

# Melioidosis in Puerto Rico: The Iceberg Slowly Emerges

David A. B. Dance<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lao-Oxford-Mahosot Hospital–Wellcome Trust Research Unit, Microbiology Laboratory, Mahosot Hospital, Vientiane, Lao People's Democratic Republic; and <sup>2</sup>Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

(See the Major Article by Doker et al on pages 243–50.)

**Keywords.** melioidosis; Puerto Rico; *B. pseudomallei*; Caribbean; endemic.

In this issue of *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Doker et al describe epidemiological and environmental investigations by a joint team from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Puerto Rico Department of Health into 2 indigenous cases of melioidosis that occurred in 2010 and 2012. The latter case had positive blood cultures and the patient survived after treatment with ceftazidime, which has not previously been evaluated for treatment of melioidosis; the former patient died shortly after hospital admission, presumably before cultures were taken, although the evidence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection (immunohistochemistry and polymerase chain reaction on postmortem tissues) was convincing. The results of these investigations confirm irrefutably that melioidosis is endemic in Puerto Rico, with 6 human cases now described and *B. pseudomallei* detected in soil, albeit in only a single sample. The route of

infection in these cases was unclear, although 1 of the patients had engaged in intravenous drug use which, along with reporting skin wounds, was 1 of 2 factors associated with seropositivity among the patients' contacts on multivariable logistic regression analysis. Interestingly, this is the first time this association has been reported since melioidosis was nicknamed “morphine-injector's septicemia” nearly 100 years ago [1]. So what are the implications of this study for local, regional, and global public health?

*Burkholderia pseudomallei* has apparently been able to persist in the environment in Puerto Rico for >30 years, as the first case occurred in 1982, but melioidosis remains very rare there, or at least is recognized only rarely. Why is that? If the incidence of melioidosis throughout Puerto Rico approached that of northeast Thailand (12.7/100 000 per year) [2], then one might expect to see approximately 440 cases every year. Is it possible that so many cases could be going undiagnosed? This seems unlikely, although there are many instances of melioidosis being missed by clinicians and microbiologists unfamiliar with its features [3]. The CDC investigation found evidence of seropositivity in 6% and 25% of contacts of the 2010 and 2012 cases, respectively, suggesting that exposure to *B. pseudomallei* might actually be quite common in some places. Serology for *B. pseudomallei* is a rather blunt instrument, however.

The indirect hemagglutination assay (IHA) employed has been widely used for individual patient diagnosis, and although some culture-positive patients fail to seroconvert and background seropositivity is common among the normal population of places such as northeast Thailand, both the IHA and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay may be better diagnostic tests for melioidosis than has previously been thought [4, 5]. The IHA, however, is unstandardized, uses a crude mix of antigens, and may reflect exposure to closely related environmental saprophytes such as *Burkholderia thailandensis*, so it may overestimate exposure to *B. pseudomallei* when used for seroepidemiological studies such as this [6, 7]. One interesting aspect is that all the cases of melioidosis so far described from Puerto Rico have occurred on the east side of the main island and all the isolates of *B. pseudomallei* have been closely related genetically. This contrasts starkly with the considerable diversity of isolates found in the environment in northeast Thailand [8]. This suggests the possibility that *B. pseudomallei* may have been relatively recently introduced to Puerto Rico and may remain relatively geographically restricted, as has been suggested elsewhere [9]. Even within the environs of the residence of the 2012 patient, Doker et al were only able to isolate *B. pseudomallei* from 1 of 20 soil samples. However, although they used a

Received 19 September 2014; accepted 23 September 2014; electronically published 30 September 2014.

Correspondence: David A. B. Dance, MB, ChB, MSc, FRCPath, Microbiology Laboratory, LOMWRU, Mahosot Hospital, Vientiane, Lao People's Democratic Republic (david.d@tropmedres.ac).

**Clinical Infectious Diseases®** 2015;60(2):251–3

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciu768

method recommended in recently published guidelines [10], which has worked well with soil from northeast Thailand [11], recent unpublished evidence suggests it may not work equally well with all soils and so this investigation may have underestimated the extent of environmental contamination with *B. pseudomallei*. It would be interesting to see the results of more extensive soil sampling throughout Puerto Rico using locally validated techniques. It is certainly possible that cases of melioidosis are currently going unrecognized elsewhere on the island.

If *B. pseudomallei* is able to survive in the environment in Puerto Rico and yet melioidosis is genuinely rare when compared with some other melioidosis-endemic areas, why is this? Possible explanations include a lower concentration of organisms in the soil, less likelihood of exposure, and greater resistance of the population to infection. First, average annual temperatures in San Juan are a few degrees lower than those in northeast Thailand, whereas the annual rainfall varies considerably across Puerto Rico, so climatic differences might play a part, as might the physical, chemical, and biological makeup of the soil. Second, only 3% of the population of Puerto Rico is involved in agricultural work, and rice farming, an important risk factor for melioidosis in Thailand, is now relatively uncommon and confined to higher altitudes. Third, fundamental genetic differences in susceptibility between the populations might exist, although diabetes mellitus, the commonest predisposing factor for melioidosis, is very common among Puerto Ricans [12]. A better understanding of the factors involved could shed important light on the global ecology and epidemiology of *B. pseudomallei*.

What of the rest of the Caribbean region? Although melioidosis is usually thought of as a disease of southeast Asia and northern Australia, there is mounting evidence that it is widely distributed throughout the Caribbean islands, Central America, and South America, albeit

with only sporadic cases of infection reported in the literature. It was first described among sheep, goats, and pigs in Aruba in 1957 [13]. Subsequent reports have suggested that *B. pseudomallei* may be present in parts of Brazil, British Virgin Islands, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guadeloupe, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Martinique, Mexico, Panama, Peru, Trinidad, and Venezuela as well as Puerto Rico [14–24]. It is quite likely that there could be substantial underrecognition of the disease in the less well-developed parts of the region, where laboratory support for diagnosis is minimal (eg, neighboring Hispaniola). As laboratory facilities improve and more bacteria are identified accurately by methods such as matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight [25], more of the melioidosis iceberg may emerge. In the meantime, laboratories in potentially melioidosis-endemic areas should screen all oxidase-positive gram-negative bacilli that are not obviously *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and that are isolated from normally sterile samples (such as blood cultures), or in heavy pure growth from other samples, to exclude *B. pseudomallei*. Latex agglutination is widely used in Southeast Asia and has high sensitivity and specificity [26], and a new lateral flow assay looks promising [27].

In recent years, melioidosis has largely garnered attention in the United States as a potential bioweapon. Naturally occurring melioidosis, however, is a genuine problem of the rural poor in some parts of the tropics, whereas the deliberate release of *B. pseudomallei* remains a theoretical, and relatively unlikely, possibility. It is clear that there are some natural reservoirs of *B. pseudomallei* on America's doorstep, although the size of the problem remains unclear and deserves further study so that susceptible individuals such as those with diabetes can be given appropriate advice about the avoidance of infection [28]. Physicians should consider the diagnosis in anyone who has spent

time in the Caribbean who presents with sepsis, severe pneumonia, or abscesses, particularly if they have predisposing factors such as diabetes. Public health authorities confronted with a single case of melioidosis in someone from the Caribbean should maintain a sense of proportion and realize that they are far more likely to have contracted the infection naturally than been the victim of bioterrorism. Laboratory workers who have handled the organism before its identity is recognized should be reassured that, despite its fearsome reputation, laboratory-acquired melioidosis is extremely rare and antibiotic prophylaxis, which has never been shown to be effective anyway, should only be used judiciously following consensus guidelines [29].

## Notes

**Financial support.** The author is supported by the Wellcome Trust of Great Britain.

**Potential conflict of interest.** Author certifies no potential conflicts of interest.

The author has submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

## References

- Knapp HHG. Morphine injector's septicemia (Whitmore's disease). The Indian Medical Gazette 1915; 288.
- Limmathurotsakul D, Wongratanacheewin S, Teerawattanasook N, et al. Increasing incidence of human melioidosis in northeast Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2010; 82: 1113–7.
- Frickmann H, Neubauer H, Haase G, et al. Fatal urosepsis due to delayed diagnosis of genitourinary melioidosis. Laboratoriums Medizin 2013; 37:1–5.
- Limmathurotsakul D, Jamsen K, Arayachanont A, et al. Defining the true sensitivity of culture for the diagnosis of melioidosis using Bayesian latent class models. PLoS One 2010; 5:e12485.
- Limmathurotsakul D, Chantratita N, Teerawattanasook N, et al. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the diagnosis of melioidosis: better than we thought. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:1024–8.
- Cheng AC, Currie BJ, Peacock S. Positive serologic test results for *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in asymptomatic persons. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2009; 80:1065.

7. Peacock SJ, Cheng AC, Currie BJ, Dance DAB. The use of positive serological tests as evidence of exposure to *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2011; 84: 1021–2.
8. Wuthiekanun V, Limmathurotsakul D, Chantratita N, Feil EJ, Day NP, Peacock SJ. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* is genetically diverse in agricultural land in northeast Thailand. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2009; 3:e496.
9. Currie B, Smith-Vaughan H, Golledge C, Buller N, Sriprakash KS, Kemp DJ. *Pseudomonas pseudomallei* isolates collected over 25 years from a non-tropical endemic focus show clonality on the basis of ribotyping. Epidemiol Infect 1994; 113:307–12.
10. Limmathurotsakul D, Dance DA, Wuthiekanun V, et al. Systematic review and consensus guidelines for environmental sampling of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013; 7:e2105.
11. Limmathurotsakul D, Wuthiekanun V, Amornchai P, Wongswan G, Day NP, Peacock SJ. Effectiveness of a simplified method for isolation of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* from soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 2012; 78:876–7.
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Age-adjusted percentage of civilian, noninstitutionalized population with diagnosed diabetes, Hispanics, United States, 1997–2011. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics/prev/national/figbyhispanic.htm>. Accessed 17 September 2014.
13. Sutmoller P, Kraneveld FC, Van Der Schaaf A. Melioidosis (*pseudomalleus*) in sheep, goats, and pigs on Aruba (Netherland Antilles). J Am Vet Med Assoc 1957; 130:415–7.
14. Dance DAB. Melioidosis: the tip of the iceberg? Clin Microbiol Rev 1991; 4:52–60.
15. Dorman SE, Gill JV, Gallin JI, Holland SM. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection in a Puerto Rican patient with chronic granulomatous disease: case report and review of occurrences in the Americas. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 26:889–94.
16. Gee JE, Allender CJ, Tuanyok A, Elrod MG, Hoffmaster AR. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* type G in Western Hemisphere. Emerg Infect Dis 2014; 20:661–3.
17. Inglis TJ, Rolim DB, De Queiroz Sousa ANAS. Melioidosis in the Americas. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006; 75:947–54.
18. Cheng AC, Currie BJ. Melioidosis: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev 2005; 18:383–416.
19. Currie BJ, Dance DAB, Cheng AC. The global distribution of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and melioidosis: an update. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2008; 102(suppl 1):S1–4.
20. Corral DM, Coates AL, Yau YC, et al. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection in a cystic fibrosis patient from the Caribbean: a case report. Can Respir J 2008; 15:237–9.
21. Dance DA. Melioidosis as an emerging global problem. Acta Tropica 2000; 74:115–9.
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Imported melioidosis—south Florida, 2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2006; 55:873–6.
23. Guzmán-Gómez L, Agudo Bilbao M, Peiro-Callizo E, Salas C. Melioidosis imported from Colombia to Spain. Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin 2014; doi:10.1016/j.eimc.2014.06.003.
24. Almuzara M, Barberis C, Bravo M, et al. A case of melioidosis in Argentina [in Spanish]. Medicina (B Aires) 2011; 71:39–41.
25. Karger A, Stock R, Ziller M, et al. Rapid identification of *Burkholderia mallei* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* by intact cell matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation mass spectrometric typing. BMC Microbiol 2012; 12:229.
26. Duval BD, Elrod MG, Gee JE, et al. Evaluation of a latex agglutination assay for the identification of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *Burkholderia mallei*. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2014; 90:1043–6.
27. Houghton RL, Reed DE, Hubbard MA, et al. Development of a prototype lateral flow immunoassay (LFI) for the rapid diagnosis of melioidosis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2014; 8: e2727.
28. Limmathurotsakul D, Kanoksil M, Wuthiekanun V, et al. Activities of daily living associated with acquisition of melioidosis in northeast Thailand: a matched case-control study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013; 7:e2072.
29. Peacock SJ, Schweizer HP, Dance DA, et al. Management of accidental laboratory exposure to *Burkholderia pseudomallei* and *B. mallei*. Emerg Infect Dis 2008; 14:e2.