of country populations at increased risk of severe COVID-19, defined as those with at least one	2020	183	Clark et al. (Clark et al., 2020)	
Prevalence of comorbidities	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020 Age-standardised percentage of country populations at increased risk of severe COVID-19, defined as those with at least one underlying condition listed as "at increased	2020	183	Clark et al. (Clark et al., 2020)	
Prevalence of comorbidities	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020 Age-standardised percentage of country populations at increased risk of severe COVID-19, defined as those with at least one	2020	183	Clark et al. (Clark et al., 2020)	
Prevalence of comorbidities	period from 15/02/2020 to 09/10/2020 Age-standardised percentage of country populations at increased risk of severe COVID-19, defined as those with at least one underlying condition listed as "at increased	2020	183	Clark et al. (Clark et al., 2020)	

* Data not from a single source. Description of how these data were obtained is found in the Supplementary File 1. Abbreviations: SARS-CoV-2= severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; WASH=water, sanitation and hygiene; NA= not applicable; UN=United Nations

age-specific caseload in South Korea, selected as a reference due to this country's reportedly high coverage of case detection (i.e., relatively low selection bias affecting the profile of observed cases) and standard of care (Report on the epidemiological features of coronavirus disease 2019 covid-19 outbreak in the republic of Korea from January 19 to March 2, 2020, 2020). The chosen standardisation method aims to (i) account for age differences in infectionfatality ratios while (ii) reducing bias due to incomplete testing; neither, however, accounts for the effect of age structure on incidence or entirely removes confounding.

Statistical analyses

We present two approaches for exploring the associations of hypothesised exposures with each of the above outcomes, while adjusting for potential confounders and accounting for plausible effect modifications chosen *a priori* for each outcome. For mean time-varying reproduction number (mean Rt) and crude CFR, we carried out a global analysis and an Africa-specific analysis (data were too sparse for other outcomes to perform region-specific analyses). All analyses were conducted on R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2020).

Random forest regression

Random forest (RF) regression is a machine-learning approach that may be used to efficiently explore the importance of predictor variables, and possible effect modifications, for a given outcome. RF imposes minimal statistical assumptions on data and copes well with collinearity (Breiman, 2001). It consists of generating a large number of regression trees (i.e., partitions of the independent variables, in varying order, with each variable generating a node or 'split') and averaging over these based on their accuracy in predicting the outcomes. We implemented two RF approaches for each outcome, using the randomForest R package, with 1000 trees grown: (i) using non-missing independent variable data only; (ii) imputing missing independent variable data through the rfImpute proximity method (Breiman, 2003) (only variables with at least 60% completeness were subjected to imputation; remaining variables were excluded altogether). As the two approaches yielded similar results, for brevity, we only present the latter. We then computed various metrics of variable importance, among which we present, and consider most informative: the mean minimal depth (MMD: a low value indicates that the variable is generally close to the root of the grown trees, i.e., a large proportion of the data are meaningfully partitioned on the basis of this variable); the mean squared error (MSE) increase (i.e., by how much model error increases if the variable is omitted); and the number of trees (out of 1000) in which the variable is the first node based on which the data are split (the higher, the more fundamental the variable may be).

Linear regression

As each outcome was continuous and not structured hierarchically, we applied ordinary least-squares fixed-effects linear models (LM) to explore associations guided by our a priori causal framework. We imputed missing data for the independent variables using the mice package (van Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011); as with the RF models, only variables with at least 60% completeness were subjected to imputation; variables were otherwise excluded. For each outcome, we first observed collinearity among independent variables through scatterplots and Pearson correlation coefficients (see Supplementary File 2). We screened potential confounding variables through univariate analysis (retaining variables with a *P*-value <0.20). We fitted models through stepwise backward variable selection, retaining variables that improved goodness of fit (adjusted and F-statistic P-value testing whether the model fits data better than the null model) or influenced the effect of potential exposures on the outcomes. We tried alternative collinear variables and tested plausible two-way interactions. We verified model assumptions, including normality and the homoscedasticity of residuals. For each outcome, we present two models: one with all exposures retained (Supplementary File 3); and a "reduced" model with only significant (P < 0.05) and/or model-influential exposures retained (Table 2).

Results

Observed country patterns

Figure 2 summarises trends in each of the outcomes by World Health Organisation (WHO) region, as available. Mean reproduction number was highest in the European regional office (EURO) and Pan American health organisation (PAHO) regions (range 0.92 to 1.77 and 0.73 to 1.73, respectively) and lowest in the African regional office (AFRO) region (0.96 to 1.45). Even when standardised for differences in age structure, the median age of observed cases was higher in the AFRO and Eastern Mediterranean regional office (EMRO) regions. In contrast, ascertained deaths occurred at

younger ages in those regions compared with EURO and Western Pacific regional office (WPRO) regions. While the crude CFR did not vary widely across regions, higher CFR was found in the EMRO, AFRO, and PAHO regions when CFR was standardised for age and incidence. Figure 3 compares the age-standardised median age of cases and deaths for each country. Countries in the EURO and PAHO regions are clustered in the upper left quadrant (i.e., median age of cases <40, median age of deaths >70). Most countries in the AFRO region are clustered in the lower right quadrants (i.e., median age of cases >40, median age of death <70). Supplementary Files 1 and 2 provide data completeness for each outcome, results by country and graphical explorations of the correlation between independent variables and outcomes.

Statistical associations

Table 2 summarises key results from the two multivariate regression models (RF and the LM reduced version) of imputed predictors for mean, age-standardised median age of observed cases and deaths, and age-standardised CFR. Models for crude and incidence-standardised CFR were excluded as their fit was poor. Supplementary File 3 presents detailed results (all exposures fitted) for each outcome globally and transmissibility and crude CFR for the AFRO region.

In the RF model for mean, mean household size, prevalence of filariasis and median population age were the three most important variables when considering the different metrics of importance. Mean mobility change, population density and prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum* were also important. Testing rate and testing policy were effect modifiers for the association between median population age and mean. In the LM model, filariasis prevalence, median population density showed significant associations (P<0.05). The association with *P. falciparum* prevalence was non-significant in the reduced model. When considering only the AFRO region, population age, population density and mean stringency did not remain important, but the importance of prevalence of filariasis increased in the RF model and remained significantly associated in the reduced LM model (P<0.001).

In the RF models for median age of observed cases and deaths, median population age was the most important variable along with proportion at increased COVID-19 risk, testing rate and prevalence of helminths. Mobility change was also important for median age of observed cases, whereas stringency index was important for median age of observed deaths. Mean mobility change, mean stringency index and proportion at increased risk were effect modifiers. In the LM model, median population age was positively associated with median age of cases (P<0.0001) and negatively associated with median age of deaths (P<0.01). The prevalence of helminths was not significantly associated with either outcome.

Lastly, RF suggested that median population age was also an important predictor of age-standardised CFR, but this was not borne out in the LM. Both models had a poor fit.

Discussion

We aimed to identify factors at national level which may explain the global heterogeneity of SARS-CoV-2 epidemics. We found that median population age may explain variability in transmissibility and the age of observed cases and deaths, with a significant association remaining even after age-standardisation. Potential associations between endemic infections and COVID-19 appear unlikely, based on this analysis, to be key drivers in the variation in observed COVID-19 trends. However, the association with filariasis prevalence at global and AFRO levels is intriguing. The observed age distribution amongst reported cases and deaths (after

Table 2

Summary of key associations between independent variables and the outcomes. Exposures of interest are in italics.

	Random forest regression			Multivariate linear regression (reduced model)			
Most important variables	MMD	MSE increase	Times a root	Coef.	95% CI	p-value	
Outcome: mean time-varying reproductive numb	er (Rt)						
Median population age (years)	2.824	0.0056	62	0.0080	0.0049 to 0.0112	< 0.0001	
Prevalence of lymphatic filariasis (%)	2.564	0.0046	131	-1.9168	-3.1422 to -0.6915	0.0024	
Prevalence of P. falciparum (%)	3.122	0.0029	66	_	-	_	
Mean household size (persons)	2.202	0.0093	118	-	-	-	
Mean mobility change (%)	2.358	0.0025	32	-	-	-	
Population density (persons per square kilometre)		0.0015	2	-0.000036	-0.000060 to	0.0041	
					-0.000012		
Mean stringency index (score)	3.472	0.0013	0	0.0023	0.0003 to 0.0043	0.0228	
Main effect modifications	MMD	Occurrences		Coef. %	95% CI	p-value	
Median population age x testing policy	2.195	323		-	-	-	
Median population age x testing rate	3.130	226		-	-	-	
Adjusted) ^a R-squared (F-test; p-value)	0.38			$0.31 \ (F = 16.88)$; p < 0.0001)		
Dutcome: age-standardised median age of observ	ed cases						
Median population age (years)	2.144	6.2533	106	-0.3961	-0.5276 to -0.2645	< 0.0001	
Prevalence of STH (%)	3.179	2.2431	80	-	-	-	
Mean mobility change (%)	2.537	1.4986	28	-	_	_	
-29 to -20	-	-	-	1.6983	-0.9826 to 4.3793	0.2097	
-19 to -10	-	_	-	0.7165	-1.9888 to 3.4218	0.5978	
10 or less	_	_	_	4.0711	0.8766 to 7.2657	0.0134	
Mean testing rate per population (per 1,000)	2.434	2.0958	76	-	-	-	
Population at increased risk (%)	2.169	4.0191	85				
Main effect modifications	2.109 MMD	Occurrences	65	- Coef. %	- 95% CI	- p-value	
				COEL /6	95% CI	p-value	
Median population age x mean mobility change	2.210	206		-	-	-	
Median population age x mean stringency index	1.980	201		-	-	-	
Median population age x proportion at increased	1.376	251		-	-	-	
risk	0.05			0 44 / 5 40 50	0.0001		
(Adjusted) ^a R-squared (F-test; p-value)	0.25			$0.44 \ (F = 12.58)$; p < 0.0001)		
Outcome: age-standardised median age of observ							
Median population age (years)	1.768	9.8945	119	0.3974	0.1702 to 0.6245	0.0011	
Prevalence of STH (%)	3.208	5.5241	87	-	-	-	
Mean stringency index (score)	1.848	8.9399	92	-0.2044	-0.3579 to -0.0509	0.0105	
Mean testing rate per population (per 1,000)	1.946	6.0048	71	-	-	-	
Population at increased risk (%)	1.727	6.5484	68	-0.4517	-0.8482 to -0.0553	0.0267	
Main effect modifications	MMD	Occurrences		Coef. %	95% CI	P-value	
Median population age x mean mobility change	2.005	105		-	-	-	
Median population age x mean stringency index	1.496	171		-	-	-	
Median population age x proportion at increased	1.923	162		-	-	-	
risk							
(Adjusted) ^a R-squared (F-test; p-value)	0.63			0.65 (F = 24.53)	; p< 0.0001)		
Dutcome: age-standardised CFR				•			
Median population age (years)	1.851	0,0000	105	-	-	-	
Population at increased risk (%)	2.403	0.0000	68	0.0814	0.0159 to 0.1470	0.0167	
Main effect modifications	MMD	Occurrences		Coef. %	95% CI	P-value	
Median population age x mean stringency index	0.930	128		-	-	-	
Median population age x proportion at increased	-	-		-0.0019	-0.0041 to 0.0002	0.0787	
risk	0.01			0.40 /5 /55	0.05)		
(Adjusted) ^a R-squared (F-test; p-value)	-0.21			$0.19 \ (F = 4.63 \ p < 0.05)$			

^a for LM only.Abbreviations: CFR= case fatality ratio; Coef.= coefficient; MMD= mean minimal depth; *P. falciparum*= Plasmodium falciparum; STH= soil-transmitted helminths; yo= years old

age-standardisation) suggests key differences in surveillance and testing capacity between countries and regions, affecting the representativeness of reported cases and deaths.

Population age structure

While we emphasise caution over causal inference due to hidden confounding and incomplete data, we find that population age structure presents a consistent association suggesting that its full impact on country-specific epidemics warrants further research. Similar to what has been observed with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronaviruses (Zimmermann and Curtis, 2020), most studies of COVID-19 suggest that children are less susceptible to infection and less infectious (Madewell et al., 2020; Maltezou et al., 2021; Viner et al., 2020). Our findings show that this may also play out at the population level such that countries with a younger population age structure have a smaller susceptible population, less transmission and milder epidemics, reflecting observed epidemic trends in Sub-Saharan Africa. However, epidemiological studies on the role of children have often relied on passive case detection and thus are likely to miss the majority of pauci- or asymptomatic cases in these age groups (Flasche and Edmunds, 2020). Evidence regarding transmission from asymptomatic individuals is contradictory. Some studies suggest that asymptomatic individuals account for a significant share of all transmission (Johansson et al., 2021; Ravindra et al., 2020), whereas others found that the secondary household attack rate from asymptomatic index cases was less than 1% and that COVID-19 spread is mainly driven by symptomatic individuals (Cao et al., 2020; Madewell et al., 2020). In addition, outbreaks among children and adolescents have been important in introducing transmission into households in the United Kingdom (Children's Task and Finish Group, 2020). Although refuted by some (ECDC, 2020; Ludvigsson, 2020), the role of secondary school-aged children (age 11-18 years) is considered an important driver of transmission (Flasche and Edmunds, 2020). Het-



Figure 2. Analysis outcomes, by World Health Organization region. All boxplots indicate the median and inter-quartile range (boxes), 95% percentile intervals (whiskers) and outliers (dots). CFR = case-fatality ratio.

AFRO= African regional office; EMRO= Eastern Mediterranean regional office; EURO= European regional office; PAHO= Pan American health organisation; SEARO= South-East Asia regional office; WPRO= Western Pacific regional office

erogeneity in social contact patterns across age and locations may also influence the role population age structure plays in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (Mossong et al., 2008; Prem et al., 2017). In our AFRO-specific analysis, population age structure did not remain predictive of transmission, and testing variables were less important, likely reflecting increased homogeneity in age structure and lower testing capacity across African countries, reducing our ability to detect significant associations. Older population age structure was associated with a lower median age of cases, after standardising for age and adjusting for confounding, contradicting what is known about age-dependent risk of symptomatic COVID-19. Control measures or behaviour change strategies targeting older people in countries with younger populations may explain this observation. Neither stringency index nor change in mobility are disaggregated by age. Alternatively, lowerincome countries might have prioritised older and at-risk people for testing, or reserved testing for travellers, due to lower testing



Figure 3. Scatter plot diagram of the age-standardised median age of deaths and cases (in years) for 35 countries for which both could be computed.

capacity. Similar patterns were observed in higher-income countries earlier in the pandemic when testing was not widely used and focussed on diagnosing severe infections and infections in key workers to assist with quarantine efforts (United Kingdom Department of Health and Social Care 2021). Testing rate and policy appear to modify the effect of age, supporting this explanation. However, age structure retained its importance after including these effect modifications.

Conversely, countries with older populations had a higher median age of observed deaths from COVID-19, even after agestandardisation. As these same countries have younger cases overall, these findings may reflect better clinical care in countries with older populations so that younger people are more likely to survive severe disease. Moreover, outbreaks in long-term care settings have accounted for a large proportion of deaths in high-income countries and disproportionately affected older people (Comas-Herrera et al., 2021). Notably, increasing prevalence of comorbidities was associated with younger age-standardised age of death, which may reflect a comparatively higher prevalence of diabetes, cardiovascular disease and other chronic conditions occurring at a younger age due to life-course risk factors.

Prior exposure to endemic infections

For transmissibility, prevalence of filariasis ranked highly in the RF model and showed a strong negative association in the LM model. Country prevalence of filariasis may actually be a proxy measure for an unknown factor. An alternative, albeit tenuous, explanation relates to the fact that individuals with prior microfilarial infection appear to have a lower proinflammatory response induced by Th1-type cytokines (Sahu et al., 2008). In SARS-CoV-2 infections, the immunological response involving T-cells seems to be skewed towards these Th1 cells, especially in patients with severe disease (Poland et al., 2020). Therefore, prior exposure to filariasis may reduce the probability of individuals infected by SARS-CoV-2 becoming symptomatic, which may lower their infectiousness and thus population-level transmissibility.

Prevalence of *P. falciparum* was also a variable of moderate importance in predicting transmissibility in the RF model. However, the association was not significant in the reduced LM model. Although results from the RF model do not indicate a direction of association, one hypothesis that emerged from the literature was that exposure to malaria triggers the production of poly-specific antibodies capable of interacting with multiple antigens, which may confer some protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection (Panda et al., 2020).

It is plausible that comparison at national level is insufficiently granular to detect any potential effect of endemic infections. Within-country variations in non-specific immunity are not reflected in our analysis, and sub-national data were not available for most countries. Finally, we emphasize that these ecological associations between filariasis and *P. falciparum* are useful for generating hypotheses for future research but by themselves do not provide a basis for causal inference.

Case-fatality

Our descriptive analysis does not indicate a relatively lower CFR in the AFRO region, contradicting any narrative that the virus is less lethal in this region. The low number of country observations for the age- and incidence-standardised CFR models is a limitation, and findings related to CFR are likely subject to confounding by poor case ascertainment. Generally, the CFR models fit poorly. The impact of a large number of undiagnosed cases on the CFR, and the limitations of its use in an ongoing epidemic, are well-known (Ritchie and Roser, 2021). CFR is a dynamic value that changes according to disease incidence (i.e., high incidence could lead to more severe cases, a larger hospital burden, and reduced capacity for life-saving care). In addition, studies suggest that the provision of early ambulatory treatment of COVID-19 can substantially reduce hospitalization and death, and hence might be an important determinant of survival (McCullough et al., 2020; Procter et al. 2021). We had no country-level information on prehospital and hospital treatments, which could have affected case fatality statistics. While it is logical that population age is an important determining factor, we cannot conclude this on the basis of our results.

Limitations

An important limitation of this study is the incomplete ascertainment of cases and deaths due to surveillance quality, testing capacity, and cause-of-death ascertainment, which is likely to be highly variable among countries. We could not adjust for all confounders. To ensure coherence with the WHO's compilation of global COVID-19 data, we relied on publicly-available COVID-19 surveillance data available on national websites without favouring other sources. Data quality is a critical limitation that comprises inconsistent testing information, inconsistent reporting of cases and deaths, and missing data over time, making interpretation of data quality difficult without substantial investigation in data collection practices and biases for each country. In addition, due to COVID-19 cases and death statistics being a crucial input for evaluating governmental pandemic responses, this information is politically sensitive and may thus lead to political influences over how COVID-19 reporting occurs.

We attempted to control for confounding at the aggregate level with respect to testing. We controlled for testing performance by adjusting for the population testing rate and testing policy but acknowledge that these measures are themselves subject to bias. Low levels of testing in low-income countries persist and may mask the true epidemic scale and lead to under-ascertainment of deaths (Watson et al., 2020, n.d.). Furthermore, available testing data may overrepresent older and sicker patients. Testing data disaggregated by age, sex, socioeconomic status and geographic location were not widely available, so it is not possible to estimate the extent to which case ascertainment reflects bias. We note that countries with lower human development index (HDI) and economic indicators generally have a higher prevalence of endemic infections. Countries within WHO regions may also be heterogeneous in terms of health indicators (WHO, 2021) and the wider public health and socio-economic context.

Our study is based on a causal framework describing an evolving and incompletely understood pandemic and reflects the scientific understanding at this time. Our conceptual model may not consider all factors that influence SARS-CoV-2 and residual confounding due to these unknown factors may exist.

In general, ecological studies generate hypotheses but do not provide a basis for causal inference. For example, stringency of control measures appears to be associated with a higher mean; however, this may reflect reverse causality whereby countries with high observed transmission would have maintained strict measures for longer.

We averaged values for those variables that change over time, which may obscure the temporal relationships between them and means we cannot draw conclusions about variations in epidemic trends over time. A longitudinal study based on the same variables would be a useful next step.

Lastly, for many independent variables, our data are derived from modelled estimates (e.g., prevalence of soil-transmitted helminths) based on limited national-level data and therefore may not reflect the true measure. Unsystematic error in explanatory data would have biased coefficients towards the null and thus masked potential associations.

Conclusions and further work

Population age structure appears to be an important factor associated with the transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 and age distribution of COVID-19 cases and deaths at the national level, even after such outcomes are age-standardised. Our findings do not conclusively support an effect of exposure to endemic parasitic infections on either transmissibility or age distribution of cases and deaths. Research at subnational or individual country level should be conducted to investigate these hypotheses further. Where possible, analysis considering the sociodemographic characteristics of those tested will be useful in understanding the general role of lifelong exposures to infection in the observed patterns of disease. Further, studying social contact patterns in a broader range of countries and the role of urbanization could provide useful insights. This work may be important not only for SARS-CoV-2 but could also inform preparedness and response to future pandemic threats.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare no support from any organisation other than those listed in the "Funding" section for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to colleagues at the Imperial College of London for supporting our work and for providing useful feedback on the draft of this study report, in particular Charlie Whittaker. We also acknowledge the contribution of John Ackers and Helena Helmby, who provided helpful insights on parasitic infections and their immune modulatory effects.

Funding

This work was supported by the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office and Wellcome Trust [grant number 221303/Z/20/Z, 'Epidemic Preparedness - Coronavirus research programme']; the UK Research and Innovation as part of the Global Challenges Research Fund [grant number ES/P010873/1], and the UK Foreign Commonwealth and Development Office. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Ethical Approval

This study was based on publicly available data only and did not require ethical approval.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.11.004.

References

- Battle KE, Lucas TCD, Nguyen M, Howes RE, Nandi AK, Twohig KA, et al. Articles Mapping the global endemicity and clinical burden of Plasmodium vivax, 2000-17: a spatial and temporal modelling study. Lancet 2019;394:332–43. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31096-7.
- Breiman L. Manual for Setting Up, Using, and Understanding Random Forest V4.0. 2003.
- Breiman L. Random forests. Mach Learn 2001;45:5–32. doi:<u>10.1023/A:</u> 1010933404324.
- van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R. Journal of Statistical Software 2011;45(3):1–67. doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03.
- Campbell SJ, Savage GB, Gray DJ, Atkinson JAM, Soares Magalhães RJ, Nery SV, et al. Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH): A Critical Component for Sustainable Soil-Transmitted Helminth and Schistosomiasis Control. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2014;8. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002651.

Children's Task and Finish Group. Update on Children, Schools and Transmission. 2020.

- Cao S, Gan Y, Wang C, Bachmann M, Wei S, Gong J, et al. Post-lockdown SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid screening in nearly ten million residents of Wuhan, China. Nat Commun 2020;11:1-7. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19802-w.
- Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, Guthrie B, X Wang HH, Mercer SW, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Heal 2020;8:e1003-17. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30264-3
- Comas-Herrera A, Zalakaín J, Lemmon E, Henderson D, Litwin C, Hsu AT, et al. Mortality associated with COVID-19 outbreaks HSU AI, et al. Mortainty associated market of the social conditional conditional evidence. International Long-rerm Care Policy Network 2021 https://ltccovid.org/2020/04/12/ Term
- Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, Pearson CAB, et al. Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. Nat Med 2020;26:1205-11. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9
- Flasche S, Edmunds WJ. The role of schools and school-aged children in SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Lancet Infect Dis 2020. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30927-0
- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network, Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) Results. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME).: 2018.
- United Kingdom Government Department of Health and Social Care. 2021. Guidance - Coronavirus (COVID-19): getting tested. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ coronavirus-covid-19-getting-tested (accessed February 15, 2021).
- World Health Organization. 2021. Global Health Observatory: Data by WHO region. https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.POP2020?lang=en (accessed February 15, 2021).
- Hays R, Pierce D, Giacomin P, Loukas A, Bourke P, McDermott R. Helminth coinfection and COVID-19: An alternate hypothesis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2020;14. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008628
- Johansson MA, Quandelacy TM, Kada S, Prasad PV, Steele M, Brooks JT, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Transmission from People without COVID-19 Symptoms. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.35057
- Koum Besson E, Norris A, Bin Ghouth AS, Freemantle T, Alhaffar M, Vazquez Y, et al. Excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic in Aden governorate, Yemen: A geospatial and statistical analysis. MedRxiv 2020 [Preprint] Doi:101101/2020102720216366. doi:10.1101/2020.10.27.20216366
- Kumar P, Chander B. COVID 19 mortality: Probable role of microbiome to explain disparity. Med Hypotheses 2020;144. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110209.
- Ludvigsson JF. Systematic review of COVID-19 in children shows milder cases and a better prognosis than adults. Acta Paediatr 2020;109:1088-95. doi:10.1111/apa.15270
- Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Longini IM, Halloran ME, Dean NE. Household Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.31756
- Makoni M. COVID-19 in Africa: half a year later. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20:1127. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30708-8.
- Maltezou HC, Vorou R, Papadima K, Kossyvakis A, Spanakis N, Gioula G, et al. Transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 within families with children in Greece: A study of 23 clusters. J Med Virol 2021;93:1414-20. doi:10.1002/jmv.26394
- Massinga Loembé M, Tshangela A, Salyer SJ, Varma JK, Ogwell Ouma AE, Nkengasong NJ. COVID-19 in Africa: the spread and response. Nat Med 2020;26:999-1002. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0961-x.
- Max R, Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Hasell J. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). Publ Online OurWorldInDataOrg 2020 https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus. (accessed February 12, 2021).
- Mbow M, Lell B, Jochems SP, Badara C, Mboup S, Dewals BG, et al. COVID-19 in Africa: Dampening the storm? Science (80-) 2020;369:624-6. doi:10.1126/science.abd3902
- McCullough PA, Alexander PE, Armstrong R, Arvinte C, Bain AF, Bartlett RP, et al. Multifaceted highly targeted sequential multidrug treatment of early ambulatory high-risk SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19). Rev Cardiovasc Med 2020;21:517-30. doi:10.31083/j.rcm.2020.04.264.
- Mossong JL, Hens N, Jit M, Beutels P, Auranen K, Mikolajczyk R, et al. Social Contacts and Mixing Patterns Relevant to the Spread of Infectious Diseases. PLoS Med 2008:5.
- Nguimkeu P, Tadadjeu S. Why is the Number of COVID-19 Cases Lower Than Expected in Sub-Saharan Africa? A Cross-Sectional Analysis of the Role of Demographic and Geographic Factors. World Dev 2020;138. doi:10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105251.
- Imperial College, MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, COVID-19 LMIC Reports 2020. https://mrc-ide.github.io/global-lmic-reports/ (accessed November 9, 2020).
- Google Community Mobility Reports (2021). https://www.google.com/covid19/ mobility/ (accessed 12 January 2021). Google LLC: Mountain View, CA, USA.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2020). Questions and answers on COVID-19: Children aged 1 -18 years and the role of school settings. https:// www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/facts/questions-answers-school-transmission (accessed January 11, 2021).

- Panda AK, Tripathy R, Das BK. Plasmodium falciparum Infection May Protect a Population from Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection, I Infect Dis 2020;222:1570-1. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa455
- Pearson CAB, Van Zandvoort K, Jarvis C, Davies N, Checchi F, CMMID nCov working Group, et al. Projections of COVID-19 epidemics in LMIC countries. 2020.
- Poland GA, Ovsyannikova IG, Kennedy RB. SARS-CoV-2 immunity: review and applications to phase 3 vaccine candidates. Lancet 2020;396:1595-606. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32137-1.
- Prem K, Cook AR, Jit M. Projecting social contact matrices in 152 countries using contact surveys and demographic data Author summary. PLoS Comput Biol 2017;13. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005697.
- Procter BC, Ross C, Pickard V, Smith E, Hanson C, McCullough PA, Early Ambulatory Multidrug Therapy Reduces Hospitalization and Death in High-Risk Pamortality-associated-with-covid-19-outbreaks-in-care-homes-early-international-evidencients with SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19). Int J Innov Res Med Sci 2021;6:219-21. doi:10.23958/iiirms/vol06-i03/1100.
 - R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020 Vienna, Austria. n.d.
 - Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Report on the epidemiological features of coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) outbreak in the republic of Korea from January 19 to March 2, 2020. J Korean Med Sci 2020;35. doi:10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e112.
 - Ravindra K, Singh Malik V, Padhi BK, Goel S, Gupta M. Consideration for the asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. MedRxiv 2020 [Preprint] doi 101101/2020100620207597. doi:10.1101/2020.10.06.20207597
 - Ritchie H, Roser M, et al. What do we know about the risk of dying from COVID-19? Our World in Data 2021 https://ourworldindata.org/covid-mortality-risk
 - Sahu BR, Mohanty MC, Sahoo PK, Satapathy AK, Ravindran B. Protective Immunity in Human Filariasis: A Role for Parasite-Specific IgA Responses. J Infect Dis 2008;198:434-43. doi:10.1086/589881
 - Ssebambulidde K, Segawa I, Abuga KM, Nakate V, Kayiira A, Ellis J, et al. Parasites and their protection against COVID-19-Ecology or Immunology? MedRxiv 2020 [Preprint] Doi 101101/2020051120098053. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.11.2009805
 - The World Bank. World Development Indicators (2017); 2017 https://data. worldbank.org/indicator/SH.STA.SMSS.ZS (accessed September 28, 2020).
 - Thomas H, Angrist N, Cameron-Blake E, Hallas L, Kira B, Majumdar S, et al. Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker. Blavatnik School of Government; 2020
 - Truelove S, Abrahim O, Altare C, Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Azman AS, et al. The potential impact of COVID-19 in refugee camps in Bangladesh and beyond: A modeling study. PLoS Med 2020;17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003144.
 - United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population division. World Population Prospects 2019. United Nations; 2019 Online Edition. Rev. 1. 2019.
 - United Nations Development Programme. Human Development Report 2019. Beyond income, beyond averages, beyond today: Inequalities in human development in the 21st century; 2019 New York.
 - Viner RM, Mytton OT, Bonell C, Melendez-Torres GJ, Ward J, Hudson L, et al. Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection among Children and Adolescents Compared with Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr 2020:E1-14. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4573
 - Walker PGT, Whittaker C, Watson OJ, Baguelin M, Winskill P, Hamlet A, et al. The impact of COVID-19 and strategies for mitigation and suppression in low- and middle-income countries. Science (80-) 2020;369:413-22. doi:10.1126/science.abc0035
 - Watson OJ, Abdelmagid N, Ahmed A, Elhameed A, Abd A, Whittaker C, et al. Characterising COVID-19 epidemic dynamics and mortality underascertainment in Khartoum, Sudan. Imperial College London (01-12- 2020). n.d. https://doi.org/10.25561/84283.
 - Watson OJ, Alhaffar M, Mehchy Z, Whittaker C, Akil Z, Checchi F, et al. Estimating the burden of COVID-19 in Damascus, Syria: an analysis of novel data sources to infer mortality under-ascertainment. Imperial College London (15-09-2020) 2020b. doi:<u>10.25561/82443</u>
 - Weiss DJ, Lucas TCD, Nguyen M, Nandi AK, Bisanzio D, Battle KE, et al. Articles Mapping the global prevalence, incidence, and mortality of Plasmodium falciparum, 2000-17: a spatial and temporal modelling study. WwwThelancetCom 2019;394. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31097-9.
 - Weiss RA, McMichael AJ. Social and environmental risk factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. Nat Med 2004;10:S70-6 Suppl.
 - Zimmermann P, Curtis N. Coronavirus Infections in Children Including COVID-19. An Overview of the Epidemiology, Clinical Features, Diagnosis, Treatment and Prevention Options in Children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2020;39:355-68.
 - United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs -Population Division (2019). Database on Household Size and Composition 2019. (accessed 12 February 2021) https://www.un.org/development/desa/pd/data/ household-size-and-composition.
 - World Health Organization. 2020. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. Geneva. World Health Organization https://www.covid19.who.int/ (accessed February 15, 2021).