1	
2	DR. JENNIFER JARDINE (JC ASSOCIATE) (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9932-6865)
3	DR. IPEK GUROL-URGANCI (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6517-3485)
4	PROF. JAN VAN DER MEULEN (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9451-2335)
5	
6	
7	Article type : Research Article
8	
9	
10	Corresponding author mail id: jennifer.jardine@lshtm.ac.uk
11	Title: Risk of postpartum haemorrhage is associated with ethnicity: a cohort
12	study of 981 801 births in England
13	
14	Authors: Jennifer Jardine(1,2), Ipek Gurol-Urganci(1,2), Tina Harris (3), Jane Hawdon (4), Dharmintra
15	Pasupathy (5,6), Jan van der Meulen (1), Kate Walker (1,7) on behalf of the NMPA Project Team
16	
17	Affiliations
18	1. Faculty of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock
19	Place, London WC1H 9SH UK
20	2. Centre for Quality Improvement and Clinical Audit, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists,
21	10-18 Union Street, London SE1 1SZ UK
22	3. Centre for Reproduction Research, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, De Montfort University, The
23	Gateway, Leicester LE1 9BH, UK
24	4. Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, Pond Street London NW3 2QG, UK
25	5. Department of Women and Children's Health, King's College London, 10 th Floor, North Wing, St
26	Thomas's Hospital London SE1 7EH UK
27	6. Reproduction and Perinatal Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney NSW 2145
28	Australia
29	7. Clinical Effectiveness Unit, Royal College of Surgeons, 35-43 Lincoln's Inn Fields, Holborn, London WC2A
30	3PE UK

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi</u>:

10.1111/1471-0528.17051

31	
32	Word count (excluding abstract, tables, figures, references): 3139 words
33	
34	Running title: Ethnicity and postpartum haemorrhage
35	
36	Keywords: postpartum haemorrhage, PPH, ethnicity
37	
38	

39 Abstract

- 40 **Objective:** To determine the association between ethnic group and risk of postpartum haemorrhage in
- 41 women giving birth.
- 42 **Design:** Cohort study.
- 43 **Setting:** Maternity units in England.
- 44 **Population or Sample:** 981 801 records of births between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017 in a national
- 45 clinical database.
- 46 **Methods:** Multivariable logistic regression analyses with multiple imputation to account for missing data
- 47 and robust standard errors to account for clustering within hospitals.
- 48 Main Outcome Measures: Postpartum haemorrhage of 1500ml or more (PPH).
- 49 **Results:** 28 268 (2.9%) of births were complicated by PPH. Risks were higher in women from black (3.9%)
- 50 and other (3.5%) ethnic backgrounds. Following adjustment for maternal and fetal characteristics, and
- 51 care at birth, there was evidence of an increased risk of PPH in women from all ethnic minority groups,
- 52 with the largest increase seen in black women (adjusted odds ratio 1.54 (1.45 to 1.63)). The increase in
- risk was robust to sensitivity analyses which included changing the outcome to PPH of 3000ml or more.
- 54 **Conclusions**: In England, women from ethnic minority backgrounds have an increased risk of PPH, when
- 55 maternal, fetal and birth characteristics are taken into account. Factors contributing to this increased risk
- 56 need further investigation. Perinatal care for women from ethnic minority backgrounds should focus on
- 57 preventative measures to optimise maternal outcomes.
- 58 Funding: HQIP.
- 59

60 **Tweetable abstract**

- 61 Women with an ethnic minority background giving birth in England have an increased risk of postpartum
- haemorrhage, even when characteristics of the mother, the baby, and the care received are taken intoaccount.

		1 1.
64	Introc	iuction

Postpartum haemorrhage (PPH), an increased loss of blood at the time of or after birth, is associated with
significant morbidity and is a leading cause of maternal death in all settings.^{1,2} The experience of PPH is
traumatic,³ and recovery is associated with secondary consequences including an increased risk of

- 68 postpartum depression and lower rates of breastfeeding.^{4,5}
- 69

PPH is the result of an interplay of pre-existing risk factors, and events which occur during the labour and
 birth, and immediate management. It is generally considered that initiatives to reduce the risks related to
 PPH require a three-step process of prevention, treatment, and rescue.⁶ The risk of PPH can be reduced,
 at least partially, by the use of interventions such as the administration of oxytocin and tranexamic acid.^{7,8}

75 Ethnic background is known to be a determinant of variation in the outcomes of women receiving 76 maternity care across the world.¹ Women from black and south Asian ethnic groups are more likely to 77 experience severe morbidity at the time of birth.^{1,9} We have previously demonstrated that black women 78 in the UK have an increased risk of maternal admission to intensive care (ICU) and that haemorrhage is the leading cause of an ICU admission among black women.¹⁰ However, not all women with PPH require 79 80 intensive care, and significant morbidity is not confined to those with ICU admission. In the US, it has 81 been shown that women from Hispanic and Pacific Islander ethnic backgrounds have an increased risk of 82 PPH,¹¹ and among non-Hispanic black women, there is an increased risk of severe sequelae of PPH.¹² A 83 national study in Sweden demonstrated that women born outside Sweden were at higher risk of 84 haemorrhage requiring a large transfusion.¹³ However, current clinical guidelines do not consider the 85 differential experience of severe morbidity, including postpartum haemorrhage, according to a woman's ethnic background.^{8,14–16} 86

87

The aim of this study was to understand the association between ethnic background and the risk of PPH
using routinely collected data available in England, whether this association differs by level of
socioeconomic deprivation, and to what extent the association between ethnic background and PPH is
explained by maternal, fetal and birth characteristics.

ч	1
-	-

93 Methods

94 Data source

95 We used a national maternity dataset that was created for the purpose of the National Maternity and 96 Perinatal Audit, a national programme to evaluate care for women giving birth and their babies in Britain (www.maternityaudit.org.uk). This included data routinely collected in the course of clinical care, which 97 98 was extracted from the maternity information systems (MIS) used in National Health Service (NHS) 99 hospitals in England. These were cleaned, collated and linked to the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), an 100 administrative dataset which contains information about all hospital admissions within NHS hospital 101 trusts. Trusts are administrative organisations which provide hospital and hospital-associated community 102 care, including home births, in a particular area in England. In England, all women are eligible to give 103 birth in the NHS and almost all do; in 2015-17, only 0.4% of births occurred in non-NHS settings (these are 104 most commonly private hospitals).¹⁷ The dataset collated for the NMPA includes approximately 94% of 105 births which occurred in England in the time period.^{18,19}

106

107 Definition of cohort

The eligible population was all births between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017 in the NHS in England.
We restricted the cohort to births in NHS hospital trusts in which over 80% of MIS records contained
information about blood loss. Records were included if they recorded either a live or stillbirth that
occurred at or after 24 completed gestational weeks and if the delivery record contained complete
information about blood loss. Characteristics of included and excluded records are described in Table S1
and the data flow is summarised in Figure 1.

114

Definition of variables

The primary outcome of this study was maternal blood loss at birth of 1500ml or more. Blood loss is typically estimated using a combination of visual estimates, physiological assessment, and the results of weighing drapes and pads.^{20,21} Clinical guidelines in the UK suggest that blood loss of 1500ml or more should be treated as severe PPH with the mobilisation of appropriate staff.¹⁴ In other countries, clinical guidelines include thresholds of 500 and 1000ml.^{22,23} Estimated blood loss has been identified as a core outcome for studies related to prevention and treatment of PPH.²⁴ In our study, we defined PPH as blood

loss of 1500ml or more in line with the UK definition of severe PPH, but also examined risk of PPH at 500,1000, 1500, 2000 and 3000ml.

124

Ethnicity was primarily derived from the hospital admission record (Hospital Episode Statistics (HES)) and infilled where not useable (unknown (ethnos codes 9, X, Z) or missing) from the MIS record. Ethnic background was classified using the ethnic groups defined for the 2001 UK Census. For the purposes of this analysis, these ethnic groups were collapsed into five groups: 'white', 'south Asian', 'black', 'mixed' and 'other'.²⁵ This was done because there is evidence that in routinely collected records, more granular analyses can lead to misclassification bias,²⁶ and to avoid small numbers for some of the ethnic groups.

131

132 From the MIS, Information was available about maternal characteristics including age, body mass index 133 (BMI), parity, and whether the woman had previously had a caesarean section; and about fetal 134 characteristics including live or stillbirth, multiple birth, and birthweight. Information was also available 135 about the birth: the onset of labour, mode of birth (unassisted vertex, breech vaginal, instrumental 136 vaginal, emergency caesarean or elective caesarean), and whether there was an episiotomy or manual 137 removal of the placenta. Where this information was missing in the MIS record, it was infilled if available 138 from the HES record, with information about parity and previous caesarean section derived from historical records in HES as described elsewhere.¹⁹ Maternal health conditions complicating pregnancy 139 140 (grouped into hypertensive disorders including pre-existing or gestational; diabetes pre-existing or 141 gestational; conditions which make bleeding more likely; or placental abnormalities including placenta 142 praevia or accreta) were identified using ICD-10 codes²⁷ recorded in HES in the birth episode.²³ 143 Information about socioeconomic group was available from the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD), an 144 area-level measure that encompasses information about social deprivation, economic status, 145 employment and health deprivation of each local area of approximately surrounding a woman's postcode 146 at the time of birth as recorded in the MIS.²⁸

147

148 Statistical analyses

149 Descriptive statistics, including the presence of risk factors, were tabulated according to ethnic

150 background, with continuous risk factors dichotomised for brevity. Chi squared statistics were used to

compare distributions of characteristics between groups. Logistic regression was used to estimate oddsratios between each included characteristic and risk of PPH.

153

154 Multivariable logistic regression models, with robust standard errors to account for clustering within 155 hospital trusts (the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of variance, affecting the standard errors of the 156 estimates but not the estimated coefficients)²⁹, were used to estimate odds ratios for PPH by ethnic 157 group, with sequential adjustment for characteristics related to the mother, the baby, and the care received. Within the models, we categorised continuous variables (7 categories for maternal age, 6 158 159 categories for BMI, 3 categories for gestational age and 4 categories for birthweight). We also 160 recategorised parity of 3 or more into the same group to account for smaller numbers with parity above 161 3. Details of all coding frameworks used are available in Table S1.

162

163 Crude odds ratios for PPH by ethnic group were estimated by logistic regression. The first multivariable 164 model adjusted for maternal characteristics: the mother's age, socioeconomic group, parity, BMI,

165 previous caesarean, and maternal health conditions complicating pregnancy. The second model included

166 these maternal characteristics, as well as fetal characteristics at birth: multiple birth, stillbirth and

167 birthweight. The third, 'full' model additionally included factors relating to the woman's maternity care:

induction of labour, mode of birth, episiotomy, and manual removal of placenta. All models also adjustedfor the financial year of birth.

170

For multiple births, the highest birthweight was used, and the birth was treated as a stillbirth if one babywas stillborn.

173

174 Interactions between ethnic and socioeconomic background and between parity and previous caesarean 175 were considered plausible a priori. We evaluated whether there was evidence for these interactions by 176 including an additional interaction term in the full model and using a global Wald test to compare this to 177 the model without the interaction term. For both tests p>0.1, so neither interaction was included in the 178 full model.

179

Missing values were imputed using multiple imputation by chained equations with statistical coefficients obtained in 40 imputed data sets, with the number of datasets chosen to mirror the proportion of cases with any missing data, and pooled using Rubin's rules.³⁰ Multiple imputation requires the assumption that data is missing at random given the variables used in the imputation model. To test the sensitivity of findings to this assumption, we conducted a sensitivity analysis in which the fully adjusted analysis was repeated in cases with complete information about all covariates; analyses using complete cases have been found to be robust to a wider range of missingness assumptions.³¹

187

We conducted two further sensitivity analyses to address concerns regarding incomplete information
about known risk factors for PPH. In the second sensitivity analysis, to address the lack of information
about previous PPH, we restricted the cohort to primiparous women. In the third, to address incomplete
information about augmentation of labour, we included additional adjustment for whether the labour
was augmented (as a binary variable) in 650 941 women where this was available. This variable was not
included in the primary analysis due to concerns about its quality and the high proportion of missing
data.¹⁹

195

In two further sensitivity analyses, we changed the outcome to PPH of 500ml or more and to 3000ml or
 more to assess whether the same relationship was observed. These thresholds was chosen to, first,
 represent the WHO definition of PPH;¹⁶ and second, to represent a cohort of women who were likely to
 require additional care, such as in an intensive care unit.

200

201 All analyses were performed in Stata v16.

202

203 Results

The records of 981 801 births between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017 were included in the analysis. Of these, 906 961 (92.4%) had complete information about ethnic background.(Figure 1, Table 1) 705 948 of those with complete ethnicity information (77.8%) were white, 107 382 (11.8%) were south Asian, 42 170 (4.6%) were black, 16 456 (1.8%) were mixed and 35 005 (3.9%) were from other ethnic backgrounds.(Table 1)

209

28 268 (2.9%) of 981 801 births had a recorded blood loss of 1500ml or more (Table 2). When different
thresholds were examined, 322 606 (32.9%) of births had a recorded blood loss of 500ml or more; 75 674
(7.7%) had a recorded blood loss of 1000ml or more; 28 268 births (1.2%) had a blood loss of 2000ml or
more; and 249 (0.3%) births 3000ml or more. Regardless of definition, the risk of PPH was higher in black
women and in women from other ethnic backgrounds. Women with no recorded information about
ethnic group had elevated risk of PPH at all thresholds compared to the population average (Table 2).
Compared to white women, the unadjusted risk of PPH of 1500ml or more was increased in black women

218 (crude odds ratio 1.42, 95% CI: 1.35 to 1.50), and in women from other ethnic backgrounds (crude odds

ratio 1.27, 95% CI: 1.20 to 1.35) (Table 3). These associations were not substantially altered by
adjustment for maternal characteristics, fetal characteristics, or information about the woman's
maternity care (aOR for black women including all available information 1.54, 95% CI 1.45 to 1.63; aOR for
women from other groups 1.37, 95% CI 1.29 to 1.46).

223

224 There was evidence of an increase in the risk of PPH in women from mixed and south Asian ethnic groups 225 only following risk adjustment. For women from south Asian groups, the unadjusted odds of PPH was 226 lower than in white women (crude OR 0.94. 95% CI 0.90 to 0.97); however following adjustment for 227 maternal and fetal characteristics, the direction changed. Following adjustment for all maternal, fetal and 228 birth characteristics, women from south Asian groups had increased odds of PPH compared to white 229 women (aOR 1.14, 95% CI 1.09 to 1.19). For women from mixed groups, however, a stronger effect 230 emerged after adjustment for maternal and fetal characteristics at the time of birth (aOR 1.17, 95% CI 231 1.07 to 1.28) and persisted following adjustment for birth characteristics (aOR 1.20, 95% Cl 1.09 to 1.32) 232 (Table 3). When fetal characteristics were compared between ethnic groups, women in south Asian 233 groups had smaller babies than women from other ethnic groups; women from mixed ethnic groups were 234 also more likely to have a smaller baby than white women (Table S3).

235

Many of the maternal, fetal and birth characteristics were strongly associated with an increased risk of
PPH. We found evidence of a substantially elevated risk of PPH in older women, women with higher BMI
and placental abnormalities; in women with stillbirth, preterm birth, multiple birth and increased fetal
weight, as well as with assisted or caesarean birth and births with episiotomy (Table S4). While increasing
socioeconomic deprivation was associated with a reduction in the risk of PPH (Table S4), we found no
evidence of any effect modification of the observed association with ethnicity by socioeconomic
deprivation (Table S5).

243

In sensitivity analyses restricting the cohort to primiparous women, including augmentation as an
additional covariate in the model and changing the outcome to PPH of 500ml or more and to 3000ml or
more, very similar patterns of association with ethnic group were seen (Table S6).

247

248 Discussion

249 Summary of findings

Women from black and other ethnic groups are more likely to experience postpartum haemorrhage at the time of birth, regardless of the volume of blood loss used to define PPH. Following adjustment for maternal and fetal characteristics, particularly birthweight, women from all ethnic minority groups have an increased risk of PPH. This association remains following adjustment for characteristics of the woman's birth.

255

256 Strengths and Limitations

This study uses data routinely collected in the course of clinical care, with a diverse population that covers
approximately 85% of births that occurred in England between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017.
Strengths of this study include its large size of nearly one million births, and the detailed information

available about the woman, her baby and her care, including maternal BMI, comorbidities occurring prior

to and during pregnancy, and care at the time of birth. These characteristics were not available to other

262 research groups evaluating association between ethnic group and PPH. ^{12,32}

263

Our dataset contains limited information regarding some risk factors for PPH, including the administration of oxytocin for augmentation, previous PPH, maternal anaemia, and length of labour. Although there is a diagnosis code in ICD for PPH, which may be considered to enable 'look-back' it gives substantially lower ascertainment of PPH than in our data, as found previously, and so was not used (Table S7).³³ Our analyses were, however, robust to sensitivity analyses for inclusion of a binary variable for augmentation, and restriction to primiparous women in whom historical PPH is not a factor.

270

271 Our central limitation is that, like many observational studies in maternity care, this study lacks

272 information about the measures taken to mitigate the risk of PPH such as the administration of

273 prophylactic synthetic oxytocin or tranexamic acid.^{7,8} As a consequence, the observed associations are

274 likely to be influenced by the risk mitigation measures and the initial treatment which may have

275 weakened the association that we report in this paper between the women's ethnic background and the

276 occurrence of post-partum haemorrhage.³⁴

277

A further limitation in this study is the lack of information about the methods used to estimate blood loss
at the time of birth. Measurement of blood loss through visual, or other, estimation is heterogenous;

- more robust methods of estimation include the weighing of drapes or swabs.³⁵ Method of estimating
 blood loss is, however, unlikely to vary by ethnic group.
- 282

283 Interpretation

In the UK, although maternity care is free at the point of access, ethnic and socioeconomic inequalities are
still observed in maternal and perinatal mortality.^{1,36} This association between maternal ethnic group and
risk of PPH, while observed by others, has not been recently evaluated in a setting where healthcare
availability is not associated with ethnic group and ability to pay.¹²

288

289 It is unlikely that the observed increased risk of PPH is mediated through a woman's socioeconomic 290 background: in our study, we observed no evidence of an increase in postpartum haemorrhage associated 291 with increased socioeconomic deprivation. This concurs with findings of a previous study using registry 292 data from the UK Obstetric Surveillance System, which demonstrated no statistically significant 293 relationship between maternal socioeconomic group and severe maternal morbidity,³⁷ and with a 294 previous study in our dataset which demonstrated no association between maternal intensive care 295 admission and socioeconomic deprivation. Postpartum haemorrhage is an emergency which occurs when 296 women are usually already in a healthcare setting: more widely, it has been shown that differences in 297 outcome by socioeconomic group are largely driven by richer individuals presenting earlier in their illness 298 and utilising their ability to exercise choice to improve their waiting periods, with little evidence of 299 differential quality of care based on socioeconomic group within the NHS once that care is accessed.^{38,39} 300

301 Our finding that women from an ethnic minority background are more likely to experience PPH has two 302 possible explanations. First, there may be additional confounding factors not accounted for in our analysis 303 that are associated with both PPH and ethnic minority group. Second, that women from ethnic minority 304 groups are not given the same level of intra- and postpartum observation and prophylactic treatment to 305 prevent PPH.

306

With respect to the first potential explanation, we were in our dataset unable to adjust for, or examine
through sensitivity analysis, the potential association with prolonged labour or previous PPH. However,
this is unlikely to have accounted for our results. There is some limited observational evidence that
women from black ethnic groups have shorter, rather than longer second stages of labour.⁴⁰ In a
sensitivity analysis restricting to primiparous women, who have no previous history of PPH, similar results
were seen. We were also unable to adjust for maternal anaemia, levels of which may be higher in women

313 from some ethnic groups⁴¹. Furthermore, while we were able to adjust for the presence of fibroids where 314 they were coded as a diagnosis, it is possible that this does not capture all fibroids present as not all will 315 be identified on antenatal scans, or considered clinically significant enough to modify care recommendations and thus warrant coding.⁴² Further investigation is required to understand whether 316 317 there are biological considerations regarding effectiveness of medications commonly used to control PPH. 42 318 319 320 It is also possible that prophylactic treatment and observational measures are not equally considered and 321 offered between ethnic groups. Women from ethnic minority groups in the UK report poorer experiences 322 of antenatal and intrapartum care which may be reflected in less attention to risk factors, antenatal symptoms of anaemia or concerns and symptoms indicative of PPH.^{43,44} Investigating this hypothesis 323

325 collected electronic health data. A case-control study could be used to assess treatment differences by326 ethnic group.

requires further detail regarding care pathways, which is not possible in this analysis of routinely

327

324

However, while further investigations are ongoing, it would be prudent for healthcare professionals to be
aware of the increased observed risk in women from ethnic minority groups, with the aim of being
particularly attentive in monitoring for early identification and treatment of PPH.

331

332 Conclusion

333 Women from an ethnic minority background, and particularly women from a black ethnic group, are at 334 increased risk of PPH. This association persists following adjustment for maternal, fetal and birth 335 characteristics. Further investigation is needed to understand the unexplained increase in risk, including 336 possible mechanisms and the effectiveness of medications to control bleeding in women from different 337 ethnic groups. While the results of further investigations are awaited, clinical and policy action should 338 focus on the prediction, early identification and management of severe illness and postpartum 339 haemorrhage in women from ethnic minority groups, in order to reduce observed inequalities. 340 Healthcare professionals should be aware of this increased observed risk of postpartum haemorrhage in 341 ethnic minority groups, and, as with all women, be enabled to identify and treat PPH rapidly, to mitigate 342 risk of maternal morbidity and mortality.

343

344 Supporting statements 345 Disclosure of interests (Conflict of interest statement): All authors have received funding from the 346 Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP). HQIP had no involvement in the design, analysis or 347 writing of this study, or in approval of the study for publication. 348 349 Author contribution: JJ, JvdM, DP and KW conceived the study. All authors planned the analysis. JJ 350 conducted the analysis and wrote the first draft of the paper. All authors reviewed and redrafted the 351 study. KW supervised the study. 352 353 Ethical approval: This study used data routinely collected in clinical care to evaluate service provision and 354 performance and therefore individual consent was not sought. Institutional consent to access the data 355 was provided by the NHS Health Research Authority Confidentiality Advisory Group, approval number 356 16/CAG/0058. This study was approved by the LSHTM Ethics Committee, approval number 14544, on 4th 357 April 2018. 358 359 Patient and Public Involvement: The questions addressed by this study were informed by discussions 360 from the NMPA's Inequalities Sprint Audit reference group, which includes women with lived experience 361 of pregnancy and birth in the UK from diverse ethnic and socioeconomic groups. 362 363 Acknowledgements: This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their 364 care and support. The following individuals are past or current members of the NMPA Project Team: 365 Harriet Aughey, Andrea Blotkamp, Fran Carroll, Megan Coe, George Dunn, Alissa Frémeaux, Rebecca 366 Geary, Ipek Gurol-Urganci, Tina Harris, Jane Hawdon, Jennifer Jardine, Hannah Knight, Julia Langham, 367 Lindsey Mamza, Natalie Moitt, Patrick Muller, Dharmintra Pasupathy, Sophie Relph, Louise Thomas, Jan 368 van der Meulen, Lara Waite, Kirstin Webster. 369

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

370 References

371

Knight M, Bunch K, Tuffnell D, Shakespeare J, Kotnis R, Kenyon S, et al. Saving Lives, Improving
 Mothers' Care - Lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries
 into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2016-18. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of
 Oxford; 2021 Jan.

- 2. GBD 2015 Maternal Mortality Collaborators, Kassebaum NJ, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Dandona L,
- 377 Gething PW, et al. Global, regional, and national levels of maternal mortality, 1990–2015: a systematic
- analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388(10053):1775–812.
- 3. Furuta M, Sandall J, Bick D. Women's perceptions and experiences of severe maternal morbidity--a
 synthesis of qualitative studies using a meta-ethnographic approach. Midwifery. 2013;30(2):158–69.
- 4. Eckerdal P, Kollia N, Löfblad J, Hellgren C, Karlsson L, Högberg U, et al. Delineating the Association
 between Heavy Postpartum Haemorrhage and Postpartum Depression. Plos One. 2016;11(1):e0144274.
- 5. Thompson JF, Heal LJ, Roberts CL, Ellwood DA. Women's breastfeeding experiences following a
 significant primary postpartum haemorrhage: A multicentre cohort study. Int Breastfeed J. 2010;5(1):5.
- 6. Weeks A. The prevention and treatment of postpartum haemorrhage: what do we know, and where dowe go to next? Bjog Int J Obstetrics Gynaecol. 2015;122(2):202–10.
- 7. Salati JA, Leathersich SJ, Williams MJ, Cuthbert A, Tolosa JE. Prophylactic oxytocin for the third stage
 of labour to prevent postpartum haemorrhage. Cochrane Db Syst Rev. 2019;4(4):CD001808.
- 389 8. Shakur H, Roberts I, Fawole B, Chaudhri R, El-Sheikh M, Akintan A, et al. Effect of early tranexamic
- acid administration on mortality, hysterectomy, and other morbidities in women with post-partum
- haemorrhage (WOMAN): an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet.
 2017;389.
- 9. Nair M, Kurinczuk JJ, Knight M. Ethnic Variations in Severe Maternal Morbidity in the UK– A Case
 Control Study. Plos One. 2014;9(4):e95086.

395 10. Jardine J, Gurol-Urganci I, Harris T, Hawdon J, Pasupathy D, Meulen J, et al. Associations between
396 ethnicity and admission to intensive care among women giving birth: a cohort study. Bjog Int J Obstetrics
397 Gynaecol. 2021;

398 11. Bryant AS, Seely EW, Cohen A, Lieberman E. Patterns of Pregnancy-Related Hypertension in Black
399 and White Women. Hypertens Pregnancy. 2009;24(3):281–90.

400 12. Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Srinivas SK, Wright JD, Goffman D, Siddiq Z, D'Alton ME, et al. Postpartum
401 hemorrhage outcomes and race. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018;219(2):185.e1-185.e10.

13. Thurn L, Wikman A, Westgren M, Lindqvist P. Massive blood transfusion in relation to delivery:
incidence, trends and risk factors: a population-based cohort study. Bjog Int J Obstetrics Gynaecol.
2019;126(13):1577–86.

405 14. Mavrides E, Allard S, Chandraharan E, Collins P, Green L, Hunt B, et al. Prevention and Management
406 of Postpartum Haemorrhage. Bjog Int J Obstetrics Gynaecol. 2017;124(5):e106–49.

407 15. Chandraharan E, Krishna A. Diagnosis and management of postpartum haemorrhage. Bmj.
408 2017;358:j3875.

409 16. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations for the prevention and treatment of postpartum410 haemorrhage. 2012.

411 17. ONS. Provisional births in England and Wales - Office for National Statistics [Internet]. 2021 [cited

412 2021 Mar 21]. Available from:

 $\label{eq:2.1} 413 \qquad https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/provinters/livebirths/articles/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/livebirths/li$

414 sionalbirthsinenglandandwales/2020#:~:text=Based%20on%20birth%20notification%20data,most%20rece
415 nt%20peak%20in%202012.

416 18. NMPA Project Team. National Maternity and Perinatal Audit Clinical report 2017: revised version.

417 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists; 2018.

418 19. NMPA Project Team. National Maternity and Perinatal Audit: Clinical Report 2019 [Internet]. 2019

419 [cited 2020 Nov 2]. Available from:

420 https://maternityaudit.org.uk/FilesUploaded/NMPA%20Clinical%20Report%202019.pdf

- 20. Patel A, Goudar SS, Geller SE, Kodkany BS, Edlavitch SA, Wagh K, et al. Drape estimation vs. visual
 assessment for estimating postpartum hemorrhage. Int J Gynecol Amp Obstetrics. 2006;93(3):220–4.
- 423 21. Bose P, Regan F, Paterson-Brown S. Improving the accuracy of estimated blood loss at obstetric
 424 haemorrhage using clinical reconstructions. Bjog Int J Obstetrics Gynaecol. 2006;113(8):919–24.
- 425 22. Calvert C, Thomas SL, Ronsmans C, Wagner KS, Adler AJ, Filippi V. Identifying Regional Variation
 426 in the Prevalence of Postpartum Haemorrhage: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Plos One.
 427 2012;7(7):e41114.
- 428 23. Flood MM, Pollock WE, McDonald SJ, Davey M-A. Monitoring postpartum haemorrhage in Australia:
 429 Opportunities to improve reporting. Women Birth. 2018;31(2):89–95.
- 430 24. Meher S, Cuthbert A, Kirkham J, Williamson P, Abalos E, Aflaifel N, et al. Core outcome sets for
 431 prevention and treatment of postpartum haemorrhage: an international Delphi consensus study. Bjog Int J
 432 Obstetrics Gynaecol. 2019;126(1):83–93.
- 433 25. NHS Digital. NHS Data Dictionary: Ethnic Category Code 2001 [Internet]. Available from:
- $434 \qquad https://www.datadictionary.nhs.uk/data_dictionary/attributes/e/end/ethnic_category_code_2001_de.asp$
- 26. Jardine JE, Frémeaux A, Coe M, Urganci IG, Pasupathy D, Walker K. Validation of ethnicity in
 administrative hospital data in women giving birth in England: cohort study. Bmj Open.
 2021;11(8):e051977.
- 438 27. World Health Organisation. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health
 439 Problems 10th Revision [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://icd.who.int/browse10/2016/en
- 440 28. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of Deprivation 2015
- 441 Statistical Release [Internet]. 2015 Sep. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english442 indices-of-deprivation-2015
- 443 29. Williams RL. A Note on Robust Variance Estimation for Cluster-Correlated Data. Biometrics.
 444 2000;56(2):645–6.
- 30. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for
 practice. Stat Med. 2011;30(4):377–99.

31. Bartlett JW, Harel O, Carpenter JR. Asymptotically Unbiased Estimation of Exposure Odds Ratios in
Complete Records Logistic Regression. Am J Epidemiol. 2015;182(8):730–6.

32. Bryant AS, Worjoloh A, Caughey AB, Washington AE. Racial/ethnic disparities in obstetric outcomes
and care: prevalence and determinants. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2010;202(4):335–43.

33. Nair M, Kurinczuk JJ, Knight M. Establishing a National Maternal Morbidity Outcome Indicator in
England: A Population-Based Study Using Routine Hospital Data. Plos One. 2016;11(4):e0153370.

453 34. Cheong-See F, Allotey J, Marlin N, Mol B, Schuit E, Riet G, et al. Prediction models in obstetrics:
454 understanding the treatment paradox and potential solutions to the threat it poses. Bjog Int J Obstetrics
455 Gynaecol. 2016;123(7):1060–4.

456 35. Bell SF, Watkins A, John M, Macgillivray E, Kitchen TL, James D, et al. Incidence of postpartum
457 haemorrhage defined by quantitative blood loss measurement: a national cohort. Bmc Pregnancy Childb.
458 2020;20(1):271.

459 36. Draper ES, Gallimore ID, Smith LK, Fenton AC, Kurinczuk JJ, Smith PW, et al. MBRRACE-UK

460 Perinatal Mortality Surveillance Report, UK Perinatal Deaths for Births from January to December 2018.

461 [Internet]. Leicester: The Infant Mortality and Morbidity Studies, Department of Health Sciences,

462 University of Leicester; 2020 Dec. Available from: https://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/assets/downloads/mbrrace-

463 uk/reports/perinatal-surveillance-report-2018/MBRRACE-UK_Perinatal_Surveillance_Report_2018_464 _final_v2.pdf

465 37. Lindquist A, Knight M, Kurinczuk JJ. Variation in severe maternal morbidity according to
466 socioeconomic position: a UK national case–control study. Bmj Open. 2013;3(6):e002742.

38. Cookson R, Propper C, Asaria M, Raine R. Socio-Economic Inequalities in Health Care in England.
Fisc Stud. 2016;37(3–4):371–403.

39. Moscelli G, Siciliani L, Gutacker N, Cookson R. Socioeconomic inequality of access to healthcare:
Does choice explain the gradient? J Health Econ. 2018;57:290–314.

471 40. Greenberg MB, Cheng YW, Hopkins LM, Stotland NE, Bryant AS, Caughey AB. Are there ethnic
472 differences in the length of labor? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2006;195(3):743–8.

- 473 41. Barton JC, Wiener HH, Acton RT, Adams PC, Eckfeldt JH, Gordeuk VR, et al. Prevalence of iron
 474 deficiency in 62,685 women of seven race/ethnicity groups: The HEIRS Study. Plos One.
 475 2020;15(4):e0232125.
- 476 42. Lee HJ, Norwitz ER, Shaw J. Contemporary management of fibroids in pregnancy. Rev Obstetrics
 477 Gynecol. 2010;3(1):20–7.
- 478 43. Henderson J, Gao H, Redshaw M. Experiencing maternity care: the care received and perceptions of
 479 women from different ethnic groups. Bmc Pregnancy Childb. 2013;13(1):196.
- 44. Jomeen J, Redshaw M. Ethnic minority women's experience of maternity services in England. Ethnic
 Health. 2012;18(3):280–96.

482

483

Accepted

 Table 1. Summary characteristics of 906 961 births in England with complete recorded information about maternal ethnic group between 1st April 2015 and

 31st March 2017

	Births with complete information about each characteristic (%)**	White	S Asian	Black	Mixed	Other
Number of women	906 961	705 948	107 382	42 170	16 456	35 005
Postpartum haemorrhage >=1500ml	28 268 (2.9%)	19 633 (2.8%)	2 806 (2.6%)	1 652 (3.9%)	479 (2.9%)	1 225 (3.5%)
Maternal characteristics (n, %)*						
Most deprived socioeconomic quintile ⁺	800 047 (88.2%)	160 437 (23.9%)	38 290 (38.5%)	18 641 (48.5%)	5 418 (35.2%)	10 652(33.3%)
Maternal age at birth 35 or over†	900 440 (99.3%)	146 832 (21.0%)	23 928 (22.3%)	12 510 (29.7%)	3 505 (21.4%)	9 423 (27.0%)
Maternal BMI 30 or over (obesity) †	762 767 (84.1%)	130 197 (21.8%)	16 000 (18.2%)	11 571 (33.7%)	3 141 (22.7%)	4 557 (15.6%)
Fibroids	880 534 (97.1%)	893 (0.1%)	271 (0.3%)	455 (1.1%)	60 (0.4%)	90 (0.3%)
Bleeding disorders	880 534 (97.1%)	3 795 (0.6%)	307 (0.3%)	100 (0.3%)	50 (0.3%)	117 (0.4%)
Diabetes	880 534 (97.1%)	32 096 (4.7%)	15 012 (14.3%)	3 492 (8.6%)	1 027 (6.5%)	2 802 (8.3%)
Hypertensive disease	880 534 (97.1%)	39 701 (5.8%)	5 683 (5.4%)	3 847 (9.5%)	875 (5.5%)	1 683 (5.0%)
Placental conditions	880 534 (97.1%)	8 451 (1.2%)	1 330 (1.3%)	545 (1.3%)	191 (1.2%)	451 (1.3%)
Nulliparous	902 245 (99.5%)	292 232 (41.6%)	36 285 (34.0%)	12 647 (30.2%)	6 496 (39.7%)	14 952(43.0%)
Previous caesarean section	902 474 (99.5%)	93 792 (13.4%)	20 161 (18.8%)	9 448 (22.5%)	2 411 (14.7%)	5 039 (14.5%)

Fetal characteristics (n, %)*									
Multiple birth	906 961 (100%)	11 267 (1.6%)	1 288 (1.2%)	807 (1.9%)	252 (1.5%)	501 (1.4%)			
Stillbirth	906 961 (100%)	2 416 (0.3%)	587 (0.5%)	307 (0.7%)	82 (0.5%)	151 (0.4%)			
Preterm birth†	906 961 (100%)	49 183 (7.0%)	8 046 (7.5%)	3 360 (8.0%)	1 189 (7.2%)	2 187 (6.2%)			
Birthweight of 4500g or more ⁺	904 377 (99.7%)	12 427 (1.8%)	603 (0.6%)	495 (1.2%)	198 (1.2%)	418 (1.2%)			
Birth characteristics (n, %)*									
Induction	896 024 (98.8%)	206 201 (29.6%)	28 091 (26.3%)	10 556 (25.2%)	4 398 (26.9%)	8 417 (24.2%)			
Birth assisted by instrument	904 603 (99.7%)	85 370 (12.1%)	13 366 (12.5%)	2 678 (6.4%)	1 624 (9.9%)	4 675 (13.4%)			
Birth by caesarean section	904 603 (99.7%)	181 101 (25.7%)	30 775 (28.7%)	14 398 (34.2%)	4 449 (27.1%)	9 455 (27.1%)			
Episiotomy	893 819 (98.6%)	106 252 (15.3%)	17 923 (16.9%)	3 655 (8.8%)	1 990 (12.3%)	5 858 (17.0%)			
Manual removal of placenta	880 534 (97.1%)	13 061 (1.9%)	1 320 (1.3%)	484 (1.2%)	231 (1.5%)	502 (1.5%)			
tages of women of each otherisity with each characteristic are given among records with complete data for that characteristic only									

*percentages of women of each ethnicity with each characteristic are given among records with complete data for that characteristic only **percentages of all births with complete data about the characteristic. †these variables are split into more categories for analysis; details in Suppl. Tables 1, 3 p<0.001 for all characteristics using the χ² test to evaluate distribution between ethnic groups.

Table 2. Risks of postpartum haemorrhage of 500, 1000, 1500 and 2000ml by ethnic group among 981 801 women who gave birth in England between 1st April2015 and 31st March 2017

Recorded blood loss in millilitres*								
	500ml or more	1000ml or more	1500ml or more	2000ml or more	3000ml or more			

Number of women	981 801	322 606	75 674	28 268	11 964	2 469
Risk of PPH		32.9%	7.7%	2.9%	1.2%	0.3%
Risk by ethnic group (n, %))					
White	705 948	223 641 (31.7%)	52 427 (7.4%)	19 633 (2.8%)	8 347 (1.2%)	1 723 (0.2%)
South Asian	107 382	37 123 (34.6%)	7 896 (7.4%)	2 806 (2.6%)	1 165 (1.1%)	258 (0.2%)
Black	42 170	16 331 (38.7%)	4 322 (10.2%)	1 652 (3.9%)	737 (1.7%)	165 (0.4%)
Mixed	16 456	5 241 (31.8%)	1 258 (7.6%)	479 (2.9%)	200 (1.2%)	38 (0.2%)
Other	35 005	13 027 (37.2%)	3 205 (9.2%)	1 225 (3.5%)	548 (1.6%)	122 (0.3%)
Missing	74 840	27 243 (36.4%)	6 566 (8.8%)	2 473 (3.3%)	967 (1.3%)	163 (0.2%)

*p<0.001 in Chi squared tests comparing distributions by ethnic group for all levels of blood loss

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Irticle

Accepted

Table 3. Associations between postpartum haemorrhage of 1500ml or more and characteristics available at booking and at birth among 981 801 women who gave birth in England between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017

Characteristics	Risk	Crude OR (95% CI)	p value*	Model 1	p value*	Model 2 (maternal	P value*	Model 3	p value*
				(maternal		and fetal		(maternal, fetal	
				characteristics)+		characteristics)‡		and birth	
								characteristics)§	
Maternal ethnic group**									
White	2.8%	Ref	<0.001	Ref	<0.001	Ref	<0.001	Ref	<0.001
South Asian	2.6%			0.08 (0.04 1.02)		1 10 (1 12 1 26)		1 14 (1 00 1 10)	
/Asian British	2.076	0.94 (0.90, 0.97)		0.98 (0.94, 1.02)		1.18 (1.15, 1.20)		1.14 (1.05, 1.15)	
Black	2.0%	1 42 (1 25 1 50)		1 26 (1 20 1 44)		1 40 (1 41 1 59)		1 54 /1 45 1 62)	
/ Black British		1.42 (1.35, 1.50)		1.30 (1.29, 1.44)		1.49 (1.41, 1.58)		1.54 (1.45, 1.63)	
Mixed	2.9%	1.06 (0.97, 1.16)		1.09 (0.99, 1.19)		1.17 (1.07, 1.28)		1.20 (1.09, 1.32)	
Other	3.5%	1.27 (1.20, 1.35)		1.27 (1.19, 1.35)		1.34 (1.26, 1.43)		1.37 (1.29, 1.46)	
*Wald test ** otheric group was	imputed wh	oro it was missing							

*Wald test **ethnic group was imputed where it was missing

+maternal characteristics: maternal age, BMI, socioeconomic status, parity, previous caesarean section, medical conditions (diabetes, hypertension, bleeding disorders, fibroids, placental disorders)

‡maternal characteristics and additional fetal characteristics: gestational age, birthweight, livebirth/stillbirth, multiplicity

§maternal characteristics, fetal characteristics and additional birth characteristics: induction of labour, mode of birth, episiotomy, manual removal of placenta

bjo_17051_f1.docx

Figure 1. Data flow diagram

1 234 197 records of women who gave birth in England between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017 in 132 NHS hospital trusts

18 820 (1.6%) women where

fetal outcome is not recorded,

or the recorded outcome is a termination of pregnancy

9 400 (0.8%) women where

or is <24 weeks

gestation length is not recorded

211 262 (17.1%) women who gave birth in 20 NHS organisations without complete information about blood loss in 80% of records or more

12 914 (1.0%) women who did not have complete information about blood loss in their record

> 981 801 women who gave birth in 111 NHS trusts in England between 1st April 2015 and 31st March 2017