STUDIES ON NEMATODE SECRETORY ANTIGENS

1

IN IMMUNODIAGNOSIS

WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO TOXOCARIASIS

Donald Herbert de Savigny

Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of Medicine University of London In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for The Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Clinical Tropical Medicine London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

> London 1980



ABSTRACT

2

Immunodiagnosis of nematode infections in man and animals is often inaccurate and unreliable. A rationale is presented for a novel serologic approach which uses in vitro cultivation of the relevant parasitic stages to isolate in vitro released secretory antigens for use in immunoenzymatic assays. The rationale was tested in the toxocariasis model in man and experimental animals and found to be valid.

An <u>in vitro</u> cultivation system is described for the maintenance, in synthetic medium, of actively metabolizing <u>Toxocara canis</u> infective larvae producing 200 pg of antigen per larva per day. Toxocaral secretory antigen was shown to be a simple mixture of at least three genus specific, protein antigens with a major component molecular weight of 42 k daltons and isoelectric point of pI = 9.5.

An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay designed to quantitate anti-toxocaral responses was validated in assays of over 1000 sera from human subjects and experimental animals. Results indicated an advance from existing methodologies. The assay was further evaluated in practice for the serodiagnosis of visceral and ocular toxocariasis. In man, these forms exhibited distinct serological patterns. A realistic model of experimental ocular toxocariasis was developed in the rhesus monkey (<u>Macaca mulatta</u>), and results indicated that intraocular toxocaral larvae are capable of inducing retinal pathology in animals sensitized by a previous toxocaral infection, but not in animals which are Toxocara naive.

Seroepidemiologic studies demonstrated that Iceland and Sudan are non-endemic, England is endemic and Czechoslovakia and Ghana are hyperendemic for toxocariasis. Within these areas subpopulations were identified with higher prevalence of infection. Seroepidemiology in naturally infected dogs revealed that tolerance to toxocaral secretions may occur in pups less than six months of age.

3

The studies indicate that <u>in vitro</u> derived, nematode secretory antigens, used in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays can provide highly sensitive, genus specific, and reliable immunodiagnostic and seroepidemiologic data on a basis that is both economical and practical. It is proposed that this approach might be applied to the diagnosis of other tissue-invasive nematode infections.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

4

Introduction

Chapter I	Review of Literature	16
	A. Historical Perspective	19
	B Toxocariasis: Natural History	25
	1 Toxocara canis in Canidae	25
	2 Toxocara cati in Felidae	28
	3. Toxocara spp. in Paratenic Hosts	28
	C. Visceral Toxocariasis in Man	
	1. Epidemiology	32
	2. Clinical Manifestations	36
	D. Ocular Toxocariasis in Man	
	1. Epidemiology	45
	2. Clinical Manifestation	47
	E. Immunoassays for Toxocariasis	
	1. Immunodiagnosis	5/
	2. Sercepidemiology	/6
Chapter II	Toxocaral Secretory Antigen: Production	
	and Characterization	
	Introduction	80
	Materials and Methods	85
	Results	93
	Discussion	107
	Summary	114
Chapter III	The Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay	
	in Helminthology: Development and	
	Validation for Toxocariasis	
	Introduction	115
	Materials and Methods	123
	Results	1 30
	Discussion	177
	Summary	197

Chapter IV	Serodiagnostic Applications of	
Chapter IV	Toxocara ELISA	
	Introduction	200
,	Materials and Methods	203
	Pecults	205
	Discussion	222
	Summary	234
Chapter V	Seroepidemiologic Applications of	
	Toxocara ELISA	
	IntroductiOB	235
	Materials and Methods	238
	Desults	241
	Discussion	256
	Summary	268
Chapton VI	General Discussion	270
Chapter 11	Acknowledgements	282
	Deferences	283
	Annendices	
	1 Published Portions of this Work	350
	2. Formulation of Reagents for	351
	Toxocara ELISA	

5

1.1

Page

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1.	Nature and Frequency of Clinical Features in 350 cases of Toxocariasis in Adults and Children.	37
Table 2.	Nature and Frequency of Laboratory Findings in Toxocariasis (350 Cases).	38
Table 3.	Reported Cases of Ocular Toxocariasis.	50
Table 4.	Immunodiagnostic Applications in Toxocariasis.	69
Table 5.	Comparative Analysis of Reference Immunodiagnostic Procedures for Toxocariasis.	75
Table 6.	Prevalence of Anti- <u>Toxocara</u> Immunoreactivity in Man.	77
Table 7.	Application of Nematode Secretory Antigen in Serodiagnosis.	83
Table 8.	Mean Length of 100 <u>Toxocara</u> can's second-stage Larvae following <u>in vitro</u> Hatching and	96
Table 9.	Maintenance in various synchetic fictura. Effect of Antigen Adsorption Time on <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA Reactivity.	134

Table 10.	Performance of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA using different	1 35
	Secretory Antigen Batchs.	
Table 11.	Thermostability of Toxocara Larval Secretory	136
	Antigen.	
Table 12.	Calculation of Assay Parameters for Validation	145
	of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	
Table 13.	Sensitivity, Specificity and Predictive Values	146
	of Toxocara ELISA at different Positive	
	Threshold Levels.	
Table 14.	Summary of Toxocara ELISA Evaluative Parameters.	147
Table 15.	Means of Communicating Estimates of Relative	173
	Antibody Activity from ELISA Data.	
Table 16.	Clinical Features and Toxocara ELISA in	20
	49 Patients with Presumed Clinical Visceral	
	Toxocariasis.	
Table 17.	Rate of Change per Year of Positive Toxocara	20
	ELISA Reactivity in Clinical Toxocariasis.	

Page

		Page
Table 18.	Toxocara Serology in Patients with Presumed	208
	Ocular Toxocariasis.	
Table 19.	Comparisons of Anti-Toxocara Antibody Levels in	217
	Serum and Aqueous Humor During Ocular Toxocariasis.	
Table 20.	Sources of Sera Used To Assess the Seroepidemiologic	239
	Applicability of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	240
Table 21.	Summary of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA Serceptdemiclogic	244
	Surveys of 3,857 Sera from Various Populations.	
Table 22.	Summary of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA Seroepidemiologic	245
	Survey of 1,336 Healthy Adults and Children	
	in the CSSR.	
Table 23.	Prevalence of anti-Toxocara antibody in normal	253
	dogs as a function of age and sex.	

8

ALL DOCUMENTS

and a strength

This of Longe

and the second

American

ALC: NO.

Farmer

Table 18.	Toxocara Serology in Patients with Presumed	208
	Ocular Toxocariasis.	
Table 19.	Comparisons of Anti-Toxocara Antibody Levels in	217
	Serum and Aqueous Humor During Ocular Toxocariasis.	
Table 20.	Sources of Sera Used To Assess the Seroepidemiologic	239
	Applicability of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	240
Table 21.	Summary of Toxocara ELISA Serveptdemiologic	244
	Surveys of 3,857 Sera from Various Populations.	
Table 22.	Summary of Toxocara ELISA Seroepidemiologic	245
	Survey of 1,336 Healthy Adults and Children	
	in the CSSR.	
Table 23.	Prevalence of anti-Toxocara antibody in normal	253
	dogs as a function of age and sex.	

8

And Address of the Owner, which is not the

Contract . (100 bits)

MUTURE - I SHARE

Page

LIST OF FIGURES

-				
D	-	-	0	
Γ.	α		E.	

Figure 1.	Toxocara canis unembryonated and embryonated	9
	ova.	
Figure 2.	In vitro survival of Toxocara canis second-stage	9
	larvæ when cultured in various synthetic media.	
Figure 3.	Anatomy of the <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larva.	ç
Figure 4.	Toxocara canis second-stage larval morphology	10
	in vitro.	
Figure 5.	Rate of production of Toxocara larval secretory	1
	antigen in vitro, assayed by enzyme immunoassay.	
Figure 6.	Immunodiffusion and Immunoelectrophoretic studies	1
	of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	
Figure 7.	Molecular weight estimation by SDS PAGE of	1
	Toxocara larval secretory antigen.	
Figure 8.	Analytical isoelectric focusing of Toxocara	۱
	larval secretory antigen.	
Figure 9.	Bi-dimensional immunoelectrophoresis of Toxocara	1
	larval secretory antigen.	

L	I	S	Т	0	F	F	I	G	U	F	2	E	S
		- 100		and the second second			-				-	_	_

.

Figure 1.	Toxocara canis unembryonated and embryonated	97
	ova.	
Figure 2.	In vitro survival of <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larvæwhen cultured in various synthetic media.	98
Figure 3.	Anatomy of the <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larva.	99
Figure 4.	Toxocara canis second-stage larval morphology in vitro.	100
Figure 5.	Rate of production of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen <u>in vitro</u> , assayed by enzyme immunoassay.	101
Figure 6.	Immunodiffusion and Immunoelectrophoretic studies of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	103
Figure 7.	Molecular weight estimation by SDS PAGE of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	104
Figure 8.	Analytical isoelectric focusing of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	105
Figure 9.	Bi-dimensional immunoelectrophoresis of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	10
Figure 9.	Bi-dimensional immunoelectrophoresis of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Toxocara canis unembryonated and embryonated	97
	ova.	
Figure 2.	In vitro survival of <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larvæwhen cultured in various synthetic media.	98
Figure 3.	Anatomy of the Toxocara canis second-stage larva.	99
Figure 4.	Toxocara canis second-stage larval morphology	100
	<u>In vicio</u> .	101
Figure 5.	Rate of production of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen <u>in vitro</u> , assayed by enzyme immunoassay.	101
Figure 6.	Immunodiffusion and Immunoelectrophoretic studies of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.	103
Figure 7.	Molecular weight estimation by SDS PAGE of Toxocara larval secretory antigen.	104
Figure 8.	Analytical isoelectric focusing of <u>Toxocara</u>	105
Figure 9.	Bi-dimensional immunoelectrophoresis of Toxocara	100
	larval secretory antigen.	

Figure 10. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	31
Figure 11. Adsorption of ¹²⁵ I-labelled antigen to various 1	37
solid phases.	38
Figure 12. Comparison of <u>loxocara</u> ELISA dose response curves from assays in polyvinyl and polystyrene antigen	
solid phases.	1 20
Figure 13. Determination of the optimal serum dilution for use in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	139
Figure 14. Determination of optimal serum incubation time	140
Figure 15. Determination of optimal conjugate dilution for	141
Toxocara ELISA.	
Figure 16. Distribution of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in healthy adults.	148
Figure 17. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA serum dose responses curves for	149
human IgG anti- <u>loxocara</u> antibudy.	150

Figure 19.	Comparison of the kinetics of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA enzyme-substrate reactions using phosphatase	153
	and peroxidase conjugates.	
Figure 20.	Precision of Toxocara ELISA values at different	154
	levels of serological reactivity.	
Figure 21.	Comparison of intra-plate variability in Toxocara	155
	ELISA using different solid phases.	
Figure 22.	Reproducibility of Toxocara ELISA quantitative	156
	results in 35 serologically negative subjects sampled twice with a four month interval.	
Figure 23	. Homologous antigen inhibition of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	157
Figure 24	. Heterologous antigen inhibition of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.	158
Figure 25.	. Comparison of phosphatase, peroxidase, and	160
	¹²⁵ -Iodine labelled anti-human IgG conjugates.	

11

and the second second

Page

Figure 26.	Determination of optimum concentration of	161
	conjugate antibody when labelled with phosphatase,	
	peroxidase or ¹²⁵ -Iodine.	
Figure 27	Serum dose-response curves of high, medium, and	162
Figure 27.	low titred sera when titrated by ELISA and IRMA.	
	Comparison of FLISA and IRMA results from assays	163
Figure 28.	for anti-Toxocara antibody in the sera of 90 subject	s
	and patients.	
Figure 29.	Comparison of Toxocara ELISA using secretory and	164
	somatic antigen.	
Figure 30.	Anti-Toxocara ELISA values in experimentally	167
i igure sor	infected swine.	
Figure 31	Anti-Toxocara ELISA values in experimentally	168
i igure ori	infected rabbits.	
Eiguno 22	Anti-Toxocara ELISA values in rhesus monkeys	169
rigure 32.	experimentally infected with <u>Toxocara canis</u> .	
	Anti-Toxocara IgG responses in rhesus monkeys	170
Figure 33.	experimentally infected with Toxocara canis.	

Page

Figure 34.	Anti-Toxocara IgM responses in rhesus monkeys	171
	experimentally infected with Toxocara canis.	
Figure 35.	Parallelism of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA serum dose-response curves.	173
Figure 36.	Toxocara ELISA standard curve for transformation	174
	of ELISA absorbance values to titre estimates.	
Figure 37.	IgG anti-Toxocara antibody dose-response curves	175
	for positive and negative sera and for the same sera following the removal of IgMon fractionation	
	by Bio-Gel A-5m micro-chromatography.	
Figure 38.	Comparison of data handling methods in Toxocara	176
	ELISA.	
Figure 39.	Relationship between Toxocara ELISA value and	209
	toxocariasis clinical score.	
Figure 40.	Dynamics of positive <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in	210
	visceral and ocular toxocariasis.	
Figure 41	Retinal lesions observed during experimental	212
	ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.	

Page

- Figure 42. IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody responses during experimental visceral and ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.
- Figure 43. IgG anti-Toxocara antibody responses in relation 215 to ocular symptomatology during experimental ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.

Figure 44. Peripheral eosinophilia during experimental visceral 216 and ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.

Figure 45. Influence of prolonged chemotherapy using Morantel/ 219 Diethylcarbamazine on anti-<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA responses in naturally infected dogs.

Figure 46. Influence of short-term chemotherapy on anti-<u>Toxocara</u> 220 ELISA responses in naturally infected dogs.

Figure 47. Frequency distributions of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in 246 samples of healthy adult populations in Iceland, England and Sudan.

Figure 48. Frequency distributions of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in 247 dog breeders and cat breeders sampled in the UK.

Page 214

igure 49.	Frequency distributions of Toxocara ELISA values	248
	in healthy adults and children, in both urban and	
	rural environments in the CSSR.	

Figure 50. Prevalence of positive <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results in 249 the CSSR as a function of age.

Figure 51. Prevalence of positive <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results in 250 children in the CSSR as a function of sex and age.

- Figure 52. Prevalence of positive <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results in 251 adults in the CSSR as a function of sex and age.
- Figure 53. Mean negative <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in inhabitants 253 or urban, suburban, and rural areas of the CSSR as a function of age.
- Figure 54. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA mean and percent positive values 254 in normal dogs as a function of age.

Figure 55. Adaptation of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA for use with capillary 255 blood samples collected on absorbent paper.

15

Page

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The serology of helminthic disease in man is perhaps the least reliable discipline in laboratory medicine today. This is particularly so for nematode infections, in which immunodiagnostic procedures are often inadequate because poorly defined and non-specific antigens are used indiscriminately in serological techniques of inappropriate design.

The inadequacy of helminth immunodiagnosis has been a persistent and major problem. Clinicians, throughout their careers, regularly encounter patients who suffer a medley of signs and symptoms matching no known syndrome or disease. In helminthology especially, the protean nature of clinical presentation sets diagnostic pitfalls, while clinical signs may mimic a wide variety of infectious processes. In situations conducive to helminth transmission, multiple parasitic (and non-parasitic) infections often prevail. For added complication, the distinction between 'infection' and disease' is a concept easily misinterpreted when considered within the phenomenon of parasitism. Thus laboratory methods, both parasitological and serological, hold pre-eminent roles in the diagnosis of helminthic disease.

In theory, indirect serological diagnosis poses a more attractive technical alternative than direct parasitological diagnosis, however this promise has not been fully realized in practice, partly due to historical - but still justified - lack of confidence in helminthic serology. Nevertheless, there are circumstances in which serology affords the only practical means for establishing diagnosis. These include: early diagnosis during long prepatent periods (eg. onchocerciasis); low intensity or single-sex mematode infections in which ova or larvae may not be liberated in clinical samples (eg. anisakiasis); infections with mematodes which multiply within the

host (eg. trichiniasis); infections of low intensity which may become life-threatening during immunosuppressive therapy (eg. strongyloidiasis); infections in which man is a paratenic host to tissue-invasive larval stages (eg. toxocariasis).

Additional to these special cases, need is growing for improved serological tools, both in the developed and developing worlds, for use as diagnostic aids for all helminthic infections. In temperate regions there is concern with increased importation of disease resulting from the frequency and ease of commercial and recreational travel to the tropics. Contemporary political phenomena which displace large refugee populations from tropical to temperate regions have highlighted current deficiencies. Within the tropics, the requirement for high levels of specificity in immunodiagnosis is compounded by multi-parasitism of individual hosts. The implementation of large-scale eradication or control measures has created the need for seroepidemiologic monitoring procedures sensitive to both light and early infections as well as being practical and economical in field conditions.

During the past two decades, significant progress has been won in the development of immunologic procedures with greatly enhanced sensitivity. However, the application of these to the unique challenges of parasitology has only magnified the problem: lack of specificity. It is noteworthy that historical advances in immunodiagnosis for helminthic disease have occurred principally as a result of improvements in antigen quality, not test methodology. Development of helminth antigens has failed to keep pace with development of the assays in which they are used. Workers have been preoccupied with the use of whole worm, somatic antigens which, in their crude state, are ill-defined, heterogeneous mixtures from which the fractionation of specific antigen has proved formidably difficult. Cross-reaction has been the rule rather

than the exception. Throughout these years, a few workers have suggested that nematode secretions might provide a more satisfactory source of diagnostic antigen, but the lack of immunoassays suitably efficient in antigen consumption has impeded progress in this direction. Recent advances in both these fields now allow further assessment of the potential role of secretory products as a practical source of antigen.

In the present work, toxocariasis was selected as a model nematode infection because it exemplifies the problem. Aside from being a readily available, cosmopolitan infection, distributed widely from the tropics to the subarctic, toxocariasis has been difficult to diagnose either clinically, parasitologically or serologically. Clinically, no pathognostic features occur. The spectrum of disease ranges from occult, subclinical infection to severe morbidity with possible mortality. Parasitologically, patent infections never occur and definitive diagnosis is obtained only through biopsy, a procedure rarely successful because parasites may be widely dispersed in tissues and impracticable to locate. Serologically, the aetiologic agents (<u>Toxocara spp</u>.) are known to share antigens with, among others, <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u>, the most commonly occuring nematode in man.

Using the toxocariasis model, this thesis describes the development of an immunoassay using nematode secretory antigens and assesses its ability to provide sensitive, and reproducible data on a practical basis for use in serodiagnosis and seroepidemiology.

CHAPTER 1

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A. Toxocariasis: Historical Perspective

<u>Toxocara canis</u> (Nematoda) was first described by Werner in 1782 and <u>Toxocara cati</u> by Shrank in 1788. Yet almost 200 years passed before their aetiology in human disease was proved (Beaver, Snyder, Carrera, Dent, and Lafferty, 1952) and their life cycles elucidated (Sprent, 1956, 1958). The history of events leading to the recognition of toxocariasis as a disease entity in man focuses attention on the problems responsible for the delay in its discovery; problems which still exist for the contemporary diagnostician.

As early as the 1920's, the medical literature contains numerous case reports of persistent eosinophilia, hepatomegaly, pulmonary infiltration and poor health with unknown aetiology. Many of these cases were in children and some cases showed more than one member of a family affected. Numerous eponyms were applied including familial eosinophilia, Frimodt-Möllers syndrome, Loeffler's syndrome, Weingarten's disease and eosinophilic pseudoleukemia. The syndrome appeared to involve a hypersensitivity reaction and various allergic aetiologies were proposed involving pollen, bacteria and drugs.

In 1921, Fülleborn discussed the possibility of larval infestation and associated hypersensitivity in man and Chandler (1925) and Schwartz (1932) recorded the suspicion that early development of <u>Toxocara canis</u> including invasion of the lung probably occured in man.

Thomson, Wilson and McDonald in 1937 reported for the first time the observation of numerous white pin-head sized lesions resembling tularemic foci on the surface of the liver of an adult patient with

illness characterized by prolonged eosinophilia.

Atmar (1940) described similar lesions on the liver surface of an adult patient with "familial eosinophilia". Familial eosinophilia was defined (Atmar, 1940) as "the presence of an increase in mature eosinophilic leucocytes in the blood of several members of a family in which none of the known causes of eosinophilia such as allergic states, dermatoses and parasitic infestations was found".

In 1947 Perlingiero and György reported the presence of eosinophilic granulomata in the liver of a child with a syndrome characterized by chronic eosinophilia, fever, pulmonary distress, hyperglobulinaemia and leukocytosis.

In 1949 Zeulzer and Apt described seven cases of disseminated visceral lesions in children 18 months to three years of age presenting with the same clinical syndrome. Liver lesions were demonstrated at biopsy or autopsy in each case. These authors interpreted the lesions as representing an expression of a "common pathologic process of variable localization and severity, the nature of which is regarded as an allergic-hyperergic tissue response to undertermined antigens".

In 1950 Loeffler's syndrome (transient pulmonary infiltration with blood eosinophilia) was reviewed by Nemir, Heyman, Gorvoy and Ervin (1950) with a report of eight cases, all occurring in children.

In the same year Mercer, Lund, Bloomfield and Caldwell (1950) described the case of a 2-year-old child suffering from headache, fever, abdominal distension and pain. The child had extreme eosinophilia and at laparotomy showed numerous lesions typical of those previously described. In biopsy material from these lesions, nematode larvae were detected. Ascariasis was suspected and on treatment the child passed one <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> specimen but little improvement in clinical condition resulted. The patient's history revealed pica and that he was frequently left to play on a dirt basement floor. A check of this soil revealed embryonated parasite ova identified as <u>Ascaris sp.</u> However the photomicrograph of these ova in this paper shows clearly the ova of <u>Toxocara sp.</u> rather than <u>Ascaris sp.</u>

In 1950 Wilder reported a now classic work in which she re-cut serial sections of 46 eyes enucleated from patients three to 13 years of age with suspected retinoblastoma. The histologic examination of these lesions showed eosinophilic abscesses surrounded by epithelioid and giant cells with no evidence of neoplasm. Since these lesions were similar to those caused by nematodes elsewhere in the tissues, the sections were examined with a view to detecting the responsible organism. Nematode larvae or their residual hyalin sheaths were found in 52% of the eyes examined. The larvae were identified by B.G. Chitwood as being similar to third stage hookworm larvae. These same sections were later examined by Nichols (1956) who identified the larvae not as hookworm but as Toxocara sp.

In 1951 Beautyman and Woolf discovered nematode larvae in the brain of a child who had died from poliomyelitis. The larvae were identified as those of <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> however the authors recorded the larval dimensions as 300 to 350 microns in length and 15 to 20 microns in width. Sprent (1955) reported that the measurements in this paper are more suggestive of <u>Toxocara canis</u> than of Ascaris lumbricoides.

The following year Beaver <u>et al</u>. (1952) of Tulane University, published their classic paper describing three cases of eosinophilia with liver lesions and hyperergic syndrome in children in which <u>Toxocara sp.</u> larvae in liver biopsy were identified for the first time. In two cases the children played with dogs and in one case <u>Toxocara canis</u> ova were recovered from soil in the back yard of the patient's home.

These authors introduced the term "visceral larva migrans" (VLM) to describe the prolonged migration of nematode larvae through the internal organs of man. The invading larvae are generally of species naturally adapted to hosts other than man, remain immature and eventually perish in the tissues. The syndrome as they defined it is "usually a relatively benign disease, characterized chiefly by sustained eosinophilia, pneumonitis and hepatomegaly and probably is due both to direct tissue damage by migrating larvae and to allergic responses to their products. Its severity varies with the number of larvae in the tissues and the immune or allergic state of the infected individual".

In 1953 Brill, Churg and Beaver confirmed Beaver's (1952) observation by reporting the VLM syndrome in the autopsy of a 2-year-old child who died after a course of anorexia, lethargy, fever and abdominal pain. Typical lesions were observed in the liver, lungs, kidney, and myocardium. A toxocaral larva was identified in a lung section. The child was a known dirt-eater who played with several cats. There was no known contact with dogs.

Also in 1953 Smith and Beaver, in an experiment of questionable ethics, infected two mentally defective children aged two and three years, with 200 embryonated eggs of <u>Toxocara canis</u>. Both developed significant peripheral eosinophilia (52% and 45% respectively) within three months and some degree of hepatomegaly within one month. Eosinophilia persisted for at least 13 months after infection.

Karpinski, Everts-Suarez and Sawitz (1956) on reviewing two cases of VLM in children approximately two years old, who were both dirt-eaters and who associated with pet cats with proven <u>Toxocara cati</u>, suggested <u>T. cati</u> as a possibly significant cause. They emphasized that cats are more prone to defecate in children's sandboxes, under porches, and inside homes than are dogs and hence <u>T. cati</u> have a greater likelihood

of being ingested by crawling children.

Dent, Nichols, Beaver, Carrera and Staggers (1956) described a case of a 19-month-old child who died of what was at first recorded as overwhelming homologous serum hepatitis due to blood transfusion. At autopsy numerous granulomata were found in the liver, lung, brain, kidney, spinal cord, and myocardium. Live <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae were recovered from many of these lesions. Sample digest estimates indicated 60 larvae per gram of liver and five larvae per gram of muscle. In press preparations there were three to five larvae per gram of brain. There was an estimated minimum of 50,000 larvae present in the body.

23

In the periodfrom 1952 to 1962 approximately 150 cases of visceral larva migrans syndrome were reported in the literature (Beaver, 1966) with new cases beingreported less frequently unless accompanied by unusual features. It was realized that other nematodes could be implicated in the syndrome (eg. Capillaria hepatica from rodents; Dirofiliaria immitis from dogs; Ancylostoma caninum, Ancylostoma braziliensis, Gnathostoma spinegerum, Uncinaria stenocephala from dogs and cats; Anisakis marina from fish; Toxocara vitullorum from calves; Ascaris suum from pigs). Therefore it was proposed that VLM be redefined to describe the migration of all nematode species in host tissues (Beaver, 1956). Sprent (1954, 1962) more than any other worker, led the way towards a re-examination of the concept of larva migrans and in 1969 redescribed it as a biological phenomenon rather than a clinical syndrome (Sprent, 1969). In response, Beaver (1969) withdrew his original definition, which he described in retrospect as placing erroneous emphasis on a picture of "the abnormal, aimless wandering of a hapless and frustrated, ill-fated worm having no happier prospect than eventual death after an indefinite period of inflicting damage to a physiologically inadequate, over responsive host". Beaver's new

definition was narrowed to include as causative agents only those species of nematode larvae undergoing prolonged migration and long persistance in paratenic hosts. By introducing the biological concept of paratenesis, he proposed <u>Toxocara canis</u> as the prototype of visceral larva migrans just as <u>Ancylostoma caninum</u> is prototypic of cutaneous larva migrans. Since this time the term 'VLM' has been gradually replaced in the medical literature by the specific aetiology when known (eg. toxocariasis), a convention which will be followed in this thesis.

A historical review of the relevant toxocariasis literature subsequent to 1956 is cumbersome unless some attempt at categorization is made. The remaining literature will be reviewed in terms of the parasites involved, the syndrome they cause, and the diagnosis of the syndrome, both clinical and serological.

B. Toxocariasis: Natural History

It is significant to note that as late as 1956, the life cycle and biology of <u>Toxocara canis</u> were still incompletely understood despite the incrimination of <u>Toxocara sp</u>p.in human disease. The growing realization since 1952 of the importance of these parasites in pediatric medicine initiated renewed interest in various aspects of their life history on the part of several noted parasitologists.

In 1957 Schacher published an exhaustive study of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>T. cati</u> larvae. He concluded as did Sprent and English (1958) that human infection with <u>Toxocara</u> is limited to the second-stage larva in the tissues.

In 1958 Sprent published a now classic work which for the first time effectively elucidated the complex life cycle of <u>T. canis</u> in the dog and its inherent epidemiologic implications.

1. Toxocara canis in Canidae

A summary of the work by Sprent (1958) shows that adult <u>T. canis</u> worms live in the small intestine of the dog were they produce large numbers of non-infective ova which are liberated to the environment via faeces. Each adult female worm may shed at least 200,000 ova per day (Schantz and Glickman, 1978). Under suitable conditions of warmth and moisture the ova become infective in two to six weeks to dogs and other animals which may ingest them. On ingestion, second-stage larvae are released in the small intestine and penetrate the mucosa. Newly hatched larvae exhibit thigmotropic behaviour and enter the portal circulation to the liver, passing through sinusoids to the hepatic vein, through the right side of the heart to the pulmonary artery and into the lung capillaries. When this occurs in pups less than five weeks of age the larvae pursue the classical cycle of tracheal migration (ie. they moult to third-stage larvae, break out of the lung capillary bed into alveoli, ascend the respiratory tree, and are swallowed to the stomach and gut, moulting to fourth-and fifth-stage larvae en route, and develop to sexually mature adults in the upper small intestine). If this occurs in pups older than five weeks of age, or in any other mammal of any age, the larvae undergo somatic migration (ie. no ecdyses occur and second-stage larvae pass through the lung capillary bed, back to the left side of the heart via the pulmonary vein, and are disseminated via the arterial blood to all parts of the body where they persist in somatic tissues as second-stage larvae for long periods of time).

Pups under five weeks of age have little opportunity to ingest large numbers of <u>T. canis</u> ova. In an effort to explain the high rates of infection (approaching 100%) in young pups Sprent (1958) discovered that the most important means of infection is via the prenatal route. Previously dormant larvae in somatic tissues (particularly retroperitoneal tissues) of the pregnant bitch mobilize after the 42nd day of gestation (Douglas and Baker, 1959) presumably due to hormonal stimulus related to pregnancy in the bitch. The reanimated larvae migrate through the placenta to the foetus ultimately to give rise to patent infection at three weeks of age (Yutuc, 1949). This interesting phenomenon has received relatively little attention (Scothorn, Koutz, and Groves, 1965) and awaits elucidation. The possibility that immunosupression during pregnancy might be implicated has not been adequately investigated.

Patent infection of pups commences four to five weeks after birth (Webster, 1958) and persists for approximately six months before adult worms are expelled from the gut (Sprent, 1958). That this expulsion is immunologically mediated has not been tested. Soulby (1965) quoted

from various observations that bitches, after parturition, re-acquire patent <u>T. canis</u> infection and attributed this occurrence to a weakening of immunity. Sprent and English (1958) proved it was due to the bitch's habit of ingesting their pups' faeces which may contain advanced-stage larvae. Patent infection in the bitch is expelled more rapidly than in pups thus suggesting an immunologic mechanism. Predation on animals infected with paratenic second-stage <u>T. canis</u> larvae presents another source of non-patent infection in older dogs and other carnivores. Trans-mammary meonatal infection of pups with <u>T. canis</u> is less common (Sprent, 1961; Stone and Smith, 1973; Stage, 1976).

Thus it appears that <u>T. canis</u> has five possible life cycles in canids: 1) <u>in utero</u> infection resulting in tracheal migration and patent infection; 2) ingestion of trans-mammary larvae or ova from the environment resulting in tracheal migration and patent infection in young pups; 3) ingestion of ova resulting in somatic migration and non-patent infection in older pups and mature dogs; 4) post-parturient direct migration resulting in patent infection in the lactating bitch; 5) predation on paratenic hosts resulting in somatic migration and non-patent infection in older pups and mature dogs.

Age resistance to tracheal migration and patent toxocariasis in pups older than five weeks has been the subject of much research (Fülleborn, 1929; Hinman and Baker, 1936; Pullar, 1946; Noda, 1956; Boch, 1956; Ehrenford, 1957; Noda, 1958; Griesemer <u>et al</u>, 1963; Henson, 1971) but has not been adequately explained. The hypothesis that somatic migration and age resistance are manifestations of specific acquired immunity is not supported by experimental evidence from ascarid naive pups which indicates that somatic migration does not depend on previous exposure (Greve, 1971; Oshima, 1976; Dubey, 1978).

2. Toxocara cati in Felidae

Sprent (1956) reviewed and contributed to the elucidation of the life cycle and biology of <u>Toxocara cati</u> by demonstrating that cats of any age may acquire patent infection by ingestion of infective <u>T. cati</u> ova which hatch in the upper alimentary tract releasing larvae that undergo tracheal migration and return to the gut as advanced-stage larvae which mature to adults. Cats may also be infected by ingesting rodents carrying paratenic infection with <u>T. cati</u> second-stage larvae. Prenatal infection has been recorded but is of minor importance. Neonatal infection has not been observed.

Since the work of Schacher (1957) it has been accepted that the infective egg of the genus <u>Toxocara</u> contains a second-stage larva, however newer evidence (Paulo, 1972) suggests that two ecdyses occur in the egg of <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> and that the infective stage of these parasites is the third-stage larva. This is questionable since it implies five ecdyses in the life cycle.

3. Toxocara spp. in Paratenic Hosts Including Man

Paratenesis is a biological phenomenon in which infective-stage, parasitic larvae pass without essential development through a series of transport hosts to the final host; the transport hosts serving at the same time to maintain infective-stage larvae from one season of transmission to the next (Beaver, 1969). The spectrum of host specificity of the infective-stage is necessarily very broad whereas the host specificity of the adult stage is restricted to the definitive. carnivorous host. Man and all mammalian species can serve as paratenic hosts for <u>Toxocara spp</u>. When infective <u>T. canis</u> or <u>T. cati</u> ova are ingested, they hatch in the small intestine and second-stage larvae penetrate the mucosa, enter the portal or lymphatic circulation and are

carried to the heart, hence to be distributed to all tissues of the body via the arterial circulation (Woodruff, 1970). Studies of predeliction for a particular tissue in man have not been done, however, autopsy cases, in particular those reported by Dent (1960), Beaver (1956), and Mikhael <u>et al</u>. (1970) would suggest that most of the ingested larvae remain in the liver, or that the host response is most vigorous in that organ. No significant larval growth or development ensues in paratenic hosts and second-stage larvae persist indefinitely with dimensions of approximately 400 x 20 μ for <u>T. canis</u> (cf. 400 x 16 μ for T. cati), (Nichols, 1956).

Adult <u>T. cati</u> have been reported in man on 21 occasions (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979). There have been few indisputable records of adult <u>T. canis</u> infection in man (Sprent, 1956; Bisseru, Woodruff and Hutchison, 1966; Wiseman and Lovel, 1969).

In monkeys <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae are known to persist for at least nine years in the liver and probably reside in that organ (Beaver, 1962; Beaver, 1966). Aljeboori, Stout and Ivey, (1970) found, in baboons, that the largest number of <u>T. canis</u> larvae per gram of organ occurred in the liver although the lung sometimes contained lower but comparable numbers per gram. These authors maintain that the distribution of larvae is an important factor in determining the character of the disease that will result from toxocaral infection and suggest that the liver "protects" the lung from "verminous pneumonia" (intra-alveolar hemorrhage and oedema). Their observations indicate that there is some impediment, possibly of immunologic nature, to the progress of larvae through the liver and that pulmonary symptoms are directly related to the number of parasites reaching the lung per unit of time.

Sprent (1955b) reported striking differences in the migratory behaviour of <u>T. canis</u> and <u>T. cati</u> larvae in mice. A relatively large

proportion of <u>T. canis</u> larvae migrating in the tissues of mice were found to be distributed to the brain while the larvae of <u>T. cati</u> were rarely recovered from the brain. Sprent (1958) confirmed Nichols' (1956) observation that the diameter of second-stage <u>T. canis</u> was slightly greater than that of <u>T. cati</u> and deduced that the difference in migratory behaviour might be related to the difference in larval diameter in relation to blood vessel calibre of the host. Sprent (1955a) proposed that the larvae proceed along vessels and leave them at a point where larval diameter approaches vessel diameter. This has been offered in explanation of the "filtering" of <u>T. canis</u> larvae from the blood in the lungs of pups less than five weeks of age but seems an unsatisfactory interpretation.

Many authors (Lee, 1960; Oshima, 1961; Olson, 1962; Dubey, 1968; Burren, 1971; Olson and Petteway, 1972; Lee, Min, and Soh, 1974; Kayes and Oaks, 1976, 1978) have studied the distribution of toxocaral larvae in mice. Burren (1971) supported Sprent's (1955a,b,) observation in mice by reporting that 100% of an inoculum of <u>T. canis</u> eventually resided in the brain while only 8.3% of an equivalent number of <u>T. cati</u> were found in the brain. The predeliction tissue in this case was the cerebellum. Olson, Izzat, Petteway and Theinhard (1970) investigated ocular <u>T. canis</u> infection in mice and found that larvae entered the eye within three days of infection and that haemorrhage coincided with invasion. The posterior eye harboured more larvae. Olson and Petteway (1971) showed that <u>T. cati</u> did not enter the eye of mice. These same authors in 1972 demonstrated that <u>T. canis</u> larvae invade the spinal cord of mice and persist there for at least four months.

<u>Toxocara</u> larval distribution in the rat and guinea pig has been discussed by Beaver (1962) and Burren (1972). Burren found that the cerebellum of <u>Toxocara</u> infected rats and gerbils contained the greatest

number of larvae per unit tissue weight whereas no significant single tissue predeliction was determined for the hamster. The ability of rats to solve complex maze problems after being infected with 20,000 eggs of <u>T. canis</u> was studied by Olson and Rose (1966) and was found to be significantly reduced within one week of infection. This learning deficit persisted for at least four weeks. The cerebral cortex and the cerebellum harboured the most larvae.

Lautenslager (1970) observed differences in the migratory behaviour of <u>T. canis</u> and <u>T. cati</u> larvae in the rabbit. <u>T. canis</u> larvae that reached the brain tended to remain while <u>T. cati</u> larvae did not. <u>T. cati</u> larvae that reached the eye tended to remain while those of <u>T. canis</u> had less tendency to remain. Occasional tracheal migration was observed with T. cati in the rabbit.

Toxocaral infection has been studied in higher domestic animals including swime (Dome and Gibson, 1958; Stevenson, 1979), sheep (Schaeffler, 1960) and cattle (Greenway and McGraw, 1970). Infection in chickens and pigeons has been reported by Galvin (1964), in turtles by Merdivenci and Zezen (1965).

In invertebrates, second-stage <u>T. cati</u> larvae have been recovered from the tissues of experimentally infected earth worms and cockroaches, but not from beetle larvae or wood lice (Sprent, 1956). Pegg (1970, 1971) in a series of experiments suggested that the adult house-fly, <u>Musca domestica</u>, could become infected with <u>T. canis</u> larvae on ingesting infective ova and thus act as a source of infection to other animals including the dog. Edwards (1971) disputed this work pointing out that it is physically impossible for adult <u>Musca domestica</u> to ingest <u>Toxocara</u> ova and suggested that they merely act as vectors for the ova. It is possible to infect fly maggots with <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae (Edwards, 1971).

C. <u>Visceral Toxocariasis in Man</u>

1. Epidemiology

Toxocariasis appears to be a world-wide zoonosis occurring wherever man co-exists with canidae and felidae populations (Sprent, 1969). There have been many prevalence studies of patent T. canis infection in dogs (reviewed by Cypess, 1978), which uniformly record this infection as amongst the most common parasitoses of canidae. infecting on average, 80% of pups and 20% of older dogs. Distribution ranges from equatorial regions, (Dada, Adegboye, Mohammed, 1979) to the sub-arctic (Viens, 1975). In dogs, Toxocara is one of the few helminths whose distribution is not influenced by climatic factors (Ng and Kelly, 1975; Becker, Selby, Hutcheson and Hacker, 1977) a feature which testifies to the parasitological advantage of prenatal infection. Intensity of infection in dogs may be seasonally affected (Woodruff. Thacker and Shah, 1964; Wiseman, 1969) as may egg output (Chieffi and Müller, 1978). Prevalence studies (by 17 authors) of Toxocara infection in definitive hosts reviewed by Mok (1969) indicate that prevalence rates for Toxocara canis range from 6.4% to 100% with a world average of 35.5% however, such figures are influenced profoundly by the age (often unknown) of the dogs studied. Prevalence of Toxocara cati ranged from 8% to 61% with an average of 40.4%. Prevalence has been found high in well-cared-for as well as free-ranging and feral animals (Jacobs, Pegg and Stevenson, 1976; Selby et al, 1977; Beck, 1973).

Of more importance to the epidemiology of toxocariasis in man is the distribution of dog and cat faeces and the dispersal, survival, and infectivity of <u>Toxocara spp</u>. ova in the human environment. Borg and Woodruff (1973) were first to survey systematically, soil samples in public areas and found ova of <u>Toxocara spp</u>. in 24.4% of 800 samples.

many of which were collected in urban areas in the UK. This led to intensive studies of urban dogs (Beck, 1973, 1975, 1976; Fox, 1976) and urban soil samples (Dubin, Segall and Martindale, 1975; Read and Thompson, 1976; Ghadirian <u>et al</u>, 1976; Chieffi and Muller, 1976; Dada, 1979; Quinn, Smith, Bruce and Girdwood, 1980). It was estimated that New York city's dog population of 500,000 deposited 170,000 kg of faeces per day on city streets (Beck, 1973) (0.34 kg per day per dog). Houston estimated 63 million kg of dog faeces per year (Arambulo, and Steele, 1976). <u>Toxocara spp</u>. ova are the most commonly occurring parasite ova in sewage sludge in Canadian cities and probably enter the system through storm sewer run-off from city streets (Graham, 1976).

Owing to their impervious "shell", <u>Toxocara</u> ova are extremely resistant to adverse conditions and to disinfectants. They may remain viable for several years in moist soil and are resistant to long exposures (three days) to cresol disinfectants (eg. 2.5% chlorxylenol) (Lautenslager, 1973). Ova survive at least four years in 1% formaldehyde (Headlee, 1936), withstand composting for at least one year (Pegg and Donald, 1978) but do not survive freezing at -15° C. Ghadirian <u>et al.</u> (1976) found that ova can endure a Canadian winter in frozen soil presumably due to the insulative effects of soil and snow cover. To kill toxocaral eggs in children's sandpits required the application of steam at 160° C under 10 atmospheres of pressure for five hours (Knappen, Frachimont and Otter, 1979). Ova are susceptible to direct sunlight and desiccation (Lautenslager, 1972). An intriguing possibility for control of ova in soil is the use of ovicidal fungi (Lysek, 1978).

Bourke and Yeates (1961) suggested common ways in which children may become infected;

1. By handling pups of age three weeks to six months.

2. By contact with objects contaminated with infective ova
either inside or outside the home.

3. By ingestion of soil containing ova.

 By direct contamination of hands due to contact with a nursing bitch or her immediate environment.

Pegg (1970) suggested that the common house fly may carry <u>Toxocara</u> ova and may contaminate food with them; a potential source of infection for adults. As predicted by Woodruff (1970), <u>Toxocara</u> ova have been found on soil-contaminated foods (eg. lettuce leaves) (Anuar and Ramachandran, 1977) which thus constitute a potential source of infection for adults as well as children. Local cultural habits may also predispose adults to toxocariasis. For example, beetle-eating in Malaysian folk medical practice (Chu, Palmmieri and Sullivan, 1977) and the Korean custom of eating raw beef liver, a source of <u>Toxocara vitullorum</u> larvae (Lee, Min, Chung, and Chang, 1976). Congenital transmission of toxocariasis in man has been suggested by de Savigny and Tizard (1977) and induced in experimental paratenic hosts (Lee, Min, and Soh, 1976).

Early investigators recognized toxocariasis mainly in children less than four years of age (reviewed by Mok, 1968). These cases usually recorded a history of pica or geophagia and ownership of a pet dog or cat from which <u>Toxocara</u> ova were being shed (Snyder, 1961; Beaver, 1962; Shrand, 1964; and Huntley, Costas and Lyerly, 1965). Boys appeared to be infected twice as commonly as did girls (Snyder, 1961; Beaver, 1962). Later workers realized that infection was not uncommon in adults (Woodruff, 1970; Rook and Staughton, 1972). Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) reviewed 1,920 case reports of which 17.7% were adult, 44% were less than three years of age and the average age was 9½ years. As more cases in both adults and children were seen it also became evident that histories of pica and of pet ownership were not essential

features (Woodruff, 1970) since the presence of ova in the environment can be ubiquitous. In North America it was estimated that one household in three owns a dog (Beck, 1975). It has been reiterated that toxocariasis has much greater incidence in man than is realized (Beaver, 1962; Sprent, 1963; Mok, 1968; Woodruff, 1970, Borg and Woodruff, 1973, Schantz and Glickman, 1978). Woodruff (1970) has shown by skin tests that at least 2% of healthy persons in Britain have been infected with <u>Toxocara</u>. "It is evident that either subclinical <u>Toxocara</u> infections are common or that when patients are examined during the symptomatic phase, the diagnosis is overlooked unless one of the more dramatic manifestations of the disease forces it on the physician's attention" (Rook and Staughton, 1972).

Much of the epidemiology of toxocariasis has been revealed from servepidemiologic studies and these are reviewed separately in Section E.2.

2. Clinical Manifestations

The frequency of various manifestations noted in toxocariasis has been surveyed from the world literature describing 350 cases reported between 1952 and 1978 (Table 1 and 2). Such surveys may be misrepresentative since the motive to publish case reports in recent years is biased toward those with more unusual features. Common features (ie. those occurring in more than 50% of patients) are reviewed in more detail below.

Eosinophilia

Few parasitic diseases of man provoke a more severe or chronic eosinophilia than toxocariasis. Eosinophilia is the most consistent clinical feature: Snyder (1961) found that 100% of 20 cases and Shrand (1964) found that 100% of 40 cases had leucocytosis with an eosinophilia greater than 30%; Beaver (1962) reported that in approximately 80% of recorded cases, eosinophils represented more than 50% of circulating blood leucocytes. Two of these cases exceeded 80% of 90,000/mm³ of leucocytes. Approximately 13% of cases have eosinophilia greater than 80%. (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979).

In experimental human infection (Smith and Beaver, 1953; Chaudhuri and Saha, 1959) eosinophilia was a striking sequel as early as 13 days post infection with 100 to 200 embryonated eggs.

Archer (1963) feels that the most important factor on which eosinophilia depends is local histamine release in tissue chronically damaged by parasites. The raised blood histamine level may stimulate eosinophils in the bone marrow (Snyder, 1961). Archer (1963) also states that the parasite may release histamine as a result of antigen-antibody reaction. Follow-up studies (Zeulzer and Apt, 1949; Bourke and Yeates, 1961; Ashton, 1960; Irvine and Irvine, 1959; Huntley <u>et al</u>. 1965) have shown that eosinophilia declines to normal, usually in the space of a few

Feature	Tota1 n=350**	Adults n=57	Children n=256
	74.6%	47.0%	79.4%
lepatomega ly	69.3%	71.4%	68.9%
ever	66.7%	41.7%	71.6%
Pulmonary Involvement	3) 47.6%	59.6%	43.7%
(Radiologic Anomailes 70.5%-54710	44.8%	63.4%	37.5%
Gastro-intestinal Involvement	44.2%	36.4%	45.9%
Malnutrition	25 69	33.0%	36.1%
Central Nervous System Involvement	33.0%		
Splenomegaly (always associated with hepatomegaly)	32.9%	17.8%	34.8%
	31.1%	30.0%	30.9%
Anorexia	26.2%	12.0%	29.2%
Pallor	24.1%	29.3%	22.9%
Cutaneous Involvement	21.2%	19.0%	21.3%
Lymphadenopathy	11.1%	9.7%	12.59
Cardiac Involvement	11.0%	4.9%	13.55

 Surveyed from published cases in the literature according to Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979).

** Age unknown in 28 cases.

TABLE 1

NATURE AND FREQUENCY OF CLINICAL FEATURES IN 350 CASES*

TABLE 2

NATURE AND FREQUENCY OF LABORATORY FINDINGS IN TOXOCARIASIS (350 CASES)*

FEATURE	FREQUENCY		
Hypereosinophilia (blood)			
$> 400 \times 10^6 / 1$	100 %		
$> 5.000 \times 10^6 / 1$	75.8%		
$> 10.000 \times 10^{6}/1$	57.0%		
$> 30,000 \times 10^6/1$	20.0%		
> 5%	98.7%		
> 30%	85.1%		
> 50%	52.5%		
> 80%	12.6%		
Hypereosinophilia(marrow)	96.3%		
Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate Elevated	89.0%		
Hyperleucocytosis	83.8%		
Hypergammaglobulinaemia ($> 12 g/1$)	81.0%		
Heterophile Antibodies	74.4%		
Hyperglobulinaemia (>30 g/l)	73.8%		
Anti-Toxocara Antibodies	67.6%		
Isohaemagglutinins (anti-A, anti-B)	66.6%		
Anemia	62.7%		
Hypoalbuminaemia (<40 g/l)	62.2%		
Rheumatoid Factor (IgM anti-IgG)	50.0%		
Larvae detected histopathologically	42.0%		
(in liver biopsy)		(37.0%)	
Non-specific Serological Reactions	42.0%		
(to <u>Ascaris spp</u> .)		(31.8%)	
Coprology Positive for Parasites	31.0%		
(for Trichocephalus trichiura)		(19.7%)	
(for Ascaris lumbricoides)		(13.8%)	

* Surveyed from published cases in the literature according to Ehrhard and Kenrbaum (1979).

years, however, possible subsequent features of visceral toxocariasis such as ophthalmitis and encephalitis may be accompanied by a normal eosinophil count. Olson and Izzat (1972) also suggest the link between eosinophilia and allergic injury in toxocariasis and state that these occurrences reflect IgE-targetcell-<u>Toxocaral</u> antigen reactions leading to release of vasoactive amines and activation of kinins. They also suggest that the role of complement mediated release and activation of mediators should be considered.

Kayes (1978) and Kayes and Oaks (1980) reported that <u>T. canis</u> specifically sensitizes a sub-population of T-lymphocytes (in murine toxocariasis) that triggers the full display of peripheral eosinophilia and the participation of these eosinophils in the inflammatory response to migrating larvae. In T-cell deprived mice, no granulomas occur and eosinophilia is reduced.

Jones and Kay (1976) Butterworth (1977) and Austen (1978) have shown that eosinophils have a repertoire of catabolic activities appropriate for handling products of mast cells, and can modulate Type I hypersensitivity. This prompted Roitt (1979) to speculate that parasites may deliberately attract eosinophils to defend against attack by mast cells, thus casting new light on Kayes' work (vide supra). Toxocariasis would seem an excellent model in which to examine Roitt's hypothesis since high IgE levels are common (Hogarth-Scott, Johansson and Bennich, 1969) and larvae are often found alive within eosinophilic granulomas (Mok, 1968).

Hepatic Involvement

Among 40 children with toxocariasis reported by various authors, Shrand (1964) found hepatomegaly described in 87%. Liver biopsy had been performed on 25 of these children and characteristic lesions were found in 20. Larvae were found in only six cases. Huntley <u>et al.</u>

years, however, possible subsequent features of visceral toxocariasis such as ophthalmitis and encephalitis may be accompanied by a normal eosinophil count. Olson and Izzat (1972) also suggest the link between eosinophilia and allergic injury in toxocariasis and state that these occurrences reflect IgE-targetcell-<u>Toxocaral</u> antigen reactions leading to release of vasoactive amines and activation of kinins. They also suggest that the role of complement mediated release and activation of mediators should be considered.

Kayes (1978) and Kayes and Oaks (1980) reported that <u>T. canis</u> specifically sensitizes a sub-population of T-lymphocytes (in murine toxocariasis) that triggers the full display of peripheral eosinophilia and the participation of these eosinophils in the inflammatory response to migrating larvae. In T-cell deprived mice, no granulomas occur and eosinophilia is reduced.

Jones and Kay (1976) Butterworth (1977) and Austen (1978) have shown that eosinophils have a repertoire of catabolic activities appropriate for handling products of mast cells, and can modulate Type I hypersensitivity. This prompted Roitt (1979) to speculate that parasites may deliberately attract eosinophils to defend against attack by mast cells, thus casting new light on Kayes' work (vide supra). Toxocariasis would seem an excellent model in which to examine Roitt's hypothesis since high IgE levels are common (Hogarth-Scott, Johansson and Bennich, 1969) and larvae are often found alive within eosinophilic granulomas (Mok, 1968).

Hepatic Involvement

Among 40 children with toxocariasis reported by various authors, Shrand (1964) found hepatomegaly described in 87%. Liver biopsy had been performed on 25 of these children and characteristic lesions were found in 20. Larvae were found in only six cases. Huntley et al.

(1965) in a review of 51 patients with toxocariasis noted hepatomegaly in 65% and elevated serum glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) levels in 20%. Elevated SGOT has also been reported by Lewis and his associates (1962). Hepatomegaly is more common in children with toxocariasis than it is in adults (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979).

Pulmonary Involvement

Pulmonary manifestations in toxocariasis have been well documented (Zeulzer and Apt, 1949; Dent, Nichols, Beaver, Carrerra and Stagger, 1956; Heiner and Kevy, 1956; Beaver and Danaraj, 1958; Chaudhuri and Saha, 1959; Dent, 1960; Williams and Henning, 1961; Lorentz, 1962; Haddow and Grant, 1970). Beaver (1962) estimated the incidence of pulmonary involvement to be about 50%; Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) reported 42% and 72% in adults and children respectively. Mok (1968) points out that it is not certain whether dyspnoea, cough, wheezes and infiltration seen in chest x-rays are the result of direct invasion of Toxocara larvae or a hypersensitivity reaction. Pneumonitis resulting from toxocariasis can lead to life-threatening respiratory distress or death (Jung and Pacheco, 1960; Beshear and Hendley, 1973). Moreau, Mary and Junod (1971) on examining two patients with protracted and severe bronchopulmonary disease and conclusive evidence of Toxocara infection concluded that toxocariasis apparently belongs to the family of immune-complex diseases and that certain asthmas and bronchopulmonary conditions presenting with high eosinophil counts could be attributable to toxocaral infestation. Brown in 1972 found evidence of toxocariasis in nine of 40 consecutive unselected asthmatic patients in a prospective study. Woodruff (1973) found anti-toxocaral antibody in 19.5% of asthmatics compared to 5.8% of controls.

Central Nervous System Involvement

Toxocaralgranulomata and larvae have been demonstrated in brain tissue in man (Beautyman and Woolf, 1951; Dent <u>et al.</u> 1956; Dickson and Woodcock, 1959; Moore, 1962; Brain and Allan, 1964; Wood, Ellison, Kelly and Kaufman, 1965, Mikhael <u>et al</u>, 1974). Huntley, Costas and Lyerly (1965) in their review of 51 cases found 28% had convulsions. The convulsions were recurrent in nine of these patients. Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) recorded CNS involvement in 36% of 350 cases with approximately equal incidence in adults and children. Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe (1965) suggested that epilepsy may be caused by granulomatous tissue formed around disintegrating larvae in the brain since toxocariasis had been demonstrated by skin test evidence in 7.5% of 349 epileptics while prevalence in the normal population was estimated by skin tests to be 2.1%.

Encephalitis, encephalopathies and meningitis have been reported in fifteen cases of toxocariasis and merit comment because this manifestation is often fatal. Mortality, usually in children, has been recorded by Beautyman and Woolf, 1951; Dent <u>et al</u>, 1956; Van Thiel, 1960; Moore, 1962: Schoenfeld, <u>et al</u>, 1964; Sumner and Tinsley, 1967; Schoehet, 1967; Mikhael <u>et al</u>, 1974. Toxocaral eosinophilic meningoencephalitis with reversable flaccid paraplegia in an adult has been reported (Müller-Jensen <u>et al</u>, 1973). The finding of larvae or cosinophilic granulomas within the central nervous system at autopsy suggests that neurologic disturbance is caused by actual larval invasion of the nervous tissues. Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe, (1966) found that 13.6% of patients with poliomyelitis had evidence of toxocariasis (cf. 2.1% in controls). Khalil, Khattab, El-Fattah, Khalid, Awaad, and Rifaat (1971) reported a 5.8% incidence of toxocariasis in 102 infants from one to three years of age suffering with poliomyelitis in the

United Arab Republic.

Woodruff (1968) introduced the important concept that toxocaral larvae, on leaving the lumen of the bowel to migrate in the tissues, may act as vectors for viruses or other microorganisms or result in lesions which could afford foci for the growth of potential pathogens circulating at the time. Experimental support was provided by Pavri et al. (1975) using Japanese encephalitis virus and <u>Toxocara canis</u> in mice. The public health implications of this possible association of toxocariasis with the transmission of, or predisposition to, other microbial infections are insidious and far-reaching to the degree that ultimately such association may be the most important feature of toxocariasis.

Cutaneous Involvement

Skin lesions in toxocariasis have been described carefully by Dent and Carrera (1953), Smith and Beaver (1956), Heiner and Kevy (1956), Mok (1958), Friedman and Hervada (1960), Brain and Allen (1964), Shrand (1964), and Rook and Staughton (1972). The types of lesion are varied and include tender nodules in palms and soles, atypical erythema nodosum, purpura, fine papular rash, urticaria, usually on abdomen and extremities, and recurrent circular painless subcutaneous lesions in the loin and extremities.

It is difficult to determine the frequency of skin lesions in childhood infections from the literature. Arean (1964) reports this manifestation "insevere cases". Dent and Carrera (1953) describe it in "most of our seven cases". These authors record cutaneous lesions most commonly in children, however Rook and Staughton (1970) have described a severe case of extensive pruritus and erythema with nodules due to <u>Toxocara</u> in a 72 year old gardener. Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) record the frequency to be approximately 24% of published cases and

slightly higher in adults than in children.

Cardiac Involvement

Myocarditis has been reported in 7 cases (Dent <u>et al</u>. 1956; Friedman and Hervada, 1960; Becroft, 1964; Woodruff, 1965; Vargo <u>et al</u>, 1977) two patients recovered while five died. It is believed that myocarditis may be the result of the direct larval invasion of the myocardium (Mok, 1968) or a hypersensitivity reaction in response to the parasite (Sprent, 1963) or a potentiation of viral infection (Becroft, 1964).

Hyperglobulinemia

Heiner and Kevy (1956) reported elevated serum gammaglobulin levels ranging from 35 to 56 g/l. Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) found hypergammaglobulinemia (> 12 g/l) in 81% of 116 published cases. Shrand (1964) calculated that 30% of toxocariasis cases were accompanied by reports of elevated serum globulins. Snyder (1961) found five of eight patients had elevated globulin levels from 12 to 82 g/l but that hyperglobulinemia was a feature restricted to the more severe cases. Hyperglobulinemia defined as >30 g/l was noted in 73.8% of 153 published cases.

Huntley, Costas, Williams, Lyerly and Watson (1968) performed quantitative serum protein determinations on 50 patients with toxocariasis and 30 controls and showed that the albumin concentrations were significantly reduced in the patient group and associated with gammaglobulin levels greater than 12 g/l. Hypoalbuminemia less than 40 g/l occurred in 62.2% of 135 cases (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979).

Mok (1968) suggests that the hypergammaglobulinemia may be due to production of specific antibody against <u>Toxocara</u> larvae or their products, or be partially or wholly nonspecific globulin, or perhaps reflect altered liver function.

Anti-A. anti-B and Anti-IgG Factors

In 1956 Heiner and Kevy found anti-human blood group antigen A and B titers greater than 1,000 in their three patients. Shrand (1964) reported an anti-A titer of 4,000 and an anti-B titer of 500 in his patient. In the 51 patients reviewed by Huntley <u>et al</u>. (1965) two-thirds had isohemagglutinin titers above 250 while all controls were less than 250. Ninety-four percent of the 51 patients had elevated anti-B titers. Huntley, Lyerly and Patterson (1969) reported that the isohaemagglutinins in 12 of 13 patients were IgG class, suggesting that human A and B blood group antigens might be present in toxocaral larvae. In a summary of 78 cases, elevated isohaemagglutinins were present in 66.6% and heterophile antibodies were present in 74.4% (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979).

IgM anti-IgG antibody (rheumatoid factor) was elevated in 26 of 59 children with toxocariasis during the acute phase but returned to normal with clinical recovery (Huntley <u>et al</u>, 1966). Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) reported elevated rheumatoid factor in 50% of 34 cases. Anaemia

Snyder (1961) reports that erythrocyte counts revealed anaemia "in most cases" and haemoglobin concentrations varying from 5.8 to 14 g/100ml with less than 11 g/100ml in 80% of cases. Huntley <u>et al</u>. (1965) described anaemia in 50.9% of 51 patients and Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) noted it 62.7% of 117 cases. The possibility that anaemia (and malnutrition occurring in 45.9% of 172 cases, <u>loc.cit</u>.) might be predisposing factors in pica rather than sequelae of toxocariasis has not received critical analysis in the literature.

D. Ocular Toxocariasis

Wilder, (1950) while surveying histologically 46 eyes enucleated for suspected retinoblastoma and subsequently diagnosed (because no tumour had been found) as Coats' disease, pseudoglioma, or endophthalmitis, found nematode larvae in 24 and tissue reactions characteristic of nematode endophthalmitis in the remaining 22. At that time the larvae found in Wilder's series were thought to be hookworm and it was not until six years later that Nichols (1956) when reviewing this series, ascertained that the larvae present in five eyes were those of <u>Toxocara canis</u>, the remainder being too incomplete to assess. All 46 cases were retrospectively diagnosed as ocular toxocariasis. Since then, over 330 cases of intraocular <u>Toxocara</u> have been noted in the world literature (Table 3) and it has become evident that, in comparison with visceral toxocariasis, ocular toxocariasis is the expression of a clinically and epidemblogically distinct form.

1. Epidemiology

Shrand (1964) and Ferguson and Olson (1967) noted that ocular toxocariasis is found usually in children older than the one- to fouryear-old age group common in visceral toxocariasis. In a review of 245 cases, Henderson (1970) reported a mean age of 7.5 years with a range of 2 to 31 years. Schantz, Meyer, and Glickman (1979) observed a mean age of 8.6 years for their study group but noted that the date of onset preceded diagnosis by an average of 12.6 months, thus resulting in an average age of 7.5 years. Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) found 50% of 430 cases were less than 16 years of age and that mean ages varied according to the different ocular manifestations. The older age of patients with ocular toxocariasis might be explained in part by the difficulty in recognizing unilateral loss of vision in the very young child (Schantz et al. 1979), however ocular lesions are rarely seen in

visceral toxocariasis. The possibility that ocular toxocariasis might be a late-onset manifestation of visceral toxocariasis receives support from two case reports (Snyder, 1961; Bourke and Yeates, 1961) in which the visceral form was followed four years later by the ocular form. The relative frequency of ocular invasion as a proportion of total infections is unknown.

Pica does not appear in the histories of 100 cases reviewed by Zinkham (1978), however Schantz <u>et al</u>. (1979) found geophagia in 41% of 17 cases and attributed its detection to careful inverviewing technique. In the latter study, all cases and controls reported exposure to pets, and only exposure to puppies was significantly associated with the study group. Contact with dogs or cats was recorded in 33 of 245 cases (Henderson, 1970) but it was claimed that most investigators had not sought this information from patients.

<u>Toxocara canis</u> (cf. <u>T. cati</u>) appears to be the primary cause of human ocular toxocariasis. Of 86 enucleated eyes containing nematode fragments, <u>Toxocara</u> was identified to genus in 66 of which 63 could be speciated to <u>T. canis</u> (Henderson, 1974). <u>T. cati</u> has never been conclusively identified in the human eye although it has been suspected in at least one case (Harris, 1961). Olson and Petteway (1971) found that <u>T. cati</u> did not invade the eye in experimentally infected mice but that <u>T. canis</u> could readily do so and attributed this to the predeliction for brain tissue exhibited by T. canis.

Although epidemiologic studies of visceral toxocariasis commonly reveal a patient male:female ratio of approximately 2:1 (Snyder, 1961; Huntley <u>et al</u>. 1965; Mok, 1968; de Savigny and Tizard, 1977; de Savigny, Voller, and Woodruff, 1979; Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979), this relationship is less evident in ocular toxocariasis were ratios of 1.3:1 prevail (Henderson, 1970; Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979). The higher prevalence in males of both forms of toxocariasis is usually attributed

to culturally acquired behaviour patterns which result in a slightly higher level of hygiene in female children (Snyder, 1961). Some authors (Kernbaum, Tazi and Champagne, 1978; Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979) invoke as explanation, the superior humoral immune response of females afforded by the presence of the second X-chromosome.

In animals, experimental infections with varying numbers of larvae administered orally in a single dose have shown that the probability of ocular invasion increases with the number of ingested larvae (Olson, 1976). If this finding can be extended to the pathogenesis of ocular toxocariasis in man, ocular involvement should be more common in the most severe cases (ie. visceral toxocariasis). Paradoxically, the converse is true (see Section D.2.). If immune processes operate to protect the chronically infected host, then ocular invasion is more likely to occur in the individual not previously exposed to Toxocara and in whom relatively small numbers of larvae migrate unrestrained by the host's immune defences (Schantz et al, 1979). This is supported indirectly by the observation that ocular toxocariasis is extremely rare in dogs (Rubin and Saunders, 1965) however the specific immune status of Toxocara-infected dogs has not been examined. Factors governing the incidence of ocular toxocariasis in man also remain unknown. It is perhaps significant that anti-Toxocara antibody assays are negative in some cases of ocular toxocariasis (Duguid, 1961b, 1963; Woodruff, 1970; Kagan, 1979).

2. Clinical Manifestations

Ocular toxocariasis is encountered most commonly in the older child who presents with strabismus, uniocular visual disturbance, or blindness in one eye. Bilateral involvement is rare and has been suspected in only 12 of 430 cases (Hudomel, 1965; Ehrhard and Birnbaum, 1979). With rare exceptions (Unsworth <u>et al</u>, 1965; Danis, Parmentier, Maurus and Otten, 1966; Byers and Kimura, 1974; Negrel, 1977) ocular toxocariasis

does not co-exist with visceral toxocariasis and only occasionally (Bourke and Yeates, 1961; Snyder, 1961) can a prior history of visceral toxocariasis be documented. Thus the great majority of cases exhibit no hypereosinophilia, hepatomegaly, fever, pulmonary involvement, hyperglobulinaemia, immune anti-A or anti-B antibody, or elevated IgE levels (Woodruff, 1970; Henderson, 1970; Zinkham, 1978; Schantz <u>et al</u>, 1979). In contrast to eosinophilic granulomas which feature in visceral toxocariasis, the majority of inflammatory cells in some ocular lesions are monocytes and macrophages (Zinkham, 1978) although this is contrary to the reports of Wilder (1950) Rey (1962), Arean and Crandall (1963) and many others.

Schlaegel (1972) catalogued 14 clinically distinct forms of intraocular toxocaral infection to which Reese (1971) and Karel, Peleska, Uhlikova, and Hubner (1977) have contributed an additional two. The most frequently recorded manifestations in a survey of world literature (Table 3) are chronic endophthalmitis (classically described by Wilder, 1950; Duguid, 1961a) posterior-pole granuloma (Ashton, 1960; Duguid, 1961b; Ashton, 1969) and peripheral granuloma (Greer, 1963; Hogan, Welch and Spencer, 1965; Wilkinson and Kimura, 1971). All other aspects are of relatively infrequent expression.

Chronic Endophthalmitis

Endophthalmitis is the most common form of ocular toxocariasis (Perkins, 1966) and may in some cases be a sequel to or contemporaneous with larval granulomatosis, either posterior or peripheral (Duguid, 1963). Most reports describe posterior segment lesions although involvement of the anterior segment has been observed (Baldone, Clark and Jung, 1964). Endophthalmitis may progress to vitreous haze, subretinal exudation, and retinal detachment (Woodruff, Ashton and Stott, 1961; Duguid, 1961a; Rey, 1962). Vitreous bands associated

with retinal folds may also occur (Wilkinson and Welch, 1971). Of crucial diagnostic importance is the fact that the inflammatory mass seen in toxocaral endophthalmitis may masquerade clinically as retinoblastoma which also occurs most commonly in children (Wilder, 1950). Of 158 enucleations for retinoblastoma, 147 occurred in cases of endophthalmitis (Ehrhard and Birnbaum, 1979). The mean age of cases is 6 years 4 months (loc. cit.).

Posterior Pole Granuloma

Typically, the posterior pole granuloma is white or greyish, solitary, well defined, and most often located in the peri-macular region, often between the disc and the macula and sometimes in the macula. It is on average 3 to 4 disc-diameters in size (occasionally as large as 5 to 6 diameters) and may be slightly raised in the vitreous (Ashton, 1960; Duguid, 1961b; Ashton, 1969).

Among the merits of Ashton's (1960) publication is the proposal that if the larval granuloma can be clinically differentiated from retinoblastoma, surgical removal should not be necessary as the "larva in the granuloma may sooner or later die and the lesion heal by fibrosis". Woodruff (1970) confirmed this and described eight cases of ocular toxocariasis, diagnosed on the basis of clinical history supported by skin test results, who did not undergo enucleation. A five year follow-up revealed nothing suggestive of retinoblostoma. As a result, enucleation of this form is now relatively rare. A survey of 500 eyes of children enucleated for suspected retinoblostoma revealed non-malignant conditions in 265 of which only 18 (3.6%) were a result of toxocaral larval granulomatosis, this being the sixth ranked cause of mis-diagnosis (Howard and Ellsworth, 1965). Retinal granulomas due to <u>Toxocara</u> have often been found in adults (Raistrick and Hart, 1975; Raistrick and Hart, 1977) and it is remarkable that the mean age of

TABLE 3

REPORTED CASES OF OCULAR TOXOCARIASIS

STREET Ford Inc. Collins.

Reference	Year Symptomatology		No. of Patients	
Wilder	1950	Endophthalmitis	46	
Benedict	1958	Endophthalmitis	1	
Irvine	1959	Retinitis-peripheral	i	
Ashton	1960	Granuloma-posterior pole	4	
Jung and Pacheco	1960	Nodule on Iris	1	
Bourke and Yeates	1961	Endophthalmitis	i	
Duguid	1961a	Endophthalmitis	4	
Duguid	1961b	Granuloma-posterior pole	18	
Harris	1961	Granuloma-posterior pole	1	
Snyder	1961	Retinal haemorrhage	i	
woodruff et al.	1961	Endophthalmitis	1	
Rev	1962	Endpohthalmitis	i	
Braun-Vallon et al.	1963	Endophthalmitis	i	
Brett	1963	Endophthalmitis	i	
Green	1963	Endophthalmitis	Á	
Baldone et al	1964	Iridocyclitis	i	
Braun-Vallon et al	1964	Endonbthalmitis	i	
De Haan	1964	Endophthalmitis	i	
Appolman et al	1965	Initic	i	
Hogan at al	1965	Granuloma-nerinheral	i	
Hudomal	1965	Granuloma-posterior pole	i	
Huntlov ot al	1965	Endophthalmitic	i	
Bomby and Knatt	1905	Cranuloma-nosterior nole	2	
Henry and Krait	1905	Granuloma-posterior pole	ĩ	
Dam Tabak and Stain	1905	Granuloma-posterior pole	i	
Dar-Isnak and Stein	1900	Granuloma-posterior pole	i	
Danis et al.	1900	Endephthalmitic		
De buen et al.	1900	Konstitic larva in cornea	2	
Maumenee	1900	Changidanatinitic	1	
Demkine al.	1900	lugitie	15	
rerkins	1900	Endenhthalmitic	15	
Wanteski et al.	1900	Endophthalmitis	i	
TOSHTOKA	1900	Lucitic-postanion	i	
Forma et al.	1967	Endophthalmitic	i	
Ferguson and UISON	1967	Endophthalmitis	i	
Nolan	1908	Endophthalmitic	i	
Rubin et al	1908	Potinal baemorrhage	2	
Nam Motro	1968	Endophthalmitic	Ā	
Wiscoman and Weednuff	1908	Inidocyclitis	14	
HISEMAN AND WOODPUTT	1308	Granuloma-posterior pole	2	
4624		the tie	ĩ	
7d mkham	1069	Endophthalmitic	6	
2 inknam	1908	Endophthelmitic	41	
ASITON	1303	Cranulama-nosterion role	46	
IDIG.	1000	Granuloma-posterior pole	40	
nogarth-Scott et al.	1969	Endophthalmitis	2	
makiev et al.	1969	Endophthaimittis	۷.	

Cont.

TABLE 3

(Cont.)

Reference	Year	er Symptomatology	
Bird et al.	1970	Optic neuritis	1
Hardy-Smith et al.	1971	Anterior uveitis	i
Mullaney and Horan	1971	Endophthalmitis	i
Reese	1971	Orbital lesion	3
Wilkinson and Welch	1971	Endophthalmitis	13
ibid.		Granuloma-posterior pole	10
ibid.		Peripheral retinitis	18
Karel et al.	1972	Granuloma-posterior pole	1
O'Connor	1972	Sub-retinal tube	1
Kouba et al.	1973	Granuloma	3
Phillips and McKenzie	1973	Papillitis	1
Siam	1973	Granuloma-posterior pole	3
Bvers and Kimura	1974	Larval embolization	1
Swartz et al.	1974	Endophthalmitis	3
Gutow et al.	1975	Granuloma (with worm tracks)	1
Raistric and Hart	1975	Granuloma-posterior pole	1
Valnickova et al.	1975	Granuloma-posterior pole	1
Raistric and Hart	1976	Retinal haemorrhage	1
ibid.	1976	Choroidoretinitis	2
Wolter et al.	1976	Granuloma (with worm tracks)	3
Hart and Raistric	1977	Granuloma-posterior pole	8
Huismans	1977a	Granuloma-posterior pole	2
Huismans	1977b	Choroidoretinitis	1
Karel et al.	1977	Larva in lens capsule	1
Leisegang	1977	Nodule on Iris	1
Shields et al.	1977	Endophthalmitis	1
Zinkham	1978	Endophthalmitis	6
Schantz	1979	Endophthalmitis	5
ibid.		Granuloma	12
Glickman et al.	1979	Lesion on Iris	1
Biglan et al.	1979	Endophthalmitis	2
		Granuloma-peripheral	2
		Vitritis	ī
		Total	353

posterior pole granuloma due to <u>Toxocara</u> is 17 years 8 months (cf. supra) (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979).

Peripheral Granuloma

Internet of an

+ 1

17.75 m

here varafile

VILL INTER

St Flind

ALL PROPERTY.

10.10 -----

10140

It is necessary to distinguish between the peripheral granuloma discovered at enucleation in cases of chronic endophthalmitis (noted in four of ten cases by Wilkinson and Welch in 1971), and the peripheral granuloma visible by ophthalmoscopy in a relatively quiet eye. The latter is usually on the peripheral retina at the equator or associated with peripheral structures. Thus toxocariasis has become a newly recognized aetiology of chronic cyclitis, pars planitis, and peripheral choroidoretinitis (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979). The existence of such lesions suggests the possibility of a different route of larval invasion, possibly via ciliary vessels rather than retinal arteries. Compared to endophthal_mitis and posterior granuloma, peripheral granuloma is recognized most commonly in yet an older age range (Schlaegel, 1972). Other Forms

These include; larval embolism in retinal arterioles (Byers and Kimura, 1974); isolated retinal haemorrhage (Rubin <u>et al</u>, 1968; Byers and Kimura, 1974); papillitis (Bird, Smith and Curtin, 1970); iritis (Jung <u>et al</u>, 1960, Appelmans <u>et al</u>, 1965); iridocyclitis (Baldone <u>et al</u>, 1964; Wiseman and Woodruff, 1968; Woodruff, 1970; Smith and Greer, 1971); keratitis (Baldone <u>et al</u>, 1964; Maumenee, 1965); cataract (Karel <u>et al</u>, 1977); orbital lesions (Reese, 1971); pars planitis (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979); larva in lens (Karel <u>et al</u>, 1977). Hypotheses

Contrasts between the clinical features of ocular and visceral toxocariasis have posed many questions for which various explanations have been offered.

Woodruff (1970) suggests that ocular toxocariasis is predominantly

unilateral since the possibility of larvae entering both eyes must be small even in heavy infestations. Woodruff (1964) also points out that the predeliction of the parasite for the eye is more apparent than real. If a <u>Toxocara</u> larva should enter the eye by chance, it is likely to cause damage which will result in symptoms leading to a precise histologic diagnosis whereas if the larva is held elsewhere it is less likely to give rise to any specific symptoms leading to recognition.

Ashton (1967) postulates that the larvae, with a diameter of 18-21 μ , are readily able to pass through the central retinal artery (diameter 100 μ near the disc) and are trapped near the posterior pole within small arterioles of 20 μ in calibre (especially those temporal to the disc in the macular region) or they are swept on to be impacted at the retinal periphery.

Mok (1968) speculates that after leaving the retinal vessel "the larva commonly burrows from the retina into the vitreous inducing the formation of granulation tissue on the retinal surface. The larva attracts eosinophils, epitheleoid cells, giant cells, lymphocytes and plasma cells in different proportions.

To explain why ocular toxocariasis is usually manifested either by chronic endophthalmitis or by retinal granuloma (or a process in evolution between the two) Duguid (1963) proposed that the former occurs in the non-immune host with high "tissue sensitivity" in which the larva passes rapidly into the eye and causes damage leading to chronic inflammation, exudation, retinal detach ment, etc. In contrast the retinal granuloma is the response of an immune host with low tissue sensitivity and high resistance to the larva. The granuloma is seen as a foreign body reaction healing by fibrosis in analogy with tuberculosis. Elegant work by Kayes and Adams (1978) chronicling the development of persistent toxocaral granulomas suggests strongly that these are Type IV

hypersensitivity reactions (Gell, Coombs, and Lachmann, 1975) to living worms and evidence of cell mediated immunity. Wilkinson and Welch (1971) suggest that the site of the lesion is determined by chance and that the observed differences in clinical form are secondary to variations in nematode activity and host response. Ehrhard and Kernbaum (1979) provide circumstantial evidence and reasoned argument to indicate that chronic endophthalmitis occurs in response to a dead larva while retinal granuloma is the response to a living larva or its products.

It now appears that further clinical studies of natural ocular infections in man are unlikely to offer new insights regarding pathogenesis. To examine these speculations critically, an experimental animal model for ocular toxocariasis must be developed.

In summary, the body of literature describing the natural history of toxocaral infection in definitive and paratenic hosts is extensive. Within this, particular attention has been focused on the visceral and ocular manifestations of toxocaral disease in man. But commensurate with knowledge gained from past studies is a lengthening list of new questions: does age resistance to patent toxocaral infection in young canidae have an immunological basis? Is the epidemiology of human toxocariasis related to the size of dog populations or to the persistance and survival of larvated ova in soil? Are cats a significant source of human toxocariasis? How long do toxocaral larvae persist in human tissues? What is the local and world-wide period prevalence of human toxocariasis? Are particular sub-populations at higher risk? Is toxocariasis an unrecognized cause of eosinophilia and hepatomegaly in the tropics? What is the nature and

extent of association between toxocariasis and other disease entities such as asthma, epilepsy, poliomyelitis, multiple sclerosis etc.? Why do visceral and ocular toxocariasis appear to be clinically distinct entities? Is ocular toxocariasis (granuloma vs.endophthalmitis) an expression of altered immunoreactivity to <u>Toxocara</u>? Does congenital toxocariasis occur in man?

It is conceivable that the answers to these and similar problems could be efficiently sought through the careful use of serodiagnostic and seroepidemiologic information. The following section reviews the current 'state of the art' of toxocariasis serology.

E. Immunoassays for Toxocartasts

The serology of toxocariasis has been a field of constant activity since 1960. The large number of publications reflects both the need for such procedures and perhaps the unsatisfactory outcome of much of this research. As yet there is no standard procedure accepted internationally as the test of choice or reference. An analysis of the complete literature is provided in Table 4 indicating the assay used, the source and nature of the antigen employed, and the final application of the method. It is readily apparent that few procedures have evolved beyond the research stage to application in either immunodiagnosis or seroepidemiology. Historically, this lack of success has lead to a proliferation of reports describing essentially the same type of antigen used in a panopoly of methods. Unfortunately, relatively little effort was devoted to the investigation of alternative sources of antigen.

1. Immunodiagnosis

Immunoreactivity to <u>Toxocara</u> has been measured by a variety of assays exemplified by the following works: Agglutination (Fellers, 1953); immunodiffusion (Kagan, 1957); bentonite flocculation (Sadun, Norman and Allain, 1957); haemagglutination (Jung and Pacheco, 1958; Kagan, Norman and Allain, 1959; Krupp, 1974; de Savigny and Tizard, 1977); <u>in vitro</u> larval precipitate test (Olson, 1960; Lamina, 1970); Schultz-Dale test (Sharp and Olson, 1961); direct cutaneous anaphylaxsis for delayed hypersensitivity (Duguid, 1961b); direct cutaneous anaphylaxis for immediate hypersensitivity (Woodruff, Thacker and Shah, 1961b); passive cutaneous anaphylaxis (Ivey, 1965); direct immunofluorescence (Hogarth-Scott, 1966); indirect immunofluorescence Bisseru and Woodruff, 1968); Prausnitz-Küstner reaction (Dobson, Campbell and Webb, 1967); immunoelectrophoresis (Jeska, 1967a,b); complement fixation (Fernando, 1968a,c,) conglutinating complement absorption test

(Fernando and Soulsby, 1974); Soluble antigen fluorescent antibody assay (de Savigny and Tizard, 1977); paper radioimmunosorbent assay (Girdwood, Smith, Bruce, and Quinn, 1978); enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (de Savigny and Voller, 1978; Glickman, Schantz, Dombroske and Cypess, 1978; de Savigny, Voller and Woodruff, 1979); immunoradiometric assay (de Savigny and Voller, 1979).

A more detailed analysis of some key papers is provided in Table 5; contributions being selected not exclusively for their scientific merit (deficient in some) but also for their historical impact on the direction of subsequent work. Each of the assays described in Table 5 has been used in national reference diagnosis for toxocariasis. Some of these and other works are discussed below with a view to highlighting the problems encountered and the changes in approach which have evolved. Applications Using Somatic Antigen

Historically, Fellers (1953) was first to report the use of a serologic technique to detect circulating antibody to <u>Toxocara canis</u>. At a time when relatively sophisticated serological techniques for other parasitic diseases were in use (eg. Sabin and Feldman, 1948), this initial approach was perhaps optimistically simple. "Pulverized" fragments of adult <u>T. canis</u> were tested for agglutination in the serum of a patient with toxocariasis. Although the result was positive, no specificity was demonstrated and normal sera were not investigated.

Heiner and Kevy (1956) used a saline extract of emulsified adult toxocaral worms as antigen in immunodiffusion tests by which precipitin lines were demonstrated with the serum of three children with toxocariasis but not three other family members or two other patients tested.

Sadun, Norman and Allain in 1957 described the use of bentonite flocculation, complement fixation, and precipitin tests using an acid-soluble protein fraction (according to Melcher, 1943) of adult

<u>Toxocara</u> worms as a source of antigen. Flocculation was the most reproducible of the three tests and detected antibody two to three weeks after experimental high-dose infections in rabbits. No cross-reaction could be demonstrated in humans and animals infected or immunized with various viruses, rickettsiae, bacteria, protozoa, and helminths with the exception of <u>Ascaris</u>, <u>Clonorchis</u> and <u>Echinococcus</u>. Sensitivity was low, flocculation being reactive in only six of 24 children having high eosinophilia and symptoms comparable to those of toxocariasis, and in only one of three monkeys infected with 900,000 <u>T. canis</u> embryonated eggs.

Kagan, Norman, and Allain (1959) proceded to study the use of the tannic acid haemagglutination and bentonite flocculation tests using as antigen <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u>; a) whole worm and isolated tissue saline extracts; b) polysaccharide whole worm and tissue antigens prepared by ethanol fractionation; and c) a protein antigen prepared by the method of Melcher (1943). All but two of the 14 antigens isolated had serologic activity; however no specificity could be demonstrated. Despite their deficiencies, these haemagglutination and bentonite flocculation tests using adult somatic antigens have formed the basis of the national reference test for toxocariasis at the Communicable Disease Center of the US Public Health Service, Atlanta, until as recently as 1978.

Jung and Pacheco (1960) used an ethanol extract of adult <u>T. canis</u> and <u>A. lumbricoides</u> worms in a tannic acid haemagglutination test and were able to demonstrate titers for either <u>Toxocara</u> and/or <u>Ascaris</u> antibody above 1:80 in 79.3% of 29 cases of toxocariasis. However 10% of healthy controls also had titers greater than 1:80.

<u>Toxocara</u> worms as a source of antigen. Flocculation was the most reproducible of the three tests and detected antibody two to three weeks after experimental high-dose infections in rabbits. No cross-reaction could be demonstrated in humans and animals infected or immunized with various viruses, rickettsiae, bacteria, protozoa, and helminths with the exception of <u>Ascaris</u>, <u>Clonorchis</u> and <u>Echinococcus</u>. Sensitivity was low, flocculation being reactive in only six of 24 children having high eosinophilia and symptoms comparable to those of toxocariasis, and in only one of three monkeys infected with 900,000 <u>T. canis</u> embryonated eggs.

Kagan, Norman, and Allain (1959) proceded to study the use of the tannic acid haemagglutination and bentonite flocculation tests using as antigen <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u>; a) whole worm and isolated tissue saline extracts; b) polysaccharide whole worm and tissue antigens prepared by ethanol fractionation; and c) a protein antigen prepared by the method of Melcher (1943). All but two of the 14 antigens isolated had serologic activity; however no specificity could be demonstrated. Despite their deficiencies, these haemagglutination and bentonite flocculation tests using adult somatic antigens have formed the basis of the national reference test for toxocariasis at the Communicable Disease Center of the US Public Health Service, Atlanta, until as recently as 1978.

Jung and Pacheco (1960) used an ethanol extract of adult <u>T. canis</u> and <u>A. lumbricoides</u> worms in a tannic acid haemagglutination test and were able to demonstrate titers for either <u>Toxocara</u> and/or <u>Ascaris</u> antibody above 1:80 in 79.3% of 29 cases of toxocariasis. However 10% of healthy controls also had titers greater than 1:80.

Vinke (1962) evaluated Jung and Pacheco's <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> haemagglutination antigens by testing 197 persons in Curaçao. It was concluded that "these antigens were not reliable in the diagnosis of larva migrans infections".

In 1963 Huntley and Mooreland described gel diffusion studies with extracts of adult <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> worms. Nine antigenic components were demonstrated in <u>Toxocara</u> of which five were common with those of <u>Ascaris</u>. In human toxocariasis, two of three cases showed precipitins to <u>Toxocara</u> antigen while one of one case of <u>Ascaris</u> infection also showed precipitins to this antigen.

Woodruff <u>et al</u>. (1964) reported the use of an intradermal skin test using an aqueous extract of dried adult <u>T. canis</u> to measure Type I (immediate type) hypersensitivity. At an antigen dilution of 1:1000 no cross-reactions were observed.

Mitchell (1964) described an indirect fluorescent antibody test using formalin fixed second-stage <u>T. canis</u> larvae as antigen. In <u>T. canis</u> infected animals specific antibody appeared within two weeks. A weak reaction with anti-<u>Ascaris</u> sera appeared after repeated infection. Sera from a group of rabbits and monkeys immunized or infected with various bacterial, viral and parasitic antigens gave no reaction. Suspected human cases of toxocariasis were not tested, however 35% of normal adult blood donors gave a significant reaction in the test.

Jeska (1967) analysed the antigens of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and detected, by immuno-diffusion, 40 components in the adult worm, some of which were shared with larval stages.

Fernando (1968a) reported a singificant rise in heterophile antibody levels in rabbits after challenge infection of 100,000 <u>T. canis</u> preceded by an initial infection of 1200 <u>T. canis</u> two weeks previously. Forssman antigen was present in eggs and in adult intestine but not in the cuticle.

Vinke (1962) evaluated Jung and Pacheco's <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> haemagglutination antigens by testing 197 persons in Curaçao. It was concluded that "these antigens were not reliable in the diagnosis of larva migrans infections".

In 1963 Huntley and Mooreland described gel diffusion studies with extracts of adult <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> worms. Nine antigenic components were demonstrated in <u>Toxocara</u> of which five wore common with those of <u>Ascaris</u>. In human toxocariasis, two of three cases showed precipitins to <u>Toxocara</u> antigen while one of one case of <u>Ascaris</u> infection also showed precipitins to this antigen.

Woodruff <u>et al</u>. (1964) reported the use of an intradermal skin test using an aqueous extract of dried adult <u>T. canis</u> to measure Type I (immediate type) hypersensitivity. At an antigen dilution of 1:1000 no cross-reactions were observed.

Mitchell (1964) described an indirect fluorescent antibody test using formalin fixed second-stage <u>T. canis</u> larvae as antigen. In <u>T. canis</u> infected animals specific antibody appeared within two weeks. A weak reaction with anti-<u>Ascaris</u> sera appeared after repeated infection. Sera from a group of rabbits and monkeys immunized or infected with various bacterial, viral and parasitic antigens gave no reaction. Suspected human cases of toxocariasis were not tested, however 35% of normal adult blood donors gave a significant reaction in the test.

Jeska (1967) analysed the antigens of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and detected, by immuno-diffusion, 40 components in the adult worm, some of which were shared with larval stages.

Fernando (1968a) reported a singificant rise in heterophile antibody levels in rabbits after challenge infection of 100,000 <u>T. canis</u> preceded by an initial infection of 1200 <u>T. canis</u> two weeks previously. Forssman antigen was present in eggs and in adult intestine but not in the cuticle.

In these animals (Fernando, 1968b) serum precipitins detected by agar gel diffusion were reactive with two antigens. It was shown that saline extracts of adult <u>T. canis</u> were deficient in at least three antigenic components present in extracts of infective ova.

In a review of toxocaral serodiagnosis, Kagan (1968) discussed the failures and suggested that "first, there may be no antibody in the serum during the chronic stages of visceral larva migrans; second, the antigens employed for diagnosis may not be sufficiently sensitive". It is significant that Kagan failed to identify antigen specifity as contributory.

In another review of the work to 1968 Soulsby (1968) restated the fact that only second-stage larvae of <u>T. canis</u> were responsible for toxocariasis in man but that antigens from the adult stage had been used in diagnosis. This author warned that such antigens were "relatively unpurified and liberal interpretation of reactions obtained with them should be guarded against".

Bisseru and Woodruff (1968) developed an indirect fluorescent antibody test using second-stage <u>T. canis</u> larvae as antigen. Reactive results showed fluorescence of the cuticle as well as fluorescent precipitates at the larval orifices. Cross-reactions were noted with <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> and subsequent absorption of reactive sera with <u>Ascaris</u> antigen was necessary. No cross-reactivity with other helminths was observed. Of normal, skin test negative, adults, 18.5% reacted positively while 34.5% of 29 patients with toxocariasis (clinical diagnosis with positive skin test) reacted positively.

Investigations using adult stage antigens and even heterologous species continued. Oliver-Gonzalez <u>et al.</u> (1969) analysed the serologic activity of adult <u>Ascaris suum</u> perienteric fluid fractionated with Sephadex G-200 and purified by cellulose acetate electrophoresis. Using

this antigen in tannic acid-indirect haemagglutination tests, 95% of children with presumed toxocaral visceral larva migrans were reactive. However 65% of adults from an ascaris endemic area also were reactive.

Hogarth-Scott, Johansson, and Bennich (1969) on examining the sera from 26 patients with clinical histories suggestive of toxocariasis demonstrated <u>Toxocara</u> reactive homocytotropic antibody as measured by passive cutaneous anaphylaxis in baboons. There was complete correlation between the presence of homocytotropic antibody and a 10- to 15-fold increase in serum levels of IgE.

Fernando, Vasudevan, Hamza, Pandithagunawardene and Samarasinghe (1970) studied precipitin reactions in monkeys (<u>Macaca sinica</u>) experimentally infected with <u>T. canis</u> and in children with suspected toxocariasis. Using saline extracts of adult and embryonated ova of <u>T. canis</u> and <u>A. lumbricoides</u> as antigen, these authors found four to five genus-specific antigens predominantly in the embryonated ova extract, and one antigen common to both genera. Of 53 children with the visceral larva migrans syndrome, ten had precipitin reactions specific for <u>T. canis</u>, the same ten showed precipitin reactions for the common group specific antigen, and seven reacted only with <u>Ascaris</u> antigen.

Wiseman and Woodruff in 1970 released an evaluation of their skin test for immediate hypersensitivity to <u>Toxocara</u> adult worm antigen. All of nine patients with proved toxocaral infection were reactive; of 122 with proved non-toxocaral helminthiases, 2.5% were reactive.

Aljeboori and Ivey (1970) described an improved indirect micro-haemagglutination test for detecting anti-Toxocara antibody in which they demonstrated crude larval or egg antigens to be more sensitive than adult antigens as indicators of antibody. Antibody was detected in experimentally infected baboons within one week, reached peak titers in two to three weeks and remained detectable for six to seven months.

Cross-reactions occurred with <u>Ascaris suum</u> infected baboons; however, encouraged by the sensitivity of the larval antigen these workers suggested that "attempts should be make to isolate specific antigens from the larval stage". Sera from cases of human toxocariasis were not tested by this method.

Lamina (1970a) used saline extracts of adult <u>T. canis</u> and various ascarids and could demonstrate no specific results by immunodiffusion.

Ball, Voller, and Taffs (1971) took advantage of accurately known histories of three patients to evaluate immediate and delayed skin sensitivity to extracts of <u>T. canis</u>, <u>A. suum</u>, and <u>Necator americanus</u>. Crude adult <u>Toxocara</u> antigen cross-reacted with <u>Ascaris</u> and <u>Necator</u> antigens and these authors recommended caution in the interpretation of both reactive and non-reactive results in the <u>T. canis</u> skin test. "A positive response may not be specific, and a negative response may indicate only that the infecting dose was below the threshold for the test or that infection occurred too recently or too long ago".

Beaufine-Ducrocq, Couzineau, Beauvais and Lariviere (1971) described an imaginative technique whereby embryonated eggs of <u>T. canis</u> were placed in a small section of washed hamster intestine, tied at each end, wrapped in hamster muscle, frozen at -20° C, sectioned by cryostat, and stored at -20° C. Immunofluorescence studies using Evans Blue as a counterstain showed titers of 160, 320, and 640 in three suspected cases of toxocariasis. Titers of <u>A. lumbricoides</u> carriers were not greater than 40.

Krupp (1974) examined sera collected from 237 patients with possible toxocariasis from areas within the U.S. using an indirect haemagglutination test with adult and larval <u>Toxocara</u> antigens. Of these, 35% were reactive while 5% (one of 19) were reactive in the uninfected control group.

Viens, Strykowski, Richards and Sonea (1975) described an indirect fluorescent antibody test using <u>T. canis</u> larvae sectioned in hamster muscle according to Beaufine-Ducrocq <u>et al</u>. (1971) but found absorption of test sera was necessary to avoid cross-reaction with ascariasis.

Relatively little effort has been devoted to the investigation of cell mediated immunity (CMI) in toxocariasis even though it is thought that such responses are more specific than humoral manifestations (Potaro, Kowalski, Howell and Ash, 1977). Wong, Embil and Ozere (1976) examined macrophage migration inhibition and lymphocyte transfromation using a crude extract of embryonated ova as antigen. Cross-reaction occurred between <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u>. Regarding CMI, it is noteworthy that the intradermal skin tests used by Duguid (1961b) and Khalil <u>et al</u>. (1971, 1976a, 1976b) measured Type IV (delayed) hypersensitivity to toxocaral antigens, however neither of these authors discussed the significance of this aspect.

Cypess and Glickman (1976) working in New York were first to report use of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for toxocariasis. These authors used a crude extract of embryonated <u>T. canis</u> ova but found that absorption of all test sera with <u>Ascaris</u> antigens was necessary to avoid non-specific results. Nevertheless 18% of healthy adults were positive. Cypess <u>et al</u>. (1977) later showed that the presence of C-reactive protein (CRP) in patients' sera could lead to false positives in this and other assays for toxocariasis using antigen prepared from ova. Despite these deficiencies, ELISA using somatic egg antigen was introduced as the reference diagnostic test at the U.S. Public Health Service, Communicable Disease Center (CDC) Atlanta in 1977.

Speiser and Weiss (1979) evaluated ELISA using toxocaral egg antigen obtained from the CDC and found extensive cross-reactivity with

filariasis and minor cross-reactivity with ascariasis, ancylostomiasis, strongyloidiasis, and echinococcosis.

At the present time, although genus specific antigens have been identified in somatic tissues of <u>Toxocara spp</u>.(Jeska, 1969) the technical problems associated with their isolation and purification from the mixture of predominantly shared antigens have not been solved. Thus after two decades, no genus-specific immunoassays using somatic antigens are available for toxocariasis.

Following the development of <u>in vitro</u> cultivation for <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae (de Savigny, 1975) the further introduction of techniques using toxocaral somatic antigens declined dramatically in favour of assays using <u>in vitro</u> derived secretory antigens (Table 4).

Applications using Secretory Antigen

In 1960, Olson, aside from being the first to use larval stages of <u>T. canis</u> as antigen, was also credited with the first use of "metabolic" antigen. The system employed was the <u>in vitro</u> larval precipitate test in which living, second-stage toxocaral larvae, obtained from the liver and lungs of experimentally infected mice, were incubated at 37° C in a wax-sealed, hanging drop preparation of patients serum. The preparations were observed after 24 hours for the presence of immune precipitates of metabolite (excretions and secretions) adherent to the pores of living larvae. Sera of seven of 17 children with clinical histories suggestive of toxocariasis were reactive by this test. One of three patients with <u>Ascaris</u> infection was reactive.

Hogarth-Scott (1966) described a direct immunofluorescent technique for the demonstration of antibody to the excretory and secretory antigens of second-stage <u>T. canis</u>, <u>T. cati</u>, and <u>Toxascaris leonina</u> larvae. Living, artificially hatched, second-stage larvae of these parasites were incubated in fluorescein-conjugated human sera and sera from experimentally infected animals. The preparations were observed for the presence of fluorescent precipitates at the oral, anal and excretory pores. Intra-genus cross-reactions were detected between <u>T. canis</u> and <u>T. cati</u> larvae, however no reaction was observed with <u>Toxascaris leonina</u> in either the homologous or heterologous systems. No reaction occurred in sera from a clinically normal human group. Approximately 29% of sera from patients suspected of previous clinical visceral larva migrans were found to react positively by this test.

Hosoi (1969) studied the antigenic activity of somatic antigen from saline extracts of freeze-dried adult <u>T. canis</u> worms and the excretory and secretory antigen prepared from adult maintenance medium. Haemagglutination titres with homologous rabbit antisera were 5,120 for somatic antigen and 20,480 for secretory antigen. Immunoelectrophoresis of secretory antigen versus homologous antibody revealed only two components.

Lamina (1970b) reported the third application of an <u>in vitro</u> larval precipitate test in which living <u>T. canis</u> larvae were placed in serum from guinea pigs infected with <u>T. canis</u>, <u>T. cati</u>, <u>Ascaris suum</u>, and <u>Parascaris equorum</u>. This test system showed genus-specific results and detected specific anti-<u>Toxocara</u> excretion-secretion antibody six days post-infection and continued to detect antibody for at least 199 days.

Diconza (1972) characterized rat precipitins to <u>T. canis</u> larval secretory and somatic antigens. Larval precipitating antibodies were present in rats between 21 and 183 days post-infection with 1000 <u>T. canis</u> ova. The precipitins were stable at heating to 60° C for one hour. G-200 and DEAE-cellulose fractionated precipitins were stable at 70° C for 15 minutes and resistant to 2-mercaptoethanol. Immunoelectrophoretic analysis of serum fractions indicated that the fraction containing fast 75 globulin was primarily responsible for

precipitin activity.

In the fifteen years following the discovery of toxocaral secretory antigen, despite promising specificity and sensitivity, no routine diagnostic or epidemiologic applications of these antigens emerged because of the impracticality of demonstrating labelled or unlabelled immunoprecipitates at the orifices of live parasites. However, in 1975 de Savigny reported the development of an <u>in vitro</u> culture method whereby <u>Toxocara</u> larvae could be maintained as infective second-stage larvae in a synthetic, chemically defined medium. Using this system, a simple procedure was described for extracting genusspecific larval secretory antigens in pure form.

de Savigny and Tizard (1975, 1977) described the first use of <u>in vitro</u> derived nematode secretory antigens in conventional serologic techniques; haemagglutination and soluble antigen fluorescent antibody (SAFA). Both assays were highly sensitive, detecting antibody in experimentally infected animals within nine days of infection, in animals infected with as few as ten larvae, and in 85% of cases of suspected toxocariasis in man. Specificity at the genus level was established and reproducibility was satisfactory. The haemagglutination test using secretory antigen was subsequently introduced by the Ontario Ministry of Health as the reference diagnostic test for toxocariasis in Canada.

Stevenson and Jacobs (1977) compared the performance of toxocaral somatic antigens (in indirect fluorescent antibody assays) with that of secretory antigens (in <u>in vitro</u> larval precipitate tests) in experimental infections of pigs with <u>Ascaris suum</u>, <u>Toxocara canis</u>, <u>T. cati</u>, <u>Toxascaris leonina</u> and <u>Parascaris equorum</u>. Results confirmed the genus-specific characteristics of secretory antigen and permitted use of the in vitro larval precipitate test in the investigation of toxocariasis
in a pig population in which ascariasis was endemic (Stevenson, 1979).

de Savigny and Voller (1978) and de Savigny, Voller and Woodruff (1979) described the application of secretory antigens in the indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and reported high degrees of sensitivity, specificity, reproducibility, and antigen efficiency (further described in this thesis) and recommended its use in central reference immunodiagnosis and in seroepidemiology.

In Scotland, Girdwood <u>et al</u>. (1978) reported the application of <u>in vitro</u> culture derived, toxocaral secretory antigen in the paper radio-immunosorbent test (PRIST) and found no cross-recations in any of 16 helminthic infections tested.

In the USSR, Ignashenkova, Yampolskaya, Ermolin, and Lysenko (1978) and Yampokkaya, Ermolin and Lysenko (1978) independently evaluated the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA of de Savigny and Voller (1978), confirmed the absence of cross-reactions with ascariasis, echinococcosis and other parasitic diseases, and recommended it for clinical use. Yampolskaya and Ignashenkova (1979) further demonstrated the usefullness of this assay in seroepidemiologic studies (see E.2.).

In Canada, Yang and Kennedy (1979) compared the secretory antigen <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA to the haemagglutination reference test using the same antigen and found superior sensitivity by ELISA with no loss of specificity. There were no cross-reactions with ascariasis, trichinosis, or echinococcosis.

de Savigny and Voller (1979) devised an immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) for toxocariasis using secretory antigen but did not achieve any further increase of sensitivity compared to ELISA.

The practical aspects and rationale of the use of nematode secretory antigens in immunodiagnosis have been reviewed recently by de Savigny (1979).

The foregoing illustrates the spectrum of escalating technological sophistication which has been applied to the immunodiagnosis of toxocariasis. The analysis in Table 5 of several applications represents a cross-section of this spectrum and highlights many of the difficulties encountered by serologists when attempting to evaluate assay performance. Sensitivity, defined as the proportion of specifically diseased (or infected) individuals found positive by the assay (Martin, 1977) can rarely be determined with accuracy because the criteria which constitute clinically diagnosed toxocariasis have not been standardized and definitive parasitological diagnoses are generally not available. Relatively few authors have estimated the sensitivity of their applications or stated the criteria used for clinical diagnosis, thus, meaningful comparisons cannot be made. Specificity, defined as the proportion of healthy individuals found negative by the assay, can also be misleading because the prevalence of subclinical toxocariasis may vary between and among populations. Again, many of the proposed methods in the literature have neglected the examination of specificity in this way or have tested only very small samples. Similar criticisms of much of the literature can be raised concerning the search for crossreactivity with other helminthiasis. However, cross-reactions when encountered are, to a degree, presumptive, since any patient who has acquired toxocariasis is perhaps more likely than "normal" controls to have acquired other geohelminthic infections concurrently. A further difficult feature in the evaluation of these assays is the general lack of any critical assessment of reproducibility.

	Veen Accesy	Accay	Antigen		
Author	tear	Assay	Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory
	TER	TIARY AS	SAYS		
Direct Cutaneous Anaphyl	axis				
Jung & Pacheco	1958	ITH	Adult,L ₂	•	•
Sprent & Fnalish	1958	ITH	Adult	•	*
Duguid	1961b	DTH	Adult,L1	٠	**
Sharp & Olson	1962	ITH	L, .	•	*
Duquid	1963	DTH	Adult,L1	•	**
Woodruff et al.	1964	ITH	Adult	•	**
Woodruffet al.	1966	ITH	Adult	•	***
Dobson et al.	1967	ITH	Adult	•	*
Wiseman & Woodruff	1967	ITH	Adult	•	*
Riccoru	1968	ITH	Adult	•	**
Wicoman et al.	1968a	ITH	Adult	•	*
Wiseman et al.	1968b	ITH	Adult	•	**
Woodruff & Bradstreet	1968	ITH	Adult	٠	**
Wicoman et al	1969	ITH	Adult	•	*
Residend	1970	ITH	Adult	•	**
Uteeman & Woodruff	1970	ITH	Adult	•	**
Niseman a woodforf	1971	ITH.DT	Adult	•	*
ball et al.	1971	DTH	Adult,L	•	**
Knaill et al.	1971	ITH	Adult	•	**
Wiseman a woodrart	1975a	ITH	Adult,L	•	*
Collins a Ivey	1976	ITH	Adult,	• • •	*
Hogarth-Scott a reeres	1976	.b DTH	Adult,L.	•	*
Khalil <u>et al</u> .	1979	DTH	Adult,L	•	*
Khalil et al.	1575			•	
Passive Cutaneous Anap	hylaxis				
Ivev	1965	PCA	Adult	•	
Ivey & Slanga	1965	PCA	Adult	•	
Dobson et al.	1967	PCA	Adult	•	
Hogarth-Scott	1967	PCA	Adult	•	
lvev	1967	PCA	Adult	•	
Takeuchi	1970	PCA, AR	T Adult	•	

Takeuchi

IMMUNODIAGNOSTIC APPLICATIONS IN TOXOCARIASIS

TABLE 4

		70							
	1	ABLE 4	i						
(Cont.)									
Author	Year	Assav		Intigen	Use				
			Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory				
Collins & Ivey	1975b	PCA	Adult,L ₂	• 0	*				
Hogarth-Scott & Feerey	1976	PCA	Adult	•	*				
Daffala	1978	PCA	Adult	•					
Schultz-Dale Test									
Sharp & Olson	1961	S-D	La		•				
Sharp & Olson	1962	S-D	La						
Olson & Sharp	1963	S-D	Ova	•					
Olson & Sharp	1963	S-D	Ova	•	•				
	SECON	IDARY AS	SAYS						
Precipitin Reactions									
Heiner & Kevy	1956	ID	Adult	•	•				
Kagan	1957	ID	Adult,Ova	•	*				
Sadun et al.	1957	ID	Adult, Ova	. •	*				
Jung & Pacheco	1958	ID	Adult,L2	•	*				
Huntley & Moreland	1963	ID	Adult	•	•				
Ivey & Slanga	1965	ID	Adult	•					
Ivey	1965	ID	Adult	•					
Jeska	1967a	ID, IEP	Adult	•	*				
Jeska	1967b	ID, IEP	Adult,Ova	•	*				
Capron	1968	ID	Adult	•					
Fernando	1968b	c ID	Adult,Ova	•	*				
Perlmutter et al.	1968	ID	Adult.L2	•	**				
Jalayer	1969	ID	Adult	•	*				
Jeska	1969	ID	Adult	0	*				
Takeuchi	1970	RPT	Adult	•	*				
Fernando et al.	1970	ID	Adult,Ova	•					
Lamina	1970	10	Adult	•					
Khalil et al.	1971	ID	Adult	•	***				
Fernando & Soulsby	1974	ID	Adult	•	*				
Lamina	1974	ID	Adult	•	**				
Dafalla	1975	CPT	Adult	•	*				

1. Linnation

	•	TABLE 4				
		(Cont.)				
A				Antigen		
Author	Tear	Assay	Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory	
Zheleva	1975	ID,IEP	Adult	•		*
Khalil et al.	1976a	b PAT	Adult	•		***
Triboulez-Duret et al.	1976	ID	Adult	•		*
Zyngier	1976	ID	Adult	•		*
Cypess et al.	1977	ID	Adult,0va	•		*
Enayat & Pezeshki	1977	CIEP	Adult	•		*
Girdwood et al.	1978	CIEP	L,			*
Glickman et al.	1978	ID	Adult	•		*
Khalil et al.	1978	PAT	Adult	•		**
In Vitro Larval Precipi	tate Te	st				
Olson	1960	IVLP	L ₂			*
Richards et al.	1962	IVLP	L			**
Sharp & Olson	1963	IVLP	L2		•	*
Lamina	1968	IVLP	L_2		•	*
Jalayer	1969	IVLP	L,		•	*
Lamina	1970	IVLP	L,2			**
Diconza	1972	IVLP	L			*
Fernando & Soulsby	1974	IVLP	L			*
Lamina	1974	IVLP	L			**
Stevenson & Jacobs	1976	IVLP	L,			***
Stevenson & Jacobs	1977	IVLP	L			**
Stevenson	1979	IVLP	L2		•	***
Agglutination						
Fellers	1953	AGG	Adult	• •		*
Sadun et al.	1957	BF	Adult,Ova	•		*
Jung & Pacheco	1958	IHA	Adult,L2	•		*
Kagan et al.	1959	BF,IHA	Adult	•		**
Jung & Guillermo	1960	IHA	Adult	•		*
Vinke et al.	1962	IHA	Adult	•		***
Vinke et al.	1964	IHA	Adult	•		***
Ivey & Slanga	1965	BF,IHA	Adult	•		*
Ivey	1965	IHA	Adult	•		*

No. 24

and a surrow

State 2 state

Property and a little south

tings tings the set of the set time is the set of the set time is the set of the set

ALL IN CONTRACTOR

TABLE 4 (Cont.)AuthorYearAntigen Stage(s)AuthorYearAntigen Stage(s)Zheleva1975ID,IEP AdultAuthorYearAntigen Stage(s)SomaticSecretoryZheleva1975ID,IEP AdultAuthorTriboulez-Duret et al.1976IDAdultColspan="2">Colspan="2">AuthorAuthorGirdwood et al.1978CIUP L2AGirdwood et al.1978AuthorIn Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLPL2 \mathbb{A} Athor 1968IVLPL2 \mathbb{A} $$					71								
(Cont.) Author Year Assay Antigen Stage(s) Somatic Secretory Zheleva 1975 ID,IEP Adult • Khalil et al. 1976 a.b PAT Adult • Triboulez-Duret et al. 1976 ID Adult • Cypess et al. 1977 ID Adult • Zyngier 1976 ID Adult • • Cypess et al. 1977 ID Adult • Girdwood et al. 1978 ID Adult • • • • Girdwood et al. 1978 ID Adult • • • • In Vitro Larval Precipitate Test Olson 1960 IVLP L2 • • • Sharp & Olson 1960 IVLP L2 • • • • • Jalayer 1969 IVLP L2 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					TABLE 4	1							
AuthorYearAssayAntigen Stage(s)Zheleva1975ID,IEPAdult•Khalilet al.1976 a,bPATAdult•Triboulez-Duretet al.1976IDAdult•Zyngier1976IDAdult••Cypesset al.1977IDAdult,0va•Girdwoodet al.1978CIEPL2•Girdwoodet al.1978IDAdult•InVitroLarvalPrecipitateTestOlson1960IVLPL2•Alaina1962IVLPL2•Jalayer1963IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1972IVLPL2•Acanina1963IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1972IVLPL2•Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2•Acalutina1974IVLPL2•Acalutination1975IVPL2•Fellers1953AGGAdult•Jung & Bacheco1958IHAAdult,0va•Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult.0va•Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult•Jung & Guillermo1966IHAAdult•Jung & Guillermo1960					(Cont.)	(Con							
Stage(s) Somatic SecretoryZheleva1975ID,IEP Adult•Khalil et al.1976 a,b PATAdult•Triboulez-Duret et al.1976IDAdult•Zyngier1976IDAdult•Cypess et al.1977IDAdult•Girdwood et al.1977CIEPAdult•Girdwood et al.1978IDAdult•Girdwood et al.1978IDAdult•In Vitro Larval Precipitate Test•••Olson1960IVLPL2•Anina1968IVLPL2•Alayer1969IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Jalayer1972IVLPL2•Jalayer1972IVLPL2•Acamina1974IVLPL2•Astevenson & Jacobs1976IVLPL2•Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLPL2•Acalutination1979IVLPL2•Feilers1953AGGAdult•Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult, L2•Magan et al.1957BFAdult, 0va•Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult•Jung & Stanga1955BF, IHAAdult•Jung & Stanga1965IHA	lise		Antigen		Assav	Year	Author						
Zhe leva1975ID, IEPAdultKhalil et al.1976a,bPATAdultTriboulez-Duret et al.1976IDAdultZyngier1976IDAdult•Gypess et al.1977IDAdult, OvaEnayat & Pezeshki1977CIEPAdult•Girdwood et al.1978IDAdult•Girdwood et al.1978IDAdult•Girdwood et al.1978PATAdult•Khalil et al.1978PATAdult•In Vitro Larval Precipitate Test•••Olson1960IVLPL2•Sharp & Olson1963IVLPL2•Lamina1968IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1972IVLPL2•Diconza1972IVLPL2•Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2•Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2•Adultnation1979IVLPL2•Feilers1953AGGAdult•Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult, L2•Kagan et al.1957BFAdult, Ova•Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1952IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1964IHAAdult•Vinke et al. </th <th>bry</th> <th>Secretory</th> <th>Somatic</th> <th>Stage(s)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	bry	Secretory	Somatic	Stage(s)									
Khalil et al.1976a,bPATAdultTriboulez-Duret et al.1976IDAdultZyngier1976IDAdultGypess et al.1977IDAdult,OvaEnayat & Pezeshki1977CIEPAdultGirdwood et al.1978CIEP L_2 Gitckman et al.1978IDAdultKhalil et al.1978PATAdultIn Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLP L_2 AASharp & Olson1963IVLP L_2 Lamina1968IVLP L_2 Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1977IVLP L_2 AAStevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 AAAdglutinationFeilers1953AGGAdult•Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdultJung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult•Yunk et al.1957BF, IHAAdultYunk et al.1966Yunk et al.1964Yunk et al.1964 <td>*</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>Adult</td> <td>ID,IEP</td> <td>1975</td> <td>Zheleva</td>	*		•	Adult	ID,IEP	1975	Zheleva						
Triboulez-Duret et al.1976IDAdultZyngier1976IDAdult•Cypess et al.1977IDAdult,Ova•Enayat & Pezeshki1977CIEPAdult•Girdwood et al.1978CIEP L_2 •Gilckman et al.1978IDAdult•In Vitro Larval Precipitate Test•••Olson1960IVLP L_2 •Aichards et al.1962IVLP L_2 •Sharp & Olson1963IVLP L_2 •Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 •Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 •Diconza1972IVLP L_2 •Erenando & Soulsby1974IVLP L_2 •Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 •Acalutination1977IVLP L_2 •Fellers1953AGGAdult•Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult,Ova•Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1952IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1964IHAAdult•	***		•	Adult	b PAT	1976a,	Khalil <u>et al</u> .						
Zyngier1976IDAdultCypess et al.1977IDAdult,OvaEnayat & Pezeshki1977CIEPAdultGirdwood et al.1978CIEP L_2 Glickman et al.1978IDAdultKhalil et al.1978PATAdultIn Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLP L_2 Aichards et al.1962IVLP L_2 ASharp & Olson1963IVLP L_2 ALamina1968IVLP L_2 AJalayer1969IVLP L_2 AJalayer1969IVLP L_2 ADiconza1972IVLP L_2 AStevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 AStevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 AJung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult \circ Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1959Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1964Jung & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdultVinke et al.1964Jung & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdult \bullet	*		•	Adult	ID	1976	Triboulez-Duret et al.						
Cypess et al. 1977 ID Adult,Ova • Enayat & Pezeshki 1977 CIEP Adult • Girdwood et al. 1978 CIEP L_2 • Glickman et al. 1978 ID Adult • Khalil et al. 1978 PAT Adult • In Vitro Larval Precipitate Test Olson 1960 IVLP L_2 • Richards et al. 1962 IVLP L_2 • Sharp & Olson 1963 IVLP L_2 • Lamina 1968 IVLP L_2 • Jalayer 1969 IVLP L_2 • Lamina 1970 IVLP L_2 • Lamina 1970 IVLP L_2 • Erenando & Soulsby 1974 IVLP L_2 • Stevenson & Jacobs 1976 IVLP L_2 • Stevenson & Jacobs 1977 IVLP L_2 • Stevenson & Jacobs 1977 IVLP L_2 • Stevenson & Jacobs 1976 IVLP L_2 • Adgalutination Fellers 1953 AGG Adult • • Sadun et al. 1959 BF,IHA Adult • Jung & Pacheco 1958 IHA Adult • Jung & Guillermo 1960 IHA Adult • Vinke et al. 1964 IHA Adult • Vinke et al. 1964 IHA Adult • Vinke et al. 1965 BF,IHA Adult •	*		•	Adult	ID	1976	Zyngier						
Enayat & Pezeshki1977CIEPAdultGirdwood et al.1978CIEP L_2 AGlickman et al.1978IDAdult•Khalil et al.1978PATAdult•In Vitro Larval Precipitate Test•••Olson1960IVLPL2•Richards et al.1962IVLPL2•Sharp & Olson1963IVLPL2•Lamina1968IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1970IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1970IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1972IVLPL2•Jalayer1969IVLPL2•Lamina1972IVLPL2•Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2•Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2•Sadun et al.1953AGGAdult.0va•Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult.0va•Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1962IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1964IHAAdult•Vinke et al.1965BF, IHAAdult•	*		•	Adult,Ova	ID	1977	Cypess <u>et al</u> .						
Girdwood et al.1978CIEPL2Glickman et al.1978IDAdultGlickman et al.1978PATAdultKhalil et al.1978PATAdultIn Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLPL2Richards et al.1962IVLPL2Amina1963IVLPL2Lamina1968IVLPL2Jalayer1969IVLPL2Lamina1970IVLPL2Jalayer1969IVLPL2Lamina1972IVLPL2Lamina1972IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1974IVLPL2Acanada1975IFAdult.0vaStevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2Acanada1957BFAdult.0vaJung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult.0vaJung & Guillermo1960IHA	*		•	Adult	CIEP	1977	Enayat & Pezeshki						
Glickman et al.1978IDAdultKhalil et al.1978PATAdultIn Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLP L_2 Richards et al.1962IVLP L_2 Sharp & Olson1963IVLP L_2 Lamina1968IVLP L_2 Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Acqlutination1979IVLP L_2 Ferlers1953AGGAdultSadun et al.1957BFAdult,OvaJung & Pacheco1958IHAAdultJung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1965BF, IHAAdultVinke et al.1965BF, IHAAdult	*	A		La	CIEP	1978	Girdwood et al.						
Khalil et al.1978PATAdultIn Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLP L_2 Richards et al.1962IVLP L_2 Sharp & Olson1963IVLP L_2 Lamina1968IVLP L_2 Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Lamina1971IVLP L_2 Lamina1972IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Acqlutination1979IVLP L_2 Fellers1953AGGAdultJung & Pacheco1958IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAVinke et al.1952IHAVinke et al.1965BF, IHAVinke stanga1965BF, IHAAdult•	*		•	Adult	ID	1978	Glickman et al.						
In Vitro Larval Precipitate TestOlson1960IVLPL2 \clubsuit Richards et al.1962IVLPL2 \clubsuit Sharp & Olson1963IVLPL2 \clubsuit Lamina1968IVLPL2 \clubsuit Jalayer1969IVLPL2 \clubsuit Lamina1970IVLPL2 \clubsuit Jalayer1969IVLPL2 \clubsuit Lamina1970IVLPL2 \clubsuit Diconza1972IVLPL2 \blacktriangle Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLPL2 \blacktriangle Lamina1974IVLPL2 \bigstar Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLPL2 \bigstar Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2 \bigstar Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2 \bigstar Stevenson1979IVLPL2 \bigstar Agalutination1957BFAdult,Ova \circlearrowright Fellers1953AGGAdult \circlearrowright Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult,L2 \circlearrowright Kagan et al.1950BF,IHAAdult \circlearrowright Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult \circlearrowright Vinke et al.1962IHAAdult \circlearrowright Vinke et al.1965BF,IHAAdult \circlearrowright Vinke et al.1965BF, IHAAdult \circlearrowright	**		•	Adult	PAT	1978	Khalil <u>et al</u> .						
Olson1960IVLPL2 \blacktriangle Richards et al.1962IVLPL2 \checkmark Sharp & Olson1963IVLPL2 \checkmark Lamina1968IVLPL2 \checkmark Jalayer1969IVLPL2 \checkmark Lamina1970IVLPL2 \land Jalayer1969IVLPL2 \land Lamina1970IVLPL2 \land Diconza1972IVLPL2 \land Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLPL2 \land Lamina1974IVLPL2 \land Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLPL2 \land Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2 \land Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLPL2 \land Stevenson1979IVLPL2 \land AcalutinationSadun et al.1957BFAdult.OvaFellers1953AGGAdult.Ova \circ Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult.L2 \bullet Vinke et al.1960IHAAdult \bullet Vinke et al.1962IHAAdult \bullet Vinke et al.1964IHAAdult \bullet Ivey & Slanga1965BF, IHAAdult \bullet					st	tate Tes	In Vitro Larval Precipi						
Richards $\underline{et al}$.1962IVLPL2Sharp & Olson1963IVLPL2Lamina1968IVLPL2Jalayer1969IVLPL2Lamina1970IVLPL2Lamina1970IVLPL2Diconza1972IVLPL2Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLPL2Lamina1974IVLPL2Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLPL2Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLPL2Acqlutination1979IVLPL2Fellers1953AGGAdultJung & Pacheco1958IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAVinke $\underline{et al}$.1962IHAVinke $\underline{et al}$.1965BF, IHAAdult•	*	A		L,	IVLP	1960	Olson						
Sharp & Olson1963 $IVLP$ L_2 Lamina1968 $IVLP$ L_2 Jalayer1969 $IVLP$ L_2 Lamina1970 $IVLP$ L_2 Lamina1970 $IVLP$ L_2 Diconza1972 $IVLP$ L_2 Fernando & Soulsby1974 $IVLP$ L_2 Lamina1974 $IVLP$ L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976 $IVLP$ L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976 $IVLP$ L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977 $IVLP$ L_2 AcglutinationFellers1953AGGAdultFellers1953AGGAdult, L_2 Sadun et al.1957BFAdult, Va Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdultJung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultVinke slanga1965BF, IHAAdult	**	A		L,	IVLP	1962	Richards et al.						
Lamina1968IVLP L_2 Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Diconza1972IVLP L_2 Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 Acaglutination1979IVLP L_2 Fellers1953AGGAdultJung & Pacheco1958IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAVinke et al.1962IHAVinke et al.1964IHAAdult•Ivey & Slanga1965BF, IHAAdult•	*	A		L	IVLP	1963	Sharp & Olson						
Jalayer1969IVLP L_2 Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Diconza1972IVLP L_2 Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 AcglutinationFellers1953AGGAdult \bullet Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult \bullet Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult \bullet Vinke et al.1962IHAIvey & Slanga1965BF, IHAAdult \bullet	*			L ₂	IVLP	1968	Lamina						
Lamina1970IVLP L_2 Diconza1972IVLP L_2 Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 Stevenson1979IVLP L_2 AgglutinationFellers1953AGGAdult \bullet Jung & Pacheco1958IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAJung & Guillermo1960IHAVinke et al.1962IHAVinke et al.1964IHAIvey & Slanga1965BF, IHAAdult \bullet	*	A		L,	IVLP	1969	Jalayer						
Diconza 1972 IVLP L_2 A Fernando & Soulsby 1974 IVLP L_2 A Lamina 1974 IVLP L_2 A Stevenson & Jacobs 1976 IVLP L_2 A Stevenson & Jacobs 1977 IVLP L_2 A Stevenson 1979 IVLP L_2 A Acquitination Fellers 1953 AGG Adult • • Sadun et al. 1957 BF Adult,0va • Jung & Pacheco 1958 IHA Adult • Jung & Guillermo 1960 IHA Adult • Vinke et al. 1952 IHA Adult • Vinke et al. 1964 IHA Adult • Vinke et al. 1965 BF,IHA Adult •	**	A		L	IVLP	1970	Lamina						
Fernando & Soulsby1974IVLP L_2 Lamina1974IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 Stevenson1979IVLP L_2 AgglutinationFellers1953AGGAdult \circ Sadun et al.1957Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdultJung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965IVAAdult	*	A		L	IVLP	1972	Diconza						
Lamina1974IVLP L_2 A Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 A Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 A Stevenson1979IVLP L_2 A AgalutinationFellers1953AGGAdult O Sadun et al.1957BFAdult,Ova A Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult A Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult O Vinke et al.1962IHAAdult O Vinke et al.1964IHAAdult O Ivey & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdult O	*	A		L	IVLP	1974	Fernando & Soulsby						
Stevenson & Jacobs1976IVLP L_2 Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 Stevenson1979IVLP L_2 AgglutinationFellers1953AGGAdult \circ Sadun et al.1957BFJung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult \bullet Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult \bullet Vinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965BF, IHAAdult	**			L	IVLP	1974	Lamina						
Stevenson & Jacobs1977IVLP L_2 A Stevenson1979IVLP L_2 A AgglutinationFellers1953AGGAdult \circ Sadun et al.1957BFAdult,Ova \bullet Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult,L2 \bullet Kagan et al.1959BF,IHAAdult \bullet Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdult \bullet Vinke et al.1962IHAAdult \bullet Vinke et al.1964IHAAdult \bullet Ivey & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdult \bullet	***			L	IVLP	1976	Stevenson & Jacobs						
Stevenson 1979 IVLP L2 Agglutination Fellers 1953 AGG Adult O Sadun et al. 1957 BF Adult,Ova O Jung & Pacheco 1958 IHA Adult,L2 O Kagan et al. 1959 BF,IHA Adult O Jung & Guillermo 1960 IHA Adult O Vinke et al. 1962 IHA Adult O Vinke et al. 1964 IHA Adult O Ivey & Slanga 1965 BF,IHA Adult O	**	A		L	IVLP	1977	Stevenson & Jacobs						
Agglutination Fellers 1953 AGG Adult O Sadun et al. 1957 BF Adult,Ova O Jung & Pacheco 1958 IHA Adult,L2 O Kagan et al. 1959 BF,IHA Adult O Jung & Guillermo 1960 IHA Adult O Vinke et al. 1962 IHA Adult O Vinke et al. 1964 IHA Adult O Ivey & Slanga 1965 BF,IHA Adult O	***	A		L2	IVLP	1979	Stevenson						
Fellers1953AGGAdultOSadun et al.1957BFAdult,OvaImage: Constraint of the state of							Agglutination						
Sadun et al.1957BFAdult,OvaJung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult,L2Kagan et al.1959BF,IHAAdultJung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdult	*		• •	Adult	AGG	1953	Fellers						
Jung & Pacheco1958IHAAdult,L2Kagan et al.1959BF,IHAAdultJung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdult	*		٠	Adult,Ova	BF	1957	Sadun et al.						
Kagan et al.1959 BF,IHA AdultJung & Guillermo1960 IHA AdultVinke et al.1962 IHA AdultVinke et al.1964 IHA AdultIvey & Slanga1965 BF,IHA Adult	*		•	Adult,L2	IHA	1958	Jung & Pacheco						
Jung & Guillermo1960IHAAdultVinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdult	**		٠	Adult	BF,IHA	1959	Kagan et al.						
Vinke et al.1962IHAAdultVinke et al.1964IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965BF,IHAAdultIvey & Slanga1965IHAAdult	*		•	Adult	IHA	1960	Jung & Guillermo						
Vinke et al. 1964 IHA Adult • Ivey & Slanga 1965 BF,IHA Adult •	***		•	Adult	IHA	1962	Vinke et al.						
Ivey & Slanga 1965 BF, IHA Adult •	***		•	Adult	IHA	1964	Vinke et al.						
1065 THA Adult	*		•	Adult	BF, IHA	1965	Ivey & Slanga						
TAGA 1300 TUN MOULC	*		•	Adult	IHA	1965	Ivey						

Ash.

the training

anti a sensi atti a sensi

C. LINING

	т. ((ABLE 4	•			
Authon	Vern	A	Antigen			1100
Auchor	rear	Assay	Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory	-050
Wood et al.	1965	IHA	Adult	•		***
Jeska	1967a	IHA	Adult	•		*
Hosoi	1969a,b	IHA	Adult	•	A	*
Aljeboori & Ivey	1970	IHA	Adult,L ₂	•		*
Krupp	1974	IHA	L2 -	•		**
de Savigny & Tizard	1975	IHA	L,		A	*
de Savigny & Yang	1976	IHA	L		A	***
Hogarth-Scott & Feerey	1976	IHA	Adult	•		*
Triboulez-Duret et al.	1976	IHA	Adult	•		*
Zheleva	1976	IHA	Adult	•		*
Zyngier	1976	IHA	Adult	•		***
de Savigny & Tizard	1977	IHA	L ₂	٠	A	**
Enayat & Pezeshki	1977	IHA	Adult	•		*
Glickman <u>et al</u> .	1978	IHA	Adult	•		*
Complement Fixation						
Sadun et al.	1957	CF	Adult,0va	•		*
Fernando	1968a,	c CF	Adult,0va	•		*
Jalayer	1969	CF	Adult	•		*
Fernando & Soulsby	1974	CCAT	Adult	•		*
Triboulez-Duret et al.	1976	CF	Adult	•		*
Zyngier	1976	CF	Adult	•		*
Ruitenberg et al.	1976	CF	Adult	0		*
	PRI	MARY A	SSAYS			
Immunofluorescence Assay	L					
Mitchell	1964	IFA	L ₂	•		*
Hogarth-Scott	1966	FA	Ly		•	*
Bisseru & Woodruff	1968	IFA	L2, Ova	• 0		**
Hogarth-Scott et al.	1969	FA	L ₂		•	*
Baufine-Ducrog et al.	1971	IFA	Ova	•		*
Brown	1972	IFA	L ₂	• 0		**
Tettamanti et al.	1972	IFA	L ₂	• 0		*

**

Baufine-Ducroq et al.

1973

IFA

Ova

72

the second

A strengthe states and the states and the states and the states are states and the states are states and the states are s

7	3	

T		D		E		
	n	D	L	E	4	

(Cont.)

Authors	Year	Assav		-Use		
	·····	noouj	Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory	
arrow & Kane	1973	IFA	L,	• 0		***
ane	1973	IFA	Ova	•		*
nnen et al.	1975	IFA	L,	• •		*
le Savigny & Tizard	1975	SAFA	L,			*
liens et al.	1975	IFA	L	• •		**
le Savigny & Yang	1976	SAFA	L,		A	***
luitenberg & Buys	1976	IFA	Adult	0		*
leggs	1977	IFA	L,	0		**
le Savigny & Tizard	1977	SAFA	L,			**
Jacobs et al.	1977	IFA	L,	• •		***
/iens	1977	IFA	L,	• 0		***
Girdwood et al.	1978	IFA	L,			*
leiland et al.	1978	IFA	Adult,L,	• •		*
leich & Dobson	1978	IFA	Adult	•		*
Speiser & Weiss	1979	IFA	L,	• •		*
welch et al.	1979	IFA	L2	•		***
Immunoenzymoassay						
Cypess & Glickman	1976	ELISA	Ova	•		*
Cypess <u>et al</u> .	1977	ELISA	Ova	•		*
Glickman & Cypess	1977	ELISA	Ova	•		***
de Savigny & Voller	1978	ELISA	L2		•	*
woodruff et al.	1978	ELISA	L2		•	***
Weiland et al.	1978	ELISA	Adult,L2	•		*
Glickman et al.	1978	ELISA	Ova			*
Ignashenkova et al.	1978	ELISA	L,		A	*
Yampolskaya et al.	1978	ELISA	L,		▲	***
Schantz et al.	1978	ELISA	Ova	•		***
de Savigny et al.	1979	ELISA	La		*	**
Glickman et al.	1979a	, DELISA	Ova	•		***
Yang & Kennedy	1979	ELISA	La		A	**
Speiser & Weiss	1979	ELISA	Ova	•		**
	1000 C					

TA	BL	.Ε	4

(Cont.)

Authors	Year	Assav		Antigen		
	rear	ASSUJ	Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory	030
arrow & Kane	1973	IFA	L,	• 0		***
Kane	1973	IFA	Ova	•		*
Annen et al.	1975	IFA	L,	• 0		*
ie Savigny & Tizard	1975	SAFA	L			*
/iens <u>et al</u> .	1975	IFA	L,	• •		**
ie Savigny & Yang	1976	SAFA	Lo			***
Ruitenberg & Buys	1976	IFA	Adult	0		*
Beggs	1977	IFA	L,	0		**
de Savigny & Tizard	1977	SAFA	L,			**
Jacobs et al.	1977	IFA	L	• •		***
lens	1977	IFA	L,	• •		***
Girdwood et al.	1978	IFA	L,			*
Weiland et al.	1978	IFA	Adult,L,	• •		*
welch & Dobson	1978	IFA	Adult	•		*
Speiser & Weiss	1979	IFA	L,	• •		*
Welch et al.	1979	IFA	L,	•		***
Immunoenzymoassay			2			
Cypess & Glickman	1976	ELISA	Ova	•		*
Cypess et al.	1977	ELISA	Ova	•		*
Glickman & Cypess	1977	ELISA	Ova	•		***
de Savigny & Voller	1978	ELISA	La			*
Woodruff et al.	1978	ELISA	L			***
Weiland et al.	1978	ELISA	Adult,L,	•		*
Glickman et al.	1978	ELISA	Ova	•		*
Ignashenkova et al.	1978	ELISA	L,			*
Yampolskaya et al.	1978	ELISA	L			***
Schantz et al.	1978	ELISA	Ova	•		***
de Savigny et al.	1979	ELISA	L ₂		•	**
Glickman et al.	1979a	, BELISA	Ova	•		***
Yang & Kennedy	1979	ELISA	L,			**
Speiser & Weiss	1979	ELISA	Ova	•		**

TAB	LE	4

74

(Cont.)

Authors	Years	Accav		en	lico	
			Stage(s)	Somatic	Secretory	
Other Assays						
Dobson et al.	1967	PK	Adult	•		*
Hogarth-Scott	1968	MAGR	L,	0		*
Wong et al.	1976	MMI,LT	Ova	•		*
Girdwood et al.	1978	PRIST	L,			***
de Savigny & Voller	1979	IRMA	L			*
Welch & Dobson	1979	LT	L,	•		*
Kayes & Oaks	1980	MMI	L ₂	•		*

Legend

	Research (no diagnostic or epidemiologic applications
**	Serodiagnosis
***	Seroepidemiology
•	Whole Worm Antigen
0	Cuticular Antigen
A	Secretory Antigen
AGG	Agglutination
ART	Arthus Reaction
BF	Bentonite Flocculation
CCAT	Conglutinating Complement Absorption Test
CF	Complement Fixation
CIEP	Counter-immunoelectrophoresis
CPT	Capillary Tube Precipitin Test
DTH	Delayed Type Hypersensitivity
ELISA	Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay
FA	Direct Fluorescent Antibody Assay
ID	Immunodiffusion
IEP	Immunoelectrophoresis
IFA	Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Assay
IHA	Indirect Haemagglutination
IRMA	Immunoradiometric Assay
ITH	Immediate Type Hypersensitivity
IVLP	In vitro Larval Precipitate Test
LT	Lymphocyte Transformation
MAGR	Mixed Anti-globulin Reaction
MMI	Macrophage Migration Inhibition
PAT	Precipitin Adsorption Test
PCA	Passive Cutaneous Anaphylaxsis
PK	Prausnitz-Kustner
PRIST	Paper Radioimmunosorbent Test
RPT	Ring Precipitin Test
SAFA	Soluble Antigen Fluorescent Antibody Assay
S-D	Schultz-Dale lest

•12.00 million

· 1 2 11. 000

1.8		
		-
		- 11011
		111 - 1 - 111
		All The all.
		and a strategy
		in the strength
		All All Street and a second
		Inter Street of the second
		- Ite - Ite - Ite
		- Inter State - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
		II I III IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

Access	Anticon	Criteria for	Sensitivity	Specificity	Predictive	Value	Cross-Reactivity	Precision	Subjects	Reference	Source
ASSay	Antigen	Clinical Diagnosis	% (n ₁)	% (n ₂)	+	-	% (n ₃)		Tested	Diagnosis	Source
BF	Adult Somatic	"Eosinophilia and symptoms comparable with VLM"	25% (24)	99.6% (282)	97.9%	56.9%	Ascaris 31/45 Clonorchis 3/6 Echinococcus 1/7 Trichinella 1/79 Total 25 27 (127)	No Data	443	USA 1959-1978	Sadun, Norman and Allain (1957)
IHA	Adult Somatic	Not Stated	50% (14)	No Data	No Data	1	Ascaris No Data	No Data	14	USA 1959-1978	Sadun <u>et al</u> . (1959)
ITH	Adult Somatic	One of: -unexplained eosinophilia; -urticaria; -choroidoretinitis; -asthma	31.4% (35)	96.4% (55)	89.6%	58.4%	Trichuris 1/37 Hookworm 1/20 4 other spp. 0/16 Total 2.7% (73)	No Data	175	UK 1964-1970	Woodruff, Thacker and Shah (1964)
		Parasitological Diagnosis	100% (9)	98.7% (156)	98.7%	107%	Not Stated Total 2.4% (85)	No Data	284		Wiseman and Woodruff (1970)
IFA	Larval Somatic	Clinical criteria (not stated) plus positive ITH reaction	34.5% (29)	81.5% (27)	74.0%	55.6%	Ascaris 3/3 Wuchereria 1/2 7 other spp. 0/9 Total 14.2% (14)	No Data	128	UK 1968-	Bisseru and Woodruff (1970)
IHA	Larval Secretory	Eosinophilia > 20% plus any 2 of: -hepatomegaly;fever; -pneumonitis; -hyperglobulinaemia; -geophagia	85.2% (27)	98.7% (150)	98.5%	86.9	<u>Ascaris</u> 0/4	No Data	325	Canada 1975-1979	de Savigny and Tizard (1977)
ELISA	Ova Somatic	Any 5 of: -leucocytosis; -eosinophilia; -hepatomegaly; ↓IgG; -↓IgM; ↓anti A; ↓anti	78.3% (23) B	92.3% (39)	85.7%	87.8%	No Data (Sera absorbed wit <u>Ascaris</u> Antigen)	th No Data	62	USA 1978-	Glickman <u>et al</u> (1978)
ELISA	Larval Secretory	Eosinophilia>20% plus any 2 of: -hepatomegaly;fever; -pneumonitis; -hyperglobulinaemia; -geophagia	100% (20)	97.4% (922)	97.5%	100%	Trichuris 1/5 Hookworm 1/11 6 other spp. 0/51 Total 2.9% (67)	CV = 7.	7% 1009	UK Canada USSR Switzerlar 1979-	de Savigny Voller and Woodruff (1979 Id

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF REFERENCE IMMUNODIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES FOR TOXOCARIASIS*

TABLE 5

Number of toxocariasis patients tested. ations were done allo

n1

n₂ Number of healthy subjects tested.

n₃ Number of non-toxocaral helminth infected patients tested.

2. Seroepidemiology

Although the seroepidemiology of toxocariasis has been the subject of relatively few studies, much has been learnt from these regarding the nature and extent of toxocariasis in man. Skin hypersensitivity data, especially those compiled by Woodruff and his colleagues, have been particularly productive in this regard. Since the inception of the work reported herein, ELISA has rapidly supplanted the skin test as the prime source of seroepidemiologic information (Table 6).

The period prevalence of toxocariasis (subclinical infection or past infection) in man as surveyed from the literature indicates that asymptomatic infections are wide-spread. Prevalence generally ranges from 2 to 3% in temperate regions and arid tropical regions, and up to 30% in humid tropical regions. In practice, skin test results using crude antigen appear to be relatively more specific than serological assays using the same antigens. This has been noted in other helminthiases (Grove, 1979) but is unexplained. There have been some anomolous reports in the literature: eg. Kane (1973) reported 56.3 percent and Wood <u>et al</u>. (1965) reported 45.3 percent of healthy adults in the UK and USA respectively with positive <u>Toxocara</u> IFA and IHA results. Such works were not considered in the survey for Table 6 unless the authors had shown prevalidation of the assays in terms of non-specific reactivity.

The most comprehensive survey of period prevalence was reported by Yampolskaya <u>et al</u>. (1978) who, using larval secretory antigens and methods supplied by de Savigny, examined sera from approximately 1000 children living in diverse biomes within the USSR. The prevalence of ELISA positivity was proportional to the prevalence of larvated toxocaral ova found in sympatric soil samples. Furthermore, there was an absence of toxocaral infection in dogs (and man) in the arctic (confirmed by

A DECEMBER OF A

Percent No. Location Assay Reference Positive Tested Canada 2.7 150 IHA, SAFA de Savigny et al. (1977)Toronto Montreal 4.5 940 IFA Viens et al. 1977 3.5 310 ELISA Yang et al. (1979) Toronto ITH Bradford Cyprus 2.0 200 (1970) Egypt 2.2 3.7 Siwa 446 DTH, PAT Khalil et al. (1976b) 135 DTH, PAT ibid. Luxor 2.9 300 DTH, PAT ibid. Aswan 2.0 400 DTH, PAT Mansourah ibid. Kenya 160 ITH (1971) Nairobi 0 Wiseman et al. 10.7 Masai 75 ITH ibid. 5.5 199 ITH (1968)Bisseru Malaysia (1971)0.95 105 ITH Wiseman et al. Malta Nigeria 193 ITH ibid. Ibadan 4.1 Tanzania ibid. Dar es Salaam 8.2 134 ITH Uganda ITH ibid. Kampala 30.8 123 UK (1970) 1.35 156 ITH Wiseman et al. England 1970 England 1.86 485 ITH Woodruff Woodruff et al. Girdwood et al. 1966 329 ITH England 2.1 1978 Scotland 2.0 200 PRIST 922 de Savigny et al. (1979) England 2.6 ELISA USA (1957) 282 BF Sadun et al. Georgia 0.4 (1960) Louisiana 1.1 190 IHA Jung et al. 1962) 2.8 70 IVLP Richards et al. Texas (1977) ELISA Glickman et al. New York 18.0 104 USSR (1978b) 290 ELISA Yampolskaya et al. Arctic circle 0 84 ELISA ibid. Kamchatka 0 West Siberia 1.0 100 ELISA ibid. 90 ELISA ibid. Samarkand 1.1 254 ELISA ibid. Belev 5.5 ibid. Moscow 5.4 93 ELISA

Surveyed from published servepidemiologic studies on sample populations of at least 50 healthy subjects.

ELISA

61

8.2

Georgia

ibid.

11	
TABLE	6

PREVALENCE OF ANTI-TOXOCARA IMMUNOREACTIVITY IN MAN*

observations in Canada by Eaton and Secord, (1979).

Groups having closer contact with dogs than that of the general population have been studied. Jacobs, Woodruff, Shah and Prole (1978) tested by IFA, 34 professional dog handlers employed at a greyhound training establishment known to be contaminated with Toxocara ova (Jacobs and Prole, 1976). Positives were found 1.5 times more frequently in the exposed group compared to controls but the difference was not statistically significant. Glickman and Cypess (1977) using ELISA found no statistically significant association between toxocariasis and the occupational exposure of animal hospital employees, nor between toxocariasis and dog ownership. The design and interpretation of this study has been criticized (Hamble, 1977) and the results invalidated. Woodruff, de Savigny and Jacobs (1978), using ELISA, found that dog breeders and exhibitors had a significantly higher prevalence of seropositivity (15.7%) compared to the general population (2.6%) and that this difference was proportional to the number of years spent working with breeding animals.

Knowledge of period prevalence in healthy adults has allowed several authors to examine the suspected interrelationship between toxocariasis and other disease states (see Section C.2.). In asthmatics, immunoreactivity to <u>Toxocara</u>, compared to that in the general population, was more prevalent by factors of 8-fold (Wiseman and Woodruff, 1968); 3.4-fold (Woodruff, 1973) 4.9-fold (Viens, 1973) and 7-fold (Girdwood, <u>et al</u>, 1978). In paralytic poliomyelitis, positives were more frequent by factors of 6.5-fold (Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe, 1966) and 4.1-fold (Khalil <u>et al</u>. 1971). In epilepsy, positives were more prevalent by factors of 3.6-fold (Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe, 1966); 4.4-fold (Viens, 1977) and 3.7-fold (Glickman, Cypess, Crumrine and Gitlin, 1979). No association between toxocariasis and toxoplasmosis was observed

(Wiseman, Fleck and Woodruff, 1970). Historically, this was an important finding because the life cycle of <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u> was incompletely understood at the time and it was believed that <u>Toxocara cati</u> ova acted as protective vehicles for transmission of <u>Toxoplasma</u> (Hutchison, 1965). The subsequent discovery of the <u>T. gondii</u> sexual reproductive cycle and oocyst stage in cat faeces (Frenkel, Dubey and Miller, 1969) was supported by these negative findings in toxocariasis seroepidemiology.

Seroepidemiologic studies implicating toxocariasis in the transmission and pathogenesis of potentially severe microbial infections led Woodruff (1968) to conclude that the "eradication of ascariasis, including toxocariasis, from a region would be certain to bring with it much benefit to the health of the community concerned and probably not least among these benefits would be the prevention of many bacterial and viral illnesses".

CHAPTER II

TOXOCARAL SECRETORY ANTIGEN: PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION

INTRODUCTION

In a review of immunodiagnosis for parasitic diseases, Fife (1971) wrote "I believe that we may have tended to overlook what ultimately may prove to be the best source of antigen, namely, the secretions and excretions of living parasites".

This source has been neglected because the prerequisite is <u>in vitro</u> cultivation of helminths, a field which has provided many challenges but yielded few successes. In 1963, Silverman optimistically announced "Though it is clear that the task is not an easy one, there is little doubt that all parasitic organisms will be induced to survive, develop, and reproduce <u>in vitro</u> when sufficient intelligent effort is applied". Such achievement has proved elusive; no parasitic helminth species has been yet cultured through a single life-cycle <u>in vitro</u>. Although the problem of <u>in vitro</u> maintenance (ie. without development) is less difficult, the maximum life-span of maintenance cultures ranges from several days to only a few weeks. The exception is <u>Toxocara canis</u> which can be maintained <u>in vitro</u>, in synthetic medium, for over 1.5 years (de Savigny, 1975), thus providing a useful model for the study of nematode secretory antigens.

Historical Perspective

It was Chandler in 1932 who introduced the concept of the helminth metabolite / host anti-metabolite relationship. Sarles (1938) was credited with the first observation of this phenomenon, on describing the development of precipitates at oral, excretory, and anal pores of

ALCONTRACT,

Laibuciels.

April - Carriera - Car

nematode larvae (<u>Nippostrongylus</u>) incubated in immune serum, but not when incubated in normal serum. Taliaferro and Sarles (1939) confirmed this occurrence <u>in vivo</u>. Direct evidence supporting the view that excretions and secretions of helminths elicit an immune response in the host was provided by Thorson (1951, 1953) who demonstrated that immunization of rats with secretory antigen collected from <u>Nippostrongylus muris</u> provided partial protection against infection with the same parasite. The majority of literature concerning nematode secretory antigens describes their use as protective antigens (reviewed by Clegg and Smith, 1978).

Concerning diagnosis, the specific precipitation of nematode secretions by immune serum has been observed for a variety of nematodes both in vivo and in vitro (reviewed by Thorson, 1970) but the immunological nature of this was not elucidated until Jackson (1959, 1960) and Taffs and Voller (1962) used fluorescein-labelling to demonstrate incorporation of antibody in precipitiates. Hogarth-Scott (1965) presented evidence for antigenic specificity of secretory antigens at the genus level. Sadun and Norman (1957) were first to use secretory antigen (Trichinella) in serodiagnosis. Subsequent applications of nematode secretory antigens in immunodiagnosis are summarized in Table 7. Early diagnostic applications of toxocaral secretory antigens utilized the original phenomenon, ie. direct demonstration of precipitates at the orifices of living larvae following incubation for periods (up to 48 hours) in patient's serum (Olson, 1960; Lamina, 1970; Stevenson, 1977). A high degree of specificity and adequate sensitivity was reported but the in vitro larval precipitates (IVLP) test was slow laborious, and required a ready supply of live parasites. Use of IVLP was largely restricted to research applications and detection of antibody in experimentally infected animals. De Savigny and Tizard

(1975, 1977) initiated the use of nematode secretory antigens (<u>Toxocara</u>) in conventional serological tests (HA, SAFA) intended for routine application. These were shown to be genus specific, highly sensitive, and were found suitable as national reference tests in a public health laboratory service (Canada).

Despite encouraging results from diagnostic work using nematode secretory antigens, little is known of the nature of such antigens (Clegg and Smith, 1978). This chapter describes studies of (a) the long-term <u>in vitro</u> maintenance of <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae including the dynamics of antigen production, and (b) the physico-chemical and immunological characteristics of toxocaral secretions elaborated in vitro.

Species	Stage	Antigen Source*	Test System	Reference	
Nippostrongylus muris	La	A	IVLP	Sarles	(1938)
Ascaris lumbricoides	La	A	IVLP*	Lejkina	(1948)
Trichinella spiralis	L,	B	BF	Sadun and Norman	(1957)
Trichinella spiralis	L	A	FA	Jackson	(1959)
Nippostrongylus muris	Lai Lai La	A	FA	Jackson	(1960)
Toxocara canis	L,	A	IVLP	Olson	(1960)
Ascaris suum	L,	A	FA; IFA	Taffs and Voller	(1962)
Toxocara canis	L,	A	FA	Hogarth-Scott	(1966)
Toxocara cati	L,	A	FA	Hogarth-Scott	(1966)
Toxascaris leonina	L,	A	FA	Hogarth-Scott	(1966)
Toxocara canis	L	A	IVLP*	Lamina	(1968)
Heterakis gallinae	L,	A	IVLP	Tareneko and Krivutenko	(1974)
Toxocara canis	La	с	HA; SAFA*	de Savigny and Tizard	(1975)
Litomoisoides carinii	L ₅	B	IEP; CF; HA	Takoaka <u>et al</u> .	(1975)

TABLE 7

Contra La

Appendix for the second second

AND CANTER & WHAT IN TRACTION AND THE PROPERTY AND THE

. 0 . . t.

Scir

			TABLE 7 (Cont.)			
Species	Stage	Antigen Source*	Test System	Reference		
Necator americanus	LS	B	ELISA	Ogilvie <u>et al.</u>	(1976)	
Toxocara canis	L ₂	A	IVLP	Stevenson and Jacobs	(1977)	
Toxocara canis	L ₂	c	ELISA*+	de Savigny and Voller	(1978)	
Anisakis marina	Ly	C	CIEP	Watt et al.	(1979)	
Ascaris suum	L2; L3; L4	c	ELISA	de Savigny <u>et al</u> . (Unpublished)	3	
Ascaris lumbricoides	L3; L4	c	ELISA	de Savigny (Unpublished)		
Brugia pahangi	L3: L5: Mf	c	ELISA	de Savigny <u>et al</u> . (Unpublished)		
Necator americanus	L ₅	C	ELISA	de Savigny <u>et al</u> . (Unpublished)		
Onchocerca gutturosa	L ₅ ; Mf	c	ELISA	de Savigny <u>et al</u> . (Unpublished)		

 $\begin{array}{rcl} A &=& \underline{In \ vitro} \\ B &=& \underline{In \ vitro} \\ C &=& \underline{In \ vitro} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{rcl} & \text{secretion in complex culture medium} \\ & \text{secretion in synthetic culture medium} \end{array}$

+ See Table 4 for subsequent applications of Secretory Antigen in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA

.

Routine diagnostic application, all others used in research only *

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Acquisition of Parasite Ova

Toxocara canis adults were obtained from pups four weeks to six months of age at a local animal shelter, Pups were dosed with piperazine phosphate* (500 mg/kg) in the afternoon and faeces were collected the following morning. Adult ascarids were removed from faeces and washed in several changes of cold tap water to remove adherent faecal material. Female adults were segregated in individual petri dishes containing 1% formalin. The anterior and posterior fifths of each worm were severed and discarded. The bifurcate uterus was extruded from the remaining portion of the worm by applying pressure with a siliconized glass rod. Each uterus was placed in a separate petri dish containing 1% formalin and stripped free of ova. Ova in each petri dish were examined microscopically before pooling to confirm their identity as T. canis (cf. Toxascaris leonina). Ova so obtained were embryonated by incubation in a monolayer under 1% formalin at a depth of 3 mm in petri dishes. Embryonation proceeded for 30 days at room temperature with daily resuspension. Thereafter ova were pooled and stored at 4°C in 1% formalin. For estimations of larvated ovum numbers, samples were suspended in distilled water and counted microscopically by serial dilution in gridded petri dishes.

In vitro Hatching of Toxocara canis Ova

Embryonated ova were washed three times in distilled water by centrifugation at 85 g for three minutes and resuspended in 1.5 M sodium hypochlorite (NaOC1) for 30 minutes at 37°C to remove the albuminous coat. The suspension was then washed in distilled water until no chlorine odour was detectable (at least ten washings).

* Candizine, Norden Laboratories, Lincoln, Nebraska.

In vitro hatching was achieved by modification of Fairbairn's (1961) technique for Ascaris ova. A 1 ml distilled water slurry of decoated Toxocara ova was mixed with 2 ml of a 0.1M sodium bisulphite, 0.25M sodium chloride, 0.0025% tween 80 solution, and 2 ml of a 0.1M gassed sodium bicarbonate solution. This suspension was gassed for 15 minutes with a mixture of N_2 -CO₂ (95%:5%) at 37^oC. The suspension was sealed immediately and incubation continued for a further 1.75 hours. Following incubation the larval suspension was washed twice in Hanks' Balanced Salts Solution* (HBSS) with 100 units/ml penicillin and 200 µg/ml streptomycin and held overnight in HBSS at 37°C in a sterile Baermann apparatus consisting of five layers of lens paper in a sedimentation flask. Most viable hatched larvae migrated through the lens paper while unhatched eggs, hatching debris, and dead larvae retained on or in the paper. Larvae collected in this manner did not require further washing and were dispensed into 10 ml of maintenance medium for counting as described above.

In vitro Cultivation of Toxocaral Larvae

The method of de Savigny (1975) was used. Briefly, <u>T. canis</u> second-stage larvae recovered by Baermann filtration were dispensed into silicone stoppered roller drum tubes (18 x 150 mm) containing HMEM (Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium with Hanks' Salts)* with 100 units/ml penicilin and 200 μ g/ml streptomycin. A typical culture consisted of 10^6 larvae at a concentration of 10^4 /ml. Culture tubes were incubated vertically, without rotation at 37° C. Every seventh day tubes were examined and any showing contamination were discarded. Any in which mortality exceeded 5% were not used for secretory antigen production. Dead larvae could be removed by Baermann filtration. In satisfactory

* All culture media from GIBCO-Europe, Dundee, Scotland.

cultures, larvae were allowed to sediment and spent medium was aspirated aseptically. Fresh volumes of sterile medium were dispensed by a 5 ml Cornwall Syringe and the tubes reincubated. Spent medium (approximately 100 ml per week) was pooled, centrifuged to remove any larvae aspirated inadvertantly, and stored at -70° C.

Preparation of Toxocaral Secretory Antigen

Each month, the pooled harvest (approximately 500 ml) of HMEM containing larval metabolites, excretions, and secretions, was dialysed and simulataneously concentrated 20-fold in distilled water to 25 ml by hollow-fibre ultrafiltration (Amicon CH3 with H1C10 cartridge) retaining all components of molecular wight greater than 10,000 daltons. The retentate was designated as <u>Toxocaral Secretory Antigen</u>. Protein concentration was estimated by a micro-modification of the methods of Lowry, Rosebrough, Farr, and Randall (1951) and Garver, Cremer, and Sussdorf (1977) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Concentrated antigens were stored at -70° C or lyophilized.

Dead larvae (1 \times 10⁴) were incubated in HMEM at 37⁰C for two years and the culture supernatant was examined periodically for the release of somatic antigen. Larvae remained morphologically intact during this period.

Preparation of Toxocara canis Adult Secretory Antigen

Adult <u>T. canis</u> obtained as described above were axenized by incubation for 1 hour in 0.0015 M NaOH to purge intestinal contents, followed by incubation for one hour in saline containing 1000 units/ml of penicillin and 1 mg/ml of streptomycin. Ten adults were cultured in HMEM containing glucose supplement (22.5 g/l), 600 units/ml of penicillin, and 600 μ g/ml of streptomycin at 37^oC for 18 hours under 5% CO₂ and 95% N₂. Secretory antigen was extracted from culture fluids by centrifugation through Amicon "Centriflo Cones"(Type CF25) at 500 X g.

Preparation of Toxocara canis Larval Somatic Antigen

A suspension of 10^5 freshly hatched, second-stage <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae in 2 ml of phosphated buffered saline was sonicated. The supernatant resulting from centrifugation at 10,000 X g at 4° C was harvested as larval somatic antigen.

Preparation of Ascaris suum Secretory Antigen

Adult <u>Ascaris suum</u> were collected at a local abbatoir from pig intestines. Ova were obtained, embryonated and hatched as described for <u>T. canis</u>. <u>In vitro</u> hatched <u>Ascaris</u> second-stage larvae were cultured at 37° C in Medium-199 containing 25 mM HEPES buffer, 100 units/ml of penicillin, 200 µg/ml of streptomycin and gassed with N₂-CO₂-O₂ (90:5:5). Media were supplemented with glucose (1 mg/ml) and the synthetic tripeptide,glycyl-L-histidyl-L-lysine acetate (M.W. 400) (Sigma, London) at 200 ng/ml. (Stromberg, Khoury and Soulsby, 1977).

<u>Ascaris suum</u> third stage larvae were obtained from rabbit lungs seven days following experimental infection with 100,000 larvated ova administered by stomach intubation. These advanced-stage larvae were cultured by the same method as <u>Ascaris</u> second-stage larvae but at density of 200/ml during which time moulting to fourth-stage occurred.

<u>Ascaris</u> L_2 and L_3-L_4 secretory antigens were extracted from culture fluids by diafiltration through an Amicon PMIO membrane in a stirred cell (Amicon Model 52).

Preparation of Necator americanus Secretory Antigen

Infective third-stage <u>Necator americanus</u> larvae were obtained from faecal culture by standard methods and used to infect one- to two-day old golden hamsters percutaneously (approximately per hampster) by the method of Burt and Ogilvie (1975). Adult <u>Necator</u> were retrieved at necropsy 42 days after infection, axenized for 2 hours at 37°C, and washed 20 times in HBSS containing 500 units/ml penicillin and 500 µg/ml

streptomycin. Ninety nine <u>Necator</u> adults were maintained <u>in vitro</u> for 20 days at a concentration of 5 worms/ml in tripeptide supplement medium as described for <u>Ascaris</u>. Antigens were extracted by diafiltration through an Amicon PM10 membrane.

Preparation of Brugia pahangi Secretory Antigen

Adult <u>Brugia pahangi</u> were obtained from peritoneal cavities of experimentally infected girds (Courtesy of Dr. D. Denham). Ten adults were maintained for 10 days in medium NCTC-135 containing 200 units/ml penicillin and 200 μ g/ml streptomycin at 37^oC. Antigens were extracted as described for Toxocara.

Preparation of Antiserum to Secretory Antigens

Antiserum reactive to toxocaral secretory antigen was raised in a New Zealand White rabbit by intrasmuscular inoculation of 50 µg of secretory antigen in Freund's complete adjuvant, followed 28 days later by subcutaneous administration of 50 μ g of the same antigen in saline. Antiserum was obtained by venesection seven days later. An immunoglobulin fraction retaining 96% of the antibody response was obtained by ammonium sulphate precipitation (Hudson and Hay, 1976) followed by sodium acetate precipitation to facilitate the removal of lipoproteins (Harboe and Ingold, 1973). Antibody to Necator americanus adult secretory antigen, Ascaris suum third-stage larval secretory antigen, Brugia pahangi adult secretory antigen and Toxocara canis larval somatic antigen (sonicate) was raised in C57BL/6 female mice by intraperitoneal inoculation of 25 µg of antigen in Freund's complete adjuvant according to the schedule of March and Cooney (1976). Peritoneal fluid (approximately 5 ml/mouse) containing antibody was obtained by paracentesis weekly for four weeks commencing 30 days after the first dose. Clot formation in immune ascites fluid was minimized

by acid precipitation of fibrinogen (Chiewslip and McCown, 1972). Enzyme Immunoassay of Toxocaral Secretory Antigen

An enzyme immunoassay was developed to monitor in vitro production of larval secretory antigen during cultivation. The double antibody sandwich method (Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett, 1976) was employed using the rabbit immunoglobulin prepared above for both the first antibody (solid phase) and the second antibody (labelled with alkaline phosphatase by the one-step glutaraldehyde method (Voller, et al, 1976). The primary immunoglobulin was adsorbed to polyvinyl chloride micro-ELISA plates at an optimal dilution of 1:100 in coating buffer (see appendix for details of buffers) for 18 hours at 4⁰C. Wells were washed three times in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.5% tween 20 (PBS-Tween) and were then incubated for two hours at room temperature with samples (thought to contain secretory antigen) diluted 1:5 in PBS-Tween, and with known antigen standards in PBS-Tween. Anti-Toxocara immunoglobulin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate at a dilution of 10^{-3} was added for 18 hours at 4°C. Following further washes, the enzyme substrate, 4-nitrophenyl phosphate, at 1 mg/ml in diethanolamine buffer, was added. Hydrolysis was proportional to the quantity of enzyme-conjugate bound. The reaction was terminated with the addition of 3M NaOH after 30 minutes at room temperature and the amount of chromogenic hydrolysate (4-nitrophenyl) produced, was determined spectrophotometically at 405 nm. Antigen concentrations of unknowns were determined by interpolation from a standard curve.

Characterization of Toxocaral Secretory Antigen

<u>Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis</u>. Heterogeneity of secretory antigens was studied by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) in horizontal, thin-layer, 3.5% and 10% gels (Fernstrom and Moberg, 1977)

by acid precipitation of fibrinogen (Chiewslip and McCown, 1972).

Enzyme Immunoassay of Toxocaral Secretory Antigen

An enzyme immunoassay was developed to monitor in vitro production of larval secretory antigen during cultivation. The double antibody sandwich method (Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett, 1976) was employed using the rabbit immunoglobulin prepared above for both the first antibody (solid phase) and the second antibody (labelled with alkaline phosphatase by the one-step glutaraldehyde method (Voller, et al, 1976). The primary immunoglobulin was adsorbed to polyvinyl chloride micro-ELISA plates at an optimal dilution of 1:100 in coating buffer (see appendix for details of buffers) for 18 hours at 4°C. Wells were washed three times in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.5% tween 20 (PBS-Tween) and were then incubated for two hours at room temperature with samples (thought to contain secretory antigen) diluted 1:5 in PBS-Tween, and with known antigen standards in PBS-Tween. Anti-Toxocara immunoglobulin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate at a dilution of 10^{-3} was added for 18 hours at 4⁰C. Following further washes, the enzyme substrate, 4-nitrophenyl phosphate, at 1 mg/ml in diethanolamine buffer, was added. Hydrolysis was proportional to the quantity of enzyme-conjugate bound. The reaction was terminated with the addition of 3M NaOH after 30 minutes at room temperature and the amount of chromogenic hydrolysate (4-nitrophenyl) produced, was determined spectrophotometically at 405 nm. Antigen concentrations of unknowns were determined by interpolation from a standard curve.

Characterization of Toxocaral Secretory Antigen

<u>Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis</u>. Heterogeneity of secretory antigens was studied by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) in horizontal, thin-layer, 3.5% and 10% gels (Fernstrom and Moberg, 1977)

using tris-glycine buffer, pH 8.9, and a field strength of 15 V cm⁻¹ at 10° C.

<u>Analytical Isoelectric Focusing</u>. Antigen heteroegeneity and isoelectric point (pI) were investigated using horizontal, thin-layer (1 mm) polyacrylamide gels (5% gel, 3% cross-linking, 3.0% ampholyte) (Winter, Ek, and Andersson, 1977) with pH gradients ranging from 3.5 to 9.5 and from 9 to 11 maintained by constant power of 25W at 10° C. Samples were concentrated (Amicon CS 15) and eluted in 1% glycine buffer before isoelectric focusing. Triton-X 100 (0.5%) was added to gels and samples to enhance solubility of antigens at their respective pI's (Angellis, Inglis and Fishman, 1976). Gels were stained for proteins using Coomassie Brilliant Blue, (Winter, <u>et al</u>. 1977), glycoproteins using periodic-acid Schiff's stain (Zacharius, Zell and Morrison, 1969) and lipoproteins using Sudan Black (Uriel, 1971).

<u>Molecular Weight Determinations</u>. Molecular weights of secretory antigen protein moleties (2-mercaptoethanol reduced) were determined by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Weber and Osborn, 1969) calibrated using oligomeric markers (BDH, Poole, England) in 5% and 10% gels with a field strength of 5 V cm⁻¹ at 10° C. Proteins were stained by Coomasie Blue (Fernstrom and Moberg, 1977) and glycoproteins by periodic-acid Schiff's stain (Segrest and Jackson, 1972).

<u>Bi-Dimensional Immunoelectrophoresis</u>. Antigen heterogeneity was further examined using a micro-modification of two-dimensional (Laurellcrossed) immunoelectrophoresis (Weeke, 1973). Antigen (containing 16 μ g protein) was electrophoresed in 1.3 mm thick gels of 1% agarose in barbital buffer (ionic strength = 0.1 , pH 8.6) on glass plates (50 X 50 X 1 mm). First dimension electrophoresis was done at 5 V cm⁻¹ and the

The part of the pa

electrophoresed antigen was retained on the plate and the agarose on the remainder of the plate was replaced with another gel, 1.1 mm thick, containing rabbit anti-<u>Toxocara</u> immunoglobulin at a concentration of 250 μ g cm⁻². Second dimension immunoelectrophoresis was run at 2 V cm⁻¹ for 12 hours. The use of intermediate gels containing antisera to heterologous helminths was attempted to further assess antigen specificity. Antigens electrophoresed or isoelectrically focused in polyacrylamide gels were also examined in two-dimensional immunoelectrophoresis by use of laying-on techniques (Smith, Soderholm, and Wadstrom, 1977). Gels were pressed, washed, dried and stained for protein with Coomassie Brilliant Blue as described by Deans <u>et al</u>. (1978).

92

for 30 minutes at 15°C. An agarose strip 15 mm wide containing

RESULTS

Acouisition of Parasite Ova

All pups readily took the flavoured piperazine anthelmintic and of those treated as described, approximately 40% yielded ascarids, which when washed free of faeces and residual piperazine, were alive. On embryonation of ova obtained from adult female <u>T. canis</u>, approximately 50% of ova showed microscopic evidence of embryonation to the state containing motile larvae (Fig. 1). Microbial contamination of ova suspensions was never observed. Embryonated ova, stored at 4° C, remained infective to laboratory hosts for at least three years and could be hatched <u>in vitro</u> yielding viable larvae for <u>in vitro</u> cultivation for at least two years.

In Vitro Cultivation of Toxocaral Larvae

<u>In vitro hatching of T. canis</u> by Fairbairn's (1961) method for <u>Ascaris</u> resulted in a high yield (>98%)oflarvae from embryonated ova. In contrast, recovery of larvae from pepsin digests of brain, liver and lung of experimentally infected mice and of liver and lung of experimentally infected rabbits was attempted, but yields never exceded 40% of inoculum. Background hatching, as observed (Fairbairn, 1961) for Ascaris lumbricoides ova, did not occur with T. canis.

Various Baermann filter systems in use for <u>Ascaris</u> were investigated, including Whatman No.12, ED-512, and Kim-Wipe Tissues, but were found unsatisfactory for <u>Toxocara</u>. The relatively large size and rapid penetrating ability of <u>Toxocara</u> compared to <u>Ascaris</u> was such that five layers of lens paper optimally allowed the majority of active larvae to penetrate in staggered fashion, but retained hatching debris and dead larvae. Cuticles of the first ecdysis were not seen. The enhanced survival of <u>T. canis</u> larvae when maintained in HMEM is contrasted in Fig. 2 which demonstrates a culture half-life of 16 months.

Table 8 compares the mean lengths of <u>T. canis</u> larvae, sampled from various media between one and 500 days after <u>in vivo</u>. Only HMEM maintained larvae showed a dimensional relationship approximating available data from <u>in vivo</u> systems during early phases of culture. There is evidence of growth of larvae <u>in vitro</u> during later phases.

The appearance of <u>T. canis</u> larvae maintained <u>in vitro</u> alters after approximately four months (Figs. 3,4). An area of diffuse, dark, pigmentation appears in the procorpus and oesophageal region of an increasing proportion of larvae. These larvae show no gross differences in motility, behaviour, or infectivity compared to unpigmented forms. Pigmentation does not appear to be related to mortality.

Quantitative production of toxocaral secretory antigen was monitored using the double antibody sandwich ELISA (Fig. 5a), Results (Fig. 5b) revealed little variation in antigen output (approximately 200 pg/larva/ day) between the first week and 18 months of culture, however production during the first day post-hatching was significantly higher.

Characterization of Toxocara Larval Secretory Antigen

Immunoelectrophoresis of secretory antigen (16 μ g) against serum from a rabbit experimentally infected with 10⁴ <u>T. canis</u> detected a single component with cathodal mobility. Immunoelectrophoresis against hyperimmune serum from a rabbit immunized with <u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen revealed a minor component with anodal mobility, in addition to the same cathodal antigen (Fig. 6).

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis resolved one protein component with cathodal mobility. No glycoproteins or lipoproteins were detected. SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis indicated one major protein component with a molecular weight of 42,000 and two minor components

with molecular weights of 120,000 to 160,000 (Fig. 7).

Isoelectric focusing revealed a major component with pI 9.5 and a two minor components with pI 5.2 and 5.5 (Fig. 8).

Because of the high pI of the major component, it was necessary to modify the two-dimensional electrophoresis to detect antigens migrating in both directions (Fig. 9) and this revealed three components, one with cathodal mobility, two with anodal mobility. Neither these, nor other components were detected in intermediate gels containing antibody to <u>Necator</u> adult secretory antigen, <u>Ascaris</u> larval (L_3-L_4) secretory antigen, or <u>Toxocara</u> larval somatic antigens.

TABLE 8

Mean length in Microns (\pm 2 S.E.) of samples of 100 <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larvae following <u>in vitro</u> hatching and maintenance in various synthetic media compared with data from <u>in vivo</u> sources.

Culture		<u>in vitro</u>							
Period (Days)	in vivo*	HMEM	EMEM	M199	HBSS	PBS	SAL		
0	411			- 419 - (5.8)					
14	429	427 (5.0)	410 (7.2)	412 (6.8)	392 (9.8)	353 (3.2)	400 (4.6)		
40	430	438 (5.0)	388 (9.8)	403 (4.7)	Dead	Dead	Dead		
100	ND	450 (5.9)	ND	ND	+	+	+		
300	ND	453 (3.1)	ND	ND	+	+	+		
500	ND	485 (4.9)	ND	ND	+	+	+		

Means of 500 larvae recovered from rabbit tissue (Lautenslager, 1970)
ND Not Done





Fig. 1 Toxocara canis

(a) Non-infective, unembryonated ova 24 hours after
dissection from the uterus. Both fertile and infertile
ova are present. Fertilized ova are in the morula stage.
X 300

(b) Infective, larvated ova following embryonation in 1% formalin at room temperature for 28 days. Fertile ova contain motile second-stage larvae while infertile ova are unchanged.

X 300





d ova 24 hours after Both fertile and infertile d ova are in the morula stage. X 300

lowing embryonation in 1% e for 28 days. Fertile ova larvae while infertile ova

X 300

FIGURE 1




ova 24 hours after Both fertile and infertile ova are in the morula stage. X 300

i.

owing embryonation in 1% for 28 days. Fertile ova larvae while infertile ova

X 300

d ova 24 hours after Both fertile and infertile d ova are in the morula stage. X 300

lowing embryonation in 1% e for 28 days. Fertile ova larvae while infertile ova

X 300





Fig. 2 <u>In vitro survival of Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larvae when cultured in various synthetic media. Culture half-life in HMEM is 490 days (16 months).

•	HMEM	-	Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium with Hanks' Salts
•	EMEM	-	Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium with Earls' Salts
•	M199	-	Medium 199
۵	HBSS	-	Hanks' Balanced Salts Solution
•	PBS	-	Phosphate Buffered Saline
0	SAL	_	Saline

<u>anis</u> second-stage larvae tic media. D days (16 months).

ial Medium with Hanks' Salts ial Medium with Earls' Salts

Solution

ine





Fig. 3 Toxocara canis second-stage larva (from Nichols, 1956).

A	Anus
BA	Buccal Apparatus
DES	Dorsal Eosophageal Gland
DESN	Nucleus of DES
EB	Eosophageal Bulb
EC	Excretory Column
EN	Nucleus of Excretory Cel
EP	Excretory Pore
ET	Excretory Tubule
GN	Ganglionic Nucleus
GP	Genital Primordium
IS	Isthmus
INT	Intestine
MC	Metacorpus
NR	Nerve Ring
PC	Procorpus

х



Fig. 4 Toxocara canis second-stage larvae in vitro.

(Heat shocked for photomicrography)

a)	Cultured in synthetic medium for 48 hours.	X 300
b)	Cultured in synthetic medium for 18 months	X 300
-	showing pigmentation of the procorpus.	
c)	Cultured in immune serum for two hours	X 625
	showing oral and excretory pore precipitates.	

vae <u>in vitro</u>. phy)

m for 48 hours.	X 300
m for 18 months	X 300
procorpus.	
r two hours	X 625
pore precipitates.	







Enzyme immunoassay standard curve for quantitation Fig. 5a of Toxocara secretory antigen. Vertical lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

Fig. 5b Production of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen, released <u>in vitro</u> during 18 months of culture, quantitated by enzyme immunoassay. curve for quantitation en. Vertical lines indicate

nl secretory antigen, months of culture, passay.



FIGURE 5a



- Fig. 6 Immunodiffusion and Immunoelectrophoresis of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen
 - (a) Immunodiffusion
 - Centre well: Rabbit anti-<u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen hyperimmune serum
 - Periphery: Toxocara secretory antigen (0.5 µg to 16 µg)
 - (b) Immunodiffusion

Centre well: Toxocara secretory antigen (8 µg)

Periphery:

- 1. Rabbit anti-Toxocara canis secretory antigen
- Mouse anti-Toxocara canis somatic antigen
- 3. Swine anti-Ascaris suum

Immune sera

- 4. Cat antt-Brugia pahangi
- 5. Mouse anti-Necator americanus secretory antigen
- 6. Rabbit anti-Toxascaris leonina

(c) Immunodiffusion

- Centre row: Rabbit anti-<u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen hyperimmune serum
 - 1. Necator americanus adult secretory antigen
 - 2. <u>Toxocara canis</u> larval secretory antigen
 - 3. Toxocara canis larval somatic antigen
 - 4. Toxocara canis adult secretory antigen
 - 5. Ascaris suum larval (L₃-L₄) secretory antigen
 - 6. Toxocara canis larval secretory antigen

(d, e) Immunoelectrophoresis

(d)

- All wells: <u>Toxocara canis</u> larval secretory antigen (16 µg) electrophoresed at 10 V cm⁻¹ for 30 minutes
- Trough: Antiserum from Immunized Rabbits
 - Rabbit anti-<u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen hyperimmune serum.
- (e) Trough: Antisera from Infected and Control Rabbits
 - 1. Rabbit anti-Toxascaris leonina
 - 2. Rabbit anti-Ascaris suum
 - 3. Rabbit anti-Toxocara canis
 - 4 Normal rabbit serum

rophoresis of <u>Toxocara</u> larval

ara secretory antigen

y antigen (0.5 µg to 16 µg)

y antigen (8 µg)

ara canis secretory antigen ra canis somatic antigen s suum ahangi r americanus secretory antigen caris leonina

ara secretory antigen

<u>is</u> adult secretory antigen rval secretory antigen rval somatic antigen ult secretory antigen ral (L₃-L₄) secretory antigen rval secretory antigen

rval secretory antigen (16 µg) It 10 V cm⁻¹ for 30 minutes

munized Rabbits cara secretory antigen hyperimmune

fected and Control Rabbits scaris leonina tis suum cara canis rum









trophoresis of Toxocara larval

cara secretory antigen

ry antigen (0.5 µg to 16 µg)

ry antigen (8 µg)

<u>cara canis</u> secretory antigen <u>ara canis</u> somatic antigen <u>is suum</u> <u>pahangi</u> or americanus secretory antigen scaris leonina

<u>cara</u> secretory antigen m <u>us</u> adult secretory antigen arval secretory antigen arval somatic antigen dult secretory antigen val (L₃-L₄) secretory antigen arval secretory antigen

arval secretory antigen (16 µg) at 10 V cm⁻¹ for 30 minutes

mmunized Rabbits cara secretory antigen hyperimmune

fected and Control Rabbits scaris leonina ris suum cara canis rum



0,0000

C

0



d

e



FIGURE 6

Fig.7	Molecular weight estimation, by SDS pol	yacrylamid	e gel
	electrophoresis, of <u>Toxocara</u> larval sec	retory ant	igens.
			MN
			K Daltons
	(a) High molecular weight markers	(•)	56.0
			112
			168
	(b) Low molecular weight markers	(=)	14.3
			28.6
			42.9
			57.2
			71.5
			85.8
	(c) <u>Toxocara canis</u> secretory antigen	(x)	42.0
	(d) Albumin (human)	(□)	68.0
	(e) Ovalbumin	(0)	43.0



SDS polyacrylamide gel val secretory antigens.

> MM K Daltons (•) 56.0 112 168 (
>) 14.3 28.6 42.9 57.2 71.5 85.8 (X) 42.0 (□) 68.0

> > 43.0

(0)

tigen

FIGURE 7

SDS polyacrylamide gel rval secretory antigens.

MH K Daltons 56.0 (•) rs 112 168 (🔳) 14.3 28.6 42.9 57.2 71.5 85.8 tigen (X) 42.0 (0) 68.0 (0) 43.0



Fig. 8 Analytical isoelectric focusing of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen.

(a)	Toxocara canis Secretory Antigen	Batch	B ₁ 78	(15	μg)
(b)		Batch	8 ₅ 78	(15	μg)*
(c)	Ascaris suum Somatic Antigen			(70	µg)

The figure illustrates the high pI value (9.5) and the relative purity of toxocaral secretory products in contrast to <u>Ascaris</u> somatic antigens (perienteric fluid) in which at least 40 components are resolved in the original gel. Plot shows the pH gradient across the gel

* See Table 10 for description of antigen batches.

g of <u>Toxocara</u> larval

ntigen Batch B₁78 (15 µg) Batch B₅78 (15 µg)* en (70 µg)

e high pI value (9.5) and the ecretory products in contrast erienteric fluid) in which olved in the original gel. oss the gel

of antigen batches.





g of <u>Toxocara</u> larval

ntigen Batch B₁78 (15 µg) Batch B₅78 (15 µg)* en (70 µg)

e high pI value (9.5) and the ecretory products in contrast erienteric fluid) in which olved in the original gel. oss the gel

of antigen batches.





ng of <u>Toxocara</u> larval

ntigen Batch B₁78 (15 עg) Batch B₅78 (15 و1)* en (70 עg)

he high pI value (9.5) and the secretory products in contrast perienteric fluid) in which solved in the original gel. moss the gel

of antigen batches.



- Fig. 9 Bi-dimensional immunoelectrophoresis of <u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen (16 µg).
 - (a,b) First dimension: Electrophoresis of antigen in 1% agarose at 5 V cm⁻¹ for 30 minutes.
 Second dimension: Immunoelectrophoresis in 1% agarose containing rabbit hyperimmune antiserum (globulin fraction at 250 µg cm⁻¹) to <u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen, at 2 V cm⁻¹ for 12 hours.
 - (a) Anodal Antigens
 - (b) Cathodal Antigen
 - (c) First dimension: Analytical isoelectric focusing of antigen in polyacrylamide gel followed by "laying on" an antibody containing gel. Second dimension: Immunoelectrophoresis as in (a) and (b), Cathode at top.

sis of Toxocara secretory

phoresis of antigen in 1% D minutes.

electrophoresis in 1% agarose nune antiserum (globulin fraction ra secretory antigen, at 2 V cm⁻¹

cal isoelectricfocusing of gel followed by "laying on" l. electrophoresis as in (a) and



resis of Toxocara secretory

ophoresis of antigen in 1% 30 minutes.

belectrophoresis in 1% agarose mmune antiserum (globulin fraction <u>ara</u> secretory antigen, at 2 V cm⁻¹

Ical isoelectric focusing of a gel followed by "laying on" al.

pelectrophoresis as in (a) and

106

And increased in the owner water and the loss of the l

b





10 11 ġ 8 pH

resis of Toxocara secretory

ophoresis of antigen in 1% 30 minutes.

oelectrophoresis in 1% agarose mmune antiserum (globulin fraction <u>ara</u> secretory antigen, at 2 V cm⁻¹

ical isoelectricfocusing of e gel followed by "laying on" el.

pelectrophoresis as in (a) and



When Beaver <u>et al</u>. (1952) first defined toxocaral visceral larva migrans, they suggested that pathogenesis was due, in part,to the host's immune response to products of migrating larvae. Such immunogenic products,once ambiguously called 'metabolic' antigens, have been named 'excretory' and 'secretory' antigens (Thorson, 1953) or 'ES' antigens (Campbell, 1955). It is now known that nematode 'exoantigens' contain enzymes arising from glandular secretion at oral and excretory pores (Ogilvie and Jones, 1973), thus the term 'secretory' antigen (Despommier and Muller, 1976; de Savigny and Tizard, 1977) is adequately descriptive when dealing with non-dialysable components of nematode excretions and secretions and will be used in this thesis throughout. In light of on-going research on metabolic activities of nematode cuticles and the possible release of cuticular antigens (Maizels, de Savigny and Ogilvie, 1980) this terminology may require future modification.

The logical requisite for study of nematode secretory antigens is the use of <u>in vitro</u> cultivation. Historically, long-term cultivation of parasites <u>in vitro</u> has had the motive of culturing parasites from ova, through larval stages to adults, and completing the cycle to fertile ova (Silverman, 1965). Achievement of this goal is expected to further insights into essential physiologic mechanisms of host-parasite relationships and to make available models for study of genetic, developmental and immunologic aspects. To this end, workers have not hesitated, and indeed, have invariably found it necessary, to use media supplemented with undefined factors such as serum proteins, embryo extracts, liver extracts, yeast extracts, peptones, and cell cultures (Douvres and Tromba, 1970; Silverman and Hansen, 1971; Hansen and Hansen, 1978). In studies of protective immunity elicted by secretory anitgens, such supplements have not posed a serious disadvantage since the effect of extraneous proteins is

107

DISCUSSION

irrelevant or otherwise controlled (Stromberg and Soulsby, 1976, 1977; Clegg and Smith, 1978). However, use of supplements when producing secretory antigens for immunodiagnostic purposes has created serious problems since secretory products, usually present in low concentration (μ g/ml), must be isolated in pure form, uncontaminated by supplementary protein, usually present at high concentration (>10 mg/ml) (Guerrero, Green, Silverman, Meradante, 1974.)

It has been known that second-stage larvae of <u>T. canis</u> liberate secretory antigen when maintained <u>in vitro</u> in serum (Olson, 1960; Hogarth-Scott, 1966). However, aside from this thesis and previous work by the author (de Savigny, 1975) there have been no reports of <u>in vitro</u> cultivation of toxocaral larvae nor of the production of secretory antigen for the purpose of comprehensive characterization and serological evaluation.

In contrast <u>Ascaris suum</u>, perhaps owing to the relative ease with which the parasite is obtained, has received considerable attention in terms of <u>in vitro</u> cultivation (Fairbairn, 1961; Arean and Crandall, 1961, 1962; Crandall and Arean, 1964; Cleeland, 1963; Levine and Silverman, 1969; Justus and Ivey, 1969; Guerrero and Silverman, 1972; Stromberg, Khoury and Soulsby, 1977; Stromberg, 1979).

<u>In vitro</u> studies by Levine and Silverman (1968) showed that HMEM supplemented with porcine serum was a superior medium for the development of <u>A. suum</u> from the second to fourth larval stages. However HMEM, without protein supplementation, failed to support <u>Ascaris</u> larvae longer than 19 days. Other workers (Douvres and Tromba, 1970) have experienced similar difficulty in maintaining <u>Ascaris</u> larvae longer than three weeks in non-supplemented medium. The longest maintenance records are: 110 days using protein supplementation (Cleeland, 1963); 65 days using tri-peptide supplementation (this thesis); and 21 days using no

supplements (de Savigny, 1975).

It is significant therefore, that in the present study <u>T. canis</u> larvae were maintained for over 500 days, in synthetic, unsupplemented medium. The results indicate that subtle differences in the composition of media such as exist between HMEM, EMEM, and M199 can have a demonstrable effect on survival (Fig. 1) and dimensions (Table 8) of larvae. Evidently, HMEM contains all the essentials in satisfactory proportion for the maintenance of actively metabolizing second-stage <u>T. canis</u> larvae, and as such, should prove a valuable basal medium for parasitologists investigating larval development, ecdysis, migratory stimuli, chemotherapy, and physiology. These results also suggest a fundamental difference in the nutritive requirements of the second-stage larvae of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u>. More importantly, they re-affirm the contention that long-term cultivation of all nematode parasites is a practicable goal.

The relative ease by which long-term cultivation of <u>T. canis</u> has been achieved may be due to the biological phenomenon of paratenesis (characteristic of this parasite), which allows the biological "clock" (Chuw and Pasternak, 1969,Zuckerman, 1974) of the second-stage larva to stop for long periods for larval maintenance (without development) in paratenic hosts. It has been noticed in some nematode species (de Savigny, unpublished observations) that mortality <u>in vitro</u> commences at the approximate time that ecdysis <u>in vivo</u> should occur. Perhaps efforts in the <u>in vitro</u> cultivation field should be redirected towards the stopping of the parasite's "clock" rather than improvement of culture systems and media which may now be adequate.

The design of the <u>Toxocara</u> <u>in vitro</u> culture system excluded <u>in vivo</u> steps. Although this resulted in higher yields of larvae compared with <u>in vivo</u> hatching, of greater importance or antigen production,

was the elimination of additional host antigen contaminants and mediators of the host's immune system. The assumption was initially made that in vitro hatched larvae would secrete the same products as would in vivo derived larvae. Immune precipitates observed at the oral and excretory pores of in vitro hatched larvae (Fig. 2) incubated in serum from rabbits with active infection, and electrophoretograms (Fig. 5,8) of secretory antigen from in vitro hatched larvae versus the same immune rabbit serum, support this assumption. However, it is possible that the host may induce production of additional secretory products which are not evoked under in vitro circumstances. Quantitative production of secretory antigen assayed by ELISA remained relatively constant at approximately 200 pg/larva/day throughout 18 months of culture. This production represents a daily turnover of at least 0.1% of the larval biomass (estimated for a single larva as 125 ng). Antigen production remained constant despite the appearance at five months, of opacities in the procorpal region. The nature of this development was not investigated but it might reflect decline of the culture, accumulation of waste metabolites, redistribution of internal components, pathological change in secretory glandular function, or perhaps crystalization and incorporation of phenol red (HMEM pH indicator). Stained crystals have been noted in the intestinal lumen of Haemonchus contortus and Ostertagia ostertagi in vitro and were thought to be by-products of degenerative processes (Bird, Waller, Dash and Major, 1978). Nematode "age pigment granules" (Epstein, Himmelhoch and Gershon, 1972) and dark pigmentation associated with ageing (Kisiel, Himmelhoch and Zuckerman, 1973) are known. It is thought that pigment results from the peroxidation of lipids (Chio, Reiss, Fletcher and Tappel, 1969). Procorpal opacity was not correlated with mortality, however the possible loss of

staining after death could not be excluded. It is noteworthy that larval mortality increased as the mean length of second-stage larvae approached the minimum length of third-stage larvae (slow,free-running of the "clock"?). A theory that genetic programming of protein synthesis may encompass age related phases, in which genes produce useless enzymes, received support from studies which demonstrate that inactive enzyme molecules accumulate with age in nematodes (Gershon and Gershon, 1970; Zeelon, Gershon and Gershon, 1973). The foregoing considerations raised the question of possible qualitative and quantitative changes in secretory antigen production in geing cultures. However no physico-chemical differences were identified in antigen harvested at 15 months compared to that produced at one month. Immunological qualitative differences are investigated in Chapter III.

The observed thermo-phototropic behaviour exhibited by toxocaral larvae might provide a useful means of purifying live larvae from dead or moribund larvae and other contaminants.

Little is known concerning the chemical nature of nematode secretions. Ammonia, urea, peptides, amino acids, amines, uric acid and other organic acids have been found in the excretions and secretions of a variety of nematodes <u>in vitro</u> (Haskins and Weinstein, 1956; Weinstein, 1959; Stirewalt, 1963). Such low molecular weight components, if present, were removed during the diafiltration step of toxocaral secretory antigen preparation. Enzymic activity in nematode secretions has been demonstrated (Thorsen, 1963) including lipases and proteases. Olson and Izzat (1972) suggested that the preciptates observed at the orifices of <u>T. canis</u>. larvae incubated in homologous immune serum represent an enzyme-antienzyme reaction such as the anti-dehydrogenase activity described by Dusanic (1966) in experiments with <u>Trichinella spiralis</u>. It has been logical to speculate that nematode secretions should contain enzymes

since these are known to be necessary for hatching and ecdysis (Fairbairn, 1961) and penetration of host tissues (Lewart and Lee, 1954; Stirewalt, 1966). Protease activity has been demonstrated in <u>Ascaris</u> secretions (Hinck and Ivey, 1976) but it is not known if this arises from oesophageal (oral) or excretory pore secretions. It is generally accepted that the nematode excretory system is in fact a glandular secretory system (Weinstein, 1959; Romanowski, Rhoades, and Malakatis, 1973), and in ascarids, is probably associated with extracorporeal digestion of host tissue (Gibson, 1975). The excretory system of several nematode species contains alkaline phosphatase (Parshad and Guraya, 1977), a feature which may compromise the use of secretory antigens in enzyme immunoassays using alkaline-phosphatase as a label if this phosphatase is released into culture supernatants.

The anterior secretory gland of Nippostrongylus brasiliensis, Trichonstrongylus spp., Oesophagostomum spp., Necator americanus, Ostertagia spp., and Cooperia spp. secrete acetylcholinesterase (Sande'son and Ogilvie, 1971, Ogilvie and Yeates, 1974; Rothwell, Anderson, Bremer, Dash, Le Jambre, Merritt and Ng, 1976; Masaba and Herbert, 1978; Beaver and Dobson, 1978). Acetylcholiesterase (AChE) was found to be a useful marker for in vitro cultivation (Burt and Ogilvie, 1971) and to be antigenically genus specific (Rothwell et al. 1976). AChE production increased proportionately to host immunity (Sanderson and Ogilvie, 1971), showed changes in iso-enzyme pattern during response (Jones and Ogilvie, 1972), and stimulated a vigorous humoral responses (Yeates and Ogilvie, 1975). Since all nematodes which secrete AChE are gut-dwelling, Rothwell (1974) postulated that, because host intestinal structures are innervated by cholinergic fibres, nematode secretions containing AChE could influence intestinal function at the site of infection and act as a "biochemical holdfast" for nematodes. Although the adult stage of

Toxocara spp. 1s gut-dwelling, AChE was not demonstrated in secretions of adults (Rothwell, <u>et al</u>. 1976) nor larvae (this study) when assayed by the colormetric method of Ellman, Courtney, Valentino, Featherstone (1961).

Using techniques capable of high resolution, this work demonstrated that secretions of toxocaral larvae contain a single major protein component and at least two minor components. Compared with other helminth crude somatic antigens, which usually consist of dozens to hundreds of components, crude secretory antigen is a relatively simple mixture. This finding is in concordance with studies of secretory antigen heterogeneity in other species, which show the presence of between one and seven components (Crandall and Zam, 1968; Neilson, 1969; Jenkins and Wakelin, 1977) although Day, Howard, Prowse, Chapman and Mitchell (1979) reported over 20 components in Nematospiroides dubius secretions. The Coomassie Blue protein staining technique, with a sensitivity of 39 ng/mm² (Switzer, Merril and Shifrin, 1979), suggests that components which were barely detected have an estimated content of 200 ng. This would represent in the order of 2% of the protein applied to the gel. The amount of protein in the major band is not known, however components present at concentrations less than 20 µg/ml are probably not detected. The high pI value (9.5) of the major component imparted cathodal mobility at pH 8.6 and suggests that this is the major antigen seen in immunoelectrophoretic studies. The unusually high pI value of the major fraction should facilitate its concentration, isolation and purification by preparative isoelectric focusing.

SUMMARY

114

Studies of long-term <u>in vitro</u> maintenance for <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larvae confirmed the value of the HMEM culture system as a reliable quantitative source of larval secretory antigen during at least 18 months of culture. An enzyme immunoassay, developed to quantitate larval secretions released during culture, indicated a daily production of approximately 200 pg per larva. Morphological changes in the procorpal region of cultured larvae after five months <u>in vitro</u> are described. The life span of the <u>Toxocara canis</u> larval culture (half-life 16 months) is the longest on record for any metazoan parasite and supports the contention that <u>in vitro</u> cultivation of nematodes, in synthetic media, is a practicable goal.

Studies, of antigen heterogeneity revealed toxocaral secretory products as a simple mixture containing one major protein component and two minor components, the former having a molecular weight of 42 X 10^3 daltons and pI of 9.5, while the minor components were of molecular weight 120 X 10^3 to 160 X 10^3 daltons and pI of 5.2 and 5.5. Glycoprotein, lipoprotein and acetylcholinesterase were not detected. Single and bi-dimensional immunoelectrophoretic studies indirectly identified the 42,000 molecular weight component as the major secretory maponee antigen evoking a humoral/in the host during natural infection.

CHAPTER III

115

THE ENZYME-LINKED IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY IN HELMINTHOLOGY - DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR TOXOCARIASIS -

INTRODUCTION

Enzyme Immunoassay

The serological diagnosis of infectious diseases has relied for many years on assays which use secondary gross immunologic phenomena to demonstrate the primary event (ie. the antigen-antibody interaction). Such secondary serologic techniques suffer from various deficiencies including: insensitivity (precipitation); favouring of certain antibody classes (agglutination, haemagglutination, complement fixation); sensitivity to interfering agents (complement fixation); use of live pathogens (virus neutralization); and use of in vivo systems (passive cutaneous anaphylaxis). These shortcomings prompted development of immunoassays designed to measure primary reaction of antigen with antibody rather than secondary immunologic effects. In such assays, either of the reaction partners may be labelled with a marker whose purpose is to allow (1) perception and amplification of the primary antigen-antibody reaction, and (2) quantitation of antigen or antibody. Various labels have been used: bacteriophages (viroimmunoassay: Hamovitch, Hurwitz, Novik and Seal, 1970); free radicals (spin immunoassay: Leute, Ullman, and Goldstein, 1972); organometallic complexes (metalloimmunoassay: Cais, Dani, Eden, et al., 1977); radioisotopes (radioimmunoassay for antigens: Yalow and Berson, 1960, and Ekins, 1960; immunoradiometric assay for antibody: Miles and Hayes, 1968) and fluorophores (fluoroimmunoassay for antigen: Adler and Lqu, 1971, immunofluorescence assay for antibody: Coons, Creech
Allower and the second second

and Jones, 1941. These assays each have high sensitivity but also various limitations for practical application such as: unstable and/or hazardous reagents; costly equipment: slow test performance; low potential for automation; low potential for field use; narrow applicability.

The introduction of antibody-enzyme conjugates prepared without significant loss of either anitbody or enzymic function (Avrameas and Uriel, 1966; Nakane and Pierce, 1966) permitted the introduction of enzyme immunoassays, developed independently in Sweden (Engval) and Perlmann, 1971) and Holland (Van Weemen and Schuurs, 1971) for the purpose of antigen (hapten) quantitation. Later, Engvall and Perlmann, (1972) developed the immunoenzymatic assay for antibody quantitation which they named enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Both types of assay elegantly combined biological specificity provided by antibodies, with biological amplification, afforded by enzymes, yet avoided many problems inherent in other isotopic and non-isotopic immunoassays. Practical application of ELISA in medicine was not significant until Voller, Bidwell, Huldt, and Engvall (1974) developed the microplate modification, following which, the introduction of enzyme immunoassays in many fields for quantitation of both antigens and antibodies, followed an exponential course. Applications have been comprehensively reviewed by: Engvall and Carlsson (1976); Scharpe, Cooreman, Blomme and Laekeman (1976); Voller, Bartlett and Bidwell (1976a); Wisdom (1976); Engvall (1977); Schuurs and Van Weemen (1977); Voller, Bartlett and Bidwell (1978); Engvall and Pesce (1978); O'Beirne and Cooper (1979); Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett (1979).

Enzyme immunoassays are either heterogeneous (ie. requiring the separation of bound from unbound enzyme-labelled reactant to permit the measurements of either "bound" or "free" enzyme activity (Voller,

Bartlett and Bidwell, 1978; O'Beirne and Cooper, 1979) or homogeneous (ie. no separation of bound or unbound reactants required) (Rubinstein, Schneider and Ullman, 1972). It may soon be possible to quantitate antibody by homogeneous immunoassay (Zuk, Rowley and Ullman, 1979; Rubinstein, 1980) although no applications have yet been perfected. Only heterogeneous assays have been used for immunodiagnosis of infectious diseases, and of these, ELISA has found widest applicability (Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett, 1979). In ELISA (Fig. 10) antigen is passively adsorbed to a solid phase which is then incubated with test antiserum. The presence of specific antibody reacting with (and bound to) the solid phase is detected using enzyme-labelled anti-immunoglobulin conjugate, followed by the appropriate enzyme-substrate. Each of these stages (ie. antigen, antibody, enzyme labelled-anti-immunoglobulin and substrate) is punctuated by a "bound-free" separation step. In the final stage, it is assumed that the rate of enzyme hydrolysis of substrate is directly proportional to the amount of enzyme-labelled antibody bound to the solid phase, which in turn is proportional to the amount of primary antibody bound; thus a single test produces a quantitative result expressed on a continuous scale. ELISA for detection of antibody is now widely used in: studies of autoimmune disorders (reviewed by Pesce, Kant, Ooi and Pollak, 1978); virology (Bidwell, Bartlett and Voller, 1977); bacteriology (Carlsson and Lindberg, 1978); mycology (Carlsson and Bernandes, 1976); protozoology (Voller, Bartlett and Bidwell, 1979); and helminthology (reviewed below.) Use of ELISA in helminthology

Parasitology is often late to benefit from advances in other fields, however parasitologists have been quick to appreciate that the relative merits of ELISA (ie. sensitivity, reliability, economy and practicality) are of particular value to their field, and furthermore, that ELISA might play a special role in the developing world. Many early

applications of ELISA were devoted to parasitological, and especially protozoological problems (reviewed by: Voller, Bartlett and Bidwell, 1976b; Bout, Dugimont, Farag and Capron, 1976: Anon, 1976; Ruitenberg and Van Knappen, 1977; Hillyer and Kagan, 1979; Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett, 1979).

Trematodes. ELISA was introduced by Huldt, Lagerquist, Phillips, Draper, and Voller (1975) to detect antibody in patients with schistosomiasis, initially by use of the macro (tube), and later the micro (plate) formats (Voller, Bartlett and Bidwell, 1976). Schinski, Clutter and Murrell (1976) evaluated various tests and found ELISA and immunoradiometric assay to have the highest sensitivity. Deelder, Ruitenberg, Kornelius and Steerenberg (1977) demonstrated that useful data could be obtained when results were read by eye, but in field application using adult worm antigens, ELISA lacked sensitivity and specificity (Polderman and Deelder, 1977). McLaren, Draper, Roberts, et al. (1978) described the first use of ELISA in epidemiological studies of schistosomiasis and encountered cross-reactivity with other helminths but found specificity superior to that of IFA or CF. Other applications in schistosomiasis include those of Bout, Dugimont, Farag and Capron (1976); Voller, Bartlett, Bidwell and Edwards, (1977); Kelsoe and Weller (1978); Farag and Barakat (1978); Farag, Baraket, Awadalla and El-Gohany (1978); Salih, Bartlett, and Voller (1978); McLaren, Long, Goodgame and Lilleywhite (1979); Hillyer, Ruiz-Tiben, Knight, Gomes De Rios and Pelley (1979); Maddison, Kagan, Chandler, Gold et al. (1979); Speiser and Weiss (1979). Madwar and Voller (1977) and Stek (1978) used ELISA to detect circulating schistosomal antigens, the latter reporting 100% specificity. Although most authors reported enhanced sensitivity when using ELISA compared to conventional tests,

few provided data concerning specificity. Of those detecting cross-reactivity, none was able to improve specificity without resorting to antigen purification (Bout et al., 1976).

ELISA has been used in the diagnosis of fascioliasis (Bout, <u>et al</u>. 1976; Grelch and Horchver, 1977; Burden and Hammet, 1978; Hillyer, 1978; Hillyer and de Weil, 1979; Speiser and Weiss, 1979; Carlier, Bout and Capron, 1979); however cross-reactions were variable. There have been no applications of ELISA for paragonimiasis or opisthorchiasis.

<u>Cestodes</u>. Echinococcosis (hydatid disease) has been the subject of several applications; Bout <u>et al</u>. 1975; Capron, Bout and Dugimond, 1975; Sorice, Delia and Castagnari (1977); Ruitenberg, (1977); Felgner (1978 a,b,); Ambroise-Thomas, Des Georges and Monget (1978); Matossian, McLaren, Draper, <u>et al</u>.(1979) with acceptable results. Other workers (Farag <u>et al</u>. 1975; Ballard, Gavilova and Zorikhina, 1979; Speiser and Weiss, 1979) emphasized that purified antigens were more effective than crude antigens in ELISA for hydatidosis. Even so, Speiser (1980) reported cross-reactions with fascioliasis and filariasis.

Arambulo, Walls, Bullock and Kagan (1978) described a reproducible ELISA for cysticerciasis in man using cysticercal antigens however cross-reactions were observed with <u>Echinococcus</u> and <u>Schistosoma</u>. Cross-reactivity could be avoided by using <u>Taenia</u> antigens however sensitivity was compromised. In veterinary applications, ELISA, using somatic cysticercal antigen, performed well in the diagnosis of experimentally infected animals, but failed in field application because of high cross-reactivity with other helminths, including nematodes (Craig and Rikard, 1980). <u>Nematodes</u>. The application of ELISA for nematode infections has received comparatively little attention, most of which has been directed to toxocariasis (reviewed in Chapter I, Section E) and trichinosis.

The first application of ELISA in parasitology was for trichinosis in pigs (Ljunstrom, Engvall and Ruitenberg, 1974). Considerable effort was devoted to the technical development of large-scale, automated, serologic screening procedures needed in veterinary applications for trichinosis detection (Ruitenberg, Steerenberg, Brosi and Buys, 1974; Ruitenberg, Steerenberg and Brossi, 1975; Ruitenberg, Ljungstrom, Sterenberg and Buys, 1975; Ruitenberg, Steerenberg, Brosi and Buys, 1976; Ruitenberg, Van Amstel, Brosi and Steerenberg, 1977; Ruitenberg and Van Knappen, 1977 a,b; Saunders, Clinard, Bartlett, Peterson, Sanders, Payne and Martinez, 1977; Saunders, Clinard, Bartlett and Sanders, 1977). Arising from these studies was an automated ELISA system, operated by two workers, and capable of processing 4000 sera per day. However during this period, virtually no critical study examined the validity of using crude Trichinella spiralis antigen. Recently the problem of extensive false positivity was recognized and this now represents the limiting factor in the implementation of such programmes (Clinard, 1979). Trichinosis in man has been diagnosed using ELISA by Engvall and Ljungstrom (1975) Ambroise-Thomas, Des Georges and Monget (1978) and Manweiller, Stumpf, Felgner, and Lederer (1978).

Bartlett, Bidwell and Voller (1975) designed ELISA procedures using crude whole worm extracts of <u>Onchocerca volvulus</u>, <u>Onchocerca gutturosa</u> <u>Necator americanus</u>, <u>Dipetalonema viteae</u>, <u>Litomosoides carinii</u>, <u>Brugia pahangi</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u>. <u>O. volvulus</u> antigens obtained from human tissue gave unacceptably high non-specific ("background") values

in ELISA, possibly due to contamination of antigens with host immunoglobulin. Extensive cross-reactivity was encountered among the other species and these authors did not recommend ELISA using crude antigen as an alternative to conventional serodiagnostic methods for nematode infections.

Marcoullis, Salonen and Grasbeck (1978) used sequential affinity chromatography to purify <u>0. volvulus</u> whole worm extracts for use in ELISA but high backgrounds and cross-reactivity persisted.

Speiser and Weiss (1979) evaluated ELISA using antigen of seven helminth species, including <u>Ascaris suum</u> and <u>Dipetalonema viteae</u>, against panels of sera from nine parasitologically diagnosed helminthic diseases and concluded that when using crude antigens and testing at a single serum dilution, ELISA was inadequate for specific immunodiagnosis.

Ogilvie, Bartlett, Godfrey, Turton and Yeates (1976) described the successful application of ELISA using the secretory antigens of <u>Necator americanus</u>. Secretions of one adult worm provided sufficient antigen for 300 quantitative assays of high sensitivity. Crossreactions were noted with Ancylostoma but not <u>Onchocerca</u>.

The salient features of the literature describing helminthological applications of ELISA are: (1) ELISA is particularly suited to large-scale diagnostic and seroepidemiological studies; (2) ELISA has equal or superior sensitivity in the detection of helminthic infection compared to conventional serologic methods; (3) ELISA, using crude helminth antigen has inferior and often unacceptable specificity compared to conventional methods; (4) few studies have provided critical assessments of specificity and cross-reactivity; (5) few studies investigated the use of purified helminth antigens in ELISA; (6) there have been no comprehensive studies of the use of helminth

secretory antigens in ELISA; (7) at the inception of this work, there had been no application of ELISA for toxocariasis.

This chapter describes the development and validation of ELISA for toxocariasis using larval secretion as antigen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Toxocara Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay

The immunoenzymatic assay used was the indirect microplate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) described by Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett (1976). ELISA was adapted for toxocariasis by using as antigen, <u>Toxocara canis</u> second-stage larval secretory products, obtained from <u>in vitro</u> culture in chemically defined, low molecular weight medium, and extracted as described in Chapter II. Optimal test parameters including solid-phase, dose and incubation times for antigen, test serum, and conjugate were determined experimentally.

In the optimized system, antigen was diluted to 100 ng/ml of protein in carbonate buffer, pH 9.6 and by employing passive adsorption at 4° C for 18 hours, 200 µl quantities of antigen (20 ng) were coated onto the wells of disposable polyvinyl ELISA plates (Cooke, Microtiter 1-220-29). Plates were washed by three, three minute rinses in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-Tween, pH 7.4) to remove unadsorbed antigen. Plates were given a quick rinse in distilled water to remove buffer salts before drying at 37°C for 30 minutes and packaging individually in heat-sealed polyester-aluminum foil sleeves containing silica gel desiccant. Sealed Toxocara ELISA plates were stored at 4°C. To test sera, wells were incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with duplicate, 200 μ l volumes of patients' or reference sera diluted 10⁻³ in PBS-Tween. Further washing, as above, removed unbound serum components. To assay specifically bound antibody, wells were incubated for 18 hours at 4°C with 200 µl of alkaline phosphatase-labelled anti-human IgG (& chain specific) prepared by the one-step glutaraldehyde method

(Avrameas, 1969; ad modum Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett, 1976). Following washing, 200 μ l of enzyme substrate, 4-nitrophenyl phosphate (1 mg/ml), diluted in diethanolamine buffer, pH 9.8, were added at 20^oC. Enzyme hydrolysis of substrate was monitored photometrically. After the appropriate interval, as determined by the reaction rates of reference standards, hydrolysis was terminated by addition of 50 μ l of 3M sodium hyroxide. Results (ELISA values) were determined photometrically (Dynatech Vitatron ELISA Reader or Flow Multiskan) at 405 nm and expressed in absorption units (A_{405} cm⁻¹). Unless otherwise indicated, all ELISA values reported are mean results of duplicate assays.

Controls

Replicates of positive and negative standard sera were tested in each ELISA plate within all assays. After ten minutes of substrate reaction, two of the replicate standards were terminated on each plate and the A_{405} determined photometrically (A_1). Termination time for the remainder of the plate was then calculated using the known "target" A_{405} (A_2) of each standard according to the formula:

Expected Substrate Reaction Time (min)

 $\frac{(A_2) \times (10 \text{ min})}{(A_1)}$

Following termination of the remainder of the wells according to the calculated reaction time, mean ELISA values of the remaining replicates were compared to target ELISA values. If these were disparate by a factor greater than the coefficient of variation (CV %) of the assay, all ELISA values would then be corrected by multiplication by the factor:

(A405 Expected / A405 Observed).

Anti-human IgG alkaline phosphatase conjugate was prepared by Dr. Ann Bartlett, Nuffield Laboratories of Comparative Medicine,London.

The same positive and negative standard sera were used as references throughout this study and the positive negative ratio was monitored to detect drifts in assay sensitivity.

In addition, a quality control serum was included in each row of each ELISA plate to assess intra-assay and inter-assay precision, calculated as the coefficient of variation (CV % = $\frac{S}{\bar{x}}$ X 100). Results of an assay were rejected if the intra-assay CV was greater than 10%. Results of a study were rejected if the inter-assay CV was greater than 15%.

The ELISA protocol described above was modified to measure anti-<u>Toxocara</u>, class specific immunoglobulin responses in experimentally infected mice, rabbits, pigs, and rhesus monkeys, by use of species specific conjugates (one-step glutaraldehyde method). For some species, peroxidase conjugates were used in conjunction with o-phenylenediamine (34 mg/100 ml) and H₂ O₂ (40 μ l 100/ml) substrate in citrate-phosphate buffer, pH 6.0. Reaction was terminated with 50 μ l of 5 N H₂ SO₄ and results read photometrically at 492 nm.

Toxocara IgM-ELISA

To measure IgM anti-<u>Toxocara</u> responses by ELISA, 50 μ l volumes of test sera were fractionated by micro-column chromatography on Bio-Gel A-5m (Pyndia, Krech, Price and Wilhelm, 1979) and the IgM peak tested at an estimated dilution of 1:100 using the IgG ELISA protocol with anti-IgM (μ chain specific) enzyme-conjugate. Approximately 30 sera per day could be fractionated by this method.

Toxocara Immunoradiometric Assay

For performance comparisons with ELISA, an immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) was devised using the ELISA protocol but substituting radioisotope labelled anti-human IgG in place of the enzyme conjugate. Care was taken that all parameters were held constant except the enzymic or

isotopic labels and their detection methods. Isotope labelling (^{125}I) of the same antibody preparation used in enzyme conjugates was done by the chloroglycouril method of Markwell and Fox (1978)^{*}. Results were read by excising individual wells into a rack gamma-counter (Nuclear Enterprises, NE 1600). Conjugates (phosphatase-, peroxidase-, and ^{125}I - labelled anti-IgG) were adjusted to the same molar concentration of antibody. Equivalence of antibody molar concentration in enzyme conjugates was confirmed by polyethyleneglycol (PEG) precipitation of ^{125}I -labelled IgG.

Toxocara Somatic Antigen ELISA

For comparative purposes, an ELISA using <u>Toxocara</u> larval somatic antigen was developed. Antigen, prepared as described in Chapter II, was used at an experimentally determined optimum concentration of 2.5 μ g ml⁻¹. All other parameters were identical to those of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA using secretory antigen. The positive threshold was taken as the mean, plus two standard deviations, of the ELISA values of 50 healthy adults.

Antisera

To initiate validation of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, sera from three groups of subjects were tested: (1) healthy adults; (2) patients with non-toxocaral helminthiasis; and (3) patients with clinical toxocariasis.

Sera from 922 healthy adults were obtained from blood donors native to the UK, attending blood donor clinics in south-east England. Donors, had a mean age of 34.5 years (range 19-65) and a male:female ratio of 1.01:1.

Sera from 96 subjects with non-toxocaral helminthiasis were obtained from European patients examined at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases, London (Dr. D. Ridley) and the Swiss Tropical Institute, Basle,

* 125Iodine labelling was done by Dr. Ray Edwards, St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London.

(Dr. F. Speiser) and diagnosed as having a single parasitologically proved, helminthic infections, and whose sera contained demonstrable antibodies to their respective parasites.

Sera from 49 subjects with presumed clinical visceral toxocariasis were obtained from Canada (Courtesy of Dr. J. Yang). Czechoslovakia (Dr. M. Uhlikova), Sweden (Dr. H. Carlsson), Switzerland (Dr. F. Speiser), UK (Prof. A.W. Woodruff), USA (Dr. K.Walls). Using information obtained from clinical findings and laboratory data available for each patient, cases were assigned a score from O to 8, based on the number of criteria for toxocariasis (Tables 1 and 2) which they displayed. The scoring method of Cypess et al. (1979) was used with the modification that criteria were weighted according to their known frequency of occurrence in clinical visceral toxocariasis (eq. hypereosinophilia > 20% was given extra weighting, while features whose frequency in Tables 2 and 3 was less than 50%, were given less weighting). Criteria and their respective scores were as follows: Hypereosinophilia >20%, geophagia, pica, were each scored a value of 2. Hypereosinophilia of 10% to 19%, hyperglobulinaemia (>12 g/l) leucocytosis $(>10^4/mm^3)$, elevated anti-A or anti-B isohaemagglutinins, and rheumatoid factor were each scored a value of 1. Central nervous system involvement, cutaneous involvement, lymphadenopathy, pallor, anaemia, and oedema were each scored a value of 0.5. Patients with a total score of 0 to 2 were designated as "doubtful" cases of clinical visceral toxocariasis and were excluded from the evaluation. Patients with a score of 3 to 4 and patients with a score 5 or higher were designated, respectively, as "possible" and "probable" cases. Only those with the latter scores (ie. equal or higher than 3) were included in the category of clinical visceral toxocariasis for use in evaluation of Toxocara ELISA sensitivity.

Sera were obtained from eight rhesus monkeys (<u>Macaca mulatta</u>) experimentally infected by stomach intubation with 0, 10, 100, 1000, or 5000 <u>Toxocara canis</u> ova/kg, administered in a single dose under anaesthesia (Ketamine hydrochloride, 1 mg/kg intramuscularly). Two additional animals received trickle doses of 5 ova/kg weekly, for six months, administered via inoculated portions of food.

Sera were obtained from four rabbits (New Zealand White) experimentally infected (by stomach intubation) with <u>Ascaris suum</u> (10,000 ova/kg) T<u>oxascaris leonina</u> (5,000 ova/kg), <u>Toxocara cati</u> (5,000 ova/kg) or <u>Toxocara canis</u> (5,000 ova/kg).

Sera were obtained, (courtesy of Dr. P. Stevenson, Royal Veterinary College) from 13 ascarid naive pigs (Landrace and Large White) experimentally infected by stomach intubation with 1,000 to 160,000 <u>Toxocara canis</u> and 5,000 to 160,000 <u>Ascaris suum</u> infective ova, in single or trickle doses, at 7-9 weeks of age (14.5 to 23.5 kg) and bled up to 14 weeks post-infection. Additional sera were obtained from eight pigs (courtesy of Dr. D. Hart, Bristol Eye Hospital) infected intravenously with 1,000 <u>in vitro</u> hatched, <u>T. canis</u> larvae.

All sera were stored at -70°C without preservative.

Processing of Toxocara ELISA Data.

To evaluate the most effective means of expressing <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA test results, all currently available methods were examined using data from tests on five sera representing a spectrum of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA reactivity. Methods included: qualitative and semi-quantitative methods; the titration method; the absorbance method; the positive:negative ratio method; the percent positive method; the reference value/percentile method; the effective dose method (Leinikki and Passila, 1977); the multiple of normal activity (MONA) method (Felgner, 1978); and the

standard curve method (de Savigny and Voller, 1980).

To prepare the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA standard curve, sera from 41 subjects, selected as having ELISA values in a spectrum from 0.05 to 4.0 (A_{405}) , were titrated to their respective titre end-points in \log_2 or in half \log_{10} dilutions. The dose-response curve for each patient was plotted and the titre end-point interpolated from the point at which the titration curve intersected the positive threshold ELISA value $(A_{405} = 0.50)$. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values for all sera, at a serum dose of 10^{-3} were then plotted as a function of their respective titre end-points to generate a standard curve. The standard curve was then used to transform <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values to estimates of serum titre expressed on a continuous scale.

RESULTS

Development and Optimization of Toxocara ELISA

<u>Optimal Antigen Parameters</u>. Initial experiments indicated that polyvinyl chloride was more satisfactory than the commonly used polystyrene carriers as a solid-phase for toxocaral secretory antigen. Polyvinyl adsorbed 81.7% of 20 ng/well (16 ng) after 18 hours at 4° C, approximately five-fold more than that adsorbed by polystyrene (Fig. 11). By increasing the antigen concentration to 200 ng/well, the percent adsorbed decreased to 19.2%, although the absolute amount adsorbed was higher (38 ng). For all solid phases, adsorption occurred asymtopically, approaching a plateau level after approximately six hours at 4° C.

A two-dimensional titration was used to estimate the optimal working concentration of toxocaral antigen for ELISA. Antigen was titrated in one dimension by adsorption for 18 hours at 4° C while negative, low, medium and high-titred standard sera were titrated in the second dimension. Positive:negative ratios of ELISA values were calculated for each antigen dilution to identify the dose allowing optimal distinction (ie. the highest ratio) between positive and negative responses. A discrete optimum of 100 ng ml⁻¹ was determined which was satisfactory for all levels of seroreactivity. Above or below 100 ng/ml, sensitivity was reduced.

Antigen incubation time was examined using antigen protein at 100 ng ml^{-1} . Results (Table 9) indicated that adsorption for as few as five minutes was sufficient to distinguish positive and negative responses, and that positive:negative ratios were not substantially improved by increased adsorption time. Because more reproducible results were obtained with longer incubation times, and because it suited work flow patterns for large scale seroepidemiological studies,

RESULTS

Development and Optimization of Toxocara ELISA

Optimal Antigen Parameters. Initial experiments indicated that polyvinyl chloride was more satisfactory than the commonly used polystyrene carriers as a solid-phase for toxocaral secretory antigen. Polyvinyl adsorbed 81.7% of 20 ng/well (16 ng) after 18 hours at 4°C, approximately five-fold more than that adsorbed by polystyrene (Fig. 11). By increasing the antigen concentration to 200 ng/well, the percent adsorbed decreased to 19.2%, although the absolute amount adsorbed was higher (38 ng). For all solid phases, adsorption occurred asymtopically, approaching a plateau level after approximately six hours at 4°C.

A two-dimensional titration was used to estimate the optimal working concentration of toxocaral antigen for ELISA. Antigen was titrated in one dimension by adsorption for 18 hours at 4° C while negative, low, medium and high-titred standard sera were titrated in the second dimension. Positive:negative ratios of ELISA values were calculated for each antigen dilution to identify the dose allowing optimal distinction (ie. the highest ratio) between positive and negative responses. A discrete optimum of 100 ng ml⁻¹ was determined which was satisfactory for all levels of seroreactivity. Above or below 100 ng/ml, sensitivity was reduced.

Antigen incubation time was examined using antigen protein at 100 ng mi⁻¹. Results (Table 9) indicated that adsorption for as few as five minutes was sufficient to distinguish positive and negative responses, and that positive:negative ratios were not substantially improved by increased adsorption time. Because more reproducible results were obtained with longer incubation times, and because it suited work flow patterns for large scale seroepidemiological studies.

----- liniin

survey in a second statement of the local distance of the local di



FIGURE 10

18 hours was selected as the routine antigen adsorption period.

To assess the reliability of the estimated optimal antigen dose and incubation conditions, five batches of antigen, four harvested from one culture of 10^5 larvae and produced at intervals during a period of eight months, and one harvested from a second culture of 10^6 larvae after two months <u>in vitro</u>, were diluted to 100 ng ml⁻¹ and used to coat ELISA plates. Eight sera representing a spectrum of reactivity were tested. Results indicated no differences between batch performance greater than that anticipated due to inter-assay variation (Table 10), and that antigen prepared at eight months of culture was not qualitatively different from that prepared after one week of culture.

Antigen stability and shelf-life were evaluated in terms of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA performance (Table 11). Best results were obtained with antigen stored dry on ELISA plates under desiccant at 4° C. The antigen was remarkably stable to various heat treatments and although some treatments resulted in statistically lower ELISA values, all variations were within the usual inter-assay CV %. Repeated freezing and thawing had the most significant deleterious effect on antigen.

Attempts were made to improve antigen binding by (1) pre-coating ELISA plates with bovine serum albumin (BSA) and coupling antigen to solid-phase BSA using glutaraldehyde or by (2) pre-coating wells with rabbit anti-<u>Toxocara</u> immunoglobulin followed by antigen. Both procedures increased positive serum responses by about 50%, however negative serum responses were increased up to nine-fold, resulting in a net loss of sensitivity.

It was found possible to use larval culture fluids directly in ELISA without prior dialysis or concentration. Culture supernatant from 10^4 larvae cultured in 2 ml of HMEM for one day could be used at an optimal dilution of 1:100 by adsorption to polyvinyl ELISA plates for

18 hours at 4° C (ie. sufficient for 480 duplicate assays). Again, excess of culture antigen reduced sensitivity. The apparent simplicity of this approach was abandoned in favour of dialysed antigen because of the difficulties of protein estimation (and thus standardization) in HMEM, the possibility of non-specific reactivity to penicillin, and the potential for microbial contamination of HMEM during antigen incubation in non-sterile ELISA plates.

ELISA dose-response curves for positive and negative standard sera were compared in polyvinyl and polystyrene ELISA plates (Fig. 12) and found to be both parallel and equally sensitive despite the lower content of antigen on the polystyrene. To achieve comparable results, it was necessary to increase the substrate reaction time in polystyrene by at least 4-fold compared to that in polyvinyl, but precision was sacrificed (see: Reproducibility, pg 144).

<u>Optimal Serum Dilution</u>. Results of two-dimensional titrations of antigen and antisera indicated that the optimal serum dilution for use in the IgG <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u> was 10^{-3} . This is a function of the combined effects of (a) the nature of the negative serum dose-response curve, and (b) the prozone phenomena commonly seen in positive sera. The serum working dilution (demonstrated in Fig. 13) is constant for all levels of seroreactivity. The optimal time for serum incubation at a dilution of 10^{-3} was between 1.5 and 2.5 hours at room remperature (Fig. 14). Two hours was selected for the routine protocol.

<u>Optimal Conjugate Dilution</u>. For reasons of reagent economy and logistics, the conjugate incubation step was overnight at 4^oC. Optimal dilution of enzyme-conjugate was estimated initially by titration against a known quantity of IgG (100 ng ml⁻¹) adsorbed to polyvinyl ELISA plates. Conjugate dose was selected as that dilution producing an ELISA

21 The call which many intervention of the second secon

A frame and a frame an

an and some

134

TABLE 9

EFFECT OF ANTIGEN ADSORPTION TIME ON TOXOCARA-ELISA REACTIVITY

A stars Advantion	ELISA Va	Positive:Negative	
Antigen Adsorption Time (20 ng/well)	Negative	Positive	Ratio
	0.085	2.52	29.6
5 m 15 m	0.11	3.88	35.2
30 m	0.12	4,10	34.1
60 m	0.13	4.28	32.9
2 h	0.15	4.64	30.9
3 h	0.16	4.87	30.4
5 h	0.17	5.07	29.8
18 h	0.20	6.06	30.3

* ELISA values are means of duplicate assays in one experiment.

TABLE 10

PERFORMANCE OF TOXOCARA ELISA USING DIFFERENT SECRETORY ANTIGEN BATCHES. (AT 20 ng/well)

ELISA Value (A405) Antigen Batch No. B₁78 B₂78 B₃78 B₅78 B₂79 Coefficient Serum Code of Variation (S.D.) Mean Months in Culture 0.25 2 4 8 2 18.1% .10 .116 1 .11 .10 .15 .12 (.021) 14.1% 2 .49 .35 .41 .45 .50 .44 (.062) 6.6% (.057) .95 .85 .83 .88 .80 .86 3 14.2% 1.35 1.65 1.40 1.37 1.10 1.37 4 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 ---5* 4.2% 6 2.40 2.45 2.43 2.25 2.25 2.36 4.1% 2.95 3.24 3.05 3.15 2.95 3.07 7 (.127) 4.4% 3.90 3.99 4.30 4.18 3.90 4.05 8

B₇₈ Culture of 10⁵ <u>T. canis</u> larvae.

B79 Culture of 10⁶ <u>T. canis</u> larvae.

5* Positive standard serum - results adjusted to 1.75

REVECT IN CONTINUE

1.1	
+	
*	

THE REAL PROPERTY IN COMPANY

TABLE 11

THERMOSTABILITY OF <u>TOXOCARA</u> LARVAL SECRETORY ANTIGEN ASSESSED BY PERFORMANCE IN <u>TOXOCARA</u> ELISA

Antigen Treatment		Toxocara ELISA Values A405 (+ SD)			
Temperature	Time	negative w	eakly positive	strongly positive	
+4 ⁰ C	6 mon	.133 (.007)	.70 (.022)	2.06 (.038)	
-70 ⁰ C	6 mon	.135 (.006)NS	.70 (.095)NS	2.05 (.057)NS	
+4 ⁰ C	6 mon	.138 (.013)NS	.65 (.015)///	2.01 (.043)NS	
20 ⁰ C	7 day	.133 (.009)NS	.67 (.022)NS	1.97 (.022)+++	
37 ⁰ C	2 day	.135 (.013)NS	.74 (.039)NS	2.01 (.089)NS	
45 ⁰ C	1 day	.120 (.008)+++	.73 (.034)NS	1.99 (.037)NS	
56 ⁰ C	30 min	.128 (.010)NS	.71 (.024)NS	1.94 (.049)+++	
80 ⁰ C	15 min	.125 (.010)NS	.65 (.049)NS	1.97 (.015)+++	
100 ⁰ C	5 min	.118 (.009)+++	.65 (.028)++	1.89 (.031)+++	
-70°C/+20°C	10 X	.115 (.013)+	.68 (.025)NS	1.68 (.048)+++	
Control (No	Antigen)	.045 (.006)	.052(.007)	.045 (.013)	
* Stand	ard Toxoca	ra secretory and	tigen coated on p	olyvinyl ELISA	
plate	s at 20 ng	per well and st	cored at 4 ⁰ C with	desiccant. All	

.

sealed glass capillary tubes. NS Difference not statistically significant at P = 0.05 f Significant Difference P<.05 ff P<.01 ff P<.001

ELISA values are means of quadruplicate assays in one experiment.



FIGURE 11

gen to various solid

e-response curves for and polystyrene antigen

min min Fig. 11

Adsorption of ¹²⁵I-labelled antigen to various solid phases at pH 9.4.

- Polyvinyl chloride
- △ Polystyrene "Removawell"
- D Polystyrene Tube
- O Polystyrene Plate

Fig. 12 Comparison of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA dose-response curves for assays performed with polyvinyl and polystyrene antigen solid phase.

•	Polyviny1	A405	1	22	min	
0	Polystyrene	A405	1	72	min	



FIGURE 11

igen to various solid

bse-response curves for and polystyrene antigen

22 min 72 min



- Fig. 13 Determination of the optimal serum dilution for use in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. The dilution resulting in the maximum positive:negative ratio is arrowed.
- Fig. 14 Determination of optimal serum incubation time for <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. Time of maximal positive:negative ratio is arrowed
 - Positive serum
 - O Negative serum
 - ▲ Positive:Negative Ratio

serum dilution for use in resulting in the maximum rowed.

m incubation time for
mal positive:negative





FIGURE 14

- Fig. 15 Anti-human IgG (¥)-alkaline phosphatase conjugate titrations in the Toxocara-ELISA system.
 - a) Titrations
 - b) Positive:Negative Ratios indicating optima.

Human Anti-Toxocara sera

- High reactivity
- ▲ Moderate reactivity
- Low reactivity
- O Non-reactive

In this experiment 20 ng of conjugated antibody per ml (conjugate dilution of 1:2000) was selected for routine use. TOYOCABA ELIS



absorbance value (A_{405}) of 1.00 after 30 minutes of substrate incubation. Confirmation of the validity of this approach was sought by testing, in the routine protocol, negative, low-, medium-, and high-titred anti-<u>Toxocara</u> sera against various dilutions of conjugate. Contrary to antigen and antisera optima, which were valid for all levels of serum reactivity, high-titred sera required progressively more conjugate for optimal reactivity than low-titred sera (Fig. 15). The optimum conjugate dilution predicted from tests on IgG coated plates was valid principally for low-titred sera. To enhance sensitivity to the detection of low levels of antibody, this optimum was selected for use in the protocol.

Validation of Toxocara ELISA

<u>Toxocara ELISA Values in Healthy Adults</u> (Specificity). The distribution and limits of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in healthy UK adults were established from a survey of 922 blood donors (Fig. 16). The distribution is approximately log-normal with median and modal ELISA values (A_{405}) of 0.17. A small subpopulation (confirmed by probability plot) was evident at the higher ELISA values. Based on the probability plot, a minimum ELISA value of 0.50 was selected to discriminate this sub-population. This "positive-negative" threshold value was approximately three multiples of the median "normal" value and occurred at the 97.4th percentile. Elevated ELISA values ($A_{405} > 0.50$) were clearly disparate from those of the main population, ranged from 0.50 to 1.35 (3 to 8 times the median negative) and included 2.6% of healthy adults. Specificity of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, defined as the proportion of healthy adults found negative, was 97.4%

Toxocara ELISA Values in Clinical Visceral Toxocariasis (Sensitivity). Sera from 32 cases of visceral toxocariasis, diagnosed

absorbance value (A_{405}) of 1.00 after 30 minutes of substrate incubation. Confirmation of the validity of this approach was sought by testing, in the routine protocol, negative, low-, medium-, and high-titred anti-<u>Toxocara</u> sera against various dilutions of conjugate. Contrary to antigen and antisera optima, which were valid for all levels of serum reactivity, high-titred sera required progressively more conjugate for optimal reactivity than low-titred sera (Fig. 15). The optimum conjugate dilution predicted from tests on IgG coated plates was valid principally for low-titred sera. To enhance sensitivity to the detection of low levels of antibody, this optimum was selected for use in the protocol.

Validation of Toxocara ELISA

<u>Toxocara ELISA Values in Healthy Adults</u> (Specificity). The distribution and limits of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in healthy UK adults were established from a survey of 922 blood donors (Fig. 16). The distribution is approximately log-normal with median and modal ELISA values (A_{405}) of 0.17. A small subpopulation (confirmed by probability plot) was evident at the higher ELISA values. Based on the probability plot, a minimum ELISA value of 0.50 was selected to discriminate this sub-population. This "positive-negative" threshold value was approximately three multiples of the median "normal" value and occurred at the 97.4th percentile. Elevated ELISA values ($A_{405} > 0.50$) were clearly disparate from those of the main population, ranged from 0.50 to 1.35 (3 to 8 times the median negative) and included 2.6% of healthy adults. Specificity of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, defined as the proportion of healthy adults found negative, was 97.4%

Toxocara ELISA Values in Clinical Visceral Toxocariasis (Sensitivity). Sera from 32 cases of visceral toxocariasis, diagnosed

according to clinical criteria, were tested, of which 87.5% had elevated ELISA values ($A_{405} > 0.50$) and 8.4.% had values above the upper limit observed in healthy controls ($A_{405} > 1.35$). ELISA values ranged as high as $A_{405} = 6.0$ (40 times the median negative value) with a mean of 2.96 (geometric mean = 2.08), significantly higher then that of both healthy and helminth-infected controls (P<0.001) (Students t test). Using $A_{405} = 0.50$ as a titre end-point, Fig. 17 illustrates the titre equivalents of ELISA values for representative negative and positive sera. Serum titres range from 1:30 to 1:1,000,000.

Sensitivity of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, defined as the proportion of patients with clinical toxocariasis found positive, was 87.5%.

Toxocara ELISA Values in Non-Toxocaral Helminthiasis

(Cross-Reactivity). Sera from 96 Europeans with single, parasitologically proved, helminthic infections were tested (Fig. 18). ELISA values of all patients were within the range observed for healthy controls. Although ELISA values in this group were raised slightly, there was no statistically significant difference in mean ELISA value (log transformed data, Student'st test, P > 0.50) nor in the proportion (6.3%) with elevated (positive) values (Chi-Square, P > 0.50)*. Correcting for the toxocariasis seropositivity rate of 2.6% in healthy adults, prevalence adjusted cross-reactivity of Toxocara ELISA was thus 3.7%.

<u>Toxocara ELISA Predictive Values</u>. Predictive values for both positive and negative <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results, calculated as shown in Table 12, were 97.1% and 88.6% respectively. The influence of various settings of ELISA positive threshold value on sensitivity, specificity and predictive value is given in Table 13.

* Yates's Correction for Continuity

Toxocara ELISA Reproducibility. Quantitative reproducibility was governed from day-to-day by use of a predictive equation (see methods) to estimate the termination time of substrate incubation in each assay. This equation is valid only if enzyme kinetics remain linear throughout the substrate incubation. Because of the broad spectrum of sero-reactivity encountered in clinical toxocariasis, kinetics of enzyme-substrate hydrolysis (regression of ELISA value on time) for both phosphatase and peroxidase conjugates were compared in Toxocara ELISA using negative, low, and high titred sera. Results, analysed by linear regression (Fig. 19), indicated that phosphatase/PNPP kinetics were more suitable in this regard, remaining linear throughout 100 minutes. Peroxidase/OPD kinetics tended to plateau after 40 minutes, and at no time, were linear. (Correlation coefficients, r = 0.999and r = 0.940 respectively). The convergence of the three peroxidase regression lines on the abscissa at a common point, and the fact that each curve had a discrete maximum response value suggests that the fault is not substrate limitation, but that it is a time-dependent inhibition of enzyme activity, possibly dictated by the initial rate of enzyme activity.

Intra-assay precision was assessed both experimentally and in practice. Experimentally, six sera selected as representing a spectrum of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA reactivity were tested repeatedly (16 times each) within one assay. The regression of standard deviation on mean ELISA value for each serum was computed (Fig. 20) and the total coefficient of variation (CV = 11.1%) was then estimated from the slope of the calculated linear regression line. In practice, intra-assay precision of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA results was monitored during assays of over 5,000 human sera (10% of which constituted quality controls or standards) tested during period of three years. Intra-assay precision ranged

TABLE 12

CALCULATION OF SOME ASSAY PARAMETERS FOR VALIDATION OF <u>TOXOCARA</u> ELISA

Qualitative	Health S	Health Status		
Toxocara ELISA Results	Clinical Toxocariasis (D+)	Healthy Subjects (D-)	Total	
Positive (T+)	a	ь	a + b	
A ₄₀₅ < 0.50				
Negative (T-)	c	d	c + d	
$A_{405} > 0.50$				
Total	a + c	b + d	a+b+c+d = N	

Data from validation experiments: a = 28, b = 24, c = 4, d = 898, N = 954

ensitivity	=	p (T+/D+)	=	a (a+c) X 100 = 87.5%
specifivity	=	p (T-/D-)	=	d (b+d) X 100 = 97.4%
Predictive Value*				
Positive Result	=	p (D+/T+)	=	a'/(a'+b') X 100 = 97.1%
Negative Result	=	p (D-/T-)	+	d'/(c'+d') X 100 = 88.6%

* To account for differences in sample size of groups (D+) and (D-), data were transformed to percentage(') of (D+) and (D-) respectively, before calculating predictive values.
_

TABLE 13

SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY, AND PREDICTIVE VALUES* OF <u>TOXOCARA</u> ELISA AT DIFFERENT POSITIVE THRESHOLD LEVELS

FLISA Positive			Predic	tive Value
Threshold Value ^A 405	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Result (%)	Negative Result (%)
0.25	87.5	87.7	87.7	87.5
0.30	87.5	92.1	91.7	88.0
0.40	87.5	96.0	95.6	88.5
0.50	87.5	97.4	97.1	88.6
0.75	87.5	99.0	98.9	88.8
1.00	87.5	99.8	99.8	88.9
1.25	84.4	99.9	99.9	86.5
1.50	78.1	100	100	82.0

* Parameters refer to detection of clinical visceral toxocariasis. For subclinical toxocariasis and ocular toxocariasis, see Chapter IV.

Allalition -

Max on Anna

lever.

of fairful and again

and the second se

Т	A	B	L	E	1	4	
		-	_	_		100.00	

SUMMARY OF TOXOCARA ELISA EVALUATIVE PARAMETERS

Parameter	Value	n
Sencitivity	87.5%	32
Specificity	97.4%	992
Cross-Reactivity	3.7%	96
Predictive Value		
Positive	97.1%	
Negative	88.6%	954
Precision		
Intra-assay (x)	7.3%	
Inter-assay (x)	12.7%	5000

n = size of relevant populations studied.

.

100

10

Fig. 16 Distribution of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in the healthy adult population (n = 922; M:F = 1.01:1). 2.6% have elevated ELISA values.



FIGURE 16

Fig. 17 Dose-response curves in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.

Serum	A ₄₀₅	Titre 1:
Strong Positive	3.80	550,000
Moderate Positive	1.75	5600
Weak Positive	0.55	1100
Negative Standard	0.15	70



Fig. 18 Anti-<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in patients with suspected clinical visceral toxocariasis (n = 32); healthy adult subjects (n = 992); and Europeans with parasitologically diagnosed, serologically reactive, non-toxocaral helminthiasis (n = 96).



n patients with suspected clinical 2); healthy adult subjects (n = 992); pgically diagnosed, serologically Inthiasis (n = 96).

FIGURE 18

PATIENT GROUP

from coefficients of variation (CV %) of 4.2% to 11.7% with a mean of 7.3%. Inter-assay precision was 12.7 CV %. Although numerical ELISA values of highly reactive sera appeared to display more arithmetic variation than those of negative sera, there was no statistically significant correlation between percent coefficient of variation and reactivity of sera (Kendall's rank correlation, P>0.10). Sera sampling (pipetting) errors and intra-plate variation of antigen adsorption were considered the most likely sources of within-assay variability. Intra-plate variability of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results was therefore compared in various polyvinyl and polystyrene ELISA formats. Cooke 1-220-29 polyvinyl chloride plates had the highest reactivity, lowest range of variation between highest and lowest readings ($\frac{1}{2}$ 11%) and best CV % (5.6%). Other brands of polyvinyl and polystyrene showed severe, non-random, intraplate variability (Fig. 21) and inferior antigen adsorption.

Since it was planned to use comparisons of frequency distributions of healthy populations as a means to detect subtle differences between populations with respect to period prevalence of infection (Chapter V) it was necessary to establish whether individual ELISA values observed in negative subjects (ie. A_{405} distributed log normally from 0.05 to 0.50) are spurious, or characteristic of the subject. Serum specimens from 35 subjects were collected serially over a period of four months and assayed in a blind protocol. Results (Fig. 22) show that, within negative range. Toxocara ELISA values are reproducibly characteristic of the individual, at least within a four months time-frame. Rate of change of positive ELISA values in patients with toxocariasis is discussed in Chapter IV.

Antigen Inhibition of Toxocara ELISA. As a further assessment of specificity of Toxocara ELISA, negative, low, medium, and high-titred

anti-<u>Toxocara</u> sera were diluted to 10^{-3} and pre-incubated with various doses of <u>Toxocara</u> secretory antigen for two hours at room temperature (ie. homologous inhibition). Absorbed sera were then tested in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. Results (Fig. 23) indicated that less than 2 ng (<50 picomoles) of secretory antigen was sufficient to cause 50% inhibition (ID₅₀) of the ELISA response of all but the highest titred sera.

The response of even the highest titred sera could be inhibited to the level of the negative serum.

Non-specific (heterologous) inhibition of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was attemped using bovine serum albumin, <u>Ascaris suum</u> somatic antigen, and <u>Necator americanus</u> adult secretory at doses ranging from 5 picograms of antigen. All failed to induce significant (ID_{50}) inhibition. <u>Toxocara</u> somatic antigen inhibition approached ID_{50} at a level of 5 µg, suggesting the possible presence of 0.2% secretory antigen in somatic preparations (calculated from log distance between inhibition curves (Fig. 24).

Comparison of Toxocara ELISA and IRMA

<u>Conjugate Performance</u>. The dose-response curves of phosphatase, peroxidase, and isotope-labelled anti-human IgG conjugates in the standard immunoassay test system using a positive serum are shown in Fig. 25. The 125-iodine conjugate clearly displays the most linear dose-response relationship, while both enzyme-labelled conjugates appear to approach a maximum limiting response value despite increasing dose. However to consider the relative influences of "background" nonspecific binding of labelled ligand, and the relative ability of the assays to distinguish positive and negative sera in practice, the positive:negative ratios (P/N) were calculated at each dose of conjugate and plotted in Fig. 26. Enzyme conjugates show an optimal

Comparison of enzyme-substrate reaction kinetics of Fig. 19 phosphatase and peroxidase conjugates in Toxocara ELISA.

- (a) Alkaline phosphatase / PNPP
- (b) Peroxidase / OPD
 - High titred serum
 - ▲ Low titred serum
 - O Negative serum



A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF

Fig. 20 Intra-assay precision of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values at different levels of serological reactivity. Each of six sera were tested 16 times in one assay.

> The calculated linear regression line is $y = 0.11 \times -0.005$ Correlation coefficient r = 0.990 (P <0.001) Coefficient of variation = (slope of regression line X 100) = 11.1%.

SD A405 0.15 0.10 0.05 0 1.50 0.50 1.00 0 X A405

ocara ELISA values at cal reactivity. Each imes in one assay.

sion line is y = 0.11 X -0.005
0.990 (P <0.001)
slope of regression</pre>





on line is y = 0.11 X -0.005 90 (P <0.001) ope of regression



FIGURE 20

Fig. 21 Comparison of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA variability using different solid phase carriers for antigen. Each horizontal line indicates the mean ELISA value (± 95% confidence interval) of 96 replicate assays of a weakly positive serum tested within one plate. Plotted data indicate the mean ELISA values (+ SD) of individual rows (n = 12 wells) and columns (n = 8 wells) within each plate. Oscillation of plotted data around the horizontal line indicates the presence or absence of non-random variability (tested by Kendall's Rank Correlation).

	Polyvinyl (Cooke	1-220-29)	
	Variability:	Non-Random	$\tau = 0.76 (P < 0.001)$
		Range	± 11% of x
		Coefficient	CV = 5.6%
	Polyvinyl (Grein	er Irradiated Prototyp	e Plate)
	Variability:	Random	$\tau = 0.24 (P > 0.10)$
		Range	± 16% of x
		Coefficient	CV = 6.2%
	Polyvinyl (Grein	er Non-Irradiated Prot	cotype Plate)
	Variability:	Non-Range	$\tau = -0.91 (P < 0.001)$
		Range	± 30% of x
		Coefficient	CV = 21.9%
,	Polystyrene (Dyn	atech Removawells)	

Variability: Random $\tau = -0.15$ (P >0.50) Range $\pm 30\%$ of \bar{x} Coefficient CV = 12.4%

Variability:Non-Random $\tau = +0.64$ (P <0.05)</th>Range $\pm 27\%$ of \bar{x} CoefficientCV = 22.8%

iriability using different
in. Each horizontal line
(+ 95% confidence interval)
kly positive serum tested
indicate the mean ELISA
i (n = 12 wells) and columns
conscillation of plotted
indicates the presence
ility (tested by Kendall's

τ = 0.76 (P <0.001) ± 11% of x CV = 5.6%

Ited Prototype Plate) $\tau = 0.24 (P > 0.10)$ $\pm 16\% \text{ of } x$ Int CV = 6.2% radiated Prototype Plate)

τ = -0.91 (P <0.001) ± 30% of x nt CV = 21.9%

ovawells)

ent

A)

ent

Int

τ = -0.15 (P >0.50) ± 30% of x CV = 12.4%

τ = +0.64 (P <0.05) ± 27% of x CV = 22.8%







POSITION OF WELLS

FIGURE 21

Fig. 22 Reproducibility of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA quantitative results in 35 serologically negative subjects sampled twice with a four month interval.

A405 .60] .50 .40 ELISA quantitative results in ubjects sampled twice with a four .30 TOXOCARA ELISA .20 ٠ .10 0 2 SAMPLE FIGURE 22

Fig. 23 Homologous antigen inhibition of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. Six positive sera and one negative serum were preincubated with varying doses of homologous antigen before assay in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA.

- ID₅₀

Toxocara canis secretory antigen

Fig. 24 Heterologous antigen inhibition of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. Aliquots of one positive serum were preincubated with varying doses of heterologous and homologous antigen.

- ID₅₀

Toxocara canis secretory antigen

Toxocara canis somatic antigen

X Necator americanus secretory antigen

Ascaris suum somatic antigen

O Bovine serum albumin

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

n of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. gative serum were preincubated poous antigen before assay in

tory antigen

ion of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. rum were preincubated with us and homologous antigen.

tory antigen ic antigen ecretory antigen antigen



FIGURE 23



labelled antibody concentration, above which there is a decrease in positive:negative ratio. The isotope conjugate, in contrast, shows no optimal value and can be used across a broad range of working dilutions. However, both enzyme-labelled conjugates produced higher P/N ratios (ie. greater distinction between positive and negative reactivity than was achieved by the isotope-labelled reagent under identical conditions.

Assay Performance. The dose-response of high, medium, and low-titred patient's sera, when titrated in the ELISA and IRMA systems, are compared in Fig. 27. Concerning both the kinetics and titre end-points there are no differences in dose-response although the isotope-labelled conjugate displays considerably higher non-specific binding. The results of assays of 90 sera tested at a single serum dilution of 10^{-3} are compared in Fig. 28. Since it is difficult to compare data expressed as ELISA absorbance values with data expressed as IRMA disintegrations per minute, data for both assays were transformed to positive : negative ratios in order to assess more objectively, the relative abilities of these assays to quantitatively distinguish different levels of antibody reactivity. The correlation coefficient between assays (r= 0.93) is reasonable, however the slope of the calculated linear regression line (y = .501x + 2.439) indicates that ELISA should be more sensitive in measuring strong reactivity but less sensitive in measuring weak reactivity. Both ELISA and IRMA exhibited comparable intra-assay precision with coefficients of variation of 4.4% and 5.8% respectively (n=10).

Comparison of Toxocara ELISA using Secretory and Somatic Antigens

Results of parallel assays for anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody to secretory antigens and somatic antigens in 137 sera are shown in Fig. 29. In

- Fig. 25 Comparison of phosphatase, peroxidase and ¹²⁵-Iodine labelled anti-human IgG conjugates.
- Fig. 26 Determination of optimum concentration of conjugate antibody when labelled with phosphatase, peroxidase, or ¹²⁵-Iodine.
- Fig. 27 Serum dose-response curves for high, medium, and low titred sera when titrated in ELISA and IRMA.
- Fig. 28 Comparison of ELISA and IRMA results from assays for anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody in the sera of 90 subjects and patients.

n = 90 r = 0.93 y = 0.50 x + 2.35

, peroxidase and ¹²⁵-Iodine

concentration of conjugate th phosphatase, peroxidase,

s for high, medium, and low in ELISA and IRMA.

RMA results from assays for the sera of 90 subjects



FIGURE 25



FIGURE 26









Fig. 29 Comparison of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results using secretory antigen and somatic antigen.

Legend	Secretory	Somatic
Healthy Subjects n = 66 (Specificity)	98.5% (65/66)	95.5% (63/66)
Toxocariasis Patients n = 16 (Sensitivity)	100% (16/16)	93.8% (15/16).
Non-toxocaral Helminthiasis Patients n = 55 (Cross-reactivity)	7.3% (4/55)	30.9% (17/55).
Coefficient of Variation (Precision)	9.4%	5.6%
	Legend Healthy Subjects n = 66 (Specificity) Toxocariasis Patients n = 16 (Sensitivity) Non-toxocaral Helminthiasis Patients n = 55 (Cross-reactivity) Coefficient of Variation (Precision)	LegendSecretoryHealthy Subjects n = 6698.5% (65/66)(Specificity)700% (16/16)Toxocariasis Patients n = 16100% (16/16)(Sensitivity)00% (16/16)Non-toxocaral Helminthiasis7.3% (4/55)Patients n = 557.3% (4/55)(Cross-reactivity)0.4%Coefficient of Variation9.4%

The calculated linear regression line for all data (n = 137) is plotted (y = 0.491x + 0.306).

Correlation coefficient r = 0.30 (P<0.001).

Fig. 29 Comparison of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results using secretory antigen and somatic antigen.

	Legend	Secretory	Somatic
•	Healthy Subjects n = 66 (Specificity)	98.5% (65/66)	95.5% (63/66)
c	Toxocariasis Patients n = 16 (Sensitivity)	100% (16/16)	93.8% (15/16).
×	Non-toxocaral Helminthiasis Patients n = 55	7.3% (4/55)	30.9% (17/55).
V %	(Cross-reactivity) Coefficient of Variation (Precision)	9.4%	5.6%

The calculated linear regression line for all data (n = 137) is plotted ($y = 0.491 \times + 0.306$).

Correlation coefficient r = 0.30 (P<0.001).

Fig. 29 Comparison of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results using secretory antigen and somatic antigen.

	Legend	Secretory	Somatic
	Healthy Subjects n = 66 (Specificity)	98.5% (65/66)	95.5 % (63/66)
	Toxocariasis Patients n = 16 (Sensitivity)	100% (16/16)	93.8% (15/16).
	Non-toxocaral Helminthiasis Patients n = 55	7.3% (4/55)	30.9% (17/55).
z	(Cross-reactivity) Coefficient of Variation (Precision)	9.42	5.6%

The calculated linear regression line for all data (n = 137) is plotted (y = 0.491x + 0.306).

Correlation coefficient r = 0.30 (P<0.001).

CV



FIGURE 29

healthy populations and in patients with toxocariasis, qualitative agreement was observed between the two assays, although quantitative correlation was poor (r= 0.30). The maximum positive:negative ratio achieved by somatic antigen was 9:1 while that for secretory antigen was 33:1. ELISA using secretory antigen was more sensitive (100% cf. 93.8%) and more specific (98.3% cf. 95.0%) in both these populations. However, in patients with non-toxocaral helminthiasis, both qualitative and quantitative agreement was poor, 7.3% being reactive to secretory antigens, while 30.9% were reactive to somatic antigens. By adjusting the specificity level of the Somatic Antigen-ELISA to that of Secretory Antigen-ELISA, cross-reactivity of the former decreased to 16.4%, however its sensitivity also declined (to 68.8%). Adjusting Somatic Antigen-ELISA to the same level of cross-reactivity as Secretory Antigen-ELISA lowered its sensitivity still further (to 56.3% cf. 100% for Secretory Antigen-ELISA).

Use of Toxocara ELISA in Experimentally Infected Animal Models

Experimentally infected animal hosts were used to investigate the sensitivity and specificity of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA under more defined circumstances, and to study the dynamics and character of serologic responses to secretory products during infection. Results are presented in Figs. 30 to 34.

Processing of Toxocara ELISA Data

Parallelism of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA titration data was assessed (Fig. 35) and a <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA standard curve was prepared to transform ELISA A_{405} values to titre estimates (Fig. 36). The validity of using the standard curve method for sera which may contain IgM anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibodies was assessed using an IgM anti-<u>Toxocara</u> positive human serum (Fig. 37). The ability of the standard curve method to generate results linearly

proportional to titration results was examined in practice by comparisons with five other methods of handling quantitative data obtained from a panel of toxocariasis sera (Fig. 38). Examples of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results in their final form, obtained by the use of the standard curve method and eight other methods, are illustrated in Table 19.

Fig. 30 Anti-Toxocara ELISA values in experimentally infected swine.

		Infection			Weeks Post Primary
Code	Mode	Route	Dose	Schedule	Result
A	Ova	p.o.	5250	150 ova daily for 5 days each week for 7 week	7 S
B	Ova	p.o.	160,000	10,000 ova week for 6 weeks, th 100,000 at week 10 post-infecti	ly 14 en on
С	Ova	p.o.	1000	single dose	10
D	Ova	p.o.	10,000	single dose	10
E	Ova	p.o.	2000	primary dose of 1000 followed by secondary dose 1000 at 8 weeks post-infection	11 of
F	Larvae	1.v.	1000	single dose	2-4

Legend:

p.o. per oral

1.v. intravenous

experimentally infected swime.	F				TOXOCARISIS (1:v.)
Weeks Post Primary Infection of ELISA Chedule Result					TOXOCARIASIS (p.o)
lova daily 7 5 days each ik for 7 weeks					SCARIASIS (p.0)
000 ova weekly 14 6 weeks, then ,000 at week post-infection		-			
ale dose 10					H
gle dose 10					4
mary dose of 11 Difollowed by Dindary dose of D at 8 weeks t-infection					
ale dose 2-4					ASCARID N
	A492	3.0 -	5.0		_ <u><u></u></u>
			EL LEV	AGATOYOT	
			FIGURE	30	
Fig. 31 Anti-<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in experimentally infected rabbits.

- <u>Toxocara canis</u>. Primary dose/kg of 10,000 ova followed by 1,000 and 10,000 at three week intervals. (+)
- ▲ <u>Toxocara canis</u>. Trickle dose of 10 ova/kg/week for 26 weeks.
- Toxocara cati. Single dose of 5,000 ova/kg.
- △ <u>Toxascaris leonina</u>. Single dose of 5,000 ova/kg.

experimentally infected

dose/kg of 10,000 ova followed three week intervals. () dose of 10 ova/kg/week

se of 5,000 ova/kg.

gle dose of 5,000 ova/kg.



In experimentally infected

v dose/kg of 10,000 ova followed
three week intervals. (\$)
le dose of 10 ova/kg/week

dose of 5,000 ova/kg.

ingle dose of 5,000 ova/kg.



Fig. 32 Anti-Toxocara · antibody in rhesus monkeys experimentally infected with Toxocara canis.

•	5,000	ova/kg
	1,000	ova/kg
	100	ova/kg
▲	10	ova/kg
0	0	ova (control)





Fig. 33 Anti-Toxocara IgG responses in rhesus monkeys experimentally infected with Toxocara canis.

- 5,000 ova/kg in single dose
- ▲ 1,000 ova/kg in single dose
- O 5 ova/kg in trickle dose weekly for six months accumulating to 135 ova/kg.





Fig. 34 Anti-<u>Toxocara</u> IgM responses in rhesus monkeys experimentally infected with <u>Toxocara canis</u>.

- 5,000 ova/kg in single dose
 - ▲ 1,000 ova/kg in single dose
- O 5 ova/kg weekly in trickle dose for six months accumulating to 135 ova/kg.



FIGURE 34

Delative	Assay at a Single Serum Dilution (10 ⁻³)						Titration		
Specific Antibody Activity	Semi Quantita- tive	Absorbance (A ₄₀₅ nm)	P/N	MONA	Percent of Positive Standard	Percentile of Normal Population	Units from Standard Curve	ED (Log)	Titre 1:
Weak Negative	Negative	0.09	0.6	0.4	4.5%	0.02	35	-0.37	30
Standard Negative	Negative	0.15	1.0	1.0	7.5%	0.46	90	0	70
Weak Positive	Positive +	0.55	3.7	12	27.5%	0.98	1100	+1.19	1100
Moderate Positive	Positive ++	1.75	11.7	107	87.5%	1.00	7010	+1.90	5600
Strong Positive	Positive	3.80	25.3	463	190%	1.00	800,000	+3.89	550,000

TABLE 15

MEANS OF COMMUNICATING ESTIMATES OF RELATIVE ANTIBODY ACTIVITY FROM TOXOCARA ELISA DATA

Taken from ELISA data for human IgG anti-Toxocara antibody activity.

¢,

P/N = Positive:Negative Ratio MONA = Multiple of Normal Activity (n = 1.9). ED = Effective Dose

Parallelism of Toxocara ELISA serum dose-response Fig. 35 curves.

Fig. 36 <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA standard curve relating ELISA absorbance values to titre estimates.

Fig. 37 IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody dose-response curves for positive (●) and negative (▲) sera and for the same sera (O, △) following the removal of IgM by fractionation on Bio-Gel A-5m micro-chromatography. Contraction of the second



the second s





Barry Providence (Fig. 7

Fig. 38

ELISA data obtained from tests on five patients with anti-<u>Toxocara</u> titres ranging from weak negative (1:30) to strong positive (1:300,000) and treated by various data handling methods. The data products of each method have been plotted versus serum titre to illustrate the degree of linear relationship of the results with titre. Correlation (r) and slope (a) of the calculated linear regression lines are as follows:

(note r = 1.0 and a = 1.0 indicates a perfect linearly proportional relationship between the data product and titre.

Method	Correlation (r)	Slope (a)
Absorbance	0.97	0.39
P/N	0.97	0.39
% Pos.	0.97	0.39
MONA	0.97	0.74
Percentile	0.66	0.29
E.D.	0.99	0.99
Standard Curve	0.99	1.02

Note: Only ED. and Standard Curve units exhibit a satisfactory relation to titre, and that MONA improves the linear relationship of the P/N ratio.

ests on five patients with ng from weak negative (1:30) to) and treated by various data a products of each method have titre to illustrate the degree the results with titre. Correlation alculated linear regression lines

indicates a perfect linearly between the data product and

relation (r)	Slope (a)	
0.97	0.39	
0.97	0.39	
0.97	0.39	
0.97	0.74	
0.66	0.29	
0.99	0.99	
0.99	1.02	

ard Curve units exhibit a titre, and that MONA improves f the P/N ratio.



FIGURE 38

DISCUSSION

It is a peculiar characteristic of the literature of helminth immunodiagnosis, that for each parasitic disease in question, an extraordinary and imaginative variety of immunoassays assays has been recruited for use with a limited and relatively unimaginative assemblage of antigen sources. This suggests that helminth serologists have generally failed to acknowledge the axiom that immunoassays for specific antibody responses are only as good as the antigens employed. The use of crude, non-specific and uncharacterized antigens in highly sophisticated immunoassays capable of exquisite sensitivity and high precision will most probably give valueless results. The use of a specific, relatively pure, and biologically relevant antigen in even the least sophisticated immunoassays, is likely to give fully satisfactory results. Since the best antigens are usually available in the least quantity, it is desireable to use them in the most efficient assay. This was the logic behind the selection of ELISA for use with Toxocara secretory antigen; a combination which has proved fruitful. A comparison of Tables 5 and 14 shows that Toxocara ELISA has superior performance characteristics in terms of sensitivity, specificity, predictive value, and precision, when compared to assays currently used for the reference diagnosis of toxocariasis. The following discussion considers the implications of the choice of antigen on assay design and the implications of the observed evaluative parameters on assay performance.

The development of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was facilitated, in many ways, by the nature of <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretory antigen which proved ideally suited to use in ELISA. Secretory antigen binding to polyvinyl chloride was rapid, reproducible, irreversible, and efficient. Solid phase adsorbed secretory antigen retained its antigenic reactivity and

exhibited enhanced stability and shelf-life compared to soluble storage at -70°C. The high immunogenicity of secretory antigen in infected hosts evoked strong serological responses, allowing the assay of serum at high dilution (10^{-3}) thus minimizing the possibility of non-specific reactions (Field, Shanker, Murphy, 1980). The predominantly single-component nature of the antigen helps explain not only the low optimal antigen dose (20 ng per test, ie. <500 pmol of major antigen per test), but also contributes to the economy of this application, since protein concentration and percent adsorption are inversely related (Hermann and Collins, 1975; confirmed in the isotope-labelled antigen adsorption experiment). The pI of the secretory antigen's major component (pI = 9.5) is such that this component remains uncharged during antigen coating (pH = 9.4), a feature which may assist hydrophobic interaction between antigen and the solid phase, and contribute to the observed reproducibility and efficiency of antigen adsorption. It is estimated that, at 20 ng of secretory product per test, it is possible to perform 30,000 assays with the antigen extracted from one month's culture of 10⁶ larvae.

These antigen related considerations made the development and optimization of the assay a relatively uncomplicated undertaking, and are consistent with the contention that highly sensitive immunoassay procedures are best suited by the use of purified antigen.

The discrimination between positive and negative is a crucial element in the design of all assays for specific antibody activity, both quantitative and qualitative (de Savigny and Voller, 1980). Although the development and optimization of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA gave few problems, the setting of the "positive : negative" threshold level, as with all clinical laboratory tests, required careful consideration. This setting can profoundly influence the sensitivity, specificity, predictive value

and the degrees of false positivity and false negativity of the assay result (Vecchio, 1966; Nissen-Meyer, 1974). Various methods for determining the positive / negative threshold ELISA value have been reported in the literature, the most common being to set the minimum positive response value (Absorbance) at two (or three) multiples of the mean response value of the negative reference group. This method is useful only when small numbers of reference negative sera (<10) are available, but is unreliable due to insufficiency of data. When more reference sera (between 10 and 100) are available, authors tend to set the positive threshold value at the mean negative value plus two (or three) standard deviations of the mean. This method is rarely valid because it assumes ELISA values in the "normal" reference population are distributed normally (ie. gaussian), when they, as are most other measures of serum constituents in normal populations, are distributed with a positive skew (Mainland, 1971, de Savigny et al., 1979) which is usually log-normal (Roberts, 1969). Therefore false positives occur at +2 and +3 standard deviations. When assay results of healthy populations have non-gaussian distribution and cannot be transformed to a normal form (Harris and De Mets, 1972), non-parametric methods must be used, ie. the median value 50th percentile in place of the mean, and the 97.5th or 99.5th percentiles in place of +2 and +3 standard deviations respectively (Herrera, 1958; Elveback and Taylor, 1969; Mainland, 1969; Werner and March, 1975; Harris, 1975). The upper limit of "normal" can be determined using these methods with a minimum of 120 sera from the reference negative group (Reed, Henry and Mason, 1971).

In this study, the frequency distribution of ELISA values in a reference "negative" group of 922 healthy adult blood donors was bimodal, the first mode having a log-normal distribution and the second

mode being too thinly populated to categorize, but clearly representing a sup-population with high ELISA values. A probability plot (Caputo, 1972) of log-transformed ELISA values indicated that these distributions overlap at an ELISA value of 0.50. This value corresponded to the 97.4th percentile estimate of the population and was approximately three multiples of the median value $(3 \times 0.17 = 0.49)$. Setting the positive threshold value at 0.50 would imply that 2.6% of the reference negative population would be classed as serologically positive for toxocariasis. Epidemiologic studies (Woodruff et al. 1966) have indicated that the period prevalence of toxocariasis in healthy UK adults is 2.1% (intradermal Type I hypersensitivity). Considering that the level of 0.50 closely approximated the threshold indicated by three different approaches (ie. 97.5th percentile; three multiples of median value; and discrimination of current estimates of period prevalence) this value was selected as the minimum positive response for both qualitative and quantitative Toxocara ELISA data throughout this study.

Although standard methods for evaluation of diagnostic assays have been published (Thorner and Remein, 1961; Cochrane and Holland, 1971; Grab and Pull, 1974; Martin, 1977) they appear to have been conspicuously avoided in much of the literature of helminth immunodiagnosis. Since every assay has potential errors, these must be identified and measured if results of a test are to be interpreted intelligently (Draper, Voller and Carpenter, 1972). In the pre-validation of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, the parameters of sensitivity, specificity, predictive value, cross-reactivity, and precision, were examined, each by a variety of approaches as discussed below.

Sensitivity of an immunoassay for antibody activity can be defined most objectively as the ability of a test to correctly identify those individuals with the specified disease (Martin, 1977). This definition

must be differentiated from the common usage of sensitivity (Sonksen, 1974) to describe the minimal detection limit of a test to small quantities of antibody. To determine the sensitivity of a serological assay, clearly defined "diseased" and "healthy" groups are needed (Thorner and Remein, 1961) and the methods used to define this health status should be non-serological and approximately 100% effective (Martin, 1977). This requirement is a major obstacle to objective assessment of immunoassays for toxocariasis. Presently, the only alternative to serological diagnosis of toxocariasis is clinical diagnosis. The criteria which constitute clinically diagnosed visceral toxocariasis have not been standardized or agreed and definitive parasitological diagnoses are rarely available. In this work, for purposes of serological evaluation only, criteria were established to select from cases of clinically suspected visceral toxocariasis, those which would have a high probability of being true cases of toxocariasis. Using information obtained from the clinical findings and laboratory data available for each patient, cases were assigned a score based on the number of criteria for toxocariasis (Tables 1 and 2) which they displayed. Criteria were weighted (see methods) according to their known frequency of occurrence in toxocariasis. Only those patients with a score of three or higher were included in the group designated as clinically diagnosed visceral toxocariasis, ie. those patients with persistent hypereosinophilia in association with at least two, three, or four (dependent on their weighted value) features of toxocariasis. Of 32 cases of clinical visceral toxocariasis diagnosed accordingly, 87.5% were serologically positive. This clinical group also showed a bimodal distribution (Fig. 18) with the minimum positive result being 1.38 while the maximum negative result was 0.18. Although the objective measure of sensitivity for Toxocara ELISA is 87.5%, it should be

appreciated that this parameter refers to clinical, visceral toxocariasis as defined above and does not consider subclinical and ocular toxocariasis (see Chapter IV). If the criterion for clinical toxocariasis is set at a score of 3.5 rather than 3, the sensitivity of the assay becomes 100%.

Non-human primates have been recommended as ideal experimental models of human toxocariasis (Kuntz, 1973) and were used extensively in this work to evaluate the efficacy of Toxocara ELISA. From these experiments on Macaca mulatta, less objective, but clinically useful, measures of sensitivity were obtained. Seroconversion of Toxocara infected animals occurred between five and seven days following high-dose infection (5,000 ova) and within four weeks following low dose infection (10 ova). All infected animals seroconverted while all controls remained negative. During single dose infections, anti-Toxocara IgG (and IgM) seroconversion intervals, peak antibody titres, and peak antibody intervals post infections, were all dose dependent, as was hypereosinophilia. Dose dependency persisted for approximately three months. There have been few studies of toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys. the most comprehensive being those of Wiseman (1969) who observed similar haematological responses following infection with comparable doses of T. canis. Eosinophilia in both studies peaked at 3-4 weeks post-infection and persisted for a maximum of three months. There was minimal hypereosinophilia in response to single low dose infections. Wiseman (1969) reported positive intradermal tests for Type I hypersensitivity commencing four to six weeks post infection. Serologic responses were not reported.

The use of high-dose and single-dose experimental infections, although traditionally popular in helminth (and especially toxocariasis) serological studies (Sadun <u>et al</u>.1957; Mitchell, 1964; Fernando, 1968b;

Wiseman, 1969; Fernando et al.1970; Aljeboori, and Ivey, 1970; Tomimura, 1976), serve to indicate minimum thresholds of sensitivity to detection of infection (cf. disease) but have little relevence to natural infection (eg. dose size and mode of infection are unnatural; hypereosinophilia is transient). The results of low intensity, trickledose infections (5 ova per week) as used in this study of toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys have more realistic implications regarding ELISA sensitivity in naturally acquired infections. In such infections, hypereosinophilia was prolonged and peak antibody responses (both IgG and IgM) rapidly overwhelmed the dose-dependency phenomenon seen in single-dose infections. Animals harbouring only 25 larvae, acquired during a five week period, had a relative antibody activity exceeding that of animals infected with 100 or 1,000 larvae in single doses, and by nine weeks (45 larvae), antibody activity approached the response level evoked by 5,000 larvae in a single dose. Six months post-infection, similar positive plateau levels of IgG response were reached by all doses and modes of infection. Plateaus persisted in all infected animals for at least 18 months (experiment terminated). Hence the level of IgH anti-Toxocara ELISA values are a more promising indicator of recency of infection since all dose and mode regimens resulted in serological reversion to negative within five months post-infection. IgM-ELISA failed to confer an advantage to the early detection of infection when compared to IgG-ELISA, both assays sero-converting synchronously. Although this may reflect an insensitivity inherent in the design of the IgM-ELISA, these transiently positive IgM responses are nevertheless historically significant. Other studies (Crandall and Crandall, 1972; Diconza, 1972; Fernando and Soulsby, 1974; de Savigny and Tizard, 1977) in rats, monkeys (Macaca sinica), and man, have failed to detect any convincing evidence of an IgM response to toxocaral secretory antigen.

IgM responses in our monkeys (<u>Macaca mulatta</u>) were observed in sera fractionated by gel chromatography (ie. in the absence of IgG). It is possible that transient IgM anti-secretion responses have remained undetected in past studies because of insensitivities in previous methods and / or competitive inhibition by the rapid and pronounced IgG response which in turn may suppress full expression of the IgM response. Attempts were made to measure anti-<u>Toxocara</u> IgE specific responses in these monkeys, using various anti-human IgE preparations for assay of protein A (100 mg / 500 μ I) absorbed and unadsorbed rhesus sera at a dilution of 1:5 but without success.

Often, in attempts to evaluate immunoassays, helminth serologists compare results of newly developed assays to those of existing assays (Bout <u>et al.</u> 1976; Deelder <u>et al</u>. 1977) rather than comparing both, independently, to clinical criteria (Speiser and Weiss, 1979). The former comparisons establish relative sensitivity only, and were not included in this study. However, two alternative assays were developed, the <u>Toxocara</u> immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) using isotopic label and the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA using soluble somatic larval antigens, in order to compare independently, the effects of isolated parameters (ie. isotopic vs. non-isotopic conjugate labels; and secretory vs. somatic antigens) within comparable immunoassay systems.

Isotopic immunoassay is generally regarded as the immunoassay of highest sensitivity, and the one to which all other tests are generically compared. In <u>Toxocara</u>-IRMA, the dose-response curves of isotopic and non-isotopic conjugates suggested that use of isotopic labels should confer higher sensitivity. In practice, the results of actual tests indicated the converse. This was probably due to the higher non-specific binding of isotope-labelled antiglobulin compared to enzyme conjugates. Possible explanations include the higher molecular weight of the latter,

which could also be responsible for the lower maximal values obtained in tests with enzyme conjugates. Their relatively large size could result in stearic hindrance at the solid phase surface and could influence the apparent affinity of antiglobulin conjugate for bound antibody. In practice ELISA was slightly more sensitive to high levels of antibody while IRMA was slightly more sensitive to low levels of antibody. Qualitatively, as found by others (Schinski, Clutter and Murrell, 1976; Voller, Bartlett, Bidwell and Edwards, 1977) ELISA and IRMA were essentially equivalent, although ELISA was the more attractive alternative from a practical viewpoint.

High ELISA values, titres, or positive:negative ratios are often given as measures of assay sensitivity (Kagan, 1978). In <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u>, remarkably high ELISA values ($A_{405}>8.0$) representing end-point serum titres greater than 10⁻⁶ and positive:negative ratios greater than 50:1, have been observed in some cases of clinical toxocariasis in man. Although this reflects, to some extent, the immunogenicity of toxocaral larval secretions during natural infections, such data are merely numerically interesting, are not reliable indices of assay sensitivity, and should not be used as evaluative parameters for immunoassays (de Savigny and Voller, 1980).

No advantage in assay sensitivity was gained using somatic Toxocara-ELISA, either independently, or in parallel.

As a final feature of the sensitivity of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA, the optimal working dilution of serum at 10^{-3} requires a minimum of 1 µl of serum per duplicate assay. In practice, 10 µl are used, to ensure higher precision at the serum sampling stage.

Specificity, an equally important parameter to be quantified in the evaluation of immunoassays, is usually inversely related to sensitivity (Lobel and Kagan, 1978). Thus the high sensitivity of <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u>

necessitated careful examination of specificity from several viewpoints. Specificity of an assay for detection of disease (cf. detection of infection) is most objectively defined as the ability of a test to correctly identify those individuals without the specific disease (Martin, 1977). Again, clearly defined "diseased" and "healthy" groups are required. In toxocariasis, this definition can mislead because the prevalence of subclinical toxocariasis infection can exist and vary between and among populations. A reference group of blood donor sera representing 922 subjects resident in southern England was used to assess assay specificity. Ideally each of these subjects should have been screened for the absence of eosinophilia and other criteria of clinical toxocariasis, but for practical reasons, acceptance as a blood donor was used as the only criterion for inclusion in this group. Of these 97.4% were negative in Toxocara-ELISA and thus, the objective measure of specificity was 97.4%. This parameter is not stable from one population to another since it is influenced by the sensitivity of the assay to the prevalence of subclinical toxocariasis, past toxocariasis, and non-toxocaral helminthisases with which the assay may cross-react. Like sensitivity, specificity, as described here, refers only to visceral toxocariasis (see Chapter IV for ocular toxocariasis).

A second aspect of specificity is that of assay design, as opposed to disease detection. This was assessed by the use of homologous antigen inhibition, which indicated 50% inhibition doses in the order of one to two nanograms. All levels of seroreactivity including negative sera, could be inhibited by homologous antigen down to a level of approximately $A_{405} = 0.05$. These results confirm that the assay has high specificity in the sense that the A_{405} ELISA result is a true measure of specific antibody response to homologous antigen, and not to non-specific serum

factors.

Specificity can be examined by a third means: at the antigen level. Antigen specificity of <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u> was investigated by heterologous antigen, competitive inhibition. Heterologous proteins and helminth antigens failed to induce 50% inhibition at levels as high as five micrograms, ie. 5,000 times the homologous antigen ID_{50} . At 5 µg of heterologous antigen, inhibition in the range of 10 to 40% became evident. It is possible, but unlikely, that this implies minor antigenic cross-reactivity since the relative concentration of heterologous protein is extremely high (5 µg / 200 µl of test serum diluted 10^{-3}) and exceeds the total IgG concentration of the serum dilution. As a result, non-specific influences due to altered protein concentration may account for the observed inhibition.

Having established the sensitivity and specificity of Toxocara ELISA, primarily by the use of selected populations, it is important to know what its predictive value will be when used in large, unselected populations (Vecchio, 1966) (ie. what is the probability that a subject yielding a positive result actually has the disease?). Conversely, what is the likelihood that a subject with a negative result does not have the disease? This probability cannot be estimated directly from sensitivity and specificity parameters obtained in the preliminary test evaluations since it is related to disease prevalence in the population under study (Nissen-Meyer, 1974; Stewart, 1974; Martin, 1977; Archer, 1978). In reference serodiagnosis, the population tested is clinically pre-selected and will have a relatively high prevalence while in seroepidemiologic applications, prevalence may be low. Assuming the period prevalence of toxocariasis in UK is 2.6%, the predictive values (for detection of toxocaral infection) of positive and negative Toxocara ELISA results are 97.1% and 88.6% respectively. Predictive values have

been published for only one of the 167 published immunodiagnostic methods for toxocariasis (ELISA: Glickman <u>et al</u>. 1978; positive = 85.7%, negative = 87.8%). Predictive values are rarely used in the evaluation of helminth immunodiagnostic tests, however they can provide valuable insights to the performance of assays in various populations, especially where prevalence rates are expected to differ. For instance, in a hypothetical population, if disease prevalence is 10% and assay sensitivity and specificity are both as high as 90%, there will be, in the first 1,000 subjects tested, a larger number of false positives (100) than of true positives (90). As the prevalence falls, false positivity rates increase. Thus at 1% prevalence, there will be 9 true positives (out of ten) compared with 100 false positives in the first 1,000 persons tested.

According to the calculated predictive values (Table 13) for disease detection, the use of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA in a population with a prevalence of clinical visceral toxocariasis of 1%, will detect eight true positives (out of ten) and no false positives in the first 1,000 persons tested. In the same population, the US CDC <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA of Glickman <u>et al</u>. (1978) would detect eight true positives (out of ten) but 52 false positives in the first 1,000 persons tested.

It was shown in this study that the setting of the positive: negative threshold exerts a moderate influence on predictive value (Table 13). The use of such information becomes important when deciding a particular application of the assay. For example, in seroepidemiologic studies, where it is desired to have a test of high sensitivity, the consequences of false positive detection are not as serious as in immunodiagnostic applications where false positive patients may undergo unnecessary treatment. Conversely, in immunodiagnostic applications,

there are circumstances, such as may occur in ocular toxocariasis, where a false negative serological result may lead to unnecessary enucleation of an eye. The use of predictive values allows one to estimate the probability of a false positive or false negative result for any particular ELISA reading, and thus increases the usefulness of the assay for the diagnostician.

Cross-reactivity is the fourth evaluative parameter of importance to helminth immunodiagnosis. Although cross-reactivity is often discussed synonomously with specificity (Fife, 1971, Kagan, 1974), and is influenced by sensitivity (Kagan and Lobel, 1978), these parameters are discrete, can vary independently, and should be measured separately. Cross-reactivity of an assay, is a function of antigen specificity (as apposed to assay specificity) and may be defined as the proportion of diseased individuals (suffering from a specified disease other than the one under test) who react positively in the assay.

By definition, the cross-reactivity of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA, determined from assays of 96 sera from Europeans with defined, non-toxocaral, helminthic infections, was 6.3%. However the nature of toxocariasis further confounds the interpretation of this result, since the prevalence of toxocaral infection in a population with non-toxocaral helminthic infection, especially geohelminthic infection, can be expected to be higher than the prevalence of toxocariasis in a healthy population. For example 19.7% and 13.8% of published toxocariasis case histories record co-existing <u>Trichocephalus trichiurus</u> and <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> infections respectively (Ehrhard and Kernbaum, 1979). It would be difficult to exclude the possibility of toxocariasis occurring in a helminth infected population. Therefore it became necessary to examine cross-reactivity in experimentally infected animals. These data failed to demonstrate qualitative cross-reactivity in <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA beyond

the species level despite, as in the case of <u>Ascaris suum</u>, trickle-dose and repeated high dose heterologous infections of a type known to induce high levels of anti-<u>Ascaris</u> antibody. Competitive inhibition of <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u>, by heterologous helminth antigens also indicated the absence of significant cross-reactivity. Thus <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u>, according to animal experiments, appears to be a genus specific immunoassay, a finding consistent with current understanding of the genus-specific nature of toxocaral secretory antigens (Hogarth-Scott, 1966; Lamina, 1970; de Savigny and Tizard, 1977; Stevenson, 1979; Chapter II).

Combining the data describing sensitivity and specificity allows the following interpretation of the cross-reactivity parameter. Considering (a) the absence of significant cross-reactivity in animal experiments and heterologous inhibition studies, and (b) high assay specificity; the sub-population representing 2.6% of healthy adults with elevated ELISA values $(0.50 < A_{405} < 1.35)$ can be identified as valid positives, ie. with significant anti-toxocaral antibody levels. Since the group is clinically healthy, this range of ELISA values should therefore reflect current, sub-clinical toxocariasis, or past history of clinical or subclinical toxocariasis. If so, this would indicate that the period pervalence of toxocariasis in the UK is at least 2.6%. Although the cross-reactivity level (prevalence in the non-toxocaral helminthic infection group) of 6.3% is not significantly different from the prevalence in the healthy population, the true measure of cross-reactivity should be adjusted downward to 3.7% to compensate for the "background" prevalence in healthy subjects.

The final parameter used to evaluate <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA was precision (reproducibility). Precision may be defined as the ability of an assay to give consistent results in tests on the same sample and is measured in quantitative immunoassays by the coefficient of variation (CV %).

Intra-assay variation ranged from 4.2 to 11.7 CV % while inter-assay variation was less than 15 CV %; both parameters indicating suitability of the assay for use.

It was recognized early in this study that inter-assay precision of <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA was critically influenced by the termination of the enzyme-substrate reaction and that this could be effectively controlled for alkaline-phophatase conjugates using the methods described. It was initially assumed that the major source of intra-assay variation would be sampling error, however these studies incriminate the antigen solid-phase as contributing greatly to variability. The reproducible quality of antigen production, the high stability of secretory antigen and the long shelf-life of pre-coated <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA plates contribute to the observed precision.

Because Toxocara-ELISA was designed primarily as a seroepidemiological tool, the enzyme-substrate reaction step was allowed to run longer than would be necessary for mere discrimination of positive and negative responses. This was done to allow scope for negative values to emerge into a frequency distribution which could be used to detect subtle differences between populations, in addition to the proportion positive (Chapter V). This being so, it was important to establish, not just the quantitative reproducibility of positive results but also of negative results. The high reproducibility of the assay proved that distribution of negative values was not just a function of accumulated errors, ie. sample treatment, instrument bias, intra-individual variation of serum constituents, etc. (Bakelund, Winkel, Stalland, 1974) but was a reproducible function of the individual patient and remained so, serially, within at least a four month period. This indicates that, within the negative result range, ELISA is responding to specific or non-specific serum related factor(s) characteristic of individual patients. This

phenomenon has been noticed in other ELISA systems (Voller, Bartlett and Bidwell, 1979, personal communication; Speiser, 1980, personal communication) and remains unexplained. Hypotheses include serum globulin levels, rheumatoid factor, C-reactive protein and other acute phase proteins, and are worth investigation as a possible cause of increased ELISA "backgrounds" in healthy tropical populations.

The reproducible nature of quantitative <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA data allows the following general conclusions: <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values less than $A_{405} = 0.50$ suggest the absence of significant toxocaral infection or disease and are found in 97.4% of the healthy adult population (UK). Values between 0.50 and 1.50 may be expected in 2.6% of the healthy population and probably indicate a relatively low level of circulating anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody such may be expected in light, current infections and following past infections. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values greater than 1.50 indicate significant levels of specific antibody, and are associated with active or recent clinical toxocariasis disease. Such values do not occur in the healthy population.

Although ELISA results expressed as absorbance values are useful in research and seroepidemiologic applications, they are a source of confusion when used in routine serodiagnostic applications. Development of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA commenced in 1977 at a time when ELISA methodologies were primarily tools of research and none had yet been introduced into routine serodiagnostic service. ELISA, in many disciplines, is now emerging from the realm of the research laboratory to that of routine diagnostic laboratory. There is therefore a need for reconsideration of the means by which laboratory results of ELISA are

communicated to the clinician. No matter how sensitive and accurate an assay is, its result has little value unless it is in a form intelligible to the user, the clinician. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA results presented in this thesis are in absorbance units (A_{405}) . Such values, although useful in research, should be considered as raw laboratory intermediate data, analogous to disintegrations per minute in radioimmunoassay, and should be further refined before they are reported from the laboratory.

The main deficiency of the absorbance value result is that it is not linearly proportional to relative antibody activity (ie. an ELISA value of 3.0 does not indicate three times the antibody activity of an ELISA value of 1.0, but rather 35 times). Values linearly proportional to relative antibody activity should be useful in comparing one patient to another, or comparing one form of toxocariasis to another, or in following the response of a single patient to treatment.

It was considered that in order to convey an impression of relative antibody activity, some form of mathematical transformation was required. Of all methods available, only the effective dose method and the standard curve method were shown to be capable of linear transformation of ELISA data. Of these, only the standard curve method produced results in easily understood units.

The main argument against the use of the standard curve is that it presupposes parallelism in the dose response curves of patients at all times during the course of their infections. In theory, parallelism of dose response curves should not be presumed. The concentration range of specific antibody in pathological sera from patients with toxocariasis can span up to six log₁₀ dilutions. The antibody affinities of such sera cannot always be assumed to be of the same distribution (Werblin and Siskind, 1973; Macario and de Macario, 1975; Hirschfeld,

(1980). In tests for specific antibody responses of a particular immunoglobulin class, the dose response curve can be altered by the presence or absence of responses in other immunoglobulin classes (Carlsson and Lindberg, 1977; Keren, 1979). The current work demonstrated that removal of IgM from a highly reactive serum would diminish the prozone phenomenon and increase the slope of the dose-response curve. Finally, ELISA measures the combined effects of antibody concentration and antibody affinity since secondary antibody-enzyme complexes may exert an interfering effect on the attachment of primary antibodies (Butler, Feldbush, McGivern and Stewart, 1978). Thus the expression of results in absolute units (ie. "Specific anti-<u>Toxocara</u> Antibody Concentration = 70 ng IgG/ml of Serum") would seem to be precluded.

In practice, Toxocara ELISA dose-response curves of 40 out of 42 sera, representing a spectrum of serological reactivity levels, were remarkably parallel. This may be due to the relative rarity of IgM responses to toxocaral secretory antigens; however, the usual explanation that IqM competition is responsible for the prozone phenomenon does not seem valid since plateaux were occasionally observed in IgG fractions. This has also been noted by Lehtonen and Viljanen (1980) who found that, at high antibody concentrations, there was superior antibody binding to solid phase antigens dispersed at low density compared to high density, and explained this as due to avidity effects. An alternative explanation could consider the diffusion of substrate towards, and substrate product away from, surface-bound enzyme conjugate. In either case, the low density of antigen used in Toxocara ELISA should minimize prozone phenomena. It was considered therefore, that a standard curve could be used to predict, with reasonable accuracy, titre end-points on a continuous scale, given ELISA absorbance values obtained from tests at a single serum dilution. This decreases the tedium, expense, and

inefficiency of preparing serial dilutions to quantitate positive results, while avoiding the major errors and loss of precision introduced by such titrations. Errors due to prozones occur only in high titred sera and should have no influence on the qualitative interpretation of the result. Since the standard curve is prepared from data pooled retrospectively from several assays, its validity is enhanced. The standard curve is sigmoidal and exhibits heteroscedasticity (non-uniform variance) along its length, such that values in the central part of the curve (ie. at the positive-negative threshold) have the highest precision. The curve remains valid for any given series of Toxocara ELISA assays but should be recalibrated when a new test reagent (eg. new batch of conjugate) is introduced. The curve is not valid for high Toxocara ELISA values. It should be possible by using curve-fitting techniques and curvilinear regression, (Ashton, 1972; Marschner, Erhart and Scriba, 1974) with weighting to correct for variance, (Robard and Hutt, 1974) to transform the sigmoidal standard curve to linear form and derive its equation. The equation can then be used by a programmable calculator linked to the ELISA photometer, to transform ELISA values directly to titres or other units (de Savigny and Voller, 1980 a,b). However it is not always necessary to straighten standard curves and the advantages of non-linear estimations of immunoassay standard curve data have been discussed by Kamp (1980). Once it has been established that ELISA dose-response curves are predominantly parallel, the ELISA standard curve method can be exploited further, as shown by Leinikki, Shekarchi, Dorsett and Sever (1979), by calibrating it with known concentrations of the affinity purified, antigen specific, IgG fraction of a standard serum. Thus, results can be expressed in absolute terms of ng of IgG per millilitre of serum. Since the recognition that ELISA dose-response curves can be parallel in

practice, the standard curve method has become increasingly used in other ELISA systems (Tracy, Alport, Yunginger, and Hamburger, 1980; Karch, Halbert, Klima and Steinberg, 1980; Van Loom and Van der Veen, 1980).

196
SUMMARY

197

A novel enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was developed to quantitate immunoglobulin class-specific antibody responses to <u>Toxocara canis</u> larval secretory antigens in both man and animals. <u>Toxocara-ELISA</u> was evaluated objectively, according to the parameters of sensitivity, specificity, predictive value, cross-reactivity, and precision with the following salient points arising:

Sensitivity

- detects 87.5% of clinically diagnosed cases of visceral toxocariasis (n = 32).
- detects minimal infection levels of at least 10 larvae per kg host tissue.
- detects antibody between one and four weeks of infection.
- detects antibody for at least 18 months post-infection.
- requires 20 ng (< 500 pMol) of antigen per test.
- requires a minimum of 1 µl of serum per test.
- sensitivity is comparable to a <u>Toxocara</u> immunoradiometric assay.
- detects antibody in pathologic sera to dilutions of 10^{-6} .

Specificity

- detects 97.4% of healthy adults as negative (n = 922).
- homologous antigen 50% inhibition dose is < 2 ng.

Predictive Value

- of positive result = 97.1%
- of negative result = 88.6%

Cross-Reactivity

- no cross-reactivity detected in non-toxocaral, helminth infected,
 - experimental animals.

- 3.7% (prevalence adjusted) of non-toxocara%, helminth infected patients are positive (n = 96)
- heterologous antigen 50% inhibition dose is greater than 5,000 ng.
- strong intra-genus cross-reactivity to <u>Toxocara cati</u> confirmed.

Precision

- Intra-assay CV = 7.3%
- Inter-assay CV = 12.7%
- Antigen quality is reproducible between batches
- Antigen is thermostable and exhibits long shelf-life.

Experience gained from <u>Toxocara</u>-ELISA during the evaluation suggests the following interpretation: <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values less than $A_{405} = 0.50$ indicate no evidence of clinical toxocariasis and occur in 97.4% of the healthy adult population (UK). ELISA values $0.50 < A_{405} < 1.50$ indicate relatively low-levels of circulating anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody such as might be expected in light, current, subclinical infections or following past infections. These values occur in 2.6% of the healthy adult population and reflect the minimum period prevalence of toxocaral infection. ELISA values greater than $A_{405} = 1.50$ are associated with active or recent clinical visceral toxocariasis (disease). Such values did not occur in the healthy adult population (sample size, 992).

A standard curve method was designed to transform <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA data into more comprehensible measures of relative antibody activity for use in routine serodiagnostic applications.

This chapter reports the first application of nematode secretory antigen in an enzyme immunoassay system. The use of highly specific antigen in such a sensitive serological system has facilitated the design of a quantitative assay with high sensitivity, specificity and precision in addition to inherent practical advantages which allow processing of large numbers of sera with efficiency and economy. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, as designed, constitutes a potential advance on currently available methods used in central reference immunodiagnosis and seroepidemiology.

199

CHAPTER IV

SERODIAGNOSTIC APPLICATIONS OF TOXOCARA ELISA

INTRODUCTION

The unquantified zoonotic potential of toxocariasis, whose reservoir was first recognized in 1952 as residing in the companion animals of man (Beaver <u>et al</u>. 1952) has stimulated a rapid demand for reliable diagnostic procedures. In the absence of any practical means of obtaining a parasitological diagnosis of toxocariasis in man, this demand has been answered by an increasing frequency of published immunodiagnostic methods (Table 4 describes 166 methods) of which only a few have been used routinely (Table 5). The large number of publications and their low application rate illustrate both the need for such methods and the challenge involved.

Toxocariasis in man occurs in two clinically distinct forms visceral and ocular - each presenting different facets of the diagnostic problem.

Clinical visceral toxocariasis is seen most commonly in young children, one to four years of age, who have poorly developed habits of personal hygiene and are excellent candidates for oral-faecal transmission of geohelminths. Since manifestation and severity of symptoms is proportional to the number of infective ova consumed (and to host immune response factors), the most severe cases are often found in children with a history of eating soil from premises contaminated by dog and cat faeces. It is also possible that significant numbers of parasite ova could be consumed by individuals of any age, who fail to wash their hands adequately after playing or working in soil and before eating.

The diagnosis of toxocariasis has been as much a clinical art, as it is a diagnostic science. The visceral form is characterized by chronic and extreme eosinophilia, associated variously with hepatomegaly, transient pulmonary involvement, fever and hyperglobulinaemia (Tables 1 and 2). These features, considered singly or in combination are not pathognostic and occur commonly in many areas, (especially tropical regions) as a result of non-toxocaral infection. Definitive diagnosis is established only by the biopsy demonstration of larvae in tissue; a procedure performed often in the early years following the recognition of toxocariasis as a disease entity, but rarely done now. Immunodiagnostic methods currently provide the only option to parasitological diagnosis. Requirements of a suitable immunodiagnostic assay for visceral toxocariasis include: (1) a high degree of sensitivity in order to identify, as possible candidates for treatment and for surveillance, all those with significant disease, including most of those with light or recent infection; (2) a high degree of specificity, to avoid unnecessary or inappropriate treatment of healthy individuals or those with other infections; (3) a low degree of cross-reactivity, to allow its use, with confidence, in individuals from areas of helminth endemicity; (4) a capacity for accurate and reproducible quantitation of the antibody response to allow assessment of the severity of infection and of response to treatment; and (5) operable using small volumes of serum available from paediatric populations.

Ocular toxocariasis is a clinically distinct expression of the disease and occurs more commonly in older children, often in the absence of significant eosinophilia and other features associated with visceral toxocariasis. Since ocular toxocariasis may result in uniocular

blindness, it commands clinical attention. Of critical importance is the differential diagnosis of ocular toxocariasis and retinoblastoma. The clinical similarities between these conditions dictate a necessity for high levels of both sensitivity and specificity in toxocariasis immunoassays, since a false negative may lead to unnecessary enucleation of an eye, while a false positive may unnecessarily delay treatment of a neoplasm with possible disastrous results for the patient. Additional to the requirements set out for visceral toxocariasis, immunoassays for ocular toxocariasis must be suitable for use with small volumes of sample such as are available from ocular anterior chamber fluid.

The <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA developed and validated in Chapter III is a candidate assay with potential to meet the diagnostic needs of both visceral and ocular toxocariasis. In this chapter, <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA is evaluated in practice, in immunodiagnostic applications in both man and canidae, with consideration given to the dynamics of the humoral response in natural infections, its relevance to clinical expression, the persistance of antibody following infection, and the effect of chemotherapy on assay results. The development of the first laboratory model of ocular toxocariasis is also described and used to further evaluate <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. All assays used <u>Toxocara canis</u> larval secretory antigen, prepared as described in Chapter II, in the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA protocol, designed and validated in Chapter III. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was modified to assay canine IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody by use of appropriate dilutions of rabbit anti-dog IgG (heavy and light chains) antisera (Miles-Yeda Ltd., Rehovot) fractionated as described by Voller, Bidwell and Bartlett (1976) and conjugated by the one-step glutaraldehyde method (Avrameas, 1969) with alkaline phosphatase (Miles Laboratories, Slough, UK). Results were expressed as absorbance values.

<u>Sera</u>. Sera from 49 patients with clinically presumed visceral toxocariasis were obtained from Canada, Czechoslovakia, Sweden Switzerland, UK and USA, as acknowledged in Chapter III.

Lyophilized serum samples (136) collected serially from ten patients, during a minimum of 10 months and as long as eight years following the onset of clinical visceral toxocariasis (clinical score≥ 3.0), were obtained from Dr. M. Uhlikova and J. Hübner (Prague), as randomized, coded samples for assay in a blind protocol.

Sera from 11 patients with clinically diagnosed ocular toxocariasis were obtained from the Toxocaral Reference Laboratory, UK, courtesy of Professor A.W. Woodruff.

Sera from ascarid naive beagle dogs were obtained from White Eagle Laboratories, Kansas City.

Sera, from beagle dogs, collected before and after various anthelminthic therapies, were obtained courtesy of Dr. V. Stejskal, Astra Pharmaceuticals, Södertalje, Sweden.

Experimental Ocular Toxocariasis. Five experiments were conducted in four animals (Macaca mulatta) whose previous experience with Toxocara canis infection was as follows: one animal with chronic visceral toxocariasis arising from high-level, single-dose infection; one with chronic visceral toxocariasis arising from low-level, trickle-dose infection; one with recent toxocariasis arising from high-level, single-dose infection; and two controls, without primary exposure to Toxocara. In each ocular infection experiment, 1000 in vitro hatched Toxocara can's larvae were administered to halothane anaesthetized animals by catheterization of the ophthalmic branch of the right internal carotid artery via the right femoral artery. Confirmation of accurate catheterization was obtained by intercurrent administration of radio-opaque contrast medium (Urografin 370) and X-ray image intensification*. Confirmation of successful infection was obtained by either primary or secondary serological responses to secretory antigens. Ocular infection was monitored by retinal fluorescense angiography at both five minutes pre- and five minutes post-inoculation, and at 48 hours post-inoculation. Direct ophthalmoscopy and fundus photography (Kowa RC-2 Retinal Camera) were done regularly commencing three days post-inoculation. Serum, and blood samples were collected for anti-Toxocara antibody assays and heamatology. Aqueous humor (150 µl per eye) was obtained by paracentesis of the anterior chamber using a 27 gauge needle introduced through the lateral cornea, entering at the corneallimbal junction.

* Catheterizations were done by Dr. B. Kendall, Hospital for Nervous Diseases, Queen's Square, London, and Prof. G. DuBoulay, Nuffield Laboratories of Comparative Medicine, Regent's Park, London.

Visceral Toxocariasis in Man. Clinical features of 49 patients with eosinophilia and presumed visceral toxocariasis are shown in Table 16. Within this group, the correlation between clinical scores (see Chapter III) and Toxocara ELISA values is shown in Fig. 39. Sera from ten patients with clinical score 3.0 were followed serially, for periods of ten months to 8 years by a single assay in a randomized blind protocol. Results are shown in Fig. 40. The salient points are as follows: All patients were serologically positive and remained so throughout the study period; rising ELISA values were not commonly seen; peak responses generally occurred during the first month following onset of symptoms, although in three patients, maximum responses were delayed until two, three and six months respectively; all patients ultimately had decreasing antibody responses during the study period. Dependent on the peak response. maximal ELISA results may decline to the past exposure range postulated in Chapter III (0.50 A_{405} 1.50) during a period of two to five years, following onset of symptoms, at a mean rate of -1.22 (0.44 SD) absorbance units (A_{405}) per year (Table 17). Since all patients had received a course of treatment with Thiabendazole, it could not be determined if this decline was a normal feature or had been influenced by chemotherapy.

<u>Ocular Toxocariasis in Man</u>. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values were positive in ten of 13 cases of clinically presumed ocular toxocariasis (Table 18). The mean positive value (1.30 0.77 SD) was significantly lower than that observed in visceral toxocariasis (P<0.001) and seven were in the 'past-exposure' range of $A_{405} = 0.50$ to 1.50. Serial samples were available from four patients (Fig. 40). Each showed a long persistance

205

RESULTS

	4114	arpica	1 2	Jua	naemia	L'ast	and the	,	s/s03	tenent tenopathu	A Value
Jat 50	+	teena ton	inter in the second	Clob.	· Splenoe	invo).	Pallon	· (eucoch	nul Sk	8 7.5	5.16 + 2.75 + 4.80 +
JA 1 BM 2 RT 12 EH 2.5 NB 1 ZI 1	M 63% • M 77% M 40% • F 30% • M 50%	•	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 7 7 • 6.5 6.5	4.50 + 3.24 + 3.02 + 4.22 + 3.56 +
SJ 7.5 KT 2 HI 2 SL 2.5 SK 4 KA 49	F 75% • F 72% • M 49% • F 49% • F 62% F 52%	:		•••	•	•	•	:	•	6 6 5.5 5.5 5.5	4.35 + 2.91 + 1.35 + 5.10 + 3.96 + 3.81 +
BP 4 AS 2.5 KI 2 BM 47 RF 60	M 70% M 50% F 31% F 55% F 10%		:	•	•		•	•		5 5 4 • 3.5 3	6.00 + 4.11 + 3.81 + .05 - 4.50 + 2.02 + 0.000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.0000 + 0.0000 + 0.00000 + 0.0000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.000000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000000
KA 40 BM 1 PV 2 HH 2 TM 4	F 79% M 27% M 47% F 64% F 74%		:					:			3.18 + 3.09 + 2.35 + 1.99 + 1.15 +
FV 15 JD 15 CB 14 SF 14 BD 3	F 32% M 23% M 20% F 45% M 50%		•	•					•	3 • 3 2.5 2.5	.18 .18 .15 1.97 5 .37
PG 4 CG 6 EH 50 BT 47 SB 40	M 25% M 12% M 31% F 10% F 23%	•		:		•	•			2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	5.17 5.16 5.14 3.52 3.33
SH 30 TS 60 BB 50 CR 7 PN 14) M 66%) M 20% 7 M 21% 7 M 12% 7 F 16%		•	•		•	1	•		• 22	.23 .18 .11 .09 5 .42
AT 5 CB 3	F 40%					Ĭ				• 1,	. 5 .50 .17

* Biopsy confirmed.

206

TABLE 16

RATE	OF	CHAN	IGE I	PER	YE	AR	0F	POS	ITI		TO	(00	AR
ELIS	5A	REACT	IVI	TY 1	C N	CL	INIC	AL	TOXO	DCA	<u>RI</u>	AS I	<u>(s</u> *

Patient	Clinical	ELI	SA		
	Score	A405 4 Maximum	A405/Year	Toxocariasis	
нн	3.0	4.80	-1.84	Visceral	
ВМ	4.0	4.00	-1.10	Visceral	
κz	5.5	4.05	-0.26	Visceral	
BP	5.5	3.81	-1.17	Visceral	
sĸ	5.5	5.10	-1.31	Visceral	
кі	6.0	4.37	-1.70	Visceral	
ZI	6.5	4.20	-1.08	Visceral	
BN	7.0	4.79	-1.55	Visceral	
NB	7.0	3.01	-1.05	Visceral	
RF	7.0	4.55	-1.11	Visceral	
BA	N/A	2.95	+0.02	Ocular	
нм	N/A	0.75	+0.18	Ocular	
RD	N/A	2.25	-0.10	Ocular	
DP	N/A	1.33	-0.06	Ocular	
		Mean (+ SD)	-1.22(0.44)	Visceral n=10	
		Mean (+ SD)	+0.01(0.12)	Ocular n= 4	

* Data, from 14 patients sampled serially during a period of at least ten months, expressed as the rate of change of the peak antibody response to the minimum or plateau response. Sign indicates whether the response is declining (-) or increasing (+) with time. Difference of means is statistically significant ($P \ll 0.001$).

N/A Not Applicable

.

207

TABLE 17

Τ	AB	LE	18	
	-	Concerning and the		

TOXOCARA SEROLOGY IN PATIENTS WITH PRESUMED OCULAR TOXOCARIASIS

	Country	-	Toxocara	ELISA
atient	of Origin	FAT	Quant.	Qual.
	U.K.	+	0.75	+
.6.	Ш.К.	+	0.34	-
.н.	11 K	_	1.38	+
M.	lamaica	+	0.16	-
1.L.	Niceria	+	0.18	-
J.S.	nigeria	+	1.45	+
).P.	0.6.	+	0.65	+
4.R.	U.K.	Ļ	0.87	+
R.R.	U.K.		2.25	+
R.D.	0.8.	i i i	0.70	+
C.M.	U.K.		1.00	+
G.N.	St. Vincent	ND	2.95	+
B.A.	CSSR	NO	0.75	+
н.м.	CSSR	ND	••••	
ND Not Do	one	1 30 (+	.77 SD)	
Mean	Positive ELISA Value	405	= 11)	
Percei	nt Positive FA	(I = 100% (I	,	

ELISA

= 76.9% (n = 13)

•	
14	

.

Fig. 39 Relationship between <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA value and toxocariasis clinical score in 49 patients with eosinophilia and presumed visceral toxocariasis. Calculated linear regression line is $y = 0.575 \chi + 0.039$. Correlation coefficient r = 0.64 (P <0.001). ISA value and toxocariasis th eosinophilia and presumed

ne is y = 0.575 χ + 0.039. (P <0.001).



FIGURE 39

210 ÷. Dynamics of positive Toxocara ELISA values in Fig. 40 visceral (-----) and ocular (-----) toxocariasis.



of positive values (for up to four years) with no evidence of decline (Table 17).

Ocular Toxocariasis in Rhesus Monkeys. Experimental ocular toxocaral lesions could be induced by intraocular inoculation of live larvae into rhesus monkeys which had been previously infected with Toxocara canis at least seven weeks previously and which were serologically positive at the time of intra-ocular administration of larvae. Experimental lesions could not be induced by intra-ocular infection in normal (non-presensitized) monkeys. In susceptible animals, lesions were manifested by retinal haemorrhages (Fig. 41a) first observed on the second or third days post-inoculation and which were often, but not always associated with retinal vessels. Haemorrhages resolved without residual pathology or evolved to a central white lesion surrounded by an area of haemorrhage or necrosis (Fig. 41b). Fluorescence angiography, during the first 48 hours showed nothing unusual. Beginning between five to eight days post-inoculation. additional small, white, discrete lesions appeared in areas previously free from haemorrhage (Fig. 41c). These were often, but not always, located near retinal vessels and slowly increased in number. Some lesions increased rapidly in size (Fig. 41d) and later healed without retinal scarring. Small lesions tended to occur in clusters or become confluent along a path (Fig. 41e) and occassionally healed without trace.

In Mmu 121, presensitized by a six-month-long trickle-dose infection 16 months prior to intraocular challenge, the initial haemorrhage was followed by white lesions which continued to appear and became innumerable over a period of seven weeks (Fi. 41f). Endophthalmitis progressed to a vitreal haze at eight weeks, and cataract at 18 weeks, preventing further observation of the fundus. Fig. 41

Retinal lesions induced during experimental ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.

 (a) Mmu 121 - Trickle-dose presensitized animal at 4 days post-ocular inoculation of <u>T. canis</u> larvae.

(b) Mmu 130 at 9 days post ocular inoculation

- (c) Mmu 121 at 11 days "
 (d) Mmu 121 at 15 days "
 (e) Mmu 121 at 21 days "
- (f) Mmu 121 at 28 days



esensitized animal culation of <u>T. canis</u>

cular inoculation

- .
- 1000







experimental ocular

esensitized animal culation of <u>T. canis</u>

cular inoculation

'n





experimental ocular

esensitized animal culation of <u>T. canis</u>

cular inoculation

ш

.



experimental ocular

esensitized animal culation of <u>T. canis</u>

cular inoculation

- .

In Mmu 130, presensitized by a single-dose infection 18 months prior to intraocular challenge, a similar pattern, but milder course, was followed initially. Haemorrhages secondary to central white areas were noted in the superior nasal and temporal quadrants within the first seven days, followed by the progressive appearance of many small, discrete, white lesions in the inferior and superior temporal quadrants by 18 days. Vitreal haze obscured the superior temporal quadrant at 4 weeks, and large white lesions appeared in the inferior temporal quadrant. Both lesions and vitreal haze spontaneously regressed at 9 weeks coincident with the 11th week of pregnancy in this animal.

In Mmu X53, which was unsensitized at the time of primary intraocular inoculation, no haemorrhages or lesions were detected throughout 6 weeks despite seroconversion in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA by the first week post-infection. Secondary intraocular challenge at six weeks post-primary infection resulted in a white lesion and retinal haemorrhage within 48 hours. Haemorrhages persisted until 9 days and were followed by increasing numbers of white lesions until seven weeks post secondary infection. At this time lesions were at the maximum size attained. The largest lesion, in the superior temporal quadrant, measured several disc diameters. By 66 days lesions had decreased in number and size resulting in a few peripheral clusters of small lesions, or mottled depigmentation which showed no variation in location throughout the remainder of the observation period (134 days). This animal had the mildest reaction of three presensitized animals.

In Mmu 12, which was unsensitized at the time of primary intraocular inoculation of larvae, no haemorrhages or lesions were detected throughout the study period (eight weeks).

Toxocara ELISA IgG values in presensitized animals showed a weak

Fig. 42

IgG anti-Toxocara antibody responses during experimental visceral and ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.





Fig. 43

6 G

IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody responses in relation to ocular symtomatology during experimental ocular toxocariasis in rhesus monkeys.

	Mmu 121	
	Mmu 130	
•	Mmu X53	
0	Mmu 12	
-	Retinal	Haemorrhag

Retinal Haemorrhage Discrete white retinal lesions Vitreal Haze Cataract



sponses in relation to sperimental ocular

lesions

5 .





TABLE 19

COMPARISONS OF ANTI-<u>TOXOCARA</u> ANTIBODY LEVELS IN SERUM AND AQUEOUS HUMOR DURING EXPERIMENTAL OCULAR TOXOCARIASIS

	Oculan		Тохоса	ra ELISA
Rhesus No.	Symptomatology	Specimen*	Titre	A405
Mmu 121	Endophthalmitis	Serum	1:8000	0.90
	22 weeks P.I.	Rt. eve	1:1100	1.10
		Lt eye	< 1:20	0.02
Mmu X53	Discrete white			0.91
	Lesions	Serum	1:8000	0.91
		Rt eye	< 1:20	0.01
		Lt eye	< 1:20	0.01
	None	Serum	1:8500	0.98
Minu 12	none	Rt eye	< 1:20	.02
		Lt eye	< 1:20	.02

* All intraocular infections in right eye.

secondary humoral response following intraocular challenge, peaking at two to four weeks post-secondary infection with peak values higher than those observed during the preceding systemic infection. Serological responses declined to or below pre-ocular infection levels by 16 weeks (Fig. 42, 43). Eosinophilia was a mild and transient response to intraocular challenge (Fig. 44). <u>Toxocara</u> IgM ELISA was negative throughout all intraocular infections, including those with primary exposure to <u>Toxocara</u> by the ocular route.

<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was also used to assay local IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody production in aqueous humor obtained by anterior chamber paracentesis of infected and contra-lateral eyes. The low protein content of aqueous humor necessitated the experimental determination of the optimal aqueous sample dilution (10^{-2}) for use in ELISA. Results of assays of aqueous humor at 10^{-2} , and of matched serum samples at 10^{-3} , are provided in Table 19. In toxocaral endophthalmitis the ratio of <u>Toxocara</u> IgG ELISA values measured between the infected eye and its fellow (non-infected) eye was 55:1, and between the values in the aqueous of the infected eye, and in matched serum was 1.22:1. Both results indicate significant local antibody production in the infected eye and no antibody, either produced or passively acquired, in the fellow eye. In those monkeys with discrete toxocaral retinal lesions, no antibody was detected in infected eyes.

<u>Chemotherapy as Monitored by Toxocara ELISA</u>. The influence of chemotherapy on anti-<u>Toxocara</u> responses in 6 to 8 months old, naturally infected, beagle dogs who had received a course of 5% Diethylcarbamazine/ 2% Morantel (Banminth®) administered in four treatments at six week intervals commencing at three weeks of age (Fig. 45), revealed 15.4% positive, compared to 96.6% positive in age-matched, untreated dogs, and 0% positive in age-matched ascarid naive dogs. The influence of

Influence of regular treatment with Fig. 45 Diethylcarbamazine/Pyrantel compared with non-treatment on anti-Toxocara ELISA responses in naturally infected dogs.

Fig. 46 Influence of a single treatment with Dichlorophen/Toluene or Diethylcarbamazine/Pyrantel (Morantel) on anti-<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA responses in naturally infected dogs.

and the second sec



compared with non-treatment onses in naturally infected

ent with Dichlorophen/Toluene or (Morantel) on anti-<u>Toxocara</u> infected dogs.



FIGURE 45



chemotherapy on anti-<u>Toxocara</u> responses following single treatments with 50% dichlorophen / 50% toluene (Vermithana (\mathbb{R})) or DEC/Morantel (Fig. 46) was manifested by a decline of all positive titres. Dichlorophen/toluene resulted in a mean dimunition of ELISA value by 13.7% within 48 hours of administration while DEC/Morantel treatment was followed by a reduction of response by 29.5% within 50 days of a single dose. Responses in untreated dogs remained constant or increased with age.

DISCUSSION

"An immunoassay result is valueless until it, together with its interpretation, has reached the clinician" (Challand, Goldier and Landon, 1974). The correct interpretation of results requires qualitative and quantitative information concerning (a) technical aspects related to the antigen and test method (Chapters II and III) (b) performance characteristics of the assay, ie. sensitivity specificity, etc. (Chapter III), and (c) natural biological phenomena related to the disease in question (Grab and Pull, 1974). The results of this chapter describe some of the diagnostic applications to which <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA may be put and, at the same time, provide insights into how the assay results can be related to some of the biological phenomena associated with toxocariasis. To complete the evaluation of its serodiagnostic applicability, consideration was also given to how best the results may be communicated to the clinician.

Regarding the immunodiagnosis of the visceral form of toxocariasis, it was anticipated from studies in Chapter III using experimental trickle-dose infections in rhesus monkeys, that the magnitude of the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA A_{405} value would not be related to worm burden, but rather to the mode of infection. In practice, ELISA values in man were found to be approximately proportional to the toxocariasis clinical score, (ie. the weighted multiplicity of symptoms and signs) which in turn should be correlated with the numbers of invading larvae (Beaver, et al, 1952). Although this latter claim may be so, it was noted that peak titres in some cases occurred later in the course of infection than would be expected during a single dose infection, suggesting that some patients were still acquiring a trickle-dose mode of infection, even
after initial diagnosis of the condition. Therefore, ELISA values which continue to rise in patients with clinical toxocariasis indicate the need for a careful reassessment of the epidemiological setting of the patient. It also follows that low intensities of infection, acquired over a period of time, may contribute significantly to the morbidity pattern of toxocariasis.

The relationship of ELISA values to low Toxocara clinical scores illustrates the inadequacy of any scoring system for toxocariasis. Patients with eosinophilia plus only one symptom compatible with toxocariasis, were deleted from the group used to calculate the sensitivity parameter in Chapter III. Yet these patients have either strongly positive or unequivocably negative ELISA values with no intermediate spectrum. This indicates that the scoring method used. although necessarily objective, has little relation to reality when dealing with those cases of clinical toxocariasis with few symptoms. In the absence of alternatives, the sensitivity parameter of Toxocara ELISA (78.5%), estimated in Chapter III by this method, probably represents an underestimate of assay sensitivity. This was expected since, if any scoring method worked well, there would be no need for serological confirmation of the diagnosis. This therefore, highlights the value of Toxocara ELISA as an adjunct to the clinical diagnosis of visceral toxocariasis and the differential diagnosis of unexplained eosinophilia and hepatomegaly syndromes.

Because <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA measures the host response to products of living and migrating larvae, it may be considered that ELISA values should be better indicators of active infection and of efficacy of treatment than would be responses to somatic antigens, which persist after death of the parasite. It is difficult to evaluate this theme in man, no record of decline of ELISA value into the negative range was recorded. Considering chemotherapy, ELISA values did not decrease appreciably in infected but, untreated rhesus monkeys, but did decline consistently in man following chemotherapy with thiabendazole. Whether this decline was due to an anthelminthic action of thiabendazole on tissue larvae, or due to its anti-inflammatory effects (Van Arman and Campbell, 1975) or simply a function of the natural history of infection in man cannot be determined from this study. The efficacy of thiabendazole in treatment of toxocariasis is contentious. It was found effective by Nelson, McCornell and Moore (1966), Aur, Pratt and Johnson (1971), and Congdon and Ames (1973) but ineffective, in favour of diethylcarbamazine (DEC), by MacDougall (1969), Wiseman, Woodruff and Pettitt (1971) and Dafalla (1972). Recently, Nicholas and Stewart (1979) have shown that the benzimidazoles (fenbendazole and oxfendazole) kill Toxocara larvae in mouse tissues and may kill larvae in dog tissues (Dubey, 1979). Since the tissue of female dogs is the prime reservoir of toxocariasis, chemotherapy of toxocariasis in canidae is of interest to many. Knowledge of the dynamics of the humoral response in such studies is potentially useful and Toxocara ELISA was selected for use by one such group (Astra Pharmaceuticals, Sweden). The two drugs used in their study were known to be effective against intestinal phases only. Dichlorophen kills adults in the intestine and causes release of antigens which may be processed by gut lymphoid tissue to raise an anti-larval response systemically. DEC/Morantel immobilizes adult worms which are expelled intact from the gut.

Neither preparation had any hitherto recognized effect on toxocaral larvae in tissues nor any immunosuppressive effects. It remains guestionable whether the observed anthelminthic effect of DEC

against other tissue invasive nematodes (eq. onchocercal microfilariae) results from direct action by the drug on parasites or from modulation of the host's immune response to the parasite (Rew, 1978). It is difficult therefore to explain the rapid drop in ELISA value within 48 hours of treatment with dichlorophen and the inhibition of seroconversion in DEC/Morantel treated animals. Others have recorded an even more dramatic drop of serologic titre, also in the canine response to nematode secretory antigen (Dirofilaria immitis) within two hours following DEC tratment (Desowitz, Barnwell, Palumbo, Una and Perri, 1979) while levels of antibody to somatic antigens remained unaltered. It was postulated that treatment stimulated larvae to a high rate of secretory antigen production which effected a rapid change in antibody level through the production of immune complexes of antibody and secretory antigen and their subsequent clearance. DEC has recently been shown to be lethal to Onchocerca volvulus microfilariae in vitro (Langham and Kramer, 1980) an action long suspected in vivo, but unproven.

The results of this study also indicate that the regular use of DEC/Morantel during the first six months following birth in pups, substantially alters the serologic profile of treated populations compared to untreated. No serological studies of toxocariasis in canidae are available in the literature so it is not known whether this profile indicates that DEC/Morantel has immobilized (or killed) larvae in tissues, preventing release of secretory antigens, potentiated the immune elimination of larvae, or merely suppressed seroconversion in otherwise infected animals. The key finding is that <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA is sensitive to rapid changes in antibody level which may accompany chemotherapy, and may therefore be a tool useful to such studies.

Print all 11

Seroepidemiological studies are undertaken in Chapter V to further elucidate the normal humoral responses to toxocaral secretions in naturally infected dog populations.

Ocular toxocariasis in man is a realm of many unanswered questions: principally, why does ocular toxocariasis occur more frequently in older children and in the absence of the usual manifestations of visceral toxocariasis; and what are the pathophysiologic and/or immunologic mechanisms which decide the type of ocular lesion which ensues?

The literature contains many reports of cases of ocular toxocariasis, some histologically proved, in whom circulating anti-Toxocara antibody could not be demonstrated (Duguid, 1961b, 1963; Woodruff, 1970; Kagan, 1979; Walls, 1979; Schantz, Meyer and Glickman, 1979). In this study, the apparent sensitivity of Toxocara ELISA to the detection of ocular toxocariasis was 76.9%, a relatively low figure considering the demonstrated sensitivity of the assay and the fact that most of these cases had positive indirect fluorescent antibody titres against somatic toxocaral antigens. Like visceral toxocariasis, it is possible that not all cases of clinically diagnosed ocular toxocariasis are true cases, although this is less likely since most had responded to specific treatment for toxocariasis. In addition to the apparently low qualitative sensitivity, those patients with positive ELISA values had, as a group, significantly lower mean ELISA values compared to mean positive values in the visceral toxocariasis group. Combining the experience gained thus far with Toxocara ELISA, this suggests that primary systemic toxocaral infections were probably acquired by these patients years previously, or that they had very light infections acquired principally by a single dose. Since this assay has been shown

Seroepidemiological studies are undertaken in Chapter V to further elucidate the normal humoral responses to toxocaral secretions in naturally infected dog populations.

Ocular toxocariasis in man is a realm of many unanswered questions: principally, why does ocular toxocariasis occur more frequently in older children and in the absence of the usual manifestations of visceral toxocariasis; and what are the pathophysiologic and/or immunologic mechanisms which decide the type of ocular lesion which ensues?

The literature contains many reports of cases of ocular toxocariasis, some histologically proved, in whom circulating anti-Toxocara antibody could not be demonstrated (Duguid, 1961b, 1963; Woodruff, 1970; Kagan, 1979; Walls, 1979; Schantz, Meyer and Glickman, 1979). In this study, the apparent sensitivity of Toxocara ELISA to the detection of ocular toxocariasis was 76.9%, a relatively low figure considering the demonstrated sensitivity of the assay and the fact that most of these cases had positive indirect fluorescent antibody titres against somatic toxocaral antigens. Like visceral toxocariasis, it is possible that not all cases of clinically diagnosed ocular toxocariasis are true cases, although this is less likely since most had responded to specific treatment for toxocariasis. In addition to the apparently low qualitative sensitivity, those patients with positive ELISA values had, as a group, significantly lower mean ELISA values compared to mean positive values in the visceral toxocariasis group. Combining the experience gained thus far with Toxocara ELISA, this suggests that primary systemic toxocaral infections were probably acquired by these patients years previously, or that they had very light infections acquired principally by a single dose. Since this assay has been shown

Seroepidemiological studies are undertaken in Chapter V to further elucidate the normal humoral responses to toxocaral secretions in naturally infected dog populations.

Ocular toxocariasis in man is a realm of many unanswered questions: principally, why does ocular toxocariasis occur more frequently in older children and in the absence of the usual manifestations of visceral toxocariasis; and what are the pathophysiologic and/or immunologic mechanisms which decide the type of ocular lesion which ensues?

The literature contains many reports of cases of ocular toxocariasis, some histologically proved, in whom circulating anti-Toxocara antibody could not be demonstrated (Duguid, 1961b, 1963; Woodruff, 1970; Kagan, 1979; Walls, 1979; Schantz, Meyer and Glickman, 1979). In this study, the apparent sensitivity of Toxocara ELISA to the detection of ocular toxocariasis was 76.9%, a relatively low figure considering the demonstrated sensitivity of the assay and the fact that most of these cases had positive indirect fluorescent antibody titres against somatic toxocaral antigens. Like visceral toxocariasis, it is possible that not all cases of clinically diagnosed ocular toxocariasis are true cases, although this is less likely since most had responded to specific treatment for toxocariasis. In addition to the apparently low qualitative sensitivity, those patients with positive ELISA values had, as a group, significantly lower mean ELISA values compared to mean positive values in the visceral toxocariasis group. Combining the experience gained thus far with Toxocara ELISA, this suggests that primary systemic toxocaral infections were probably acquired by these patients years previously, or that they had very light infections acquired principally by a single dose. Since this assay has been shown

to detect an infection dose of at least 10 larvae, it would suggest that some cases of ocular toxocariasis are due to very low total worm loads. The possibility that patients with ocular toxocariasis might show congenital differences in response to toxocariasis compared with patients with visceral toxocariasis must also be entertained in light of the significant difference between these two groups in persistance of positive ELISA values. The small number of patients for whom serial samples were available had persistant positive ELISA values for as long as five years without the usual decline rate seen in visceral toxocariasis. There have been no HLA tissue typing studies made of patients with ocular and visceral toxocariasis but considering the many different manifestations of these two clinical courses of infection, host factors must be examined more closely.

The uncertainties described which attend studies on human ocular toxocariasis, and especially the difficulty of determing the history of experience of the patient with prior systemic toxocaral infection, prompted the development of the animal model described in this Chapter. Since the work of Suyemor (1925) describing intravascular Ascaris lumbricoides larvae circulatory through guinea pig eyes 30 hours after peroral infection, rodents, and in particular, mice, rats, and guinea pigs, have been used as models of intraocular nematode infection. Recent studies in these models have taught: (1) that endophthalmitis can be induced in the guinea pig eye by intraocular Type III and Type IV hypersensitivity reactions (Silverstein and Zimmerman, 1959); (2) that intraocular Type I (IgE mediated) hypersensitivity reactions can occur in guinea pigs passively sensitized with Ascaris summ serum (Soulsby, Rockey and Laties, 1974); (3) that primary intravitreal injection of live Ascaris suum larvae evokes a local (intraocular), but not systemic (serum) IgE response (six day P-K test), while killed

to detect an infection dose of at least 10 larvae, it would suggest that some cases of ocular toxocariasis are due to very low total worm loads. The possibility that patients with ocular toxocariasis might show congenital differences in response to toxocariasis compared with patients with visceral toxocariasis must also be entertained in light of the significant difference between these two groups in persistance of positive ELISA values. The small number of patients for whom serial samples were available had persistant positive ELISA values for as long as five years without the usual decline rate seen in visceral toxocariasis. There have been no HLA tissue typing studies made of patients with ocular and visceral toxocariasis but considering the many different manifestations of these two clinical courses of infection, host factors must be examined more closely.

The uncertainties described which attend studies on human ocular toxocariasis, and especially the difficulty of determing the history of experience of the patient with prior systemic toxocaral infection, prompted the development of the animal model described in this Chapter. Since the work of Suyemor (1925) describing intravascular Ascaris lumbricoides larvae circulatory through guinea pig eyes 30 hours after peroral infection, rodents, and in particular, mice, rats, and guinea pigs, have been used as models of intraocular nematode infection. Recent studies in these models have taught: (1) that endophthalmitis can be induced in the guinea pig eye by intraocular Type III and Type IV hypersensitivity reactions (Silverstein and Zimmerman, 1959); (2) that intraocular Type I (IgE mediated) hypersensitivity reactions can occur in guinea pigs passively sensitized with Ascaris summ serum (Soulsby, Rockey and Laties, 1974); (3) that primary intravitreal injection of live Ascaris suum larvae evokes a local (intraocular), but not systemic (serum) IgE response (six day P-K test), while killed

larvae do not, and that following secondary intravitreal challenge with either live or killed larvae, both evoke local and systemic IgE production (Donnelly, Rockey and Soulsby, 1979); (4) that ascarid antigens can be detected in aqueous humor by a reversed Prausnitz-Kustner (P-K) test up to 189 days post intravitreal inoculation (Donnelly, Rockey, Stromberg and Soulsby, 1979).

The most recent intravitreal infections in guinea pigs (Rockey. Donnelly, Stromberg and Soulsby, 1979) indicated that Toxocara second-stage larvae attracted an eosinophil rich infiltrate within 24 hours which persisted for at least 51 days. By day 12, larvae were surrounded by granulomas consisting almost entirely of eosinophils, many of which were firmly adherent to the larval cuticles. Degranulation of adherent eosinophils and deposition of granules was observed, as was the ingestion of granules by larvae. Similar responses were observed to live Ascaris larvae and to Ascaris secretory antigens, but not to dead Toxocara or Ascaris larvae, which evoked only a minimal inflammatory reaction with a few eosinophils and a diffuse mononuclear cell infiltrate. Dense eosinophil infiltration of the choroid, not immediately adjacent to a parasite larva, was accompanied by destruction of the overlying retina. Intraocular inflammatory responses were most severe in animals which had been systemically pre-sensitized to ascarid antigens.

Such studies, although useful as models of isolated immune functions, have little relevance to the natural history of ocular toxocariasis. Firstly, the immunologic responses of guinea pigs, especially those of the Type I category, cannot easily be extrapolated to man. Secondly, the intravitreal infections in guinea pigs required the inoculation of 5000 larvae directly into the vitreous, whereas ocular toxocariasis in man results from the invasion by one or a few

larvae, entering via the retinal or choroidal blood vessels. Thus it would seem logical that the appropriate model should be to inoculate live larvae into the eye via the ophthalmic artery of a host whose orbital and vascular anatomy is more akin to that of man than is the guinea pig. Initial experiments by Woodruff and Hart (1978) attempted this approach in normal pigs, but failed to induce ocular lesions, despite a rapid and vigorous seroconversion in the infected animals. Similar results were obtained in this study when larvae were introduced via the ophthalmic arteries of two normal rhesus monkeys. However, larvae inoculated by this route, into three rhesus monkeys previously exposed to either systemic, or intraocular toxocaral infection, rapidly evoked, in sequence, many of the characteristics of ocular toxocariasis seen in man as isolated events, including: retinal haemorrhage; discrete posterior-pole and peripheral granulomatous lesions; and endophthalmitis leading to vitreal haze. Thus this model in rhesus monkeys is proposed as a more valid alternative to the guinea pig model for studies designed to elucidate the mechanisms of ocular toxocariasis in man.

The significant finding of these ongoing studies is that prior experience with toxocaral infection is required before the presence of an intraocular larva can result in a pathological response, and that a trickle-dose primary infection is a more potent sensitizing infection in this regard. The implication is that toxocaral ocular lesions are immunologically mediated. The reasons for this may be associated with the unique immunobiology of the eye. Except for the conjuctiva, the eye has no lymphatic drainage and is consequently unassociated with any local lymph nodes (Rahi and Garner, 1976). Processing of antigen has, therefore, to take place at a distant site before sensitized lymphocytes migrate towards the antigenic source. Furthermore, there is a tendency for intraocular antigen to remain sequestered within certain components of the eye such as the vitreous (Hall and O'Connor), and to be

separated from the systemic circulation by the blood - aqueous barrier (Allansmith, Whitney, McLelland and Newman, 1973). Most antibody present in the eye in response to intraocular antigen is produced locally by immunologically competent cells attracted from distant lymphoid tissue to the uvea and limbus, which behave as accessory lymph nodes. Thus primary intraocular infection would be expected to elicit a weak and much delayed intraocular immunologic response, possibly in the absence of a systemic immunologic response. In contrast, secondary ocular challenge in an animal, already highly sensitized systemically, should result in an earlier and much potentiated intraocular response.

These considerations may be relevant to the observations in the rhesus model, of rapid reaction to intraocular larvae in systemically presensitized animals and no reaction in naive animals. In immune animals, the intravascular progress of a larva through the eye may be retarded to a degree that a cellular response or Type IV reaction can be mounted to the immobilized larva, thus explaining the progressive increase of numbers and intensity of lesions. Alternatively, a retarded larva, slowly secreting antigen into an immuneanimal, may stimulate a Type III reaction in the eye since complement is present in the aqueous humor (Chandler, Leder, Kaufman and Caldwell, 1974). The increasing number of lesions, and their disappearence and reappearance at alternate sites on the retina - an unexpected observation - might also be explained by the effects of several larvae living and dying in the retina or choroid, or moving between the two. or by one or a few larvae, residing at one nidus until the host's reaction becomes too hostile, forced to move to a new location.

Since, at the time of secondary intraocular challenge, all animals had reached equivalent levels of serologic reactivity (ELISA values), one might argue this as evidence against an immunological mechanism,

considering the more severe ocular pathology in trickle-dose sensitized animals compared to high-dose sensitized animals. However trickle-dose infections may induce stronger functional immunity to tissue-invasive stages of ascarids and humoral antibody levels are not an index of cell-mediated responsiveness (Ogilvie and Jones, 1973). Furthermore it must be appreciated that some larvae, which survive the immune response evoked by trickle-dose infection, may be "adapted" and thus capable of long survival in the face of the response (Ogilvie and Love, 1974). It could not be determined whether the larvae entered the fundus via the choroidal vessels or the retinal vessels since both originate from the ophthalmic artery. Larvae which enter via the central retinal artery would be expected to gain access to the fundus through retinal arterioles or capilaries. However retinal arterioles are narrow, 8 to 15 يا in luminal calibre (cf. 20 يا diameter of T. canis L2), and are without anastomoses. Retinal capillaries are anatomically unique and, being designed for gaseous rather than nutritive exchanges, are thick walled, with high internal pressures and flow rates (Hogan and Feeney, 1963). A larva entering by this route might become impacted in an arteriole or capillary, resulting in local, retinal ischaemia, or if the larvae escaped, resulting in haemorrhage. Lesions observed in the fundus were not always located near visible retinal arterioles or other retinal vessles, suggesting that larvae may have gained entrance from the choroid. Larvae entering via the choriocapillaris might have an easier time since these vessels anastomose extensively, being designed to provide the major portion of fluid and nutrients moving towards the retina, and are anatomically polarized such that the thinest aspect of the vessel wall is nearest to the retina (Bernstein and Hollenberg, 1965). Thus larvae entering by this route might easily invade the choroid and move periodically

between it and the retina, evoking the transient lesions observed.

232

The hypothesis that ocular toxocaral lesions are the expression of cell mediated immunity receives fortuitous support from an experiment in nature whereby rapid resolution of endophthalmitis was observed in an animal which had become pregnant and was thus experiencing suppressed cell mediated immune functions (Ogilvie and Jones, 1973; Tizard, 1977).

The use of aqueous humor as a diagnostic sample in the differential diagnosis of ocular toxocariasis and retinoblastoma is gaining acceptance, since anterior chamber paracentesis is a reasonable procedure if retino-blastoma cannot be ruled out (Shields, Lerner, and Feldberg, 1977). In such samples the aqueous: serum ratios of lacticdehydrogenese (LDH) are reliably increased above 1.5 in cases of retinoblastoma (Feldberg, McFall and Schields, 1977; Swartz, Herbst and Goldberg, 1974) but are less than 0.50 in other conditions including nematode endophthalmitis. If specimens are collected for LDH ratios, the small volumes of sample required by Toxocara ELISA, would allow simultaneous estimation of intraocular IgG responses to Toxocara. Local antibody production occurs only in response to intraocular infection or immunization, is specific to the infected eye, and can occur in the absence of a systemic response (Thompson and Olson, 1950; Witmer, 1964; Allansmith et al. 1973; Rahi and Garner, 1977). Biglan, Glickman and Lobes (1979), using ELISA have reported aqueous:serum antibody ratios greater that unity in four of six cases of ocular toxocariasis, endophthalmitis showing the highest ratios (up to 8-fold higher than serum antibody titres). In such studies it is necessary to allow for the aqueous:serum protein ratio which in the rhesus is 0.005:1 (Gaasterland, Pederson, MacLelland and Roddy, 1979). In Toxocara ELISA specimens of aqueous are tested at a dilution of 10^{-2} (cf. 10^{-3} for

serum).

In experimental ocular toxocariasis, intraocular antibody was detectable during endophthalmitis but not during the discrete lesion (granuloma?) phase.Serum antibody levels were high in both forms. It follows that a negative intraocular antibody result cannot rule out a toxocaral aetiology, but a positive result, especially one with a high aqueous:serum quantitative ratio, is useful evidence in favour of a diagnosis of ocular toxocariasis.

These serological studies of ocular toxocariasis in man and rhesus monkeys support the hypothesis that ocular toxocariasis is a late manifestation of previously acquired systemic toxocariasis possibly acquired as low-dose and trickle-dose infections. The lesions seen are probably immunologic in nature, and possibly due to cell mediated responses. These findings help explain why patients with ocular toxocariasis are older and why their serological responsiveness to toxocaral antigens is relatively weak.

SUMMARY

<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was evaluated in practice, in a variety of serodiagnostic applications for visceral and ocular toxocariasis in man.

In visceral toxocariasis: positive ELISA values were found to persist indefinitely; rising values were encountered infrequently; peak values were high and occurred within one month of onset of symptoms; all peak values tended to decline with time, with a mean rate of -1.22 A_{405} units per year, before reaching the past exposure range ($A_{405} = 0.50$ to 1.50). It is suggested that delayed peak values may reflect current acquisition of toxocaral infection by the patient.

In ocular toxocariasis in man, 76.9% of clinically presumed cases had detectable antibody to <u>Toxocara</u> larval secretions. Of these, the mean ELISA responses were significantly lower than those seen in visceral toxocariasis, and the majority corresponded to the level associated with current light infection or past infection. Of those patients followed serially, ELISA values were not seen to decline with time. These data are consistent with the hypotheses that ocular toxocariasis occurs in low dose infections, or following past visceral infections.

An experimental model of ocular toxocariasis was developed in the rhesus monkey and is proposed as a more realistic model than those in current use. Experience from the model suggests that prior visceral toxocariasis is required for the manifestation of pathological responses to toxocaral larvae entering the eye via the ophthalmic artery. Following intraocular challenge in <u>Toxocara</u> presensitized animals, retinal heamorrhage was observed during the first week,

followed by the appearance between two and seven weeks post-challenge, of increasing numbers of small, white, discrete lesions, usually in the peripheral retina. In a trickle-dose presensitized animal, endophthalmitis with vitreal haze evolved by eight weeks. In less sensitized animals, lesions gradually resolved, leaving a few areas of mottled depigmentation in the peripheral retina by 20 weeks. Intraocular inocualtion of larvae in normal, unsensitized animals resulted in no detectable pathology. In ænsitized animals, intraocular challenge resulted, in a weak secondary IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> serological response which returned to or below prechallenge levels within 20 weeks, Eosinophilia was also weak and transient. During toxocaral endophthalmitis, local production of IgG anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody could be demonstrated in aqueous humor by ELISA.

The use of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA to monitor the serological response to experimental anthelmintic chemotherapy was demonstrated in canid experimental models.

CHAPTER V

SEROEPIDEMIOLOGIC APPLICATIONS OF TOXOCARA ELISA

INTRODUCTION

The true extent of morbidity in the world due to parasitic agents is not precisely known (Lobel and Kagan, 1978). Because parasitic, and especially nematode infections, are ubiquitous in man (Stoll, 1947; Bloom, 1979) and because they are often chronic in nature, the health and energy of populations or sub-populations may be subtly undermined. Data describing the prevalence and incidence of parasitism are necessary to permit fuller understanding of host-parasite relationships and to permit optimal use of available resources in public health programmes. It is in this context that seroepidemiology can play an important role, since large population groups can be studied efficiently, to provide much of the essential information (Lucas, 1976).

The seroepidemiology of toxocariasis has been the subject of relatively few studies (reviewed in Chapter I). Nevertheless, the greater part of our knowledge regarding the nature and extent of toxocariasis in man has arisen from these, and is mainly provided by skin test data for Type I hypersensitivity to toxocaral adult antigens (pioneered by Woodruff and his co-workers; Woodruff, 1970). The period prevalence of toxocariasis, as determined by skin tests, indicated the existence of wide-spread asymptomatic infection in man. Prevalence rates were found to vary greatly between populations and ranged from approximately 2% in temperate and sub-tropical regions to 30% in tropical climates. This finding would, at first, seem inconsistent with the uniformly high prevalence of toxocariasis in canidae and felidae populations, and the co-existance of these companion animals with man throughout virtually all of his habitats. The important epidemiologic associations between toxocariasis and other forms of ill-health such as poliomyelitis, epilepsy and asthma have also been founded largely on skin test data (Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe, 1966; Wiseman and Woodruff, 1968). It has been suggested that the validity of skin test results should be confirmed by other means (Ball, Voller and Taffs, 1971) however reference serodiagnostic tests for toxocariasis, such as the indirect fluorescent antibody assay (Bisseru and Woodruff, 1968) and the bentonite flocculation and haemagglutination assays (Kagan <u>et al</u>. 1959), were found to be either impractical for large scale surveys (IFA) or too insensitive (BF, HA) for use in seroepidemiology.

The development of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA offers a practical means to reassess these earlier epidemiologic findings, and to study in greater depth the nature and distribution of toxocariasis. <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA has been shown to detect antibody to biologically relevant antigens of the infective larval stage (Chapter II); to have both high and defined levels of sensitivity and specificity (Chapter III); and to be capable of detecting antibody to past infections (Chapter IV). The assay is inherently more economical, efficient, and reproducible when testing large numbers of sera. The most important advantage of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, for seroepidemiologic applications, is its potential ability to describe the distribution of serological reactivities of individuals within a population in objective, numerical terms, on a continuous scale, with high precision and resolution.

This chapter describes several seroepidemiologic applications of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA including: an assessment of toxocariasis endemicity in man in relation to certain geographic and political parameters, in

relation to age and sex within populations, in relation to specific sub-populations, and in relation to other forms of morbidity. The first seroepidemiologic survey of toxocariasis in dogs is also described.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

<u>Toxocara ELISA</u>. The <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA method using larval secretory antigen, was adapted to measure either human IgG responses (Chapter III) or canime IgG responses (Chapter IV) as required.

Filter Paper Blood Samples. A modification of Toxocara ELISA was designed for use with finger-prick capillary blood samples collected and stored dry on absorbant paper (Whatman No.2 filter paper). Standardization of ELISA for capillary blood was as follows: From a patient with clinically diagnosed, serologically positive toxocariasis, simultaneous specimens were obtained as capillary whole blood on filter paper and as venous blood serum. A 6 mm diameter disc of filter paper, fully impregnated with dried blood, was punched-out using a single-hole stationary punch (Ryman, Vangaurd) into a tube containing 1.5 ml of PBS-T. Blood was eluted overnight at 4°C before simultaneous two-fold titrations of eluate and serum were tested in the standard Toxocara ELISA. ELISA dose-response curves of eluate and serum were plotted and the log-distance between the linear components of the curves was taken as the dilution factor relating eluate to serum. From this, it was determined that a 6 mm disc of dried capillary blood, eluted by 2.5 ml of PBS-T at 4° C for 18 hours was equivalent to a 10^{-3} dilution of venous serum. Eluates prepared in this way could be assayed directly in Toxocara ELISA without further dilution.

<u>Sera</u>. Over 4,000 human and canine sera were tested to evaluate the adaptability of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA to seroepidemiologic applications. Sera were obtained from various sources as acknowledged in Table 20.

TABLE 20

239

SOURCES OF SERA USED TO ASSESS THE SEROEPIDEMIOLOGIC APPLICABILITY OF TOXOCARA ELISA

roup	Country of Origin	Number	Source
ealthy Adults	Iceland	307	Dr. O. Jensson, Reykjavik Blood Bank, Reykjavik.
ealthy Adults	UK	922 (61 (30	Blood Donor Clinics 6) Brentwood (Essex) 6) Milton Keynes (Bucks)
lealthy Adults	CSSR	400	Dr. M. Uhlikova Postgraduate Medical Institute, Prague.
Healthy Adults	Sudan	270*	Dr. S. Salih, U. of Khartoum Khartoum.
Healthy Adults	Ghana	259	Dr. I. Quakyi Dept. of Med. Sci. U. of Accra, Accra.
Healthy Children	CSSR	936	Dr. J. Hubner Postgraduate Medical Institute Prague.
Seizure Patients (Adult)	UK	323	Dr. A. Richens. Institute of Neurology, London.
		53	Prof. A.W. Woodruff, Hospital for Tropical Diseases London.
Seizure Patients (Children)	USA	102	
Multiple Sclerosis	s UK	117	Dr. L.F. Taffs, National Institute, for Medical Research, Mill Hill.

Cont.

Group	Country of Origin	Number	Source
Others			
Dog Breeders	UK	102	Dr. D.E. Jacobs, Royal Veterinary College, London.
Cat Breeders	UK	67	Prof. A.W. Woodruft HTD, London.
Dogs	UK	299	Dr. D.E. Jacobs R.V.C. London.
	Total	4,157	

* 225 Subjects had parasitologically proved schistosomiasis.

TABLE 20 (Cont.)

RESULTS

In Iceland, the study population consisted of 307 healthy adult residents of Reykjavik, aged 19 to 63 with a mean of 31.1 \pm 8.4 years. All Icelandic sera were negative by <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA. The frequency of ELISA values revealed a log-normal distribution (Fig. 47) with median and 100th percentile values of 0.15 and 0.48 A₄₀₅, respectively.

In the UK, serum specimens were obtained from 922 healthy adults residing in the south of England whose ages ranged from 19 to 65 years with a mean of 34.5 ± 12.1 years. Sexes were represented by a male: female ratio of 1:01 to 1. Toxocara ELISA was positive in 24 (2.6%) with a male:female ratio in the positive group of 2:1 (ie. 1.7% of females and 3.4% of males were positive). The significance of sex-relatedness of qualitatively positive Toxocara ELISA values was tested and found statistically significant (χ^2 = 3.385, P <0.05; Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test for Unpaired Samples, P <0.01). Within the positive population, males, as well as occurring more frequently, also tended to have significantly higher mean positive ELISA values (0.82) compared with females (0.60). The significance of this sex-related difference in quantitative ELISA values was confirmed by the method of Cherian and Hill (1978) which corrects for imprecision in the assay. Regression analysis of ELISA values with age (19 to 65 years) revealed no significant association (P >0.10).

Having established the prevalence of positive <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA reactivity in healthy UK adults, various UK sub-populations were surveyed for comparisons based on their frequency distributions. Results are given in Table 21 and Figure 48.

In a sample of 376 adult patients receiving treatment for epilepsy, Toxocara ELISA positives were observed three times more frequently than was expected in the healthy population ($X^2 = 18.0$, P < 0.001).

Sera from 53 children with epilepsy (mean age of 11.9 \pm 4.6 years) and 49 age matched controls (mean age 11.5 \pm 4.3 years) were surveyed for anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody levels. There were 4.7 times as many positive reactors (9.4%) among the seizure patients compared with controls (2.0%), however this difference was not significant (X = 1.35, P >0.10). Although the male:female ratios in the seizure and control groups were 0.93 and 0.82 respectively, the male:female ratios of positive reactors was 6:1.

Serum specimens from 102 dog breeders and exhibitors (80 female and 22 male) were surveyed. Ages ranged from 18 to 69 with a mean of 42.3 + 13.3 years. Positive ELISA values were found in 15.7% (16 of 102), approximately six times more frequently than expected in the control population (X^2 = 38.4, P < 0.001). Significance was greater in females of whom 18.8% were positive, compared to 1.7% in the conrol population $(x^2 = 44.4, P < 0.001)$. There were 23.1% positive reactors among the 52 dog show exhibitors who were 40 years of age or over, compared with 8% positive reactors under that age. This difference was statistically significant (P < 0.02) and consistent with continued exposure to infection from long contact with breeding animals. Country dwellers, who had, on average, been breeding from 7.4 bitches for 19.4 years, gave more positive ELISA readings (28.1%) than inhabitants of villages, or cities (10%, P <0.05) who had owned on average 4.7 animals for 12.5 years. The distribution of Toxocara ELISA values obtained from tests on cat breeders and exhibitors was not significantly different from that of the control population (Fig. 48).

Sera from 400 healthy adults of age 19 to 60 years and 936 healthy children of age 1 to 10 years were obtained from various urban and rural settings in the Czechoslovak Soviet Socialist Republic (CSSR). Findings are described in Table 22 and Figure 49. Results indicate a rising prevalence of positive reactors with age, from 1.3% in children one year of age, to 37% in adults 50 years of age (Fig. 50). A predominance of positive findings was observed in rural areas (Table 22), in male children (Fig. 51) and in female adults (Fig. 52). Mean negative values were low in young children and rose slowly throughout life (Fig. 53). Mean negative values of rural inhabitants were consistently higher than those of urban inhabitants (Fig. 53).

Serum samples from adults native to an arid tropical region (Khartoum, Sudan, n = 273) and a humid tropical region (Accra, Ghana, n = 259) were surveyed and revealed 0.7% and 30.0% positive <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA reactors respectively. Data describing the sex and age-structure of these groups were not available.

Sera were obtained from 299 dogs of mixed breed, with ages ranging from one month to 12 years (means 5.1 ± 3.5 years) and a male:female ratio of 1.26.1. All of 18 dogs less than six months of age were serologically negative by <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA, despite a high prevalence of patent infection in this age group. Seroconversion and increasing prevalence of positive reactions were observed between 6 months and 18 months, coincident with declining patency rates (Fig. 54). Prevalence and intensity of positive reactions were at a maximum at two years of age. Positive reactors were significantly more prevalent in intact female dogs (70% of 60) but not spayed females, compared with male dogs (42.9% of 98), ($X^2 = 5.51$, P <0.02) (Table 23).

					Toxocara ELISA			
Source Group	n	Mean Negative	Median	Total % Positive	% High Level Positive	Mean Positive	_	
		307	0.16	0.15	0	0	N/A	
Iceland	Healthy Adults	022	0.17	0.17	2.6	0	0.75	
UK	Healthy Adults	67	0.19	0.18	1.5	0	1.45	
	Cat Breeders	102	0.18	0.16	15.7 †	0	0.73	3
	Dog Breeders	117	0.18	0.17	2.6	0	0.59	
	Multiple Scieros:	376	0.18	0.17	7.7 4	1.0	0.95	
	Unalthy Children	49	0.21	0.18	2.0	0	0.51	
USA	Feilentic "	53	0.22	0.19	9.4	0	0.61	
	Epilepuic	270	0.18	0.17	0.7	0	0.56	
Sudan	Healthy Adults	259	0.29	0.36	30.0 *	3.2	0.89	
Ghana	Healthy Adults	400	0.25	0.30	25.7 *	5.3	0.95	
CSSR	Healthy Children	936	0.12	0.15	15.8 *	4.5	0.97	

SUMMARY OF TOXOCARA ELISA SEROEPIDEMIOLOGIC SURVEYS OF 3,857 SERA FROM VARIOUS POPULATIONS

TABLE 21

+ Difference between UK healthy adults statistically significant (P <0.001).</p>

SUMMARY OF <u>TOXOCARA</u> ELISA SEROEPIDEMIOLOGIC SURVEY OF 1336 HEALTHY ADULTS AND CHILDREN IN THE CSSR.

TABLE 22

Concernance of the	Toxocara ELISA	Total %				
Source	Urban Neg i Low (High	Neg Low High	Positive			
Children 1-10 y.						
Prague (n = 248)	230 1 14 1 4	N/A	7.3%			
Prostejov: 2 towns 42 villages (n = 258)	94 7 1 2 8.7%	111 1 34 1 10 28.4%	20.5%			
Tachov: 4 towns 41 villages (n = 430)	274 <u>34</u> 15 15.2%	77 <u>18 12</u> 28.0%	18.3%			
Total	598 , 55 , 21	188 52 22				
(n = 936)	674 262					
	12.7%	28.2%	15.8%			
And the second	Low 11 High 4					
Adults 18-60 y.						
Prague (n = 200)	169 <u>27 4</u> 15.5%		15.5%			
town (n=88) Kolin: villages (n=112	68 <u>1</u> 15 <u>1</u> 5 22.7%		22.7%			
		60 40 12 46.4%	46.4%			
Total	237 42 9	60 40 12				
(n = 400)	288	112				
	23%	46%				
	Low 20.1 High 5.2	25.7%				

Fig.47 Frequency distributions of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in samples of healthy adult populations in Iceland, England and Sudan.

Fig.48 Frequency distributions of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in dog breeders and cat breeders sampled in the UK.

C

e

DC

Fig.49 Frequency distributions of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in healthy adults and children in both urban and rural environments in the CSSR.

Indicates position of the mode.

<u>cara</u> ELISA values in samples Iceland, England and Sudan.

cara ELISA values in dog ed in the UK.

ocara ELISA values in both urban and rural

mode.



TOXOCARA ELISA VALUE (A405)

FIGURE 47





Prevalence of positive Toxocara ELISA values in the Fig.50 CSSR as a function of age.

Prevalence of positive Toxocara ELISA values in children Fig.51 in the CSSR as a function of sex and age.



Males

Fig.52

Prevalence of positive Toxocara ELISA values in adults in the CSSR as a function of sex and age.

Males Females

50-59 summinum 40-49 S. MILLING 30-39 ELISA values in the 301111111 20-29 <u>Summ</u> a ELISA values in children 2 2/1//3 AGE (YEARS) 6 <u>s</u> ZIIZ 22 8 3011111 -8/11/11/ 9 <u>s</u>viiiii 5 a ELISA values in adults 2011 <u>s</u>UII 3 100 ~ 22 10. 8 8 n g PERCENT POSITIVE

ex and age.

sex and age.

FIGURE 50





Fig. 53

120

Mean negative <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA values in inhabitants of urban, suburban and rural areas of the CSSR as a function of age.

- Urban (City)
- △ Suburban (Town)
- O Rural (Country-side and Villages with populations less than 200)


TABLE 23

PREVALENCE OF ANTI-TOXOCARA ANTIBODY IN

DOGS AS A FUNCTION OF AGE AND SEX

Ane (years)	Percent Positive (n)	
	Male	Female
2-3	18.4 (23) 63.6 (22)	28.7 (7)
4-5	36.4 (11)	64.3 (14)
6-7	41.7 (12)	66.6 (8)
8-9	33.3 (15)	66.6 (15)
> 9	66.6 (15)	54.5 (14)
		63.3 (79)
Totals	ೆ	° ↓
	42.9 (98) 43	2.1 (19) 70.0 (60)+

Female

+ Statistically Significant Difference (P< 0.02).





O Percent Positive

14.6



Fig. 55

Adaptation of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA for use with capillary. whole blood samples collected and dried on absorbent paper.

• Titration of positive serum

O Titration of eluate collected in 1.5 ml of PBS-T from a 6 mm disc of dried capillary blood on filter paper, collected simultaneously from the same patient.

Log distance between <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA dose-response curves is 2.77 (= 1:600). Thus, the eluate is equivalent to a 1:600 dilution of venous serum.

		and the second
Hence:	Elution Volume (ml)	Equivalent serum Dilution
	0.5	1:200
	1.0	1:400
	1.5	1:600
	2.0	1:800
	2.5	1:1000



erum

lected in 1.5 ml of PBS-T ed capillary blood on simultaneously from the

a ELISA dose-response · us, the eluate is equivalent s serum.

)	Equivalent serum Dilution
	1:200
	1:400
	1:600
	1:800
	1:1000



DISCUSSION

It is difficult, if not impossible, to study the epidemiology of toxocariasis in man without the use of immunodiagnostic methods. The goal of the studies reported in this Chapter was to determine the usefullness of Toxocara ELISA for such investigations. The purpose of seroepidemiologic investigations is to obtain data on the levels and pattern of specific seroreactivity in population groups in relation to the relevant variables and thus to contribute to the understanding of the epidemiology of the disease. To achieve these goals, the assay(s) used must meet the following criteria (Lobel and Kagan, 1978): (a) the assay must be capable of processing large numbers of samples with efficiency; (b) the assay must be sufficiently sensitive and specific; (c) the interpretation of results must be free of subjectivity; (d) the cost must be minimal; and (e) the test must be rapid. One can extend this list with the following desireable features; (f) the test should be suitable for use with test samples collected either as venous serum or as capillary blood; (g) levels of sensitivity and specificity, with defined predictive values, must be known and be flexible enough to suit changing patterns of endemicity (ie. high sensitivity is more suitable in areas of low endemicity, while high specificity is desireable in areas of high endemicity); and (h) the test should be capable of producing quantitative results on a numerical scale.

Considering these requirements, the experience reported in this chapter confirms the ability of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA to process large numbers of sera with efficiency. Over 4000 assays were carried out during the seroepidemiologic evaluation and these represent the largest number of healthy subjects surveyed for toxocariasis by any single method to date.

The requirement set by Lobel and Kagan (1978) that the test must be rapid, needs qualification. Toxocara ELISA cannot be considered a rapid test, results being available only after an overnight incubation. However this feature of the assay was deliberately designed for sercepidemiologic applications, for which a rapid through-put of samples was considered more desireable than a rapid result in hand. (The converse is true for serodiagnostic applications.) Using Toxocara ELISA, one experienced worker can independently process 300 to 400 serum samples per day. The use of capillary blood samples collected on absorbant paper obviates the serum dilution step and allows a higher through-put. Using a "through-the-plate", automated ELISA reader (eg. Flow Multiskan) in conjunction with capillary blood samples, a single worker could process in the order of 1000 samples daily. Concerning the requirements of sensitivity, specificity, predictive value and objectivity, these have been established previously for Toxocara ELISA. The material cost of Toxocara ELISA was estimated to be £ 0.03 per assay (1979 costs). An important feature of Toxocara ELISA for seroepidemiologic applications is the quantitative nature of the result. Using the high precision of the assay, it was possible to compare subtle differences in distribution of Toxocara ELISA values obtained in various populations and subpopulations.

The performance evaluation of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA in seroepidemiologic applications focused attention on three human environments which were considered, for various reasons, to represent toxocariasis non-endemic, endemic, and hyperendemic regions. Selected subpopulations of subjects with potentially higher risk of acquiring toxocaral infection were also investigated. Serologic data were summarized by three methods: proportion of persons reactive (Lobel and Kagan, 1978); mean positive titres (Draper et al.1972); and frequency distribution of the sample

population (Kagan, 1973). It is emphasized that these investigations were intended as validation studies of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA applicability to seroepidemiologic investigations, and were not intended to represent definitive epidemiologic studies in themselves. Nevertheless, several important findings have emerged and are discussed below.

Iceland was selected as a potentially non-endemic region for toxocariasis. Iceland currently occupies a unique epidemiological setting in this respect since it is the only country in the western world where dog ownership and dog importation is prohibited by law and free-ranging dogs have been eliminated. This legislation has been strictly enforced, especially in urban areas, since 1924 (Jorundarson, 1979). Some dogs have been kept, illegally, in Reykjavik during the last five to six years (Palsson, 1979) and there is an estimated population of no more than 200 dogs per 100,000 human inhabitants. The implications of the Toxocara ELISA survey of the Reykjavik sample group (representing 0.3% of the total population) are several. Although the median and modal ELISA values are the same as those recorded in healthy adults in the UK. there were no positives detected in Reykjavik, and the distribution of values was unimodal, suggesting that Reykjavik is a non-endemic area for toxocariasis according to the classification of Healy and Gleason (1972). This is a useful finding and supports the qualitative interpretation of results in other populations when using 0.50 A_{405} as the positive threshold (assuming modal values are similar to those of the Icelandic population). Epidemiologically, the results indicate that restrictive legislation can be effective in minimizing the epizootic cycle of toxocariasis. Contrary to popular impression (Anon, 1979) the climate of Iceland is not severe and should not be a major factor in inhibiting transmission of Toxocara spp. ova. Cats in Reykjavik are unrestricted

and are popular as housepets. <u>Toxocara cati</u> is a common finding by Icelandic veterinarians (Palsson, 1979) confirming the potential for development and transmission of infective toxocaral ova in belandic soils. These epidemiological features considered together with the demonstrated ability of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA to detect paratenic <u>T. cati</u> infection, support the hypothesis that <u>T. cati</u> is not a major source of toxocariasis in man. The wild fox in Iceland has a large population in remote areas and is a considerable nuisance to agriculture. Eradication measures destroy 4000 foxes per year but the population is uncontrolled and represents a possible source of T. canis ova in rural areas.

These studies of toxocariasis were the first in Iceland. Further work should exploit its unique epidemiological situation to investigate: the prevalence of toxocaral antibodies in native Icelandic subjects older than 60 years of age (ie. pre-legislation); the prevalence of toxocaral ova in rural and urban soils; the prevalence of toxocaral antibodies in rural inhabitants; the possible role of foxes in the transmission of toxocariasis in rural areas.

The results of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA surveys of healthy adult subjects in the UK suggest that the UK is an endemic area with regard to toxocariasis infection and has a period prevalence of at least 2.6%. It may be questioned whether this 2.6%, found to have low levels of circulating anti-<u>Toxocara</u> antibody, is a reflection of the incidence of recent light infections occurring in adults or is evidence of the prevalence of long-past toxocariasis acquired in childhood. Antibody responses to nematode secretory antigens are expected to be better indicators of active or recent infection than are responses to somatic antigen (de Savigny and Tizard, 1977), however, the antibody response to secretory antigen even during active, but light infections tends to be

very high. Therefore these low-level responses suggest past infection. It is not known for how long <u>Toxocara</u> larvae persist and continue to migrate in tissues during natural infections in man. That the 2.6% prevalence of antibody is a result of childhood exposure gains indirect support from the lack of increasing ELISA values during adult life and the adult male:female ratio of 2:1 in positive reactors which is similar to that observed in clinical visceral toxocariasis occurring in childhood (Snyder, 1961; Huntley et al. 1965).

To evaluate the performance of Toxocara ELISA in an area of high toxocariasis endemicity, Czechoslovakia (CSSR) was selected. Clinicians in the CSSR have been concerned by the apparent high incidence of cases of unexplained chronic hypereosinophilia (Uhlikova and Hübner, 1968, 1971, 1979) many of which have been diagnosed retrospectively as toxocariasis using Toxocara ELISA (Chapter IV). A seroepidemiological survey of 1136 healthy adults and children was organized to provide a fundamental base of information regarding the prevalence and intensity of positive reactions in the healthy population as a guide by which to assess the significance of positive reactions observed in the clinically diseased population. Several facts and many questions emerged regarding the epidemiology of toxocariasis in the CSSR. In children, modal values were found to be significantly lower than those in adults and were similar to the pattern seen in young, serologically negative animals when compared to adult serologically negative animals. The shift of an entire distribution of ELISA values, to higher values with age has been noted with other ELISA systems (Seawright, Bartlett, Sanders, Clinard, Mills, Martinez, Payne, Bishop and Zimmerman, 1979) and probably reflects the accumulated response to total antigenic exposure throughout life. Rural subjects also had higher negative modal values than did urban

inhabitants. This feature of ELISA assays emphasizes the need for careful selection of relevant reference control groups in the evaluation of ELISA data. The studies in healthy children also suggest a changing pattern of infection with age which might have an epidemiologic basis. In young children, males and females had equivalent prevalences of positive reactions, while in older children, males predominated. Teenaged children were not surveyed, however in adults, the pattern was reversed such that females predominated in positive serological reactivity. Highly positive Toxocara ELISA values which are assumed to be associated with recent toxocariasis, occur with equal prevalence in children (4.5%) and adults (5.3%), while low positive ELISA values predominate in adults (20.5%)over children (11.3%). This observation is consistent with the suggestion that low positive ELISA values in adults may be residual from previous infection in childhood. The remaining point regarding ELISA reactivity is the high prevalence of positives in rural adults (36.0%) and rural children (18.1%) compared to urban adults (15.5%) and urban children (7.3%).

These findings indicate that the CSSR is a hyperendemic region for toxocariasis. The significantly higher prevalence of infection observed compared with the UK raises the question as to why this should be so. Climate and soil types are comparable in these two countries as is the prevalence of toxocariasis in dogs (20%) and cats (50%) (Vokoun and Slezakova, 1975: Uhlikova and Hübner, 1979). A survey of the Czechoslovak literature revealed the following: the role of soil and vegetables in the epidemiology of geohelminthiasis has been recognized in Czechoslovakia (Lysek, 1959), however the incidence of geohelminth ova in gardening soils was low (Lysek, 1968). Palicka and Pazdiora (1971) surveyed soil, and sand from children's sand pits, in towns, housing settlements, and "children's collectives" at monthly intervals

throughout the course of one year, and found no <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> or <u>Trichocephalus trichiurus</u> ova but did detect <u>Toxocara spp</u>.ova in an undisclosed proportion of samples. Giboda and Kratochiv (1968) recorded a high incidence of geohelminth infection in certain children's collectives. Rheka and Rochunova (1973) reported a 15 year longitudinal study of several thousand children and found a low incidence of human geohelminths including <u>Trichocephalus trichiurus</u> (3.5%) and <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> (4.1%). Of epidemiological significance may be the practice of using sewage sludge as fertilizer. Sewage sludge may contain viable <u>Toxocara spp</u>. ova (Graham, 1976) which survive sewage treatment. Sedlacek and Stoklosova (1977) have called for safety regulations in the CSSR, governing the use of sludge in agriculture and have proposed certain hygienic precautions for the pretreatment of sludge for use as manure.

As yet no satisfactory reason has emerged for the high prevalence of positive Toxocara ELISA values in the CSSR.

Two tropical populations, in which multiple parasitic infections were expected, were surveyed. In the relatively arid region of Khartoum, the proportion positive (0.7%) was indicative of a non-endemic area and was lower than that seen in the UK despite concurrent schistosomiasis and other helminth infection in many of these subjects. In the relatively humid tropical environment of Accra, the proportion positive was similar to the CSSR and corresponded to an area hyperendemic for toxocariasis. These findings are supported by those of Yampolskaya <u>et al.(1978)</u> who, using <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA with secretory antigen, found both seropositivity and prevalence of viable ova in soil, to be highest in humid regions and lowest in arid regions. Since both Khartoum and Accra have substantial free-ranging dog populations, it follows that environmental

conditions, especially aridity, can exert a significant effect on the transmissibility of toxocariasis to man and that this effect may be detected in <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA serological profiles.

The high modal ELISA value of the healthy Ghanaian population merits comment because it illustrates the irrelevence of European standard sera when assays are transposed for use with tropical populations. It is important that assays be standardized gainst relevant local reference groups whose health status has been determined by other means. In many areas of Africa, high "background" values are commonly seen in indirect assays which use anti-globulin reagents (Voller, 1979, personal communication) and are possibly due to the relatively high levels of circulating serum globulins, rheumatoid factors, and immune complexes. In these assays, the distribution of negative values may overlap the (European) positive threshold value leading to a high prevalence of false positives. By standardizing the assay with appropriate controls, it should be possible to re-establish a satisfactory threshold value at a higher discriminating level. Since it is unlikely that positive responses are additive to the high negative modal value, there will be some loss of sensitivity, especially in the detection of the "past-exposure" range of values. Therefore seroepidemiologic prevalence estimates may be overestimated, using European reference standards, and under-estimated, using African reference standards. However, it may be possible to obtain a more accurate estimate of prevalence by making use of the known sensitivity and predictive value parameters of Toxocara ELISA (Chapter III) as follows. In Ghana, 9 of 259 (3.5%) of the sample population had positive ELISA values of $A_{405} = 1.25$ or greater. At this value, there should be few or no false positives, and at this value, it is known that the assay sensitivity is 84.4% (Table 3). Thus the adjusted estimate of toxocariasis prevalence in Ghana would be 4.1%. The validity

of this logic needs further investigation.

Having established the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA serological profile of the UK population, it was then possible to compare subpopulations in an epidemiological context.

The possibility of an association between house pets and multiple sclerosis (MS) was raised by Cook and Dowling (1977) in a careful study of MS patients and controls matched for age, sex, neighbourhood and socio-economic status. No difference in ownership of cats or dogs was found. However, exposure to small indoor pets (cats or dogs) was significantly higher in the MS group (P < 0.001). Exposure to small pets was particularly striking during the ten years before onset of initial symptoms of MS, when compared to the control group. Of 49 MS patients, 33 had a young pet dog or cat in their homes, within one year before onset of the first neurological symptom. Familial MS was also found to be associated with young pets. These authors proposed the transmission of an infectious agent from dogs or cats to man, in attempt to explain the temporal relationship with MS. Serological studies by Krakova and Koestner (1978) and Nathanson, Palsson and Gudmundsson (1978) failed to incriminate canine distemper virus in the actiology of MS although measles antibody titres were significantly elevated. Since it has been shown that viral infection can become more severe with simultaneous Toxocara infection (Pavri, Chalsasi, Dastur, Goverdhan and Lalitha, 1975), the possibility of dual viral and toxocaral infection is worth consideration. The absence of reports of T. canis larvae in tissues of MS patients need not disqualify the suggestion, considering the difficulty with which these are detected (Woodruff, 1970). In the current study no significant difference was observed in the prevalence of toxocaral antibody in 117 MS patients compared to healthy controls. Thus, there is no support for the

hypothesis implicating toxocaral infection in the aetiology of multiple sclerosis.

Toxocaral antibodies have been shown to occur in epilepsy with higher prevalence than expected in the normal population in several studies (Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe, 1966; Viens, 1977; Glickman, Cypess, Crumrine and Gitlin, 1979). In the current study, toxocaral antibody was found approximately three times more commonly in adult epileptics and five times more commonly in epilepsy in children although the significance of these results in uncertain. It has been suggested that the migration of toxocaral larvae in the brain may be the cause of some forms of epilepsy (Woodruff, Bisseru and Bowe, 1966). Glickman et al. (1979a) found a higher incidence of geophagia in epileptics, and no significant difference in seropositivity between idiopathic epilepsy and epilepsy of known actiology. These authors concluded that toxocariasis is not causally linked to epilepsy but that epilepsy may predispose patients to acquiring toxocariasis. The use of Toxocara ELISA in a prospective study of children with recently diagnosed epilepsy should resolve this issue.

<u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was used to examine selected subpopulations of the healthy population, who may stand a higher risk of acquiring toxocariasis. Results demonstrated that dog show exhibitors and breeders were at particular risk of acquiring sub-clinical toxocariasis and that this risk was proportional to the number of bitches whelped per annum and the number of years engaged in dog breeding. In contrast, cat show exhibitors and breeders appeared to be at no significantly increased risk of toxocariasis. Again this supports the contention that <u>T. cati</u> is not a major source of human toxocariasis. Others have reported an absence of increased seropositivity in employees of dog kennels of the type which do not engage in breeding, but are nevertheless, heavily

contaminated with toxocaral ova (Jacobs, Woodruff, Shah and Prole, 1977; Glickman and Cypess, 1977). The results of the current work would thus illustrate the particular risk of young pups, and post-parturient bitches in the epidemiology of toxocariasis.

The high intensity of patent infection in newborn and young pups, which decreases with age and, reappears in post-parturient females, strongly suggests the slow development of effective immunity in the neonate against adult T. canis, and the supression of this immunity in pregnant and in lactating bitches. The availability of Toxocara ELISA prompted the first serodiagnostic/seroepidemiologic survey of anti-Toxocara humoral responses in naturally infected dogs of all ages. Such studies have not previously been contemplated because of the adequacy of parasitological diagnosis and the general lack of interest expressed by parasite immunologists in the canne-toxocaral host-parasite relationship. The important finding of this survey was the absence of serological responsiveness to Toxocara larval secretory antigens during the first five months of life. This result was unexpected in view of the high prevalence of patent infection in these animals, the concurrent migration of larvae through tissues and the high sensitivity of Toxocara ELISA. These serological observations are consistent with the following hypothesis:

<u>In utero</u> infection induces in the foetus a specific tolerance to <u>Toxocara canis</u> antigens, including the secretory antigens of the second-stage larvae, and that this tolerance is broken after approximately 5 months following birth. The breaking of tolerance is accompanied by the development of specific immunity which is manifested by the elimination of adult worms from the gut, the suppression of further development and migration of larvae and their subsequent relegation to dormancy in the tissues. This survey in dogs also encountered a higher

prevalence of positive reactions in intact adult female animals compared to males or spayed females, which might be explained by the mobilization of dormant second-stage larvae known to occur during each pregnancy.

There are few parasites which have exploited the prenatal route of infection as successfully as have <u>Toxocara canis</u> but the mechanisms whereby this is accomplished have not received adequate study. The suggestion that specific tolerance is operating <u>in utero</u> and in neonatal life, as part of the host-parasite relationship in canine toxocariasis, should be investigated further. Not only could this represent an interesting model of immunological tolerance in nature, but it might provide an effective approach for the control of toxocariasis. Interruption of prenatal transmission of toxocariasis in caridae could have significant public health implications for man.

The performance of <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA was evaluated in various seroepidemiologic applications. In addition to previously described parameters of sensitivity, specificity and precision, the assay was found particularly suitable for use with a high through-put of samples at minimal cost, and was adaptable for use with capillary blood samples collected on absorbent paper. The objective and quantitative nature of the assay result was of particular value for seroepidemiologic applications and allowed analysis of the proportion positive, the intensity of the positive response and the numerical description of a population by the frequency distribution of its ELISA values. Comparison of frequency distributions was used to identify differences between populations with regard to relevant variables.

Over 4000 sera were assayed from presumed, toxocariasis non-endemic (Iceland, Sudan), endemic (UK, USA) and hyperendemic (Czechoslovakia, Ghana) areas.

Within an endemic area (UK) subclinical toxocariasis was detected in the healthy population 2-fold more frequently in males than in females, 3-to 5-fold more frequently in epileptics than in healthy subjects, and 6-fold more frequently in dog breeders (but not cat breeders) compared with healthy subjects. Patients with multiple sclerosis showed no significant serological association with toxocariasis. In the endemic area, the positivity rate did not increase with the age of the population. In the hyperendemic area, positivity rates increased progressively with the age of the population. Rural areas had higher negative modal values and higher positivity rates compared with urban areas. Male children and female adults had predominantly higher positivity rates for their age groups.

268 Summary

In non-endemic areas, it was shown that political factors (legislation prohibiting dogs) and environmental factors (aridity) can effectively inhibit transmission of toxocariasis to man and that this is reflected in the <u>Toxocara</u> ELISA serological profile. No evidence was seen to implicate <u>Toxocara cati</u> as a significant source of human toxocariasis.

A seroepidemiologic survey of naturally (prenatally) infected dogs revealed an absence of specific humoral response to toxocaral antigens in 100% of pups less than 6 months of age and a high prevalence of antibodies in dogs older than six months. It is postulated that tolerance to toxocaral antigens is induced <u>in utero</u> and that manipulation of immunoreactivity in neonatal pups may provide a means to control patent toxocariasis in the dog. Interruption of the prenatal transmission cycle of toxocariasis in canidae could have significant puplic health implications for man.

CHAPTER VI

GENERAL DISCUSSION

The success or failure of any immunodiagnostic test is a function of the antigen used. It is significant that the history of helminth immunodiagnosis reveals a general disregard for this axiom. The past dogma has implied that success would be won from the search for new assays of improved design rather than from a search for alternative antigens of improved quality. As a result, the literature for any one parasite (eg. Toxocara canis) describes the application, in virtually every immunoassay system yet devised, of a limited variety of unpurified and uncharacterized antigens. Now that immunoassays have achieved relatively high levels of sensitivity and technical sophistication without yielding the promised advances in helminth diagnosis, attention is being redirected to antigens and their sources (Pelley, Warren and Jordan, 1977; Capron and Wakelin, 1978). Because of the neglected importance of the role antigens in nematode serology, this discussion will focus on antigen related aspects, and in so doing, will describe the rationale of the current study. Although this study was based on the toxocariasis model, the arguments put forth apply to all tissue-invasive nematodes.

Nematodes are metazoan endoparasites and as such are highly complex. In toxocariasis, nematode larvae are able to reside in intimate contact with host tissues and are effectively able to elude the host's immune mechanisms. These two features: antigenic complexity and successful parasitism, should alert the serologist to possible pitfalls in the development of satisfactory serodiagnostic methods. In toxocariasis the literature of the past 25 years is replete with proposed methods, most of which have resulted in assays of unsatisfactory sensitivity and specificity, or of limited practical value. Most efforts were based on the classical approach of parasite antigen production, ie. (1) obtain parasites from naturally or experimentally infected animals and (2) grind and solubilize parasites to extract antigens by chemical or physical means.

With the wisdom of hindsight, it can be said that such an approach is seldom worth-while when applied to metazoans which enjoy successful host-parasite relationships. To develop immunoassays for such infections, an approach philosophy is even more essential than it is for other microbial diseases. From this philosophy, a strategy must be formulated that considers both (a) the source of parasite material (ie. <u>in vivo</u> or <u>in vitro</u>) and (b) the source of antigen from within the parasite (ie. whole worm fractions, surface components, or secreted products). This discussion will deal with each of these aspects.

Considering the source of parasite material, it must soon be accepted that the development of suitable <u>in vitro</u> cultivation techniques for helminths is essential for the practical preparation of acceptably pure nematode antigens. It is difficult to imagine the relative excellence of serology that now exists for the various bacterial and viral diseases without <u>in vitro</u> cultivation of the organisms in question. Certainly as <u>in vitro</u> cultivation techniques improved for each organism, improvement, or indeed inception, of serologic techniques followed closely (eg. gonorrhoea). In the serology of parasitic diseases, <u>in vitro</u> cultivation for antigen production is the exception rather than the rule (eg. <u>Entamoeba histolytica</u>). Helminth serology is currently at the same level of development as that achieved by bacterial serologists of the 1930's. Hence, it is reasonable to expect major

advances in helminth serology when <u>in vitro</u> culture techniques are developed and applied. The advantage of <u>in vitro</u> cultivation is basically the same as posed for bacteria and viruses: technical simplicity in obtaining organisms clearly divorced from acquired host antigens including mediators of the host's immune system.

It follows that the use of synthetic culture media, unsupplemented by host factors, or other undefined components, ismandatory in this scheme. This is necessary, not only to obtain antigens of high purity, but also to allow their adsorption to immunoassay solid-phases without the competitive effects of other macromolecules. The recent use of synthetic tripeptides (Pickart and Thaler, 1973; Pickart, Thayer and Thaler, 1973; Stromberg, Khoury and Soulsby, 1977) as replacements for serum supplements, is a major advance in this context.

In the present work, antigens apparently specific for <u>Toxocara spp</u>. were derived from parasites hatched and maintained <u>in vitro</u> in synthetic medium, without exposure to an <u>in vivo</u> milieu. The observed specificity is unlikely to be attributed solely to the absence of acquired host antigens or immune mediators.

Having obtained "clean" parasites from <u>in vitro</u> sources, target antigens can then be considered within three categories: whole worm extracts; surface determinants; and secretory products. It must be appreciated that any helminth antigen may exhibit a variety of characteristics including: cross-reactivity with host antigens; cross-reactivity with heterologous helminth antigens; weak immunogenicity; protective (specific) immunogenicity; or immunosupressive functions. Furthermore, these characteristics do not occur with equal probability in each of the three potential sources of antigen.

Considering whole worm extracts, which include both surface (cuticular) and internal (somatic) antigens , the tradition of grinding whole adult or larval worms for the production of antigen is analogous to the emulisfaction of a whole cow for the production of insulin (Thorson, 1963). Arbitary extractions of antigen from helminth emulsions using alcohol, ether, acids, buffers etc., are based on little scientific logic but remain popular and are used in most recently published serodiagnostic procedures for toxocariasis (Kagan and Norman. 1979; Walls, 1979). The whole worm antigen approach fails to consider important aspects of parasite biology. Firstly, as a metazoan, nematode antigenic structure is not simple and contains several hundred potential antigens arising from functionally distinct organs and tissues (Crofton, 1966). Many of these do not evoke a serological response until the death and disintegration in vivo of the parasite and are thus poor serological antigens. Furthermore, their presence in diagnostic antigen preparations can compromise serological tests by inhibiting the performance of those few specific antigens which are present in diminished proportions. (eg. competitive inhibition of solid-phase antigen adsorption; proteolytic degradation by exposure to parasite intracellular products). Secondly, the whole worm antigen approach fails to consider those aspects of the host-parasite relationship which so profoundly influence serodiagnostic strategies. The long persistance of metazoan parasites in host tissues indicates that they have evolved a variety of successful mechanisms for survival in the face of natural and acquired immune responses of their hosts (Bloom, 1979). Assuming that all parasites had free living ancestors in the remote past, the evolution of host-parasite associations has necessarily involved a progressive series of modifications and adaptations leading toward mutual tolerance.

The concept of adaptive tolerance implies that those genetic changes which tended to decrease the harm or increase the benefit to either parasite or host were favoured by the process of natural selection (Linicome, 1978). According to this view, the degree of mutual tolerance achieved in any host-parasite relationship is a function of its evolutionary age and rate. It is therefore conceivable that ultimately (or presently), there may exist near-perfect host-parasite relationships in which, in a portion of the host population, immunologic interactions do not occur. Sprent (1962) has suggested that this state could be achieved by "selective obliteration of counter patterns in the host" and a"selective convergence of antigen structure between host and parasite". Evidence for convergent molecular evolution is found in the ubiquitous sharing of antigens between parasites and their hosts, both in plants and animals (reviewed by Vay and Adler, 1976). Antigen sharing has been termed "molecular mimicry" (Damian, 1964) and is essential to host-parasite compatibility possibly through the regulatory effects of concomitant immunity (Terry, 1977). In nematodes, shared antigens are usually authochtonous (Biguet, Capron, Tran Van Ky, and Rose, 1965; Capron, Dessaint, Camus and Capron, 1976) but may also be acquired, as described for some trematodes (Clegg, 1974; Smithers and Terry, 1976). That common antigens occur outside the phenomenon of parasitism in phylogenetically unrelated organisms (eg. Salmonella typhi and Trichinella spiralis share antigens), suggests that antigen sharing can arise by coincidence and that its occurrence within a host-parasite relationship would be enhanced by mutative and selective pressures. On theoretical grounds, this concept is supported by the view that the universe of antigens is not infinite, but limited, and perhaps rather small (Jerne, 1960).

The logical extension of antigen sharing between parasite and host is antigen sharing among parasites which parasitize the same host. Ascarid nematodes have been favourite subjects of studies on cross-reactivities. The presence of heterophile antigens, Forsmann antigens, blood group cross-reacting antigens, C protein, etc. are well documented (Soulsby, 1962; Biguet, Capron, Tran Van Ky and Rose, 1965; Harrison and Ridley, 1975). In addition, the relatively close phylogenetic relationship of many nematode genera has resulted in naturally occurring cross-reactivity between antigens not shared with their hosts (Petithory, Brumpt and Bahno, 1973; Cohen and Sadun, 1976).

Functional immunity in helminth infections appears to be specific, at least at the generic level (Soulsby, 1962). Somatic and whole worm antigens used in vaccination experiments produce only a low degree of protective immunity (Weinstein, 1959; Clegg and Smith, 1978). Therefore it may be considered that helminth whole worm antigens have a low content of functional antigens and hence, are potentially poor sources of specific antigens.

The second possible source of serological antigens to be considered are the nematode surface components. Since the development of fluorescent antibody techniques, it has been possible to study serological responses to nematode cuticles (Taffs and Voller, 1962) which in theory should be valuable because functional host immunity to the parasite probably operates primarily at the host-parasite interface. Unfortunately host selective pressures exert a major influence on the antigenic structure of the cuticle. Although it is known that the surface of certain nematodes contains genus, species, and stage specific markers (Mackenzie, Preston and Ogilvie, 1978) it is logical that the cuticle of the most

successful parasites should contain a mosaic of weak or nonspecific antigens. Experimental evidence of the non-specificity of nematode cuticles is abundant(Ambrois-Thomas, 1976). The apparent absence of an effective functional response to nematode cuticles pompted Damian (1962) to coin the term "eclipsed antigen" to describe parasite antigenic determinants which resemble those of the host. Implicit in the definition is the idea that the host will not recognize an eclipsed antigen as foreign and thus will not produce antibodies against it. The survival value to the parasite is obvious and the concept has been further expanded by Dineen (1963 a, 1963 b) who suggested that the immune responses of the host exert selective pressures which favour the survival of variants of the parasite surface which display reduced antigenic disparity with the host. It is also suggested that during evolution of the host-parasite relationship, a reduction of disparity would only be necessary with those antigenic characters of the parasite which stimulate responses which adversely affect survival of the parasite (ie. functional antigens). Dineen has termed these antigens "fitness" antigens and stated that the natural host will fail to recognize fitness antigens, or will show relatively little immunological responsiveness to them while reacting with normal vigour to those antigens of the parasite which do not influence fitness of the organism. Conversely, it would be expected that in the unnatural host, there will be serological responses to a greater range of antigens because there has not been the same reduction in disparity. Toxocara canis in its natural host, the Canidae is an excellent example of parasitism well tolerated by the host. Toxocara infection in a less natural host such as man, demonstrates a less perfect parasitism resulting occasionally in death of the host and inevitably in cessation of the life cycle of the parasite. That the human immune

response to functional (fitness) antigens of the infective stage of T. can's is a factor preventing further development in the life cycle is a possibility although natural immunity, nutritional and physiological factors must be considered. Ogievetskaya (1979) has examined experimentally the phylogenetic disparity of antigens and found that the capacity of a protein to induce synthesis of specific immunoglobulins is directly correlated with protein evolution rate. The evolution rate of a protein serves as a measure of its foreigness which can be evaluated as the number of amino acid differences between the immunogen and the host's homologous protein. Structural components such as actin and collagen have low evolution rates (less than 2 amino acid changes in 10⁸ years) and are poor immunogens while enzymes in general have high evolution rates and are good immunogens. This would suggest that nematode cuticular collagen, which comprises the greater part of the cuticle (Chappell, 1979), should be a weaker source of antigen than nematode enzymes.

This leads to the final general source of nematode antigens: the secreted products. The concepts discussed above, when applied to the tissue invasive nematode (eg. <u>Toxocara spp</u>.) suggests a scenario in which a large, complex metazoan, has evolved a cuticular and somatic camouflage of non-specific or host-similar antigens and effectively evades the host's impune response, while migrating through host tissues. Since the second-stage toxocaral larvae lacks biting mouth parts, it progresses through tissue by secreting digestive enzymes from its oral (and possible excretory) pore into host tissue. Tissue digested by these "exo-enzymes" is then ingested as the larvae proceeds. Although cuticular antigens may be host-similar or non-functional, and although many somatic whole worm antigens may be hidden from the immune system, secreted

digestive enzymes are more likely to be host dissimilar, since they (1) digest host tissue; (2) have high molecular evolution rates, and (3) are functional (ie. their inactivation would halt the worm's migratory progress, inhibit its up-take of nutrients, and possibly result in its encystment and destruction by other immune effector mechanisms). Therefore, as functional antigens, secreted products are more likely to be protective. The superior protective value of nematode secretory antigens compared to whole worm extracts are well known (Rothwell and Love 1974; Poulain, Petit, Pery and Luffan, 1976; Despommier and Müller, 1976; Wakelin and Selby, 1973; Depommier, Campbell and Blair, 1977). It follows, that as protective antigens, secretory products are more likely to be specific antigens (Soulsby, 1962). In addition to high levels of potential specificity, nematode secretory products have other characteristics which make them ideal serological antigens.

Regarding isolation and purification, nematode secreted products, even in the crude state, are relatively simple mixtures of anly a few antigens, compared to the complexity of crude cuticular and whole worm extracted antigens.

Regarding sensitivity, the small biomass of worm material present during light infections will eventually present a substantial quantity of secretory antigen to the hosts immune system. Moreover, this presentation will have a natural, adjuvant-like basis resulting from its slow and continued release.

Regarding biological relevance, studies have shown that secretory antigens are the first helminth antigens to evoke an immune response (Catty, 1969). It is also considered that anti-secretion antibody is temporally more closely related to active infection, then anti-whole worm antibody which may persist (or even increase) following death of the

digestive enzymes are more likely to be host dissimilar, since they (1) digest host tissue; (2) have high molecular evolution rates, and (3) are functional (ie. their inactivation would halt the worm's migratory progress, inhibit its up-take of nutrients, and possibly result in its encystment and destruction by other immune effector mechanisms). Therefore, as functional antigens, secreted products are more likely to be protective. The superior protective value of nematode secretory antigens compared to whole worm extracts are well known (Rothwell and Love 1974; Poulain, Petit, Pery and Luffan, 1976; Despommier and Müller, 1976; Wakelin and Selby, 1973; Depommier, Campbell and Blair, 1977). It follows, that as protective antigens, secretory products are more likely to be specific antigens (Soulsby, 1962). In addition to high levels of potential specificity, nematode secretory products have other characteristics which make them ideal serological antigens.

Regarding isolation and purification, nematode secreted products, even in the crude state, are relatively simple mixtures of only a few antigens, compared to the complexity of crude cuticular and whole worm extracted antigens.

Regarding sensitivity, the small biomass of worm material present during light infections will eventually present a substantial quantity of secretory antigen to the hosts immune system. Moreover, this presentation will have a natural, adjuvant-like basis resulting from its slow and continued release.

Regarding biological relevance, studies have shown that secretory antigens are the first helminth antigens to evoke an immune response (Catty, 1969). It is also considered that anti-secretion antibody is temporally more closely related to active infection, then anti-whole worm antibody which may persist (or even increase) following death of the

parasites (Fife, 1971). It is tempting to speculate that the presence or intensity of anti-secretion antibody correlates with protection. Because secretory antigens usually contain enzymes (Sanderson and Ogilvie, 1971; Ogilvie, Rothwell, Bremner, Schizerling, Noland and Keith, 1973; Mitchell, 1979) it has been suggested that anti-enzyme antibody might interfere with parasite feeding, or with essential metabolic functions, thus leading to worm damage and increased susceptability to other effector mechanisms of the host's immune system (Mills and Kent, 1965). In experimental Dipetalonema infections, secretory products can enhance microfilaraemia (Hague, Chassoux, Ogilvie and Capron, 1978). Immunological inteference with this process might effect worm fecundity as has been reported in secretory antigen vaccine studies with Trichinella (Despommier and Muller, 1970). Aside from the successful protective responses in nematode infections evoked by immunization with secretory products, there is little direct experimental evidence that the presence of anti-secretions antibody indicates protection (Rothwell and Merritt, 1975; Mitchell, 1979).

In contrast to their protective effects it has been suggested that some secretory antigens might also be immunosuppressive (Terry, 1977). The chronicity of toxocariasis in apparently immunocompetent hosts has not been adequately explained. Nematode released antigens might, in some circumstances, be polyclonal B-cell mitogens thus causing immunosuppression which would be manifested by an increased susceptibility to (or association with) certain infectious diseases. Goose (1977) working with secretory antigens from the non-nematode, <u>Fasciola hepatica</u>, found them cytotoxic to lymphoytes <u>in vitro</u>. Faubert and Tanner (1970) reported that serum from <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> infected mice would agglutinate and kill lymph node cells <u>in vitro</u> and prolong allografts <u>in vivo</u>. However there is, as yet,

no direct evidence that the soluble factors responsible for these immunosuppressive actions are contained in nematode secretory antigen preparations. Further elucidation of the role of nematode secretions in the host-parasite relationship is necessary before a more complete understanding of the biological relevance of anti-secretion serological responses is possible.

Thus, in balance, there appear to be compelling arguments in favour of the use of secretory antigens in nematode serology, as opposed to whole worm extracts, or surface antigens. In the present work, results support this view. Given a satisfactory in vitro cultivation system using synthetic medium to maintain the appropriate parasite stage, it was shown that secretory antigens can be produced in usuable amounts. Since the best antigens are usually available in the least quantity and are in the highest demand, it is necessary to use them in the most efficient assays. ELISA proved to be essential for this purpose. Without ELISA or an assay of similar characteristics, this work, involving many thousands of assays, could not have been contemplated. It was the deliberate marriage of antigen, selected following a rational examination of the host-parasite relationship, with assay, selected for its qualities of economy, sensitivity and practicality, which resulted in the described applications. The approach evolved an immunoassay capable of providing specific and sensitive quantitation of circulating anti-Toxocara antibody. Low level infections were detectable rapidly after onset, and cross-reactions could not be demonstrated in animal models nor in a clinical survey. It is proposed that a similar advance may be achieved by application of the above strategy to other tissue invasive nematode infections of man including, onchocerciasis, anisakiasis, strongyloidiasis and trichinosis.

Although some of the concepts discussed in this chapter have been in existence for over a decade, parasitologists and immunologists have been slow to exploit them. The study emphasizes the value of <u>in vitro</u> cultivation to nematode serology and the importance of a strategy directed toward the selection of biologically relevant antigens for use in appropriate assays. The role of the immune response to secretory antigens merits further study, particularly as regards protection, hypersensitivity, and immunosuppression. From such studies should come a better understanding of the host-parasite relationship and its relevance to immunopathology and immunodiagnosis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my supervisors, Dr. A. Voller and Professor A.W. Woodruff, I wish to express my gratitude for the keen interest and enthusiastic encouragement they provided during this work. I also gratefully acknowledge Dr. L.G. Goodwin and the staff of the Nuffield Laboratories of Comparative Medicine, for generously providing the necessary laboratory facilities and friendly working environment. I particularly wish to thank Dr. Ann Bartlett and Dr. Dennis Bidwell for their valued advice, and Mr. Leslie Pettitt for this expeditious assistance in many matters. Appreciation is also extended to Mr. Phil Turp for introducing me to ELISA, and to Mrs. Daphne Green for introducing me to rhesus monkeys.

I am especially grateful to Miss Jeannette Stahelin for her patient and efficient typing of the manuscript.

These studies were supported by the Ontario Ministry of Health, of which I thank Dr. D.S Willoughby and the Laboratory Services Branch for their sponsorship.

REFERENCES

Adiar FL Lau CT 1971: Detection of morphine by hemagglutination inhibition. J Immunol 106 1684.

Agellis D Inglis NR and Fishman WH 1976: Isoelectric focusing of alkaline phosphatase isoenzymes in polyacrylamide gels. Use of Triton X-100 and improved staining technique.

Amer J clin Path 66 929.

Aljeboori. TI and Ivey MH 1970: An improved HA technique for detecting antibody against <u>Toxocara canis</u>.

Amer J trop Med Hyg 19 244.

Aljeboori TI Stout C and Ivey MH 1970: <u>Toxocara canis</u> infections in Baboons. Distribution of larvae and histopathologic responses. Amer J trop Med Hyg 19 815.

Allansmith MR Baird RS Kashima K and Block KJ 1979: Mast cells in tissues of normal rats and rats infected with <u>Nippostrongylus braziliensis</u>. <u>Investigative Ophthalmol Visual Sci 18</u>863.

Allansmith MR Whitney C McClellan B and Newman L 1973: Immunoglobulins in the human eye. Location type, and amount.

Arch Ophthalmol 89 36.

Ambroise-Thomas P 1975: Critical study of diagnostic procedures,

parasitological and immunological, in human filariases.

Ann Soc Belg Med Trop 55 497.

Ambroise-Thomas P 1975: Diagnostic et controle post-thérapeutique des parasitoses digestives par la recherche des anticorps fluorescents. Actua (Médicine et Hygiene) <u>8</u> 23. Ambroise-Thomas P Desgeorges PT and Monget D 1978: Diagnostic immunoenzymologique (ELISA) des maladies parasitaires par une micro-methode modifiée. II. Resultats pour la toxoplasmose l'amobiase, la trichinose, l'hydatidose et l'aspergillose. Buil WTd: Hith Org 56 797.

Annen JM Eckert J and Hess U 1975: Eine einfache Methode zur Gewinnung von Toxocara canis-Antigen für die indirekte Immuno-fluorezenz-Technik.

Acta Tropica 32 37.

Anon 1976: The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Bull Wid Hith Org 54 129.

Anon 1979: Basic Statistics of Iceland.

Statistical Bureau of Iceland, Ministry of Foreign Affairs Revkjavik.

Anuar AK and Ramachandran CP 1977: A study on the prevalence of soil transmitted helminths among lettuce leaves sold in local markets in Penang, Malaysia.

Med J Malaysta 31 262.

Appelmans M Michiels J and Roquet P 1965: Hypopyon eosinophilic uveitis

caused by nematode larvae.

Bull Soc Belg Ophthol 140 505.

Arambulo PV III and Steele JH 1976: Urban dogs in Houston. Texas: Parasitic infection and environmetal health-impact.

Int J Zoonoses 3 114.

Arambulo PV III Walls KW Bullack S and Kagan ZG 1978: Serodiagnosis of human cysticercosis by microplate enzyme-linked immunospecific assay (ELISA).

Acta Trop 35 63.
Araujo P 1972: Observations pertaining to the first ecdysis of <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u>, <u>A. suum</u> and <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae. <u>Rev Inst Med trop Sao Paulo 14</u> 83.

Ancher PG 1978: The predictive value of clinical laboratory tests. Amer J clin Path 69 32.

Archer RK 1963: <u>The Eosinophil Leucocytes</u>: Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.

Arean VM and Crandall CA 1963: Experimental ascaridic endophthalmitis Arch Ophthalmol 69 585.

Ashton N 1960: Larval granulomatosis of the retina due to Toxocara.

Br J Ophthalmol 44 129.

Ashton N 1967: Toxocara canis in the eye.

In: The corneo-plastic Surgery (PV Rycroft) Pergamon Press Oxford p 579.

Atmar RC 1940: Familial eosinophilia. Report of a case with biopsy of the liver. J Amer med Assoc 155 449.

Aur RJA Pratt CB and Johnson WW 1971: Thiabendazole in visceral larva migrans.

Amer J Dis Child 121 226.

Avrameas S 1969: Coupling of enzymes to proteins with glutaraldehyde. Use of conjugates for the detection of antigens and antibodies. Immunochem 6 43.

Avrameas S Uriel J 1966: Méthode de marquage d'antigènes et d'anticorps avec des enzymes et son application en immunodiffusion.

CR Acad Sci 262 2543.

Baldone JA Clark WB and Jung RC 1964: Nematode ophthalmitis: Report of two cases.

Amer J Ophthalmol 57 763.

Ball PAJ Voller A and Taffs LF 1971: Hypersensitivity to some nematode antigens.

Brit Med J 1 210.

Ballad NE Gavilova EM and Zorikhina V 1979: Effective use of enzyme immunoassay (ELISA) for the diagnosis of unilocular and multilocular hydatidosis related to the degree of purification of the antigen. Med Parazitol Parasitarnye Bolezni 48 70.

Ballantyne AJ 1970: Text Book of the Fundus of the Eye. Williams and Wilkins Co. Baltimore p 569.

Bar-Ishak R and Stein R 1966: Larval granuloma of the retine simulating ratinoblastoma (Hebr.).

Harefuah 70 196.

Bartlett A Bidwell DE and Voller A 1975: Preliminary studies on the application of enzyme immunoassay in the detection of antibodies in Onchocerciasis. Tropenmed Parasit 26 370.

Baufine-Ducrocq H Couzineau P Beauvais B and Larivière M 1971: Diagnosis by immunofluorescence of experimental infections of mice with <u>Toxocara canis</u>. Testing antigens for use in diagnosis in man.

C r Seanc Soc Biol 165 273.

Baufine-Ducrocq H Couzineau P and Beauvais B 1973: Diagnostic par la reaction d'immunofluorescence du syndrome de larva migrans viscarale.

Bull Soc Path exot 66 746.

Beautyman W and Woolf AC 1951: An <u>Ascaris</u> larva in the brain in association with acute anterior polyomelitis.

J path Bact 63 635.

Beautyman W Beaver PC Buckley JJC and Woolf AC 1966:

J path Bact 91 271.

Beaver PC 1956: Parasitological Reviews - Larva Migrans.

Exp Parasitol 5 587.

Beaver PC 1959: Visceral and Cutaneous larva migrans.

Pub Hith Rep 74 328.

Beaver PC 1962: Toxocariasis (visceral larva migrans) in relation to tropical eosinophilia.

Bull Soc Path exot 55 555.

Beaver PC 1969: The nature of visceral larval migrans.

J Parasitol 55 3.

Beaver PC 1974: Biology of soil-transmitted helminths: The massive infection.

Hith Lab Sci 12 116.

Beaver PC 1966: Zoonoses, with particular reference to parasites of veterinary importance. In: EJL Soulsby (ed) <u>Biology of</u> Parasites. Academic Press, New York.

Beaver PC Snyder CH Carrera GM Dent JH and Lafferty JW 1952: Ghronic eosinophilia due to visceral larva migrans: Report of three cases. <u>Pediatrics 9</u> 7.

Beaver J and Dobson C 1978: Acetylcholinesterase levels in

Angiostrongylus cantonensis in relation to the immune response in rats.

Int J Parasitol 8 9.

Beck AM 1973: The Ecology of Stray Dogs - A Study of Free-Ranging Urban Animals. York Press, Baltimore: p 53.

Beck AM 1975: The public health implications of urban dogs. Amer J publ H1th 65 1315.

Beck AM 1967: The experience of US cities.

Proc Can Symp Pets and Society, June 23. Toronto.

Becker SV Selby LA Hutcheson DP and Hacker DV 1977: The association of selected climatic factors with natural alimentary parasites of the dog.

Envir Res 14 141.

Becroft DMO 1964: Infection by the dog round worm <u>Toxocara canis</u> and fatal myocarditis.

New Zealand med J 63 729.

Beggs AW 1977: Toxocaral infection.

New Zealand Med J 85 349.

Benedict WH 1958: Nematodes and endophthalmitis.

Tenn St Med Assoc J 51 328.

Bernstein MH and Hollenberg MJ 1965: Fine structure of the choriocapillaris

and retinal capillaries.

Invest Ophthal 4 1016.

Beshear JR and Hendly JO 1973: Severe pulmonary involvement in

visceral larva migrans.

Amer J Dis Child 125 599.

Biglan AW Glickman LT and Lobes LA 1979: Serum and vitreous <u>Toxocara</u> antibody in nematode endophthalmitis.

Amer J Ophthalmol 88 898.

Bisseru B 1968: Skin test suggesting human toxocariasis in west Malaysia.

Med J Malaya 23 35.

Bisseru B and Woodruff AW 1968: The detection of curculating antibody in human <u>Toxocara</u> infections using the indirect fluorescent antibody test.

J clin Path 21 449.

Bisseru B Woodruff AW and Hutchinson RI 1966: Infection with adult

Toxocara canis.

Brit med J 1 1583.

Biguet JA Capron P Tran Van Ky P and Rosé F 1965: Présence de substances de Type C dans les antigènes vermineux et de proteine anti-C au cours des helminthiases humaines ou expérimentales. I. Étude immunologique preliminaire et répercussions pratiques.

Rev d'Immunol (Paris) 29 233.

Bird AC Smith JL and Curtin VT 1970: Nematode optic neuritis. Amer J Ophthalmol 69 72.

Bird AF Waller PJ Dash KM and Major G 1978: Observations on crystals found in the intestinal cells of <u>Haemonchus contortus</u> and in the intestinal lumen of Ostertagia ostertagi.

Int J Parasitol 69 74.

Bloom BR 1979: Games parasites play: how parasites evade immune surveillance.

Nature (Lon) 279 21.

Boch J 1956: Der Einfluss des Alters auf die Verwurmung von Hunden, Katzen und Füchsen.

Ztschr Parasitenk 17 349.

Bokelund H Winkel P and Statland BE 1974: Factors contributing to intra-individual variation of serum constituents.

Clin Chem 20 1507.

Borg OA and Woodruff AW 1973: Prevalence of infective ova of <u>Toxocara</u> species in public places. Brit med J 4 470.

Bourke CM and Yeates FM 1961: Blindness due to household pets

(Toxocara canis infestation).

Med J Australia 48 II 12.

Bout D Dugimont JC Farag H and Capron A 1976: Immunodiagnosis of human parasitic diseases by ELISA.

INSERM 2 54.

Bradford DE 1970: The incidence of positive <u>Toxocara</u> skin test in the Dhekelia sovereign base area of Cyprus.

J roy Army med Corps 116 89.

Bradley AW 1970; Toxocariasis.

Brit med J 4 367.

Brain, Lord Allan B 1964: Encephalitis due to infection with Toxocara

canis.

Lancet I 1355.

Braun-Vallon S Ashton N Duguid JM and Dhermy P 1964: Manifestations oculaire de l'infestation par <u>Toxocara</u> (larva migrans viscerale) Annales d'Oculistique (Paris) <u>197</u> 217.

Braun-Vallon S and Dhermy P 1963: Pseudo-glioma arising from an intraocular infection caused by <u>Toxocara</u>.

Bull Soc Ophthalmol Fr 63 20.

Brett PR 1963: <u>Toxocara canis</u> infestation: clinical symptoms and signs with report of a case.

Trans ophthalmol Soc Aust 23 88.

Brill R Churg J and Beaver P 1953: Allergic granulomatosis associated with visceral larva migrans.

J clin Path 23 1208.

Brown DH 1970: Ocular Toxocara canis. Part II Clinical Review.

J pediat Ophthalmol 7 182.

Brown DH 1971: Ocular Toxocara I. Experimental Immunology.

Ann Ophthalmol 3 907.

Brown DH 1974: The geography of ocular Toxocara canis.

Ann Ophthalmol 6 343.

Brown HW and Brown DH 1972: Ocular toxocariasis.

In: BC Seegal and D Kocatcher - Khorazo (eds) Microbiology of the

Eye. CV Mosby Co St Louis. p 203.

Brown JL 1978: Ocular infections.

New Eng J Med 299 28.

Brown TS 1972: Toxocaral antibody in nine asthmatic patients.

Guy's Hospital Reports 121 291.

Buck AA Anderson RI and MacRae AA 1978: Epidemiology of poly-parasitism. III Effects on the diagnostic capacity of immunological tests. Tropenmed Parasitologie 29 145.

Bullock SL and Walls KW 1977: Evaluation of some parameters of the enzyme-linked immunospecific assay.

J inf Dis 136 (suppl) \$ 279.

Butler JE Feldbush TL McGivern PL and Sewart N 1978: The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA): a measure of antibody concentration or affinity?

Immunochem 15 131.

Butterworth AE 1977: The eosinophil and its role in immunity to helminth infection.

Curr Topics Microbiol Immunol 77 127.

Burden DJ and Hammet NC 1978: Microplate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for antibody to <u>Fasciola hepatica</u> in cattle. Vet Record 103 158.

Burke TM and Roberson EL 1979: Use of fenbendazole (10%) suspension against experimental infection of <u>T. canis</u> and <u>A. caninum</u> in beagle pups.

Amer J wet Research 40 552.

Burren CH 1968: Experimental toxocariasis I. Some observations on the histopathology of the migration of <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae in the mouse.

Ztschr Parasitenk 30 152.

Burren CH 1972: The distribution of <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae in the central nervous system of rodents. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 66 937.

Burt JS and Ogilvie BM 1975: <u>In vitro</u> maintenace of nematode parasites assayed by acetylcholinesterase and allergen secretion.

Exp Parasit 38 75.

Byers B and Kimura J 1974: Uveitis after death of a larva in the vitreous cavity.

Amer J Ophthalmol 77 63.

Cais M Dani S Eden Y Gandolfi O Horn M Isaacs EE Josephy Y Saar Y Slovin G Snarsky L 1977: Metalloimmunoassay. Nature 270 534.

Campbell CH 1955: The antigenic role of excretions and secretions of <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> in the production of immunity in mice. J Parasitol 41 483.

Capron A Biguet J Vernes A Afchain D 1968: Structure antigénique des helminthes. Aspects immunologiques des relations hoteparasite.

Pathol Biol 16 121.

Capron A Bout D and Dugimont JC 1975: A port des methodes immunoenzymologiques utilisant des antigenes purifiés au diagnostic specifique et automatisé des infections parasitaires.

Ann Soc Belg Med Trop 55 443.

Capron A Dessaint JP Canus D and Capron M 1976: Some immune mechanisms in host-parasite relationships. In: H Van den Bossche (ed) <u>Biochemistry of Parasites and</u> Host-Parasite <u>Relationships</u>.

Elsevier / North Holland Biomedical Press Amsterdam. Capron A and Wakelin D 1978: Antigens and immunological methods. In: A capron PH Lambert B Ogilvie P Pery. <u>Immunity in</u> <u>Parasitic Diseases</u>. Inserm Paris p.51.

Caputo MJ 1972: The use of probability plots and log-transformations in normal range determinations.

Amer J med Technol 38 1.

Carlier Y Bout D and Capron A 1979: Application de l'ELISA (enzyme-

linked immunosorbent assay) en parasitologie et mycologie.

Rev Inst Pasteur Lyon 12 25.

Carlsson HE and Lindberg AA 1977: Application of ELISA for the diagnosis of Bacterial Infections.

In: <u>Biomedical Applications of Immobilized Enzymes and Proteins 2</u> (ed)

T Ming Swi Chang, Plenum Press, New York.p 97.

Catty D 1969: Immunology of Nematode Infections.

Mono in Allergy 5 1.

Challand E Goldier D and Landon J 1974: Immunoassay in the diagnostic laboratory.

Brit med J 30 38.

Chandler AC 1925: The helminthic parasites of cats in Calcutta and

the relation of cats to human helminthic infections.

Indian J med Res 13 213.

Chandler AC 1932: Susceptibility and resistance to helminthic infections. J Parasitol 18 135.

Chandler JW Leder R Kaufman HE and Caldwell JR 1974: Quantitative determinations of complement components and immunoglobulins in tears and aqueous humour.

Invest Ophthalmol 13 151.

Chappell LH 1979: Physiology of Parasites. Blackie and Son Ltd.

Chaudhuri RN and Saha TK 1979: Tropical eosinophilia. Experiments with Toxocara canis. Lancet 2 493. Cherian AG and Hill JG 1978: Percentile estimates of reference values

for fourteen chemical constituents in sera of children and adolescents.

Amer J clin Path 69 24.

Chiewsilp D and Mc Cown JM 1972: Elimination of repeated clot formation in mouse ascitic fluid containing arbo-virus antibodies.

Appl Microbiol 24 288.

Chieffi PP and Müller EE 1976: Prevalence of <u>Toxocara canis</u> in dogs and the finding of ova of <u>Toxocara sp.</u> in the soil of public places in the urban areas of Londrina State of Parana Brazil.

Rev Saude Publica 10 367.

Chieffi PP and Mbller EE 1973: Monthly variation of soil contamination by eggs of <u>Toxocara sp.</u> (Nematoda, Ascaroidea), in the urban areas of Londrina State of Parana Brazil.

Revta Inst Adolfo Lutz 38 13.

Chio KS Reiss U Fletcher B and Tappel AL 1969: Peroxidation of subcellular organelles: Formation of lipofuscin-like fluorescent pigments.

Science 166 1535.

Chow HH and Pasternak J 1969: Protein changes during maturation of the free-living nematode, Panagrellus silusiae.

J exptl Zool 170 77.

Chu GST Palmmieri JR and Sullivan JT 1977: Beetle-eating: a Malaysia folk medical practice and its public health implications.

Trop geog Med 29 422.

Cleeland R 1963: The effects of different atmospheres and various supplements an the <u>in vitro</u> survival and growth of <u>Ascaris suum</u> larvae. J Parasitol 49 64.

Cleeland R and KM Laurence 1962: In vitro cultivation of Ascaris

lumbricoides var. suum larvae.

J Parasit 48 35.

Clegg JA 1974: Host antigens and the immune response in schistosomiasis. In: Parasites in the Immunized Host: Mechanisms of Survival.

CIBA Foundation Symposium No 25, North-Holland Amsterdam. p 161.

Clegg JA and Smit MA 1978: Prospects for the development of dead vaccines against helminths.

Adv Parasitol 16 165.

Clinard EH 1979: Identification and distribution of swine serum immunoglobulins that react with <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> antigens and may interfere with the enzyme-labelled antibody test for trichiniasis. Amer J vet Res 40 (11) 1158.

Collins RF and Ivey MN 1975 a,b: Specificity and sensitivity of skin test reactions to extracts of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u>. Amer J trop med Hyg 24 455, 460.

Congdon LL and Ames ER 1973: Thiabendazole for control of <u>bxocara canis</u> in the dog.

Amer J vet Res 34 417.

Cook SD and Dowling PC 1977: A possible association between house pets and multiple sclerosis.

Lancet I 780.

Coons AH Creech HJ and Jones RN 1941: Immunological properties of an antibody containing a fluorescent group.

Proc Soc exp Biol Med 47 200.

Cordeiro MN and Gazzinelli G 1979: <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u>: resolution and molecular weight estimates of tegument glycoproteins by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

Exp Parasitol 48 337.

Craig PS and Rickard MD 1980: Evaluation of crude antigen prepared from <u>Taenia saginata</u> for the serological diagnosis of <u>Taenia saginata</u> cysticercosis in cattle using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Z Parasitenkd 61 287.

Crandall RB and Zam SG 1968: Analysis of excretory-secretory products

of <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> larvae by disc-electrophoresis and immunodiffusion.

Amer J trop Med Hyg 17 747.

Crofton HD 1966: Nematodes. Hutchinson and Co Ltd London.

Cypess RH 1978: Visceral larvae migrans.

Cornell Vet 68 283.

Cypess RH and Glickman LT 1976: Visceral larva migrans: a significant

zoonosts?

Mod Vet Practice 57 462.

Cypess RH Karol MH Zidian JL Glickman LT and Gitlin D 1977:

Larva-specific antibodies in patients with visceral larva migrans. J Infect Dis 135 633.

Dada BJO Adegboye DS and Mohammed AN 1979: A survey of gastro-intestinal helminth parasites of stray dogs in Zaria, Nigeria.

Vet Rec 104 145.

Dafalla AA 1972: A study of the effect of diethylcarbamazine and thiabendazole on experimental <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection in mice. <u>J trop Med Hyg 73</u> 158.

Dafalla AA 1978: Homologous passive cutaneous anaphylactic reations

to Toxocara canis in mice.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 72 309.

Damian RT 1964: Molecular mimicry: antigen sharing by parasite and host and its consequences.

Amer Nat 98 129.

Danis P Parmantier N Maurus R and Otten J 1966: Syndrome de "Visceral larva migrans" et atteinte œulaire.

Bull Soc Belg Ophthalmol 144 899.

Day KP Howard RJ Prowse SJ Chapman CB and Mitchell GF 1979: Studies on chronic versus transient intestinal nematode infections in mice I. A comparison of responses to excretory/secretory (ES) products of <u>Nippostrongylus brasiliensis</u> and <u>Nematospiroides dubius</u> worms. <u>Parasite Immunology I</u> 217.

De Buen S Biagi F and Tamayo-Perez R 1966: First case of ocular toxocariasis in Mexico (Endophthalmitis caused by a nematode). <u>Pren Méd (Mex) 31</u> 168.

De Haan AB 1964: Toxocariasis

Net T Geneesk 108 398.

De Savigny D 1975: <u>In vitro</u> maintenance of <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae and a simple method for the production of <u>Toxocara</u> ES antigen for use in serodiagnostic tests for visceral larva migrans.

J Parasitol 61 781.

De Savigny D and Tizard IR 1975: Serodiagnosis of <u>Toxocara</u> visceral larva migrans.

Can J publ H1th 66 52.

De Savigny D and Tizard IR 1977: Toxocaral larva migrans: The use of larval secretory antigens in hemagglutination and soluble antigen fluorescent antibody tests.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 71 501.

De Savigny D and Voller A 1978: ELISA for toxocariasis using larval secretory antigens.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 73 106.

De Savigny D Voller A and Woodruff AW 1979: Toxocariasis: serological diagnosis by enzyme immunoassay.

J clin Path 32 284.

De Savigny D and Voller A 1980 a: The communication of quantitative ELISA results.

In: Immunoenzymatic Assay Techniques, Developments in Clinical Biochemistry Vol I, R. Malvano (ed) 116-132. Martinus Nijhoff The Hague.

De Savigny D and Voller A 1980 b: The communication of ELISA data from laboratory to clinician.

J Immunoassay 1 (in press).

De Savigny D and Yang J 1976:. The use of SAFA and IHA tests in the diagnosis of visceral larva migrans.

Proc 7th Semin Trop Med "Immunodiagnosis of Tropical Diseases" 3-5 June 1976 Yonsei University Seoul Korea. Yonsei Reports on Tropical Medicine 7 105.

Deans JA Dennis ED and Cohen S 1978: Antigenic analysis of sequential erythrocytic stages of <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u>.

Parasitology 77 333.

Deelder AM Ruitenberg EJ Kornelis D and Steerenberg PA 1977: <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u>: Comparison of the immuno-peroxidase techniques DASS and ELISA for human diagnosis. Exp Parasitol 41 133.

Dent JH 1960: Visceral larva migrans.

Sth med J 53 616.

Dent JH Nichols RL Beaver PC Carrera GM and Staggers RJ 1956: Visceral larva migrans with a case report.

Amer J Path 32 777.

Desowitz RS 1966: Serological techniques in parasitology - some comments by a devils advocate.

Med J Malaya 21 35.

Desowitz RS Barnwell JW Palumbo NE Una SR and Perri SF 1978: Rapid decrease of precipitating and reaginic antibodies in <u>Dirofilaria</u> <u>immitis</u>-infected dogs which develop severe adverse reactions following treatment with diethylcarbamazine.

Amer J trop Med Hyg 27 1148.

Despommier DD 1974: Immunization against trichinosis.

Proc III Int Cong Parasitol 3 1224.

Despommier DD 1977: Immunity to Trichinella spiralis.

Amer J trop Med Hyg 26 68.

Desponsmier DD Campbell WC and Blair LS 1977: The <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> analysis of immunity to <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> in mice and rats. Parasitol 74 109.

Despommier DD and Müller M 1976: The stick osome and its secretion granules in the mature muscle larva, <u>Trichinella spiralis</u>. J Parasitol 62 775.

Dickson W and Woodcock RC 1959: Visceral larva migrans.

Arch Dis Child 34 63.

Diconza JJ 1972: <u>T. canis</u>: Some characteristics of larval precipitating antibodies in rat serum.

Int J Parasitol 2 471.

Dineen JK 1963 a: Immunological aspects of parasitism.

Nature 197 268.

Dineen JK 1963 b: Antigenic relationship between host and parasite. Nature 197 471.

Divišova G Kadlecova V Lomičkova H and Brunova B 1967: Dětské uveitidy (Uveitis in children).

<u>Čs Oftalmal 23</u>86. Done JT and Gibson TE 1958: Experimental visceral larva migrans in the

pig. Res vet Sci 1 133.

Donnelly JJ Rockey JN and Soulsby EJL 1977: Intraocular IgE antibody induced in guinea pigs with <u>Ascaris suum</u> larvae.

Invest Ophthalmol 16 976.

Donnelly JJ Rockey JN Stromberg BE and Soulsby EJL 1979: Immunopathology of ocular <u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u> infection in the guinea pig: Ascarid antigens detected in aqueous humour by a reversed P-K test.

Invest Ophthalmol ARVO Supplement, April 1979 p 95.

Douglas JR and Baker NR 1959: The chronology of experimental intrauterine infections with Toxocara canis (Werner 1782) in the dog.

J Parasitol 45 43.

Douvres FW and Tromba FG 1970: Influence of pH, serum, and cell cultures on development of <u>Ascaris suum</u> to fourth stage in vitro.

J Parasitol 56 238.

Draper CC Voller A and Carpenter RG 1972: The epidemiologic

interpretation of serologic data in malaria.

Amer J trop Med Hyg 21 696.

Dubey JP 1968: Migration of Toxocara cati in mice.

Trop Geogr Med 20 172.

Dubey JP 1978: Patent Toxocara canis infection in ascarid-naive dogs. J Parasitol 64 1021. Dubey JP 1979: Effect of fenbendazole on <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae in tissues of infected dogs.

Amer J vet Res 40 698.

Dubin S Segal S and Martindale J 1975: Contamination of soil in two city parks with canine nematode ova including <u>Toxocara canis</u> : a preliminary study.

Amer J publ H1th 65 1242.

Duguid IM 1961 a: Chronic endophthalmitis due to Toxocara.

Brit J Ophthalmol 45 705.

Duguid IM 1961 b: Features of ocular infestation by Toxocara.

Brit J Ophthalmol 45 789.

Duguid IM 1963: Toxocara infestation of the eye.

PhD Thesis, University of London. Eaton RDP and Second DC 1979: Some intestinal parasites of arctic fox.

Banks Island, NWT.

Can J como Med 43 229.

Edwards AJ Burt JS and Ogilvie BM 1971: The effect of immunity upon

some enzymes of the parasitic nematode <u>Nippostrongylus brasiliensis</u>.

Parasitology 62 339.

Edwards FB 1971: Toxocara canis transmitted by the common house-fly

to the canine host. Vet Rec 86 581.

Ehrenford FA 1957: Canine ascariasis as a potential source of

visceral larva migrans.

Amer J trop Med 6 166.

Ehrhard T and Kernbaum S 1979: Toxocara canis et toxocarase humaine.

Bull Inst Pasteur 77 225.

Ekins RP 1960: Clin Chim Acta 5 453.

Ellman GL Courtney KD VaTentino A and Featherstone RM 1961: A new and rapid calorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity.

Biochem Pharmacol 7 88.

Embil JA and Ozere RL 1972: Studies on cell-mediated immune responses induced by <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> and <u>Toxocara</u> species. Microbiol Ann Rep.Dalhousie University, Halifax.p 79.

Enayat MS and Pezeshki M 1977: The comparison of counterimmunoelectrophoresis with indirect haemagglutination test for detection of antibodies in experimentally infected guinea pigs with <u>Toxocara canis</u>. J Helminthol 51 143.

Engel H Spiekermann DA Tismer R Mobius W 1971: Akute Meningomyelitis durch Toxocara larven.

Dtsch Med Wschr 96 1498.

English PB and Sprent JFA 1965: The large roundworm of dogs and cats: Effectiveness of piperazine salts against immature <u>Toxocara canis</u> in prenataly infected puppies.

Aus vet J 41 50.

Engvall E 1977: Quantitative enzyme immunoassay (ELISA) in microbiology. Mad Biol 55 193.

Engvall E and Carlsson HE 1976: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, ELISA.

NSERM Symposium 2. North Holland Publishing Co. Amsterdam.

Engvall E and Ljungstrom I 1975: Detection of human antibodies to

Trichinella spiralis by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Acta Path Microbiol Scand Sect C 83 231.

Engvall E and Perlmann P 1972: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, ELISA. III Quantitation of specific antibodies.

J Immunol 109 129.

Epstein J Himmelhoch S and Gershon P 1972: Studies on ageing in nematodes III Electronmicroscopical studies on age-associated cellular damage. Machnisms of Ageing and Development 1 245.

Evelback LR and Taylor WF 1969: Statistical methods of estimating percentiles.

Annals New York Acad Sci 161 538.

Fairbairn D 1961: The <u>in vitro</u> hatching of <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> eggs. Canad J Zool 39 153.

Farag HF and Barakat RMR 1978: The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in the diagnosis of human Bilharziaiasis.

Tropenmed Parasitol 29 12.

Farag HF Barakat RMR Awadalla HN El-Gohany T 1978: Diagnosis of Bilharziasis (<u>S. haematobium</u> and <u>S. mansoni</u>) by the ELISA using homologous antigen.

Tropenmed Parasitol 29 413.

Faubert GM and Tanner CE 1975: Leucoagglutination and cytotoxicity of the serum of infected mice and of extracts of <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> larvae and the capacity of infected mouse sera to prolong skin allografts.

Immunology 28 1041.

Fehrnstrom H and Moberg U 1977: SDS and conventional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. <u>LKB Application Note 306</u>, LKB Produkter Bromma, Sweden.

Felberg NT McFall R and Shields JA 1977: Aqueous humor enzyme patterns in retinoblastoma.

Invest Ophthalmol 16 1039.

Felgner 1977: Serologic diagnosis of extraintestinal amoebiasis: A comparison of stick-ELISA and other immunological tests. Tropenmed Parasitol 28 491. Feigner P 1978 a: Antibody activity in stick-ELISA as compared to other quantitative immunological tests in sera of Echinococcal cases. <u>Tropenmed Parasitol 29 417.</u>

Feigner P 1978 b: Stepless antibody determination with the stick-ELISA technique. Results expressed as multiple of normal activity (MONA). <u>Zbl Bakt Hvg I Abt Orig A 242</u> 100.

Fellers FX 1953: Agglutination studies in visceral larva migrans. Amer J Dis Child 86 767.

Ferguson EC III and Olson LJ 1967: <u>Toxocara</u> ocular nematodiasis. In: <u>International Ophthalmology Clinics</u>. DM Gordon (ed) Vol <u>7</u> Little Brown, Boston p. 583.

Fernando ST 1968 a: Heterophile antibodies in <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection in rabbits. <u>J comp Path 78</u> 323.

Fernando ST 1968 b: Precipitins in <u>Toxocara canis</u> infections in rabbits. <u>Cevionvet J 16</u> 11.

Fernando ST 1968 c: Immunological response of rabbits to <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection. <u>Parasitology 58</u> 91.

Fernando ST and Soulsby EJL 1974: Immunoglobulin class of antibodies in monkeys infected with <u>Toxocara canis</u>. J comp Path 84 569.
Fernando ST Vosudevan 8 Hamza MHM Pandita-Gunawaredene IKT and Samarashinghe HT 1970: Precipitin reactions in monkeys (<u>Macaca</u> <u>sinica</u>) experimentallly infected with <u>Toxocara canis</u> and in children with visceral larva migrans syndrome. J comp Path 80 407.
Ferreira AW Caldini ALM Hoshine-Shumuzu S and Cargo ME 1979: Immuno-enzymatic assay for the detection of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> antigens in serum of mice harbouring bisexual or unisexual light

worm infections. J Helminthol 53 77.

Field PR Shanker S and Murphy AM 1980: The use of protein A-Sepharose affinity chromatography for separation and detection of specific IgM atibody in acquired rubella infection: A comparison with absorption by staphylococci containing protein A and density gradient ultracentrifugation. J Immunol Methods 32 59.

Fife EH Jr 1971: Advances in methodology for Immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases. <u>Exp Parasitol 30</u> 132.

Fife EH Jr 1972: Current state of serological tests used to detect blood parasite infections. <u>Exp Parasitol 31</u> 136.

Fordham VRC Roberts TM Owen R and Beaver PC 1978: Infection of an infant with a adult <u>Toxocara cati</u> (Nematoda). <u>J Pediatrics 93</u> 247. Fox MM 1976: Social benefis of pet ownership.

Can Symp Pets and Society, Toronto, June 23.

Frenkel JK Dubey JP and Miller NL 1969: <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>: Faecal forms separated from eggs of the nematode <u>Toxocara cati</u>. <u>Science 164 432</u>.

Friedman S and Herveda AR 1960: Severe myocarditis with recovery in a child with visceral larva migrans. J Pediatr 56 91.
Fülleborn F 1921: Uber die Wanderung von Askaris und anderen Nematodenlarven im Körper und intrauterine Askrisinfection.

Arch Schiffs Tropenhyg 25 146.

FUlleborn F 1929: On the larval migration of parasitic nematodes in the body of the host and its biological significance.

J Helminthol 7 15.

Gaasterland DE Pederson JE MacLellan HM and Roddy VN 1979: Rhesus monkey aqueous homour composition and a primate ocular perfusate. <u>Invest Ophthalmol 18</u> 1139.

Glavin 1964: Experimental <u>Toxocara canis</u> infections in chickens and pigeons. <u>J Parasitol</u> 50 124.

Garrow DH and Kane GJ 1973: Toxocariasis. Arch Dis Child 48 81.

Garvey JS Cremer NE and Sussdorf DH 1978: <u>Methods in Immunology 3 rd Ed</u>, All Benjamin Inc. Reading Massachussetts. p. 71.

Gell PGH Coombs RRA and Lachmann PJ 1975: <u>Clinical Aspects of Immunology</u>. Blackwell Scientific Publications London.

Gershon H and Gershon D 1970: Detection of inactive enzyme molecules in ageing organisms. <u>Nature</u> (Lon) <u>227</u> 1214.

Ghadirian E Viens P Strykowski H and Dubreuil F 1976: Epidemiology of toxocariasis in the Montréal area. Prevalence of <u>Toxocara</u> and other helminth ova in dogs and soil. <u>Can J publ Hith 67</u> 495. Giboda M Kratochvie I 1968: Incidence of helminths in childrens

collectives in the east slovakian region. <u>Česk Hyg</u> 13 439. Girdwood RWA Smith HV Bruce RG and Quinn R 1978: Human <u>Toxocara</u> infection in west of Scotland. Lancet 1 1318.

Glickman LT and Cypess RH 1977: <u>Toxocara</u> infection in animal hospital. employees. Amer J publ Hith <u>67</u> 1193.

Glickman LT Cypess RH Crumrine PK and Gitlin DA 1979 : <u>Toxocara</u> infection and epilepsy in children. <u>J Pediatrics 94</u> 75. Glickman LT Schantz PM and Cypess RH 1979 : Epidemiological characteristics and clinical findings in patients with serologically

proven toxocariasis. <u>Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg</u> 73 254. Glickman LT Schantz PM Dombroske R and Cypess RH 1978: Evaluation of

serodiagnostic tests for visceral larva migrans. <u>Amer J trop Med</u> Hyg 27 492.

Goose J 1977: Studies on immunity to Fasciola hepatica in the rat.

PhD Thesis, School of Biological Sciences, Brunel University, Uxbridge. Grab B and Pull JH 1974: Statistical considerations in serological surveys of populations with particular reference to malaria.

J trop Med Hyg 77 222.

Graham H 1976: Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Laboratory Services Branch (personal communication).

Greer CH 1963: Toxocara infestation of the eye. Trans Ophthalmol Soc Austral 23 90.

Greenway JA and McCraw BM 1970: <u>Ascaris suum</u> infection in calves. I. Clinical signs. Can J comp Med 34 227.

Greich H and Horchver F 1977: Comparative studies on the serodiagnosis of bovine fascioliasis using the indirect immunofluorescence and immunoperoxidase test (ELISA).

Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr 90 332. Greve JH 1971: Age resistance to <u>Toxocara canis</u> in ascarid free dogs.

Amer J vet Res 32 1185.

Griesemer RA Gibson JP and Elsasser DS 1963: Congenital ascariasis in gnotobiatic dogs. <u>J Amer vet Med Assoc</u> 143 962.

Grove DI 1978: Immunity in filariasis: a review.

Papua New Guinea Med J 21 32.

Guerrero G Green C Silverman PH and Mercadonte MC 1974: Simplification of the medium used in the cultivation of <u>Ascaris suum</u> larvae.

Proc III Intl Cong Parasitol p 462.

Guerrero G and Silverman PH 1972: Comparison of two culture media in a gas environment for the production of metabolic antigens of

Ascaris suum larvae. Rev Invest Pecuarias 1 215.

Guesdon JL David B and Lapeyre J 1978: Magnetic enzyme immunoassay of anti-grass pollen specific IgE in human sera. <u>Clin exp Immunol</u> <u>33</u> 430. Gutow RF Plant JF Lewis RA and Martonyi CL 1975: Ocular toxocariasis.

Arch Ophthalmol 93 164.

Haimovitch J Hurwitz E Novik N and Sela M 1970: Use of proteinbacteriophage conjugates for detection and quantification of proteins. <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> 207 125. Hall JM and O'Connor GR 1970: Correlation between ocular inflammation and antibody production.I. Serum antibody response following intravitreal immunization with protein antigens.

J Immunol 104 432.

Hamblet FE 1978: Comments on <u>Toxocara</u> infection. <u>Amer J publ H1th 68</u> 602.
Hansen EL and Hansen JE 1978: <u>In vitro</u> cultivation of nematodes parasitic in animals and plants. In: EAR Taylor and JR Baker (eds) <u>Methods of Cultivating Parasites In Vitro</u>. Academic Press, London, p 227.

Hague A Chassary D Ogilvie BM and Capron A 1978: <u>Dipetalonema viteae</u> infection in hampsters: Enchancement and suppression of microfilaraemia. <u>Parasitology 76</u> 77.

Hague A Lefebvre MM and Ogilvie BM and Carron A 1978: Dipetalonema viteae in hampsters: Effect of antiserum or immunization with parasite extracts on production of microfilariae. Parasitology 76 61.

Harboe N and Ingild A 1973: Immunization, isolation of immunoglobulins and estimation of antibody titre. <u>Scan J Immunol 2</u> (suppl 1) 161.

Harris W 1961: Pseudo-glioma due to larval choroido-retinal granulomatosis. <u>J Ophthalmol</u> <u>45</u> 144.

Harris EK 1975: Some theory of reference values. 1. Stratified (Categorized) normal ranges and a method for following an individual's clinical laboratory values. Clin Chem 21 1457.

Harris EK and De Mets DL 1972: Estimation of normal ranges and cumulative proportions by transforming observed distributions to: Gaussian form. Clin Chem 18 605.

Harrison J and Ridley DS 1975: Heterologous reactions involving parasites, blood group antibodies and tissue components. <u>Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 69</u> 312. Hart JCD and Raistrick ER 1977: Adult toxocariasis. Uniocular retinal lesions in the 20- to 50- year age groups. <u>Trans Ophthalmol Soc</u> 97 164.
Haskins WT and Weinstein PP 1956: The amine constituents from the excretory products of <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u> and <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> larvae.

J Parasitol 43 28.

Headlee WH 1936: The epidemiology of human ascariasis in the

metropolitan area of New Orleans, Louisiana. Amer J Hyg 24 479.

Healy GR and Gleason NN 1972: Arch Invest Med 3 449.

Heiner DC and Kevy SV 1956: Visceral larva migrans. <u>New Eng J Med 254</u> 629. Henson RN 1971: Higration and distribution of the dog ascarid

Toxocara canis (Werner 1782) in abnormal hosts with special reference to age and sex resistance and paratenesis.

Dis Abs Int 31B 6344.

Heiner DC and Rose B 1970: Elevated levels of gamma E (IgE) in conditions other than classical allergy.

J allergy Clin Immunol 45 30.

Herrera L 1958: The precision of percentiles in establishing normal limits in medicine. J lab clin Med 52 34.

Herrmann JE and Collins MF 1976: Quantitations of immunoglobulin adsorption to plastics. J immunol Mathod 10 363.

Hillyer GV 1978: Immunodiagnosis of fascioliasis in experimental animals andman. <u>Proc IV Intnatl Cong Parasitol</u>, Short Communications, Section C p 89.

Hillyer GV and Gomez de Rios I 1979: The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the immunodiagnosis of schistosomiasis. <u>Amer J trop Med Hyg 28</u> 237.

Hillyer GV and Kagan IG 1979: New advances in the immunodiagnosis of parasitic infections. I the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Bol Assoc Med P Rico 71 366.

Hillyer GV Ruiz-Tiben E Knight WB Gomez de Rios I and Pelley RP 1979: Immunodiagnosis of infection with <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u>. Comparison of ELISA radioimmunoassay and precipitation tests performed with antigens from eggs. <u>Amer J trop Med Hyg 28</u> 661.

Hillyer GV and de Weil S 1980: Use of immunologic techniques to detect chemotherapeutic success in infections of <u>Fasciola hepatica</u>. II The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in infected rats and rabbits. <u>J Parasitol</u> (inpress).

Hinck LW and Ivey MH 1976: Proteinase activity in <u>Ascaris suum</u> eggs hatching fluid, and excretions-secretions. <u>J Parasitol 62</u> 771.

Hinman EH and Baker DD 1936: Helminthologial survey of 1,315 dogs from New Orleans with special reference to age resistance.

J trop Med 39 101.

Hirschfeld J 1980: The doctrine of monospecific antibodies: The history of an immunological myth. <u>Afr J clin exp Immunol 1</u> 23. Hogan MJ and Feeney L 1963: The ultrastructure of retinal blood vessels.

J ultrastructure Res 9 10.

Hogan MJ Kimura JJ O'Connor GR 1965: Peripheral ratinitis and chronic cyclitis in children. <u>Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK 85</u> 39.

Hogan MJ Kimura SJ and Spencer WH 1965: Visceral larva migrans and peripheral retinitis. J Amer med Assoc 194 1345.

Hogarth-Scott RS 1966: Visceral larva migrans - an immunofluorescent examination of rabbit and human sera for antibodies to the ES antigen of the second stage larvae of <u>Toxocara canis</u>, <u>Toxocara cati</u> and <u>Toxascaris leonina</u>. <u>Immunology 10</u> 217.

Hogarth-Scott RS 1967: The immunology of nematode antigens, including the serodiagnosis of human visceral larva migrans. PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge. Hogarth-Scott RS 1967: Molecular weight range of nematode allergens. Immunology 13 535.

Hogarth-Scott RS 1967: Rabbit reagin like antibodies.

Int Allergy appl Immunol 32 201.

Hogarth-Scott RS 1968: Naturally occurring antibodies to the cuticle of nematodes. <u>Parasitology</u> 58 221.

Hogarth-Scott RS and Feery BJ 1976: The specificity of nematode allergens in the diagnosis of human visceral larva migrans

Aust J exp Biol Med 54 317.

Hogarth-Scott RS Johansson SGO and Bennich H 1969: Antibodies to <u>Toxocara</u> in the sera of visceral larva migrans patients: The significance of raised levels of IgE. <u>Clin exp Immunol 5</u> 619.

Hosoi T 1964: Studies on <u>Toxocara canis</u>. I. ES antigen from adult worms. <u>Jap J Parasitol 18</u> 149.

Hosoi T 1969: Studies on <u>Toxocara canis</u>. II Isolation of ES antigen from adult worm SOM antigen. <u>Jap J Parasitol</u> <u>18</u> 624.

Howard GM and Ellsworth RM 1960: Differential diagnosis of retinoblastoma. A statistical survey of 500 children. I Relative frequency of the lesions which simulate retinoblastoma. <u>Amer J Ophthalmol 60</u> 610. Hudomel J 1965: Bilateral <u>Toxocara</u> granuloma (Hungarian) <u>Szemészet</u>

102 35.

Hudson L and Hay FC 1976: <u>Practical Immunology</u>. Blackwell Scientific Publications, London.

Huismans H 1977 a: Solitary granuloma in ocular <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection. <u>Ophthalmologica</u> <u>174</u> 10.

Huismans H 1977 b: Acute intraocular <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection: Similar clinical picture to juxtapapillar chorioretrinitis. <u>Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde</u> 170 39. Huldt G Lagerquist B Phillips T and Draper CC 1975: Detection of antibodies in schistosomiasis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). <u>Ann trop Med Para 69</u> 483.

Huntley CC Lyerly AD and Patterson MV 1969: Isohemagglutinins in parasitic infections. <u>J Amer med</u> Assoc 208 1145.

Huntley CC Costas MC and Lyerly A 1965: Visceral larva migrans syndrome: Clinical Characteristics and immunologic studies in 51 patients. <u>Pediatrics 36</u> 523.

Huntley CC Costas MC Williams RC Lyerly AD and Watson RG 1966: Anti-gammaglobulin factors in visceral larva migrans. J Amer med Assoc 197 124.

Huntley CC and Mooreland A 1963: Gel diffusion studies with <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> extracts. <u>Am J trop Med Hyg 12</u> 204.

Hutchison WM 1965: Experimental transmission of <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>. Nature <u>206</u> 961.

Ignashenkova GV Yampolskaya OV Ermolin GA and Lysenko AY 1978: Enzyme-labelled antibody (ELA) test in immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases. Communication 2: The test system for diagnosis of Toxocara infection. Medskaya Parazit <u>47</u> 47.

Irvine MC and Irvine AR 1959: Nematode ophthalmitis: <u>Toxocara canis</u>: Report of one case. Amer J Ophthalmol <u>47</u> 185.

Ivey MH 1965: Immediate hypersensitivity and serological responses in guinea pigs infected with <u>Toxocara canis</u> or <u>Trichinella spiralis</u>. Amer J trop Med Hyg <u>14</u> 1044.

Ivey MH 1967: Quantitative aspects of <u>Toxocara</u> antigen-antibody reactions with a modified passive cutaneous anaphylaxis procedure. Amer J trop Med Hyg <u>16</u> 315.

Ivey MH and Slanga R 1965: An evaluation of passive cutaneous anaphylaxis reations with <u>Trichinella</u> and <u>Toxocara</u> antibody-antigen systems. Amer J trop Med Hyg <u>14</u> 1052.

Jackson GL 1959: J infect Dis 105 97.

Jackson GL 1960: J infect Dis 106 20.

Jacobs DE Pegg EJ and Stevenson P 1976: Observations on the epidemiology of helminth infection in greyhound and police dog kennels. Parasitology 73 1.

Jacobs DE and Prole JHB 1976: Helminth infections of British dogs: Prevalence in racing greyhounds. Vet Parasitol <u>1</u> 377.

Jacobs DE Woodruff AW Shah AI and Prole JHB 1977: Toxocara infections and kennel workers. Brit med J 1 51.

Jalayer T 1969: Pathology, haematology and serology of visceral larva migrans in rabbits. <u>Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 63</u> 17.

Jenkins SD and Wakelin D 1977: The source and nature of some

functional antigens of <u>Trichuris muris</u>. <u>Parasitology</u> 74 153. Jerne NK 1960: Immunological speculations. <u>Ann Rev Microbiol 14</u> 341. Jeska EL 1967 a: Antigenic analysis of a metazoan parasite Toxocara

canis. I. Extraction and assay of antigens. Exp Parasitol 20 38. Jeska EL 1967 b: Antigenic analysis of a metazoan parasite <u>Toxocara</u> <u>canis</u>: II Purification and analysis of two antigenic components. J Immunol 98 1290.

Jeska EL 1969: Purification and immunochemical analysis of a genusspecific cuticular antigen of <u>Toxocara canis</u>. J <u>Parasitol</u> 55 465.

Jones DG and Kay AG 1976: The effect of anti-eosinophil serum on skin histamine replenishment following passive cutaneous anaphylaxis in the guinea pig. Immunology 31 333.

Jones VE and Ogilvie BM 1972: Protective immunity to <u>Nippostrongylus</u> <u>brasiliensis</u> in the rat. III Modulation of worm acetylcholinesterase by antibodies. Immunology 22 119.

Jorundarson H 1979: Minister of Agriculture, Reykjvik, Iceland. Personal Communication. Jung RC and Guillermo P 1960: Use of a haemagglutination test in visceral larva migrans. Amer J trop Mad Hyg 9 185.

Jung RC and Pacheco G 1958: Relationship of clinical features to immunologic reactions in visceral larva migrans.

Amer J trop Med Hyg 7 256.

Kagan IG 1967: Characterization of parasite antigens. In: <u>Immunological</u> <u>Aspects of Parasitic Infections</u>. Proc. of the Special Session of the 6th Meeting of the PAHO Advisory Committee on Medical Research 13 June . PAHO WHO Scientific Publication No 150.p 25.

Kagan IG 1968: Serologic Diagnosis of visceral larva migrans.

Clin Ped 7 508.

Kagan IG 1973: Parasitic Diseases. In: <u>Serological Epidemiology</u>. J Paul and C White (eds) p 155. Academic Press New York.

Kagan IG 1974 a: Current status of serologic testing for parasitic diseases. Hosp Practice (Sept 1974) 157.

Kagan IG 1974 b: Advances in the immunodiagnosis of parasite infections. Z Parasit 45 193.

Kagan IG 1979: Diagnostic, epidemiologic and experimental parasitology: immunologic aspects. Am J trop Med Hyg 28 429.

Kagan IG Walls KW and Healy G 1967: The parasitic diseases of childhood: Emphasis on diagnostic methods. <u>Clin Pediat 6</u> 641.

Kagan IG and Norman LG 1979: Immune response to infection: Parasitic.

CRC Handbook Series in Clinical Laboratory Science Section F: Immunology 1.

Kagan IG Norman L and Allain DS 1959: Studies on the serology of visceral larva migrans. J. Immunol 83 297.

Kane GJ 1973: Assessment of parasitic infestations by specific immunoglobulin assay. Proc roy Soc Med <u>66</u> 775.

Kane GJ Matossian R and Batty I 1971: Fluorochrome labelled antiglobulin fractions used with stabilized antigen preparation for the assessment of parasitic diseases. Ann New York Acad Sci 177 13.

Karel I and Peleška M 1972: Fluoroangiographic picture of granulomatous

chorioretinitis caused probably by Toxocara. <u>Cs Oftal</u> <u>28</u> 276. Karel I Peleška M Uhlikova M and Hübner J 1977: Larva migrans lentis. Ophthalmologica<u>174</u> 14.

Karpinski F Everts-Suarez E and Sawitz W 1956: Larval granulomatosis. Amer J Dis Child <u>92</u> 34.

Karsh J Halbert SP Klima E and Steinberg AD 1980: Quantitative determination of rheumatoid factor by an enzyme labelled immunoassay. J immunol Method <u>32</u> 115.

Kayes SG 1978: An investigation of the role of the thymus derived lymphocyte in the pathogenesis of murine toxocariasis.

Diss Abs Int 388 3125.

Kayes SG and Oakes JA 1976: Effect of inoculum size and length of infection on the distribution of <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae in the mouse. Amer J trop Med Hyg <u>25</u> 537.

Kayes SG Adams Oaks J 1978: Development of the granulomatous response in murine toxocariasis. Initial events. <u>Amer J Pathol 93</u> 277.

Kayes SG and Oaks JA 1980: <u>Toxocara canis</u>: T lymphocyte function in murine viscaral larva migrans and eosinophilia onset.

Exp Parasitol 49 47.

Kearns TP 1979: Ophthalmology and the carotid artery.

Amer J Ophthalmol 88 714.

Kemp PL 1980: Nonlinear estimation of radioimmunoassay data.(personal comm).

Kelsoe GH and Weller TH 1978: Immunodiagnosis of infection with <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u>: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibody to circulating antigen. <u>Proc Natn Acad Sci USA 75 5715</u>. Kent NH 1977: The possibility and limits of serology applied to parasitic diseases. <u>Tropenmed Parasitol 28</u> 276.

Keren DF 1979: Infect Immunol 24 441.

Kernbaum S Tazi L Champagne D 1976: Sexe et susceptibilité aux maladies infectieuse. <u>Bull Inst Pasteur</u> <u>74</u> 359. Kessel JF 1958: Uveitis caused by helminths and protozoa.

Arch Ophthalmol 59 854.

Khalil HM Ali OF Shabrawy EL A Hifny N Beupars MA 1976: Studies on the infection with <u>Toxocara canis</u>, the dog ascarid, among Egyptians in Dakahleia governorate. J. Egyptian publ Hith Assoc <u>51</u> 229.

Khalil HM Arafu MS Addel, Ael TM Khaled MC El Reidi AMS Hifury N Beiparas M 1979: Ascariasis and toxocariasis as revealed by precipitin absorption test in Egypt. <u>Proc 4th Int Cong Parasitol</u> Sect E.p. 99.

Khalil HM Khaffab AK El-Fattah SMA Khalid ML Awaad S Rifaat MA 1971: Interrelationship between poliomyelitis and <u>Toxocara</u>

infection. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 65 599.

Khalil HM Rifaat MA Khaled MLM Khattab AK Abd-El Fattah S El Sokkary SA Mournir A 1976 a: <u>Toxocara canis</u> as a cause of blindness in Egyptian children. J Egyptian public Hith Assoc 51 90.

Khalil HM Rifaat MA Abdel Ghaffar FM El Sherif FAH 1976 b: Toxocariasis in the human and dogs of Siwa Oasis, Qena and Aswan

Governorates ARE. J Egyptian publ Hith Assoc 51 289.

Kisiel M Himmelhoch S Zuckerman BM 1973: Effects of aminopterin on the fine structure of <u>Caenorhabditis</u> briggsae.

Proc 2nd International Congress Plant Pathol (Abstract No 0400).

to be among the

A new Cold Section

- AND THE -----

A cost of details

The state of the local

and the second

and its party

A COLUMN A

and the second second

1000

CARDINE CR

SAME IN A

and the second second

317

Kouba K Valnickova J Lasovska J 1973: Eye toxocariasis.

Praktický Lékařstvi 53 475.

Krakowa S Koestner A 1978: Canine distemper virus and multiple sclerosis.

Lancet T 1127.

Krupp Iris M 1974: Hemagglutination test for the detection of antibodies specific for <u>Ascaris</u> and <u>Toxocara</u> antigens in patients with suspected visceral larva migrans. <u>Amer J trop Med Hyg 23</u> 378.

Kuntz RE 1973: Models for investigation in parasitology.

In: <u>Non-human Primates and Medical Research</u>, Academic Press New York.p 191.

Lamina J 1970: Immunobiological demonstration of a larva migrans visceralis infection.

Z Parasitank Infact Hyg Abt I Orig 215 259.

Lamina J 1968: Diagnostische Möglichkeiten beiähner Larva migrans visceralis Infektion. Tierexperimentelle Ergebinisse.

Z Parasitank 31 7.

Lamina J Immunobiological demonstration of a larva migrans visceralis infection. II the microprecipitation test on the living larva. Z Parasitenk Infekt Hyg Abt I Orig 215 386.(1970).

Lamina J 1974: Immunodiagnosis of VLM in man.in Parasitic zoonoses:

<u>Clinical and experimental studies</u>. EJL Soulsby (ed) Academic Press, London p 305.

Langham ME and Kramer TR 1980: The in vitro effect of diethylcarbamazine on the motility and survival of <u>Onchocerca volvulus</u> microfilariae.

Tropenmed Parasitol 31 59.

Lautenslager JP 1970: Toxocara visceral larva migrans in rabbits.

PhD Thesis - University of Guelph, Canada.

Lautenslager JP 1973: personal communication

Lee HF 1960: Effects of superinfection on the behaviour of <u>Toxocara</u> canis larvae in mice. <u>J Parasitol 46</u> 583.

Lee KT Min HK Soh CT 1974: Transplacental transmission of <u>Toxocara</u> larvae in mouse. Proc 3rd Int Cong Parasitol 1 480.

Lee KT Min HK Chung PR Chang JK 1976: Studies on the possible association of human visceral larva migrans with the habit of eating raw liver of domestic animals.

Korean J Parasitol 14 51.

Lehtonen OP Viljanen MK 1980: Antigen density as a parameter in ELISA: Effect on avidity depency. J clin Path (in press).

Leijkina ES Shikhobalova NP MozgovoiAA1962: The problem of antigenic properties of ascarids. <u>Referat Zhur Biol 18 K 67</u>.

Leinikki P Pässilä S 1976: Solid phase antibody assay by means of enzyme conjugated to anti-immunoglobulin.

J clin Path 29 1116.

Leinikki P Pässilä S 1977: Quantitative, semiautomated, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for viral antibodies.

J infect Dis 136 (suppl.) S 294.

Leinikki P Shekarchi I Dorsett P Sever JL 1979: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay determination of specific rubella antibody levels in micrograms of immunoglobulin G per millitre in clinical samples. J clin Microbiol 8 419.

Leisegang TT 1977: Atypical ocular toxocariasis. J pediat Ophthalmol 14 349. Leland SE 1970: In vitro cultivation of nematode parasites important

to Veterinary medicine. <u>Adv vet Sci 14</u> 29. Leute R Ullman EF Goldstein A and Herzenberg L 1972: Spinimmunoassay technique for determination of morphine.

Nature 236 93.

Levine HS and Silverman PH 1968: Cultivation of Ascaris suum larvae in supplemented and unsupplemented chemically defined media.

J Parasitol 55 17.

Lewert RM and Lee CL 1954: Studies on the passage of helminth larvae through host tissues: II. Enzymatic activity of larvae in vitro and in vivo. J infect Dis 95 35.

Lewis PL Yadav VG and Kern JA 1962: Visceral larva migrans (larval granulomatosis. Clin Pediat 1 19.

Linicome DR 1971: The goodness of parasitism: a new hypothesis. In: TC Cheng (ed. Aspects of the biology of Symiosis. Butterworths, University Park Press, Baltimore, p 139.

Ljungstrom E Engvall E and Ruitenberg EV 1974: ELISA, a new technique for serodiagnosis of trichinosis. Parasitol 69 XXIV.

Lobel HO and Kagan IG 1978: Servepidemiology of parasitic diseases

Ann Rev Microbiol 32 329.

Lorentz IT 1962 Visceral larva migrans: report of a case.

J trop Med Hyg 65 112.

Lowry OH Rosebrough NJ Farr AL and Randall RJ 1951: Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J biol Chem 193 295.

Lucas AO 1976: Int J Epidemiol 5 39.

Lysek H 1959: Contribution on the role of soil and vegetables in the epidemiology of geohelminthoses. Cesk epidem mikro immunol 8 137.

Lysek H 1978: A scanning electron microscopal study of the effect of ovicidal fungus on eggs of Ascaris lumbricoides.

Parasitol 77 139.

Lysenko AY Ermolin GA Tsvetkow VS 1978: Enzyme-labelled antibody (ELA) test in immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases. Communication I: Principles, reagents, procedure and parameters for the diagnostic test system. Med Parazitol Parazit Bolezn 47 39.

Macario JL and De Macario EC 1975: Antigen binding properties of antibody molecules: Time - course dynamics and biological significance. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 71 123.

MacDougall and Lorna G 1969: Thiabendazole therapy in visceral larva migrans. Amer J trop Med Hyg 18 902.

Mackenzie CD Preston PM Ogilvie BM 1978: Immunological properties of the surface of parasitic nematodes. <u>Nature</u> (UK) 276 826. Maddison SE Kagan IG Chandler FW Gold D Hillyer GV Slemenda SB

and Tsang 1979: Immunization against Schistosoma mansoni in the rhesus monkey. Infection and Immunity 25 237.

Madwar MA and Voller A 1977: Serological investigations on patients with schistosomiasis, with special reference to circulating

antigen. <u>Tropenmed Parasitol 28</u>57. Mainland D 1969: Normal values in Medicine. <u>Ann New York Acad</u>

Sci 161 527.

Mainland D 1971: Remarks on clinical "Norms". Clin them 17 267.

Maiolini R and Massayeff R 1975: A sandwich method of enzymoimmunoassay.

1. Application to rat and human alpha - feto protein.

J immunol Methods 8 233.

Maizels R de Savigny D and Ogilvie BM 1980: Studies on <u>Toxocara canis</u> larval cuticular antigens using ¹²⁵I surface labelling: Comparisons with "<u>in vitro</u> released" antigen. In prep (1980).

Makley TA Long J and Suie T 1969: Uveitis in children.

J pediat Ophthalmol 6 136.

Mann weiler E Stumpf J Felgner P and Lederer I 1978: Serumantikörper -Befunde bei der Trichinose. Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift 103 1562.

March RW and Cooney D 1966: Technique for volume production of mouse ascites fluid containing <u>Bordetella pertussis</u> antibody. Appl Microbiol <u>14</u> 693.
Marcouillis G Salonen EM and Grasbeck R 1978: Sequential affinity chromatography for the purification of antigens extracted from Onchocarca volvulus adult worms. Tropenmed Parasit 29 39.

Markwell MAK and Fox CR 1979: Surface - specific indination of membrane proteins of viruses and eucaryotic cells using 1,3,4,6,-tetrachloro-

3 cs., 6 cs - diphenylglycouril. Biochemistry 17 4807. Marschner I Erhardt F and Scriba PC 1974: Calculation of the radioimmunoassay standard curve by "spline function"

In: Radioimmunossay and Related Procedures in Medicine. Vol 1 Intl Atomic Energy Agency, Vienne plll.

Martin SM 1977: The evaluation of tests. Can J comp Med vet Sci 41 19. Masaba S and Herbert IV 1978: Anti-enzyme antibodies in rabbits and pigs against <u>Hyostrongylus rubidus</u> (Hassall and Stiles 1982).

Vet Parasitol 4 107.

Mattossian RM McLaren MC Draper CC Bradstreet CHP Dihero MW Kane GJ Mackinlay LM 1979: The serodiagnosis of human hydatid disease:

2. Additional studies on selected sera using indirect haemagglutination (IHA) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and defined antigen substrate spheres(DASS). J Helminthol 53 287.

Maumenee AD 1966: In: Retinal Diseases Symposium on Differential Diagnostic Problems of Posterior Uveitis. Kimura SJ and Cay Gill (ed) Lea and Febiger Philadelphya p 353.

Mc Laren M Draper CC Roberts JM Minter-Goedbloed E Ligthard GS Teesdale CH amin MA Omer AHS Bartlett A and Voller A 1978: Studies on the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test for Schistosoma mansoni infections. Annals trop Med Para 72 243.

Mc Laren M Long EG Goodgame RN and L111eywhite JE 1979: Application of the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA for the serodiagnosis of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> infections in St. Lucia. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 73 636.

Mercer RD Lund HZ Bloomfield RR and Caldwell FF 1950: Larval ascariasis as a cause of chronic eosinophilia with visceral manifestation. Amer J Dis Child 80 46.

Merdivenci A and Sezen Y 1965: The resistance of tortoises.

Testude graeca against Toxocara canis infection.

Z Parasitenk 25 387.

Mikhael NZ Montpetit JA Orizaga M Rowsell HC Richard MT 1974: <u>Toxocara canis</u> infestation with encephalitis. <u>Can J neurol Sci 1</u> 114. Miles LEM and Hales CN 1968: Labelled antibodies and immunological

systems. Nature 219 186.

Mills CK and Kent NH 1965: Excretions and secretions of Trichinella

<u>spiralis</u> and their role in immunity. <u>Experimental Parasitology 16</u> 300. Mitchell JR 1963: A serological study of infection with <u>Toxocara canis</u>

Dr PH Thesis, University of Michigen.

Mitchell JR 1964: Detection of <u>Toxocara canis</u> antibody with the fluorescent antibody technique. <u>Proc Soc exptl Biol Med 117</u> 267.

Mitchell GF 1979: A discussion on parasite antigens and vaccines.

Papua New Guines Med J 21 29.

Mok CH 1968: Visceral larva migrans - a discussion based on a review of the literature. <u>Clin Pediat 7</u> 565.

Molloy WF Embil JA 1978: Prevalence of <u>Toxocara spp</u> and other parasites in dogs and cats in Halifax, Nova Scatia. <u>Can J comp Med</u> <u>42</u> 29. Moore MT 1962: Human Toxocara encaphilitis with lead encephalopathy. J Neuropath exp Neurol 21 201.

Moreau AF Mary R and Junod C 1971: La toxocarose: malade allergique à pracipitins. Rev franc Allergal 11 271.

Mullaney J Horan EC 1971: Endophthalmitis due to Toxocara.

J Irish medical Association 64 36.

Müller-Jensen A Schall J Weisner B and Lamina J 1973: Eosinophile Meningo-encephalo-myelitis und viszerales Syndrom durch Askaridenlarven beim Erwachsenen. <u>Dt Med Wschr 98</u> 1175. Murrell KD Vannier WE and Ahmed A 1974: <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u>:

antigenic heteroegeneity of excretions and secretions.

Expt Parasitol 36 316.

Nakane PK Pierce GB 1967: Enzyme-labelled antibodies: Preparation and application for the localization of antigens.

J Histochem Cytochem 14 929.

Nathanson N Palsson PA Gudmundsson G 1978: Multiple sclerosis and canimadistemper in Iceland. Lancet II 112.

Negrel AD 1972: Manifestations oculaires du syndrome de larva migrans viscerale. Thèse de Médecine, Lille 116 pages.

Neilson JT 1969: Gel filtration and disc electrophoresis of a somatic extract and excretions and secretions of <u>Haemonchus</u> <u>contortus</u> larvae. <u>Exp Parasit</u> 25 131.

Nelson JD Thomas H McConnell DV Moore 1966: Thiabendazole therapy of <u>Toxocara</u> visceral larva migrans: a case report. <u>Amer J trop Med Hyg 5</u>930. Neppert J 1974: Cross-reacting antigens among some filariae and other nematodes. <u>Tropenmed Parasitol 25</u> 454.

Ng BKY and Kelly JD 1975: Anthropozoonotic helminthiases in Australia: Part 3: Studies on the prevalence and public health implications of helminth parasites of dogs and cats in urban environments. Int J Zoonoses 2 76.

Nemir RL Heyman A Gorvoy JD and Ervin EN 1950: Pulmonary infiltration and blood eosinophilia (Loeffler's syndrome). Review with report of eight cases. J Pediat 37 819.

Nicholas WL Stewart AC 1979: The action of benzimidazoles on the larval stage of <u>Toxocara canis</u> in the mouse.

Annal trop Med Para 73 57.

Nichols RL 1956: The etiology of visceral larva migrans: II Comparative larval morphology of <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u>, <u>Necator americanus</u>, <u>Strongvloides stercoralis</u> and <u>Ancylostoma caninum</u>.

J Parasitol 42 363.

Nissen-Meyer S 1974: Evaluation of screening tests in medical diagnosis. Biometrics 20 730.

Noda R 1956: Survey of the incidence of ascarid, <u>Toxocara canis</u>, in dogs with special reference to the post-natal infection as compared with prenatal infection. Bull Univ Osaka Prefect, series B, 6 65.

Noda R 1957: Experimental studies on <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection in pupples. Buil Univ Osaka Prefect. series B 7 47.

Noda R 1958: Some observations on the experimental <u>Toxocara canis</u> infections in young and adult dogs.

Bull Univ Osaka Prefect series B 8 73.

Nolan J 1968: Chronic toxocaral endophthalmitis. Successful treatment of a case with subconjunctival depot corticosteroids. Brit J ophthalmol 52 276. Neppert J 1974: Cross-reacting antigens among some filariae and other nematodes. <u>Tropenmed Parasitol 25</u> 454.

Ng BKY and Kelly JD 1975: Anthropozoonotic helminthiases in Australia: Part 3: Studies on the prevalence and public health implications of helminth parasites of dogs and cats in urban environments. Int J Zoonoses 2 76.

Nemir RL Heyman A Gorvoy JD and Ervin EN 1950: Pulmonary infiltration and blood eosinophilia (Loeffler's syndrome). Review with report of eight cases. J Pediat 37 819.

Nicholas WL Stawart AC 1979: The action of benzimidazoles on the larval stage of <u>Toxocara canis</u> in the mouse.

Annal trop Med Para 73 57.

Nichols RL 1956: The etiology of visceral larva migrans: II Comparative larval morphology of <u>Ascaris lumbricoides</u>, <u>Necator americanus</u>, <u>Strongyloides stercoralis</u> and <u>Ancylostoma caninum</u>.

J Parasitol 42 363.

Nissen-Mayer S 1974: Evaluation of screening tests in medical diagnosis. Biometrics 20 730.

Noda R 1956: Survey of the incidence of ascarid, <u>Toxocara canis</u>, in dogs with special reference to the post-natal infection as compared with pranatal infection. <u>Bull Univ Osaka Prefect</u>, series B, 6 65.

Noda R 1957: Experimental studies on <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection in puppies. Bull Univ Osaka Prefect. series B 7 47.

Noda R 1958: Some observations on the experimental <u>Toxocara canis</u> infections in young and adult dogs.

Bull Univ Osaka Prefect series B 8 73.

Nolan J 1968: Chronic toxocaral endophthalmitis. Successful treatment of a case with subconjunctival depot corticosteroids. Brit J ophthalmol 52 276. D'Beirne AJ and Cooper HR 1979: Heterogenous Enzyme immunoassay. J Histochem Cytochem 27 1148.

O'Conner PR 1972: Visceral larva migrans of the eye - subratinal tube formation. Arch Ophthalmol 88 526.

Oglevetskaya MM 1979: Immunogenicity of proteins and their evolution rate. Molec Immunol 16 123.

Ogilvie BM Bartlett A Godfrey RC Turton JA Worms AJ Yeates RA 1978: Antibody responses in self infections with <u>Necator americanus</u>. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 72 66.

Ogilvie BM and Jones VE 1973: Immunity in the parasitic relationship between helminths and hosts. <u>Progr Allergy 17</u> 93.

Ogilvie BM and Love RJ 1974: Co-operation between antibodies and cells in immunity to a nematode parasite.

Transplantation Reviews 19 147.

Ogilvie BM and Worms MJ 1976: Immunity to nematode parasites of man with special reference to Ascaris, hookworms and filariae.

In: <u>Immunology of Parasitic Infections</u>; S. Cohen and E Sadun (eds) p 380.

Ogilvie BM Rothwell TLW Bremmer KC Schitzerling HJ Nolan J and Keith RK 1973: Int J Parasitol 3 589.

O'Farrell PZ 1975: High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins. J biol Chem 250 4007.

Oliver-Gonzalez J Hurlbrin KP Conde E Kagan IG 1969: Serologic activity of antigen isolated from the body fluid of Ascaris suum. J Immunol 103 15.

Olson LJ 1960: Serology of visceral larva migrans: <u>in vitro</u> larval precipitate test. Tex Rep Biol Med 18 473.

Olson LJ 1976: Ocular toxocariasis in mice: distribution of larvae and lesions. Int J parasitol 6 247. Olson LJ and Izzat NH 1972: Immune responses to tissue helminths

in: Immunity to Animal Parasites. EJL Soulsby (ed).

Academic Press, New York.p 223.

Olson LJ Izzat NN Petteway MB Rheinhart JA 1970: Ocular toxocariasis in mice. Amer J trop Med Hyg 19 238.

Olson LJ and MB Petteway 1971: Ocular nematodiasis: Results with Toxocara cati infected mice. J Parasit 57 1365.

Olson LJ Richards B Ewart A 1960: Detection of metabolic antigens from nematode larvae by a microculture agar-gel technique. Tex rep Biol Med 18 254.

Olson LJ and Rose JE 1966: Effect of <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection on the ability of white rats to solve maze problems. <u>Exp Parasitol 19</u> 77.

Olson LJ and Sharp CW 1963: Nematode induced hypersensitivity reactions in guinea pigs. Onset of eosinophilia and positive Schultz-Dale reactions following graded infections with <u>Toxocara canis</u>. Ann New York Acad Sci 113 440.

Oshima T 1961: Influence of pregnancy and Tactation on the migration of the larvae of Toxocara canis. J Parasitol 47 657.

Oshima T.1976: Observations of the age resistance, eosinophilia, and larval behaviour in the helminth-free beagles infected with Toxocara canis. Jap J Parasitol 25 447.

Otten J Maurus R Parmentier N 1966: Visceral migrant larvae (<u>Toxocara</u>) with ocular symptoms. <u>Acta Padiat Belge 20</u> 401.

Palička P and Pazdiora E 1971: Helminthologic evaluation of soil and sand from childrens' sandpits in towns, housing settlements, and in collective child establishments. Česk Hyg 16 253.

Palsson PA 1979: Institute for Experimental Pathology, University of Iceland, Reykjavik. Personal Communication.

Parshad VR and Guraya SS 1977: Comparative histochemical observations on the excetory system of helminth parasites. Z Parasitenk 52 81. Parsons HE 1952: Nematode chortoretinitis. Arch Ophthalmol 47 799. Patterson R Huntley CC Roberts M and Irons JS 1975: Visceral larva migrans: immunoglobulins, precipitating antibodies and detection of IgG and IgM antibodies against Ascaris antigen. Amer J trop Med Hyg 24 465.

Pavri KM Ghalsasi GR Dastar DK Goverdhan MK and Lalitha VS 1975: Dual infections of mice: visceral larva migrans and sublethal infaction with Japanese encephalitis virus.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 69 99.

Phillips CI and Mackenzie AD 1973: Toxocara larval papillitis. Brit Med J 1 154.

Pegg EJ 1970: Toxocara can's transmitted by the common house-fly to the canine host. Vet Rec 86 522.

Pegg EJ 1971: Infection of dogs by Toxocara canis carried by flies. Parasitology 62 409.

Pegg EJ and Donald CR 1978: The effects of composting on the eggs of Toxocara cants and Toxoascarts leonina.

J Inst Animal Tech 29 29.

Pelley RP Warren KS and Jordan P 1977: Purified antigen radioimmunoassay in serological diagnosis of schistosomiasis mansoni.

Lancet II (8042) 781.

Perkins ES 1966: Pattern of uveitis in children. Brit J Ophthalmol 50 169. Perlingiero JG and Gyorgy P 1947: Chronic eosinophilia. Report of a case with necrosis of the liver, pulmonary infiltrations,

anaemia, and Ascaris infection. Amer J Dis Child 73 34.

Perlmutter AD Jules BE Kevy SV 1968: <u>Toxocara</u> antibodies in eosinophilia cystitis. <u>J Pediat</u> 73 340.

Pesce AJ Kant KS 001 BS Pollak VE 1978: The application of enzyme immunoassay in the diagnosis of autoimmune diseases.

Scand J Immunol 8 91.

Petithory J Brumpt L Bahno M 1973: Études des possibilités diagnostiques sérologiques de l'onchocercose par double diffusion avec des antigènes hétérologues. <u>Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et</u> Comparée 48 343.

Pickart L and Thaler MM 1973: Tripeptide in human serum which prolongs survival of normal liver cells and stimulates growth of neoplastic liver. Nature 243 85.

Pickart L Thayer L and Thaler MM 1973: A synthetic tri-peptide which increases survival of normal liver cells and stimulates growth off hepartoma cells. Biochem Biophys Res Comm 54 562.

Pike EH 1960: Effect of diethylcarbamazine, oxophenarsine hydrochloride, and piperazine citrate on <u>Toxocara canis</u> larvae in mice. Exp Parasitol 9 223.

Poldermann AM and Daelder AM 1977: Discrepancies between serological and parasitological test results. A field study on schistosomiasis in Ethiopia. <u>Acta Leidensia</u> 45 21. Portago JK Kowalski JC Howell C Ash LR 1977: Use of lymphocyte

transformation for the detection of filarial infections. J Parasitol 63 172.

Poulain J Petit A Pery P Luffau G 1976: Protection des rats contre <u>Mippos trongylus brasiliensis</u> a l'aide d'antigenes de vers admintrés par voie orale. <u>Annales d'Immunologie 127 C</u> 103. Price JA Wadsworth JAC 1970: An intraretinal worm. Report of a case of macular retinopathy caused by invasion of the retina by a worm. Arch Ophthalmol 83 768.

Pribnow JF and Hall JM 1970: Studies on intravitreal initiation of the immune response. Invest Ophthalmol 9 639.

Pullar EM 1976: A survey of Victorian canine and vulpine parasites IV Nematoda. Austral vet J 22 112.

Pyndiah N Krech U Price P Wilhelm J 1979: Simplified chromatographic separation of immunoglobulin M from G and its application to <u>Toxoplasma indirect immunofluorescence</u>. J clin Microbiol 9 170.

Quinn R Smith HV Bruce RG Girdwood RWA 1980: Studies on the incidence of <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Toxascaris spp</u>. ova in the environment 1. A comparison of floatation procedures for recovering Toxocara spp ova from soil. J Hyg (Comb) 84 83.

Rahi AHS and Garner A 1976: Immunopathology of the eye.

Blackwell Scientific Publ, Oxford, p 82.

Raistrick IR and Hart JCD 1975: Adult toxocaral infection with focal retinal lesion. Brit med J 3 418.

Raistrick ER and Hart JCD 1976: Ocular toxocariasis in adults.

Brit J Ophthalmol 60 365.

Read MA and Thompson RCA 1976: Prevalence of Toxocara canis and

Toxascaris leonina ova in dog faeces deposited on the streets of Leeds. <u>J Helminthol</u> 50 96.

Rheka V Rachunkova A 1973: Human parasitic infections in Ostmähren. Ange Parasitol 14 101.

Rey A 1962: Nematode endophthalmitis due to Toxocara.

Brit J Ophthalmol 46 161.

Rew RS 1978: Mode of action of common anthelminthics.

J vet Pharmacol Therap I 183.

Ranky H and Kraft H 1965: Intraocular Toxocara canis infestation (Germ). Klin Mbl Augenheilk 147 692.

Reese AB 1971: Expanding lesions of the orbit. Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK 91 85.

Reed AH Henry RJ and Mason WB 1971: Influence of statistical method used on the resulting estimate of normal range. <u>Clin Chem 17</u> 275. Richards B Olson LJ and Box QT 1962: Survey of Galveston children

for antibody to <u>Toxocara canis</u>. <u>J Parasitol</u> <u>48</u> 501. Rifaat MA 1970: Evaluation of immunodiagnostic methods for some parasitic diseases of common occurrence in UAR. J Parasitol 56 283.

Rothwell TLW and Merrit GC 1975 <u>Int J Parasitol 5</u> 453. Rosen R Kabat H Anderson JP 1943: Acute arrest of cerebral circulation in man. <u>Arch Neurol Pshychiat 50</u> 510.

Rook A and Staughton R 1972: The cutaneous manifestations of toxocariasis.Dammatologica 144 129.

Roitt 1979: cited by NA Mitchison, 1979 in: <u>Progress in Immunology of</u> <u>Filariasis</u>, Proceedings of a WHO Meeting, Geneva 7-9, November. p 19. Rogers WP 1960: The physiology of infective processes of nematode parasites. The stimulus from the animal host.

Proc roy Soc (B) 152 367.

Rodbard D Rayford PL Cooper JA Ross GT 1968: Statistical quality control of radioimmunoassays. <u>J clin Endocrinol Metab</u> 28 1412. Rodbard D Feldman Y Jaffe ML Miles LEM 1978: Kinetics of two-site immunoradiometric ("sandwich") assays. II Studies on the nature of the "high-dose hook effect". <u>Immunochem 15</u> 77. Rodbard D and Feldman Y 1978: Kinetics of two-site immunoradiometric

("sandwich") assays - I Mathematical models for simulation, optimization and curve fitting. Immunochem 15 71.

Rockey JH Donnelly JJ Stromberg BE Soulsby EJL 1979: Immunopathology of Toxocara canis and Ascaris suum infections of the eye - Role of

the eosinophil. <u>Invest Ophthalmol vis Sci 18</u> 1172. Roberts LB 1967; The normal ranges, with statistical analysis, for seventeen blood consituents. <u>Clin Chim Acta 16</u> 69.

Rothwell TLW Anderson N Bremner KC Dash KM Le Jambre LF Merrit GC Ng BKY 1975: Observations on the occurrence and specificity of antibodies produced by infected hosts against aceytylcholinesterase present in some common gastrointestinal nematode parasites.

Vet Parasitol 1 221.

Rubin LF and Saunders LZ 1965: Intraocular larva migrans in dogs.

Path Vet 2 566.

Rubin ML Kaufman HE Tierney IP Lucas HC 1968: An intraretinal nematode. <u>Trans Amer Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryng. 72</u> 855. Rubinstein KE 1980: New homogeneous immunoassay methods for the determination of proteins. In: <u>Proceedings of "Immunoassays for</u> <u>the 80's</u>" A Voller (Ed) MTP Press Ltd, Lancaster (in prep). Rubenstein KE Schneider RS and Ullman EF 1972: "Homogeneous" enzyme immunoassay. A new immunochemical technique. Biochem Biophys Res Comm <u>47</u> 846.

Ruitenberg EJ Steerenberg PA Brosi BJM Buys J 1974: Serodiagnosis of <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> infections in pigs by enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assays. <u>Bull Wld Hith Org 51</u> 108. Ruitenberg EJ Steerenberg PA and Brosi BJM 1975: Microsystem for the application of ELISA to the serodiagnosis of <u>Trichinella spiralis</u>

infections. <u>Medikon 4</u> 30. Ruitenberg EJ Panggabean SO Geleijuse MEM Visser A and Sluiters JF 1976: Occurence of toxocariasis in young children. <u>Ned T</u>.

Geneesk 120 615.

Ruitenberg EJ Ljunstrom I Steerenberg PA Buys J 1975: Application of immunofluorescence and immunoenzyme methods in the serodiagnosis of <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> infections. <u>Ann New York Acad Sci 254</u> 296. Ruitenberg EJ Capron A Bout D Van Knapen F 1977: Enzyme immunoassay for serodiagnosis of parasitic infections. <u>Biomedicine 26</u> 311. Ruitenberg EJ and Buys J 1976: An immunofluorescent technique for the detection of Toxocara canis antibodies. Vet Parasitol 1 231.

Ruitenberg EJ Van Knapen F 1977 b: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

(ELISA) as a diagnostic method for <u>Trichinella</u> spiralis infections in pigs. <u>Vet Parasitol 3</u> 317.

Ruitenberg EJ and Van Knapen F 1977 a: The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and its application to parasitic infections.

J infect Dis 136 (suppl.) 5267.

Ruitenberg EJ Van Amstel JA. Brosi BJM and Steerenberg PA 1977: Machanization of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for large-scale screening of sera. Jimmunol Methods 16 351. Ruitenberg EJ Steerenberg PA Brosi BJM Buys J 1976: Reliability of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the serodiagnosis of Trichinella spiralis infections in conventionally raised pigs. J immunol Meth 10 67.

Sadun EH and Norman L 1956: Metabolic and somatic antigens in the determination of the response of rabbits to graded infections with Trichinella spiralis. J parasitol 42 236.

Sadun EH Norman L and Allain DS 1957: The detection of antibodies to infections with the nematode <u>Toxocara canis</u>, causative agent of visceral larva migrans. Amer J trop Med Hyg 6 562.

Salih SY Bartlett A Voller A 1978: Detection of antibodies by enzyme-immunoassay in human <u>Schätosoma mansoni</u> infections: A clinical and chemotherapeutic study. Tropenmed Parasit 29 409.

Sanderson BE and Ogilvie BM 1971: A study of acetylcholinesterase throughout the life cycle of <u>Nippostrongylus braziliensis</u>. Parasitology 62 367.

Sarles MP 1932: The <u>in vitro</u> action of immune rat serum on Nippostrongylus muris (Nematoda). J Parasitol 23 560.

Saunders GC Clinard EH Bartlett ML and Sanders WM 1977: Application of the indirect enzyme-labelled antibody microtest to the detection and surveillance of animals diseases. J Infect Dis 136 (suppl) S258.
Schacher JF 1957: A Contribution to the life history and larval morphology of Toxocara canis. J Parasitol 43 599.

Schaeffler WF 1960: Experimental infection of sheep with the dog ascarid <u>Toxocara canis. J Parasitol</u> 46 (Suppl) 17

Schantz PM and Glickman LT 1978: Toxocaral visceral larva migrans. New Eng J Med 298 436.

Schantz PM Meyer D and Gliciman LT 1979: Clinical Serologic and epidemiologic characteristics of ocular toxocariasis. Amer J trop Med Hyg 28 24.

Scharpe SL Cooreman WM Blomme WJ and Laekeman GM 1976: Quantitative enzyme immunoassay: Current Status. <u>Clin Chem</u> 22 733. Schinski VD Clutter WC Murrell KD 1976: Enzyme - and ¹²⁵I-labelled anti-immunoglobulin assays in the immunodiagnosis of

schistosomiasis. Am J trop Med Hyg 25 824.

Schlaegel TF 1972: Recent advances in uveitis. <u>Ann Ophthalmol 4</u> 525. Schochet SS 1967: Human <u>Toxocara canis</u> encephalopathy in acase of visceral larva migrans. <u>Neurology 17</u> 227.

Schoenfeld AE Ghitnic E and Rosen N 1964: Granulomatous encephalitis due to larvae of <u>Toxocara</u> (Visceral larva migrans). Harefuah 66 337. Schuurs AHWM Van Weemen BK 1977: Enzyme-immunoassay.

Clin Chem Acta 81 1 Scothorn MW Koutz FR and Groves HF 1965: Prenatal Toxocara canis infection in pups. J Amer vet Med Assoc 146 45.

Schwartz B 1932: Some parasites of dogs and cats transmissible to human beings and domesticated animals. Ohio State Univ Vet Alumni Quart (June) 1.

Seah SKK Hucal G and Law C 1975: Dogs and intestinal parasites:

a public health problem.

Can Med Assoc J 112 1191.

Seawright GL Bartlett MC Sanders WM Clinard EH Mills KW Martinez A Payne RJ Bishop WW Zimmerman WJ 1979: Serologic test systems development.

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Progress Report LA-7990-Pr.

University of California p 1-39.

Sedláček M Stoklosová V 1977: Parasitic helminth ova and their survial

in sewage sludge.

Vodni hospodarstvi B 27 7.

Segrest JP Jackson RL 1972: Molecular weight determination of glycoprotein by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in sodium dodecyl sulphate.

Methods in Enzymology 28 54.

Self M Raes LN and Landon J 1976: An introduction to radioimmunoassay.

Med Lab Sci 33 221. Sharp AD and Olson LJ 1962: Hypersensitivity responses in Toxocara-

Ascaris- and Trichinella infected guinea pigs to homologous and heterologous challenge.

J Parasitol 48 362.

Schuurs AHMM Van Weemen BK 1977: Enzyme-immunoassay.

Clin Chem Acta 81 1 Scothorn MW Koutz FR and Groves HF 1965: Prenatal Toxocara canis infection in pups. J Amer vet Med Assoc 146 45.

Schwartz B 1932: Some parasites of dogs and cats transmissible to human beings and domesticated animals. Ohio State Univ Vet Alumni Quart (June) 1.

Seah SKK Hucal G and Law C 1975: Dogs and intestinal parasites: a public health problem.

Can Med Assoc J 112 1191.

Seawright GL Bartlett MC Sanders WM Clinard EH Mills KW Martinez A Payne RJ Bishop WW Zimmerman WJ 1979: Serologic test systems

development.

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Progress Report LA-7990-Pr,

University of California p 1-39.

Sedlaček M Stoklosová V 1977: Parasitic helminth ova and their survial

in sewage sludge.

Vodni hospodarstvi B 27 7.

Segrest JP Jackson RL 1972: Molecular weight determination of glycoprotein by polyacrylamide gel electrophorasis in sodium dodecyl sulphate.

Methods in Enzymology 28 54.

Self M Raes LN and Landon J 1976: An introduction to radioimmunoassay.

Med Lab Sci 33 221. Sharp AD and Olson LJ 1962: Hypersensitivity responses in Toxocara-Ascaris- and Trichinella infected guinea pigs to homologous and heterologous challenge.

J Parasitol 48 362.

Shields JA Lerner HA Felberg NT 1977: Aqueous cytology and enzymes in nematode endophthalmitis.

Amar J Ophthalmol 84 319.

Shrand H 1964: Visceral larva migrans: Toxocara canis infection Lancet 11 (7347) 1357.

Siam AL 1973: Toxocaral retinitis; the atment of early cases with photocoagulation. Brit J ophthalmol 57 700.

Solverman PH 1963: In vitro cultivation and serological techniques in parasitology. Symposia Brit Soc Parasitol Vol 1 p49.

Silverman PH 1965 a: In vitro cultivation procedures for parasitic helminths. Adv Parasitol 3 159.

Silverman PH 1965 b: Some immunologic aspects of parasithc helminth infections. Amer Zool 5 153.

Silverman PH and Hansen AL 1971: In vitro cultivation procedures for parasitic helminths: Recent advances. Adv Parasit 9 227.

Silverman PH Poynter D and Podger KR 1962: Studies on larval antigens derived by cultivation of some parasitic nematodes in simple media. Protection tests in laboratory animals.

J Parasitol 48 562.

Silverstein AM and Zimmerman LE 1959: Immunogenic endophthalmitis in the guinea pig by different pathogenetic mechanisms.

Amer J Ophthalmol 48 435.

Slatter DH 1978: Toxocariasis - summary of recent developments.

Aust J Ophthalmol 6 143.

Smithers SR and Terry RJ 1976: The immunology of schistosomiasis.

Adv Parasitol 14 399.

Smythe RH 1976: Toxocaral infestation of animals and its importance to man. Vet Practice 8 19.

Smyth CJ Soderholm J Wadstrom T 1977: Laying-on techniques for crossed immunoelectrophoresis. <u>LKB Application Note 269</u>. LKB Produkter Bromma, Sweden.

Snyder CH 1961: Visceral larva migrans - 10 years experience. Pediatrics 28 85.

Smith HV Bruce RG Quinn R Girdwood RMA 1976: Immunogenic variation in Toxocara. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 70 287.

Smith MHD and Beaver PC 1953: Persistance and distribution of <u>Toxocara</u> larvae in the tissues of children and mice. <u>Pediatrics 12</u> 491. Smith PH Greer GH 1971: Unusual presentation of ocular Toxocara

infestation. <u>Brit J Ophthalmol</u> <u>55</u> 317. Sonksen PH 1974: Radioimmunoassay and saturation analysis - Glossary.

Brit med Bull 30 103.

Sorice F Delia S and Castagnari L 1977: Il test ELISA nella

immunodiagnosi dell'idatidosi umana. Osservazioni preliminari.

Boll Ist Sieroter Milan 56 152.

Soulsby EJL 1962: Antigen - antibody reactions in helminth infections Adv. Immunol 2 265.

Soulsby EJL 1963 : The nature and origin of the functional antigens in helminth infections. Ann New York Acad Sci 113 492.

Soulsby EJL 1965: <u>Textbook of Veterinary Clinical Parasitology</u> Vol 1. Helminths. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.

Soulsby EJL 1968: Diagnosis of Helminth Infections: In: PGH Gell and RRA Coombs (Eds) <u>Clinical aspects of Immunology</u> Blackwell

Scientific Publications, Oxford 2nd Edition. p 175.

Soulsby EJL Rockey JB Laties AM 1974: Ocular manifestations in

<u>Ascaris</u> sensitized guinea pigs. <u>Proc 3rd Int Cong Parasitol 2</u> 1072. Speiser F Weiss N 1979: Comparative evaluation of seven helminth antigens in the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Experentia 35 1512.

Sprent JFA 1955: On the invasion of the central nervous system by nematodes. I. The incidence and pathological significance of nematodes in the central nervous system. Parasitology 45 31.
Sprent JFA 1956: The life history and development of Toxocara cati (Shrank, 1788) in the domestic cat. Parasitology 46 54.
Sprent JFA 1958: Observations on the development of Toxocara canis (Werner, 1782) in the dog. Parasitology 48 184.
Sprent JFA 1961: Post-parturient infection of the bitch with Toxocara canis. J Parasitol 47 284.

Sprent JFA 1962: Parasitism, immunity and evolution. In: GM Leeper (ed.) <u>The Evolution of Living Organisms</u>. (Symposium of the Royal Society of Melbourne, Dec 1959). Melbourne University Press.

Sprent JFA 1963: Visceral larva Migrans. <u>Aust J Sci 25</u> 344. Sprent JFA 1969: Nematode larva migrans. <u>New Zealand vet J 17</u> 39. Sprent JFA and English PB 1958: The large roundworms of dogs and cats: a public health problem. Aust vet J 34 161.

Stage VM 1976: Lactogenic and prenatal infection with <u>Toxocara canis</u> in the dog.

Deutsche Tierarztliche Wochenschrift 83 107.

Stek M 1978: Micro-technique enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (MELISA) for antibody and antigen detection in schistosomiasis. Proc 4th Int Congr Parasitol Short Comm. Sect E p 93.

Stevenson P 1976: Toxocara as a zoonotic agent. Vet Practice 8 4.

Stevenson P 1979: <u>Toxocara</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> infection in British pigs: A Serological Survey. <u>Vet Rec 104</u> 526.

Stevenson P and Jacobs DE 1976: A study on the possible role of <u>Toxocara spp.</u> in the aetiology of the milkspot lesion of the porcine liver. Parasitology 73 i. Stevenson P and Jacobs DE 1977: <u>Toxocara</u> infection in pigs. The use of indirect fluorescent antibody test and <u>in vitro</u> larval precipitate test for detecting specific antibodies. J Helminth 51 149.

Stewart GT 1974: Predictive value of laboratory tests. Lancet II 1010. Stirewit MA 1973: Chemical biology of secretions of larval helminths. Ann New York Acad Sci 113 36.

Stirewalt MA 1966: Skin penetration mechanism of helminths. In:

EJL Soulsby (ed.) <u>Biology of Parasites</u> Academic Press, New York.

Stoll NR 1947: This wormy world. J Parasitol 33 1.

Stromberg BE 1979: The isolation and partial characterization of a protective antigen from developing larvae of <u>Ascaris suum</u>. Int J parasitol 9 307.

Stromberg BE Khoury PB Sousiby EJL 1977: Development of larvae of <u>Ascaris suum</u> from the third to the fourth stage in a chemically defined medium. Int J Parasitol 7 149.

Stromberg BE and Soulsby EJL 1976: The protective effect of developmental stages in an Ascaris suum infection in the guinea pig. Vet Parasitol 2 197.

Stromberg BE and Souslby EJL 1977: <u>Ascaris suum</u>: Immunization with soluble antigens in the guinea pig. <u>Int J Parasit 7</u> 287.
Summer D and Tinsley EGF 1967: Encephalopathy due to visceral larva

migrans. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr. 30 580.

Suyemori S 1925: On pathological changes in the eye during the incipient stages of infection with <u>Ascaris</u> (experimental study). Taiwan Igakkai Sashi 239 1.

Swartz M Herbst RW Goldberg MF 1974: Aqueous humour lactic dehydrogenase in retinoblastoma. Amer J Ophthalmol 78 612.

Switzer RC Merril CR Shifrin S 1979: A highly sensitive silver stain for detecting proteins and peptides in polyacrylamide gels. Anal Biochem 98 231.

Sylk SR Stromberg BE and Soulsby EJL 1974: Development of <u>Ascaris suum</u> larvae from the third to fourth stage, <u>in vitro</u>.

Int J Parasitol 4 261.

Taffs LF and Voller A 1962: J Helminthol 36 339.

Taffs LF and Voller A 1963: In vitro fluorescent antibody studies on

Ascaris lumbricoides and Ascaris suum.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 57 353.

Takeuchi A 1970: Reaginic antibody of rabbits infected with <u>Toxocara</u> canis (Jap). Jap J Parasitol 19 565.

Taliaferro and Sarles MP 1939: J infect Dis 64 157.

Tarenenko IL and Krivutenko AI 1974: The setting-up and application of the microprecipitation reaction on live <u>Heterakis gallinae</u> larvae. Zaraznye i nezaraznye zaboleveniya sel'sko-khozyai stvennykh

zhivotnykh na yage, Ukrainy Odessa. USSR p 83.

Taylor AER and Baker JR 1968: <u>The Cultivation of Parasites In Vitro</u>. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.

Taylor AER and Baker JR 1978: Methods of Cultivating Parasites

In Vitro. Academic Press, London.

Terry RJ 1977: Immunodepression in parasite infections. <u>INSERM 72</u> 161. Tettamanti S Bounameau Y Suter-Kopp V 1972: La toxocarose humaine en

Suisse. Schweiz med Wochr 102 1117.

Ten Thije PA 1968: <u>Toxocara</u> in the eye. <u>Ophthalmologica</u> 156 89. Thorner RM and Remen QR 1961: Principles and Procedures in the Evaluation

of Screening for Disease. Public Health Laboratory Service, Mono 67. Thompson R Olson J 1950: Antibody production in rabbit's cornea.

J Immunol 65 633.

Thomson AP Wilson GH and McDonald 1937: Three cases of unusual illness with eosinophilia, one with lesions in the liver resembling those of tularemia. Lancet 233 9.

Thorsen RE 1951: The relation of the secretions and excretions of the larvae of <u>Nippostrongylus muris</u> to the production of protective antibodies. <u>J Parasitol 37</u> (Suppl) 18.

Thorsen RE 1953: Studies on the mechanism of immunity in the rat to the nematode <u>Nippostrongylus muris</u>. <u>Amer J Hyg 58</u> 1.
Thorson RE 1963: Physiology of immunity to helminth infections.

Exp Parasitol 13 3.

Thorsen RE 1963: The use of "Metabolic" and somatic antigens in the diagnosis of helminthic infections. <u>Amer J Hyg</u> Mono Ser <u>22</u> 60.
 Thorsen RE 1970: In: GJ Jackson, R Herman and I Singer (eds) <u>Immunity</u> to Parasitic Animals Vol II. Appleton Century Crofts, New York p 913.

Tizard I 1977: Veterinary Immunology. WB Saunders Co. Toronto p 252. Tomimura T Yokota M Takiguchi H 1976: Experimental visceral larva migrans in monkeys. I. Clinical hematological, biochemical and gross pathological observations on monkeys inoculated with embryonated eggs of the dog ascarid, <u>Toxocara canis</u>.

Jap J vet Sci 38 533.

Tracy RP Alport EC Yunginger JW Hamburger HA 1980: An immunoenzyme assay for quantitation of human IgG antibodies to honeybee venom phospholipase A₂. J immunol Methods <u>32</u> 185.

Tribouley-Duret J Tribouley J Apprian M Megraud RN 1978: Diagnosis of strongyloidiasis using the ELISA test. Application du test ELISA au diagnostic de la strongyloidose.

Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée 53 641.

Tribouley-Duret J Tribouley J Appriou M Tessier JM 1976: Detection of antibodies in experimental toxocariasis using antigenic extract

of the adult parasite.

CR Seances Soc Biol Fil 170 349.

Uhliková M, Hübner J 1969: The possible occurence of larval toxocariasis (visceral larva migrans) in Czecholsovakia. Česk Pediatr 23 715.

Uhliková M, Hübner J 1971: Human parasitoses caused by parasitic helminths and their diagnostics in the territory of Czecholovakia.

Prakticky lekar 51 606.

Uhliková M and Hübner J 1979: personal communication. Unruh DNA King JE Eaton RDP and Allen JR 1973: Parasites from dogs from Indian settlements in Northwestern Canada: A survey with public health implications. <u>Can J comp Med 37</u> 25.

Unsworth AC Fox JC Rosenthal EJ Shelton PA 1965: Larval granulomafosis of the retina due to nematode: A review of the literature and

report a case. <u>Amer J Ophthalmol 60</u> 127. Uriel J 1971: Colour reactions for the identification of antigen antibody precipitates in gel. In: <u>Methods in Immunology and</u> Immunochemistry, Academic Press, New York p 298.

Valnickova J Kouba K Uhlikova M Hubner J Lasovska J 1975: Central retinitis with possible toxocaral aetiology. <u>Česk Oftalmol 31</u> 209.
Van Arman G and Campbell WC 1975: Anti-inflammatory activity of thiabendazole and its relation to parasitic disease.

Tex Rep Biol Med 33 303. Van Knapen F Frachimont JH Otten GM 1979: Steam sterilization of sandpits infected with Toxocara eggs. Brit med J 1 1320. Van Loom AM Van Der Veen J 1960: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

(ELISA) for quantitation of toxoplasma antibodies in human sera. J clin Path (in press).

Van Metre TE 1968: Role of the allergist in diagnosis and management of patients with uveitis. In: <u>Clinical Methods in Uveitis</u>, SB Aronson et al (eds), CV Mosby St. Louis p 103.

Van Thiel PH 1960: Comments on a case of <u>Toxocara</u> infection in the Netherlands. Trop geog Med 12 67.

Vaughan C 1970: Toxocariasis. Brit med J 4 179.

Vay JED and Adler HH 1976: Antigens common to hosts and parasites. Ann Rev Microbiol 30 147.

Vecchio TJ 1966: Predictive value of a single diagnostic test in unselected populations. <u>New Engl J Med 274</u> 1171.

Velan M Halmann M 1978: Chemiluminescence immunoassay. A new sensitive method for determination of antigens.

Immunochem 15 331.

Viens P 1977: Visceral larva migrans in Montréal: the tip of the iceberg. <u>Bordeaux Med 10</u> 697.

Viens P Strykowski H Richards R and Sonea S 1975: A modified immunofluorescent antibody technique for the serodiagnosis of humman toxocaral larva migrans. <u>Can J publ Hith 66 237</u>.

Vinke R Van de Sar A and Jung R 1962: Determination of the diagnostic value of a test for <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection.

Bull Soc Pathol exot 55 709.

Vinke B Van de Sar A Jung RC 1964: Application of hemagglutination test for visceral larva migrans in adults. Trop geogr Med 16 43.

Vokoun P Slezakova J 1977: Parasites of the digestive tract of city dogs and cats. Veterinarni Medicina, Praha 22 367.

Voller A Bartlett A Bidwell DE 1976: Enzyme immunoassays for parasitic diseases. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 70 98.

- Voller A Bartlett A Bidwell DE 1978: Enzyme immunoassays with special reference to ELISA techniques. J clin Path 31 507.
- Voller A Bidwell DE Bartlett A 1976: The application of micro-plate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays to some infectious diseases. In: <u>Immunoenzymatic Techniques</u>, INSERM Symposium 2. North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- Voller A Bidwell D Bartlett A 1976: Microplate enzyme immunoassays for the immunodiagnosis of virus infections. In: NR Rose and H Freidman (Eds) Manual of Clinical Immunology. p. 506.
- Voller A Bidwell DE Bartlett A 1976: Enzyme immunoassay in diagnostic medicine: Theory and practice. Bull Wid Hith Org 53 55.
- Voller A Bidwell DE Bartlett A and Edwards R 1977: A comparison of isotopic and enzyme-immunoassays for tropical parasitic diseases Trans roy Soc Trop Med Hyg 71 431.
- Voller A Bidwell D Huldt G and Engvall E 1974: A microplate method of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and its application to malaria. Bull W1d H1th Org 51 209.
- Voller A Bidwell DE and Bartlett A 1979: <u>The Enzyme Linked</u> <u>Immunosorbent Assay - A Guide with Abstracts of the Microplate</u> Applications. Flowline Publications, Guernsey.
- Walls KW 1979: Immunoserology of parasitic disease. In: HT Friedman, TL Juhani and JE Prier (eds) <u>Immunoserology in the Diagnosis of</u> <u>Infectious Disease</u>.University Park Press, Baltimore p 273. Walls KW and Smith JW 1979: Serology of parasitic infections.

Lab Med 10 329.

Waniewski E Segal P 1966: Nematode endophthalmitis as a cause of pseudoglioma. Klin Oczna 36 259.

Watt IA McLean RN Girdwood RMA Kissen LH Fyfe AHB 1978: Eosinophilic gastroenteritis associated with a larval anisakimenematode. Lancet II 893.

Weber K and Osborn M 1969: The reliability of molecular weight determinations by dodecyl sulphate - polyacylamide gel electrophoresis. <u>J biol Chem 244</u> 4406.

Webster GA 1958: Roundworm infection in dogs. <u>Can J comp Med</u> <u>22</u> 272.
Weeke B 1973: Crossed immunoelectrophoresis. <u>Scan J Immunol</u> <u>2</u> (suppl 1) 47.
Weiland VG and Schwarzhuber A 1978: Untersuchungen zum Nachweis von
Larva migrans visceralis mit dem Peroxydase-Test (ELISA) und der
Immunofluoreszenz. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wschr 91 20

Weinstein PP 1959: Excretory Mechanisms and excretory products of nematodes: an appraisal. In: LA Stauber (ed). <u>Host Influence</u> <u>on Parasite Physiology</u>, Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick p65.

Welch RB 1974: Intraocular Toxocara. In: SJ Ryan and RE Smith (eds) Selected Topics on the Eye in Systemic Diseases. Grune and

Stratton, New York p 177.

Werblin TP and Siskind GW 1973: Changes in heterogeneity of antibody affinity during the course of the immune response. Immunology 24 477.

Werner M and March WL 1975: Normal values: Theoretical and practical aspects. CRC Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 6 81.

Wilder HC 1950: Nematode endophthalmitis. Trans Amer Acad Ophthalmol 55 99. Wilkinson CP and Welch RB 1971: Intraocular Toxocara.

Amer J Ophthalmol 71 921.

Williams RW and Henning EL 1961: Intestinal helminths in dogs and cats of Bermuda Island and their public health significance, with a report of a probable case of visceral larva migrans. J Parasitol 47 947.

TANK OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

Winter A EL K Andersson U-B 1977: Analytical electrofocusing in thin layers of polyacrylamide gels. <u>LKB Application Note 250</u>, KLB-Produkter AB, Bromma Sweden.

Wisdom GB 1976: Enzyme-immunoassay. Clin Chem 27 1243.

Wiseman RA 1969: Toxocaral infection - its evalution, diagnosis and assessment as a community problem. PhD Thesis (1969) University of London.

Wiseman RA 1969: The epidemiology of toxocariasis.

Bull Soc Path exot 62 407.

Wiseman RA Fleck DG and Woodruff AW 1970: Toxoplasmal and toxocaral infections: a clinical investigation into their relationship. Brit med J 4 152.

Wiseman RA and Lovel TW 1969: Human infection with adult <u>Toxocara cati</u> Brit med J <u>3</u> 454.

Wiseman RA and Woodruff AW 1968: Toxocariasis in Britain as revealed by skin sensitivity tests. Brit med J 1 677.

Wiseman RA and Woodruff AW 1970: Evaluation of a skin sensitivity test for the diagnosis of toxocariasis.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 64 239.

Wiseman RA and Woodruff AG 1971: Toxocariasis in Africa and Malta. The frequency of infection in host animals and its incidence and distribution in humans as revealed by skin sensitivity tests. Trans roy Soc trop Mad Hyg 65 439.

Wiseman RA Woodruff AW and Pattitt LE 1968: Eosinophilia and skin sensitivity tests in toxocariasis.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 62 467.

Wiseman RA Woodruff AW and Pettitt 1969: Further <u>Toxocara</u> skin sensitivity tests in animals experimentally infected with <u>Toxocara canis. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg</u> 63 246. Wiseman RA Woodruff AW and Pettitt LE 1971: The treatment of toxocaral infections: some experimental and clinical observations. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 65 591.

Witmer R 1964: The origin of ocular antibodies. In: E Maumenee and AM Silverstein (eds) <u>Immunopathology of Uveitis</u>. Williams and Wilkins Co. Baltimore.

Wolter JR Wallace SW 1976: The diagnostic significance of worm tracks in cases of pseudoglioma retinae. <u>J pediatr Ophthalmol 13</u> 344. Wong HSW Embil JA Ozere RL 1976: Ascaris suum and Toxocara canis:

Egg extract antigens in guinea pigs and the macrophage inhibition test. Exp Parasitol 40 421.

Wood RM Arthur C Ellison AC Kelly KC and Kaufman HE 1965: Antibody to Toxocara canis in humans. Arch Ophthamol 73 452.

Woodruff AW 1965: Pathogenicity of intestinal helminthic infections.

Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 59 585. .

Woodruff AW 1968: Helminths as vehicles and synergists of microbial

infections. Trans roy Soc trop Med Hyg 62 446.

Woodruff AW 1969: The dissemination of protozoa and micro-organisms by helminths. In: EAR Taylor (ed) <u>Nippostrongylus and Toxoplasma</u>. <u>Symposia of the Brit Soc for Parasitol Vol 1</u> Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Woodruff AW 1970: Toxocariasis: Brit med J 3 663.

Woodruff AW 1973: The clinical unit in Tropical medicine and

Epidemiology. Trans roy Soc Trop Med 67 755.

Woodruff AW 1975: <u>Toxocara canis</u> and other nematodes transmitted from dogs to man. Brit vet J 131 627.

Woodruff AW Ashton N Stott GJ 1961: <u>Toxocara canis</u> infection of the eye. Tr roy Soc trop Med 55 13.

Woodruff AW Bisseru B and Bowe JL 1966: Infection with animal helminths as a factor in causing pollomyelitis and epilespy.

Brit med J 1 1576.

Woodruff AW and Borg OA 1973: Prevalence of infective ova of <u>Toxocara</u> species in public places. Brit med J 4 470.

Woodruff AW and Bradstreet CMP 1968: Toxocara Skin Tests.

Brit med J 3 498.

Woodruff AW de Savigny D and Jacobs DE 1978: Study of toxocaral infection in dog breeders. Brit med J 2: 1747.

Woodruff AW and Hart JCD 1978: Personal communication.

Woodruff AW Thacker CK Shah AI 1964: Infection with animal helminths.

Brit med J I 1001.

Yalow RS and Berson SA 1960: Immunoassay of endogenous plasma insulin in man. J clin Invest 39 1157.

Yampol'skaya OV and Ignashenkova GV 1978: Trial epidemiological survey of children for <u>Toxocara</u> infection using ELISA. Medskaya Parazit 47 52.

Yang J and Kennedy M 1979: Value of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) in the Detection of Visceral Larva Migrans (VLM). Can J publ Hith 70 58.

Yeates RA Ogilvie BM 1976: Nematode acetylcholinesterase. In: H Van den Bossche (ed) <u>Biochemistry of Parasites and Host</u> <u>Parasite Ralationships</u>. Elsevier North Holland, Amsterdam p 307.

Yoshimura H Kondo K Onishi Y Nishida K 1977: Studies on visceral larva migrans with special reference to experimental ocular toxocariasis in mice and immunochemical investigations in animals with Toxocara infestation. Jap J Parasitol 26 (suppl) 54. Yoshioka It 1966: Nematode endophthalmitis, possibly due to <u>Toxocara</u> caris. <u>Rinsho Ganka 20</u> 605.

Yutuc LM 1949: Prenatal infection of dogs with ascarids <u>Toxocara canis</u> and hookworms <u>Ancylostoma caninum</u>. J Parasitol 35 358.

Zacharius RM Zell TE Morrison JH and Woodlock JJ 1969; Glycoprotein staining following electrophoresis on acrylamide gel.

Anal Biochem 30 148.

ZeelonP Gershon H and Gershon D 1973: Inactive enzyme molecules in ageing organisms. Nematode fructose - 1,6- diphosphate aldolase. Biochemistry 12 1743.

Zeulzer WW and Apt L 1949: Disseminated visceral lesions associated with extreme ecsinophilia.Amer J Dis Child 78 153.

Zheleva RT 1975: Comparative studies on the antigenic structure of

<u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u> in immunodiffusion and immunoelectrophoretic tests. <u>Medskaya Parazit 44</u> 293. Zheleva R Ts 1976: Evaluation of indirect hemagglutination with

Ascaris and Toxocara antigens in the diagnosis of visceral larva migrans in man. Medskaya Parazit 45 160.

Zinkham WH 1968: Visceral larva migrans due to <u>Toxocara</u> as a cause of eosthophilia. Johns Hopkins Med J 123 41.

Zinkham WH 1978: Visceral larva migrans: a review and reassessment indicating two forms of clinical expression: visceral and ocular. <u>Amer J Dis Child 132</u> 627.

Zuk RF R ley GL Ullman EF 1979: Fluorescence protection immunoassay: a new homogeneous assay technique. <u>Clin Chem 25</u> 1554. Zukerman BM 1974: Ageing research utilizing free-living nematodes.

Helminthological Abstracts, Series A, Animal and Human Helminthology 43 225. Yoshioka It 1966: Nematode endophthalmitis, possibly due to <u>Toxocara</u> caris. <u>Rinsho Ganka 20</u> 605.

Yutuc LM 1949: Prenatal infection of dogs with ascarids <u>Toxocara canis</u> and hookworms Ancylostoma caninum. J Parasitol 35 358.

Zacharius RM Zell TE Morrison JH and Woodlock JJ 1969; Glycoprotein staining following electrophoresis on acrylamide gel. Anal Biochem 30 148.

ZeelonP Gershon H and Gershon D 1973: Inactive enzyme molecules in ageing organisms. Nematode fructose - 1,6- diphosphate aldolase. Biochemistry 12 1743.

Zeulzer WW and Apt L 1949: Disseminated visceral lesions associated with extreme ecsinophilia.Amer J Dis Child 78 153.

Zheleva RT 1975: Comparative studies on the antigenic structure of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u> in immunodiffusion and

immunoelectrophoretic tests. Medskaya Parazit 44 293.

Zheleva R Ts 1976: Evaluation of indirect hemagglutination with <u>Ascaris</u> and <u>Toxocara</u> antigens in the diagnosis of visceral larva migrans in man. Medskaya Parazit 45 160.

-Zinkham WH 1968: Visceral larva migrans due to <u>Toxocara</u> as a cause of eosthophilia. <u>Johns Hopkins Med J</u> 123 41.

Zinkham WH 1978: Visceral larva migrans: a review and reassessment indicating two forms of clinical expression: visceral and ocular. <u>Amer J Dis Child 132</u> 627.

 Zuk RF R ley GL Ullman EF 1979: Fluorescence protection immunoassay:

 a new homogeneous assay technique. Clin Chem 25 1554.

 Zukerman BM 1974: Ageing research utilizing free-living nematodes.

Helminthological Abstracts, Series A, Animal and Human Helminthology 43 225. Zyngier FR 1974: Antigenic studies on extracts of <u>Toxocara canis</u> and <u>Ascaris suum</u>. <u>Rev Soc Brasil Med trop 8</u> 181.

Zyngier FR 1976 a: Toxocariasis and ascariasis: a comparison.

I. Description of infection, hematological response, serum proteins and skin test with <u>Toxocara canis</u> antigen.

Rev Inst Med trop Sao Paulo 18 251.

Zyngier FR 1976 b: Ascarlasis and Toxocarlasis. II Difficulties in the differential serological diagnosis employing a <u>Toxocara</u> <u>canis</u> antigen. <u>Rev Inst Med trop Sao Paulo 18</u> 427.

Zyngier FR and Santarosa G 1976: Multiple infection with <u>Toxocara</u> <u>canis</u>. Influence of antihistamines and corticosteroids on the histopathological response.

Ann trop Med Parasitol 70 445.

APPENDIX 1

Published Portions of this Work

De Savigny, D., Voller A., and Woodruff, A.W. (1979). Toxocariasis: serological diagnosis by enzyme immunoassay. Journal of Clinical Pathology 32, 284-288.

De Savigny, D., and Voller A. (1980). The communication of quantitative ELISA results. In: <u>Immunoenzymatic Assay Techniques</u>., R. Malvano (ed). Developments in Clinical Biochemistry, Volume 1. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers - The Hague. pp 116-132.

Woodruff, A.W., De Savigny, D., and Jacobs, D.E. (1978). Study of toxocaral infection in dog breeders. Brit. med. J. II 1747-1748.

351

APPENDIX 2

Formulation of Reagents for Toxocara ELISA

Coating Buffer

-0.05 M Carbonate-bicarbonate (for antigen dilution) NaCO₃ 1.59 g NaHCO₃ 2.93 g NaN₃ 0.20 g D.H₂O + 1000 ml (pH 9.6)

PBS-T

.

phosphate buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20.
 (for serum dilution, conjugate dilution, and washing steps).

8.0 g

sning	2 metha 1	•
NoC	1	

KH2P04	0.2 g	
Na2HPO4 . 12H20	2.9 g	-
KC1	0.2 g	
NaN ₃	0.2 g	
Tween 20	0.5 ml	
D.H20	+1000 m1 (pł	1 7.2)

Diethanolamine Buffer

- 10% Diethanolamine (for substrate dilution)

Diethanolamine	97 ml
MgC12.6H20	0.1 g
NaN3	0.2 g
D.H20 =	800 ml
HC1 (1M) Titrate	to pH 9.8
D.H20 +	1000 ml (pH 9.8)

Substrate

4 - Nitrop	henyl phosphate	20 mg
Diethanola	mine Buffer	20 m ³

Terminating Reagent

1 4

314	NaOH	
	NaOH	12 g
	D.H20	+ 100 ml